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FOREWORD

Art for the Nation celebrates the fiftieth anniversary of the opening of the National Gallery of Art on March 17, 1941. That event was the culmination of many years' effort by Andrew W. Mellon to establish an art museum of the highest possible quality in the nation's capital, to show that America stood not only for the successes of industry and business but also for the highest standards in culture. The gift of his superlative collection of paintings and sculptures, as well as the original Gallery building and endowments, remains the greatest single private donation to any government.

Andrew Mellon's vision and generosity were quickly followed by major donations from other collectors, most notably Joseph E. Widener, Lessing J. Rosenwald, Samuel Henry Kress and Rush Harrison Kress, Chester Dale, Ailsa Mellon Bruce, and Paul Mellon. These Founding Benefactors continued Andrew Mellon's vision that America's national gallery should collect and show the best of European and American art from the late Middle Ages forward. Their donations and the extraordinary gifts of art from many other private citizens have built the heart of the National Gallery of Art—its permanent collection—into a remarkably comprehensive and fine collection in an extraordinarily short time.

Since those early years the Gallery has grown into a multifaceted institution involved in numerous projects. Our exhibitions, loans to other museums, publications, research, concerts, filmmaking, extension services, center for scholars, programs in public schools, lecture series, internships, and numerous additional activities have brought the Gallery into the lives of people throughout this country and the world. These activities are very important to our mission. But we remain, above all, a museum with a dedication to the quality and display of its collection, and in that sense the vision of the Gallery's founders continues.

We celebrate the Gallery's fiftieth anniversary this year with numerous activities in all our areas of interest, but our focus is above all on the permanent collection. Under the leadership of our deputy director, Roger Mandle, we are reinstalling many of the galleries of the original building to take into account the latest changes in the collection and scholarship on it; we have emphasized the use of period frames as integral components of the major paintings. The Gallery's conservation department has accelerated the treatment of a number of important works, resulting in discoveries such as those celebrated in our recent exhibition on the artistic complexities of one of the greatest paintings in the collection, Giovanni Bellini's Feast of the Gods. Our new systematic catalogue on the main fields of painting, sculpture, and decorative arts is a scholarly work incorporating the latest art-historical and conservation research that will eventually grow to twenty-six volumes.

The most appropriate way to celebrate the foundation of the National Gallery of Art and the extraordinary contribution of its original benefactors is, of course, to further their work. Thus the theme of this exhibition is the new donations of works of art to enhance the permanent collection. Art for the Nation, which opens to the public fifty years to the day from the Gallery's original opening, matches the range of the Gallery's main collections: European and American paintings, drawings, sculpture, prints, illustrated books, and photographs from the late Middle Ages to the present. The primary intent has been to make major additions to the collection, building on its strengths but especially filling its gaps. It is remarkable to see how, even in areas of the Gallery's greatest strength, such as Italian Renaissance painting and sculpture or French impressionist painting, major new works play crucial artistic and historical roles.

Some of our visitors may not realize that the collection is far larger than what is seen on the walls on any given day. The various objects on paper, for example—prints, drawings, photographs, rare books—cannot be exposed to light for long periods and therefore are exhibited in rotating groups, otherwise being fully available for study and enjoyment in our print study rooms. The Gallery is a latecomer in these fields compared to many great centers,
particularly European ones, and therefore seeks to augment the depth and breadth of its holdings in the graphic arts. Even in the areas of paintings and sculpture, the Gallery needs greater resources than can be shown at one time in order to satisfy the demands of loans to exhibitions elsewhere, photography, conservation, and research.

Beyond that, as it is a national gallery, the Trustees have emphasized our duty to lend original works of art to sister institutions across the land, as well as to other official public spaces. Our vision for the Gallery in its next fifty years—and beyond—is to provide a rich asset sustaining the Gallery's public trust and national mission as well as justifying its sources of support.

More than one hundred fifty benefactors, including many old friends and very many new ones from throughout America and from Europe, are represented in this exhibition by more than three hundred works of art. These donors are remarkable in their magnanimity and personal sacrifice in that they have agreed to share the works of art they love and prize with the wider audience of visitors to the Gallery. Our gratitude to them is boundless. Each donor represented in the exhibition will have made a significant present gift to the Gallery in honor of its anniversary. Most objects are given fully; some, in part; all objects in the exhibition not given outright in their entirety are committed to the Gallery. Many more gifts of art have been received than could be included here, and even as this is being written further donations are being made, all of which will be acknowledged in a second volume to be published at the end of this anniversary year.

Art for the Nation has been a project involving the Trustees of the Gallery, under the leadership of Franklin D. Murphy, chairman, and John R. Stevenson, president, the Trustees’ Council, the executive officers, and most of the Gallery's staff. A 50th Anniversary Gift Committee of more than seventy donors, organized, chaired, and inspired by Gallery trustee Robert H. Smith, has contributed funds, and with the help of the Gallery’s development office under Joseph Krakora and Laura Smith Fisher, assisted by Catherine Conger, the committee collected more than five million dollars to enable the acquisition of major additions in each of the principal fields in which we collect, and most notably one of our most crucial desiderata, The Martyrdom of Saint Bartholomew by Jusepe de Ribera.

The Gallery's other support groups—the Collectors Committee, chaired by Ruth Carter Stevenson and Edwin L. Cox, and the Circle, chaired by Robert H. Smith and Katharine Graham—are represented, together with the 50th Anniversary Gift Committee, in the acquisition of Wayne Thiebaud’s celebratory Cakes. Trustees and staff alike, the deputy director, the senior curators, curators, and assistant curators were involved in visiting collectors to discuss the fiftieth anniversary and ask their help. The entire curatorial staff did research and wrote entries on gifts offered, as seen in their signed contributions to the catalogue. The catalogue was edited and designed with grace under utmost pressure by Jane Sweeney and Cynthia Hotvedt of the editors office, under the supervision of Frances P. Smyth. The registral coordination of hundreds of works from the large number of donors was ably handled by Mary Suzor, Ann Halpern, and Judi Cline; D. Dodge Thompson, Ann B. Robertson, and Debbi Miller of the department of exhibitions were of great help as well. The installation was beautifully designed by the Gallery’s team under Gaillard Ravenel, Mark Leithauser, and Gordon Anson. The opening festivities have been elegantly supervised by Genevra Higginson.

If there was one person principally in charge of the entire project, however, it was senior curator Andrew Robison. His organization of the curatorial research, his optimism about the response of our friends as well as the necessity for high standards, his unflagging energy for each new possibility, and his enthusiasm for building the Gallery’s collection propelled the campaign, as many of our donors will remember. And with the talented help of Barbara Ward he has supervised the final contacts, the selection, the organization of the exhibition, and the catalogue through every stage to the Gallery walls.

Joining with us in support of this exhibition is the GTE Corporation, an outstanding corporate patron under its chairman, James L. Johnson, and its president, Charles R. Lee, to whom we are most grateful once again.

To all the above, our deepest thanks, and also the thanks of millions of future visitors from throughout the world who will appreciate and enjoy these many outstanding gifts honoring the National Gallery’s past and continuing to build its future.
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ART FOR THE NATION
Jacopo Bellini was a pivotal figure in the history of Venetian Renaissance art. In two remarkable sketchbooks, one in the Louvre, Paris, and the other in the British Museum, London, dating from about the mid-fifteenth century, he experimented in a highly personal way with the new invention of linear perspective and with the classical subject matter that was then coming into vogue.1 But Jacopo's few surviving paintings, mostly half-length Madonnas in various European and American museums, remain faithful to his origin in the late or International Gothic style of his teacher Gentile da Fabriano. Major credit for instilling Renaissance values in Venetian painting has, accordingly, been given to Jacopo's son Giovanni (c. 1430-1516). We must revise this theory now that a major painting by the elder Bellini has unexpectedly come to light—an arched panel that depicts a noble pair of saints, Anthony Abbot and Bernardino of Siena, standing in a landscape. This newly discovered masterpiece by Jacopo almost certainly belonged to an altarpiece which, according to early sources, he completed, together with Giovanni and his other son Gentile (1429-1507), in 1459 (or 1460) for the funeral chapel of the famous condottiere Gattamelata in the basilica of Sant'Antonio (the Santo) in Padua.2 Dismantled in the seventeenth century, the Gattamelata altarpiece has been hypothetically reconstructed, with the present panel, its figures facing right, forming the left wing of the complex.3 Donatello worked in the city for more than a decade sculpting the high altar of the Santo and the equestrian monument commemorating Gattamelata outside the church. The structure of Jacopo's altarpiece, with the figures placed before a landscape instead of a gold ground, seems to have responded to the Florentine's example.4 Though clearly designed by Jacopo, the fragments that formed the predella, or base, of the altarpiece appear to have been painted by Giovanni Bellini.5 Likewise, the master may well have been assisted by Gentile in executing the Saints Anthony and Bernardino. Tall upright figures and stratified rocks like those in the present panel abound in Jacopo's sketchbooks.6 And the sinuous curls of Anthony's beard are a hallmark of the International Style in which he was trained. But the more austere treatment of the saint's recently canonized companion, holding the Name-of-Jesus monogram, finds closer analogies in the work of Gentile Bellini, who massed such figures together to create the large-scale narrative scenes in which he excelled.7 Designed by Jacopo and probably executed in collaboration with Gentile, the rediscovered panel from the Gattamelata altarpiece ushered in a new age in Venetian painting.

David Alan Brown

PROVENANCE
Private collection, the Netherlands; Private collection, New York.

NOTES
1. For the albums and all other aspects of Jacopo's work see the definitive monograph by Colin Eisler, The Genius of Jacopo Bellini (New York, 1989).
3. Eisler reconstructs the altarpiece as a triptych (1985, 39, fig. 7; and 1989, 62, fig. 47).
5. For the problem of the predella see in addition to the writers previously cited, Rona Goffen, Giovanni Bellini (New Haven and London, 1989), 8-9, figs. 3 and 4.
6. Compare, for example, Eisler 1989, plates 220 and 239.
7. In his monograph of 1989, Eisler credits the authorship of the picture to "Gentile Bellini, after Jacopo's design" (pp. 60, 63, and 517). About Gentile see Jürg Meyer zur Capellen, Gentile Bellini (Stuttgart, 1985).
ANONYMOUS GERMAN

Christ on the Cross, 1485
Woodcut with gouache and
gold leaf on vellum
12 5/8 x 7 13/16 (32.0 x 19.8)

Ruth and Jacob Kainen Collection

This magnificent German fifteenth-century hand-colored woodcut comes from a missal printed in 1485 by Johann Sensenschmidt in Bamberg. The tradition of illustrating missals, which contained the prayers and rites of the mass, with Christ on the Cross was firmly established in the printed book by the end of the 1470s. The image, almost always a woodcut, was inserted at the beginning of the Canon of the Mass. As in the case of this present woodcut the illustration was often printed on vellum even in an otherwise paper book. The devotional image follows the standard iconography of the Crucifixion with Christ on the Cross flanked by the Virgin Mary at the left and Saint John at the right. The skull at the foot of the cross not only symbolizes the Mount of Golgotha where Christ was crucified; along with the nearby scattered bones it also refers to the remains of Adam whose original sin was atoned for by Christ’s sacrifice.

Early woodcuts such as this were frequently the combined effort of several people. The artist would have provided the design while possibly another person transferred the design to the block. The woodcutter did the actual cutting of the block. Others were then responsible for the printing and finally the coloring of the woodcut.

The bold, expressive printed lines of the figures in this woodcut are counterbalanced by the delicate and jewellike hand-coloring. Also exceptional is the extensive use of gold in the background and in Christ’s halo. The gold background has been incised and stamped to create a decorative diamond and floral pattern. The extraordinary and individual attention to the hand-coloring of the print makes it comparable to a page from an illuminated manuscript. The fine condition of this print is unusual, given the fact that missals were in daily use and for the most part are worn out or have been destroyed.

This rare woodcut further strengthens the National Gallery’s outstanding collection of fifteenth-century woodcuts, metalcuts, and engravings formed and then given by Lessing J. Rosenwald beginning in 1943. The woodcut joins other similar missal illustrations in the collection, these dating from the same time but coming from Basel, Speyer, and Strassburg. The Kainen Christ on the Cross attests to the couple’s love for the woodcut, from the beginnings of the medium in the fifteenth century to those done by the twentieth-century German expressionists. The latter is superbly represented by another Kainen Fiftieth Anniversary gift, Ernst Ludwig Kirchner’s Blond Painter Stirner.

Gregory Jecmen

PROVENANCE

NOTES
Et famili tuæ eæm ném sibi cómissis: ab omni aduersitate custodi. et parc esse nús cæde tyibus.
HARTMANN SCHEDEL
1440–1514

Liber Chronicarum (Nuremberg Chronicle)
Koberger, Nuremberg, 1493
Bound volume with 1,809 hand-colored woodcuts
18½ x 12½ (46.9 x 31.6)
Gift of Paul Mellon

Hartmann Schedel's Liber Chronicarum, commonly known as the Nuremberg Chronicle, is the first truly monumental printed illustrated book of the fifteenth century. Published in 1493 by Anton Koberger in Nuremberg, the book is a world history from the Creation to the year of its publication. The book's structure follows popular late medieval chronicles and is divided according to the six ages of mankind: the Creation to Noah; Noah's ark to the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah; Abraham to Saul; David to the destruction of Jerusalem; the Babylonian captivity of the Jews to the death of John the Baptist; and the birth of Christ to the present.

The Chronicle was written for the scholar as well as for the general audience and was published in both a Latin and a German edition. The Mellon Latin edition is one of the finest extant copies of the Chronicle. The woodcut illustrations are exquisitely hand-colored and the volume was handsomely bound specially for Raimund Fugger (1489–1535), a member of the eminent Augsburg mercantile-banking family.

The Chronicle was commissioned by Sebald Schreyer and Sebastian Kammermeister, two leading Nuremberg citizens, who contracted the artists Michael Wolgemut and Wilhelm Pleydenwurff to illustrate Schedel's text. They also engaged the publisher, Anton Koberger. The artists first produced an “exemplar,” or layout model, which set out in manuscript and sketch form the placement of text and image. The workshops of Wolgemut and Pleydenwurff produced the 645 different woodblocks, many of which were then used more than once, particularly for some of the historical figures. The young Albrecht Dürer, the godson of Koberger and apprentice to Wolgemut in 1488, was probably a member of this workshop.

One of the most interesting parts of the Chronicle is the description and depiction of various cities. These woodcut illustrations, oftentimes spreading across two facing pages, include both authentic city views of European cities, such as Strassburg (illustrated here), Rome, Venice, Basel, and, of course, Nuremberg, and imaginary views of ancient cities, such as Babylon, Carthage, and Troy. The volume also contains two early printed maps. The first, a world map, follows the tradition of Ptolemy, showing only the three continents of Europe, Africa, and Asia. The second map is one of middle Europe and comes from the cosmographer Hieronymus Müntzer. It is the first map of middle Europe to be included in a printed book.

In the late fifteenth century Hartmann Schedel became the leading figure of Nuremberg's humanist circle, which also included Schreyer and Koberger. Trained as a physician, Schedel also studied Greek and law. He collected the works of ancient writers along with contemporary texts on medicine, geography, and mathematics. Schedel's own major literary work, the Liber Chronicarum, reveals his careful scholarship and critical approach to earlier sources. The Nuremberg Chronicle initiated the collaboration between the city's humanist scholars, artists, and publishers, which was to continue into the following century.

The high quality of the woodcuts in the Nuremberg Chronicle paved the way for Albrecht Dürer's own handling of the medium in his series of The Life of the Virgin, The Large Passion, and The Apocalypse, dating from the late 1490s and early 1500s. All three series were published together in 1511 and appeared with Latin texts written by Benedictus Cheldonius. This publication is a high mark of book production in early sixteenth-century Nuremberg and the Gallery is fortunate to possess a single bound copy of all three series, bequeathed by Lessing J. Rosenwald. The Mellon Nuremberg Chronicle is the first illustrated German book printed before 1500 to enter the Gallery's collection, and is an exceptional complement to the extensive holdings of early German single-sheet woodcuts.

Gregory Jecmen

PROVENANCE

NOTES
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Christ on the Cross, c. 1500–1525
Hand-colored woodcut with gold leaf
12¾ x 8½ (32 x 22.3)
Gift of C. G. Boerner

This exquisitely designed and executed woodcut of Christ on the cross between Mary and Saint John originally formed the canon page of a missal. The sensitive hand coloring and incised gold leaf of the figures' halos gives this print a sumptuous character usually associated with manuscript illustrations. Though it is not yet possible to attribute this work to a specific artist, the print displays some affinities with the woodcuts produced in Strassburg during the first quarter of the sixteenth century. The intricate monogram to the left of Mary, composed of the letters HKA (or M) T, is probably that of either the printer or the cutter of the block.

The woodcut displays an overall sophistication in the drawing and cutting of the block. A subtle delineation of form is particularly evident in Mary's elegant face and her splendidly elongated fingers, in the curly hair of Saint John, and in the agitated folds of Christ's garment. This refined style together with the long parallel hatching, seen notably in Mary's robe and in the foliage of the trees, suggests a similarity with certain prints executed in the workshop of Johann Grüninger, a printer and publisher active in Strassburg between c. 1483 and 1530. Another striking feature of this woodcut is the realistic landscape in the background. The artist not only created a convincing recession of space but also implied a sense of a particular place in the small village or monastery just beyond the main figural group. Similarly composed and executed landscapes can be found in the illustrations from Grüninger's Virgil published in 1502.

A landscape comparable to that in the present print appears in another woodcut of Christ on the cross included in a later edition of Martin Luther's September Testament published by Johann Schott in Strassburg in 1523. While the Boerner print follows an established iconography in the placement of figures and the inclusion of the skull and bones of Adam near the base of the cross, the depiction of an isolated clump of flowers in the lower right corner is unusual. This plant, while lacking enough details for a specific identification, is perhaps a symbolic reference to the suffering and passion of Christ.

This woodcut is a welcome addition to the National Gallery's collection of early sixteenth-century German woodcuts, which includes works by Albrecht Dürer, Albrecht Altdorfer, Lucas Cranach the Elder, Hans Baldung Grien, and Hans Burgkmair.

Gregory Jecmen

NOTES
1. For Grüninger's work see Albert Schramm, Der Bilderschmuck der Frühdrucke (Leipzig, 1937), 20:8–9, and Arthur M. Hind, An Introduction to a History of Woodcut (Boston and New York, 1935), 2:339–344.
2. The illustration for the First Eclogue from Grüninger's Virgil is reproduced in Hind 1935, 2: fig. 154.
This vibrant pen and ink study of an eagle, which once belonged to the English painter and critic Sir Joshua Reynolds, was believed to be by Leonardo da Vinci until Konrad Oberhuber recognized Titian as its true author. The former attribution was based on the superb quality of the drawing and on Leonardo's well-known interest in animals rather than on any consideration of style. The rapid "staccato" pen strokes, suggesting the eagle's feathers, are characteristic of the Venetian master, especially of his early landscape studies, in which foliage is treated in the same luminous manner. In its fluid handling of the pen and its painterly texture, the Washington drawing most closely resembles the sketch of a Landscape with an Eagle in the Uffizi Gallery, Florence, which Oberhuber also convincingly identified as Titian's. The eagle in the Uffizi drawing is shown entire, and so are the others represented by Titian in the woodcut of the Triumph of Christ of c. 1511; the woodcut of a Landscape with a Milkmaid of c. 1525; and the painting of the Vision of Saint John the Evangelist (fig. 1) of the mid-1540s in the National Gallery's collection. These birds all have a heraldic air typical of coats of arms (after he was knighted, Titian added a double eagle to his family escutcheon), while their forms are straightforwardly naturalistic. Though limited to the bird's head and outspread wing, the Washington study is both more expressive and more fantastic. With its ruffled crest, piercing eye, sharply hooked beak, and long curling tongue, Titian's eagle shares much of the ferocity of the winged dragon in his later drawing of Roger and Angelica in the Musée Bonnat at Bayonne.\textsuperscript{4} In the Washington drawing Titian has well captured the eagle's fighting spirit. Few of his studies have survived, so it is not surprising that although the Gallery can boast the finest group of Titian paintings in America, the Study of an Eagle is the first drawing by the master to enter the collection.

\textit{David Alan Brown}

\textbf{PROVENANCE}

P. H. Lankrink (Lugt 2090); Sir Joshua Reynolds (Lugt 2363); Thomas Banks (Lugt 1423); Sir E. J. Poynter (Lugt 874); Victor Koch; (P. & D. Colnaghi & Co., Ltd.).

\textbf{NOTES}


2. Harold E. Wethey, \textit{Titian and His Drawings} (Princeton, 1987), 157, cat. 33 and fig. 76.


4. Wethey 1987, 158–159, cat. 42, fig. 102, and frontispiece.
POLIDORO CALDARA, called POLIDORO DA CARAVAGGIO 
c. 1499–1543?

A Deathbed Scene (recto)
Woman Seated with a Piece of Cloth (verso), c. 1521–1522
Chalk
8½ x 11½ (21 x 29)

Gift (Partial and Promised) of David E. Rust

Although Lombard by birth, Polidoro received his training in the entourage of Raphael. He worked in Raphael's Logge at the Vatican, where he painted grisaille panels and biblical scenes alongside Perino del Vaga, Giovanni da Udine, and others. Following the Sack of Rome in 1527, Polidoro fled to Naples, working there and in Messina until his death, probably in 1543. In the 1520s Polidoro became famous for his monochromatic facade frescoes of Roman subjects on some of the most notable palaces of the city. Destroyed or faded today, these frescoes were copied by most painters coming to Rome and became a kind of school for young artists. Consequently, they are preserved in numerous drawings. Polidoro is also important for introducing a new conception of landscape painting, which portrayed classical ruins and nature in a new fantastic or emotionally charged manner.

The present drawing, is a study for a fresco in the Palazzo Baldassini, Rome. The commission for the palazzo was given to Perino del Vaga, whose hand is evident in the contiguous main salone. This sheet is proof, however, that Polidoro painted some of the histories in the palace, as Vasari contended. The preparatory study varies little from the fresco, although more figures appear in the final painting and their positions have been refined. The verso must be a study of an additional woman to have been seated to the left of the bed, perhaps ministering to the dying figure. Who this figure is has not been determined, but it is possible that he was a classical sage who wears a scholar's hat. Frescoes in the palace already identified indicate that the patron Melchiorre Baldassini, a distinguished lawyer, had devised a program relating to erudite ancient jurists.

