NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART ONLINE EDITIONS
Italian Thirteenth and Fourteenth Century Paintings

Bartolomeo Bulgarini
Italian, c. 1300 - 1378

Saint Catherine of Alexandria

c. 1335/1340

tempera on panel
painted surface (edge of gilding to edge of gilding): 73.5 × 40.5 cm (28 15/16 × 15 15/16 in.)
painted surface (edge of paint to edge of paint): 73.5 × 41 cm (28 15/16 × 16 1/8 in.)
overall: 73.5 × 42 × 1 cm (28 15/16 × 16 9/16 × 3/8 in.)
framed: 95.3 x 47.3 x 6 cm (37 1/2 x 18 5/8 x 2 3/8 in.)
Inscription: on the gilded brooch of the saint's mantle: S.K.A.T.E.R.I.N.A
Samuel H. Kress Collection 1943.4.20

ENTRY

The painting represents the martyr saint of Alexandria according to the usual iconographic canons of the early fourteenth century in Tuscany: with a crown placed on her blond hair, which is parted over the top of her head and gathered over the nape of her neck, the palm of martyrdom in her left hand and a book that she supports with both hands against the wheel, her instrument of martyrdom, with sharp, denticulated metal spikes along its rim.[1] The image is not self-sufficient. It belonged to a polyptych, more particularly a five-part altarpiece, known as the San Cerbone altarpiece [fig. 1] (see also *Reconstruction*), of which the other components are the *Madonna and Child* [fig. 2] and the *Saint John the Evangelist* [fig. 3] now in the Museo Nazionale di Villa Guinigi in Lucca (nos. 160 and 162),[2] and the panels of Saint Bartholomew [fig. 4] and Mary Magdalene [fig. 5], nos. 345 and 346 in the Pinacoteca Capitolina in Rome.[3] In 1706 Antonio de Brandeglio described the paintings in question, together with the panel now in the National Gallery of Art, as extant in the chapel of the Madonna in the church of San Cerbone in Lucca. But when Michele Ridolfi visited the church in 1845, he found only the central image of the Madonna in the chapel and that of Saint John in the sacristy, while all trace of the other panels had already been lost. They had perhaps been separated and dispersed presumably after 1806, following the Napoleonic suppression of the religious orders.

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

The five-part San Cerbone polyptych had a rather archaic structure, formed of five rectangular panels [fig. 1]. The painted surface of each of these panels terminated in an ogival arch, apparently without any figurative decoration in the spandrels to the side of the arch. This type of altarpiece makes it probable that the surviving panels were surmounted by another series of images: perhaps with two above each panel, as in some polyptychs produced in the shops of Pietro Lorenzetti (Sienese, active 1306 - 1345)[4] and of Ugolino di Nerio[5] in the late 1320s and during the following decade, or more probably with a single image above each panel, as in some works of the earliest phase in the career of Bulgarini himself.[6]

As for the attribution of the panel now in the Gallery, it was formerly considered a work by Deodato Orlandi, a leading painter of Lucca in the later thirteenth century, who is known to have painted a Crucifixion dated 1288, formerly in the same church of San Cerbone from which our Saint Catherine came.[7] Adolfo Venturi (1905, 1906, 1907) discarded this attribution and instead gave it, together with the other two components from the same complex with a provenance from the Sterbini collection, to Pietro.[8] The proposal met acceptance from F. Mason Perkins (1905 and 1931), Raimond van Marle (1924), Emilio Cecchi (1930), and George Harold Edgell (1932), and in the volume *Duveen Pictures* (1941) and various catalogs of the Gallery (NGA 1941, Shoolman and Slatkin 1942, Kress 1945).[9] Emil Jacobsen (1907) and Edward Hutton (1909) reported, but without expressing their own opinion about, the attribution to Pietro, while Ernest DeWald (1929) gave the three former Sterbini panels to a “follower of Segna di Bonaventura.”[10] In 1931, Andrea Péter and Millard Meiss independently recognized the common origin of these paintings with the other two now in the Museo Nazionale in Lucca.[11] Péter, however, detected the collaboration of two different hands in the polyptych; he assigned the two panels now in Lucca to “Ugolino Lorenzetti” (the master to whom he attributed works now generally recognized as belonging to Bulgarini’s initial phase), whereas he saw the intervention of the Ovile Master in the former Sterbini collection panels. For his part Meiss attributed the whole polyptych to “Ugolino Lorenzetti,” into whose catalog he incorporated the works that other art historians label with the conventional name of the Ovile Master. Meiss thought that the altarpiece belonged to a relatively early phase in the master’s output, dating it to c. 1330–1340. Van Marle (1934) accepted his proposal, calling the San Cerbone polyptych an example of an intermediate phase between the artist’s early period influenced by Duccio’s art and his later phase, reflecting the influence of Pietro Lorenzetti.[12] Yet Meiss’s subsequent (1936) identification of the anonymous master, Ugolino Lorenzetti, with

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

Bulgarini initially encountered resistance; only after the further clarification of the question, accompanied by the publication of new documentary evidence by Elisabeth H. Beatson, Norman E. Muller, and Judith B. Steinhoff (1986), did the attribution to Bulgarini gain general acceptance.[13]

What still remains problematic is the chronological sequence of Bulgarini's oeuvre, which is devoid of dated works, apart from the *tavole di biccherna*.[14] The *biccherna* panels are difficult to compare with the static figures of far larger dimensions in the polyptychs, and among these the only secure point of reference is the dating to c. 1350 of the San Vittore altarpiece formerly in Siena Cathedral.[15] It may be asserted with some confidence that the San Cerbone polyptych should date to an earlier phase than this, on grounds of style, panel type, decoration, and iconography. It still lacks the softness of modeling and delicate chiaroscuro passages that distinguish the master's later altarpieces. It also lacks the trefoil-arched moldings of the upper arch and the *pastiglia* ornament that characterize Bulgarini's polyptychs around or after the midcentury. The particular motif of the child and the Madonna now in the Museo Nazionale di Villa Giunigi in Lucca, who devotes his undivided attention to his mother, twisting towards her with his whole body, is probably a Lorenzettian invention of the 1330s;[16] it also appears in Bulgarini's Madonna now in the Museo Diocesano in Pienza.[17] Other paintings associated with this stylistic phase, as already observed in the past, include the fragment of a polyptych with a provenance from Radicondoli (no. 54 in the Pinacoteca Nazionale in Siena), where we also find iconographic formulae that are closely related to the figures of Saint Catherine and Mary Magdalene.[18] A similar image of the latter saint is also found in the polyptych of the Berenson Library at the Villa I Tatti near Florence,[19] while a variant of the figure of Saint John in the museum in Lucca recurs in the left lateral of the triptych from San Bartolomeo at Sestano, now in the Pinacoteca Nazionale in Siena.[20]

