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Adriaen van Ostade

1646/1648
Oil on canvas, 94 x 75 (37 x 29½")
Andrew W. Mellon Collection

Technical Notes: The support, a fine-weight, plain-weave fabric, has been lined with the tacking margins trimmed. Cupping indicates no change in dimensions. Lining has reinforced the impression of the canvas texture in the paint surface. The smooth, white ground layer is visible through the transparent background paint, appearing light brown to the eye.

Paint is applied freely in thin layers defined by broad, distinct, sure brushstrokes. A dark layer was applied first to serve as a color for the background and an underpaint layer for the jacket, with reserves left for the face and hands. The face, collar, hands, and gloves were brought to a higher degree of finish in fuller bodied paint with brushwork blended wet into wet. X-radiography shows a minor adjustment to the right half of the collar, which was initially straighter. The entire collar may have been slightly smaller.

Two small losses are found above and below the mouth on the left side, along with scattered small losses in the lower half of the jacket. The black paint of the jacket is moderately abraded and a 3 cm section of hair to the left of the face is severely abraded. The painting was treated in 1990 to remove discolored varnish and retouching.


Exhibited: Catalogue of Paintings by Old Masters from Pittsburgh Collections, Carnegie Institute, Pittsburgh, 1925, no. 24 (as Portrait of Nicholas Berghen [Berchem]).

This masterful painting by Frans Hals, which is neither signed nor dated, is unrecorded prior to 1919, when it appeared at a London auction as a self-portrait by the Dutch Italianate painter Nicolaes Berchem (q.v.). The identity of the sitter as Berchem cannot be sustained anymore than can the attribution to that artist. A self-portrait drawing of about 1660 represents a heavier-set person with a more rounded face than that seen in this portrait (fig. 1). The identification must have been made by an English owner during the nineteenth century when Dutch Italianate paintings were in vogue. Since collectors and connoisseurs often associated an artist's appearance with his type of subject matter, the identification of this handsome and refined sitter as Berchem, a painter of elegant views of the Roman campagna, is understandable.

The artist portrayed, however, was not a painter of landscapes but a painter of peasants, Adriaen van Ostade (1610–1685). The connection between this painting and seventeenth-century representations of Ostade was made by Grimm who compared this image to two established likenesses of the artist. The first is a small-scale self-portrait in the background of Ostade's group portrait of the De Goyer family (Bredius Museum, The Hague) of about 1650. An even more striking comparison is Jacob Gole's mezzotint portrait of Ostade that was executed after a lost painting by Ostade's pupil Cornelis Dusart (1660–1704) (fig. 2). As Trautscholdt was...
the first to recognize, Dusart must have based his portrait on an earlier representation of the artist, for Dusart—who was born in 1660 when his master was fifty years old—depicted Ostade as a considerably younger man than he had ever known. Moreover, he dressed Ostade rather anachronistically in a kimono, scarf, and wig, fashionable garb for the late seventeenth century. Grimm convincingly concluded that the National Gallery’s painting by Hals was Dusart’s model. Its remarkable resemblance to the image in Gole’s mezzotint reversed (thereby reproducing the pose in Dusart’s painting) argues for the direct connection between the two works.

Hals represents Ostade as a gentleman, dressed in the best of fashion. The pose is similar to one Hals used for the wealthy Rotterdam merchant Paulus Verschuur in 1643 (fig. 3). Both, moreover, hold their right glove in their left hand, a variant of a gesture seen frequently in Hals’ portraits. While the exact meaning of this motif is not known, the symbolism of gloves was apparently a well-understood aspect of seventeenth-century decorum. Smith writes that to take off one’s gloves was a sign of friendship, and it may be significant that in both of these instances the right hand, the hand used for greeting, has been ungloved. Its position, with the palm exposed to the viewer, reinforces the quality of openness and forthrightness evident in these works.