The Palazzo Baldassini and its frescoes were completed by 1522; stylistically Polidoro's drawing should be dated then, before the artist's brief trip to Naples (1523–1524).
Attributed to
PIETER CORNELISZ. KUNST
1489/1490–1560/1561

*Landscape with the Baptism of Christ*

C. 1530

Pen and ink

\(7\frac{7}{16} \times 9\frac{15}{16} (19 \times 25.2)\)

Gift of Maida and George Abrams

The ostensible subject of this spirited drawing, the baptism of Christ in the lower left foreground, serves merely as a pretext for the depiction of an expansive, fanciful landscape. The composition has been carefully composed. Note, for example, how the massive rock outcroppings and mountains at the left are balanced by the deep space at the right, and how this spatial recession, on the diagonal, is accentuated and counterbalanced by the framing device of the foreground tree. The rocks, windswept trees, and ruined buildings are created out of a varied mixture of cross-hatchings, parallel and curved lines, and looping rounded lines in the foliage, all marked by skillful and vigorous pen work.

*Landscape with the Baptism of Christ* is most likely by the same hand as a *River Landscape with Classical Buildings* (Foundation Custodia, coll. F. Lugt, Paris) and several other drawings. They show an affinity with a group of drawings that traditionally have been attributed to Pieter Cornelisz. Kunst. One of the three sons of the artist Cornelis Engelbrechtsz., Pieter Cornelisz. Kunst was trained in Leiden by his father and was active in that city as a painter and designer of stained glass windows. His name is associated with drawings for stained glass dated between 1517 and 1537, several of which are monogrammed PC.

Not all critics are agreed that the *Landscape with the Baptism of Christ* and its companion in Paris are by the same hand as the group attributed to Pieter Cornelisz. Kunst. Even if anonymous, the *Landscape with the Baptism of Christ* can be localized in Leiden on the basis of stylistic similarities to drawings by other artists active in that area, such as Aertgen van Leyden. Moreover, the ruined classical buildings and obelisks at the upper left recall similar structures found in the background of drawings by and attributed to Jan van Scorel. Scorel acquired a first-hand knowledge of contemporary and antique art in Rome in 1522/1523; he was back in Utrecht by 1524 and worked in Haarlem in 1527/1530, and thus motifs from his work could have been available in the northern Netherlands from the late 1520s onward.

The creation of landscape as an independent genre was one of the major achievements of northern Renaissance artists in the course of the sixteenth century. *Landscape with the Baptism of Christ* is the earliest Netherlandish landscape drawing in the National Gallery of Art and thus is the first step on a path that leads to Matthijs Cock’s *Landscape with Castle above a Harbor* (1978.19.2), to the panorama of Pieter Bruegel the Elder’s *Landscape with Saint Jerome* (1972.47.1) of 1553, and on to another fiftieth-anniversary gift, Hans Bol’s *Winter Landscape with Skaters*, c. 1584/1586.

John Oliver Hand
ANTONIO DA TRENTO
c. 1508—after 1550

The Martyrdom of Two Saints, c. 1530
after Parmigianino
Chiaroscuro woodcut printed from three blocks in three tones of blue
11 1/8 x 18 1/2 (28.3 x 46) (sheet size)
Gift of Andrew Robison

Antonio da Trento was one of the most accomplished Italian woodcutters of the second quarter of the sixteenth century. His body of chiaroscuro woodcuts was done after the designs of the artist Francesco Mazzola, called Parmigianino.1 Antonio worked under Parmigianino in Bologna between 1527, the year Parmigianino left Rome, and 1531. Nothing is known about Antonio after 1531, nor do any prints by him exist after this date. Giorgio Vasari, in his Lives of the Most Eminent Painters, Sculptors, and Architects, informed his readers that Antonio da Trento suddenly left the city one day after stealing his master's drawings and copper plates, adding that “he must have gone off to the Devil, for all the news that was ever heard of him.”2

The first Italian chiaroscuro woodcuts were produced by Ugo da Carpi who, in 1516, asked for and was granted a patent for the “invention” of the technique. Actually, the very first chiaroscuro woodcuts originated in Germany some ten years before. Though the process was initially conceived to reproduce pen and wash drawings, the resulting prints were soon praised for their own unique visual impact.

Traditionally, the subject of the present woodcut has been identified as the martyrdom of Saints Peter and Paul. However, Saint Peter met death by crucifixion whereas in the print both saints appear about to be beheaded. While alternative saints have been suggested, a satisfactory identification is still lacking and thus the present title has now generally accepted.3 This present print is undoubtedly one of the most successful early impressions of The Martyrdom of Two Saints.4 The image is strong and clear, with even tone and crisp line. It has none of the imperfections in later impressions caused by the breaking down or cracking of the wood block. The choice of the three distinct tones of blue is visually successful, giving an outstanding coordination of tonalities that is lacking in other impressions printed in different colors. This coherence gives great depth to the image itself. The tones of blue are close to those found in contemporary chiaroscuro drawings that these early chiaroscuro prints imitate. These images needed to be bold and clear in order to provide an immediate visual impact upon the viewer, since they were often meant to be hung.

Though the National Gallery started off with just a few early Italian chiaroscuro woodcuts, the past twenty years have seen an accumulation of a small but important collection with outstanding individual examples. Andrew Robison’s gift complements seven others by Antonio da Trento after Parmigianino in the Gallery’s collection. These include two other early impressions of The Martyrdom of Two Saints. One, a recent purchase, is printed with a dark green line block and gray-green and light gray-brown tone blocks, on the verso of which is a very rare proof printed from the darker of the two tone blocks.5 The present impression also joins six fine chiaroscuro woodcuts by Ugo da Carpi, the so-called inventor of the chiaroscuro woodcut in Italy, and three chiaroscuro woodcuts by Gian Nicolo Vicentino also after Parmigianino.

Gregory Jecmen

PROVENANCE
Harry Salomon, Milan.

NOTES
1. Parmigianino’s early compositional designs as well as several figure studies related to the present chiaroscuro woodcut are today in the collections of the British Museum and the Louvre. See Arthur E. Popham, Drawings of Parmigianino (New Haven and London, 1971), 1: cats. 191, 192, 379, 380, 417.


4. Adam Bartsch, Le peintre graveur 12:79, no. 28 ii; Johnson 1987, 158, version B.

Because chiaroscuro woodcuts, printed from two or more blocks, convey the qualities of a wash drawing, Renaissance painters were quick to perceive their aptness for reproducing compositional ideas. The design of the Presentation in the Temple was credited to Giuseppe Salviati in an inscription on the second state of the print. Most writers, beginning with Adam Bartsch in his vast print corpus, have preferred, nevertheless, to associate the woodcut with Francesco Mazzola, called Parmigianino (1503–1540). Parmigianino is known to have employed the woodcutters Antonio da Trento and Ugo da Carpi to record his designs, and Niccolò Vicentino continued the process after the painter's death. The exceedingly elegant figure style of the Presentation and the secular interpretation of the theme unquestionably derive from Parmigianino. Except for the altar, the infant's gesture and radiance, and the motifs of the sacrificial knife and doves, we might mistake the sacred drama for a festive occasion. The protagonists—the Virgin and Child, the High Priest, and Joseph—are nearly lost in a crowd of supernumeraries, much as in a painting of the Circumcision in the Detroit Institute of Arts, which is now accepted as by Parmigianino himself. This picture and a group of related drawings by the artist are not sufficiently close to the woodcut, however, to state for certain that Parmigianino was himself responsible for the design and supervised its execution. The present work, made from four blocks in black and reddish-brown tones (rather than the gray-green found in most other examples), is the first of two states. Its technique bears comparison with that of Ugo da Carpi, to whom it is sometimes tentatively attributed. Exhibited in Washington in 1984, the chiaroscuro woodcut of the Presentation well demonstrates the appeal for artists and collectors of Parmigianino's drawings, six of which are in the National Gallery's collection.

David Alan Brown
It is common to speak of the spread of the Italian portrait to a wide range of persons and classes during the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries as an embodiment of the Renaissance evaluation of individual human worth, power, and dignity, even with the still-pervasive awareness of the transitory nature of the human form and the invisibility of the human spirit. The same is true of the Renaissance in the north. Especially after 1500, portrait paintings proliferated. And, even more distinctive of German than Italian art, so did portrait drawings. Throughout the major German territories, portraits play an important role in the oeuvre of artists from whom we have a substantial body of surviving work. However, very few of them have found their way to American collections; outside of a half-dozen Dürrers and a few Holbeins, fewer than twenty sixteenth-century German portrait drawings are recorded in this country. With what we like to think of as the finest collection of early German drawings in America, the National Gallery has only five: Dürer’s portrait of his brother Hans, an unidentified man by Peter Gertner of an unidentified man and wife, and Joseph Heintz’s portrait of Giovanni da Bologna.

Heinrich Aldegrever, who lived most of his mature life in the Westfälisch city of Soest, was a painter and artist in several media. However, he is now known primarily from his three hundred prints, including a few etchings and woodcuts but primarily engravings. Mostly in small format, they include biblical, mythological, allegorical, and genre subjects as well as ornament prints, each typically a miniature but brilliant world unto itself, filled with telling details, a traditional delight in the bravura portrayal of textures. His eight engraved portraits continue many of these features but are on the whole larger both in conception and in size, with particularly fine portrayal of the human personality conveyed through facial expression and through bright “living” eyes.

When it was in the famous Prag collection of Adalbert von Lanna, this portrait of a blond bearded man was thought to be by Hans Holbein the Younger, undoubtedly because of its subject and format, its quality, and its use of colored chalks, all so characteristic of Holbein. In fact in the von Lanna sale in 1910 this drawing was highlighted as one of the few works to be reproduced, the better of the two Holbeins, called “a major drawing of the highest rank.” However, with the wider knowledge of the individual stylistic characteristics of early German drawings now available, it is evident that this portrait is clearly not by Holbein, not even from Augsburg or Basel, but closest to the north-German works by Heinrich Aldegrever.¹

For the attribution to Aldegrever we can compare his engraved portraits as well as the securely attributed chalk drawings in London and Berlin.² Although such features are found in work by a number of artists of this period, the general format of this drawing: the three-quarter view, the costume, flat cap, and high, tightly ruffled collar are special favorites of Aldegrever. In distinction from many other artists, and particularly close to Aldegrever, are the strongly individualized treatment of the nose, thinly pursed lips, finely delineated ear, and strong outlines at the edges of the nose and profile. It is true that, as a personal characteristic, Aldegrever frequently emphasized the tear duct area of the eye, in strongly rounded form, more than here. Further, his Berlin and London drawings show less fine detail and hatching than is here, for example, on the cap, eyelashes, and nose; though as such detail is evident in Aldegrever’s engravings, it would not be untoward for it also to be seen in a drawing. In conclusion, it seems most likely this fine drawing should be added to the oeuvre of Heinrich Aldegrever, and dateable from the costume to c. 1540.³

Andrew Robison

¹. Such re-attribution from the relatively fewer famous names of the past is, of course, common with old master drawings. It is especially difficult with finished portrait drawings. For other contemporary examples of the problems in the specific realm of early German portraits, see Gisela Hopp’s entries in Werner Hoffmann, ed., Köpfe der Lutherzeit (Hamburg, 1983), especially nos. 102 and 124–130.

². Reproduced, for example, in John Rowlands, The Age of Dürer and Holbein (Cambridge, 1988), no. 138, color plate XX; Fedja Anzelewski, Dürer and His Time (Washington, 1965), no. 93. Compare the Louvre portrait of a man, which also may be regarded a secure Aldegrever, and with a number of analogies to our present work; Colin T. Eisler, German Drawings (Boston, 1963), color plate 55.

³. This judgment, with various degrees of qualification, is supported by Hans Mielke (personal conversation in Berlin, based on a photograph and transparency, 1984) and Fritz Koreny (conversation based on the original in Washington, 1988).
FRENCH MASTER
Active 1561

Portrait of a Member of the Quaratesi Family
Oil on wood
39\(\frac{3}{4}\) x 33\(\frac{1}{2}\) (101 x 85.2)

Gift (Partial and Promised) of Mrs. Rush Kress in Memory of Her Husband, Rush Kress

This elegant likeness of a thirty-four-year-old gentleman was formerly believed to be the work of Antonis Mor (c. 1516/1520–c. 1575/1576), painter to King Philip II of Spain and other members of the Hapsburg court.\(^1\) Mor (known in Spain as Antonio Moro) created a type of coolly aristocratic portraiture that was influential not only on his contemporaries but also on such later artists as Van Dyck.\(^2\) Comparison of the newly acquired portrait with authentic examples by Mor, including the National Gallery’s own Portrait of a Gentleman (fig. 1) reveals, nevertheless, a distinctly different approach to the portrayal of high-born subjects.\(^3\) The sitters are similarly shown standing at knee length and in three-quarter view, with one arm held akimbo and the other at rest. But Mor’s portrait is more realistic in the spatial projection of the sitter, the careful depiction of his costume, and the aloof glance he directs at the viewer. The Gallery’s new acquisition, by contrast, depends for its effect on the way the sharply angled forms of the sitter’s dark costume are silhouetted against the olive background. This decorative planar quality and restrained use of color have suggested to Colin Eisler that the portrait is French, not Flemish, in origin.\(^4\) The sitter’s mannered hands resemble those in the great Portrait of François I, traditionally ascribed to Jean Clouet, in the Louvre, while the abstract character of the picture finds a parallel in the Portrait of Pierre Quarante by François Clouet, dated 1562, also in the Louvre.\(^5\) The author of the Washington portrait remains unknown, but the date 1561, inscribed together with the sitter’s age in the upper left corner, indicates when he was active. The subject has been identified from the (partly repainted) coat of arms in the upper right as a member of a distinguished Florentine family, the Quaratesi.\(^6\) He might be one of the many Florentines who accompanied Catherine de’ Medici to France. The initials AM placed on his handkerchief and alongside the coat of arms should provide a clue to his individual identity.

David Alan Brown

PROVENANCE
A. Contini Bonacossi, Florence.

NOTES
5. Hélène Adhémar, Portraits français XIV\(^{e}\), XV\(^{e}\), XVI\(^{e}\) siècles (Paris, 1950), nos. 22 and 11.

Fig. 1. Antonis Mor, Portrait of a Gentleman, 1569. National Gallery of Art, Washington, Andrew W. Mellon Collection
ANNIO ATATIS SVE 34
ET DE ARNO 1560
Maerten van Heemskerck
1498–1574
The Triumph of Job, 1559
Pen and ink, traces of chalk
7⅛ x 10⅞ (18.2 x 26.2)
Gift of Walter H. and Leonore Annenberg

This handsome drawing was made in preparation for an engraving in a series entitled Patientiae Triumphus (the triumph of patience) that was engraved by Dirck Volckertz. Coornhert (1522–1590) and published in 1559. The drawing is signed and dated by Heemskerck in the lower right corner. Although Heemskerck included the word “Inventor” in the inscription, it is likely that he was strongly influenced by the ideas of Coornhert, who at times worked as an engraver but was also a noted humanist and religious philosopher. The Triumph of Job (fig. 1) was fifth in the series of eight. It was preceded by the triumphs of Patience, Isaac, Joseph, and David and was followed by Tobias and Saint Stephen, culminating with the triumph of Christ.

Here the Old Testament figure of Job is shown sitting on a tortoise, clad only in a rough woven cloth. As explained in the Latin inscription accompanying the engraving, Job steadfastly endured his trials and torments, “and he remained as strong as a tortoise, whose shell no one can break.” Pulled along behind him are his three false friends at the far left, his wife, and Satan. On the banner held by Job are objects that Veldman has interpreted iconographically: the winged heart symbolizes hope; the balanced scales indicate an equitable temperament; and above the world orb surmounted by a cross a flaming sword signifies the power of Christ to sever man’s heart from the things of this world. In the background at the right are events from the biblical narrative in which Job’s faith is tested: the destruction of his eldest son’s house and all of Job’s children; the death of his cattle; Job sitting on a dung heap berated by his wife; and the visit of the three friends who argue with Job that if he is being punished by God he must therefore be guilty. From the Middle Ages onward Job was the exemplar of patience and an Old Testament prefiguration of the suffering and resurrection of Christ. Job’s troubles were especially pertinent for a series that ends with Christ Triumphant.

The son of a farmer, Maerten took his last name from the north-Netherlandish town of his birth, Heemskerck. Between 1527 and 1530 he worked in Haarlem with Jan van Scorel and emulated Scorel’s Italianate style. A decisive influence in Heemskerck’s life was his stay in Italy, which began in 1532 and lasted until 1536/1537. In Rome Heemskerck filled his sketchbooks with drawings of antique sculpture and architecture as well as studies after the paintings of Raphael and Michelangelo. These studies served as the inspiration for his art for the remainder of his long and successful career as a painter and draftsman in Haarlem. The popularity of his mannered “Romanist” style is attested to by his numerous drawings for prints, which, as the biographer Karel van Mander observed, “filled the entire world with inventions.”

Since the National Gallery owns the series of engravings of the Triumph of Patience, the Triumph of Job, which is in superb condition, is an especially welcome addition to its already distinguished collection of sixteenth-century Netherlandish drawings.

John Oliver Hand

Provenance
Freiherr Richard von Kuehlmann; Curtis O. Baer, New Rochelle, New York; Dr. George Baer, Atlanta.

Notes
1. Other drawings for the series are to be found in private collections and museums in Europe and the United States. See Eric M. Zafran, Master Drawings from Titian to Picasso. The Curtis O. Baer Collection [exh. cat. High Museum of Art] (Atlanta, 1985), 60–61, no. 27.
2. Ilja M. Veldman, Maarten van Heemskerck and Dutch Humanism in the Sixteenth Century (Maarsen, 1977), 66; the series is discussed 62–70.

Fig. 1. Dirck Volckertz. Coornhert, The Triumph of Job, engraving. National Gallery of Art, Washington, Ailsa Mellon Bruce Fund
Vasari’s biography is one of the crucial early works on Michelangelo. It is notable not only for what it says, but also for the manner in which it was published. The first edition of Vasari’s Le vite de’ più eccellenti architetti, pittori, et scultori... was published in Florence in 1550, and in it was included a biography of Michelangelo, the only living artist to be so honored. Until Michelangelo’s death in 1564, the only other account of his life was Ascanio Condivi’s Vita di Michelagnolo Buonarroti published in Rome in 1553. Vasari issued this 1568 edition to correct what he felt were errors in Condivi’s account and also to incorporate new information found in Benedetto Varchi’s Orazione funerale (Florence, 1564), which describes the ceremonies at Michelangelo’s funeral.

It was clear to Vasari that this revision was necessary. He explained in the dedication to Alessandro de’ Medici that he had long felt the need to write a new biography of Michelangelo and would have done so but for the pressures of other work. By 1566 the text had been written and prepared for editing but was not printed until 1568, when it appeared in both the new edition of the Vite, which contained for the first time the woodcut portraits designed by Vasari, and in this separate biography with new title page, new dedication, and new colophon. It was Vasari’s decision to print the Michelangelo text by itself as well as to include it in the larger work. In the dedication to the present work he stated that many would want the life of Buonarroti separate from the other lives: “I have published some copies of Buonarroti alone for those who do not want or cannot have the whole book.”

Copies of this offprint are exceedingly rare and are found in only a few libraries in this country. There may have been only a very limited number of copies printed, or some other reason may explain its scarcity today. This homage to Michelangelo is a bibliographic rarity as the first offprint ever published. Its content qualifies it also to be called one of the finest offprints in history. With this gift, the National Gallery now has six of the seven earliest biographical sources for Michelangelo: the 1550 and 1568 editions of Vasari’s lives; the Condivi biography; and two of the three versions of the Michelangelo funeral ceremonies, those of Varchi and Tarsia (Florence, 1564).

To this outstanding illustrated book Elmar Seibel has added a second fiftieth-anniversary gift to represent his other primary interest, old master drawings. Virgil Solis’ David and Bathsheba is a charming example of the artist’s refined style in designing ornamental engravings and book illustrations. Solis was the most important graphic artist in Nuremberg in the sixteenth century. He continued the old German tradition in his love for textures and complex draperies. But he also showed the new winds of mannerism in his delicacy of posture and line, as in the sprightly fountain in the center of this drawing, which might be a forecast for a sculpture by Giam- bologna or Adrian de Vries.
VITA DEL GRAN MICHELAGNOLO BUVONARROTI.
Scritta da M. Giorgio Vasari; Pittore & Architetto Arcimo.
Con le sue Magnifiche Essegie stategli fatte in Fiorenza.
DALL' ACADÉMIA DEL DISEGNO.
Con Licenza, & Privilegio.
IN FIORENZA
Nella Stamperia de' Giunti 1568.
The Brescian artist Lattanzio Cambara was well known for his grand fresco cycles, especially those with complicated compositions of figures seen from below (di sotto in su). Besides his native city he worked also in Cremona and Parma. He was influenced by Pordenone and Giulio Romano as well as the Campi family, with whom he worked.\(^1\) In Parma between 1567 and 1573 Cambara painted the extensive cycle of the scenes of the life of Christ in the nave of the duomo. Below these horizontal narrative compositions he frescoed prophets on clouds in the pendentives of the arches.\(^2\) The present drawing of a figure seen from below is possibly a preliminary study for one of these prophets, though this particular figure does not appear there. The immediacy of the muscular figure suggests it could be based on a live model; however, it probably was inspired by Michelangelo’s ignudi on the Sistine Chapel ceiling in the Vatican, known through the many copies circulating in Italy. The muscle and bone structure of the nude is rather generalized, further supporting the contention that Cambara was drawing from memory instead of from a studio model. Like some of Gambara’s other drawings for the prophets,\(^3\) the artist employed the edge of a piece of black chalk for broad strokes in order to render an atmospheric ambience for the figure. The squaring indicates an intention to transfer the composition to another sheet or a cartoon.

Yvonne Tan Bunzl’s gift is a welcome addition to the National Gallery’s graphic arts collection, as this vigorous study will be the Gallery’s first drawing by any of the sixteenth-century artists from Brescia and Cremona.

**Diane De Grazia**
The naturalness of the handmaiden’s pose as she is caught in midturn was based on studies directly from life: Barocci drew continually from persons on the street and from his own models as they walked around the studio. It is this natural quality of this artist’s figures and the immediacy and believability of his subjects that made him popular with church authorities intent on conveying religious concepts in a direct manner understandable to a large audience; it is his color and vibrantly dramatic compositions that made him influential with seventeenth-century artists and writers. Barocci’s beautiful and direct chalk studies of heads such as this one were imitated immediately, and the type was developed throughout the seventeenth century in Italy, culminating in the elaborate pastel portraits fashionable in eighteenth-century France.