This group of works can be safely assumed to have been executed in the same span of years, presumably still in the course of the first half of the century, but after an initial phase in which Bulgarini had produced the nervous, tormented figures of the polyptych formerly in the Museo di Santa Croce in Florence,[21] the triptych from the church of San Giovanni Battista in Fogliano near Siena,[22] or the two apostles of the Wallraf-Richartz Museum in Cologne,[23] just to cite components of altarpieces. In the works of his intermediate period, those to which our Saint Catherine belongs, by contrast, Bulgarini based himself on models developed by Sienese artists in the wake of Duccio di Buoninsegna (Sienese, c. 1250/1255 -

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

1318/1319), and adopted the type of polyptych that had emerged in the third and fourth decade of the fourteenth century.[24] These are all features that differentiate our polyptych both from the artist's initial phase and from his works dating to the years around and after the midcentury. Consequently a dating to c. 1340 would seem to me most likely for the Saint Catherine in the Gallery and for its companion panels.[25] What these panels have in common is a quest for grandeur, simplification of form, and the expression of powerful emotion, in the spirit of works painted by Pietro Lorenzetti in his early maturity.

Miklós Boskovits (1935–2011)

March 21, 2016

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

COMPARATIVE FIGURES

fig. 1 Reconstruction of the San Cerbone Altarpiece by Bartolomeo Bulgarini (color images are NGA objects): a. *Saint Catherine of Alexandria*; b. *Saint Bartholomew* (fig. 4); c. *Madonna and Child* (fig. 2); d. *Saint John the Evangelist* (fig. 3); e. *Saint Mary Magdalene* (fig. 5)

fig. 2 Bartolomeo Bulgarini, *Madonna and Child*, c. 1335/1340, tempera on panel, Museo Nazionale di Villa Guinigi, Lucca. Image: Mondadori Portfolio/Electa/Luca Carrà/Bridgeman Images

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

fig. 3 Bartolomeo Bulgarini, *Saint John the Evangelist*, c. 1335/1340, tempera on panel, Museo Nazionale di Villa Guinigi, Lucca

fig. 4 Bartolomeo Bulgarini, *Saint Bartholomew*, c. 1335/1340, tempera on panel, Pinacoteca Capitolina, Rome. Image: Mondadori Portfolio/Electa/Art Resource, NY

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

fig. 5 Bartolomeo Bulgarini, *Saint Mary Magdalene*, c. 1335/1340, tempera on panel, Pinacoteca Capitolina, Rome. Image: Mondadori Portfolio/Electa/Art Resource, NY

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

RECONSTRUCTION

Click on any panel in the altarpiece reconstruction below to see an enlarged version of the image. Color reproductions in the reconstruction indicate panels in the National Gallery of Art collection.

a. b. c. d. e.

Reconstruction of the San Cerbone Altarpiece by Bartolomeo Bulgarini:

a. *Saint Catherine of Alexandria*
b. *Saint Bartholomew* (Entry fig. 4)
c. *Madonna and Child* (Entry fig. 2)
d. *Saint John the Evangelist* (Entry fig. 3)
e. *Saint Mary Magdalene* (Entry fig. 5)

NOTES

[1] George Kaftal, *Saints in Italian Art*, vol. 1, *Iconography of the Saints in Tuscan Painting* (Florence, 1952), 225–234.

[2] Licia Bertolini Campetti and Silvia Meloni Trkulja, eds., *Museo di Villa Guinigi, Lucca: La villa e le collezioni* (Lucca, 1968), 141–142. The Madonna measures 91 × 56 cm and the panel of Saint John the Evangelist 72.5 × 42.2 cm. According to Placido Campetti (1932), the monastery sold the two panels in the early years of the twentieth century. Placido Campetti, "Annuari," *Bollettino storico lucchese* 4 (1932): 159.

[3] Raffaele Bruno, *Roma: Pinacoteca capitolina* (Bologna, 1978), 3. The panel of Saint Bartholomew (inv. no. 345) measures 75 x 42 cm, and that of Mary Magdalene (inv. no. 346) 73 x 41 cm. The two paintings entered the Capitoline collection, Rome, in 1936.

[4] I refer to such panels as the dispersed polyptych from the church of the

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

Carmine in Siena, dated 1329, in which the laterals (representing full-length figures of saints) were each surmounted by an image of a pair of saints, or polyptych no. 50 in the Pinacoteca Nazionale of Siena, probably dating to c. 1335–1340, in which the saints of the main register are represented half-length, though again with paired saints in the upper tier. Cf. Piero Torriti, *La Pinacoteca Nazionale di Siena*, vol. 1, *I dipinti dal XII al XV secolo* (Genoa, 1977), 97–99, 105–106. In contrast to the Carmine polyptych, the spandrels in polyptych no. 50 are decorated not with figures of angels but with ornamental motifs.

[5] One may recall the polyptych formerly in the church of Santa Croce in Florence, probably executed in c. 1330 and now divided among various museums in the world. Here the saints in the main register, portrayed just over half-length (and the angels filling the spandrels), are surmounted by a frieze of medallions with images of the ancestors of Christ and above that by paired saints; cf. Stefan Weppelmann, "Geschichten auf Gold in neuem Licht: Das Hochaltarretabel aus der Franziskanerkirche Santa Croce," in *Geschichten auf Gold: Bilderzählungen in der frühen italienischen Malerei*, ed. Stefan Weppelmann (Berlin, 2005), 26–50.

[6] Bulgarini, in his triptych representing the Crucifixion at the center and half-length figures of female saints in the laterals (no. 54 in the Pinacoteca of Siena), surmounted the panels of the main register (with a pointed arch defining the painted area and ornamental decoration in the spandrels) with triangular-shaped gable panels filled with half-length figures of saints. Cf. Piero Torriti, *La Pinacoteca Nazionale di Siena*, vol. 1, *I dipinti dal XII al XV secolo* (Genoa, 1977), 136. It should be said, however, that, as may be observed from the pose of the saints in the lateral panels, this complex must originally have been a five-part altarpiece. Since it has been truncated below, the main register likely consisted of full-length figures. A complex very similar to the San Cerbone polyptych, apart from that now in the Berenson Library at Villa I Tatti, the Harvard University Center for Italian Renaissance Studies, Florence, must have been that of which only the centerpiece now survives, namely the Madonna and Child in the Museo Diocesano at Pienza. See Franco Russoli and Nicky Mariano, *The Berenson Collection*, trans. Frances Alexander and Sidney Alexander, Edizioni Beatrice d'Este (Milan, 1964), xvii; and Laura Martini, ed., *Museo diocesano di Pienza, Musei senesi* (Siena, 1998), 29–31. Only in his late phase, it seems, did Bartolomeo adopt the solution of paired saints in the upper register of altarpieces: an example of this type is the fragment now in the Lehman collection in the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York. Cf. John Pope-Hennessy and Laurence B. Kanter, *The Robert Lehman Collection*, vol. 1, *Italian Paintings* (New York, 1987), 16–17.

