Notes
1. For a list of these artists, see Rosenberg, in New York, Detroit, and Paris 1986–1987, 51.
4. For a good analysis of this painting, see Laing, in New York, Detroit, and Paris 1986–1987, 267–271, no. 64.
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The Love Letter
1750

oil on canvas, 81.2 × 75.2 (31 1/16 × 29 3/8)
Timken Collection

Inscriptions
Upper right on lintel beneath lion: f. Boucher / 1750

Distinguishing Marks and Labels
Formerly on reverse of plywood backing: two rectangular labels, “EXHIBITION OF FRENCH ART / ROYAL ACADEMY OF ARTS / LONDON JAN TO FEB 1932 / JACQUES CHENUÉ, / Packer of Works of Art. / 10, GREAT ST ANDREW STREET, / LONDON, W.C.1”;
in blue chalk, “90”;
torn paper label, “…674…”;
torn oval label, “Chenue / Emballeur…”;
stamp into the wood, “Tachet Brevete A Paris”;
rectangular label, “#68 / Date / Artist Boucher / Subject Les Deux Confidentes [sic] / Owner’s name W. R. Timken / Address ___________”; two NGA labels

Technical Notes: The support is a medium-weight, plain-weave fabric. The painting has been lined, and the tacking margins have been removed. Although the painting was intended to be viewed as a shaped composition, the original stretcher was not oval. The fabric was stretched as a rectangle from its inception. It is interesting to note that the pendant The Interrupted Sleep, in the Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York (fig. 1), is painted on a similar fabric, and the shapes of the two compositions mimic each other precisely. At some point the corners were painted to extend the composition and turn it into a rectangular format. The ground layer is smooth, thick, and white. The relative density of materials in the X-radiographs suggests that the corners of the painting were prepared with a thinner layer or perhaps no ground at all, presumably because these areas were not intended to be painted. Air-path X-ray fluorescence showed some differences in the range of pigments used to paint the corners compared to those used to paint the main section, further indicating that the paint on the corners is a later addition. The paint was applied in opaque layers with some thin, transparent glazes. There is application of wet-over-dry as well as wet-into-wet paint. Only in areas of more thickly applied white paint is there evidence of low impasto. Unlike The Interrupted Sleep, there are few pentimenti. The Love Letter also differs in technique from its pendant in that reserves were left for the figures, and the artist gave far more attention to details.

The painting is in good condition. There are a few scattered losses and some traction crackle. It was treated most recently in 1990 when it was removed from a plywood backing board, which had an impressed stamp on the back that read, “Tachet Brevete A Paris.” The painting was probably adhered to this panel in the early 1860s, because a newspaper clipping that referred to “le president Lincoln”
Cat. 2. François Boucher, *The Love Letter*
was found between the laminates of the plywood. The painting was certainly attached to the plywood before 1872, when it was so described in the Péreire sale (Paris, March 6–9, 1872, no. 57). Also during the 1990 treatment, a discolored varnish was removed, and the painting was relined. Though the losses and traction crackle were inpainted, the spandrels were left with the old restoration untouched. The varnish and inpainting applied at that time have not discolored.

Provenance: Painted for Jeanne Antoinette Poisson, marquise de Pompadour [1721–1764], and installed in the chambre doré on the first floor of the Château de Bellevue, outside Paris; removed c. 1757; recorded 1764 in the vestibule of the ground floor of the Hôtel d’Evreux, Pompadour’s Parisian residence; by inheritance to her brother, Abel François Poisson, marquis de Ménars et de Marigny [1727–1781], Château de Ménars, Paris; (his estate sale, at his residence by Basan and Joullain, Paris, March 18–April 6, 1782 [postponed from late February], no. 17); (sale, Hôtel des Commissaires-Priseurs, Paris, March 14–15, 1842, no. 15); (anonymous sale [“Provenant du Cabinet de M. X***”], Hôtel Drouot, Paris, April 26, 1861, no. 2); Emile [1800–1875] and Isaac [1806–1880] Péreire, Paris; (Péreire sale, at their residence by Pillet and Petit, Paris, March 6–9, 1872, no. 57, as Le Mouton chéri or Le messager); purchased by Somnier, possibly for Frédéric-Alexis-Louis Pillet-Will, comte Pillet [1837–1911], Paris; (Wildenstein & Co., Inc., Paris, New York, and London); sold to William R. Timken [1866–1949], New York, by 1932; by inheritance to his widow, Lillian Guyer Timken [1881–1959], New York.