Federico Barocci worked in Rome briefly at the beginning of his career (in the 1550s and again in the early 1560s), returning for the rest of his life to his native Urbino because of poor health caused, it was said, by poison from jealous Roman artists. In spite of his residence in far-off Umbria, his paintings were highly prized in the papal city. It often took years for a commission by Barocci to be completed, yet Roman confraternities and cardinals waited patiently for his dramatic and emotionally charged religious subjects representative of the current Counter-Reformation taste.

In 1582, after negotiations with the duke of Urbino, the Oratorian Fathers successfully obtained Barocci’s consent to paint a Visitation for the Chapel of the Visitation in their church, Santa Maria in Vallicella (the “Chiesa Nuova”). In 1586, when the painting was installed, it was admired by both artistic and religious visitors to the church and helped secure the artist’s fame in Rome. (Barocci’s biographer, Giovanni Pietro Bellori, writing in 1672, said that the Oratorians’ founder, Saint Filippo Neri, was so impressed by Barocci’s painting that he came to this chapel to perform his private devotions.) Barocci’s slowness in finishing this and other paintings was caused not just by the illness that often kept him idle but by the elaborate care and preparation in his working procedure, attested by his immense graphic output. After setting the basic elements of a painting in compositional sketches, Barocci made numerous chalk studies of individual figures and combinations of figures, including separate studies for heads and limbs and drapery. After this came full compositional chalk and oil sketches (modelli), the full-scale cartoon, and other oil sketches of single figures and heads to correct color and pose. At all stages of this elaborate process the artist would modify the design as he saw fit.

Our drawing, a study of the head of Mary’s attendant at the right of the Visitation, belongs to this second phase of individual chalk study. Here Barocci worked with colored chalks on blue paper, the drawing type for which he is celebrated and which he helped develop into a painterly medium. By combining colored and black chalk in this head, Barocci sought to test the play of light on the woman’s face with the colored chalks and to reevaluate her pose with the black chalk outlines. The pentimenti to the nose and eyes indicate he was not yet satisfied with the angle of her head. Indeed, in further studies her profile was altered subtly to its final position in the painting, where her head is tilted slightly more at an angle and her features are somewhat more visible to the viewer as she looks up toward the Virgin she is serving.

This drawing is the fifth by Barocci to enter the National Gallery. Three of these are studies of figures in which the artist was contemplating poses for paintings; the other is an oil sketch of the head of Saint John the Evangelist. The Head of a Woman is a wonderful complement to this nucleus of a great draftsman’s oeuvre and the first of its type, the pastel head study, in the collection.

Diane De Grazia
HANS BOL
1534–1593

Winter Landscape with Skaters
c. 1584/1586
Pen and brown ink, brown wash
7¾ x 10½ (19.2 x 25.8)
Gift of Robert H. and Clarice Smith

Hans Bol's spirited drawing depicts several of the delights of winter in the Netherlands. The populace has turned out to skate or simply to enjoy a stroll on the frozen waterway that runs through their town. Elegantly posed even when they are falling down, the groups of skaters form gentle curves that carry the viewer's gaze into the distance. The leafless trees on either side of the canal also define the space of the landscape. Working only in brown ink and wash, Bol deftly captured the cold, pale light of winter.

One of the most productive and talented Netherlandish artists of the sixteenth century, Hans Bol was born on 16 December 1534 in Mechelen (Malines) and trained there as a painter. Following a trip to Germany, including two years in Heidelberg, Bol returned to Mechelen and in 1560 joined the painters' guild. The Spanish occupation forced him in 1572 to leave Mechelen for Antwerp, where he entered the guild in 1574. Because of the spreading political and religious conflict Bol left Antwerp in 1584 and went northward. He settled in Amsterdam in 1591 and died there in 1593. Although Bol was a skilled painter and miniaturist, he is best known for his drawings, which number in the hundreds, and for many prints both by and after him.

Bol's drawings were often made for prints, but William W. Robinson has shown that Winter Landscape with Skaters is related to a miniature (Residenzmuseum, Munich) depicting winter, part of a series of the Four Seasons. Although the drawing is not directly preparatory to the more elaborate miniature there is a clear relationship between the two. The miniature is dated 1586 and thus suggests a date of c. 1584/1586 for the drawing, which is in accord with our understanding of Bol's late style.

While the National Gallery of Art owns several prints based on his designs, Winter Landscape with Skaters is the first drawing by Hans Bol to enter the collection. A work of outstanding quality, it significantly enriches the Gallery's holdings and joins a number of other extremely fine Netherlandish drawings, including David Vinckboon's Venetian Party in a Château Garden (1986.76.1), which have been generously given over many years by Robert H. and Clarice Smith.

John Oliver Hand

PROVENANCE
Heinrich Wilhelm Campe (Lugt 1391); Dr. Carlos Gaa (his sale, Boerner, Leipzig, 9–10 May 1930, no. 56); Kostuzow collection; (Schaeffer Galleries, New York); J. Theodor Cremer, New York (his sale, Sotheby Mak van Waay, Amsterdam, 17 November 1980, no. 96).

NOTE
Unquestionably one of the greatest graphic artists of the Renaissance, Hendrik Goltzius was born in Mühlbracht (now Bracht) on the Lower Rhine and in 1574, while still in Germany, he apprenticed with the Netherlandish engraver Dirck Volkertsz. Coornhert. Soon after 1576 Goltzius followed his teacher to Haarlem and it was there in 1583 that he met the artist, theoretician, and biographer Karel van Mander. Van Mander introduced Goltzius to the mannerist style of Bartholomeus Spranger and from 1585 on Goltzius made engravings after Spranger’s drawings.

Goltzius’ drawing, here shown for the first time, was made in preparation for an engraving of Ignis (fire) dated 1586, which is one of a series depicting the Four Elements—air, earth, fire, and water—and is the work of an anonymous engraver who was probably a member of Goltzius’ workshop. Ignis is personified as a young male, nude except for a billowing cloak, who holds aloft a bolt of lightning with one hand and in the other a sphere or cannonball out of which burst flames. Next to his right foot is the artist’s monogram, HG in ligature. At the lower left is a dragonlike creature who is appropriately surrounded by flames and smoke. At the right background a group of figures are gathered near a burnt offering on an altar, a possible reference to the sacrificial use of fire in the Old Testament.

Ignis is an excellent example of the free and somewhat sketchy preliminary drawings that Goltzius often made for engravings and demonstrates his assured spontaneity in rendering the human figure and his virtuoso handling of line, wash, and heightening. The influence of Spranger’s mannered style can be seen in the elegant pose and attenuated proportions of Ignis.

By virtue of its comparatively early date, freshness, and finesse of execution, Ignis is a vitally important addition to the collection. With five drawings already in its possession the National Gallery is a major repository of Goltzius drawings in the United States.

John Oliver Hand

PROVENANCE
Anne Verriest Badgley.
GIAMBOLOGNA
(Jean Boulogne, Giovanni Bologna)
1529–1608

Christ Crucified, before 1588 (?)
Bronze
14½ x 10½ (36 x 25)
Gift of Mr. and Mrs. John R. Gaines in Memory of Clarence F. and Amelia R. Gaines

Giambologna, born in Flanders but active for most of his career in Florence, in his lifetime achieved fame as a sculptor second only to that of his older contemporary Michelangelo. With superlative command of anatomy and graceful twisting movement, he brought unsurpassed mastery of bronze and marble technique to his creation of a population of elegantly idealized human types, finished with exquisite refinement. Because Giambologna worked in the portable medium of the small bronze as well as large marbles, his sculpture reached and influenced a wide international audience. His principal patrons, the Medici grand dukes of Florence, collected his works and commissioned them as diplomatic gifts to other rulers so that they became treasures of the courts of Europe.

Giambologna conceived a fragile, spiritualized human ideal in this crucified Christ. The ethereally slender figure appears to float almost weightlessly against the cross. Only the head, drooping heavily on the chest, and the bulging, closed eyes give a sense of suffering. The elegantly curling hair and beard and the subtle spiral torsion of the pose are characteristic of the artist. A dark red lacquer on the beautifully modeled back of the bronze figure is as worn as that on the front, suggesting the bronze Christ long lacked the protection of a cross behind it.

Alison Luchs

PROVENANCE
European art market; Michael Hall Fine Arts, before 1971; Mr. and Mrs. John R. Gaines, c. 1983.

NOTES
2. The Florentine Christs cited are 45.8 and 46.8 cm high, respectively. On Giambologna’s crucifixes see Katharine J. Watson in London 1978, 45–47, 140–146 (present example illustrated on 146, cat. 110); Avery 1987, 202.
HENDRIK GOLTZIUS
1558–1617

Hercules and Cacus, 1588
Chiaroscuro woodcut, printed in olive and pale ocher
15 5/8 x 13 (40.7 x 33.4)
Gift of Dr. Ruth B. Benedict in Honor of Andrew Robison

_Hercules and Cacus_ is the largest, most impressive, and perhaps earliest of Hendrik Goltzius' chiaroscuro woodcuts and is the only one to be dated in the block.¹ The woodcut was made in three blocks, one line and two tone blocks in olive and ocher, a striking visual combination. In this beautiful and clean impression the crisp lines and the contrasting olive green and pale ocher tones make the entire image bright and bathe the scene in a warm, glowing light. The woodcut is full of the contrasts of light and shade for which the chiaroscuro technique was invented.

While the number of Goltzius' engravings is large, his known woodcut oeuvre is small, between eighteen and twenty-four prints, but it comprises some of his best-known work.² During the mid-1580s, when Goltzius came under the influence of the Antwerp artist Bartholomeus Spranger, Goltzius' first woodcuts were made. The bravura and freedom of line, energy, and treatment of light and dark in this early woodcut reflect Spranger's mannerist style,³ while at the same time creating an image that is distinctly Goltzius' own.

_Hercules and Cacus_ depicts the ancient Roman legend as told by Ovid and Virgil of the fire-breathing, half-human son of Vulcan. Cacus stole some of the cattle of Geryon, shown on the right, which were being driven home to Greece by Hercules. Following the sound of the lowing cattle, Hercules entered the cave of Cacus in the Aventine Hills, and after a battle killed the giant.

This extremely fine print further strengthens the Gallery’s excellent woodcut holdings by this key printmaker, which include the _Arcadian Landscape_ on blue paper, _Cliffs of a Seashore_, also on blue paper but with white highlights done by hand, and the complete chiaroscuro woodcut series of the four landscapes and the Gods and Goddesses. The Gallery has a later impression of Goltzius' _Hercules and Cacus_ in shades of brown. The much earlier printing and rarer olive and ocher tones of Dr. Benedict's gift, together with its unusually fine impression and condition, make it a crucial addition and a highlight for the Gallery's graphic collection of Goltzius' woodcuts.

**PROVENANCE**
Acquired in 1977 from Zeitlin & Ver Brugge, Los Angeles.

**NOTES**
1. The signature, HGoltzius [invenit], leaves out the usual _fecit_, suggesting that Goltzius did not actually cut the block himself as was the case in some of his later blocks, but instead entrusted that task to a master cutter. Walter L. Strauss, ed., _Hendrik Goltzius 1558–1617: The Complete Engravings and Woodcuts_, 2 vols. (New York, 1977), 2:696.
HENDRIK GOLTZIUS
1558–1617

Pietà, 1596
Engraving
6 7/8 x 4 7/8 (17.5 x 12.6)

Promised Gift of
Ruth and Jacob Kainen

The Pietà, dated 1596, is an extraordinary example of Goltzius' skill with the engraver's burin. The pose of the Virgin lamenting and holding the dead Christ recalls Michelangelo's Pietà, which Goltzius saw in Rome during his Italian sojourn of 1590/1591. The overwhelming influence on this print, however, is that of the great German artist Albrecht Dürer (1471–1528). The technique of precisely controlled stipplings and hatchings, the richly textured night sky, the small size, and even the way the monogram in the foreground is presented are thoroughly in Dürer's style. In the Schilder-boek of 1604, Karel van Mander characterized his friend as a "phenomenal Proteus." Here Goltzius has not copied or paraphrased Dürer, but rather achieved a Protean transformation that enabled him to create new images imbued with Dürer's spirit. Van Mander reported that, when their monograms were erased, certain of Goltzius' prints were hailed as long-lost originals by Albrecht Dürer and Lucas van Leyden. The virtuosity of hand and mind required to convincingly assimilate another artist's style is at once a mannerist conceit and an act of homage. In a larger context, the Pietà is part of the "Dürer Renaissance" that took place in northern and central Europe at the end of the sixteenth century.

Until now the National Gallery's large and representative collection of prints by Hendrik Goltzius has included two impressions of the Pietà. Both, however, are eclipsed by the brilliance, richness, and outstanding state of preservation of this impression so generously offered by Ruth and Jacob Kainen.

John Oliver Hand

PROVENANCE
R. Esmerion.
SOUTH GERMAN (Augsburg?)

Pütà, 1580s, after El Greco
Gilded bronze relief plaquette
7⅜ x 5⅛ (18.6 x 12.9)

Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Anthony Geber
in Memory of Dr. Antal and
Klarissza Geber

As Ulrich Middeldorf remarked about another cast of this design, “this Pütà was perhaps the most famous sacred image in Europe around 1600.” Its figural composition was developed by an unknown plaquette maker, probably in Rome, from the closely related design of a small oil sketch by El Greco, which in turn was based on Michelangelo’s marble Pietà of c. 1547–1553. El Greco’s sketch of the early 1570s (fig. 1) apparently also inspired a marble altar relief, The Dead Christ Supported by Two Angels, in San Giuliano, Venice, signed by Girolamo Campagna in 1577/1578. The plaquette replicates the figural composition of the Greco sketch except that it substitutes a position of Christ’s legs common to Campagna’s relief and to a 1571 painting by Bronzino in Santissima Annunziata, Florence. In reducing Greco’s four-figure group to two, the plaquette artist substituted his seated Virgin’s lap for Greco’s right-hand figure; but his retention of Christ’s right arm as extended only over a void, rather than a left-hand figure, demonstrates not only that the Greco sketch was certainly his prototype, but that he did not trust his own ability to make fundamental revisions to its design.

The composition’s group of Christ and the Virgin is reproduced or closely reflected in pictures by Otto van Veen (1556–1629), Leandro Bassano (1557–1622), and Lodovico Cigoli (1559–1613) as well as Anthony van Dyck (1599–1641). Although none of their pictures happens to be dated, two large-scale sculptural derivations of the figure group (from as far afield as central Germany) are dated by inscriptions to 1589, proving the design to have been in existence by that date. Casts of the plaquette were even imported by Christian missionaries into Japan, presumably before a severe phase of religious intolerance swept that country in 1614. Those “exports,” however, like the version exhibited here, represent the second form in which early examples of the plaquette are widely found. In the rarer original (Roman?) type, the group of the Virgin with the Dead Christ is silhouetted on a blank background; such plaquettes, of which seven specimens are known, measure about 19 x 14 cm on average. In this immediately subsequent variant type, whose eleven specimens average about 18 x 12.5 cm, a characteristically south German background is inserted.

The National Gallery’s example of this handsome relief is the largest specimen of its type and also one of the finest. The Gallery’s outstanding collection of Renaissance plaquettes is principally focused on Italian designs, and what northern European examples are included are mostly of the earlier sixteenth or later seventeenth centuries. The nearest parallel to the date and origin of this important object is an Augsburg silvered plaquette of c. 1600, the Adoration of the Shepherds, itself a recent gift to the Gallery’s collections; together they make particularly strong additions to an area still underrepresented in our holdings.

Douglas Lewis

PROVENANCE
Dr. Antal Geber (1879–1962), Budapest, Hungary (by 1924); by gift in 1946 to Mr. and Mrs. Anthony Geber.

NOTES
This vivid characterization exemplifies a genre of small sculptures whose production brought fame to the Renaissance cities of south Germany, especially Nuremberg and Augsburg. Indeed Nuremberg was regarded as the birthplace of the metal now called brass, a copper alloy whose second principal ingredient (instead of tin, which produces bronze) is zinc, of which this cast is typical in containing some fifteen percent. The relatively easy casting and attractive golden color of this alloy made it a favorite for fine metalworking, a craft in which Nuremberg artisans were particularly distinguished during the late Renaissance.

This delicately poised Stag closely reproduces a type developed in the 1580s as hollow drinking vessels, usually in gilded silver, that were produced by master goldsmiths for their princely patrons in the south German courts. An early example in the same pose as the National Gallery bronze is the vessel made by Georg Hellthaler of Augsburg for Count Wolfgang von Hohenlohe-Neuenstein, who inaugurated it on 8 August 1581 at Hermersberg Castle. For this project Hellthaler made a drawing that could well have been the model for successive versions of the object. Another vessel of the same design was made in 1586/1589 by Abraham Altermann of Stuttgart for Prince Ludwig of Württemberg, while a third—identical save for a reversal of the legs—was produced by Elias Zorer of Augsburg between 1586 and 1590. These silver examples have collars encircling the stags’ necks to mask the joint between cover and container; the removal of the stags’ heads evidently prompted a subsidiary type, an antlered head designed as a wall ornament.

Another bronze cast of the same model as the National Gallery’s Stag, which has been published by Elisabeth Dhanens as a Nuremberg work of the end of the sixteenth century, is gilded. Such a connection of surface as well as of form confirms the derivation of these anonymous bronze versions from the gilded silver examples by famous goldsmiths from other south German centers, especially Augsburg. The bronzes exhibit greater grace and suppleness in the musculature, silhouette, and implied motion of the animals as well as extraordinary refinement of texture. Such detailed surface treatment emphasizes a special advantage of their brassy alloys: much deeper and richer chaising than possible on a thin silver shell.

The surface characteristics of these bronzes of the 1590s are paralleled in a related bronze type of a Leaping Stag Attacked by a Hound, which derives from a different series of goldsmiths’ models of the 1590s through the 1620s; a variant of this second bronze type has been tentatively ascribed to Francesco Fanelli. The class of designs based on a leaping stag has been connected with a much-restored antique marble in the Vatican museums, but in fact its silver cognates may have developed independently, in the same orbit of the south German goldsmiths who had previously produced the striding model reflected in the National Gallery bronze. Both types may reflect similar small bronzes from the ancient world, while the leaping, multanimal groups may recall the more movement late sixteenth-century inventions of Giovanni Bologna in Florence. 

**Douglas Lewis**

**PROVENANCE**


**NOTES**


2. Surface composition of the alloy includes approximately 80% copper, 15% zinc, 5% tin, 2% lead, 0.3% iron, and 0.07% each of antimony and silver: preliminary analysis by energy dispersive x-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (XRF), on 11 December 1990 by Deborah Rendahl and Lisha Glinsman, of the National Gallery of Art Science Department.


5. Gilded silver, 25.5 cm high with base, private collection, Switzerland; Karlsruhe 1986, 2:633, no. L 30, repr.


7. Example in Museum für Kunstgewerbe, Berlin; gilded bronze, 16.5 cm high, Klaus Pechstein, _Bronzen und Plaketten_ (Berlin, 1968), no. 13, repr.

8. Gilded bronze, 29.5 x 34.5 cm, private collection, Belgium; _Bronzes de la Renaissance_ [exh. cat. Château de Larne] (Brussels, 1967), 156–157, no. 106, repr.

9. 10.5 x 12.7 cm, Michael Hall Fine Arts, New York; Penelope Hunter-Stiebel, _A Bronze Bestiary_ [exh. cat. Rosenberg & Stiebel] (New York, 1985), 59, no. 41, repr.


11. Bronzes, 19 cm high; two examples in private collections; Hans R. Weihrauch, _Europäische Bronzestatuetten_ (Braunschweig, 1967), 237, fig. 288.


13. Examples in Louvre, Paris; bronze, fourth century B.C., Greek, found at Sybaris in Italy: Marcel Brion, _Animals in Art_ (London, 1959), 60, repr.; and in Museo Nazionale, Naples; bronze, 95.0 cm high, first century A.D., Roman, found at Herculanenum: Kenneth Clark, _Animals and Men_ (London, 1977), 138, fig. 98, 232, no. 98.

In the many ways that Annibale Carracci changed the course of the history of art, perhaps the most lasting was his innovation in the portrayal of landscape. He was the first to represent views in a naturalistic manner, raising the genre to an independent status and freeing it from its role as adjunct to literary and religious themes. Very few of the artist's authentic independent landscape paintings survive, and the National Gallery is fortunate to possess one of the handful of his major paintings in this genre. The Landscape with Figures by an Estuary with Sailing Boats is only the second drawing by Annibale and his first landscape drawing to enter the Gallery. It will complement the two major landscape drawings by his brother Agostino already in the collection.

This composition must have been an extremely popular image, for it was copied at least three times and etched once. The other versions differ little in content but greatly in quality. Scholars agree that the present drawing is the prime version. Since the Chatsworth and Oxford drawings extend the composition at right and left, it is likely that our drawing was once somewhat wider at left: the tree at the edge may have been more complete. However, it is difficult to determine if the additions made at the right of the Chatsworth and Oxford drawings, which make less sense, were once evident in the National Gallery sheet. The extra windows on the building, the extension of the sloped roof, and the half-hearted completion of the tree suggest that Annibale's composition was either complete as it stands or already cut down when copied. These drawings also exhibit misunderstanding of the economical use of lines to indicate form found in Annibale's sheet. Jean Baptiste Corneille's etching, in reverse, also extends the composition on either side, embellishes the foreground with rocks and plants, and adds swirling smoke and clouds to the sky, showing failure to comprehend the simplicity of the original. Such embellishments make it impossible to know whether Corneille copied Annibale's drawing or one of the copies.

With a minimum of strokes — outlines with little shading — the artist has evoked an atmosphere of calm tranquility on a clear day, much as Rembrandt was to do in drawings a generation later. Although Annibale's landscapes are difficult to date, this economy of means and naturalism of setting indicate the drawing dates before Annibale's departure for Rome in 1595. In the Carracci academy artists often played graphic games, trying to suggest a subject with the minimum of strokes. The Landscape may belong to this category and consequently may date to Annibale's Bolognese years when he was still active in the teaching methods of the academy. In any case, this evocative sheet is one of the most copied of any of Annibale's landscape drawings, indicating its importance and that of its author.