[7] Now in the Museo Nazionale di Villa Guinigi in Lucca; cf. Licia Bertolini Campetti and Silvia Meloni Trkulja, eds., *Museo di Villa Guinigi, Lucca: La*

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

villa e le collezioni (Lucca, 1968), 139–140.

[8] Adolfo Venturi, "La quadreria Sterbini in Roma," *L'Arte* 8 (1905): 427, 428 fig. 5; Adolfo Venturi, *La Galleria Sterbini in Roma: Saggio illustrativo* (Rome, 1906), 33–35; Adolfo Venturi, *Storia dell'arte italiana*, vol. 5, *La pittura del Trecento e le sue origini* (Milan, 1907), 696 n. 1.

[9] F. Mason Perkins, "Arte senese nella Quadreria Sterbini a Roma," *Rassegna d'arte senese* 1, no. 4 (1905): 148–149; F. Mason Perkins, "Pitture senesi poco conosciute," La Diana 6 (1931): 28; Raimond van Marle, *The Development of the Italian Schools of Painting*, vol. 2, *The Sienese School of the 14th Century* (The Hague, 1924), 326; Emilio Cecchi, *Pietro Lorenzetti* (Milan, 1930), 7, pl. 8; George Harold Edgell, *A History of Sienese Painting* (New York, 1932), 114; Duveen Brothers, *Duveen Pictures in Public Collections of America* (New York, 1941), no. 14; National Gallery of Art, *Preliminary Catalogue of Paintings and Sculpture* (Washington, DC, 1941), 111; Regina Shoolman and Charles E. Slatkin, *The Enjoyment of Art in America* (Philadelphia, 1942), 284, pl. 253; National Gallery of Art, *Paintings and Sculpture from the Kress Collection* (Washington, DC, 1945), 20.

[10] Emil Jacobsen, *Sienesische Meister des Trecento in der Gemäldegalerie zu Siena* (Strasbourg, 1907), 41 n . 7; Edward Hutton, in Joseph Archer Crowe and Giovan Battista Cavalcaselle, *A New History of Painting in Italy from the Second to the Sixteenth Century*, vol. 2, *Sienese School of the Fourteenth Century; Florentine School of the Fifteenth Century*, ed. Edward Hutton (London and New York, 1909), 90 n. 7; Ernest T. DeWald, "Pietro Lorenzetti," *Art Studies* 7 (1929): 160 n. 2.

[11] Andrea Péter, "Ugolino Lorenzetti e il Maestro di Ovile," *Rivista d'arte* 13 (1931): 22–33; Millard Meiss, "Ugolino Lorenzetti," *The Art Bulletin* 13 (1931): 380–384, 393, 397.

[12] Raimond van Marle, *Le scuole della pittura italiana*, vol. 2, *La scuola senese del XIV secolo* (The Hague, 1934), 155, 160.

[13] See Millard Meiss, "Bartolommeo Bulgarini altrimenti detto 'Ugolino Lorenzetti?,'" *Rivista d'arte* 18 (1936): 113–136; and Elisabeth H. Beatson, Norman E. Muller, and Judith B. Steinhoff, "The St. Victor Altarpiece in Siena Cathedral: A Reconstruction," *The Art Bulletin* 68 (1986): 610–631, where for the first time a painting attributed to both "Ugolini Lorenzetti" and to the Ovile Master—the Nativity in the Fogg Art Museum in Cambridge, Massachusetts—was identified as a documented work by Bartolomeo Bulgarini.

[14] The following *bicc*herna panels can be attributed to Bulgarini: that in the Kunstgewerbemuseum in Berlin (K. 9222), dated 1329–1330; those in the Bibliothèque Nationale in Paris (nos. 1669–1670), dated respectively 1339–1340 and 1345–1346; that in a private collection in Geneva, dated 1349–1350; and that in the Archivio di Stato in Siena (no. Bicch. 28), dated 1352–

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

1353. See, respectively, Miklós Boskovits, ed., *Frühe italienische Malerei: Gemäldegalerie Berlin, Katalog der Gemälde*, trans. Erich Schleier (Berlin, 1988), 180–181; *L'Art gothique siennois: Enluminure, peinture, orfèvrerie, sculpture* (Florence, 1983), 198–200; *Il gotico a Siena: Miniature, pitture, oreficerie, oggetti d'arte* (Florence, 1982), 251–252.

[15] On the altarpiece, apart from the publication cited in note 12 above, cf. also Rudolf Hiller von Gaertringen, *Italienische Gemälde im Städel 1300–1550: Toskana und Umbrien, Kataloge der Gemälde im Städelschen Kunstinstitut Frankfurt am Main* (Mainz, 2004), 82–96. Since in May 1351 a carpenter received payments for "fattura civori e cercini e colonine di legname per la tavola di Santo Vittorio" (making awnings, curtains, and wooden columns for the altarpiece of San Vittorio), that is, for the embellishments to the polyptych's wooden frame, it may be assumed that the work had already been completed by this date.

[16] Ever since his earliest works, such as the Madonna of Montichiello and the great Marian polyptych in the Pieve di Santa Maria at Arezzo (1320), Pietro Lorenzetti (Sienese, active 1306 - 1345) had proposed a more intimate and self-absorbed version of the group of the Madonna and Child, caught in affectionate rapport, turned towards each other and largely ignoring the spectator. Subsequently, Pietro took this tendency one step further in such works as the Madonna formerly in the Serristori collection in Florence or that in the Museo d'arte sacra in Buonconvento where the child, in embracing his mother, even turns his back on the spectator. See Anna Maria Guiducci, *Museo d'arte sacra della Val d'Arbia, Buonconvento* (Siena, 1998), 28–29. Neither these paintings nor comparable examples by Ambrogio Lorenzetti (e.g., the Madonna no. 39.546 in the Museum of Fine Arts in Boston or that in San Pietro di Castelvecchio in Siena), are securely datable, but their execution would seem to fall within the period c. 1335–1345; cf. Luciano Cateni, "Un polittico 'too remote from Ambrogio' firmato da Ambrogio Lorenzetti," *Prospettiva* 40 (1985): 62–67. Although no exact Lorenzettian prototype is known for the composition proposed by Bulgarini in his altarpiece now in Lucca, the circumstance that Lippo Vanni (Sienese, active 1344 - 1376) adopted a very similar version of it in one of his youthful works (no. 1470, Städelsches Kunstinstitut, Frankfurt) probably implies the dependence of both paintings on a lost model of Pietro; cf. Rudolf Hiller von Gaertringen, *Italienische Gemälde im Städel 1300–1550: Toskana und Umbrien, Kataloge der Gemälde im Städelschen Kunstinstitut Frankfurt am Main* (Mainz, 2004), 98–106.

