



Johannes Vermeer
Dutch, 1632 - 1675

Girl with a Flute

probably 1665/1675

oil on panel

painted surface: 20 x 17.8 cm (7 7/8 x 7 in.)

framed: 39.7 x 37.5 x 5.1 cm (15 5/8 x 14 3/4 x 2 in.)

Widener Collection 1942.9.98

ENTRY

In 1906 Abraham Bredius, director of the Mauritshuis in The Hague, traveled to Brussels to examine a collection of drawings owned by the family of Jonkheer Jan de Grez.[1] There he discovered, hanging high on a wall, a small picture that he surmised might be by Vermeer of Delft. Bredius asked for permission to take down the painting, which he exclaimed to be “very beautiful.” He then asked if the painting could be exhibited at the Mauritshuis, which occurred during the summer of 1907. Bredius’ discovery was received with great acclaim. In 1911, after the death of Jonkheer Jan de Grez, the family sold the painting, and it soon entered the distinguished collection of August Janssen in Amsterdam. After this collector’s death in 1918, the painting was acquired by the Amsterdam art dealer Jacques Goudstikker, and then by M. Knoedler & Co., New York, which subsequently sold it to Joseph E. Widener. On March 1, 1923, the Paris art dealer René Gimpel recorded the transaction in his diary, commenting: “It’s truly one of the master’s most beautiful works.”[2]

Despite the enthusiastic reception that this painting received after its discovery in the first decade of the twentieth century, the attribution of this work has frequently been brought into question by later scholars.[3] Partially because of their wood supports and similarly small scale, and partially because of subject matter, *Girl with a Flute* and *Girl with the Red Hat* [fig. 1] have frequently been cited as companion pieces and accepted or rejected together. They may even have been considered companion pieces in the Dissius sale in Amsterdam in 1696.[4] Slight differences in the size of the panels, in the compositional arrangement of the figures, and in the quality of execution have led me to argue in previous publications that the paintings are not companion pieces and that the attribution of the *Girl with a Flute*

to Vermeer could not be maintained.[5] Subsequently, I have concluded that removing the *Girl with a Flute* from Vermeer's oeuvre was too extreme given the complex conservation issues surrounding this image.

A number of factors point to seventeenth-century origins for the *Girl with a Flute* and, indeed, relate the work intimately with Vermeer's other paintings. Technically, examination of the panel using Dendrochronology has determined a felling date in the early 1650s.[6] A paint sample taken from a yellow highlight on the girl's left sleeve, moreover, indicates the use of seventeenth-century pigments characteristic of Vermeer's paintings: natural ultramarine, azurite, and lead-tin yellow.[7] Stylistically, the jacket worn by the girl is comparable to jackets seen in other works from the late 1650s to the mid-1660s, for example, *Woman Holding a Balance* in the National Gallery of Art and *The Concert* in the Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum, Boston. Other artists, particularly Gerard ter Borch the Younger (Dutch, 1617 - 1681), Gabriel Metsu (Dutch, 1629 - 1667), and Frans van Mieris (Dutch, 1635 - 1681), also depict women in similar costumes.

One unusual aspect of the girl's wardrobe is the hat she wears. No exact equivalent exists in any other painting of the period, although similar wide-brimmed hats are found frequently in Dutch prints and drawings of working-class women.[8] This hat, however, has an oriental character that may relate to a vogue for oriental dress apparent in the latter decades of the seventeenth century.[9] Chinese hats were generally constructed of woven bamboo. This one appears to have been modified by the addition of a gray, white, and black fabric covering, presumably to enhance its appearance.[10] Indeed, this strange hat actually reinforces the argument that the origins of this painting are seventeenth-century. It would be extremely unlikely for an artist of a later period to include such a hat in a painting that purported to be a Vermeer.

The *Girl with a Flute* and the *Girl with the Red Hat* are so close in concept that one must assume that they were conceived at approximately the same time, most likely in the mid-to-late 1660s. In each painting the young woman looks toward the viewer with an expectant expression, her eyes alert, her mouth half open. Each wears an exotic hat, sits in a chair with lion finials, and leans on one arm. Behind each of them hangs a tapestry of which only a fragment is visible. In each picture, light entering from the sitter's left, an unusual feature in Vermeer paintings, strikes the girl's left cheek, nose, and chin.

The manner in which optical effects of color are exploited in the two works is also comparable and characteristic of Vermeer. In each painting the face is shaded with a thin green glaze pulled over the flesh tones, a technique Vermeer developed more extensively in his later works. Colored highlights are a distinctive characteristic of Vermeer's style, and in the *Girl with a Flute* the mouth is accented with a turquoise green highlight in a manner comparable to the pink highlight Vermeer applied to the mouth of the *Girl with the Red Hat*. The actual color of the highlight is similar to the green accent in the eye of the *Girl with the Red Hat*. Finally, the sunlit blue jackets worn by the two girls are similarly animated by numerous yellow highlights.

Despite the many stylistic and technical similarities between these paintings, significant differences in quality do exist. To begin with, the *Girl with a Flute* is a less successful composition. Whereas the pose of the girl in *Girl with the Red Hat*, as she turns and rests her arm over the back of her chair, subtly integrates suggestions of movement and stability, the frontal pose of the girl in *Girl with a Flute* is relatively flat and immobile. Her hat, left shoulder, and right hand are awkwardly cut by the edge of the panel.^[11] The flute she holds, which is actually a recorder, is curiously undefined and seems inaccurately rendered.^[12]

The handling of the paint in the *Girl with a Flute* is also less assured than in the *Girl with the Red Hat*. In particular, the integration of tones and color in the *Girl with a Flute* lacks the cohesiveness characteristic of Vermeer. Flesh tones in the girl's face are not modulated with the same degree of refinement. Transitions between the shadow of the eye and the sunlit cheek, between the shaded and unshaded portions of the chin, and the areas between the nose and mouth are rather abrupt.^[13] The thumbnail of the girl's ill-proportioned hand is indicated by a uniformly dense paint whereas during the mid-1660s Vermeer generally would accent only a portion of a nail with a light highlight. Finally, the uniformly thin necklace has none of the modulations of accent and tone that Vermeer delighted in rendering.