Diane De Grazia

NOTES
4. (Formerly) Chatsworth 461, Chatsworth Settlement Sale, London, Christie's, see Old Master Drawings from Chatsworth, 3 July 1984, under lot 3; Oxford, Christ Church 1017, see James Byam Shaw, Drawings by Old Masters at Christ Church Oxford (Oxford, 1976), 1:249–250 (under cat. 944); and Edinburgh, National Gallery of Scotland, D852, see Keith Andrews, National Gallery of Scotland: Catalogue of Italian Drawings (Cambridge, 1971), 1:33 (under cat. 37). The etching by Jean Baptiste Corneille is found in Recueil de 283 Estampes... d'Apres les Dessins des grands Maîtres que possèdent autrefois M. Jabach et qui depuis ont passé au Cabinet du Roy (Paris, 1754), 47A.
5. This places the provenance of the National Gallery sheet into question since Corneille published his etching in a book after drawings in Jabach's collection.
6. Rembrandt knew and owned Annibale's drawings. It has been suggested in the Christie's sale catalogue that the Chatsworth sheet may be a copy by Rembrandt of the National Gallery drawing.
JAN BRUEGHEL THE ELDER
1568–1625

A Basket of Mixed Flowers and a Vase of Flowers, 1615
Panel
21⅞ x 35⅞ (55 x 90)
Promised Gift of Mrs. Paul Mellon

This beautifully preserved panel painting will be the earliest Flemish still life in the National Gallery’s collection, and the first painting there by this extremely important master.

Referring to one of his own paintings in a letter of 1606 to Cardinal Federico Borromeo, Jan Brueghel the Elder queried “whether these flowers do not surpass gold and jewels.” Certainly the artist’s prosperity and his nickname “Flower” Brueghel suggest that he was not alone in holding his work in high regard. In the early years of the seventeenth century, Brueghel had turned increasing attention to flowers, probably in response to a growing demand for still-life and flower paintings. His vibrant colors, delicate yet painterly application of paint, and innovative arrangements were greatly admired.

The son of Pieter Brueghel the Elder, Jan Brueghel was trained in Antwerp by the painter and art dealer Pieter Goetkindt and probably by Gillis van Coninxloo. In the early 1590s he traveled extensively and visited, among other centers, Cologne, Rome, Naples, and Milan. In Milan he met his lifelong patron Cardinal Federico Borromeo. Over the years the cardinal would correspond with this Flemish artist whose works he considered “the lightness of nature itself.” Brueghel returned to Antwerp in 1595; there he married twice, raised a large family, and established an atelier. He served as dean of the Guild of Saint Luke, owned some five houses by the age of fifty, and received numerous privileges and honors in his role as a court painter to Archduke Ferdinand and Archduchess Isabella. These ranged from a remission from taxes to the right to study rare plants and animals in the royal gardens. Throughout his Antwerp years Brueghel collaborated with numerous artists, most notably his friend Peter Paul Rubens. When he died of cholera in 1625 Rubens wrote his epitaph.

This work is a fascinating example of Brueghel’s flower paintings, for it juxtaposes the natural splendor of a random arrangement of cut flowers in a basket with an arrangement of tulips, buttercups, and other delicate flowers in a Venetian glass. This combination of motifs provided Brueghel with a wonderful vehicle for emphasizing the freshness of the flowers, for it seems that the floral arrangement has been created from blossoms that have just been brought from the garden. Brueghel’s manipulation of paint, which ranges from thick impastos to thin glazes, conveys the delicacy of thin forms with such naturalness that his contemporaries could even imagine their fragrant odors. Brueghel’s basket of flowers, however, could never have existed in reality. The blossoms represented did not all grow at the same season of the year. Late-winter snowdrops mix freely with spring narcissus and summer roses while stems of wild buttercups tangle with those of cultivated carnations.

The unusual combination of a basket containing a profusion of blossoms and an informal arrangement of flowers in a small glass vase must have been admired, for Brueghel repeated the composition two years later. Contemporary appreciation of Brueghel’s flower arrangements, however, embraced far more than recognition of their beauty and the artist’s skill: Brueghel’s patron Cardinal Borromeo saw in such paintings a means for contemplating God’s grandeur. This remarkable painting still invites the viewer to delight in a glorious profusion of flowers that reflects the deeply abiding faith in God’s munificence that Brueghel shared with his contemporaries.

Arthur K. Wheelock, Jr.

PROVENANCE
Sold, Galerie Paul Brandt, Amsterdam, 10 October 1967.

NOTES
In one of the most delightful episodes of the Old Testament (Gen. 18.1–10), the hundred-year-old Abraham is visited by three angels. The anxious host rushes to greet his guests, offering them water with which to wash, shade in which to rest, and fresh milk, bread, and a dressed calf with which to refresh themselves. Unknown to the patriarch, they have come to foretell the birth of the son of whom he had abandoned all hope.

Typological readings of this scene abound. The visitation of the angels and the breaking of the bread have been read as Old Testament prefigurations of the Annunciation and the Last Supper. Saint Augustine stressed the trinitarian aspect of the visitors, while during the Counter-Reformation a new emphasis was placed on Abraham's gracious behavior: his example of providing hospitality to strangers was associated with one of the acts of mercy.1

The subject was particularly popular in the Netherlands in the seventeenth century. It was treated by a number of Dutch artists who traveled to Rome early in the century and were influenced by the work of German expatriate Adam Elsheimer. Tengnagel, who was in Rome in 1608, and his brother-in-law, Pieter Lastman, were members of this group, which has come to be known as the “Pre-Rembrandtists.” Rembrandt and members of his school also treated this scene.

Tengnagel's drawing, although neither signed nor dated, is characteristic of his style in the 1610s, when he had returned from Rome and had come under Lastman's influence. Characteristic is the emphasis on gesture and expression to clarify the dramatic moment of the story. Here Abraham is clearly responding to the announcement that he will father a son. Sarah peeks out from behind the door of the house while a figure in the lower right reaches into a basket for food for the guests. The entire scene is animated by quick rhythms of pen strokes and bold accents in wash.

Finished drawings such as this are extremely rare in Tengnagel's oeuvre. The Gallery has no other work by this master and no work by any of the other Pre-Rembrandtists. This drawing thus is of particular importance to our collection.

Arthur K. Wheelock, Jr.

PROVENANCE

NOTE
By the end of the sixteenth century the four artists who had created the golden age of Venetian painting—Titian, Jacopo Bassano, Tintoretto, and Veronese—had all passed into history. The younger generation, which looked back nostalgically to their predecessors, was headed by Jacopo Palma, known as “il Giovane” to distinguish him from his great-uncle Palma Vecchio.1 After returning to Venice from a Roman sojourn about 1570, Palma assisted Titian, completing the Pietà meant for Titian’s tomb (Accademia, Venice) and leaving an eyewitness account of his aged master’s unorthodox working methods. In his production from this period Palma was an eclectic, combining elements from all of the major sixteenth-century Venetian masters. But increasingly it was Tintoretto who emerged as Palma’s chief source of inspiration. Tintoretto’s manner, like the late Titian’s, was highly personal. Palma transformed their styles into a more straightforward visual idiom, one well suited to his vast output (more than six hundred paintings survive). Palma’s style also effectively conveyed the ideals of the Catholic Counter-Reformation, which called for a more direct and moving presentation of religious themes.

The Lamentation is a late work (c. 1620), which epitomizes Palma’s approach as an artist: though the elongated proportions and poses of the figures and the dramatic lighting recall Tintoretto, Palma has arranged his figures in a simple arc surrounding Christ’s body, which tilts forward, casting the head in shadow.2 The Savior’s pale form, emerging from the darkness into the light, is the fulcrum of the composition. To heighten the emotional impact of the painting, Palma spotlighted the sorrowful gestures and expressions of the mourners, who include the Virgin shown on the right, opposite the Magdalen, as she displays Christ’s wounded hand. Above are a third Mary, the bearded Nicodemus, and Saint John, dressed in tones of blue, red, green, and gold, which set off the ghostly white of Christ’s body and shroud. This small altarpiece by Palma is the first work by the artist to join the Gallery’s superb holdings of Venetian Renaissance paintings.

David Alan Brown

NOTES
1. About the artist see Nicola Ivanov and Pietro Zampetti, Palma il Giovane (Bergamo, 1975); and Stefania Mason Rinaldi, Palma il Giovane. L’opera completa (Milan, 1984).
HENDRICK TER BRUGGHEN
1588–1629

The Mocking of Christ, c. 1625
Oil on canvas
37½ x 49 (95.2 x 125.7)

Promised Gift of David E. Rust

Ter Bruggen is rightly considered the first and most important of the Dutch Caravaggisti, the designation of a number of artists from Utrecht who traveled to Italy in the first decades of the seventeenth century and returned to the Netherlands under the influence of Caravaggio. The dramatically conceived history paintings and genre scenes of the Caravaggisti had tremendous impact in the north, and their influence can be seen in the works of artists as diverse as Frans Hals, Rembrandt van Rijn, and Johannes Vermeer.

New biographical information about Hendrick ter Bruggen has recently been discovered that has changed our understanding of the origin of this fascinating Dutch artist. Ter Bruggen was neither born in the province of Overijssel as has always been thought, nor was he the son of a Catholic family.3 His father originally came from Utrecht, but had moved to The Hague as a process-server (“deurwaarder”) for the court of Holland (“Hof van Holland”) prior to Hendrick’s birth in 1588. It is not known when the family returned to Utrecht, but Hendrick certainly studied with the important Utrecht painter Abraham Bloemaert before he set off to Italy around 1604.

Little is known about the ten years he is reputed to have stayed there other than he traveled to Rome, Naples, and Milan. Without doubt, however, he was struck by the revolutionary work of Caravaggio. Shortly after Ter Bruggen returned to Utrecht in 1614 he joined the Guild of Saint Luke and executed his first known painting, The Supper at Emmaus, 1616 (The Toledo Museum of Art), a work that is clearly based on Caravaggio’s depiction of the same subject (National Gallery, London).

It is possible that he returned to Rome for a short stay around 1620, but this proposed second trip to Italy remains a controversial idea.3 Such a renewed contact with the Italian followers of Caravaggio, in particular Orazio Gentileschi, may account for the transformations in Ter Bruggen’s style that occur around 1621. On the other hand, returning to Utrecht at just this time were the other two important Utrecht Caravaggisti, Gerrit van Honthorst and Dirck van Baburen, and their influence may have encouraged Ter Bruggen’s stylistic transformation, which consisted of more focused compositions, a lighter palette, and broader brushwork than seen in his earlier work. Ter Bruggen enjoyed considerable success during the 1620s and seems to have developed a workshop in which replicas and versions of a number of his compositions were created. No specific information about the nature of this workshop, however, is known.3

The designation “Utrecht Caravaggisti,” however appropriate for Ter Bruggen and his contemporaries, obscures the complex character of Ter Bruggen’s art, for his work, as is clear from this fascinating painting of The Mocking of Christ, is also imbued with the spirit of early sixteenth-century northern traditions. In this striking work Ter Bruggen has intensified Christ’s humiliation by tightly cropping the composition and bringing the confrontation to the immediate foreground. In this respect and in the broadly executed forms and the light tonality of the colors, Ter Bruggen’s style relates to the work of Caravaggio. Thematically, however, Ter Bruggen has taken his subject from the graphic work of Lucas van Leyden and Albrecht Dürer. The stark contrast between Christ’s serenity and the intense degradation inflicted by the mocking youth and the brute force exerted by the figure pressing the crown of thorns on the Savior’s head are elements found in both Dürer’s and Lucas van Leyden’s work. The fusion of these two traditions gives Ter Bruggen’s work an extraordinary intensity that is unlike that of any of his contemporaries.

Dating this painting, as is often the case with Ter Bruggen’s oeuvre, is quite complicated. Nicolson placed it about 1620, at the moment that Ter Bruggen executed his large Mocking of Christ in Copenhagen.4 This painting also contains many references to prints by Lucas van Leyden and Dürer.5 Such an early date, however, seems unlikely given the broad execution of the painting, which is more compatible with Ter Bruggen’s style from the mid-1620s.6 At that time Ter Bruggen seems to have had renewed interest in a consciously archaizing style similar to that evident in this work.7

A number of pentimenti exist, particularly in the robes of Christ, that are consistent with those found in other of Ter Bruggen’s authentic works. Nevertheless, the execution in the background is quite stiff and falls below the standard generally associated with the artist. Nicolson already had suggested that the painting may have been executed with the help of studio assistants.8 However, the problems are more likely the result of overpainting. X-radiographs reveal a pattern of vertical lines that indicate that the canvas was once rolled. Restoration, especially in the background, is quite extensive.

This work, which is the first painting by Ter Bruggen or any of the Utrecht Caravaggisti to enter the Gallery, is an extremely important addition to our collection. It represents both an artist and a type of painting that had tremendous influence in Dutch art in the seventeenth century.

Arthur K. Wheelock, Jr.

NOTES
1. The fact that Ter Bruggen married in 1616 in the Reformed Church in Utrecht and had his children baptized in the Reformed Church indicates as well that he was a Protestant and not a Catholic. The most recent biographical information comes largely from the result of discoveries by Marten Jan Bok and is contained in Nieuw Licht op de Gouden Eeuw: Hendrick ter Bruggen en zijn genotven [exh. cat. Centraal Museum] (Utrecht, 1987), 65–75. This account modifies an earlier publication of many of the new documents: M. J. Bok and Y. Kobayashi, “New data on Hendrick ter Bruggen,” Hoogsteder-Naumann Mercurey 1 (1985), 7–34.
3. Leonard Slatkes in Utrecht 1987, 109, speculated that Ter Bruggen shared a workshop with Dirck van Baburen after the latter’s return to Utrecht in 1621 and until his death in 1624.
6. This date was first suggested to me by Leonard Slatkes, whose observations about this painting have been most helpful.
7. See, for example, his Crucifixion with Mary and Saint John, c. 1624–1626, The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York.
ROELANDT SAVERY
1576–1639

Landscape with Animals and Figures, 1624
Oil on panel
21½ x 36 (54.6 x 91.4)
Gift of Robert H. and Clarice Smith

In a dense mountainous forest several groups of cattle, sheep, and goats have been brought to drink from a trough fed by a fountain, while the herdsmen rest or talk with one another. In addition to the domestic animals there are numerous wild creatures: birds fill the air and in the shadowy woods at the left are deer, mountain goats, and two storks. Prominently displayed in the center is a round tower, which is in ruins and in the process of being overgrown with vegetation. One wonders whether this landscape might be imbued with an allegorical or moralizing meaning and, in particular, whether the tower and accompanying ruins might allude to the triumph of nature and time over man’s creations or perhaps be a nostalgic reference to a “Golden Age” now fallen into decay.

A figure of considerable importance for the history of northern European art, Roelandt Savery was born at Kortrijk (Courtrai) in Flanders in 1576, but he and his family fled during the religious upheavals of the 1580s. It is likely that he went northward to Antwerp, Dordrecht, and Haarlem, and probably was in Amsterdam in 1591 where his older brother and teacher, Jacques Savery (c. 1565–1603) had acquired citizenship. The most decisive phase of Roelandt’s career began in 1603 when he traveled to Prague. There he entered the employ of Rudolf II and in 1606/1607 was sent by the emperor into the Tyrolean Alps to record “their marvels of nature.” Following Rudolf’s death in 1612 Savery worked briefly for his successor, the Emperor Matthias, but returned to the Netherlands by 1613 or 1614. He was intermittently in Amsterdam until 1619, when he moved to Utrecht and joined the painters’ guild. He remained in Utrecht until his death in 1639. Roelandt Savery played a key role in transmitting the Netherlands landscape and genre tradition of Pieter Bruegel the Elder to early seventeenth-century Holland.

Landscape with Animals and Figures, signed and dated 1624 at the lower left, is a splendid example of the mannerist style that Savery continued to practice during his years in Utrecht. Typical of the mannerist landscapes created by Savery and his contemporaries are the strong contrasts and striking patterns of sunlight and shadow and the abrupt shifts in depth, such as the juxtaposition of foreground to distant trees and hills at the right. The robustness and vitality of the scene are testimony to the impression made on Savery by his earlier travels in the woods and mountains of Bohemia and the Tyrol. The same motifs of herdsmen watering their stock in front of a ruined tower appear in earlier landscape paintings formerly in a private collection in France (dated 1616) and the Museum voor Schone Kunsten, Kortrijk.1

It is only relatively recently that northern mannerism has been appreciated and collected in the United States, and the National Gallery of Art possesses very few paintings in this area. Therefore Landscape with Animals and Figures, which evidently has never been exhibited, is an addition of singular importance.

John Oliver Hand

PROVENANCE
Sale, Sotheby’s, New York, 14 January 1988, no. 86.

NOTE
FRANS SNYDERS
1579–1657

Still Life with Fruit and Game
c. 1615–1620
Oil on canvas
37 1/4 x 55 1/4 (94.5 x 143)
Gift (Partial and Promised) of Herman and Lila Shickman

Frans Snyders was the foremost seventeenth-century Flemish painter of still lifes and game pieces. Trained under Pieter Brueghel the Younger and probably Hendrik van Balen, Snyders was admitted to the Antwerp Guild of Saint Luke as a master in 1602. He traveled to Italy in 1608, carrying with him an introduction to Cardinal Borromeo's secretary from Jan Brueghel the Elder who recommended him as “one of the best painters in Antwerp.” By 1609 he was back in Antwerp and in 1611 married to Marguerite, sister of Cornelis and Paul de Vos. By 1617 Snyders' renown was such that Toby Matthew, English agent in the southern Netherlands, described Snyders as “that other famous Painter.”

Snyders painted extensively throughout his life, owned a significant art collection, and died a wealthy man. Drawing on the game piece and still-life traditions established in the sixteenth century by Pieter Aertsen and Joachim Beuckelaer, Snyders brought a new richness to this genre through his compositional sensitivity, with bold brushwork and rich colors. Moreover, he could effectively convey textures as varied as the soft fur of a deer, the feathers of a bird, and the translucent sheen of a grape. He influenced a number of other Flemish artists, particularly Adriaen van Utrecht and Jan Fyt, and also had an impact on Dutch artists in their representations of game pieces after 1650. Aside from his independent creations Snyders also collaborated with Peter Paul Rubens and other members of Rubens' circle.

Still Life with Fruit and Game, the first work by Snyders to enter the National Gallery of Art, is a particularly rich example of the artist's large tabletop compositions. This pyramidal composition of fruits, vegetables, and dead game centers on a basket of pears, apples, a melon, and grapes on top of which an eager squirrel gazes toward fruit slightly beyond his reach. Around the basket are displayed the bounties of nature: assorted dead birds, a pear, a deer, an artichoke, a patterned porcelain dish of berries, and several bundles of asparagus. The entire arrangement is positioned on a red-draped tabletop thrust close to the picture plane; the backdrop is in earthen browns.

The image conveys the richness and bounty of the Flemish countryside that was so admired in Snyders' day. Painted in a bold and free manner, Still Life with Fruit and Game contains the vibrant reds and greens that made Snyders one of the outstanding colorists of his time. Although undated, by style and composition it appears closest to Snyders' works of c. 1615–1620. This painting is the first work by this artist to enter the National Gallery's collection.

Arthur K. Wheelock, Jr.

PROVENANCE
Collection of Herman and Lila Shickman.

NOTE
GIOVANNI FRANCESCO BARBIERI, called GUERCINO
1591–1666

Landscape with the Taming of a Horse, 1620s
Pen and ink and wash
7 3/8 x 10 5/16 (18.8 x 26.2)

Gift (Partial and Promised) of Mr. and Mrs. Harry Brooks

Guercino was born in the provincial town of Cento, near Bologna.1 His self-taught genius was recognized early by local patrons and by the archbishop of Bologna, Cardinal Alessandro Ludovisi. When Ludovisi became Pope Gregory XV in 1621, Guercino’s career was launched. The artist’s few years in Rome (1621–1623) began a slow but steady change in his style, which tempered the exuberance and drama of his early period. Guercino’s works gradually began to reflect a more subdued, idealistic manner. Landscape with the Taming of a Horse probably dates from the 1620s and reflects the style of these transitional years. Guercino’s early pen and ink drawings are rapidly drawn with circular pen lines that by their movement alone suggest continual action. This virtuoso draftsmanship, although apparent here, is balanced by the strong stable verticals at left and by the planar disposition of the composition.

The National Gallery possesses eight other drawings by Guercino, which range in date throughout the artist’s career, cover subjects both religious and profane, and vary in technique. However, we have no drawing of the type exemplified by this one: a large figural composition of everyday life set in a landscape. In fact, there are few comparable drawings by the artist. One such composition with two fighting horsemen is found in the collection of the earl of Leicester, but it dates somewhat earlier and is unconnected except for the rearing horse closely placed near the picture plane.2 Horses and riders or horses with standing figures appear as staffage in several of Guercino’s drawings but never dominate the landscape as here. The subject matter too appears to be unique. The untamed horse has just thrown off a rider as the trainer attempts to calm him. The singularity of the genre theme and the virtuosity of the technique, so characteristic of this artist’s multifaceted talent, make the Landscape with the Taming of a Horse an important testament to Guercino’s interest in quotidian life. As such this sheet is an important addition to our varied group of great drawings by this master.

NOTES
1. The old inscription at lower right, “di Gio: franco Barbieri da/ Cento,” acknowledges the artist’s place of birth.
2. Repr. Thos. Agnew and Sons Ltd., Old Master Drawings from Holkham (London, 1977), cat. 49. This drawing was pointed out to the author by David Stone.

Diane De Grazia
GERARD SEGHERS
1591–1651

Repentant Magdalene, c. 1625–1630
Oil on canvas
47/4 x 68/4 (121 x 175)

Gift (Partial and Promised) of Patricia Baumans and John L. Bryant, Jr.

Lying before the grotto to which she had retreated after Christ’s death, Mary Magdalene gazes with sad longing at the crucifix in her hand. With long golden tresses covering her shoulders and flowing over her back as well as underneath her body, the still-voluptuous repentant has surrounded herself with reminders of the suffering and death of Christ, including the blood-stained scourge that had inflicted pain on his body. The evocative fusion of sensual beauty and remorse, which makes this image of the Magdalene so poignant, was intended to reinforce the importance of the sacrament of penance for the Counter-Reformation viewers who beheld this devotional work.

Gerard Seghers is a fascinating Flemish artist from the early years of the seventeenth century whose career has never been fully explored. He was inscribed as a master in the Guild of Saint Luke in Antwerp in 1608 and apparently remained in that city until 1611, at which time he visited Rome and Naples. It is also possible that he traveled to Spain. By 1620 he had returned to Antwerp. His work during the 1620s reflects his Italian experiences, particularly his responses to Caravaggio perhaps as made known to him by Italian followers of the master, including Bartolomeo Manfredi and Orazio Gentileschi. It has been suggested that Seghers traveled to Utrecht during the 1620s to visit Gerrit van Honthorst, whom he may have met in Rome, but no documentary evidence of such a trip exists. In any event, by 1630 Seghers seems to have been firmly installed in Antwerp, where he worked for Jesuit patrons and for the Archduchess Isabella. In 1637 he was named court painter at the Flemish court by the Cardinal-Infante Ferdinand. In his later works Seghers abandoned his Caravaggesque style and created images that are more closely related, stylistically and thematically, to paintings by Peter Paul Rubens.