[17] Cf. Laura Martini, ed., *Museo diocesano di Pienza*, Musei senesi (Siena, 1998), 23–31.

[18] Andrea Péter, "Ugolino Lorenzetti e il Maestro di Ovile," *Rivista d'arte* 13 (1931): 32; and Piero Torriti, *La Pinacoteca Nazionale di Siena*, vol. 1, *I dipinti*

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

dal XII al XV secolo (Genoa, 1977), 136–137 had already noted these similarities in pose and physiognomic types.

[19] Cf. note 6 above. The greater linearity and expansive volume of the figure in the panel now in the Pinacoteca Capitolina suggest an earlier date for the Berenson Magdalene.

[20] Piero Torriti, *La Pinacoteca Nazionale di Siena*, vol. 1, *I dipinti dal XII al XV secolo* (Genoa, 1977), 134–135 (without inventory number).

[21] Millard Meiss, "Ugolino Lorenzetti," *The Art Bulletin* 13 (1931): figs. 18, 19; Andrea Péter, "Ugolino Lorenzetti e il Maestro di Ovile," *Rivista d'arte* 13 (1931): fig. 1.

[22] Piero Torriti, *La Pinacoteca Nazionale di Siena*, vol. 1, *I dipinti dal xii al xv secolo* (Genoa, 1977), 134–135. This is the painting that is likely closest stylistically to the San Cerbone polyptych. However, the daring invention in the latter, of presenting Saint John immersed in reading and with his face slightly foreshortened, though anticipated in the polyptych by Lippo Memmi (Sienese, active 1317/1347) at Casciana Alta near Pisa, should probably be considered an indication of a slightly later date for the Lucca panel. See Antonino Caleca, "Tre polittici di Lippo Memmi, un'ipotesi sul Barna e la bottega di Simone e Lippo, 1," *Critica d'arte* 41 (1976): 49–50.

[23] Brigitte Klesse, *Katalog der italienischen, französischen und spanischen Gemälde bis 1800 im Wallraf-Richartz-Museum* (Cologne, 1973), 65–66 (nos. 610–611).

[24] I refer to panels with trapezoidal termination and inner pointed arch with trefoil moldings, such as the Madonna by Simone Martini (Sienese, active from 1315; died 1344) at the center of the Orvieto polyptych (Museo Civico) or the panels of the dispersed polyptych by Lippo Memmi (on which cf. *Saint John the Baptist*). The panel by Niccolò di Segna dated 1336 formerly in the Pieve of Montesiepi must have been of similar type. See James H. Stubblebine, *Duccio di Buoninsegna and His School*, 2 vols. (Princeton, 1979), 2: fig. 477.

[25] In his first attempt to reconstruct the chronology of the artist's catalog, Millard Meiss (1931) placed the San Cerbone polyptych among the master's earliest works, close to 1330. Fern Rusk Shapley (1966, 1979) proposed a slightly later date of c. 1335, a dating that Antonio Caleca accepted (1981, 1986). Judith De Botton (1983) proposed 1340–1350, Miklós Boskovits (1990) c. 1340, Judith Steinhoff-Morrison (1990, 1993, 1996) the mid-1340s, Erling Skaug (1994) c. 1339–1348, and Angelo Tartuferi (1998) c. 1340. See Millard Meiss, "Ugolino Lorenzetti," *The Art Bulletin* 13 (1931): 376–397; Fern Rusk Shapley, *Paintings from the Samuel H. Kress Collection: Italian Schools, XIII–XV Century* (London, 1966), 54; Fern Rusk Shapley, *Catalogue of the Italian Paintings*, 2 vols. (Washington, DC, 1979), 1:270–271; Antonio Caleca, in *Il secolo di Castruccio: Fonti e documenti di storia lucchese*, ed. Clara

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

Baracchini (Lucca, 1983), 199; Antonio Caleca, "Pittura del Duecento e del Trecento a Pisa e a Lucca," in *La Pittura in Italia: Il Duecento e il Trecento*, ed. Enrico Castelnuovo, 2 vols. (Milan, 1986), 1:254; Judith De Botton, in *L'Art gothique siennois: Enluminure, peinture, orfèvrerie, sculpture* (Florence, 1983), 242; Miklós Boskovits and Serena Padovani, *The Thyssen-Bornemisza Collection: Early Italian Painting 1290–1470* (London, 1990), 36, 37 n.13; Judith Steinhoff-Morrison, *Bartolomeo Bulgarini and Sienese Painting of the Mid-Fourteenth Century*, 2 vols. (PhD diss., Princeton University, 1990), 1:192; Judith Steinhoff, "A Trecento Altarpiece Rediscovered: Bartolommeo Bulgarini's Polyptych for San Gimignano," *Zeitschrift für Kunstgeschichte* 56 (1993): 107; Judith Steinhoff-Morrison, "Bulgarini, Bartolomeo," in *The Dictionary of Art*, ed. Jane Turner, 34 vols. (New York, 1996), 5:164; Erling S. Skaug, *Punch Marks from Giotto to Fra Angelico: Attribution, Chronology, and Workshop Relationships in Tuscan Panel Painting with Particular Consideration to Florence, c. 1330–1430*, 2 vols. (Oslo, 1994) 1:250; and Angelo Tartuferi, in *Sumptuosa tabula picta: Pittori a Lucca tra gotico e rinascimento*, ed. Maria Teresa Filieri (Livorno, 1998), 45. The most elaborate motivation for the dating is undoubtedly the one Steinhoff-Morrison (1990) proposed, though her reasoning was based (in my view) on partially mistaken premises. We may agree with her when she declared that the San Cerbone polyptych was later than that formerly in the Museo di Santa Croce in Florence and the triptych from Fogliano now in the Pinacoteca Nazionale in Siena. But her proposal to link this latter altarpiece with a payment made in 1339 for a painting of similar subject executed for the Palazzo Pubblico in Siena was mere conjecture, nor does it seem to me that there are sufficient grounds to affirm, as did Steinhoff-Morrison, that the *biccherna* panel of 1339 now in the Bibliothèque Nationale in Paris is earlier in date than the Fogliano triptych. Moreover, her observation, however subtle, that Bulgarini in the San Cerbone polyptych (and more precisely in the figures of Saint John and Saint Catherine) adopted "attributes [of the saints] as podia for their books" (Steinhoff-Morrison, "Bulgarini, Bartolomeo," 192) cannot lead to the conclusion that the motif derived from Pietro Lorenzetti. In particular, her claim that "the earliest known instance of this device is in the altarpiece of the Beata Umiltà in the Uffizi," which was executed "by a pupil of Pietro Lorenzetti…probably.. .ca. 1340" (both, 192), is open to question. Apart from the fact that various reputable scholars recognize this important though unfortunately dismembered altarpiece (nos. 6120–6126, 6129–6131, and 8437 of the Uffizi in Florence, and nos. 1077 and 1077A of the Gemäldegalerie in Berlin) as a fully autograph work by Pietro Lorenzetti, Boskovits's argument (1988) placing its date c. 1330–1335 has not so far been repudiated. See Miklós Boskovits, ed., *Frühe italienische Malerei: Gemäldegalerie Berlin, Katalog der Gemälde*, trans. Erich Schleier (Berlin, 1988), 87–89. It also should not be forgotten that Simone Martini, in his figure of Saint Mark in the Pisa