Comparisons of the lion finials in the *Girl with a Flute* [fig. 2] and the *Girl with the Red Hat* [fig. 3] also point out the relatively unrefined brushwork of the former. The lion finial in the *Girl with the Red Hat* is modeled wet-into-wet by subtle variations in the weight and thickness of the strokes, whereas the finial in the *Girl with a Flute* does not have the same degree of articulation. The essential vocabulary of thin diffused strokes superimposed by opaque highlights is the same, but the lines necessary to create a sense of volume and form are less successfully

integrated.[14]

Finally, although in both instances the girls' blue jackets are animated with diffused yellow highlights, in the *Girl with the Red Hat* the diffused highlights are grouped with a certain optical logic lacking in this work. To heighten the blue color on the shoulder of the *Girl with the Red Hat*, for example, Vermeer first highlighted the area with light blue strokes and then superimposed a sequence of yellow strokes over the blues. He painted the ridges of the highlighted folds with opaque yellow strokes. The jacket of the girl in *Girl with a Flute* is painted in a similar technique, but surety of the execution is lacking.

Despite such distinctions in quality it seems unadvisable to remove *Girl with a Flute* from Vermeer's oeuvre, since painting techniques are similar to those in the *Girl with a Red Hat* and other Vermeer's paintings. The soft modeling of the yellow highlights on girl's blue jacket, for example, is similar to the character of the blue and yellow edging on the yellow material that hangs from the turban in *The Girl with a Pearl Earring* from the mid-1660s (Mauritshuis, The Hague).[15] By the end of the 1660s, moreover, Vermeer began to create more abrupt transitions in his modeling that are not unrelated to the way in which the face in this painting is handled.

One complicating factor in trying to come to a determination about the attribution of this painting is that its surface is not in good condition (see Technical Summary). More important, however, the X-radiograph [fig. 4] [see X-radiography] and the infrared reflectogram [fig. 5] [see Infrared Reflectography] and indicate that the composition was reworked in the seventeenth century. The patterns of the collar folds on both shoulders were altered, the size of the left cuff reduced, and the contour of the right arm changed. Other alterations include the addition of the fur trim on the front of the jacket and a reduction in the size of the hat. Finally, the girl's finger that rests on the recorder was also apparently added, a change that may have occurred when the position of the flute was moved to the left. This change is evident in the reflectogram [fig. 5].

It appears that when the painting was reworked, the initial composition was still largely at the blocking-in stage.[16] The reworkings slightly altered the woman's pose by lowering her left shoulder and adjusting the position of the cuff. As a consequence, she no longer appears to lean to such a degree on her left arm. Although the reasons for the reworking of this painting are not known, they suggest that the painting was not brought to completion and that damages

subsequently occurred to the original design layer.[17] The x-radiograph reveals that quite defined losses exist under the white collar on the girl's left shoulder [fig. 4]. Other losses exist below her left eye, between her nose and mouth, and on her cuffs and right hand. Just why these losses occurred is not known. Perhaps some inherent problem of adhesion existed between the paint layers and the ground. This latter explanation might account for some of the peculiar alligator crackle pattern that occurs in the paint on the woman's cuff and in the thin blues of her jacket.

Technical evidence indicates that the alterations were made soon after Vermeer blocked in the initial composition since paint characteristics on the surface reflect those of the underlying layer. It is conceivable and, indeed, probable that the alterations were made by someone other than Vermeer, perhaps to prepare the work for sale after his death, even though the artist is not known to have had students or other close followers. Montias suggests that the revisions were the work of Jan Coelenbier (1600 or 1610-1677), who purchased paintings in 1676 from Catharina Bolnes soon after Vermeer's death.[18] As these paintings were to be auctioned the following year, Coelenbier may have tried to bring the work to completion to secure a higher price. Whether Montias' hypothesis proves true, the artist who reworked *Girl with a Flute* certainly knew Vermeer's paintings from the late 1660s and early 1670s, for he incorporated a number of stylistic features from this period of the artist's career.

The complex issues surrounding the attribution of this little painting can be summarized as follows: the general character, appearance, and even painting techniques found in the *Girl with a Flute* relate closely to Vermeer's work, specifically to the *Girl with the Red Hat*, but the quality of execution does not appear to be of the same high level expected from this master. While it seems that Vermeer initially blocked in the painting, which was executed in the mid-1660s, the image was extensively revised at a somewhat later date, probably by another hand. The unsatisfactory condition of the painting, largely as a result of Abrasion, is not only detrimental to the appearance of the image but also complicates any assessment of the work's attribution. It seems appropriate to indicate the uncertainty surrounding the work's attribution by designating it "Attributed to Vermeer."

 NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART ONLINE EDITIONS
Dutch Paintings of the Seventeenth Century

Arthur K. Wheelock Jr.

April 24, 2014

COMPARATIVE FIGURES



fig. 1 Johannes Vermeer, *Girl with the Red Hat*, c. 1665/1666, oil on panel, National Gallery of Art, Washington, Andrew W. Mellon Collection, 1937.1.53




fig. 2 Detail of lion-head finial, Attributed to Johannes Vermeer, *Girl with a Flute*, probably 1665/1675, oil on panel, National Gallery of Art, Washington, Widener Collection, 1942.9.98



fig. 3 Detail of lion-head finial, Johannes Vermeer, *Girl with the Red Hat*, c. 1665/1666, oil on panel, National Gallery of Art, Washington, Andrew W. Mellon Collection, 1937.1.53



fig. 4 X-radiograph composite, Attributed to Johannes Vermeer, *Girl with a Flute*, probably 1665/1675, oil on panel, National Gallery of Art, Washington, Widener Collection, 1942.9.98



fig. 5 Infrared reflectogram, Attributed to Johannes Vermeer, *Girl with a Flute*, probably 1665/1675, oil on panel, National Gallery of Art, Washington, Widener Collection, 1942.9.98

NOTES

- [1] This summary of the discovery of the painting and early reactions to it is taken from Ben Broos' account in the entry on the painting in Ben Broos and Arthur K. Wheelock Jr., *Johannes Vermeer* (Washington DC, and The Hague, 1995–1996), 207. See also Ben Broos, "Vermeer: Malice and Misconception," in *Vermeer Studies*, ed. Ivan Gaskell and Michiel Jonker (Washington, DC, 1998), 25.
- [2] René Gimpel, *Diary of an Art Dealer, 1918–1939*, trans. John Rosenberg (New York, 1987; first published in 1963), 216.
- [3] The attribution of this painting to Vermeer was first rejected by P. T. A. Swillens, *Johannes Vermeer: Painter of Delft, 1632–1675* (Utrecht, 1950), 64–65. Albert Blankert, Rob Ruurs, and Willem L. van de Watering, *Johannes Vermeer van Delft, 1632–1675* (Utrecht and Antwerp, 1975), 108–110, 168, considered the work to be a nineteenth-century imitation.