The attribution of this painting, which has only recently been discovered, is confirmed by an engraving after a similar image of the Repentant Magdalene by Lucas Vorsterman in which Seghers is named as the inventor of the composition. A comparable painting by Seghers is the Saint Jerome at Prayer in the Musée des Beaux-Arts, Lille. Saint Jerome, who had also removed himself to the wilderness in penitence, is shown by Seghers at his grotto praying fervently before a crucifix while books, a skull, and a whip lie on the ground. In both works the flesh tones and facial features are carefully rendered with smooth brushwork and luminous paint. In each instance as well, the distant landscape is rendered more softly than the foreground, in a style reminiscent of the landscape painter Jan Wildens (1586–1653). Since Wildens often collaborated with other artists in this manner, it is very likely that he worked with Seghers in the Repentant Magdalene as well.

Seghers’ Magdalene draws on Italian traditions of the late sixteenth and early seventeenth centuries. One of the first images of a Magdalene reclining in a landscape was a work by Correggio that was well known through copies by Cristofano Allori (1577–1621). The subject was also depicted a number of times by Orazio Gentileschi, an artist whose gentele brand of Caravaggism must have had great appeal for Seghers. However, neither Correggio’s nor Gentileschi’s interpretations of the subject depict Mary Magdalene gazing at the crucifix. This emphasis on her psychological state of mind is consistent with northern traditions and is found in contemporary religious images by Rubens and by Anthony van Dyck.

We are particularly pleased to have this beautifully preserved painting as the first work by this artist in the National Gallery’s collection. Not only does it broaden our representation of Flemish painting, which is dominated with works by Rubens and Van Dyck, but it also introduces a Counter-Reformation theme that was central to the artistic concerns of the day.

Arthur K. Wheelock, Jr.
JUSEPE DE RIBERA
1591–1652

The Martyrdom of Saint Bartholomew
1634
Oil on canvas
41 x 44 1/2 (104 x 113)
Gift of the 50th Anniversary Gift Committee

Jusepe de Ribera can be considered both Spanish and Italian. Little is known for sure of his early years or training in Spain; after a probable study trip to northern Italy he was documented in Rome in 1615,1 listed there as a follower of Caravaggio.2 Ribera settled in Naples in 1616 where he enjoyed a long and prosperous career, with abundant commissions from King Philip IV of Spain, his viceroyos in Naples, the Neapolitan and Spanish aristocracy, and the numerous religious establishments in the city. His emotional renderings of saints in ecstasy captured in a tenebrist atmosphere influenced all subsequent Neapolitan painters (particularly Luca Giordano, whose work appears in this exhibition).

The charge of the Counter-Reformation Church to encourage the faithful to participate in the suffering of the martyred saints was keenly felt in Spain and Naples. The Spanish saint Ignatius Loyola, founder of the Jesuits, recommended the participation of the individual in the mystical passion of Christ and his saints. Ribera, more than any other artist of his time, evoked the spirit of Saint Ignatius by combining the physical reality of his subjects with the underlying mystical dimension of their religious experience.

One of the seventeenth century's and Ribera's favorite subjects was the martyrdom of Saint Bartholomew.3 Legend relates that during a festival Ribera hung a painting of this subject across from the Palazzo Reale, attracting the attention of the viceroy (the duke of Osuna) and launching his Neapolitan career.4 Ribera's other paintings of the subject situate in a landscape a full-length figure bound to a tree, often with several executioners and onlookers; only in this powerful depiction did the artist focus on Bartholomew's mystical experience before death rather than his physical suffering. The figures are placed against the forward picture plane, the saint almost falling into the observer's space. By this concentration on large half-length figures and on the frozen moment between Bartholomew and his executioner, the spectator feels an intensity of devotion and truly participates in the religious experience. Ribera here employed his usual X-shaped composition to heighten the drama: after being made party to Bartholomew's vision the viewer focuses on the sharpening of the blade, which curiously resembles a cross. Thus the executioner inadvertently reminds the saint and the viewer, as mystical participant, of Christ's own suffering.

When Ribera signed and dated this painting in 1634,5 his style had evolved away from deep chiaroscuro contrasts to softer gradations of light and shade, bringing out the rich color tones in the half light such as that of the executioner's cape. The lush and thick impasto of his long brushstrokes, characteristic of Ribera, give the scene a tactile reality. This virtuoso handling of paint and mystical fervor set Ribera apart from Caravaggio and make his paintings singularly important. Ribera scholars Craig Felton and William Jordan have noted that the Martyrdom of Saint Bartholomew is among the artist's masterpieces of his full maturity.

The Martyrdom of Saint Bartholomew is all the more significant for its remarkable state of preservation: the delicate glazes and layers of impasto have remained intact, giving the surface a lustrous, vibrating quality. This exceptional painting is the first by this artist to enter the National Gallery. It will have a notable effect on our holdings of both Spanish and Italian paintings and should act as a catalyst to further collecting in this important area of baroque art.

Diane De Grazia

NOTES
2. Giulio Mancini (Considerazione sulla pittura, c. 1620, ed. Adriana Marucchi and Luigi Salerno [Rome, 1956], 149–150) noted Ribera as among the followers of Caravaggio.
5. Signed lower right “Jusepe de Ribera español F.” and dated “1634.” A copy of the painting exists in the Alte Pinakothek, Munich (Spinosa 1978, cat. 260, but repr. as 259, a confusion with the present painting).
Rembrandt van Rijn ranks among the outstanding interpreters of the Bible in the history of art. He especially was fascinated by biblical stories that emphasize human compassion, love, and forgiveness. One of his favorite tales was the return of the prodigal son (Luke 15.11-32). He illustrated the parable at many points during his long career, beginning with this signed and dated 1636 etching. He took up the theme again in six drawings dated between c. 1642 and 1659. His last depiction occurs in a painting done the year before his death.

In his etching Rembrandt illustrates the moment when the repentant son returns home, falling into the forgiving arms of his father. As was often the case with Rembrandt, he embellished the text by including in the doorway at the right the elder brother and mother who are not mentioned in Luke as being present at the reconciliation. The scene is also witnessed by a young woman, probably a servant, who leans out of an upper window. Rembrandt masterfully conveyed the emotional and psychological intensity of the scene through the individual expressions of the characters as they react to the event. These range from the anguish of the prodigal to the love and compassion of his father, the embarrassed glances of the elder son and mother, and finally the direct curiosity of the servant girl.

A maturing of Rembrandt’s graphic art can be detected in 1636 and the Return of the Prodigal Son marks this transition, both in the artist’s technique and in his sense of composition. Rembrandt began then to abandon the quick, broken strokes of his earlier etchings, though this technique is still present in the far-off landscape, in favor of a more regular system of hatching and cross-hatching. This hatching, most effectively rendered in the father’s tunic, defines form and suggests tone and becomes an increasingly important element in Rembrandt’s mature prints. However, Rembrandt’s etched line, always retaining its fluidity and spontaneity, never becomes fully systematized. The composition is simpler and more monumental than that of the early prints. The interlocking figures of the father and son form a pyramidal shape placed horizontal to the picture plane. This classical design is reinforced by the parallel lines of the steps and architecture. Just three or four decades later Bartolomé Esteban Murillo used this composition as a prime basis for his painting of the same subject, which is in the collection of the National Gallery of Art.

As with all of her many gifts to the National Gallery, this print reveals Ruth Benedict’s keen eye and refined connoisseurship. It also appropriately strengthens for this anniversary the Gallery’s superb collection of Rembrandt prints, the earliest of which were given in the founding year of 1941 by the eminent Boston curator and collector Philip Hofer. The collection of Rembrandt prints quickly grew with major gifts by Lessing J. Rosenwald and R. Horace Gallatin, making it today one of the strongest in the country. Though the collection already has two impressions of this print, this is a much finer and earlier impression, with strong line and contrast, and as such is far superior to the other two. This Prodigal Son is an especially appropriate addition to the permanent collection as it provides our first outstanding impression of the etching on which a major painting in our collection was based.

Gregory Jecmen
This is the kind of bucolic image for which the French landscape artist is best known. Two shepherds, a shepherdess, and a flock of goats and cows are shown leaving for a day in the fields. With its large trees in the middle ground and mountains, lake, and small town in the distance, the countryside is characterized as an idyllic environment for people and animals.

The print is an exceptionally fine impression, being very rich and fresh in its inky black lines. It is undoubtedly an earlier impression than another now in the National Gallery’s collection. It might be a first state (and is certainly a second), for no scholars of Claude’s prints have yet found any impression without the number “12,” as here, in the left margin.\(^1\)

The image is related to a painting by Claude of about the same time, now in the North Carolina Museum of Art, Raleigh, but the print is most likely an independent work, for it does not reproduce the painting. Indeed it speaks above all for Claude’s remarkable and seemingly infinite ability to vary the compositions and moods of his many hundreds of pastoral scenes, whatever the medium.

The print joins two paintings and a number of the artist’s drawings and prints in the National Gallery, and it delightfully strengthens the representation of this august and highly influential master.

*H. Diane Russell*

---

\(^1\) For a discussion and illustrations of the purported states of the print, see Lino Mannacci, *The Etchings of Claude Lorrain* (New Haven and London, 1988), 204–209, cat. 34.
ADRIAEN VAN OSTADE
1610–1685
CORNELIS DUSART
1660–1707

Peasants Fighting in a Tavern, c. 1640
Pen and ink over graphite with wash
5⅛ x 10⅛ (14.9 x 25.9)
Gift of Edward William Carter and Hannah Locke Carter

Disputes in taverns are not usually resolved with diplomacy, but Adriaen van Ostade’s raucous scene captures an innkeeper’s nightmare. The two adversaries brandish jug and knife while others rush to restrain them. In the meantime the table and a bench have been overturned, throwing spoons and a dish onto the floor. Adding to the commotion is a yelping dog and other figures who try to leap into the fray. The source of the dispute is not explicitly revealed, but it almost certainly was the outgrowth of gaming and drink. The makeshift table is similar to those in other scenes in which it serves as a support for such pastimes as backgammon, while the contents of the upraised jug have undoubtedly been consumed by its bellicose owner. Ostade’s drawing thus falls within a broad tradition of Dutch drawings, prints, and paintings that condemn and ridicule the effects of intemperance.

Ostade undoubtedly derived this subject from the example of Adriaen Brouwer, when Brouwer was in Haarlem during the 1620s as a student of Frans Hals. Since Ostade was a pupil of Hals around 1627, Ostade and Brouwer probably met at that time. Ostade, who joined the Haarlem Guild of Saint Luke by 1634, was a prolific painter, draftsman, and graphic artist. In his early works Ostade concentrated on vigorous depictions of peasant life within the dark interiors of their homes and taverns. Frequently he delved into the same subject types as did Brouwer, including peasants drinking, singing, smoking, or fighting. Ostade, however, focused more on the physical activities of the protagonists than did the Flemish artist, who emphasized the figures’ facial features for expressive effect.

In this boldly executed drawing Ostade first indicated the figures with rapid strokes of graphite before he worked out the composition in pen. In the process he made a large number of changes, eliminating certain figures and adding others to give greater emphasis to the confrontation between the two main protagonists. Behind the seated man with the knife, for example, can be seen the first idea in graphite for another figure holding a jug aloft, which Ostade decided not to include in his final design.

The definition of the interior space in the lighter brown ink was added by Ostade’s trusted pupil and follower Cornelis Dusart.1 Dusart, who worked in Ostade’s workshop in the late 1670s before his admission to the Haarlem Guild of Saint Luke in 1679, inherited the contents of Ostade’s workshop after the master’s death in 1685. Dusart then worked up a number of Ostade’s drawings in this manner, presumably to make them more salable. In the late seventeenth century collectors admired drawings with a more finished appearance than those produced in the first half of the century, and Dusart was clearly responding to that market.

This drawing, thus, is both a major example of Ostade’s draftsmanship from about 1640 and a fascinating document of studio practices and changes in taste in the Netherlands during the seventeenth century.1 While the National Gallery has an excellent painting by Adriaen van Ostade and a fine group of his etchings, this work is the first drawing by Ostade to be acquired by the Gallery and the first large-scale Dutch genre scene in the drawings collection.

Arthur K. Wheelock, Jr.

PROVENANCE
Sybrand Feitama, sale Amsterdam, 16 October 1758, no. 14; John McGouan, sale London, 26 January 1804, no. 422 or 424; Sale, Amsterdam, Christie’s, 1 December 1986, no. 13; C. G. Boerner, cat. 1987, no. 18.

NOTES
1. The monogram “AVo” and the borderline are even later additions by another hand.
2. The quality of the drawing has been praised by Bernhard Schnackenburg, the author of the standard monograph on Ostade’s drawings, in a letter dated 16 June 1986 (curatorial files).
ISACK VAN OSTADE
1621–1649

Workmen before an Inn, 1645
Oil on panel
26 x 23 (66 x 58.4)
Partial Gift of Richard A. and Lee G. Kirstein

The artistic milieu of the Dutch city of Haarlem flourished during the seventeenth century. Here were found many proponents of the vibrant naturalism so characteristic of Dutch painting of this period, among them Frans Hals, Philip Wouwermans, Adriaen van Ostade, and Jacob van Ruisdael. Another artist of this circle whose untimely death at the age of twenty-eight cut short a promising career was Isack van Ostade.

Born in 1621, Isack van Ostade was trained by his elder brother, the genre painter Adriaen van Ostade. Documentation for Isack's career is scarce although guild records tell us that he entered the Haarlem painters' guild in 1643. In that year Ostade began to free himself from his brother's style and subject matter (such as barn interiors) and to develop his own direction. He broadened his range of subject matter to include genre scenes set before an inn. His style acquired a sense of light and atmosphere more characteristic of Bamboccianti painters, particularly Pieter van Laer, who had returned to Haarlem from Italy in 1639. Also influential for Ostade were the Haarlem landscapists Pieter de Molijn and Salomon van Ruysdael.

Isack van Ostade's Workmen before an Inn of 1645 is a magnificent signed example of his oeuvre. A horse-drawn sledge has paused before the mottled, brown stone facade of a rustic village inn. One laborer bends to unload a keg from the sledge while another turns in the doorway. Yet a third more youthful figure, jug in hand, pauses in a cellar entry. Farther down the village lane a cripple hobbles along on his cane and stick; a woman, her back to us, busily sells her goods under a canopy; while in the far left background a quack peddles his wares. Ostade added to the charm of the scene by including a plethora of animals. Hens and roosters scratch and peck; two dogs, nose to nose, snarl at each other while a third, anxious to be part of the quarrel, demands the full-time attention of the very young child who holds him; and a stork gazes down from a chimney top. Ostade's organization of the scene along the diagonal provides a sense of depth and continuity to its otherwise episodic subject matter. Sunlight, breaking through thick painterly clouds, bathes the scene in warm browns and golds.

While Ostade frequently represented travelers halting before an inn, as in the National Gallery's fine example from the Widener Collection, such a focus on the activities of workmen restocking an inn is exceptional. The juxtaposition of these two fine paintings will significantly enrich our appreciation of this painter and his portraits of the seventeenth-century Dutch world.

Arthur K. Wheelock, Jr.

PROVENANCE
Sale (Van Tol) in Souterwoude, 15 June 1779, no. 13 (1,300 florins, Wubbels); J. E. Fiseau in Amsterdam, 30 August 1797, no. 165 (1,000 florins, J. de Bos); in the collection of Baron van Brienen van de Grootelindt, Amsterdam, in 1842 (Smith valued it at £200); sale (Van Brienen van de Grootelindt), Paris, 8 May 1865, no. 23 (25,200 francs); Marq. H. de V. . . , London, 1871 (£157, 10s); Comte Greffulhe of Paris, Sotheby's, London, 22 July 1937, no. 74; in the collection of the late Adolf Mayer, The Hague, in 1948; in Brod Gallery, London, as a "recent acquisition," 13 October–30 November 1977.

NOTE
1. Schnackenburg speculated that Van Ostade may well have studied briefly under the latter, although he also allowed for Van Laer's direct influence. Bernhard Schnackenburg, Adriaen van Ostade, Isack van Ostade: Zeichnungen und Aquartelle, 2 vols. (Hamburg, 1981), 34–36.

2. I would like to thank Lea Eckerling Kaufman, who is writing her Ph.D. dissertation on the paintings of Isack van Ostade, for her helpful comments about this work.
Nicolaes Berchem was one of the most popular and successful of the Dutch seventeenth-century Italianate landscape painters. Aside from views of Italy, his extensive oeuvre consists of hunt scenes, biblical and mythological paintings, drawings, and etchings.

Born in Haarlem in 1620, Berchem's early training was under his father, the still-life painter Pieter Claesz. Berchem. He entered the Guild of Saint Luke in 1642 and married in Haarlem in 1646, but spent much of his later career in Amsterdam. Berchem traveled through northwest Germany with Jacob van Ruisdael in 1650 and was clearly influenced by this great Haarlem master early in his career. After 1655, however, presumably as a result of a trip to Italy, he developed into one of the most sensitive interpreters of both the pastoral and the exotic character of that distant land. His luminous vistas are painted with a facile sensitivity to the effects of light and color.

View of an Italian Port, a work signed by the artist, contains many of the qualities that made Berchem so popular. An elegant couple on horseback has paused along the riverbank to speak with a staff-bearing attendant. As in many of Berchem's works, a figure on horseback wearing a splash of red drapery provides a central focus to the more incidental nature of the rest of the painting. The shoreline is populated with men and animals engaged in day-to-day activities. For instance, two figures in the right middle ground wrestle a recalcitrant sheep onto a cattle boat while a crouching figure in the left foreground idly fondles a dog's ears. An anchored ship lists nearby while another ship, with sails unfurled, edges around the distant, cliff-lined shore. Atop these cliffs and in the distance are Italianate buildings. Brilliant Mediterranean sunlight breaks through a clouded sky; the resultant blues, greens, and browns lend a rich earthiness to the image. The restless movements of the horses, outstretched wings of the falcon, and conversational gestures of the figures not only instill a sense of vitality but also temper the exotic atmosphere of the landscape with the immediacy of the moment.

While the National Gallery has a particularly strong representation of views of the Dutch landscape by, among others, Meindert Hobbema and Aelbert Cuyp, only one very early painting by Adam Pynacker contains Italianate motifs in the midst of a wooded landscape. View of an Italian Port is the first Italianate landscape in the collection to fully suggest the luminosity and marvelous rhythms that so inspired Dutch artists in that distant land. It is particularly fitting that this be a work by Berchem who was not only one of the most prolific Dutch artists in that distance land. It is particularly fitting that this be a work by Berchem who was not only one of the most prolific Dutch artists but also one central to the evolution of the Italianate landscape style. His style and choice of subject matter reflect the work of his immediate predecessors Pieter van Laer, Jan Both, and Jan Asselijn and influenced innumerable followers. His work was particularly admired in the eighteenth century. In splendid condition, View of an Italian Port contributes significantly toward broadening the spectrum of Dutch paintings offered by the Gallery's collection.

Arthur K. Wheelock, Jr.
LUCA GIORDANO
1634–1705

Diana and Endymion, c. 1675–1680
Oil on canvas
58⅝ x 64⅞ (149.5 x 163.5)
Gift of Joseph F. McCrindle in Memory of Mr. and Mrs. J. Fuller Feder

Luca Giordano, known as “Luca fa presto” (for his quickly executed paintings, unceasing activity, and prolific output), was one of the most famous Italian painters of the second half of the seventeenth century. He traveled throughout Italy, executing influential frescoes in Florence, Rome, and his native Naples. He also spent ten years in Spain (1692–1702), working at the Escorial and the Buon Retiro. Private patrons enjoyed his virtuoso oil paintings of religious and mythological themes, which he repeated with variations. The size and intimate theme indicate that the Diana and Endymion, a work signed by the artist, must have been executed for such a patron.

The subject of Diana and Endymion was popular in seventeenth-century Italy, possibly for the opportunity it gave artists to portray the beauty of the human body both at rest and in action. In Giordano’s painting, Diana, flying on a cloud, caresses the shepherd Endymion, whom she has put to sleep solely in order to kiss him “at her pleasure” as his dogs look on in silence. As was usual with the artist, he took up the theme several times, changing only slightly the positions of the protagonists and surroundings. Two other autograph versions of this subject, in Verona and Philadelphia, vary in the number of animals and putti present, the depth of slumber of Endymion, as well as the energetic speed of the goddess’ flight. All three paintings have been dated by Oreste Ferrari to c. 1675–1680, a period in which the influence of Pietro da Cortona was especially evident. The luminous colors, soft contours, graceful movement, and hair styles of the figures in the three works reflect a Cortonesque inspiration.

Unlike the Verona and Philadelphia paintings, which add cupids and animals, the McCrindle painting reduces the subject to its essentials. The two figures fill the picture space as Diana, the goddess of the moon, emerges from the dark of night surrounded by shadows to embrace the resting Endymion. The turbulence of her draperies and the churning sky contrast with the tenderness of her caress. More immediate than the other versions, Diana and Endymion suggests Giordano’s Neapolitan origins in the school of Ribera, whose dramatic lighting and candidly direct forms are echoed here. The face of Diana, half hidden in shadow, and the use of the dark ground to intensify the contrasts of light and dark reflect what Giordano absorbed from Ribera, suggesting that the present painting may date slightly earlier than the other two.

Diana and Endymion is the first painting by Luca Giordano and the first Neapolitan baroque painting to enter the National Gallery collections. The Gallery is especially grateful to Mr. McCrindle for his gift of this fine painting, which is from one of the most important schools of the seventeenth century.