the inscription on Jean Ouvrier’s (1725–1754) engraving of 1761 after The Love Letter, The Two Confidantes (fig. 3). When the two paintings were exhibited at the Salon of 1753, they were described as overdoors for Pompadour’s residence at Bellevue outside Paris. They are no doubt identical to the works described in situ by Antoine Nicolas Dezallier d’Argenville (1723–1796) in his Voyage pittoresque des environs de Paris, published in 1755: “The little room that follows the bedroom of Her Majesty is entirely paneled. The moldings are carved with garlands of flowers, which have been naturalistically painted; and in the middle of the panels are cartouches where we see various childhood activities. There are two pastorals, by M. Boucher, over the doors.”

Although d’Argenville’s account of the paintings is vague (even if he took care to relate the details of the room’s decoration), we can be confident that they are the canvases now in Washington and New York based on descriptions made when they were exhibited in Paris and on measurements recorded later. As their dates indicate, the pictures were produced in 1750, but their inclusion at the Salon of 1753 presupposes that they were not installed at Bellevue until sometime after the exhibition closed. While the château was dedicated in November 1750, work on the interior continued until 1754. Examination of the surfaces of the canvases suggests that the compositions were framed as ovals in boiseries. In any event, the paintings
did not remain for long in the paneling of Bellevue. They very likely were removed around 1757, when the château was ceded to the king’s daughters and much of its contents were emptied. They are next recorded in 1764 in the vestibule on the ground floor of the Hôtel d’Èvreux (now the Palais de l’Élysée), Pompadour’s Parisian residence. An inventory of the marquise’s effects drawn up in 1764 following her death described them in that location, along with other paintings from Bellevue. Eighteen years later they resurfaced in the sale of the marquis de Ménars et de Marigny (1727–1781), Pompadour’s brother, who had inherited the bulk of her estate. The National Gallery of Art’s painting is described in sufficient detail that we can be certain of the identification: “Two young women are seated on the grass, attaching a letter to the neck of a dove. They are surrounded by a number of sheep and a dog in front of a pleasing and picturesque landscape.”

Pompadour’s enthusiasm for Boucher is well established, and Bellevue was the setting for several of his most impressive productions. Besides the overdoors described here, Boucher painted two scintillating pictures of Venus for the appartement des bains, The Toilet of Venus (New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art) and The Bath of Venus (cat. 3); what is probably the artist’s most celebrated religious picture, the so-called Lumière du monde (Lyon, Musée des Beaux-Arts), for the chapel; and the pendant masterpieces The Rising of the Sun and The Setting of the Sun (London, Wallace Collection), woven at Beauvais. Thus in the mid-1750s visitors to Bellevue could enjoy an extraordinary survey of Boucher’s art in several genres, including mythologies, a devotional painting, and the category most closely identified with his hand, the pastoral.

The latter genre was perhaps most amenable to the function of Bellevue, with its striking site overlooking the Seine and its luxuriant and intimate gardens. According to Pompadour, “It’s a delightful site for the view, and the house, while not very large, is accommodating and charming, and not without a sort of magnificence.” The château, the only residence built for the marquise from the ground up, had been designed as a retreat for her and the king, although soon after its completion, their relationship had changed from carnal to platonic. At Bellevue in 1751 Madame de Pompadour played the role of the male lead, Colin, in a production of Jean François Marmontel’s (1723–1799) pastoral operetta Le Devin du village. The influence of the literary pastoral was not lost on commentators who admired The Love Letter and The Interrupted Sleep at the Salon of 1753. The abbé Leblanc noted that Boucher had virtually invented the pastoral subject in painting, just as Fontenelle had brought new life to pastoral imagery in literature: “The Eclogues of M. de Fontenelle have enriched our pastorals with a new kind of shepherd, notable for the gallantry and delicacy of their sentiments. Those that M. Boucher has introduced into painting join all the merits of the former with a precious simplicity and naïveté that are not always those of M. de Fontenelle.”