Although Slive dates this work in the early 1650s,
Frans Hals, Adriaen van Ostade, 1937.1.70
an earlier date seems probable. The thematic and compositional relationships already noted between the Washington painting and the portrait of Paulus Verschuuer, 1643, are also found with other works of the mid-1640s, specifically the Portrait of a Standing Man in the National Gallery of Scotland, Edinburgh. The looser handling of the paint in the Washington picture, most evident in the abstract, angular brushwork in the gloves but also in the broken contour of the silhouetted right arm and in the bold highlights along the nose and under the right eye, however, suggests a somewhat later date, 1646/1648. These stylistic characteristics can be seen in a number of other works from this period, among them the Seated Man Holding a Hat, c. 1648–1650, (Taft Museum, Cincinnati). By the early 1650s Hals’ style had become less agitated, as comparison with the National Gallery’s Portrait of a Gentleman (1942.9.29) demonstrates. At that time he blocked in the silhouettes of his figures with broad, angular strokes rather than with the broken contours of the late 1640s. The explicit virtuosity of his technique for rendering Ostade’s gloves with rapidly applied diagonal accents later gave way to simpler forms (Taft Museum, Cincinnati). By the early 1650s Hals’ style had become less agitated, as comparison with the National Gallery’s Portrait of a Gentleman (1942.9.29) demonstrates. At that time he blocked in the silhouettes of his figures with broad, angular strokes rather than with the broken contours of the late 1640s. The explicit virtuosity of his technique for rendering Ostade’s gloves with rapidly applied diagonal accents later gave way to simpler forms (Taft Museum, Cincinnati). By the early 1650s Hals’ style had become less agitated, as comparison with the National Gallery’s Portrait of a Gentleman (1942.9.29) demonstrates. At that time he blocked in the silhouettes of his figures with broad, angular strokes rather than with the broken contours of the late 1640s. The explicit virtuosity of his technique for rendering Ostade’s gloves with rapidly applied diagonal accents later gave way to simpler forms (Taft Museum, Cincinnati). By the early 1650s Hals’ style had become less agitated, as comparison with the National Gallery’s Portrait of a Gentleman (1942.9.29) demonstrates. At that time he blocked in the silhouettes of his figures with broad, angular strokes rather than with the broken contours of the late 1640s. The explicit virtuosity of his technique for rendering Ostade’s gloves with rapidly applied diagonal accents later gave way to simpler forms (Taft Museum, Cincinnati). By the early 1650s Hals’ style had become less agitated, as comparison with the National Gallery’s Portrait of a Gentleman (1942.9.29) demonstrates. At that time he blocked in the silhouettes of his figures with broad, angular strokes rather than with the broken contours of the late 1640s. The explicit virtuosity of his technique for rendering Ostade’s gloves with rapidly applied diagonal accents later gave way to simpler forms (Taft Museum, Cincinnati). By the early 1650s Hals’ style had become less agitated, as comparison with the National Gallery’s Portrait of a Gentleman (1942.9.29) demonstrates. At that time he blocked in the silhouettes of his figures with broad, angular strokes rather than with the broken contours of the late 1640s. The explicit virtuosity of his technique for rendering Ostade’s gloves with rapidly applied diagonal accents later gave way to simpler forms (Taft Museum, Cincinnati). By the early 1650s Hals’ style had become less agitated, as comparison with the National Gallery’s Portrait of a Gentleman (1942.9.29) demonstrates. At that time he blocked in the silhouettes of his figures with broad, angular strokes rather than with the broken contours of the late 1640s. The explicit virtuosity of his technique for rendering Ostade’s gloves with rapidly applied diagonal accents later gave way to simpler forms (Taft Museum, Cincinnati).
Portrait of a Young Man

1646/1648
Oil on canvas, 68 x 55.4 (26¼ x 21½")
Andrew W. Mellon Collection

In this bust-length portrait, a portly young man rests his elbow on the back of his chair while he turns and looks directly at the viewer. His alert, handsome face is enframed by shoulder-length curly hair and a black hat that rests squarely on his head. His black jacket is decorated with a flat white collar that is edged with an intricate lace pattern.

Hals seems to have favored this portrait convention because of its relative informality. The momentary, turning pose and the rapid and bold brushstrokes enhance the lifelike quality of the image. As discussed in Willem Coymans (1937.1.69), Hals used this pose at many stages of his career, but particularly in the 1640s. Indeed, one of the closest parallels to this image is the National Gallery's Willem Coymans, signed and dated 1645. Not only are the poses similar, but also the way in which the faces are more firmly modeled than the broader, more suggestively rendered costumes. On the basis of such relationships Slive has dated this painting about 1645.1

Minor variations in technique between these portraits, however, suggest that this one must date slightly later than the portrait of Willem Coymans. Hals has animated this sitter's face with rapidly applied light accents over the broad flesh tones that define the nose, cheeks, lower lip, and forehead. These strokes, just as those that articulate the eyebrows and mustache, are less integrated into the structure of the face than those in the Coymans portrait. Similarly, whereas in the Coymans portrait Hals conveys a sense of the translucent material from which the collar and sleeve are made, and of the elegantly brocaded pattern on the jacket, in the abstract rendering of the costume in Portrait of a Young Man, neither the material character of the lace collar nor the lace pattern are suggested to such a degree. Finally, the lion-head finial of the chair is depicted with a few wavy strokes that give little information about structure.

The precise period of execution for this work is difficult to determine because Hals dated so few paintings after 1645. A probable date, however, is 1646/1648. Both the style of the collar and shape of the hat were in fashion in these years, as was shoulder-length hair. Hals' portrait of Adriaen van Ostade (1937.1.70), which can be dated about 1646/1648, shows comparable characteristics in the style of costume as well as the abstract way in which it is rendered. That portrait also exhibits the use of highlights to enliven the otherwise firmly structured face and its features.

The double monogram, unique in Hals' work, has never been adequately explained. Slive suggests that a second monogram might have been added after the first one had been painted out for some reason.2 The two monograms, however, overlap, and there is no evidence of an intervening paint layer between them. In the eighteenth century the double