Ivan Albright executed this painting sometime between January and April 1929, when he took an extended working vacation to Laguna Beach, California. At the time he was suffering from severe back pain that he mistakenly believed was symptomatic of a fatal illness. He had just completed what he feared would be his last work, *Heavy the Oar to Him Who is Tired, Heavy the Coat, Heavy the Sea* (1929, The Art Institute of Chicago). During this period of uncertainty Albright began to produce the subjects for which he is best remembered today: dark and disturbing full-length representations of bedraggled men and women whose physical flaws are painstakingly delineated in a hyperrealist manner. These imperfect and unflattering images often engendered public controversy. *Woman* (1928, Museum of Modern Art, New York), for instance, offended viewers when it was exhibited at the Toledo Museum of Art, Ohio, in July 1929. [1] And although the imagery of *There Were No Flowers Tonight* is less extreme than many of Albright’s other works, it also shocked many visitors when it was first shown at the Art Institute of Chicago in 1931.

Albright’s model for this work was Lady Frances Curie Milburne, a niece of the Duke of Northumberland, who was in Laguna Beach acting in amateur theatrical productions. The Art Institute of Chicago’s press bulletin noted Albright’s odd, singular approach: “The modeling of this young woman is remarkable. There is a sculptural quality about the painting that gives the arms, bosom and legs a genuine third dimensional quality. But there is something peculiar and individual about the
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painting which arrests the visitor at once. It is the color. There is only one painter in
the United States using that strange color and that meticulous technique.” [2]
Eleanor Jewett of the Chicago Tribune described its subject as “gray, puffed like a
pneumatic tire, with legs that astonish and a bosom that affrights the observer.” [3]
A Philadelphia critic had previously remarked that the painting was “low in tone
and somewhat depressing in its effect.” [4]

Albright presents the aging ballerina in a moment of introspection after a
performance. Pressed up against the picture plane and filling the composition, she
leans forward to remove her left ballet slipper and seems to intrude into the
viewer’s space. A small sketch of the painting in one of Albright’s notebooks [fig. 1]
shows the figure placed within a cube, suggesting that he wanted to define her
form with a strong sense of volume. [5] The woman gazes absently in the general
direction of a bouquet made, as the work’s title stresses, not of flowers but of oak
leaves (a symbol of faith and endurance in adversity) that rests on the floor in the
left foreground. Her distinctly tattered appearance is far removed from the lithe,
athletic figure one normally associates with a ballerina, and indicates that she is
past her prime. Emerging in stark relief from the dark background, the woman’s
physical imperfections are depicted with unrelenting detail in a range of peculiar,
rather sickly colors. [6] Repelled by the painting, a critic reported that Albright’s
“pictures of women give me the gooseflesh; they look like a horrible satire on the
female species, painted by a bitter misanthrope.” [7]

In accordance with his habit of composing titles that encourage viewers to imagine
a narrative and contemplate the philosophical meaning of his unconventional
subjects, Albright first titled the Gallery’s painting Midnight before changing it to
There Were No Flowers Tonight. The painting’s original title suggested a fading
performer whose day in the limelight was ending. There Were No Flowers Tonight
similarly indicates that the older dancer no longer receives accolades or bouquets
of flowers for her performances. She is instead left to consider the imminent
demise of her career and, by extension, her own mortality.

There Were No Flowers Tonight dates from Albright’s critical formative period in
the late 1920s and marks an important point in the development of his magic realist
style. [8] Beauty and decay would continue to fascinate the artist for the remainder
of his career, as seen in works such as the modern vanitas subject Into the World
There Came a Soul Called Ida [fig. 2] and, later and perhaps most famously, in the
painting he created for the 1945 Oscar-winning film adaptation of Oscar Wilde’s
The Picture of Dorian Gray (1943/44, Art Institute of Chicago). When There Were
No Flowers Tonight was exhibited in the landmark exhibition American Realists and Magic Realists at the Museum of Modern Art in 1943, Albright observed, “I have painted . . . women whose torrid flesh folds resembled corrugated mush, lemons and imitation fur, purple glazed leaves that exuded a funeral odor . . . calla lilies that drooped from their overload of paraffin. . . . But all things, whether a bluebottle fly or red flying hair, have had their points and counterpoints.” [9]
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fig. 1 Ivan Albright, Sketch for There Were No Flowers Tonight, Ivan Albright Archive, Notebook 7, Jan. 1929, 25, The Ryerson and Burnham Libraries, The Art Institute of Chicago

fig. 2 Ivan Albright, Into the World There Came a Soul Called Ida, 1929–1930, oil on canvas, The Art Institute of Chicago, 1977.34
NOTES

[1] The unknown author of “Did Its Beauty Cause Toledo to Ban This?” Art Digest 20 (Sept. 1929): 1, pointed out that Woman was not banished because of its modernism, and noted that the artist wondered “if the citizens of Toledo found fault with his model’s peculiar and individual style of beauty.” When it was exhibited at the Art Institute of Chicago in 1928, Albright’s The Lineman (1927, The Art Institute of Chicago) had been criticized as representing an “uncouth, down at the heel booze-fighter”; see “A Storm,” Art Digest 2, no. 18 (July 1928): 1, 23.


[6] Teresa Carbone, in her essay “Body Language: Liberation and Restraint in Twenties Figuration,” in Youth and Beauty: Art of the American Twenties, ed. Teresa A. Carbone (Brooklyn, 2011), 92, discusses the painting’s strange color: “an image of an aging and unattractive dancer undressing after her performance . . . showing Albright’s emulation of the Spanish baroque painter El Greco in his use of an odd, off-key palette and harsh, flickering modeling. Thoroughly mannerist in feeling, the color suggests ill health, from the purples and greens that tinge the flesh to the turquoise glow that appears to emanate from the heavily muscular arms and legs. The blackness of the shadows completes the effect, enclosing the figure and conveying an emotional weight as oppressive as the exhaustion embodied in its form.”


[8] Michael Croydon, Ivan Albright (New York, 1978), 46, unaccountably objected that “the scale and composition are atypically clumsy; and the figure in spite of its convincing drawing posture, fails to compensate for these structural weaknesses.”

TECHNICAL SUMMARY

The medium-weight, plain-weave fabric support is unlined and remains mounted on its original stretcher with its original tacking margins. The pre-primed fabric was coated with a commercially prepared, warm, yellowish, off-white ground. The painting was executed prior to being stretched, evidenced by original paint covering all of the tacking margins except at the top. Examination of the painting in infrared found some fine outline drawing in the area of the subject’s right eye. The artist began by blending pasty applications of light and dark paint over a well-defined drawn outline. He then used glazelike applications in transparent, acid-colored tones to accentuate the modeling. The x-radiographs show a change of position in the top of the sitter’s head. Other than some minor areas of retouching in the upper left corner and in the background above the back of the dancer, the painting is in very good condition. The surface is coated with a glossy layer of natural resin varnish that has become discolored.

TECHNICAL NOTES

[1] It is possible the fabric was hung with tape for the painting process as there are two rectangular, unpainted areas at the top of both the left and right tacking margins.

[2] Examination was done with the Mitsubishi M600 platinum silicide camera in the region of 1.5 to 2 cm.

PROVENANCE


[1] The artist showed the painting in exhibitions through 1947, and the painting was lent by the Fleischmans to exhibitions between 1960 and 1965.
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