Blankert restated this view in Albert Blankert, *Museum Bredius: Catalogus van de schilderijen en tekeningen* (The Hague, 1978), 172, and again in Gilles Aillaud, Albert Blankert, and John Michael Montias, *Vermeer* (Paris, 1986), 200–201. A similar opinion is held by Yvonne Brentjens, “Twee meisjes van Vermeer in Washington,” *Tableau 7* (February 1985): 54–58. Arthur K. Wheelock, Jr., review of Albert Blankert, Rob Ruurs, and Willem L. van de Watering, *Johannes Vermeer van Delft, 1632–1675* (Utrecht and Antwerp, 1975), *Art Bulletin* 59 (September 1977): 439–441, argued for the seventeenth-century origin of the painting, placing the work in the circle of Vermeer. He expanded on this theory in Arthur K. Wheelock Jr., “Zur Technik zweier Bilder, die Vermeer zugeschrieben sind,” *Maltechnik-Restaur* 84 (1978): 242–257, and in Arthur K. Wheelock Jr., *Jan Vermeer* (New York, 1981), 156. John Michael Montias, *Vermeer and His Milieu: A Web of Social History* (Princeton, 1989), 265, note 2, proposed that “the painting was begun by Vermeer and finished after his death by an inferior painter, perhaps by Jan Coelenbier, who bought paintings from Vermeer’s widow soon after his death.” Walter Liedtke in Ben P. J. Broos et al., *Great Dutch Paintings from America* (The Hague and Zwolle, 1990), 43, on the other hand, defends the attribution to Vermeer. For his more recent support for the attribution to Vermeer, see Walter A. Liedtke, *Vermeer: The Complete Paintings* (Ghent, 2008), no. 25, 140–143. In the 1995 exhibition catalog *Johannes Vermeer*, organized by the National Gallery of Art, Washington, and the Mauritshuis, The Hague, the attribution of this painting as “Circle of Vermeer” reflected the divergent opinions of the National Gallery of Art and the Mauritshuis. Benjamin Binstock, *Vermeer’s Family Secrets: Genius, Discovery, and the Unknown Apprentice* (New York and London, 2009), 249–257, considers this painting and *Girl with a Red Hat* to be self-portraits painted by Vermeer’s daughter, Maria Vermeer.

- [4] The *Girl with a Flute* measures 20 by 17.8 centimeters; the *Girl with the Red Hat* measures 23.2 by 18.1 centimeters. In John Michael Montias, *Vermeer and His Milieu: A Web of Social History* (Princeton, 1989), 363–364, doc. 339, items 38, 39, and 40 are described, respectively, as “a *tronie* in antique dress, uncommonly artful”; “Another ditto Vermeer”; and “A pendant of the same.” The unusual costumes in the *Girl with the Red Hat* and the *Girl with a Flute* may well have been seen as depicting “antique dress” by the compiler of the catalog.
- [5] See Arthur K. Wheelock Jr., “Zur Technik zweier Bilder, die Vermeer zugeschrieben sind,” *Maltechnik-Restaur* 84 (1978): 242–257, and Arthur K. Wheelock Jr., *Jan Vermeer* (New York, 1981), 156, where the painting was designated “Circle of Vermeer.”
- [6] See Technical Summary for *Girl with a Flute*.
- [7] Hermann Kühn, “A Study of the Pigments and the Grounds Used by Jan Vermeer,” in *National Gallery of Art Report and Studies in the History of Art*,

vol. 2 (Washington, DC, 1968), 194. These pigments were prevalent in the seventeenth century but not at later dates. Natural ultramarine, one of Vermeer's favorite pigments, is produced from the semiprecious stone lapis lazuli. It was an expensive pigment, prized as much for its intrinsic value as for the luminosity of its blue hue. Around 1830 an artificial means of producing ultramarine was invented in France, which soon supplanted the more expensive natural ultramarine in artists' palettes. Azurite never disappeared as completely as did natural ultramarine from artists' palettes, but it is infrequently found after the seventeenth century. Lead-tin yellow, another pigment frequently found in Vermeer's paintings, was replaced by Naples yellow after the seventeenth century. It seems to have been unknown from the mid-eighteenth century until it was rediscovered in 1940.

- [8] A. M. Louise E. Mulder-Erkens, keeper of textiles, Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam, has suggested (letter of May 7, 1974, to A. B. de Vries, copy in NGA curatorial files) that the hat may have been intended to suggest some "archaic or exotic characteristics." She related it to hats seen on gypsies and shepherdesses in works by Abraham Bloemaert (1566–1651) and Karel van Mander (1548–1606). She also noted that artists often kept unusual headgear in their studios that could assist in giving chiaroscuro effects to the model's face. See Sturla J. Gudlaugsson, *Ikonographische Studien über die holländische Malerei und das Theater des 17. Jahrhunderts* (Berlin, 1938), 21. Similar wide-brimmed hats are found frequently in works by Rembrandt and his school. See Julius S. Held, *Rembrandt's "Aristotle" and Other Rembrandt Studies* (Princeton, 1969), 11–12.
- [9] See Seymour Slive, "A Family Portrait by Nicolaes Maes," *Fogg Art Museum Annual Report* (1957–1958): 32–39.
- [10] Thomas Lawton, formerly assistant director, Freer Gallery of Art, Washington, DC, has been most helpful in analyzing the nature of this hat.
- [11] There is no indication that the panel has been trimmed, as was first suggested by Wilhelm Martin, "La Jeune Fille à la Flute de Vermeer de Delft," *L'Arte Flamand e Hollandais* 8 (July 1907): 20–23, and Wilhelm Martin, "Jan Vermeer van Delft. Het Meisje met de Fluit," *Onze Kunst* 12 (1907): 20, who thought the painting to be a fragment. Not only is the back of the panel beveled along all four edges, but also the paint along the edges does not appear fractured in a way that would suggest it had been trimmed.
- [12] I am most grateful to Helen Hollis, formerly of the Division of Musical Instruments, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC, for her observations on the nature of musical instruments in Vermeer's oeuvre and on the specific character of the "flute" in this painting. Although its fipple mouthpiece is correctly indicated by the double highlight, the air hole below the mouthpiece is placed off-line. As seen in the recorder hanging on the

