



François Boucher
French, 1703 - 1770

Madame Bergeret

possibly 1766

oil on canvas

overall: 143.5 x 105.4 cm (56 1/2 x 41 1/2 in.)

framed: 172.4 x 134.3 cm (67 7/8 x 52 7/8 in.)

Samuel H. Kress Collection 1946.7.3

ENTRY

This magnificent portrait, first published in 1942 by Georges Wildenstein, [1] generally has been thought to represent Marguerite Joséphe Richard, the first wife of Pierre Jacques Onésyme Bergeret (later called Bergeret de Grancourt) (1715–1785), one of François Boucher’s most enthusiastic patrons and the first recorded owner of the painting. [2] It depicts a young woman, resplendent in a full-length gray satin gown, standing in an intimate corner of a garden. A bright bow is tied to her bodice, a spray of flowers is pinned to her sleeve, and a simple straw hat hangs at her side, held by a ribbon tied to her elbow. Her powdered hair, neatly pulled back, is adorned with more flowers; a delicate lace ruff highlights her neck, and her sole piece of jewelry is a pearl bracelet with a cameo portrait. Despite its splendor, her costume is meant to suggest the informal wear of a country girl or shepherdess. [3] The setting reinforces the countrified air of the sitter: she stands in a corner of an overgrown bower or garden, the rampant foliage providing shelter and shade. A wooden bench, visible at the left edge of the composition, is balanced at the right by a stone urn, on which the woman gently rests a hand, her fingers grasping a rose.

There seems little reason to doubt the traditional identification of the sitter as Madame Bergeret. As Colin Eisler astutely observed, the sitter's rustic, if elegant, costume should be understood as a witty pun on the woman's name, which is close to the French word for shepherdess, *bergère*. [4] There is also the provenance of the painting, which can be traced through Bergeret de Grancourt's heirs until it was sold to Wildenstein around 1920. An inventory of Bergeret de Grancourt's possessions, drawn up at his death in 1785, included several portraits of Madame Bergeret by or after Boucher and other artists. Wildenstein identified this painting as that listed under no. 22, recorded hanging in a small gallery just off the salon. As was customary for family portraits, the presence of the work was noted but not appraised. [5] Although the inventory did not specify which Madame Bergeret was represented (Bergeret had remarried twice after the death of his first wife), the date visible at the lower left of the painting, 1746, logically suggested to Wildenstein that the sitter had to be the first Madame Bergeret, Marguerite Josèphe Richard, whom Bergeret de Grancourt had married in 1741. [6] The portrait was inherited by their first son, Pierre Jacques Bergeret de Grancourt (1742–1807).

The identification and dating of the National Gallery of Art's portrait was accepted until 1986, when Alastair Laing raised doubts. [7] He pointed out that the portrait was not listed in an inventory dated 1751, compiled on the death of the purported sitter, [8] nor was she identified as *feu* (the late) in her husband's inventory of 1785, which suggests that the sitter was still alive. [9] This statement must have been an oversight, however, for the portrait could not have been of the third, still-living Madame Bergeret, Jeanne Vignier, since Bergeret had married her in 1777, seven years after Boucher's death (Bergeret's second wife, Louise Mélanie de Lévy, died in 1773). [10] Yet, as Laing noted, the date of 1746 inscribed on the portrait seems improbably early given Boucher's relationship with Bergeret, who did not become a major patron until the 1750s. [11] Moreover, a date of 1746 would make *Madame Bergeret* Boucher's first full-length portrait and thus a remarkably accomplished effort for an artist who was not wholly at ease in the genre. [12] Such an early date is all the more problematic given the close relationship of this portrait to several of Boucher's representations of his favorite sitter, the marquise de Pompadour.