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

polyptych (1319), had already used the motif in question by letting the lion support the Evangelist's book. For a reproduction, see Pierluigi Leone De Castris, *Simone Martini* (Milan, 2003), fig. on 176. So there is no terminus post quem of 1340 for the saints of the San Cerbone polyptych.

TECHNICAL SUMMARY

The painting is on a single-plank wooden support with the grain running vertically. In 1940–1941, Stephen Pichetto cradled and probably thinned it (the present thickness is 1.2 cm). In addition, the top corners of the panel were cut during this treatment to form the arched shape the panel bears today. However, the top edge of the panel had already been cut down prior to Pichetto's treatment, truncating the arch of the design.[1] A vertical split runs through the entire painting, passing through the saint's left eye. The panel was prepared with a layer of gesso, in which the larger outlines of the figure were incised; the gilding, as usual, has a red bole layer underneath. The green underpainting is visible in the shadows of the flesh tones. The paint was thinly applied, with long strokes that follow the contours of the form.

There are a number of paint losses, especially along the abovementioned split. Apparently, the hooked spikes embedded in the rim of the wheel also were damaged: they were probably covered by silver leaf originally;[2] this was later lost or removed, making inpainting necessary in this area. The painting was cropped along its upper edge and taken out of its original frame probably in the seventeenth century.[3] Photographs made before 1905 [fig. 1] show it with the vertical split clearly visible and some small paint losses along the edges.[4] Another photo, made around 1930 [fig. 2], illustrates the painting already cleaned and restored and in a state apparently not very dissimilar to the one following Pichetto's treatment, during which the painting was cleaned again and varnished.[5]

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

TECHNICAL COMPARATIVE FIGURES

fig. 1 Archival photograph, c. 1905, before restoration, Bartolomeo Bulgarini, *Saint Catherine of Alexandria*, c. 1335/1340, tempera on panel, National Gallery of Art, Washington, Samuel H. Kress Collection. Image: Adolfo Venturi, La Galleria Sterbini in Roma: Saggio illustrativo (Rome, 1906), 35

fig. 2 Archival photograph, c. 1930, Bartolomeo Bulgarini, *Saint Catherine of Alexandria*, c. 1335/1340, tempera on panel, National Gallery of Art, Washington, Samuel H. Kress Collection. Image: Kunsthistorisches Institut, Florence

TECHNICAL NOTES

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

[1] Photographs made during Pichetto's treatment (in NGA conservation files) show the painting still in its rectangular shape, but with the top edge cut down.

[2] The NGA scientific research department analyzed the spokes using x-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF). Traces of silver were found in all but one spectra (analysis completed March 3, 2014, report forthcoming).

[3] Antonio di Brandeglio, *Vita di S. Cerbone vescovo di Popolonia e confessore* (Lucca, 1706), 221–222, 300, reported a renovation of the chapel of the Virgin in the church of San Cerbone in 1669, during which the Washington panel and its companions (see below) were given a "more decent framing." Evidently the reframing entailed the truncation of the upper part of the arched termination in all panels.

[4] Venturi published a photograph by the Danesi studio (Rome) in 1905 and 1906; here the panel is shown virtually in the same state as in photo no. E–3562 of the Istituto Centrale per il Catalogo e la Documentazione in Rome. This latter was probably made in the same year. See Adolfo Venturi, "La quadreria Sterbini in Roma," *L'Arte* 8 (1905): 427, 428 fig. 5; Adolfo Venturi, *La Galleria Sterbini in Roma: Saggio illustrativo* (Rome, 1906) 33–34, 35 repro.

[5] The photograph in question, of which a copy is preserved in the photographic archive of the Kunsthistorisches Institut in Florence, was taken (according to a handwritten annotation) when the painting was with the dealer Julius Böhler in Munich.

PROVENANCE

Monastery of San Cerbone, near Lucca, by 1706 until no later than 1845;[1] possibly Carlo Lasinio [1759–1838] or his son, Giovanni Paolo Lasinio [c. 1796-1855], Pisa; probably Monsignor Gabriele Laureani [d. 1849], Rome;[2] Giulio Sterbini [d. 1911], Rome, by 1905; (Pasini, Rome).[3] (Godfroy [sometimes spelled Godefroy] Brauer, Paris and Nice), by 1921;[4] his estate; (sale, Christie, Manson & Woods, London, 5 July 1929, no. 29); half shares purchased by (Kunsthandel A.G., Lucerne) and (antique dealer, Amsterdam); sold 18 October 1932 to (Julius Böhler, Munich);[5] sold 4 September 1937 to (Duveen Brothers, Inc., London, New York, and Paris);[6] sold 1940 to the Samuel H. Kress Foundation, New York; gift 1943 to NGA. [1] The church of San Cerbone near Lucca is mentioned for the first time in a document of 1059. Another document, of 1140, also records the Benedictine monastery annexed to the church. In 1234 the community of nuns assumed the Cistercian rule. They