wall in a painting by Judith Leyster (Dutch, 1609 - 1660), it should lie on an axis with the upper lip of the mouthpiece (Nationalmuseum, Stockholm, inv. no. NM 1126). The finger holes seen below the girl's hand are turned even farther off this axis, although such a placement would be allowable if the recorder were composed of two sections.

- [13] These abrupt transitions between areas are accentuated in the X-radiograph of the *Girl with a Flute* [fig. 4].
- [14] Microscopic examination of the chair finial reveals that the surface is filled with small particles of foreign matter embedded in the paint. This foreign matter, whether it be dust, brush hairs, or wood splinters, is found throughout the paint. In only one other work by Vermeer have I noted similar foreign matter embedded in the paint: *The Guitar Player* (Iveagh Bequest, Kenwood, London, datable about 1672).
- [15] See inventory no. 670, c. 1665, from the Mauritshuis, The Hague.
- [16] The thinness of the execution on the figure's proper right shoulder and arm is probably indicative of the level to which the painting was initially brought.
- [17] It is unclear whether these damages occurred before or after the painting was reworked.
- [18] John Michael Montias, *Vermeer and His Milieu: A Web of Social History* (Princeton, 1989), 338, doc. 362.
-

TECHNICAL SUMMARY

The support is a single, vertically grained oak panel with beveled edges on the back. Dendrochronology gives a tree felling date in the early 1650s.[1] A thin, smooth, white chalk ground was applied overall, followed by a coarse-textured gray upper ground. A reddish brown painted sketch exists under most areas of the painting and is incorporated into the design in the tapestry.[2]

Full-bodied paint is applied thinly, forming a rough surface texture in lighter passages. In many areas, particularly in the proper left collar and cuff, a distinctive wrinkling, which disturbs the surface, seems to have been scraped down before the final paint layers were applied. Still-wet paint in the proper right cheek and chin was textured with a fingertip, then glazed translucently. The X-radiograph shows extensive design modifications: the proper left shoulder was lowered and the neck opening moved to the viewer's left; the collar on this side may have been damaged or scraped down before being reworked in a richer, creamy white. The earring was painted over the second collar. These adjustments preceded the completion of the

background tapestry. The proper left sleeve was longer, making the cuff closer to the wrist. Probably at the same time, the fur trim was added to the front of the jacket, covering the lower part of the neck opening. Infrared reflectography at 1.1 to 1.8 microns [3] shows that changes also were made to the shape of the hat and contour of the arm on the figure's proper right side.

The panel has a slight convex warp, a small check in the top edge at the right, and small gouges, rubs, and splinters on the back from nails and handling. The paint is rather abraded in several areas including the decoration of the hat, the sitter's proper left arm, and the girl's necklace. There are a number of small losses and areas of abrasion in the background and there is a large loss in the upper portion of the sitter's proper right collar. The painting was treated in 1995 to remove discolored varnish and inpainting. It had last been treated in 1933 by Louis de Wild.[4]

[1] Joseph Bauch and Peter Klein of the Universität Hamburg gave earliest possible felling dates of 1653 and 1651, respectively (see reports in NGA Conservation department files: Bauch, November 29, 1977; and Klein, September 29, 1987).

[2] Hermann Kühn, "A Study of the Pigments and the Grounds Used by Jan Vermeer," *Studies in the History of Art* (Washington, D.C., 1968), 194, analyzed the pigments. Robert L. Feller, Carnegie Mellon University, found chalk with perhaps a trace of yellow ocher in the ground (see report, dated July 12, 1974, in NGA Conservation department files).

[3] Infrared reflectography was performed with a Santa Barbara focal plane array InSb camera fitted with H and J astronomy filters.

[4] According to a memo dated February 2, 1968, in NGA Conservation files, Louis de Wild "retouch[ed], put down blisters, and revarnish[ed]."

PROVENANCE

Possibly Pieter Claesz van Ruijven [1624-1674], Delft; possibly by inheritance to his wife, Maria de Knuijt [d. 1681]; possibly by inheritance to her daughter, Magdalena van Ruijven [1655-1682], Delft; possibly by inheritance to her husband, Jacobus Abrahamsz. Dissius [1653-1695], Delft; [1] (sale, Amsterdam, 16 May 1696, probably

no. 39 or 40).^[2] possibly the van Son family; Jan Mahie van Boxtel en Liempde and his wife, Geertruida van Boxtel en Liempde [née van Son, d. 1876], 's-Hertogenbosch; purchased from the estate by their daughter, Jaqueline Gertrude Marie de Grez [Dowager de Grez, née Mahie van Boxtel en Liempde, d. 1917], Brussels, wife of Jonkheer Jan de Grez [1837-1910]; sold by 1911 to (Antiquar E. Jonas, Paris);^[3] sold 16 June 1911 to (Thos. Agnew & Sons, Ltd., London); half-share sold June 1911 to (M. Knoedler & Co., New York, and P. & D. Colnaghi, London); Agnew's half-share sold 21 November 1913 to (M. Knoedler & Co., New York);^[4] Knoedler's three-quarter share sold July 1915 to (P. & D. Colnaghi, London). August Janssen [1863-1918], Amsterdam, after August 1916;^[5] his estate; sold 1919 with the entire Janssen collection to (Jacques Goudstikker, Amsterdam);^[6] purchased jointly April 1921 by (M. Knoedler & Co., New York, and Frederick Muller & Co., Amsterdam);^[7] sold February 1923 to Joseph E. Widener; inheritance from Estate of Peter A. B. Widener by gift through power of appointment of Joseph E. Widener, Elkins Park, Pennsylvania, after purchase by funds of the Estate; gift 1942 to NGA.