Boucher painted some nine portraits of Jeanne Antoinette Poisson (1721–1764), marquise de Pompadour and mistress of Louis XV, in a variety of settings and attitudes. The portrait of Madame Bergeret relates to several of these in different ways. Its pose and glance—though not its outdoor setting—are similar to those in an oil sketch of Pompadour [fig. 1], which has been connected to a large finished

portrait (location unknown) commissioned in 1750. [13] In both paintings the distinctive doll-like presentations of the sitter are remarkably alike: her head perched on a delicate lace ruff, the corsage pinned to her shoulder, one hand grasping the folds of a voluminous dress and the other unconsciously feeling for an object (in the case of Pompadour, the keys of a harpsichord). [14] The garden setting, in contrast, closely resembles those appearing in two other portraits of Pompadour. The first is a small, finished portrait dated 1758, in the Victoria and Albert Museum [fig. 2], where the marquise is represented seated in an overgrown bower, looking up from her reading toward the left of the composition, much as Madame Bergeret glances out of her garden retreat; [15] and the second is a slightly larger 1759 portrait in the Wallace Collection, London [fig. 3], in which Pompadour appears in a similar though more elaborate costume standing in an equally sylvan setting, with abundant foliage surrounding her and roses strewn about. The green bench at the left edge of the second portrait is comparable to, if not an exact duplicate of, the one that appears in the National Gallery's painting. [16]


These portraits of the marquise de Pompadour, all painted in the 1750s and bearing similarities of pose and setting to the portrait of Madame Bergeret, present a problem to the traditional reading of the National Gallery's portrait. As Laing was the first to point out, although Boucher frequently reused figures and motifs in his compositions, it seems unlikely that he would have reemployed the pose, format, and setting of a portrait of Madame Bergeret, first created in 1746, for several representations of the king's mistress, Boucher's most important patron. Laing notes that it would have been doubly insulting to the marquise, since Madame Bergeret was the wife of a prominent financier, a class from which Pompadour had come but sought to distance herself. [17] One is left with the unlikely scenario that in 1746, years before his association with Boucher, Bergeret de Grancourt commissioned a portrait of his wife, which the artist, despite his complete inexperience in full-length portraiture at that time, accomplished with singular success; and furthermore, that he then adapted this design (still feeling it necessary to work out the composition in oil sketches [18]) to several portraits of the marquise de Pompadour.

The answer to this riddle likely lies in the date inscribed on the portrait. Again, it was Laing who first speculated that the date at the center left edge—1746—was spurious, an observation confirmed by technical analysis. [19] To judge from craquelure patterns and overpainting, it is clear that both the date and the

signature are later additions, probably strengthening an original inscription that had been damaged by a tear in the canvas. This leaves open the possibility that the painting was produced later than has generally been recognized, perhaps after the first portrait of Madame de Pompadour of 1750, now known only through oil sketches (see fig. 1). It might have been produced around 1751, shortly before the first Madame Bergeret died at the age of thirty, an age not at all incompatible with the young woman in the portrait.

Yet despite its clear relationship to the 1750 portrait of Pompadour, the National Gallery's portrait is closer still—in costume, garden setting, and handling of brushwork, particularly in the facture of the draperies and foliage—to the portraits of the marquise produced in the late 1750s (figs. 2, 3). Nor is its style inconsistent with even later works, leaving open the possibility that it could have been painted as late as the mid-1760s. [20] Assigning a date in the 1760s would solve several problems: during that decade, unlike the 1740s, Bergeret de Grancourt was actively patronizing Boucher, and this close relationship might have helped in convincing the painter to work in a genre that was not his custom. One might even specify a particular year, 1766, the date of Bergeret's marriage to his second wife, Louise Mélanie de Lévy (d. 1773). [21] It seems more than plausible that the current date visible on the portrait, 1746, was based on a misreading of a damaged authentic date of 1766 than one of 1750 or 1751. [22] As Laing first proposed, the prominent and exquisitely painted roses—symbols of love and popular in marriage portraits—suggest that the painting may have been commissioned on the occasion of the Bergerets' wedding in 1766. [23] With this hypothesis in mind, it is less surprising that Boucher would have adapted a pose used in his 1750 portrait of Madame de Pompadour—a composition for which, it should be noted, he needed to make two oil studies (see fig. 1)—for his portrayal of Madame Bergeret rather than the other way around. Pompadour died in 1764, so there would have been nothing to keep Boucher from reusing the pose and setting of his earlier portraits of her.