Diane De Grazia

PROVENANCE

NOTES
2. Signed “Jordanus/F” on the rock at lower right.
3. The myth is repeated in various forms by many ancient authors, but Giordano seems to refer to the one told by Vincenzo Cartari in Le Imagini de i De i De gli antichi (Venice, 1571), 125: “Questo dice, perche le favole finsero, che la Luna s’innamorasse di Endimione pastore, e l’addormen-tasse sopra certo monte solo per baciarlo à suo piacere.”
4. Verona, Museo di Castelvecchio, repr. Ferrari and Scavizzi 1966, 3: no. 137; Philadelphia, Collection Carlo Croce, repr. Christie’s, New York, 5 June 1985, lot 119. De’Dominici 1742, 3:415, mentioned another version, now lost, for the queen of Spain, made before her death in 1689 but sold to another patron. The sizes of the surviving paintings for the queen’s series to which this belonged have different measurements from the three known paintings of the subject and have more figures and extensive landscape backgrounds.
5. Verbal communication 10/24/90.
Inleyding tot de Hooge Schoole der Schilderkonst
Francois van Hoogstraten, Rotterdam, 1678
Bound volume with 20 etchings and engravings
8 x 6 1/4 (20.4 x 15.7)
Gift of Arthur and Charlotte Vershbow

Samuel van Hoogstraten's Inleyding tot de Hooge Schoole der Schilderkonst (Introduction to the Noble School of Painting) is superbly illustrated with Hoogstraten's own etchings and engravings, which will be the first prints by the artist to enter the National Gallery's collection. The volume also forms a valuable source for the theory and practice of art in the time of Rembrandt, standing with only a handful of other seventeenth-century Dutch texts devoted to art theory. This paucity of contemporary literature on the subject contrasts curiously with the enormous number of paintings being produced at this time in the Netherlands.

Hoogstraten intended his work to be a handbook for the professional artist and dilettante alike. He divided his text, written in both prose and verse, into nine "instructional workshops," each dedicated to one of the nine muses.

The prints in the Inleyding include a self portrait; a frontispiece in which the artist is crowned with laurel by the surrounding muses; figurative title plates to the nine individual books that depict the muses in allegorical settings; and nine plates and half-page illustrations displaying the proportions of the human body and demonstrating various theories of perspective and the representation of light and dark. Among the title plates, for example, the one for the sixth section of the book, which discusses color, depicts Terpsichore, the muse of choral song, as the guiding parent and teacher of young artists. In the section about light and shadow there is an arresting and bizarre scene in which small mythological figures cast eerie shadows across a curtain enclosing a stage. Tiny observers emerge from around the right half of the curtain, gazing upon this mysterious shadow box.

Hoogstraten was one of the most versatile and well-traveled artists of his day. After first studying with his father, the painter and engraver Dirck Hoogstraten, Samuel left his native town of Dordrecht in 1640 for Amsterdam where he then was apprenticed to Rembrandt, probably until 1648. In 1651 in Vienna Hoogstraten was awarded a gold chain and medallion by the Holy Roman Emperor Ferdinand III, who particularly liked one of the artist's still lifes. Hoogstraten proudly wears this prize, the most coveted award given to an artist at this time, in his self-portrait for the Inleyding. Hoogstraten's great interest in the problems of perspective, which found written and visual expression in the Inleyding, culminated in his trompe l'oeil paintings and in his remarkable perspective peep boxes.

The Vershbows have generously given many important illustrated books to the National Gallery over the years. These gifts demonstrate their wide range of interests and devotion to the highest quality in book collecting. They include outstanding copies of Imprese Nobili published in 1583 with illustrations by Giovanni Battista Pittoni; the 1619 French edition of Les Metamorphoses d'Ovide; Ferdinando Galli Bibiena's L'Architettura Civile of 1711; and the large paper 1827 edition of John Milton's Paradise Lost with mezzotint illustrations by John Martin.

Gregory Jecmen

PROVENANCE

NOTES
2. Rembrandt's name frequently appears in the Inleyding. Hoogstraten, a loyal former pupil, nevertheless criticized some aspects of the master's art. See Seymour Slive, Rembrandt and His Critics, 1630-1730 (The Hague, 1953), 94-100.
ANTHONY VAN DYCK
1599–1641

Le Cabinet des Plus Beaux Portraits . . .
H. & C. Verduessen, Antwerp [1700]
Bound volume with 124 plates, including engravings by Van Dyck and engravings after his designs
14¾ x 9¼ (37.4 x 24.8)
Gift of Arthur and Charlotte Veshbow

One of the most fascinating if least understood enterprises undertaken by Anthony van Dyck is the Iconography, a series of prints that portrays political and military leaders, scholars, artists, and amateurs, most of whom were contemporaries and even friends of this great Flemish master. Just when Van Dyck, who was above all a painter and not a graphic artist, came upon the idea to create this series, how he chose whom he would represent in it, how he worked with other engravers and with his publisher to produce the prints, and the exact form he intended the Iconography to take are only a few of the questions for which no satisfactory answers can be given. However Van Dyck came upon the idea for this series and whatever the process through which he created it, the extraordinary popularity of the Iconography throughout history has played no small role in extending Van Dyck’s fame and his influence on portrait conventions of later generations.

The Iconography was never published as a corpus during Van Dyck’s lifetime.1 The first eighty prints of the series, engraved by other printmakers after Van Dyck’s designs, were apparently issued during the mid-1630s by Martin van den Enden. Since no title page exists it does not appear that these portraits were ever contained in a bound volume. The next edition of the Iconography, which numbered one hundred prints, was published in 1645 by Gillis Hendrickx in Antwerp. Hendrickx compiled his prints from the plates that had been used by Van den Enden, eighteen plates that had been executed by Van Dyck himself (some of which he had engravers bring to a greater state of completion), and newly executed engravings that were based on Van Dyck’s designs.2

The remarkable volume here exhibited, which was published in Antwerp about 1700, is one of the most visible manifestations of the importance placed upon Van Dyck’s enterprise by later generations. The publishers, H. & C. Verduessen, wishing to make this volume as complete as possible, brought together 124 prints and added a new title page. Included are all of the prints originally printed by Van den Enden and Hendrickx as well as other engravings that were executed later in the seventeenth century on the basis of Van Dyck’s paintings. This edition is beautifully preserved and is particularly noteworthy in that it is complete. With the addition of this handsome volume, the Gallery now possesses a full range of Van Dyck’s Iconography. This work complements the existing collection of prints from this series, which includes excellent impressions executed by the artist himself and a large number of images engraved by artists after his designs.

The complex history of the Iconography makes it difficult to assess just how Van Dyck envisioned the final appearance of this project, although it does seem that the original scheme called for three main divisions among the portraits: princes and military commanders, statesmen and philosophers, and artists and art lovers. Significantly, the largest group is the last. The disproportionately large size of this group may not have been intentional, perhaps the consequence of a project never brought to completion by the artist, but it does signify Van Dyck’s interest in placing these individuals in the company of esteemed political, military, and scholarly figures. Indeed, in these half-length images, for example, the splendid image etched by Van Dyck of Joos de Momper, artists are presented with as much dignity, intelligence, and graceful bearing as are aristocrats and men of learning. The Iconography, thus, is a fascinating document about the efforts that were still being made to elevate the role of the artist within his society. It also is of great sociological interest in that it celebrates, for the first time, art lovers and collectors.

Arthur K. Wheelock, Jr.

NOTES
2. Interestingly, none of Van Dyck’s original etchings were printed by Van den Enden. The number of these plates added by Gillis Hendrickx is given as fifteen by Arthur M. Hind, Van Dyck: His Original Etchings and His Iconography (Boston, 1925), 23. The more recent assessment by E. Haverkamp-Begemann and Stephanie S. Dickey, “The Iconography,” in Anthony van Dyck [exh. cat. Art Life] (Tokyo, 1990), 28, lists eighteen plates.

PROVENANCE
Frances Molesworth (inscribed 1777, and again as a gift to [illegible] 1780); Juliana Woodforde (inscribed “Oct. 14, 1832”).
This enchanting drawing belongs to a small group of studies by Coypel of fauns supporting floral garlands, all seen from below and all brilliantly executed in trois-crayons. These once formed part of an album. Because most of the other drawings in the album were by Coypel's contemporary Louis de Boullogne the Younger (1654–1733), who had signed and dated a number of the sheets, all of the faun studies were thought until very recently to be by Boullogne.1 Boullogne, however, worked almost exclusively in red or black chalk combined with white, or in red chalk alone, but never seems to have used the three chalks together.2 Coypel, on the other hand, was a master of trois-crayons.

Although the faun drawings are not related to any of Coypel's known decorative projects, most of which were destroyed in the eighteenth century, both the bold combination of the chalks and the vibrant, monumental forms link them to the hundreds of figure drawings he made in preparation for his paintings. Closest in execution and spirit are his numerous studies for the decoration of the Gallery of Aeneas in the Palais-Royal, Paris, painted between 1702 and 1706.3 Perhaps Coypel had considered using fauns such as these in place of the caryatids and male nudes with which he finally decided to surround the central ceiling design.

Coypel's masterful use of the trois-crayons technique here as in so many other drawings by him bears striking witness to his leading role in the Rubeniste faction of the French Academy, which championed Rubensian color, rather than Poussinist line and design, as the essential element of good painting. He was in fact one of the most admired artists of his day and was rewarded toward the end of his life with two of the highest honors of his profession: the directorship of the French Academy in 1714 and the post of first painter to the king in 1715. It was at about that time that Antoine Watteau (1684–1721), who soon became the unrivaled master of the trois-crayons technique, made his first three-chalk drawings. One cannot help wondering if his decision to experiment with that medium was due in part to Coypel's marvelous example.

This magnificent drawing fills two important gaps in the Gallery's collections in a most impressive way: it is the first work of any kind by Antoine Coypel to enter the museum and it is the first drawing by anyone of his generation to join the collection. This monumental piece not only represents Coypel in his most exquisite manner, but also adds considerable power to the Gallery's holdings of French drawings from the first quarter of the eighteenth century.

Margaret Morgan Grasselli

PROVENANCE
From an eighteenth-century album of French drawings that was dismantled in the early 1950s; P. & D. Colnaghi & Co., London, 1953; Hardy Amies, London (sale, London, Sotheby-Parke Bernet, 8 December 1972, no. 7, as Louis de Boullogne the Younger; to Bailey); Sale, London, Sotheby's, 6 July 1987, no. 57, as Boullogne; W. M. Brady & Co., New York.

NOTES
1. Antoine Schnapper first proposed the attribution to Coypel in a notation he made on the mount of a faun drawing that is now in the Ashmolean Museum, Oxford; Eunice Williams, working independently, suggested the same attribution for the present drawing, another now in the National Gallery of Canada, Ottawa, and two others that were sold by Sotheby's in London on 17 March 1975, nos. 33, 34.
antoine watteau
1684–1721

the march of silenus, c. 1715–1716
red, black, and white chalks on brown paper; verso, two shades of red chalk
6½ x 8¼ (15.4 x 21)

gift of mr. and mrs. paul shepard morgan in honor of margaret morgan grasselli

this extraordinarily boisterous drawing represents a startling departure for watteau, whose great fame as a draftsman rests primarily on his exquisite drawings of graceful ladies and gentlemen. the raucous subject and correspondingly wild execution make this drawing unique in his oeuvre, but his authorship is indisputable, for the application of the chalks, even in this exuberant idiom, matches exactly that of many of watteau's more typical efforts in his favorite trois-crayons. further, the rapidly sketched nudes in the compositional jottings on the verso are nearly identical in both form and execution to other such figures by watteau, including those in the national gallery's own drawing, the bower. the key to this drawing's position in watteau's work lies in the date of its execution, c. 1715–1716. by that time watteau had mastered the trois-crayons technique and was almost routinely turning out bravura studies of great beauty. at the same time he was experimenting with a number of history subjects in both his paintings and his drawings, perhaps in search of a suitable idea for his reception piece, the painting that would earn him full membership in the french academy. normally the subject of his reception piece would have been assigned by the director of the academy at the time of his provisional admission in 1712. in a most unusual departure from tradition, however, the choice of subject had been left up to watteau himself. silenus' drunken progress may have been one of the ideas he considered and rejected.

in greek mythology silenus was a fat, bumbling drunkard endowed with nearly encyclopedic knowledge of both the past and the future. he is said to have educated the young dionysus, god of wine, and is often found in depictions of the god's retinue. he is generally shown swaying drunkenly on an ass or, as is the case in the present drawing, supported by a band of carousing nymphs and satyrs.

watteau's composition was probably inspired by a painting that is now in the national gallery, london, the triumph of silenus by peter paul rubens (1577–1640). like his older contemporaries antoine coypel (1661–1722) and charles de la fosse (1636–1716), watteau greatly admired rubens' paintings and drawings, even to the extent of making copies after many of them. it was partly from studying rubens' drawings, in fact, that watteau learned the subtleties of combining red, black, and white chalks in his own studies. the example of la fosse and coypel, both skilled in the trois-crayons technique, may also have influenced him to experiment with it. indeed, the figure at far left in watteau's silenus is unusually close to la fosse's style of drawing, especially in the way the flowing, multiple contours are used to search out the form and establish the pose.

this exceptional drawing is a significant addition to the national gallery's collection of french drawings not only because of watteau's established importance as one of the great draftsmen of all time, but also because of this sheet's unique place within his oeuvre. with its mythological subject, furious execution, and delightful verso sketches, not to mention its allusions to the art of both rubens and la fosse, this one highly complex drawing expands the gallery's representation of watteau's art in several important new directions.

margaret morgan grasselli

notes
1. one example is the couple seated on a bank from the armand hammer collection, now on deposit at the national gallery. see watteau, 1684–1721 [exh. cat. national gallery of art] (washington, 1984), 151, where it is reproduced in color. comparison of the two drawings shows, for example, that the black accents in the faces and the thick, greasy quality of many of the red chalk accents are closely identical, as are the character and quality of the white highlights.

2. the head study on the verso, which is executed in a red chalk of different hue and quality from that used for the figure sketches, does not appear to have been drawn by watteau. the bower is reproduced in color in washington 1984, 138.

3. history painting, which included mythological, biblical, allegorical, and historical subjects, was the most highly regarded and prestigious of all the genres of painting in france. watteau finally decided against a history subject and was admitted to the french academy in 1717 as a painter of fetes galantes, a category that was invented especially for him.

4. reproduced in washington 1984, 211, fig. 1.
JEAN-BAPTISTE OUDRY
1686–1755

**Misse and Luttine**, 1729
Oil on canvas
38\(\frac{3}{8}\) x 57\(\frac{1}{2}\) (97.5 x 146.1)

Promised Gift of
Mr. and Mrs. Eugene Victor Thaw

In 1729, when Jean-Baptiste Oudry signed and dated *Misse and Luttine,* he was already one of the most sought after animal painters in France, having received his first commission from the king five years earlier. Before he settled into his niche as an artist of hunt scenes and animal fights he had painted an array of subjects. He had been apprenticed to the famed portraitist Nicolas Largillière (c. 1705/1707–1710/1712), but his forte did not seem to be in this genre. Today he is still best known and appreciated as a draftsman and painter of animal subjects (the National Gallery has several important animal and bird drawings) and a designer of royal hunt tapestries for both the Gobelins and the Beauvais tapestry factories, each of which he oversaw for some time. His competitors in painting animals were François Desportes (1661–1743), today less known than Oudry to the American public, and Jean-Baptiste Chardin (1699–1779), who, interestingly, turned to this genre only late in Oudry's lifetime, perhaps to avoid a rivalry.

Although portraits of animals were known from at least the fifteenth century, Desportes and Oudry raised the genre to a level welcomed in the French Academy. *Misse and Luttine* are related to a group of portraits of dogs belonging to King Louis XV and destined as overdoors for the king's chambers and cabinet rooms at the royal chateau of Compiègne. The hunting dogs were portrayed singly or in pairs against a landscape similar to that surrounding Compiègne. Each was identified in bold gilt letters. According to contemporary accounts, Oudry painted these on the orders of the king, who was so pleased that he had Oudry paint at least one portrait, that of *Misse and Turlo,* in his presence; twenty-five others were shown at court in 1726. More portraits followed, all destined for Compiègne where they were documented in 1732.

*Misse and Luttine* does not appear in the chateau's inventories, but it is certainly related to the Compiègne series. Misse, an English greyhound, is portrayed running to our left, in the opposite direction from the earlier portrait. The markings that continue around her flank show that she is the same dog in both pictures. Alongside Misse stands the black Gordon setter Luttine, another of the royal hunting dogs. As in the earlier painting the dogs are placed against an architectural and landscape background.

Typical of this period of the artist's career and unlike Desportes' realistic and dramatic portrayals, Oudry's dogs are perceived as decorative forms in a stagelike setting. In later years Oudry rejected this type of portrayal for simpler, more naturalistic forms approaching the sentimentality of Chardin. In fact *Misse and Luttine,* the National Gallery's first painting by Oudry, will be a fine counterpoint to our rich collection of Chardin genre paintings and will broaden our representation of this important current in eighteenth-century French painting.

Diane De Grazia

**PROVENANCE**
Peter Coats, London.

**NOTES**
1. The inscription on the socle reads "J.-B. Oudry / 1729."
3. There are presently ten Oudry drawings in the collection from La Fontaine's *Fables* and Scarron's *Romain comique.*
4. On these activities see Opperman 1983, 54–62. Oudry followed the royal hunt to capture the immediacy of the events.
5. For complete information on the commission, provenance, and location of these paintings see Opperman 1983, 126–127.
GIOVANNI BATTISTA PIAZZETTA
1682–1754

Saint Stephen, 1738–1742
Black and white chalk on faded blue paper
15 7/8 x 13 7/8 (40.4 x 35.4)

Promised Gift of Mrs. Rudolf J. Heinemann

This outstanding and moving drawing is a highly characteristic work by the important eighteenth-century Venetian painter and draftsman Piazzetta. The artist made many such bust-length studies of men, women, and children, usually in black and white chalk as here. There are sheets of single figures, but also sometimes two or more figures are grouped. A number of these drawings were made for presentation to patrons, associates, or friends. Others were detailed studies for paintings, and still others were reproduced as engravings or mezzotints by various printmakers.

Saint Stephen is very similar to drawings used by Marco Pitteri for a series of engravings, The Twelve Apostles, although, in fact, it was never engraved. It is, in any case, one of the most beautiful of all his works. Piazzetta has used the chalk in a softly painterly way to model the forms and to create brilliant white highlights, as on the figure's upturned eyes and the tip of his nose. Piazzetta often drew such figures from life, using ordinary people as models. Certainly Saint Stephen thoroughly convinces the viewer of his physical reality.

Stephen has traditionally been considered the first martyr for Christ, and he holds the martyr's palm and his attribute, a stone. He was chosen by the Apostles as a disciple after Christ's resurrection, and he was ultimately stoned to death for his preaching and faith (Acts 6–7). His somber and pleading expression conveys his supplication to God to forgive his executioners (Acts 7.60).

This is one of five bust-length figure studies being given to the National Gallery by Mrs. Heinemann. These join four drawings by the artist already in the collection, only one of which, Boy with a Lute, is comparable in type. Hence Piazzetta's representation is more than doubled and greatly strengthened by these splendid sheets.

H. Diane Russell

PROVENANCE
Rudolf J. Heinemann.

NOTE
GIOVANNI BATTISTA PIAZZETTA
1682–1754

Saint James Major, 1738–1742
Chalk on buff paper
15 3/4 x 13 (40.1 x 33.1)

Promised Gift of
Mrs. Rudolf J. Heinemann

This is another of the five outstanding drawings by Piazzetta being given to the Gallery by Mrs. Heinemann. As George Knox has pointed out, it is “one of the most notable” of the drawings of heads of apostles that were subsequently engraved by Marco Pittori. It is thought that the drawing was made as an independent work, however, rather than as preparatory to the engraving. Another version of the head, in a private collection, is closer in its details to the print. In the present drawing, James’ visage is presented with great strength and intensity, his eyebrow, nose, mouth, and chin jutting out to the left. His forceful facial features contrast with the summary treatment of his hair, the hat he wears against his back, and his hand and the standard it holds. The vigor of the black chalk strokes, however, adds further to the effect of his fierce determination.

James, together with Peter and John, was favored by Christ to witness his transfiguration and agony in the garden of Gethsemane. He was also the first apostle to be martyred. The pilgrim’s hat alludes to the story that James traveled to Spain to preach the gospel. During the Middle Ages, it was believed that his bodily relics were entombed at Santiago del Compostela, and the shrine became an enormously popular destination for Christian pilgrims.

H. Diane Russell

PROVENANCE
Rudolf J. Heinemann.

NOTES
This is the ninth drawing by the Venetian master to enter the National Gallery's collection, but it is the first drawing by him that is a preparatory study for a painting. Hence, in addition to its pronounced beauty, it is a sheet of particular importance for the Gallery as it is the only example of this type by Tiepolo. George Knox has connected it with a ceiling fresco in one of the subsidiary rooms of the Palazzo Labia, Venice.¹

Bacchus (or Dionysus), the Greek god of wine, discovered the mortal Ariadne, daughter of King Minos of Crete, on the island of Naxos, where she had been abandoned by her lover, Theseus. Most versions of the myth relate that Bacchus and Ariadne married and had four sons. The story was frequently depicted by Italian artists of the sixteenth through eighteenth centuries.

Between this drawing and the fresco, a number of changes are observable.² First, the drawing is vertical and the fresco is horizontally oriented within a curvilinear shape. Second, in the fresco Ariadne's head has been turned to the right, and her glance directed toward Bacchus. The putti, moreover, have been moved to the right, separated from the main figures; they seem to dance on a long leafy branch, one holding a bunch of grapes and the other a bowl. Bacchus' pose, by contrast, has been changed only slightly; his right leg has been turned to the right. The objects he holds in the drawing have been made clear: a crown of stars over Ariadne's head and a flagon of wine.

As in the Palazzo Labia's frescoes, this drawing demonstrates Giambattista's consummate artistic skill. With both freedom and dazzling virtuosity he has defined the human forms and clouds as well as their spatial relationships to each other and to the viewer, who seems to see the image from below. His deft use of washes, moreover, creates the effect of a celestial scene touched by bright sunlight, a worthy realm for Bacchus and for Ariadne, who was to become the constellation Corona Borealis.

H. Diane Russell

PROVENANCE
Sale, Paris, 11 December 1919; Birtschansky Collection, Paris; Probé Collection, Basel; Galerie Cailleux, Paris.