In the 1740s and 1750s Boucher was one of the most prolific painters of pastoral decorations, and his overdoor panels were often treated in pairs or series intended to represent allegories such as the Times of Day or the Four Seasons. The Washington and New York canvases represent an innovative solution to relating decorative paintings, for here Boucher
sought to create a narrative link between them, fanciful though it may be, centered on the developing love of a shepherd and a shepherdess. As the Goncourts observed, “Rustic life at [Boucher’s] touch became an ingenious romance of nature.”

In The Interrupted Sleep the youth teases the object of his affections as he tries to win her over; in The Love Letter we see the later stage of a relationship, where a young woman confides in her friend, who encourages her to send what is undoubtedly a love letter. This “narrative,” such as it is, is understated, for we cannot even be sure if we are meant to believe it is the same shepherdess in each painting; her clothes have changed and she is accompanied by a different dog. Boucher continued this strategy in later works, such as the pastoral paintings made for Madame Geoffrin and exhibited at the Salon of 1765. By then, however, he had tired the patience of his critics, who grew increasingly frustrated with his candy-box representations of a dreamlike peasant life. When he painted the present canvases in 1750, however, Boucher still could be credited with offering something new, even if the subject of the pastoral could be traced to artists of an earlier generation, such as Nicolas Lancret and Antoine Watteau.

In its review of the 1753 Salon, for example, the Mercure de France noted that “M. Boucher has continued to delight us by the grace and charm of his compositions… in the overdoors made for Bellevue.” Others expressed similar sentiments: “His two pieces characterize best the author’s lively and cheerful imagination, filled throughout with wit and charm. He has created a genre that is suitable to himself, and we are obliged to admit that he has succeeded admirably at it.”

Boucher’s two compositions must have been popular, for numerous copies are recorded, and the composition of The Love Letter inspired a host of lesser artists and decorators, appearing as an oval tapestry, as decoration on snuffboxes, and in gouaches by Boucher’s son-in-law Pierre Antoine Baudouin (1723–1769). Boucher himself—or, more likely, his studio assistants—painted a more upright version, en camaieu rose, supposedly for Madame de Pompadour’s apartments at Versailles (fig. 4). In a more profound way, these small pictures sparked the imagination of Boucher’s greatest pupil, Jean Honoré Fragonard (1732–1806, cats. 29–41), who employed the older artist’s strategy in a far more ambitious project, painted for Pompadour’s successor as royal mistress, Madame du Barry (1743–1791): the celebrated Progress of Love cycle (New York, Frick Collection), in which again a series of amorous episodes link a group of decorative pictures.

Notes
1. The X-ray fluorescence analysis was conducted by the n.g.a. scientific research department, July 12, 1990.
2. Ananoff 1976a, 2166, no. 364, lists the painting as being in the collection of comte Pillet-Will "c. 1906" (his name is more correctly comte Pillet, although the surname was Pillet-Will). However, the comte purchased other paintings at the Péreire sale, including Jean Honoré Fragonard’s A Game of Horse and Rider (cat. 38), so it is possible he purchased this Boucher through Sommier at the same time.
3. The Timkens lent the painting to a 1932 exhibition in London. Correspondence in the Duveen Brothers Records indicates that the Timkens were considering, reluctantly, selling the painting in 1937 (Research Library, Getty Research Institute, Los Angeles, acc. no. 960015, reel 235, box 180, folder 4; copies in n.g.a curatorial files).
4. The stone lion—which appears in other pastorals by Boucher, such as The Enjoyable Lesson (Ananoff 1976a, 2: no. 311), exhibited at the Salons of 1748 and 1750—is based on the pair of antique sculptures at the base of the Capitoline Steps in Rome; see Hoff 1995, 22.
5. Ananoff 1976a, 2: no. 363. The pendants remained together until they were dispersed at the sale of the marquis de Ménars and Marigny’s collection in 1782.
6. Ananoff 1966, no. 261, fig. 48, publishes a drawing by Boucher formerly in the collection of Princess Mathilde, showing a similar subject, but indoors.
7. The painting survives only in mutilated condition. The main sections are in the J. Paul Getty Museum, Los Angeles, and the section corresponding to The Love Letter is in a private collection; see Ananoff 1976a, 2: nos. 321, 324. For a full discussion of these paintings, see Bordeaux 1976, 87, repro.
8. See Block 1931, fig. 8.
10. No. 181 in the Salon livret: “Deux pastorales dessus de Porte, du Château de Belle-Vue, sous le même no” (Two pastorals, overdoors from the Château de Bellevue, under the same number).
11. “Le petit cabinet qui suit la chambre à coucher de Sa Majesté, est entièrement boisé. Les moulures de ses lambris sont relevées par des guirlandes de fleurs peintes au naturel; et dans les milieux des panneaux, des cartouches font voir divers exercices
de l’âge tendre. Sur les portes il y a deux pastorales, de M. Boucher.” Dezallier d’Argenville 1755, 29.