The identification of the sitter in the National Gallery's portrait as the second Madame Bergeret must remain speculative. No representations of her have been found with which to compare Boucher's painting (which very likely was somewhat idealized). A portrait of such scale, beauty, and intimacy suggests a woman who was well loved and provided for. Little is known about Bergeret's relationship with his second wife, although in 1770 (the year of Boucher's death) he fathered a daughter with the family governess, Jeanne Vignier, whom he would later marry. [24] If this portrait was indeed commissioned in celebration of Bergeret de

 **NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART ONLINE EDITIONS**
French Paintings of the Fifteenth through Eighteenth Centuries

Grancourt's second wedding, it was clearly a time when he still had eyes only for his wife.

This text was previously published in Philip Conisbee et al., *French Paintings of the Fifteenth through the Eighteenth Century*, The Collections of the National Gallery of Art Systematic Catalogue (Washington, DC, 2009), 33–38.

Collection data may have been updated since the publication of the print volume. Additional light adaptations have been made for the presentation of this text online.

Richard Rand

January 1, 2009

COMPARATIVE FIGURES



fig. 1 François Boucher, *Madame de Pompadour*, c. 1750, oil on canvas, Musée du Louvre, Paris, France. © RMN-Grand Palais / Art Resource, NY. Photo: Thierry Le Mage



fig. 2 François Boucher, *Madame de Pompadour*, 1758, oil on canvas, Victoria and Albert Museum, London, Great Britain. © Victoria and Albert Museum, London



© The Wallace Collection

fig. 3 François Boucher, *Madame de Pompadour*, 1759, oil on canvas, By kind permission of the Trustees of the Wallace Collection, London. © The Wallace Collection. Available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 (Unported) license.

NOTES

- [1] Georges Wildenstein, *François Boucher (1703–1770): Portrait of Mme. Bergeret* (New York, n.d. [1942]), 1–4, repro.
- [2] See Georges Wildenstein, “Un amateur de Boucher et de Fragonard: Jacques-Onésyme Bergeret, 1715–1785,” *Gazette des Beaux-Arts* ser. 6, 58 (July–Aug. 1961): 39–84; Eugène Darras, “La famille Bergeret de l’Isle-Adam et de Frouville (Seine-et-Oise),” *Mémoires de la Société historique et archéologique de l’arrondissement de Pontoise et du Vexin*, 1933, 79–81. See also *Fragonard et le voyage en Italie 1773–1774: les Bergeret, une famille de mécènes* (Paris, 2001), 14.

NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART ONLINE EDITIONS

French Paintings of the Fifteenth through Eighteenth Centuries

- [3] For similar costumes see Edward Maeder, ed., *An Elegant Art: Fashion and Fantasy in the Eighteenth Century* (New York, 1983), no. 14, pl. 16; no. 45, pl. 9.
- [4] Colin Eisler, *Paintings from the Samuel H. Kress Collection: European Schools Excluding Italian* (Oxford, 1977), 320.
- [5] “A l’égard du *portrait de Madame Bergeret* par Boucher, il n’en a été fait aucune prisee comme portrait de famille, pourquoy Mémoire” (Regarding the *portrait of Madame Bergeret* by Boucher, since it is a family portrait it is mentioned for posterity but not given any value). Georges Wildenstein “Un amateur de Boucher et de Fragonard: Jacques-Onésyme Bergeret, 1715–1785,” *Gazette des Beaux-Arts* ser. 6, 58 (July–Aug. 1961): 66.
- [6] Marguerite Josèphe Richard came from prominent families. Her grandfather was Louis de Boullongne (1654–1733), a prominent artist who had been named *première peintre du roi* in 1725; her stepfather was Jean Pierre Richard, *receveur-général* of finance and a lawyer to Louis XV. Moreover, her brother was the celebrated dilettante-priest and *amateur* Jean Claude Richard, abbé de Saint-Non (1727–1791), who would become an important patron of the arts himself (see Georges Wildenstein, *Chardin: catalogue raisonné*, rev. Daniel Wildenstein, trans. Stuart Gilbert [Oxford, 1969]). She would have been approximately twenty-six at the time Boucher supposedly painted her. She bore two sons and two daughters who did not survive childhood, and she died in 1751 (see Georges Wildenstein, *François Boucher (1703–1770): Portrait of Mme. Bergeret* [New York, n.d. (1942)], 2; Georges Wildenstein “Un amateur de Boucher et de Fragonard: Jacques-Onésyme Bergeret, 1715–1785,” *Gazette des Beaux-Arts* ser. 6, 58 [July–Aug. 1961]: 40, 43; Eugène Darras, “La famille Bergeret de l’Isle-Adam et de Frouville (Seine-et-Oise),” *Mémoires de la Société historique et archéologique de l’arrondissement de Pontoise et du Vexin*, 1933, 79, who does not mention the daughters). The inventory mentions five other portraits of Madame Bergeret by Boucher and other artists (nos. 12, 19, 22, 30, 40), but in only one case (no. 12, by an unnamed artist) is the sitter specifically identified as one of the three Bergeret wives (“première épouse dudit feu sieur Bergeret”). Probably it was so indicated in this case to avoid confusion with the third Madame Bergeret, in whose bedroom the portrait hung (Georges Wildenstein, “Un amateur de Boucher et de Fragonard: Jacques-Onésyme Bergeret, 1715–1785,” *Gazette des Beaux-Arts* ser. 6, 58 [July–Aug. 1961]: 62).
- [7] Alastair Laing, *François Boucher (1703–1770)* (New York, 1986), 229–233, no. 52.
- [8] For a description of this inventory, see Georges Wildenstein, “Un amateur de Boucher et de Fragonard: Jacques-Onésyme Bergeret, 1715–1785,” *Gazette des Beaux-Arts* ser. 6, 58 (July–Aug. 1961): 41, 42, and especially

43.

- [9] Alastair Laing, *François Boucher (1703–1770)* (New York, 1986), 231.
- [10] On Bergeret's three wives, see Eugène Darras, "La famille Bergeret de l'Isle-Adam et de Frouville (Seine-et-Oise)," *Mémoires de la Société historique et archéologique de l'arrondissement de Pontoise et du Vexin*, 1933, 79–81.
- [11] On this point, see Georges Wildenstein, "Un amateur de Boucher et de Fragonard: Jacques-Onésyme Bergeret, 1715–1785," *Gazette des Beaux-Arts* ser. 6, 58 (July–Aug. 1961): 43.
- [12] Although Boucher was an enthusiastic and successful painter of all genres (except still life), he was not a prolific portraitist. Alexandre Ananoff catalogues fewer than twenty, of which fifteen are of women, in an oeuvre that numbers more than seven hundred pictures (see Alexandre Ananoff with Daniel Wildenstein, *François Boucher*, 2 vols. [Lausanne and Paris, 1976]).
- [13] Uncatalogued by Alexandre Ananoff with Daniel Wildenstein, *François Boucher*, 2 vols. (Lausanne and Paris, 1976); for a full discussion, see Alastair Laing, *François Boucher (1703–1770)* (New York, 1986), no. 59; Wine, in *Madame de Pompadour et les arts* (Paris, 2002), 144–145, no. 25.
- [14] Another version of the sketch, also by Boucher (Waddesdon Manor, England, Rothschild Collection), depicts Pompadour, like Madame Bergeret, holding a straw hat in her right hand. Alexandre Ananoff with Daniel Wildenstein, *François Boucher* (Lausanne and Paris, 1976), 2: no. 520; Alastair Laing, *François Boucher (1703–1770)* (New York, 1986), fig. 170; Wine, in *Madame de Pompadour et les arts* (Paris, 2002), 146–147, no. 26. For recent synthetic accounts of Boucher's portraits of Pompadour, see Elise Goodman, *The Portraits of Madame de Pompadour: Celebrating the Femme Savante* (Berkeley and London, 2000); Colin Jones, *Madame de Pompadour: Images of a Mistress* (London, 2002).
- [15] Alexandre Ananoff with Daniel Wildenstein, *François Boucher* (Lausanne and Paris, 1976), 2: no. 496.
- [16] Alexandre Ananoff with Daniel Wildenstein, *François Boucher* (Lausanne and Paris, 1976), 2: no. 522.
- [17] Alastair Laing, *François Boucher (1703–1770)* (New York, 1986), 232–233. Laing raised the possibility that the National Gallery's portrait could represent the young marquise de Pompadour. He speculated that one of its owners, Barbe Françoise Victoire Poisson de la Chabeaussière Cotillon de Torcy, may have been related to Pompadour's descendant, the Poisson de Malvoisin. Furthermore, a small version—perhaps a copy—of the portrait (formerly Paris, Baronne Alexandrine de Rothschild Collection; photograph in NGA curatorial files) was long identified as a portrait of Pompadour. Given the lack of resemblance between *Madame Bergeret* and known portraits of

NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART ONLINE EDITIONS

French Paintings of the Fifteenth through Eighteenth Centuries

the king's mistress, this seems highly unlikely, and Laing no longer considers it a possibility (letter to the author, April 20, 1997).

- [18] Colin Eisler, *Paintings from the Samuel H. Kress Collection: European Schools Excluding Italian* (Oxford, 1977), 320 n. 4, noted a drawing (Stockholm, Nationalmuseum, NM Anck. 64) that he considered a copy after a possible study for the painting of Madame Bergeret. This drawing and its original, however, have been connected with Boucher's tapestry cartoons for *The Noble Pastoral*, painted in 1748 (Per Bjurström, *French Drawings: Eighteenth Century* [Stockholm, 1982], no. 865).
- [19] Alastair Laing, *François Boucher (1703–1770)* (New York, 1986), 232–233. An examination summary dated November 16, 1990, in NGA conservation files, concludes that “the signature and the date are later additions; they have been painted over an old tear in the fabric support.” This report confirms an earlier analysis by Sarah Fisher (memo, April 22, 1987): “It could be seen under the microscope that paint strokes in the ‘7’ and the ‘4’ of the 1746 go over crackle, suggesting that they were painted after the crackle had formed, and therefore are not original.”
- [20] See, for example, *Shepherd and Shepherdess Reposing (The School of Love)*, 1761 (London, Wallace Collection; Alexandre Ananoff with Daniel Wildenstein, *François Boucher* [Lausanne and Paris, 1976], 2: no. 543), where there is a similar treatment of the draperies, the straw hat, and the flowers; or the treatment of the background tree and the handling of the roses in *Le bouton de rose* (Alexandre Ananoff with Daniel Wildenstein, *François Boucher* [Lausanne and Paris, 1976], 2: no. 602), signed and dated 1765.
- [21] The daughter of Michel Jacques de Lévy, grand bailli d'épée du comté de Dourdan and trésorier-payeur des gages des officiers de la Chambre des Comptes de Paris; they were married on February 4, 1766 (see Eugène Darras, “La famille Bergeret de l'Isle-Adam et de Frouville (Seine-et-Oise),” *Mémoires de la Société historique et archéologique de l'arrondissement de Pontoise et du Vexin*, 1933, 80; Georges Wildenstein, “Un amateur de Boucher et de Fragonard: Jacques-Onésyme Bergeret, 1715–1785,” *Gazette des Beaux-Arts* ser. 6, 58 [July–Aug. 1961], 46).
- [22] Careful inspection of the date shows that the “7” and the “4” are painted over old craquelure, whereas the “1” and the “6” are not, leaving open the possibility that a restorer misread an original inscription of “1766” as “1746” (see note 19).
- [23] Alastair Laing, *François Boucher (1703–1770)* (New York, 1986), 233.
- [24] Shortly after the death of his second wife in 1773, Bergeret left for an extended voyage to Italy, taking Jeanne with him, along with the painter Jean-Honoré Fragonard and his wife (see Eugène Darras, “La famille Bergeret de l'Isle-Adam et de Frouville (Seine-et-Oise),” *Mémoires de la*

NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART ONLINE EDITIONS

French Paintings of the Fifteenth through Eighteenth Centuries

Société historique et archéologique de l'arrondissement de Pontoise et du Vexin, 1933, 80–81); they married in August 1777.