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

abandoned the monastery in 1442, when a community of Franciscan Observants was established in its place. See Enrico Lombardi, *San Cerbone nella leggenda, nel culto e nell'arte*, Massa Marittima, n.d. [c. 1970-1975]: 34-35. Antonio da Brandeglio (*Vita di S. Cerbone Vescovo di Populonia e confessore*, Lucca, 1706: 214-218) described the painting as extant in the chapel of the Madonna. Michele Ridolfi ("Sopra i tre più antichi dipintori lucchesi dei quali si conoscono le opere: cenni storici e critici," *Atti dell'Accademia lucchese di scienze, lettere ed arti* 13 [1845]: 349-393) does not find the NGA painting; it was probably dispersed after the 1806 Napleonic suppression of religious orders. [2] David Farabulini (*La pittura antica e moderna e la Galleria del cav. Giulio Sterbini*, Rome, 1874), who does not cite the painting now in Washington, states that the central nucleus of the Sterbini collection was formed of paintings collected by Monsignor Gabriele Laureani, custodian of the Biblioteca Vaticana from 1838 to 1849. Laureani is known for having acquired a large number of "primitives" for what is now the Pinacoteca Vaticana. Probably this prelate also collected paintings for himself and, following his death, his collection passed into that of Sterbini. It is also known that Laureani purchased Tuscan paintings of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries from Carlo Lasinio, keeper/curator of the Camposanto in Pisa from 1807, whose collection was swollen in large part by paintings amassed at the time of the suppression of the convents in the early nineteenth century. It seems plausible to assume, therefore, that the panel now in the National Gallery of Art reached Rome through the intermediary of Carlo Lasinio (who in addition to being an engraver, is known to have been an art dealer as well as a collector) or his son Giovanni Paolo Lasinio (see Christopher Lloyd, "A note on Carlo Lasinio and Giovanni Paolo Lasinio," *The Bodleian Library Record* 10 [1978-1982]: 51-57; Donata Levi, "Carlo Lasinio, curator, collector and dealer," *The Burlington Magazine* 135 [1993]: 133-148). For the paintings in the Biblioteca Vaticana with a provenance from the collection of Lasinio or his son through that of Laureani, see Wolfgang Fritz Volbach, *Catalogo della Pinacoteca Vaticana. Vol. 2. Il Trecento. Firenze e Siena*, Vatican City, 1987: 23, 24, 40; Francesco Rossi, *Catalogo della Pinacoteca Vaticana. Vol. 3. Il Trecento. Umbria, Marche, Italia del Nord*, Vatican City, 1994: 139, 142. [3] Adolfo Venturi ("La quadreria Sterbini," *L'Arte* 8 (1905): 422-440; *La Galleria Sterbini in Roma*, Rome, 1906: no. 6) first mentions the panel, together with two companion pieces now in the collection of the Pinacoteca Capitolina in Rome, as belonging to the Sterbini collection, but it had probably been there for several decades by then. After the collector's death, at least part of the works formerly belonging to him passed to the Pasini collection in Rome (Raimond van Marle, *The Development of*

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

the Italian Schools of Painting, 19 vols., The Hague, 1923-1938: 4[1924]:288, 378; 13[1931]:454 n. 1) and, possibly, to other collectors as well. Federico Zeri wrote to Robert O. Parks that Pasini was the dealer who sold the entire Sterbini collection; Parks in turn passed this information on to John Walker (letter, Parks to Walker, 27 December 1949, in NGA curatorial files). [4] The painting was in Brauer's collection at least by 20 May 1921, when the Paris office of Duveen Brothers describes it in a letter to their New York office: "A picture of 'Saint Catherine,' about 18 inches by 14, which he attributes to Ambrogio Lorenzetti." The dimensions are more accurate in their description two years later (31 March 1923): "1 picture 'St-Catherine of Alexandria.' Pointed top. Gold background. Red cloak. Large gold plaque on breast. School of LORENZETTI. About 28 inches high." Brauer died in December 1923, and Duveen Brothers remained in contact with his widow, Lina Haas Brauer (1868-1936), although they made no purchases from her. Duveen Brothers Records, accession number 960015, Research Library, Getty Research Institute, Los Angeles: reel 82, box 227, folders 26–28, and reel 115, box 260, folder 24 (copies in NGA curatorial files). [5] Newspaper coverage of the 1929 sale, as well as an annotated copy of the sale catalogue (copies in NGA curatorial files), record Böhler as purchaser of the painting. Inventory card no. 164-32, in the Records of Julius Böhler Munich, Research Library, Getty Research Institute, Los Angeles (copy in NGA curatorial files), documents instead the 1932 purchase by Böhler and the half shares owned by the other dealers. The Lucerne and Munich firms, however, were intimately connected, as the Lucerne firm had been founded in 1920 by a son of the founder of the Munich firm. In 1930, Emilio Cecchi (*Pietro Lorenzetti*, Milan, 1930: 7) stated that the panel of Saint Catherine "è ora passata alla raccolta Ringling in Monaco." Fern Rusk Shapley (*Catalogue of the Italian Paintings*, 2 vols., Washington, D.C., 1979: 1:271), as well as the prospectus assembled by Duveen Brothers (in NGA curatorial files), also speak of an otherwise unspecified Ringling collection in Munich. However, in view of the fact that the painting had been publicized as having been purchased by Böhler's in Munich, the possible new owner was presumably the circus tycoon John Ringling (1866-1936), who is known to have used Böhler's services in building up his art collection (now the Ringling Museum) in Sarasota, Florida, since the late 1920s. By 1930-1931, however, Ringling's collecting had come to a rather abrupt halt as a consequence of the economic crisis (Peter Tomory, *Catalogue of the Italian Paintings before 1800. The John Ringling Museum of Art*, Sarasota, 1976: ix–xiii). Possibly for this reason the painting never in fact joined the rest of the Ringling collection. Instead, it must have remained in Europe, and Andrea Péter ("Ugolino Lorenzetti e il Maestro d'Ovile,"

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

Rivista d'Arte 13 (1931): 2-44) also cites it as being with Böhler's, whereas its two companion pieces were still in a private collection, presumably one of Sterbini's heirs. It is noted in the 1929 newspaper coverage that Ringling was a purchaser at the sale, and perhaps because of this his name was linked with the painting by mistake. [6] See the Böhler inventory card cited in note 5. The card also notes that the painting was first sold to Carl Hamilton in May 1937, but was then returned. Hamilton (1886-1967) was a client of Duveen Brothers; the dealer had offered him a large collection of Italian paintings on approval by 1920, but Hamilton did not purchase them and returned the paintings to Duveen the following year. .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1706 Brandeglio, Antonio di. *Vita di S. Cerbone vescovo di Popolonia e confessore*. Lucca, 1706: 221-222, 300.