12. For example, Père Laugier's review of the Salon describes the National Gallery painting in this way: “Dans l’autre, une Bergère reçoit de sa Campagne un Cigne qui porte une lettre liée à un ruban; elle le reçoit d’un air inquiet & rêveur” (In the other, a shepherdess in the countryside receives a swan that carries a letter tied by a ribbon; she receives it with a worried and dreamy expression.) Quoted in Anonymous 1753, 29 – 30.

13. Kimball 1943, 195; Biver 1923, 57, who notes that the room, just off the king’s bedroom, was known as the chambre dorée.

14. See Technical Notes; The Interrupted Sleep is still framed as an oval.

15. See Tadgell 1978, 155 – 157. The fact that they were no longer in situ at Bellevue is confirmed by later editions of d’Argenville’s Voyage pittoresque and by the inscription on Ouvrier’s engraving of 1761 (“‘Tiré du Cabinet de Madame la Marquise de Pompadour’” [see Jean-Richard 1978]), which implies that by that date they had already been made into easel pictures.

16. “Dans le vestibule au rez-de-chaussée: . . .” No. 79. . . . Deux autres tableaux du même maître, peints en mil sept cent cinquante, représentants des pastorales; pris neuf cens livres” (In the vestibule on the ground floor: . . . No. 79. . . . Two other pictures by the same master, painted in 1750, representing pastorals; value 900 pounds [livres]). Cordey 1939, 90.

17. “Deux jeunes filles assises sur un gazon, attachant une lettre au col d’une colombe. Elles sont entourées de plusieurs moutons et d’un chien, sur un fond de paysage agréable et pittoresque.” The entry goes on to note the engraving by Ouvrier and the dimensions of the picture (2 1/2 ft. high by 27 in. wide in eighteenth-century measurements); see Basan and Joullain 1782, 316, no. 17; the Metropolitan Museum of Art's picture is fully described under no. 13.

18. See Gallet-Guerne 1985, 132 – 136; Versailles, Munich, and London 2002 – 2003, 99 – 116. Boucher, of course, was not the only painter to decorate Bellevue. Among the significant works by other artists were two landscapes by Claude Joseph Vernet (1714 – 1789, cat. 92), acquired by Pompadour’s brother, the marquis de Marigny, during his trip to Italy in 1749 – 1753, and important decorations by Carle Van Loo (1705 – 1765), including a set of four overdoors representing Alle- gories of the Arts (1752 – 1753, Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco), painted for the Salon de Compagnie (see Rosenberg and Stewart 1987, 292 – 306); and a suite of exotic subjects painted for the chambre à la turque (for an assessment of this room's political significance, see Stein 1994).


21. Ananoff 1976a, nos. 422 – 423. While the tapestries were intended for Bellevue, evidence suggests that the paintings were also displayed in the château; see Ingamells 1985 – 1992, 3 (1989): 68 – 78.


27. For example, the Four Seasons (New York, Frick Collection), painted in 1755 for Madame de Pompadour. Ananoff 1976a, 2: nos. 454 – 457.


31. For example, the subject of The Interrupted Sleep probably was inspired by a similar treatment by Lancret, known as La Taquine (The Teaser), in which the woman teases the man; see Wildenstein 1924, nos. 135 – 136.

32. “M. Boucher a continué de raver par les graces et les agréments de sa composition . . . dans les dessus de portes faits pour Bellevue.” Caylus 1753, 3.


34. For the tapestry, see Ananoff 1976a, 218, fig. 928; the dog appears on a snuffbox in the Wrightsman Collection, New York (Watson and Dauterman 1966 – 1973, 140 – 143); one of the Baudouins is in the Musée du Louvre, Paris (Schlichting Collection); another, on ivory, is in the Jones Collection, Victoria and Albert Museum, London (see Brackett 1922 – 1924, 2:68, no. 588, repro., who lists other copies).