[1] The 1683 inventory of goods accruing to Jacob Dissius after the death of his wife Magdalena van Ruijven lists twenty paintings by Vermeer. For the complete transactions between her husband Jacob Dissius and his father Abraham Dissius following her death, see John Michael Montias, *Vermeer and His Milieu: A Web of Social History*, Princeton, 1989, 246-257, 359-361, docs. 417, 420.

[2] For this sale see John Michael Montias, *Vermeer and His Milieu: A Web of Social History*, Princeton, 1989: 363-364, doc. 439. Item no. 38 in the sale is described as "a tronie in antique dress, uncommonly artful"; item no. 39 as "Another ditto Vermeer"; and item no. 40 as "A pendant of the same."

[3] The exact date when the painting was sold by the De Grez family is uncertain; it seems to be either 1911 or 1914. The 1911 date used in the Provenance comes from three sources. The first is a letter of 18 May 1995 from Melissa De Medeiros, librarian at M. Knoedler (in NGA curatorial files) that says "Under Knoedler #12403 we purchased a 1/2 share in the painting from Thos. Agnew & Sons in June 1911 and sold it in July 1915 to P&D Colnaghi, London." A follow-up letter of 31 May 1995 clarifies the fact that the half share purchased from Agnew's was actually split with Colnaghi. The second is a letter of 25 July 1995 from Fred Bancroft, director of Agnew's Inc., New York (in NGA curatorial files), that says: "According to our stock

books, the Vermeer was purchased from E. Jonas on June 16, 1911 and then sold outright to Knoedler on November 21, 1913. There seems to be no record of shares being sold to other dealers." The phrase "sold outright," however, hints that there may have been shares involved, as evidenced by Knoedler's records. The third is a letter of 30 May 1996 from Stephen Rudge, Colnaghi, London (in NGA curatorial files), indicating that, despite the lack of a stock book record, "there is an old record card that confirms both the purchase with Knoedler and the fact that we took it over in July 1915." From these records, it appears that the painting had left the De Grez family by 1911, since Agnew's purchased it from E. Jonas in June of that year.

However, correspondence in the NGA curatorial files from Dr. J. B.V. M.J. van de Mortel, a relation of the Dowager de Grez, consistently relates the story that his father, Henri van de Mortel, who was the Dowager's nephew and handled her affairs, sold the painting for her in 1914 to Antiquar Jonas in Paris (letters to David Finley of 31 October 1946 and to John Walker of 18 November 1946). Because it was his father who was handling the Dowager's affairs, it is possible that Dr. Van de Mortel had the year of the sale wrong. The dealers' records would imply so.

[4] At this point, it appears Knoedler held a three-quarter share and Colnaghi a one-quarter share in the painting.

[5] According to a letter of 30 May 1996 from Stephen Rudge, Colnaghi, London (in NGA curatorial files), the old record "card does mention that it was still present [with Colnaghi] in August 1916."

[6] "Janssen Paintings Sold in Holland," *The Milwaukee Journal* (3 August 1919): 10; Otto Hirschmann, "Die Sammlung August Janssen," *Der Cicerone* 12 (January 1920): 17-18.

[7] Letter of 18 May 1995 from Melissa De Medeiros, librarian at M. Knoedler (in NGA curatorial files). This letter also provides the date of sale to Joseph Widener.

EXHIBITION HISTORY

1907 Loan to display with permanent collection, Mauritshuis, The Hague, 1907.

1919 La Collection Goudstikker d'Amsterdam, Pulchri Studio, The Hague, 1919, no. 131, repro.

1995 Johannes Vermeer, National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C.; Royal Cabinet of Paintings Mauritshuis, The Hague, 1995-1996, no. 23, repro., as Young Girl with a Flute by Circle of Johannes Vermeer.

1998 A Collector's Cabinet, National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C., 1998, no. 62.

1999 Johannes Vermeer: The Art of Painting, National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C., 1999-2000, not in brochure.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1906 Bredius, Abraham. "Der 36. (oder 37.) Delfter Vermeer." *Kunstchronik* 18 (1906–1907): 385-386.
- 1907 Hofstede de Groot, Cornelis. *A Catalogue Raisonné of the Works of the Most Eminent Dutch Painters of the Seventeenth Century*. 8 vols. Translated by Edward G. Hawke. London, 1907-1927: 1(1907):591-592, no. 22d.
- 1907 Hofstede de Groot, Cornelis. *Jan Vermeer von Delft und Carel Fabritius*. Amsterdam, 1907: 32, 34, no. 42, repro.
- 1907 Martin, Wilhelm. "Jan Vermeer van Delft. Het Meisje met de Fluit." *Onze Kunst* 12 (July-December 1907): 20-24, repro.
- 1907 Martin, Wilhelm. "La Jeune Fille à la Flute de Vermeer de Delft." *L'Art Flamand & Hollandais* 8 (July 1907): 20-23, repro.
- 1910 Veth, Jan. "Gemälde von Johannes Vermeer in niederländischen Sammlungen." *Kunst und Künstler* 8 (November 1910): 102-117.
- 1911 Plietzsch, Eduard. *Vermeer van Delft*. Leipzig, 1911: 55, 78-79, 115, no. 13, repro.
- 1912 Dreyfous, Georges. *L'Oeuvre de Jan Vermeer de Delft*. Paris, 1912: 29.
- 1913 Hale, Philip L. *Jan Vermeer of Delft*. Boston, 1913: 244, 264-265, 373, repro.
- 1919 Bode, Wilhelm von. *Die Meister der holländischen und vlämischen Malerschulen*. 2nd ed. Leipzig, 1919: 79.
- 1919 Goudstikker, Jacques. *Catalogue de la collection Goudstikker d'Amsterdam*. Exh. cat. Pulchri Studio, The Hague. Haarlem, 1919: no.

