The splendidly dressed youth in this three-quarter-length portrait looks out assuredly at the viewer. With a commanding gesture, he rests his right hand on a baton before him while he turns to his left and places his near hand over the hilt of a gold-topped rapier. His buff-colored doublet, richly brocaded with gold and silver threads, has split sleeves that reveal a white blouse with large, pleated cuffs. His breastplate is crossed by a blue ribbon that lies under his flat, white collar and tassel. The broadly painted brown rock cliff behind him and the distant landscape vista to the left provide a neutral background for this elegant figure.

The identities both of the sitter and of the artist who painted him have been the subject of much speculation in the literature. [1] Descamps, the first to mention the painting while it was in the possession of Count Heinrich von Brühl (1700–1763) in Dresden, identified the work as a portrait of Willem II by Adriaen Hanneman. [2] Smith cataloged it in 1831 as a portrait by Sir Anthony van Dyck (Flemish, 1599 - 1641), and most, although not all, subsequent writers followed suit. [3] Just prior to the sale of the painting from the Hermitage in 1930 the attribution issues were so intense that, as Walker recounts, large amounts of money were paid to ensure that scholarly authorities upheld the Van Dyck attribution. [4] Neither the attribution to Van Dyck nor the identification of the sitter as Willem II, Prince of Orange, however, can be supported. As Toynbee has pointed out, other depictions of Willem II are quite different from the youth represented in this portrait. [5] Moreover, while this youth wears the blue sash of the Order of the Garter, Willem II was only made a Knight of the Garter on March 2, 1644, at the age of nineteen. [6] Since the sitter in this painting seems to be about twelve or thirteen years of age, he cannot represent this prince. An alternative suggestion that he represents Prince Willem III
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of Orange (1650–1702) is also unlikely. Judging from the sitter’s hairstyle and
costume, this portrait was painted in the early 1650s. Although Willem III received
the garter in April 1653, he was only two years old at that time. [7]

Toynbee was the first writer to properly identify the sitter as Henry, Duke of
Gloucester (1640–1660), on the basis of an inscription on a bust-length copy after
this painting in the collection of the Earl Fitzwilliam at Wentworth Woodhouse (see
the 1995 catalog entry PDF for this comparative image). [8] Staring suggested that
Henry, Duke of Gloucester was painted at the time of Henry’s investiture as Knight
in the Order of the Garter, which took place in The Hague on May 4, 1653. Henry
had been appointed to the Order by a decree of his brother Charles, the exiled
Prince of Wales, on April 25, 1653. At the time, Henry, the third son of Charles I and
Queen Henrietta Maria, was twelve years old. He had been a virtual prisoner of the
English Parliament from the age of eighteen months until he was given permission
to sail to the Netherlands at the end of 1652. The artist called upon to represent
this distinguished member of the exiled Stuart family was the most important
portrait painter in The Hague at that time—Adriaen Hanneman, a personal favorite
of Henry’s sister, Maria Henriette Stuart, Princess of Orange. [9]

The attribution disputes that have occurred over this painting are understandable.
Not only is the quality extremely high but the elegance of the pose and setting are
typical of Van Dyck’s English period. Hanneman, who studied with Van Dyck in
England and followed his style after returning to the Netherlands, became the most
fashionable portraitist of the English and Dutch aristocracy in The Hague around
mid-century. Close stylistic comparisons may be made with other of Hanneman’s
portraits from this period. The portrait of Johan de Witt, 1652 (Museum Boijmans
Van Beuningen, Rotterdam), [10] is painted with the same smooth brushwork in the
face and attention to detail in the fabrics. Both portraits also exhibit a curious
idiosyncrasy of Hanneman’s style: a slight halo effect around the head that comes
from applying the darker background tones over the light brown-gray ground only
after painting the head from life.