1845 Ridolfi, Michele. "Sopra i tre più antichi dipintori lucchesi dei quali si conoscono le opere: cenni storici e critici." *Atti dell'Accademia lucchese di scienze, lettere ed arti* 13 (1845): 374.

1905 Perkins, F. Mason. "Arte senese nella Quadreria Sterbini a Roma." *Rassegna d'arte senese* 1, no. 4 (1905): 148-149, as by Pietro Lorenzetti.

1905 Venturi, Adolfo. "La quadreria Sterbini in Roma." *L'Arte* 8 (1905): 427, 428 fig. 5.

1906 Venturi, Adolfo. *La Galleria Sterbini in Roma: saggio illustrativo*. Rome, 1906: 33-34, repro. 35.

1907 Jacobsen, Emil. *Sienesische Meister des Trecento in der Gemäldegalerie zu Siena*. Strasbourg, 1907: 41 n. 1.

1907 Venturi, Adolfo. *Storia dell'arte italiana*. Vol. 5, La pittura del Trecento e le sue origini. *Milan, 1907: 696 n. 1.*

1907 Vollmer, Hans. "Meister der Ovile - Madonna." In *Allgemeines Lexikon der bildenden Künstler von der Antike bis zur Gegenwart*. Edited by Ulrich Thieme and Felix Becker. 37 vols. Leipzig, 1907-1950: 37(1950):260.

1908 Crowe, Joseph Archer, and Giovanni Battista Cavalcaselle. *A New History of Painting in Italy from the Second to the Sixteenth Century*. 3 vols. Edited by Edward Hutton. Vol. 2, *Sienese School of the Fourteenth Century; Florentine School of the Fifteenth Century*. London and New York, 1908-1909: 2(1909):90 n. 7.

1923 Marle, Raimond van. *The Development of the Italian Schools of Painting*. 19 vols. The Hague, 1923-1938: 2(1924):326.

1929 DeWald, Ernest T. "Pietro Lorenzetti." *Art Studies* 7 (1929): 160 n. 2.

1930 Cecchi, Emilio. *Pietro Lorenzetti*. Milan, 1930: 7, pl. 8.

1931 Meiss, Millard. "Ugolino Lorenzetti." *The Art Bulletin* 13 (1931): 378

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

(repro.), 380-384, 393, 397 n.

1931 Perkins, F. Mason. "Pitture senesi poco conosciute." *La Diana* 6 (1931): 28.

1931 Péter, Andrea. "Ugolino Lorenzetti e il Maestro di Ovile." *Rivista d'arte* 13 (1931): 22-33, figs. 13-14.

1932 Campetti, Placido. "Annuari." *Bollettino storico lucchese* 4 (1932): 159.

1932 Edgell, George Harold. *A History of Sienese Painting*. New York, 1932: 114.

1932 Marle, Raimond van. *Le scuole della pittura italiana*. 2 vols. The Hague and Florence, 1932-1934: 2(1934):155, 160.

1941 Duveen Brothers. *Duveen Pictures in Public Collections of America.* New York, 1941: no. 14, repro., as by Pietro Lorenzetti.

1941 National Gallery of Art. *Book of Illustrations*. Washington, 1941: 133 (repro.), 244.

1941 *Preliminary Catalogue of Paintings and Sculpture*. National Gallery of Art, Washington, 1941: 111, no. 521, as by Pietro Lorenzetti.

1942 *Book of Illustrations*. National Gallery of Art, Washington, 1942: 250, repro. 135, as by Pietro Lorenzetti.

1942 Shoolman, Regina, and Charles E. Slatkin. *Enjoyment of Art in America: A Survey of the Permanent Collections of Paintings, Sculpture, Ceramics & Decorative Arts in American and Canadian Museums: Being an Introduction to the Masterpieces of Art from Prehistoric to Modern Times*. Philadelphia and New York, 1942: 284, pl. 253.

1945 *Paintings and Sculpture from the Kress Collection*. National Gallery of Art, Washington, 1945 (reprinted 1947, 1949): 20, repro., as by Pietro Lorenzetti.

1945 "The New Kress Gift to the National Gallery, Washington." *The Burlington Magazine for Connoisseurs* 86, no. 504 (1945): 56.

1951 Einstein, Lewis. *Looking at Italian Pictures in the National Gallery of Art*. Washington, 1951: 25 n. 1, as by Pietro Lorenzetti.

1951 Galetti, Ugo, and Ettore Camesasca. *Enciclopedia della pittura italiana*. 3 vols. Milan, 1951: 3:2482.

1951 Toesca, Pietro. *Il Trecento*. Storia dell'arte italiana, 2. Turin, 1951: 572 n. 92, 574 n. 93.

1953 Belli, Isa. *Guida di Lucca*. Lucca, 1953: 123-124.

1959 *Paintings and Sculpture from the Samuel H. Kress Collection*. National Gallery of Art, Washington, 1959: 29, repro., as by Pietro Lorenzetti.

1965 *Summary Catalogue of European Paintings and Sculpture*. National Gallery of Art, Washington, 1965: 77.

1966 Shapley, Fern Rusk. *Paintings from the Samuel H. Kress Collection: Italian Schools, XIII-XV Century*. London, 1966: 53-54, fig. 145.

1968 Berenson, Bernard. *Italian Pictures of the Renaissance. Central Italian and North Italian Schools*. 3 vols. London, 1968: 2:347, pl. 67.

1968 Bertolini Campetti, Licia, and Silvia Meloni Trkulja, eds. *Museo di Villa*

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

Guinigi, Lucca: la villa e le collezioni. Lucca, 1968: 142.

1968 *European Paintings and Sculpture, Illustrations*. National Gallery of Art, Washington, 1968: 68, repro.

1972 Fredericksen, Burton B., and Federico Zeri. *Census of Pre-Nineteenth Century Italian Paintings in North American Public Collections.* Cambridge, Mass., 1972: 133, 381, 646, 664.

1975 *European Paintings: An Illustrated Summary Catalogue*. National Gallery of Art, Washington, 1975: 200, repro.

1977 Torriti, Piero. *La Pinacoteca Nazionale di Siena. I Dipinti dal XII al XV secolo*. Genoa, 1977: 136.

1978 Bruno, Raffaele. *Roma: Pinacoteca Capitolina*. Bologna, 1978: 3.

1979 De Benedictis, Cristina. *La pittura senese 1330-1370*. Florence, 1979: 85, 86.

1979 Shapley, Fern Rusk. *Catalogue of the Italian Paintings*. National Gallery of Art. 2 vols. Washington, 1979: 1:270-271; 2:pl. 186.