- 131, repro.
- 1920 Hirschmann, Otto. "Die Sammlung August Janssen." *Der Cicerone* 12 (January 1920): 70, 73 fig. 13.
- 1921 Vanzype, Gustave. *Jan Vermeer de Delft*. Brussels and Paris, 1921: 72, repro.
- 1923 *Paintings in the Collection of Joseph Widener at Lynnewood Hall*. Intro. by Wilhelm R. Valentiner. Elkins Park, Pennsylvania, 1923: unpaginated, repro., as by Jan Vermeer.
- 1924 Hausenstein, Wilhelm. "Vermeer van Delft." *Das Bild Atlanten zur Kunst* 10 (1924): 27, fig. 33.
- 1925 Constable, W.G. "Review of Hausenstein 'Vermeer of Delft' (Das Bild Atlanten zur Kunst, 10. Munich, 1924)." *The Burlington Magazine* 47 (November 1925): 269.
- 1925 Cortissoz, Royal. *Personalities in Art*. New York and London, 1925: 47.
- 1925 Lavallée, Pierre. "Un Tableau Inconnu de Vermeer: La Jeune Femme au Chapeau Rouge." *La Revue de l'Art* 47 (1925): 323–324.
- 1925 Lloyd, David. "The Vermeers in America." *International Studio* 82 (November 1925): 124, repro. 127.
- 1927 Siple, Ella S. "Recent Acquisitions by American Collectors." *The Burlington Magazine* 51, no. 297 (1927): 303.
- 1929 Lucas, Edward Verrall. *Vermeer the Magical*. London, 1929: vii-viii.
- 1929 Wilenski, Reginald Howard. *An Introduction to Dutch Art*. New York, 1929: 271, 277, 284.
- 1931 *Paintings in the Collection of Joseph Widener at Lynnewood Hall*. Intro. by Wilhelm R. Valentiner. Elkins Park, Pennsylvania, 1931: 100, repro.
- 1932 Hind, Arthur M. *Rembrandt: Being the Substance of the Charles Eliot Norton Lectures Delivered before Harvard University 1930-1931*. Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1932: 91, repro.
- 1932 Valentiner, Wilhelm R. "Zum 300. Geburtstag Jan Vermeers, Oktober 1932: Vermeer und die Meister der Holländischen Genremalerei." *Pantheon* 5 (October 1932): 305-324.
- 1934 Fell, Herbert Granville. *Vermeer. Masterpieces in colour*. Edited by T.L. Hare. London and Edinburgh, 1934: 22, 67.
- 1935 Tietze, Hans. *Meisterwerke europäischer Malerei in Amerika*. Vienna, 1935: 189, 338, repro.
- 1937 Hale, Philip Leslie. *Vermeer*. Edited by Frederick W. Coburn and Ralph T. Hale. Boston and New York, 1937: 132, 143, pl. 28.
- 1938 Waldmann, Emil. "Die Sammlung Widener." *Pantheon* 22 (November 1938): repro. 334, 336, 341.
- 1939 Craven, Thomas A. *A Treasury of Art Masterpieces from the Renaissance to the Present Day*. New York, 1939: 317-319, color repro.
- 1939 Plietzsch, Eduard. *Vermeer van Delft*. Munich, 1939: 29, 63, no. 39, pl. 29.
- 1939 Tietze, Hans. *Masterpieces of European Painting in America*. New York,

- 1939: no. 189, repro.
- 1939 Vries, Ary Bob de. *Jan Vermeer van Delft*. Amsterdam, 1939: 48, 88-89, no. 28, pl. 52.
- 1940 Bodkin, Thomas. "Review of de Vries, *Jan Vermeer van Delft*, Amsterdam, 1939." *The Burlington Magazine* 77 (August 1940): 67-68.
- 1940 Goldscheider, Ludwig. *The Paintings of Jan Vermeer*. Oxford and New York, 1940: 7, 14, pl. 40.
- 1941 Comstock, Helen. "The Connoisseur in America." *The Connoisseur* 107 (May 1941): 165-170, repro.
- 1942 National Gallery of Art. *Works of art from the Widener collection*. Washington, 1942: 7.
- 1944 Cairns, Huntington, and John Walker, eds., *Masterpieces of Painting from the National Gallery of Art*. Translated. New York, 1944: 102, color repro.
- 1945 Vries, Ary Bob de. *Jan Vermeer van Delft*. Basel, 1945: 58, 117, no. 28, pl. 53.
- 1945 Wilenski, Reginald Howard. *Dutch Painting*. Revised ed. London, 1945: 178, 187.
- 1946 Blum, André. *Vermeer et Thoré-Burger*. Geneva, 1946: 30, 194.
- 1948 National Gallery of Art. *Paintings and Sculpture from the Widener Collection*. Washington, 1948: 64, repro.
- 1948 Vries, Ary Bob de. *Jan Vermeer van Delft*. Translated by Robert Allen. Revised ed. London and New York, 1948: 40, 89-90, pl. 21.
- 1949 Thienen, Frithjof van. *Jan Vermeer of Delft. Masters of Painting*. New York, 1949: 19, 23, no. 26, repro.
- 1950 Swillens, P. T. A. *Johannes Vermeer: Painter of Delft, 1632–1675*. Translated by C.M. Breuning-Williamson. Utrecht, 1950: 64-65, no. E. 1952.
- 1952 Craven, Thomas A. *A Treasury of Art Masterpieces from the Renaissance to the Present Day*. Rev. & enlarged ed. New York, 1952: 127, repro. 127, 159 color pl. 79.
- 1952 Gowing, Lawrence. *Vermeer*. London, 1952: 64, 145, repro., color repro.
- 1952 Malraux, André, ed. *Vermeer de Delft*. Paris, 1952: 94-96, no. xxvi, color repro.
- 1954 Bloch, Vitale. *Tutto la Pittura di Vermeer di Delft*. Milan, 1954: 35, pl. 57.
- 1956 Walker, John. *National Gallery of Art, Washington*. New York, 1956: 10, repro., as by Vermeer.
- 1957 Shapley, Fern Rusk. *Comparisons in Art: A Companion to the National Gallery of Art, Washington, DC*. London, 1957 (reprinted 1959): pl. 80.
- 1958 Goldscheider, Ludwig. *Jan Vermeer: The Paintings*. London, 1958: 137, no. 18, color pl. 61.
- 1959 National Gallery of Art. *Paintings and Sculpture from the Widener Collection*. Reprint. Washington, DC, 1959: 64, repro.
- 1960 *The National Gallery of Art and Its Collections*. Foreword by Perry B.