The elegant manner in which Hanneman portrayed Henry, Duke of Gloucester, is
more Van Dyckian than is usual for this artist. The pose and bearing specifically
refer back to Van Dyck’s last known portrait of the future Charles II, painted in 1641
clothes, was etched by Wenceslaus Hollar in 1649 for Van Dyck’s Iconographie.
[12] This three-quarter-length image of Charles may have been known to
Hanneman when he painted a portrait of Charles II in 1649, and it certainly formed
the prototype for the Washington painting. [13] In all probability the iconographic continuity for the pose chosen for Henry, Duke of Gloucester was a political as well as a pictorial decision. The Stuart court was at this time in exile and trying desperately to maintain its integrity in the hope of an eventual restoration of the monarchy. In 1653 the future Charles II was living in Paris, but a large contingent of the Stuart court was in The Hague being cared for by Henry’s aunt Elizabeth, the exiled Queen of Bohemia, who was the sister of both Charles I of England and Maria Henriette Stuart, Princess of Orange. The family probably desired a style and pose consistent with Van Dyck’s official portraits of Henry’s older brother, Charles II, to stress the continuity of the Stuart dynasty.

Henry’s stay in The Hague after his investiture in April 1653 was comparatively short, for his mother, Queen Henrietta Maria, requested that he join her in Paris. At the Restoration he accompanied Charles II back to England, where they landed on May 27, 1660. Unfortunately, he contracted smallpox shortly thereafter and died in London on September 13, 1660.

Arthur K. Wheelock Jr.
April 24, 2014

NOTES


TECHNICAL SUMMARY

The original support, a medium-weight, plain-weave fabric, was lined with the tacking margin cropped, but the original dimensions retained. Paint has been applied fluidly over a smooth, moderately thick white ground layer. Dark sketchy glazes were employed to create shadows and broad outlines of forms, and small lumps of impasto were applied to the brocade and highlights. A gap between the background paint and the hair reveals a lighter underpaint layer and creates a halo effect around the head.

X-radiographs reveal minor adjustments by the artist to the folds of the white cuffs. Moderate abrasion and flake losses are found overall, and glazes have been thinned around the collar and hands. Losses exist along all edges, but they are

[7] William III was invested in the following May and was installed by dispensation in 1661; Margaret R. Toynbee and Leo van Puyvelde, “Van Dyck and the Amsterdam Double Portrait (an Exchange of Letters),” *Burlington Magazine* 83, no. 487 (October 1943): 257–258.

[8] The inscription reads “Henry Duke of Glocster 3d sonn to Kg Charles ye 1st.” Margaret R. Toynbee, “Adriaen Hanneman and the English Court in Exile,” *Burlington Magazine* 92, no. 564 (March 1950): 76. This inscription was probably added to the portrait between 1689 and 1702, during the reign of Willem III. Similar inscriptions on several other portraits from the same collection are written with the same yellow paint and in the same handwriting, one of which refers “William” as the present king of England. For the accuracy of the inscription, see Adolf Staring, “Willem II of Ill of wie?” *Oud-Holland* 71, no. 3 (1956): 158–161.


more extensive on the top and bottom. The painting was lined in 1931, and treated again in 1996 to remove discolored varnish and inpainting. During the 1996 treatment, extensive pinpoint inpainting was applied in the sky as well as in the figure’s tunic and hair.

PROVENANCE

Count Heinrich von Brühl [1700-1763], Dresden; his heirs, until 1769; Catherine II, empress of Russia [1729-1796], Saint Petersburg; Imperial Hermitage Gallery, Saint Petersburg; purchased November 1930, as a painting by Sir Anthony van Dyck, through (Matthiesen Gallery, Berlin; P. & D. Colnaghi & Co., London; and M. Knoedler & Co., New York) by Andrew W. Mellon, Pittsburgh and Washington, D.C.; deeded 30 March 1932 to The A.W. Mellon Educational and Charitable Trust, Pittsburgh; gift 1937 to NGA.
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