NOTES
1. Knox has further noted that the present drawing is especially close in its composition as well as its vertical format to a drawing for the same fresco in a New York private collection. See George Knox, *Tiepolo. A Bicentenary Exhibition, 1770–1790* [exh. cat. Fogg Art Museum, Harvard University] (Cambridge, 1970), cat. 36. He connected three other drawings with this project.
JOSEPH SEBASTIAN KLAUBER  
c. 1700–1768
JOHANN BAPTIST KLAUBER  
1712–1787

*Historiae Biblicae Veteris et Novi Testamenti*
Klauber, Augsburg, 1748
Bound volume with 100 etched illustrations
8½ x 13 (21.5 x 33)
Gift of Andrew Robison

During the eighteenth century Augsburg maintained the position it had achieved during the previous hundred years as a great center of print and book publishing, bringing forth some of the greatest graphic works of the German rococo. It was primarily for religious art that the printmakers of Augsburg were known: sacred images printed on single sheets, in series, and as book illustrations. In Augsburg, as in the rest of central Europe at this time, there was a great passion for abundant ornament: a taste for extravagance that is as evident in printed art as it is in architectural decoration. An additional predilection for landscape and genre among Augsburg’s extensive middle-class community led to the frequent inclusion of this type of motif within the context of sacred and decorative art.

One of Augsburg’s important publishing houses was owned and operated by the brothers Joseph Sebastian and Johann Baptist Klauber. One or both of them had been associated with the publisher Gottfried Bernhard Goetz before they established their own business around 1740. Typical of Augsburg printmakers and publishers, they produced a great number of ornamental sheets as well as illustrated series, such as the four seasons, elements, winds, and temperaments. They specialized, however, in religious subjects and were known as the Fratres Klauber Catholici. The work of the two brothers is so similar that it is difficult or impossible to identify which of them was responsible for any particular print; they both signed their works as “Klauber Catholici.”

Among the many fine religious and devotional works that the Klaubers produced, their *Historiae Biblicae* is outstanding and considered one of the finest examples of eighteenth-century German book illustration. The volume consists of one hundred etched plates with Latin inscriptions, preceded by a title page printed in both Latin and German that clearly announces its didactic purpose. The work visually recounts the events of sacred history from the Creation to the advent of the heavenly city. The main scene represented on each page is set within a complex framework replete with details that amplify the central event like the marginalia of a medieval manuscript. This ancillary imagery includes further episodes from the narrative as well as related genre motifs. Each frame is designed specifically for its particular scene and no two are alike, proof of the wonderful fertility of the Klaubers’ creative imagination.

For example, the representation of Samuel destroying the temple of the Philistines is cleverly enframed by the building’s collapsing ruins that form a proscenium in midair. Other events from the Book of Judges appear in the two lower corners. Toward the end of the volume the Klaubers created one of their most remarkable images to represent episodes in the life of Saint Peter. A view of Rome showing some of its greatest architectural monuments spreads across the page and is penetrated by a fantastic frame. This frame contains scenes of the imprisonment and martyrdom of Saint Peter, and it defines a stage-set interior space for Peter to occupy as he composes his epistles. The relationship of this interior space to the scene of Rome is ambiguous and somewhat disorienting. The frame wittily mimes the room with a make-believe dome on whimsical columns, but the continuity of space between the inner and outer realms is steadfastly maintained: are we witnessing a supernatural vision, as we might see in a rococo frescoed dome?

The National Gallery is steadily developing its fine though not yet comprehensive holdings of illustrated books as part of the graphic arts collection. This volume nicely complements others in the area of eighteenth-century German books. For example, a recent acquisition is Johann Jacob Scheuchzer’s four-volume *Kupfer-Bibel,* another important monument of German biblical illustration published in Augsburg and Ulm between 1731 and 1735. Like the Klaubers’ work this series presents its illustrations in elaborate frames filled with decorative motifs related to the central illustration; these framing devices do not, however, possess the highly inventive and playful spirit of those in the *Historiae Biblicae.* For this we must seek analogues in architectural decoration.

*Virginia Tuttle Clayton*

**PROVENANCE**
Grande Bibliothèque Ecole Libre, Notre Dame de Mont-Roland.

**NOTES**
2. Lanckoronska and Oehler 1932, 22–23.
3. It states that it is a picture book of Old and New Testament history that is intended to encourage easy instruction for children, revived memory in older people, swift recollection for preachers of the divine word, and useful and holy inquisitiveness in all.
The Venetian painter, printmaker, and draftsman Canaletto is famous for his many views of Venice and the surrounding mainland. His style in all three media is marked by a devotion to brilliant effects of light and dark through which he evoked Venice's richly colorful environment.

Canaletto used a horizontal format for many of his works in order to render panoramic and sometimes spatially deep vistas. In the present drawing he chose a vertical format for an unusually intimate view, that of the inner courtyard of a palazzo. Such details as adults and children engaged in conversation, laundry baskets, a small dog, curtains flapping gently in the breeze, and a birdcage in the upper left window contribute to this casual intimacy. This is Venice and Venetians in a private everyday mode.

The principal building in the drawing has been known as the Palazzo Pisani, but it may be instead the east courtyard of the Procuratie Nuove or simply a capriccio, that is, a fanciful scene. While the artist frequently recorded sites with great topographical accuracy, he also enjoyed creating imaginary places. He sometimes would combine parts of actual locales in inventive ways.

Canaletto's use of brown ink and gray wash is very characteristic of his finished drawings. With a deft use of the pen he has discreetly defined the forms suggested by the washes.

The National Gallery owns several fine paintings by the artist, as well as excellent impressions of his etched series, Views of Venice. This drawing, however, is only the second by Canaletto to enter the collection. The other sheet depicts Ascension Day festivities on the Grand Canal. Hence the present drawing forms a marvelous complement and contrast to that work, both in its setting and the mood it conveys.

H. Diane Russell

PROVENANCE
W. Mayor, London; J. P. Heseltine; Henry Oppenheimer; Duc de Tallyrand.

NOTE
HUBERT ROBERT
1733–1808

The Oval Fountain in the Gardens of the Villa d’Este, Tivoli, 1760
Red chalk over graphite
12 7/8 x 17 3/8 (32.7 x 45.1)

Gift (Partial and Promised) of Mr. and Mrs. Neil Phillips and Mr. and Mrs. Ivan Phillips

During the eleven years he spent in Italy from 1754-1765, nine of them as a special student at the French Academy in Rome, Hubert Robert devoted himself completely and passionately to studying and drawing the Italian countryside and the ruins and monuments of ancient Rome. With an unerring eye for picturesque juxtapositions of man-made and natural forms and an impeccable talent for seamlessly merging fantasy and reality, he created brilliant images of an idyllic world in which past and present coexist in perfect harmony.

The magnificent drawing presented by the Phillips brothers depicts with some artistic license (in the ornamental sculptures and the overall scale) the Oval Fountain in the private gardens of the Villa d’Este at Tivoli, about twenty miles east of Rome. Tradition has it that Robert made this drawing during the summer of 1760 when his friend and fellow student Jean-Honoré Fragonard (1732–1806) and the wealthy amateur Jean-Claude-Richard, abbé de Saint-Non (1727–1791), with whom Robert had earlier traveled to Naples, spent several weeks at the villa. Although no written document attests to Robert’s presence there that summer, this drawing suggests that he did at least visit: the youthful vigor and delicate control of the chalk strokes, the brilliant patterning of light and shade, and the bold monumentality of the composition place the drawing squarely in 1760, the year Robert reached the height of his powers as a draftsman.

Indeed this superb drawing is one of Robert’s greatest Italian landscape drawings, a nearly miraculous combination of virtuoso chalk work, dazzling light effects, and grandiose conception. Especially commanding is Robert’s organization of the light, flooding in brightly from both sides and gently filtering through the tree boughs in the center. Equally admirable are the lively, infinitely varied chalk strokes, ranging from the vigorous, jagged lines that texture the cascading foliage to the delicate hatchings that evoke distant shadows suffused with light. Seemingly oblivious to their grand surroundings are the two washerwomen going about their business. Favorite motifs in many of Robert’s landscapes, they here offer a witty contrast to the grandly formal figures of the sculptured women who seem to watch over them.

Robert rarely equaled and never surpassed the magnificence of the Phillips drawing, which thus becomes for the National Gallery both the greatest single example of his draftsmanship and one of the major masterpieces in the collection of French drawings.

Margaret Morgan Graselli

PROVENANCE

NOTES
1. For photographs of the Oval Fountain as it looks today, see David R. Coffin, The Villa d’Este at Tivoli (Princeton, 1960), figs. 30, 31.

2. The information that Fragonard and Saint-Non were staying in Tivoli comes from Charles Natoire, director of the French Academy in Rome, in a letter of 27 August 1760 to the Marquis de Marigny: “M. the abbé de Saint-Non has been at Tivoli for the last month and a half with the pensionnaire Fragonard, painter.” Robert is mentioned in the next paragraph as “also still doing very well” but in a way that suggests no link to the pair at Tivoli. See Anatole de Montaiglon and Jules Guiffrey, eds., Correspondance des Directeurs de l’Académie de France à Rome avec les Surintendants des Bâtiments, vol. 11 (Paris, 1901), 354.
FRANCESCO ZUCCARELLI
1702–1788

Mountain Landscape with Washerwomen and Fisherman, c. 1760s
Gouache
16 x 25 (40.7 x 63.5)
Gift of John Morton Morris

Zuccarelli, a prolific painter and draftsman, was born in Tuscany and trained in Florence and Rome. He was in Venice by about 1730, and he is usually associated with the Venetian landscape artists of the eighteenth century, owing to his specialization in pastoral scenes. These are especially close in style to those of Marco Ricci (1676–1729). Zuccarelli later spent some fifteen years in England, where he was a founding member of the Royal Academy.

This large, handsome drawing is the first landscape by Zuccarelli to enter the collection. It is an exceptionally fine example of his Arcadian landscapes in which he stressed the simple and peaceful tasks and pleasures of country life.

Like most of his drawings, this sheet is a work of art in its own right, not a preparatory study for a painting. Indeed, he has here employed the medium of gouache so as to stress the highly finished appearance of the scene. The fresh condition of the object suggests that it may have been kept in an album, although drawings of this type were sometimes framed and glazed in the eighteenth century.

Zuccarelli’s landscape drawing, like many of his works, may be seen as a culminating point in the tradition of Italian pastoral scenes that originated in sixteenth-century Venetian art. As such it provides a fitting terminus to the National Gallery’s increasingly significant holdings of Italian landscapes. These include such stellar sheets as Domenico Campagnola’s Landscape with Boy Fishing (c. 1520), Annibale Carracci’s Landscape with Figures by an Estuary with Sailing Boats (c. 1590–1595), another fiftieth anniversary gift, Guercino’s Landscape with a Waterfall, and Marco Ricci’s gouache Stormy Landscape (c. 1725).

At the same time, Zuccarelli’s sojourn in England and the high regard in which his work has been held by British collectors and connoisseurs make this drawing a meaningful art historical link to our growing collection of eighteenth and nineteenth-century British landscape drawings.

H. Diane Russell

PROVENANCE
English private collection.

NOTE
1. See, for example, the remarks by Marco Chiarini, Mostra di disegni Italiani di paesaggi del seicento e del settecento [exh. cat. Gabinetto disegni e stampe degli Uffizi, XXXVIII] (Florence, 1973), cats. 94–95.
George III became king of England in 1760 and was married the following year. Seeking more room than was available in crowded St. James’s Palace, in 1761 he acquired Buckingham House. Two years later his wife commissioned Robert Adam, who had recently been appointed one of the Architects of the King’s Works, to design a fanciful temporary structure in the garden behind Buckingham House in honor of the king’s twenty-fifth birthday. The framework, which was rapidly completed with the aid of stage carpenters, was placed just outside the house’s windows and was designed to be illuminated at night. Painted transparencies lit from behind were placed in three arches. The king was kept diverted at the palace from Saturday, 4 June, his birthday, until the following Monday. When he was taken to Buckingham House that night, he was completely surprised by the apparition that greeted him as the shutters were thrown open. According to one source, he was “much affected by this tribute of wifely and loyal devotion.”

This watercolor, long attributed to the French architect and draftsman Charles-Louis Clerisseau (based on an inscription by a later hand on the verso), is now believed to be Adam himself. The design apparently represents Adam’s full intentions for the project and closely follows a meticulous elevation drawing still in the royal collection. Apparently a much reduced version was actually executed, perhaps because of the constraints of time. Only the three arched pavilions with the illuminated transparencies were built, and they were joined not by elaborate arcades but by the standing sculptures first designed as roof ornaments. However, when Adam and his brother James prepared the illustrations for the first volume of their ambitious publication, Works in Architecture, they chose to portray the complete design, no doubt proud of the wonderful architectural fantasy as originally conceived.

Robert Adam, one of Britain’s greatest and most influential architects, was born in Edinburgh, Scotland, in 1728. After several years of successful architectural practice in Edinburgh, Scotland, in 1728, he went to Italy in 1754, where he remained for three years. While there Adam came under the spell of the two men he considered his greatest mentors, Clerisseau and the Venetian artist Giovanni Battista Piranesi. When Adam returned to London in January 1785 he quickly established himself as an architect of note. He and his brother were the dominant forces in British architecture for much of the next thirty years.

The Gallery’s collection includes only one other work by Adam, a watercolor entitled River Landscape with a Castle. The present example, with its wealth of architectural detail and its charming evocation of a particularly interesting and unusual incident in Adam’s career, is an especially welcome addition. It also comes with a distinguished provenance, having been owned by the great collector of British watercolors, J. Leslie Wright.

Franklin Kelly

PROVENANCE
J. Leslie Wright, acquired 1936; by descent to Mrs. Cecil Keith; sold Sotheby’s, London, 27 April 1988, no. 416; John Davidson.

NOTES
2. Bolton 1922, 49.
3. See Oppé 1950, 22; the figures were ascribed to Antonio Zucchi. The watercolor was sold at Sotheby’s, London (English Drawings and Victorian Watercolours; Architectural Drawings and Watercolours, 27 April 1988, no. 417) as by Robert Adam.
4. Oppé 1950, no. 18, plate 25.
5. Sotheby’s 1988, no. 416. The captions and texts for nos. 416 and 417 are reversed in the catalogue.
6. See the engraving by D. Cunego in The Works in Architecture of Robert and James Adam, vol. 1, pt. 5 (London, 1778; reprint ed. London, 1931), plate 5, which has the following inscription: “Original Design of an Illumination and Transparency part of which was executed by Command of the Queen in June 1762 [sic], In Honor of His Majesty’s Birthday.”
In his published prints and books Piranesi spared no costs. He created luxuriously scaled works on the very best paper, appropriate homage to what he saw as the magnificence of Roman architecture. But when it came to artistic work not for public display, his private frugality took over.

Sufficient examples survive for us to surmise that Piranesi made large preparatory drawings for most if not all his large vedute, both those in his most famous series, Vedute di Roma, and those included in his archaeological books of the 1750s and 1760s. However, extremely few survive. Further, like any good printmaker, Piranesi printed proofs as he was completing a plate to decide whether to make further artistic changes, or to consider it finished and engrave his signature and caption. Likewise, few survive of these fascinating proofs before the letters, which show his artistic progress and decisions. In general, preparatory drawings and artists’ proofs were intently prized and avidly collected by his contemporaries, especially the French; but Piranesi must have considered his to be simply unfinished and private works, so he cut them up to use the blank backs as scrap paper. We find such fragments of proofs and drawings as well as spoiled prints on the versos of many of his smaller drawings, especially his studies for his Camini series and his figure drawings, as with the fine example given by Kate Ganz in honor of the fiftieth anniversary and also in this volume.

Of all Piranesi’s 135 etchings in the Vedute di Roma, his largest and most popular series, of which proof impressions would be most likely to be preserved, it appears that only thirteen proofs before the letters survive intact. And of the hundreds of different prints in his archaeological books, including many large vedute, the present gift from the Vershbows appears to be one of only two proofs still intact. Undoubtedly this proof survives only because it was itself printed on the verso of a proof page from an archaeological text, so there was no gain in cutting it up for scrap paper.

This etching became plate XIV in Piranesi’s book on the Antichità d’Albano e di Castel Gandolfo (Rome, 1764). The series was dedicated to Pope Clement XIII, who maintained his summer residence at Castel Gandolfo and had become interested in Piranesi’s research and publications on the outlet and the grottoes of Lake Albano. The book considers and magnificently portrays various types of Roman antiquities in the vicinity. The present plate is a perspective view of the staircase leading down into a large underground cistern, which was used in antiquity to supply a neighboring barracks for Roman soldiers. Comparison between this artist’s proof and a regular impression shows that Piranesi added not only the caption, signature, plate number, and a dozen reference letters scattered throughout the image, but also reworked the plate at the top with patterns of heavy engraved parallel hatching to darken the foreground, emphasize the curvature of the vault, and further variegate the patterns of light and shade.

This etching illustrates how for Piranesi, even in his technical publications, there was no such thing as a perfunctory explanatory plate. When he designed formal plans they were elegantly laid out in collages of trompe-l’oeil scrolls. When he wanted to give a sense of the appearance of a structure, a classical prospettiva to explain a complicated corner of his ground plan, then he could not neglect the variety of materials and textures, or the grandeur of ancient Roman construction, but produced this view rakishly composed to reveal the magnificence of the ancients’ work, bathed in the light of Italian sun as it penetrates the haze of the underground ruins picturesquely corroded by humidity and vegetation.

Andrew Robison
GIOVANNI BATTISTA PIRANESI
1720–1778

Young Man With a Staff, c. 1765
Pen and brown ink with brown wash
6 1/4 x 4 1/8 (15.9 x 10.5)
Gift of Kate Ganz

This Young Man shows the fire and dash of Piranesi's figure drawings, which have long been admired by connoisseurs. Piranesi's figures represent his lifelong fascination with capturing the stance and movement and gestures of human beings. He virtually never used these drawings—as other Italian artists did—as direct models or studies for inclusion in larger compositions, or for sale or presentation. Instead, they were for more private purposes, perhaps a kind of continued training so he could spontaneously create different but convincing figures in his compositions, or perhaps a straightforward personal reaction to the people he saw and a desire to record them.

Piranesi drew very few nudes, and his work shows virtually no evidence of his having used professional artists' models. His figures are tortured, twisting, ragged, gesticulating, hunchbacked. Their subjects include a wide catalogue of street types, which Piranesi would naturally have seen in Rome, but extremely few of the more refined and elegant types of acquaintances that we know he did have. After the 1750s Piranesi's figures also included numerous studies of dressed young men standing or working at counters. These have frequently and plausibly been thought to be helpers in his own printing and publishing establishment, set up in 1761.

The present Young Man is dressed in working-class garments, carrying a pouch or large wallet at his belt and holding a long staff. It is striking how much this particular figure recalls the classical pose of a professional studio model—one foot raised and body steadied by holding a model's pole or a suspended rope. However, given the overwhelming consistency of Piranesi's other figures, this is most likely a notable accident. This is surely a young man of the streets beginning to ascend a staircase, turning back to see something that has drawn his attention. Barely visible at the bottom right is an earlier drawing, one of Piranesi's unusual nude studies, here of a man's thigh, knee, and shin, which the artist erased before beginning the present work.

This standing figure shows distinctive stylistic characteristics in its multiple bands of zig-zag hatching of irregularly differing widths and lengths and directions, created with a fairly thin nib, combined with much thicker strokes that accentuate crucial outlines or forms. In addition to the morphology of the figure, these characteristics of pen work closely associate this drawing with a standing man in the Gemeentemuseum, Amsterdam; a kneeling (praying?) man currently at Artemis, London; and a sheet of three figures in the Ecole des Beaux-Arts, Paris. The fragments of a drawing and of a print on the verso of two of these can both be dated to 1764, suggesting the rectos date soon afterward. The present figure drawing is also on the back of a fragment of a print, specifically a section from the bottom right of Piranesi's Antichità Romane, vol. 3, plate XLIX. However, comparison with impressions in two different copies of the Antichità Romane in the National Gallery, one datable c. 1757 and one c. 1770, shows the impression in this fragment closer to the latter. A dating of these four drawings in the mid-1760s is confirmed by the similar stylistic characteristics of pen work in Piranesi's signed and precisely dated architectural fantasy (31 December 1765) now in the National Gallery of Art (1986.32.1), as well as in many of the preparatory drawings and drawings directly related to his Cammini etchings, which we know were at least partially finished and printed by 1767.

A most unusual characteristic of this Young Man is its touches of golden brown wash. Though Piranesi did use wash in his multi-colored compositions drawn in the 1740s, subsequently he used only pure chalk or pure pen for figure drawings. The capturing of light and modeling of form with touches of wash does occur rather similarly on the standing figures included in one of Piranesi's large preparatory drawings for the architec-

NOTES
2. Pen and brown ink; 15.2 x 12.7; ex coll. JPH.
Known principally for his numerous landscape prints and drawings, Weirotter's most common subjects are charming country scenes and broad panoramic views, usually executed in ink and wash. Toward the end of his short life, however, he made more personal drawings from nature, monumental chalk studies of isolated rocks and vegetation. These remarkable drawings, including the great pile of boulders presented here, rank among his most memorable works.

Having trained as a landscape painter in his native Austria, Weirotter settled in Paris in 1759. There he came under the influence of Johann Georg Wille (1715–1808), a German engraver and draftsman who introduced Weirotter to drawing from nature and occasionally took him on sketching expeditions with other young artists into the French countryside.\(^1\) A trip to Italy in 1763–1764 confirmed Weirotter's devotion to drawing in the open air. He continued the practice as professor of landscape drawing at the Kupferstecherakademie in Vienna from 1767 until his death in 1771, frequently accompanying his students into the Austrian countryside.

This grand drawing, together with several similar sheets in the Akademie der Bildenden Künste, Vienna,\(^2\) and a study of a waterfall in the British Museum,\(^3\) attests in the most remarkable way to Weirotter's intense study of isolated corners of nature. As *Weathered Boulders* shows, he sought to capture not only the picturesque qualities of his subject, but also the rocks' massive weight and scarred surfaces.

Weirotter's choice of red chalk for this and other nature studies certainly stemmed from his years in France and reflects especially his knowledge of the drawings of Hubert Robert (1733–1808) and Jean-Honoré Fragonard (1732–1806). But the strokes that Weirotter used to carve out the huge, weather-beaten rocks are very different from the Frenchmen's more refined hatchings and calligraphy. While Weirotter comes closest to the French in the patterns of his occasional grasses and plants, the substance of his drawing is the more irregular, forceful, and repeated strokes that capture the rough edges and crevices of the boulders. He shares the widespread eighteenth-century love for brilliant and broken light, but is distinctive in focusing on the strength and power of the natural forms. Weirotter's drawing, moreover, shows no clear human presence but presents its subject in splendid isolation.

During the last decade, the National Gallery's collection of eighteenth-century German and central European drawings has grown steadily and is now one of the strongest in the United States. This splendid Weirotter, his first to enter the collection, becomes the best landscape drawing in this category while adding impressive weight and dimension to that important group.