1981 Carli, Enzo. *La pittura senese del Trecento*. 1st ed. Milan, 1981: 221

1982 *Il gotico a Siena: miniature, pitture, oreficerie, oggetti d'arte*. Exh. cat. Palazzo Pubblico, Siena. Florence, 1982: 250, 457.

1983 Baracchini, Clara, ed. *Il secolo di Castruccio: Fonti e documenti di storia lucchese*. Exh. cat. Chiesa Monumentale di S. Cristoforo. Lucca, 1983: 199.

1983 *L'Art gothique siennois: enluminure, peinture, orfèvrerie, sculpture*. Exh. cat. Musee du Petit Palais, Avignon. Florence, 1983: 242.

1983 Skaug, Erling S. "Punch Marks. What Are They Worth? Problems of Tuscan Workshop Interrelationships in the Mid-Fourteenth Century." In *La pittura nel XIV e XV secolo, il contributo dell'analisi tecnica alla storia dell'arte*. Edited by Hendrik W. van Os and J. R. J. van Asperen de Boer. Bologna, 1983: 263.

1985 *European Paintings: An Illustrated Catalogue*. National Gallery of Art, Washington, 1985: 233, repro.

1986 Beatson, Elisabeth H., Norman E. Muller, and Judith B. Steinhoff. "The St. Victor Altarpiece in Siena Cathedral: A Reconstruction." *The Art Bulletin* 68 (1986): 628, n. 91.

1986 Castelnuovo, Enrico, ed. *La Pittura in Italia. Il Duecento e il Trecento*. 2 vols. Essays by Antonio Caleca and Monica Leoncini. Milan, 1986: 1:254; 2:560.

1989 Neri, Enrica. "Bulgarini, Bartolomeo." In *Dizionario della pittura e dei pittori*. Edited by Enrico Castelnuovo and Bruno Toscano. 6 vols. Turin, 1989-1994: 1(1989):475.

1990 Boskovits, Miklós, and Serena Padovani. *The Thyssen-Bornemisza Collection: Early Italian Painting 1290-1470*. London, 1990: 36, 37 n.13.

1990 Torriti, Piero. *La Pinacoteca Nazionale di Siena: i dipinti*. 3rd ed. Genoa, 1990: 90.

1991 Baracchini, Clara. "Lucca. Scultura, Pittura e Miniatura." In *Enciclopedia*

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

dell'arte medievale. Edited by Istituto della Enciclopedia italiana. 12 vols. Rome, 1991-2002: 8(1997):21.

1991 Steinhoff, Judith B. *Bartolomeo Bulgarini and Sienese Painting of the Mid-Fourteenth Century*. 2 vols. Ph.D. dissertation, Princeton University, 1990. Ann Arbor, MI, 1991: 1:134, 135, 176, 192, 193, 215; 2:388-392.

1992 Labriola, Ada. "Bulgarini Bartolomeo." In *Allgemeines Künstlerlexikon: Die bildenden Künstler aller Zeiten und Völker*. Edited by Günter Meissner. 87+ vols. Munich and Leipzig, 1992+: 15(1997):109.

1993 Steinhoff, Judith B. "A Trecento Altarpiece Rediscovered: Bartolommeo Bulgarini's Polyptych for San Gimignano." *Zeitschrift für Kunstgeschichte* 56 (1993): 107, 110, 111.

1993 Tazartes, Maurizia. "Profilo della pittura lucchese del Trecento." *Ricerche di storia dell'arte* 50 (1993): 90-91.

1994 Skaug, Erling S. *Punch Marks from Giotto to Fra Angelico: Attribution, Chronology, and Workshop Relationships in Tuscan Panel Painting with Particular Consideration to Florence, c. 1330-1430*. 2 vols. Oslo, 1994: 1:250; 2:punch chart 7.12.

1996 Steinhoff, Judith B. "Bulgarini, Bartolomeo." In *The Dictionary of Art*. Edited by Jane Turner. 34 vols. New York and London, 1996: 5:164.

1998 Filieri, Maria Teresa, ed. *Sumptuosa tabula picta: pittori a Lucca tra gotico e rinascimento*. Exh. cat. Museo Nazionale di Villa Guinigi, Lucca. Livorno, 1998: 45.

2003 "Bulgarini, Bartolomeo." In *Dictionnaire de la peinture*. Edited by Michel Laclotte and Jean Pierre Cuzin. Paris, 2003: 122.

2004 Strehlke, Carl Brandon. *Italian Paintings, 1250-1450, in the John G. Johnson Collection and the Philadelphia Museum of Art*. Philadelphia, 2004: 83 n. 4.

2005 Mori, Francesco. "Un polittico di Bartolomeo Bulgarini per la chiesa domenicana di San Gimignano." In *Capolavori ritrovati in terra di Siena: itinerari d'autunno nei musei senesi*. Edited by Luciano Bellosi, Gabriele Fattorini, and Antonio Paolucci. Cinisello Balsamo (Milan), 2005: 86.

2007 De Floriani, Anna. "Pittura del Trecento fra Genova e Avignone: osservazioni in merito ad alcuni studi recenti e un'ipotesi ligure per il trittico di Angers." *Studi di storia dell'arte* 18 (2007): 41 n.15.

2007 Steinhoff, Judith. *Sienese Painting after the Black Death: Artistic Pluralism, Politics, and the New Art Market*. Cambridge, 2007: 49, 183-185, 189, figs. 77-79

2009 Bellosi, Luciano, ed. *La collezione Salini: dipinti, sculture e oreficerie dei secoli XII, XIII, XIV e XV*. 2 vols. Florence, 2009: 1:113.

2009 Boskovits, Miklós, ed. *The Alana Collection, Newark, Delaware, USA*. Vol. 1 (of 2), *Italian Paintings from the 13th to 15th Century*. Florence, 2009: 1:43, 46, 47 n. 13.

© National Gallery of Art, Washington

NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART ONLINE EDITIONS
Italian Thirteenth and Fourteenth Century Paintings

To cite: Miklós Boskovits (1935–2011), "Bartolomeo Bulgarini/*Saint Catherine of Alexandria*/c. 1335/1340," *Italian Thirteenth and Fourteenth Century Paintings*, NGA Online Editions, http://purl.org/nga/collection/artobject/12118 (accessed April 02, 2016).

© National Gallery of Art, Washington