- Cott and notes by Otto Stelzer. National Gallery of Art, Washington (undated, 1960s): 26, as by Vermeer.
- 1961 Mirimonde, Albert P. de. "Les sujets musicaux chez Vermeer de Delft." *Gazette des Beaux-Arts* 57 (January 1961): 40 fig. 10, 42.
- 1961 Reitlinger, Gerald. *The Rise and Fall of Picture Prices 1760-1960*. (Vol. 1 of *The Economics of Taste*). London, 1961: 483-484.
- 1963 Bloch, Vitale. *All the Paintings of Jan Vermeer*. Translated by Michael Kitson. The Complete Library of World Art 15. New York, 1963: 35, pl. 57.
- 1963 Gimpel, René. *Journal d'un collectionneur, marchand de tableaux*. Paris, 1963: 228, 234, 415.
- 1963 Walker, John. *National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C.* New York, 1963 (reprinted 1964 in French, German, and Spanish): 188, repro.
- 1964 Seymour, Charles, Jr. "Dark Chamber and Light-Filled Room: Vermeer and the Camera Obscura." *Art Bulletin* 46, no. 3 (September, 1964): 323-331, repro.
- 1965 National Gallery of Art. *Summary Catalogue of European Paintings and Sculpture*. Washington, 1965: 135, as by Vermeer.
- 1966 Cairns, Huntington, and John Walker, eds. *A Pageant of Painting from the National Gallery of Art*. 2 vols. New York, 1966: 2: 258, color repro.
- 1966 Descargues, Pierre. *Vermeer*. Translated by James Emmons. Geneva, 1966: 132-133, color repro. 104.
- 1966 Emiliani, Andrea. *Vermeer (1632-1675)*. Milan, 1966: 9, repro.
- 1966 Rosenberg, Jakob, Seymour Slive, and Engelbert H. ter Kuile. *Dutch Art and Architecture: 1600-1800*. Pelican History of Art. Baltimore, 1966: 122, pl. 96a.
- 1967 Bianconi, Piero. *The Complete Paintings of Vermeer*. New York, 1967: 94, no. 31, repro., color repro. pl. 41.
- 1967 Koningsberger, Hans. *The World of Vermeer 1632-1675*. New York, 1967: 141-142, repro.
- 1968 Kühn, Hermann. "A Study of the Pigments and the Grounds Used by Jan Vermeer." *Report and Studies in the History of Art 2* (1968-1969):194, no. 20.
- 1968 National Gallery of Art. *European Paintings and Sculpture, Illustrations*. Washington, 1968:122, repro.
- 1970 Gowing, Lawrence. *Vermeer*. 2nd ed. New York, 1970: 146-147, repro.
- 1970 Walicki, Michal. *Jan Vermeer van Delft*. Dresden, 1970: 39-40, 125, fig. 57.
- 1973 Fahy, Everett, and Francis John Bagott Watson. *The Wrightsman Collection*. Vol. 5: Paintings, drawings, sculpture. New York, 1973: 313-314, repro.
- 1973 Mistler, Jean. *Vermeer*. Collection Le Peintre et l'Homme. Paris, 1973: 45-46, no. 28, color repro.
- 1973 Sonnenburg, Hubertus von. "Technical Comments." *Bulletin of the Metropolitan Museum of Art* 31, no. 4 (Summer 1973): unpaginated.

NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART ONLINE EDITIONS

Dutch Paintings of the Seventeenth Century

- 1974 Grimme, Ernst Günther. *Jan Vermeer van Delft*. Cologne, 1974: 61, no. 22, fig. 14.
- 1975 Blankert, Albert. *Johannes Vermeer van Delft, 1632-1675*. Utrecht, 1975: 108-110, 168, 203, repro.
- 1975 National Gallery of Art. *European paintings: An Illustrated Summary Catalogue*. Washington, 1975: 362, repro.
- 1976 Wright, Christopher. *Vermeer*. London, 1976: 47, repro. no. 21, 81.
- 1978 Blankert, Albert. *Vermeer of Delft: Complete Edition of the Paintings*. Oxford, 1978: 73-74, 172, cat. B.4, color repro.
- 1978 Young, Eric. "Review of Christopher Wright, Vermeer, 1976." *Apollo* 108 (October 1978): 282.
- 1981 Slatkes, Leonard J. *Vermeer and His Contemporaries*. New York, 1981: 97-98, repro.
- 1981 Wheelock, Arthur K., Jr. *Jan Vermeer*. New York, 1981: 45, 156, color pl. 47.
- 1984 Rosenberg, Jakob, Seymour Slive, and Engelbert H. ter Kuile. *Dutch Art and Architecture*. The Pelican History of Art. Revised ed. Harmondsworth, 1984: 122, pl. 96a.
- 1984 Walker, John. *National Gallery of Art, Washington*. Rev. ed. New York, 1984: 304, no. 401, color repro., as by Circle of Jan Vermeer.
- 1985 Brentjens, Yvonne. "Twee meisjes van Vermeer in Washington." *Tableau* 7 (February 1985): 54-58, repro.
- 1985 National Gallery of Art. *European Paintings: An Illustrated Catalogue*. Washington, 1985: 421, repro.
- 1986 Aillaud, Gilles, Albert Blankert, and John Michael Montias. *Vermeer*. Paris, 1986: 200-201, no. B4, repro.
- 1989 Montias, John Michael. *Vermeer and His Milieu: A Web of Social History*. Princeton, 1989: 265.
- 1990 Liedtke, Walter A. "Dutch Paintings in America: The Collectors and their Ideals." In *Great Dutch Paintings from America*. Edited by Ben P.J. Broos. Exh. cat. Royal Picture Gallery Mauritshuis, The Hague; Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco. The Hague and Zwolle, 1990: 51.
- 1993 Schneider, Norbert. *Jan Vermeer 1632-1675: Verhüllung der Gefühle*. Cologne, 1993: 95, no. 71, repro.
- 1995 Bailey, Martin. *Vermeer*. London, 1995: 89-90, repro.
- 1995 Wheelock, Arthur K., Jr., and Ben P. J. Broos. *Johannes Vermeer*. Edited by Arthur K. Wheelock, Jr. Exh. cat. National Gallery of Art, Washington; Royal Picture Gallery Mauritshuis, The Hague. Zwolle, 1995: no. 23, repro.
- 1995 Wheelock, Arthur K., Jr. *Dutch Paintings of the Seventeenth Century*. The Collections of the National Gallery of Art Systematic Catalogue. Washington, 1995: 387-393, color repro. 389.
- 1995 Wheelock, Arthur K., Jr. *Vermeer and the Art of Painting*. New Haven, 1995: no. A36, repro. 186.

NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART ONLINE EDITIONS

Dutch Paintings of the Seventeenth Century

- 1995 Wright, Christopher. *Vermeer: catalogue raisonné*. London, 1995: no. 22, 44-45, repro.
- 1996 Haks, Donald, and Marie Christine van der Sman. *Dutch society in the age of Vermeer*. Exh. cat. Haags Historisch Museum, The Hague. Zwolle, 1996: Appendix 123.
- 1996 Hertel, Christiane. *Vermeer: Reception and Interpretation*. Cambridge, 1996: 73-74, fig. 21.
- 1996 Hunter, Sam, and Melissa de Medeiros. *The Rise of the Art World in America: Knoedler at 150*. Exh. cat. M. Knoedler & Company, New York, 1996: 13.
- 1996 Larsen, Erik. *Jan Vermeer*. Translated by Tania Gargiulo. Biblioteca d'arte. Florence, 1996: no. A 8, 120-121, repro.
- 1996 Netta, Irene. *Das Phänomen Zeit bei Jan Vermeer van Delft: eine Analyse der innerbildlichen Zeitstrukturen seiner ein- und mehrfigurigen Interieurbilder*. Studien zur Kunstgeschichte 105. Hildesheim, 1996: fig. 18.
- 1997 Gowing, Lawrence. *Vermeer*. 3rd ed. London, 1997: no. 56, repro.
- 1997 Scholz, Georg. *Lyrische Bilder: Gedichte nach Gemälden von Jan Vermeer*. Munich, 1997: 52-53, repro.
- 1997 Wheelock, Arthur K., Jr. *Vermeer: The Complete Works*. New York, 1997: 50, fig. 21.
- 1998 Broos, Ben P. J. "Vermeer: Malice and Misconception." In *Vermeer Studies*. Edited by Ivan Gaskell and Michiel Jonker. *Studies in the History of Art* 55, Symposium Papers 33 (1998): 25.
- 1998 Wheelock, Arthur K., Jr. *A Collector's Cabinet*. Exh. cat. National Gallery of Art, Washington, 1998: 68, no. 62.
- 1999 Sweet, Christopher. *The Essential Johannes Vermeer*. New York, 1999: 111, repro.
- 2000 Wheelock, Arthur K., Jr. *The Public and the Private in the Age of Vermeer*. Exh. cat. Osaka Municipal Museum of Art. London, 2000: 203, no. 24, repro.
- 2001 Franits, Wayne E., ed. *The Cambridge companion to Vermeer*. Cambridge, England, and New York, 2001: pl. 36.
- 2001 Netta, Irene. *Vermeer's world: an artist and his town*. Pegasus Library. Munich and New York, 2001: 89, repro.
- 2002 Bailey, Anthony. *Vermeer*. Translated by Bettina Blumenberg. Berlin, 2002: 202-203, repro.
- 2005 Fahy, Everett, ed. *The Wrightsman Pictures*. New Haven, 2005: 134-135, under cat. 37, fig. 5.
- 2006 Conforti, Michael. *The Clark Brothers Collect: Impressionist and Early Modern Paintings*. Exh. cat. Sterling and Francine Clark Art Institute, Williamstown; Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York. Williamstown and New Haven, 2006: 48, 50, fig. 53.
- 2008 Dolnick, Edward. *The Forger's Spell: A True Story of Vermeer, Nazis,*

- and the Greatest Art Hoax of the Twentieth Century*. New York, 2008: 130-131, 142, 169.
- 2008 Liedtke, Walter A. *Vermeer: the complete paintings*. Ghent, 2008: no. 25, 140-142, color repro.
- 2008 Sutton, Peter C. *Reclaimed: paintings from the Collection of Jacques Goudstikker*. Exh. cat. Bruce Museum of Arts and Science, Greenwich, Connecticut; The Jewish Museum, New York. Greenwich and New Haven, 2008: 21, fig. 22.
- 2009 Binstock, Benjamin. *Vermeer's Family Secrets: Genius, Discovery, and the Unknown Apprentice*. New York, 2009: 249-257, repro. 253 (detail).
- 2012 Tummers, Anna. *The Eye of the Connoisseur: Authenticating Paintings by Rembrandt and His Contemporaries*. Amsterdam, 2012: 28, 29, color fig. 8.
- 2012 Wheelock, Arthur K., Jr., Walter A. Liedtke, and Sandrina Bandera Bistoletti. *Vermeer: il secolo d'oro dell'arte olandese*. Exh. cat. Scuderie del Quirinale, Rome. Milan, 2012: 36, 38 fig. 12.
- 2014 Krempel, León. "Allegorische Tronie-Paare bei Johannes Vermeer." in *Tronies: das Gesicht in der frühen Neuzeit*. Edited by Dagmar Hirschfelder and León Krempel. Berlin, 2014: 97-107, 123, color pl. 10, as "Synagogue."

To cite: Arthur K. Wheelock Jr., "Johannes Vermeer/*Girl with a Flute*/probably 1665/1675," *Dutch Paintings of the Seventeenth Century*, NGA Online Editions, <https://purl.org/nga/collection/artobject/1237> (accessed May 29, 2017).