PROVENANCE

Pierre Jacques Onésyme Bergeret de Grancourt [1715-1785], husband of the sitter, Paris; by inheritance to their elder son, Pierre Jacques Bergeret de Grancourt [1742-1807], Cassan; by inheritance to his stepson (the son of his second wife, Catherine Julie Xavier Poisson de la Chabeaussière, by her first marriage), Ange Philibert de la Girennerie, Cassan; by inheritance to his aunt (a sister of his mother), Barbe Françoise Victoire Poisson de la Chabeaussière Cotillon de Torcy; by inheritance to her daughter, Françoise Julie Cotillon de Torcy Le Bos de Sainte Croix; by inheritance to her daughter, Angélique Le Bos de Sainte Croix, comtesse Fontaine de Resbecq; by inheritance to the Resbecq family; sold by 1920 to (Wildenstein & Co., Paris, New York, and London); sold 1942 to the Samuel H. Kress Foundation, New York; [1] gift 1946 to NGA.

[1] For further clarification, see the discussion by Alastair Laing in *François Boucher (1703-1770)*, exh. cat., The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York; Detroit Institute of Arts; Galeries nationales du Grand Palais, Paris; New York, 1986: 229-233.

EXHIBITION HISTORY

1946 Recent Additions to the Kress Collection, National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C., 1946, no. 768.

1973 François Boucher in North American Collections: One Hundred Drawings, National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C.; Art Institute of Chicago, 1973-1974, unnumbered brochure for Washington venue (shown only in Washington).

1982 François Boucher, Tokyo Metropolitan Art Museum; Kumamoto Prefectural Museum of Art, 1982, no. 41, color repro.

1986 François Boucher: 1703-1770, The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York; Detroit Institute of Arts; Galeries Nationales du Grand Palais, Paris, 1986-1987, no. 52, repro., as Portrait of Mme Bergeret (?).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1942 Wildenstein, George. *François Boucher (1703-1770): Portrait of Mme. Bergeret*. New York, [1942]: 1-4.
- 1944 Cairns, Huntington, and John Walker, eds. *Masterpieces of Painting from the National Gallery of Art*. New York, 1944: 116, color repro.
- 1944 Frankfurter, Alfred M. *The Kress Collection in the National Gallery*. New York, 1944: 78, color repro. no. 81.
- 1944 "Kress Makes Important Donation of French Painting to the Nation." *Art Digest* 18, no. 19 (1 August 1944): 5.
- 1944 "One of the Greatest Donations of XVIIIth Century French Painting Ever Received by a Museum-The Kress Collection." *The Illustrated London News* 115, no. 2992 (26 August 1944): 249, repro.
- 1944 "The Almanac: French Paintings Given to the National Gallery." *The Magazine Antiques* 46, no. 5 (November 1944): 288, repro.
- 1945 *Paintings and Sculpture from the Kress Collection*. National Gallery of Art, Washington, 1945 (reprinted 1947, 1949): 164, repro.
- 1948 Wildenstein and Company. *French XVIII Century Paintings*. New York, 1948: 4.
- 1956 Einstein, Lewis. "Looking at French Eighteenth Century Pictures in Washington." *Gazette des Beaux Arts* 67, no. 1048-1049 (May-June 1956): 227-228, repro. 225.
- 1957 Shapley, Fern Rusk. *Comparisons in Art: A Companion to the National Gallery of Art, Washington, DC*. London, 1957 (reprinted 1959): 34, pl. 95.
- 1959 *Paintings and Sculpture from the Samuel H. Kress Collection*. National Gallery of Art, Washington, 1959: 363, repro.
- 1960 *The National Gallery of Art and Its Collections*. Foreword by Perry B. Cott and notes by Otto Stelzer. National Gallery of Art, Washington (undated, 1960s): 6.
- 1961 Walker, John, Guy Emerson, and Charles Seymour. *Art Treasures for America: An Anthology of Paintings and Sculpture in the Samuel H. Kress Collection*. London, 1961: 185, color repro. no. 176.
- 1961 Wildenstein, Georges. "Un amateur de Boucher et de Fragonard: Jacques-Onésyme Bergeret (1715-1785)." *Gazette des Beaux-Arts*. 6th ser., 58, no. 1110-1111 (July-August 1961): 40, 43, 46, 54, repro. 42.
- 1963 Walker, John. *National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C.* New York, 1963 (reprinted 1964 in French, German, and Spanish): 317, repro.
- 1965 Cooke, H. Lester. *Galeria Nacional de Washington*. Translated by Maria Teresa de la Cruz. Madrid, 1965: 298, color repro. 71.
- 1965 *Summary Catalogue of European Paintings and Sculpture*. National Gallery of Art, Washington, 1965: 18.
- 1966 Cairns, Huntington, and John Walker, eds. *A Pageant of Painting from*

NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART ONLINE EDITIONS

French Paintings of the Fifteenth through Eighteenth Centuries

- the National Gallery of Art*. 2 vols. New York, 1966: 2:312, color repro.
- 1968 National Gallery of Art. *European Paintings and Sculpture, Illustrations*. Washington, 1968: 10, repro.
- 1971 Slatkin, Regina Shoolman. "Portraits of François Boucher." *Apollo* 94, n.s. no. 116 (October 1971): 286.
- 1975 Walker, John. *National Gallery of Art, Washington*. New York, 1975: 336, no. 449, color repro.
- 1976 Ananoff, Alexandre. "François Boucher et l'Amérique." *L'Oeil* 251 (June 1976): 23.
- 1976 Ananoff, Alexandre, with Daniel Wildenstein. *François Boucher*. 2 vols. Lausanne and Paris, 1976: 1:410, no. 301, repro.
- 1977 Eisler, Colin. *Paintings from the Samuel H. Kress Collection: European Schools Excluding Italian*. Oxford, 1977: 319-320, fig. 284.
- 1979 Watson, Ross. *The National Gallery of Art, Washington*. New York, 1979: 85, pl. 73.
- 1980 Ananoff, Alexandre, with Daniel Wildenstein. *L'opera completa di Boucher*. Milan, 1980: no. 312, repro. p. 110, color pl. XXVIII.
- 1984 Walker, John. *National Gallery of Art, Washington*. Rev. ed. New York, 1984: 334, no. 445, color repro.
- 1985 *European Paintings: An Illustrated Catalogue*. National Gallery of Art, Washington, 1985: 58, repro.
- 1986 Brunel, Georges. *Boucher*. New York, 1986: 241-243, fig. 199, pl. XXVIII.
- 1992 *National Gallery of Art, Washington*. National Gallery of Art, Washington, 1992: 169, repro.
- 2005 *The Arts of France from François Ier to Napoléon Ier. A Centennial Celebration of Wildenstein's Presence in New York*. Exh. cat. Wildenstein & Co., Inc., New York, 2005: 56, fig. 40, 72 (not in the exhibition).
- 2009 Conisbee, Philip, et al. *French Paintings of the Fifteenth through the Eighteenth Century*. The Collections of the National Gallery of Art Systematic Catalogue. Washington, D.C., 2009: no. 6, 33-38, color repro.
- 2014 Muchembled, Robert. *Mysterieuse Madame de Pompadour*. Paris, 2014: 374-380, fig. 3, 530 n. 64.
-

To cite: Richard Rand, "François Boucher/Madame Bergeret/possibly 1766," *French Paintings of the Fifteenth through Eighteenth Centuries*, NGA Online Editions, <https://purl.org/nga/collection/artobject/32681> (accessed June 15, 2021).