Margaret Morgan Grasso

---

**PROVENANCE**

Kurt Meissner, Zurich.

**NOTES**


3. Black and white chalk on gray-blue paper; inscribed *Wasserfall in den Steyermarkischen Gebirgen/nach der Natur gezeichnet (sic) von Weirotter. 1769.* Inv. no. 0.0.4.1; Gernsheim 29153.
Known in his lifetime primarily as a horse painter, George Stubbs is now considered one of England’s great masters. Essentially self-taught, at an early age Stubbs showed an ability for and an interest in depicting anatomy, which culminated in his ten-year study and publication in 1766 of The Anatomy of a Horse. However, Stubbs considered himself an artist, not a scientist, signing the title page of his Anatomy “George Stubbs, Painter.” He developed a reputation in the 1760s for painting horses, but he also turned his keen powers of observation upon men and women, wild and domestic animals, conversational groups, and scenes of hunting, racing, and shooting.

Long an essential element of English country life, dogs had frequently been painted by Stubbs as part of larger scenes, often being used as clever design links in compositions, as in Lord Torrington’s Hunt Servants Setting out from Southill, Bedfordshire, c. 1765–1768, and John and Sophia Musters Riding at Colwick Hall, 1777. Stubb's portrayed dogs, horses, and human beings with equal sympathy and equal distinction. His individual dog portraits reveal the same attention to detail, understanding of the subject, and even intellectual curiosity as do his great portraits of horses.

Now considered companion dogs, poodles were originally bred as water dogs, outstanding retrievers of game. White Poodle in a Punt has been characterized as not a dog at work, but perhaps a dog going to work. The poodle is balanced in a punt, confident in his task, and ready to jump in the water at any moment. Stubb's captured the woolly texture of the dog's coat and the wet feel of his soft, pinkish nose. He has also sensitively depicted the psychology of the moment, where, gazing out at the spectator instead of in a more neutral profile view, the dog is mindful of his unsteady position on a floating object. The Poodle has been dated in the past from the 1760s to around 1800. Scholars now date it to around 1780 because of its close similarity to Water Spaniel, dated 1778, also in the collection of Paul Mellon, and because the artist often used the weeping willow tree as background in paintings of that date.

White Poodle in a Punt is only the second Stubb's painting in the Gallery’s British collection, joining Captain Pocklington with His Wife Pleasance (3) and His Sister Frances, 1769. The Mellon gift exemplifies Stubb's great achievement, which lay in his sympathetic portrayal of the inner nature of animals combined with an anatomist's attention to their exact physical appearance.

Gretchen A. Hirschauer

PROVENANCE
Mrs. Esme Smyth, Ashton Hall, near Bristol, Somerset; Lord de Mauley, sold Christie's 8 July 1949, lot 131, bought F. T. Sabin; 21st Earl of Shrewsbury and Waterford, Ingestre Hall, Staffordshire, 1951, sold Sotheby's 23 March 1960 (lot 63), bought Colnaghi for Paul Mellon.

NOTES
2. Both paintings are in private collections. See London 1984, cats. 46 and 116.
5. London 1984, cat. 97; and for a discussion of the dating of the Brown and White Norfolk or Water Spaniel, see cat. 101.
John Hoppner was one of the most successful English portrait painters of the late eighteenth century and one of the last to work in the grand tradition established by Sir Joshua Reynolds. His mother was a German attendant at the court of George II and it was widely rumored in Hoppner’s lifetime that George III, who came to the throne in 1760, was her father. Although this was apparently not the case, the artist did little to dispel the belief and was, in fact, greatly liked by the king during his youth. Supported by a royal annuity Hoppner began formal study of art at the Royal Academy in 1775. In 1780 two of his works were accepted for the academy’s annual exhibition, and by the time he was in his mid-twenties he was steadily attracting commissions.1

In 1781 Hoppner married Phoebe Wright, daughter of the well-know American artist Patience Wright. The elder Wright had left America during the Revolution, bringing her family to London, where her wax figures and busts of famous individuals became much admired. Unfortunately, Hoppner’s marriage led to a fall from the king’s favor. It is traditionally said that the king objected because his consent had not been asked, but more likely he disapproved of the bride’s mother, who had spied for the Americans during the war. In any event, Hoppner quickly recovered from the blow. He and his wife moved to a grand home on St. James’s Square, fitted it with an elegant gallery and studio, and were soon well-established members of London’s artistic community.

Hoppner was not inclined toward stylistic innovation, preferring instead to assimilate the most successful elements of the works of other artists such as Reynolds, Thomas Gainsborough, and George Romney. In 1793 he was appointed Portrait Painter to the Prince of Wales, which caused great demand for his work among members of the fashionable circles around the prince. Hoppner’s chief competitor was the younger Sir Thomas Lawrence, with whom he carried on a long and highly public rivalry. Lawrence’s appointment in 1791 as Portrait Painter to George III was a source of great personal frustration for Hoppner, who never succeeded in regaining the king’s favor.

Hoppner created many of his finest works in the 1780s, and he was at his best in painting women and children.2 Around 1784 he received a commission to paint portraits of the four daughters of Francis Beresford of Ashbourne, Derbyshire. In addition to the resulting oil paintings, Hoppner executed this lovely chalk drawing of Frances (1763–1831).3 The second eldest daughter, she would have been about twenty-one when she sat for the artist. In the oil portrait she is shown in similar costume, but seated in a landscape and turned at an angle to the picture plane.4 The painting is a conventional example of Hoppner’s portrait style, but has a slight sense of stiffness and formality and some incongruity between the figure and the landscape setting. The drawing gives quite a different impression. Although fully worked and clearly conceived as a finished portrait in its own right, it has an appealing air of informality. Frances seems more relaxed as she sits on a red chair in front of a red drape with her hands crossed in her lap, her head slightly tilted. Her eyes gaze out at us with just a hint of wistfulness.5 There is a sense of the momentary and the fleeting, both in the informality of the pose and in Hoppner’s lively and quick strokes of chalk that animate the surface of the sheet.

There are several major oils by Hoppner and a number of reproductive prints after his work in the Gallery’s collection, but the only other drawing is a more formal and more tightly worked male portrait. Portrait of Miss Frances Beresford is thus an especially welcome addition to the collection, representing a less familiar but engaging side of Hoppner’s accomplishments.

Franklin Kelly
The author of this striking view through a foreground arch was the talented young marquis de Turpin, a career soldier whose skill as an artist earned him an honorary membership in the French Academy in 1785. This handsome drawing, like so many of Turpin’s views, is dominated by tall architectural forms whose monumental scale is dramatically enhanced by both the artist’s low viewpoint and the diminutive size of the charming figures that populate the scene.

Other than such momentous events as his election to the French Academy in 1785 and his participation in the Salon of 1787, the details of Turpin’s activities as an amateur artist remain obscure. Some information about his training and development can be deduced from his drawings, however. For example, as the present drawing shows, Turpin must have been intimately familiar with the art of Hubert Robert (1733–1808), to the extent that he may even have studied with Robert in Paris. The intensely focused sunlight, the vigorously hatched shadows, the wiry sprigs of foliage, and especially the tiny figures with round heads all evoke Robert’s work. Further, Turpin’s many views in and around Rome, some bearing abbreviated inscriptions noting the location, indicate that he made at least one trip to Italy, though the specific dates are unknown. Presumably he traveled there when he was still quite young, before he joined the military. In that case most of his Italian views, including the sheet presented here, would have been made in the mid-1770s.

One of the two paintings Turpin exhibited in the Salon of 1787, the only known public exhibition of his work, was a vertical composition representing Les Portiques d’une rue de Tivoli, a title that seems to correspond exactly to the scene in the Cailleux drawing. Since Turpin also exhibited “several drawings made from nature in Rome and its environs,” it is tempting to speculate that this grand drawing was not only a study for the exhibited painting, but was also itself included in the Salon. It would then have been one of the remarkable group of works by Turpin that were briefly mistaken for the work of Robert by more than one connoisseur.

As an excellent example of Turpin de Crissé’s art and one of the very few outside France, this impressive drawing is a most welcome addition to the National Gallery’s collection. The fact that Turpin fled France during the revolution and ended his days here in the fledgling United States adds to its importance for the Gallery and makes it even more appropriate for the collection.

Margaret Morgan Grasselli

PROVENANCE

NOTES
1. More than 150 of Turpin’s drawings are conserved in the Musée Turpin de Crissé, Angers, many bearing the same red stamp as this sheet, M.T.O. in a triangle. Although the stamp’s origin and meaning remain unknown, it was presumably applied by a family member since the Angers drawings came directly from Turpin’s son, Count Lancelot Théodore Turpin de Crissé (1782–1859). All of the drawings were mistakenly attributed for many years to the son, who was also an artist; two were included under the son’s name in The Finest Drawings from the Museums of Angers [exh. cat. Heim Gallery] (London, 1977), nos. 93, 94.

2. The partially trimmed initials L. T. at the bottom of the Cailleux drawing may originally have been followed by an R, the top of which is still visible. That shorthand notation is sometimes found on the drawings Turpin made in and around Rome.

3. Baron F. M. de Grimm noted in reference to Turpin’s two exhibited paintings that “some practiced eyes were tempted to think them by Robert.” See M. Tourneux, ed., Correspondance littéraire, philosophique (Paris, 1881), 15:148. One of those who was fooled was Count Stanislas Potocki, who wrote a critique of the Salon. See M. E. Zoltowska, “Stanislas Kostka Potocki, David, Denon et le Salon de 1787, ou la première critique d’art écrite par un polonais,” Antemurale 24 (1980), 9–65.
PIERRE-FRANÇOIS BASAN
1723–1797

_Dictionnaire des graveurs anciens et modernes_
Basan, Paris, 1789
Two volumes with etchings and engravings by various artists
7½ x 4¾ (19.1 x 11.8)

Promised Gift of Andrew Robison

The eighteenth century witnessed a great rise in print collecting and writing about prints, particularly in France. This taste was initiated at the beginning of the century by the Parisian dealer and publisher Jean Pierre Mariette (1634–1716) who had formed an impressive private collection of graphic arts. By mid-century there were many collectors in Paris eager to learn about and purchase prints. With the proliferation of these collectors and dealers grew an increasing number of manuals, treatises, histories, and catalogues exclusively devoted to printmaking and printmakers.¹ To this body of literature belongs Pierre-François Basan’s _Dictionnaire des graveurs anciens et modernes_. Printed in two volumes, the dictionary of printmakers was first published unillustrated in 1767, with a second, illustrated edition appearing in 1789. A third edition was published in 1809.

Basan began his career as a reproductive engraver. In about 1776 he turned to print collecting and dealing, later professing in his own biography in the _Dictionnaire_ that he had “too lively a character for engraving.” As a dealer Basan had one of the largest businesses in Paris. However, his most lasting contribution to the field of prints is his _Dictionnaire_. Andrew Robison’s superb copy is from the illustrated edition of 1789, which contains additions and corrections to the first publication. More important, however, it is illustrated with actual impressions from the original plates Basan had gathered from many sources with the intention of providing prints to exemplify the work of artists he discussed in his text. Among the prints are examples by seventeenth-century artists whose work found particular favor in eighteenth-century France, including etchings by the Dutch artists Rembrandt van Rijn, Jan Lievens, Joris van Vliet, Adriaen van Os-

dade, Cornelis Bega, Pieter van Laer, and Anthonie Waterloo; by the Italian artists Giovanni Benedetto Castiglione and Stefano Della Bella; and by the French printmaker Pierre Brecuette. Basan’s dictionary is also noted for its inclusion of prints by eighteenth-century etchers and engravers, especially the French, such as Augustin de St. Aubin, Charles Eisen, Charles-Nicolas Cochin fils, and Noel Le Mire as well as the Viennese Franz Edmund Weirrotter (see Weirrotter’s fine red chalk drawing _Weathered Boulder_ in this volume).

The present copy of the _Dictionnaire_ is unique. It was owned by a contemporary amateur printmaker, Jules-Armand-Guillaume Bouchier,² who had the volumes bound with interleaves on which he meticulously added manuscript notes on additional prints and printmakers. His annotations, which range in date from the 1790s to 1824, attest to a wide knowledge of printmaking as they include contemporary artists outside France, such as the British Paul Sandby and the German Raphael Morgenh. Quite amusing is Bouchier’s penned remark _hic_ (or “here”) near his own entry in which he also corrected one of the initials of his name.

Basan’s _Dictionnaire_, a product of the French Enlightenment in general and the _encyclopédique_ tradition in particular, remains an important reference tool for the twentieth-century scholar. The library of the National Gallery has a copy of the 1767 edition; this is the first illustrated edition to enter the Gallery. The Basan _Dictionnaire_ joins its nineteenth-century British counterpart, a unique set of seven specially bound volumes of Michael Bryan’s _A Biographical and Critical Dictionary of Painters and Engravers_ (originally published in London in 1858 with supplements by Henry Ottley appearing in 1866). Bequeathed to the Gallery by Lessing J. Rosenwald in 1980, that set contains over 1,400 original prints, hand-tipped into each volume, as illustrations for selected artists.

Gregory Jecmen

PROVENANCE

NOTES
1. For additional biographies of Bouchier see: Ulrich Thieme and Felix Becker, _Allgemeines Lexikon der bildenden Künstler_ (Leipzig, 1910), 4:433; and Frits Lugt, _Les marques de collection de dessins et d’estampes_ (Amsterdam, 1921), under no. 284.
DICTIONNAIRE DES GRAVEURS ANCIENS ET MODERNES,
Depuis l'origine de la Gravure,
PAR F. BAAZ, GRAVEUR;
SECONDE ÉDITION,
Mêle par ordre Alphabetique, considérablement augmentée & ornée de cinquante Échampes par
différents Artistes célèbres, ou tous aucun, au
gris de l'Amateur.
TOME PREMIER.

A PARIS,
L'Auteur, Rue & Hôtel Serpente,
Cuclet, Libraire, même maison.
Qué nel Palais, Imprimeur du Roi, Qui des
Augustins, à l'Immanité.

Wauters, (Jean-Louis) né à Gand en
1711, a gravé plusieurs jolis paysages.

Weirter, (François-Edmond) peintre
Allemand, né en 1732, mort à Vienne en Autriche.
Il vit à Paris où il fit quelques peintures pendant
lequel il a gravé à l'eau-forte un très-grand nombr
de p. paysages d'après nature, ou de son inven
tion, dans lesquels on voit une pointe fine & les
graves, & de très-jolies fabriques. Il a fait le voyage
d'Italie, est revenu à Paris, & en est reparti en 1757,
pour aller à Vienne y fêter son séjour. Il y fut nommé
professeur de l'académie de dMuch, & y mourut en
1774.

Weis, (Jean-Marie) peintre né en Alsace en
1730, a gravé à l'eau-forte un des marques qui
furent donné à la corrobh
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GIUSEPPE BERNARDINO BISON
1762–1844

Coriolanus before the Women of Rome
late 1780s
Pen and ink and wash over chalk
7 11/16 x 12 7/16 (18.8 x 31.6)
Gift of Katrin Bellinger

Giuseppe Bernardino Bison was an artist from the region of Friuli whose art was formed in Venice. As a specialist in ornamental painting he frescoed many palaces in the Veneto, Trieste, and Milan. It is not surprising that the present drawing was once attributed to Canova, whose neoclassical style Bison approached. The scene represented here, related by both Livy and Plutarch, tells the story of Coriolanus, a Roman general who was banished from the city in 491 B.C. Later, as head of the army of nearby Volsci, he attacked Rome with his forces. There he was met by his wife and his mother who begged him to resist advancing on his native city. Swayed by their pleas Coriolanus returned to Volsci, where he was condemned to death as a traitor. Bison here depicted the powerful moment when the women kneel before Coriolanus in supplication. Behind them the artist sketchily indicated the ancient city. Further evoking the classical origin of the story, Bison placed the figures parallel to each other and close to the picture plane, reminiscent of a Roman frieze. The upright figures in strict profile and frontal views also suggest the formality of sculptural relief. In choosing this theme Bison followed a long tradition in Italian art. Coriolanus before the Women was often painted on Italian marriage chests and elsewhere to encourage loyalty to the family and its values. The National Gallery of Art, in fact, owns a series of Renaissance plaquettes illustrating the story of Coriolanus. The lighthearted and ornamental quality of the sheet, however, may suggest a less serious purpose. By the late eighteenth century, scenes from Roman history were often employed for mere decoration. A similar drawing by Bison in the Metropolitan Museum of Art has been connected with Bison’s trompe l’œil reliefs in the Palazzo Manzoni in Padua of 1787–1790. Our drawing may well have had the same destination.

Italian neoclassical drawings have become extremely popular in the last twenty years. Bison’s combination of informal linear execution with weighty subject matter and formal compositional arrangements has made his drawings especially appreciated. The Gallery possesses very few of these drawings and none by Bison, which makes the addition of this sheet to the collection especially welcome.

Diane De Grazia

NOTES
1. The drawing is inscribed “Canova” on the verso.
JACQUES-LOUIS DAVID
1748-1825

Portrait of Thirius de Pautrizel, c. 1795
Pen and ink, brush and wash, graphite, white gouache
7% in diameter (19.3)
Gift of
Walter H. and Leonore Annenberg

Rarely were David's considerable talents as both portraitist and draftsman as brilliantly combined as they are here in this portrait of Jean-Baptiste-Louis Thirius de Pautrizel (1754–?). Presenting his subject against a simple gray ground and in a rather austere profile pose reminiscent of ancient coins and medals, David created not only an arresting image but also a wonderfully eloquent likeness. The jutting profile, the deeply set, heavy-lidded eye, the luminous flesh, and the coarsely waving hair are all defined and shaped with consummate skill. A few quick strokes of the pen add expression to the eye and mouth; rapid flicks of the brush animate the figure as a whole.

David undoubtedly knew Thirius de Pautrizel between 1792 and 1795 when they were both members of the revolutionary Convention, Thirius as a deputy for Guadeloupe, where he owned property, David as a deputy for Paris. Like so many others, David included, Thirius was eventually denounced before the Convention (in his case for a "seditious attitude") and imprisoned in 1795, at about the time this portrait was made. The same medallion format was in fact used by David during his own incarceration in 1795 for at least two portrait drawings he made of his fellow prisoners. An inscription on one of those indicates that the drawing was made as a gift from the artist to the sitter. The portrait of Thirius may well have been made for the same purpose, for the sense of human warmth and sympathy with which it is imbued suggests that the sitter was a close friend of the artist.

This exquisite drawing provides the impressive finale that has long been missing from the National Gallery's strong collection of eighteenth-century French drawings. Not only does it represent David's draftsmanship in a most striking way and at a level commensurate with his stature as the greatest French artist of his age, but also it establishes the highest standard for future collecting in this area. By happy chance it also complements in a particularly meaningful way the two David paintings in the collection, both of which are portraits from later in the artist's career and thus very different in presentation.

Margaret Morgan Grasselli

PROVENANCE
Gairac collection, Paris; Wildenstein and Company, New York; Curtis O. Baer, New Rochelle; his son, Dr. George Baer, Atlanta.

NOTES
1. The inscription on the drawing's mount, THIRUS DE PAUTRIZEL, Capitaine de Cavalerie en 1785, Représentant de la NATION FRANÇAISE en 1794 et 1795, indicates that Thirius was a representative only from 1794 to 1795, but records show that he was actually appointed in October 1792. See Adolphe Robert and Edgar Bourloton, Dictionnaire des Parlementaires Français (Paris, 1891), 2:562.
3. The drawing in Chicago is inscribed Donum amicitiae, amoris solutum (gift of friendship, solace of affection).
Bacchante with a Goat, model 1796, cast 1798
Bronze group, 16½/6 (41.4) high; base, 10½ x 6 (26.7 x 5.2)
Gift of Mr. and Mrs. John R. Gaines

Lithe and long-limbed, a smiling young woman balances on the back of a rearing goat, an ancient symbol of lusty animal high spirits. The ivy leaves crowning her hair and the thyrsus—a staff topped with a pine cone—she uses to goad the animal mark her as a devotee of Bacchus, the classical god of wine. In the wild ride her hair flies out behind her and her drapery clings to her body, often in ridged folds whose swirling patterns accentuate the rounded forms beneath. Elegant contours wind their way down from her outstretched neck through her curving back and bent legs. The contrasting textures of wavy fur, smooth flesh, cloth, and leaves, reflecting careful study of nature, are shown to advantage in the modeling and in the final cleaning and chasing of the fine bronze details. Louis-François Jeanne, who did the superb finishing work, was allowed to add his name to that of his teacher Roland in the inscription on the base.

Roland’s successful career, which included royal commissions for Louis XVI and Marie Antoinette, spanned the Bourbon monarchy, the French Revolution, and the Napoleonic period. A favorite pupil of the prominent sculptor Augustin Pajou, he produced architectural decoration, portrait busts, and figures for public monuments as well as small sculpture. This bronze, of a size and refinement suited to domestic enjoyment, was made under the Directoire, the government of the transition from the revolution to the empire of Napoleon. The great nineteenth-century sculptor David d’Angers, Roland’s most successful pupil, wrote of the Bacchante with a Goat: “The vivacity of this sculpture, the grace of its pose, and the purity of its form place it among the most beautiful works he ever executed.”

Such subjects from ancient Greek and Roman mythology, representing ideally beautiful and lightly clothed human figures in action, had been favored by European artists and patrons since the Renaissance. The sculpture’s light-hearted theme and mild eroticism look back to the art of the earlier eighteenth century. But Roland’s mutually balancing movements, clear, sharp contours, carefully articulated structure, and linear drapery style would have suited the neoclassical taste that predominated later in the century. So would the composition, offering alluring views from many angles yet most complete and effective from direct front and back views, like a relief or a painting. In fact, the twisting pinwheel pose Roland gave his Bacchante suggests an interest in the energetic seated figures of Renaissance painting, such as those of Michelangelo and Raphael in Italy, where Roland studied for five years, or in the sixteenth-century French art influenced by the Italian Renaissance. Related poses appear, for instance, in the enamel decoration by Léonard Limousin, c. 1560, on a dish representing the Wedding Feast of Cupid and Psyche in the National Gallery, (1942.9.293).

A terra-cotta model for this sculpture is in the Metropolitan Museum of Art, signed and dated 1796. The bronze shows important differences from this model. The changes were probably introduced in a wax version that was cast from the clay model and then reworked before itself being cast in bronze. This bronze example may be the one that Roland showed in the officially sponsored Salon exhibition of 1798 in Paris.

In a collection with great strength in the eighteenth-century rococo terracottas of Clodion, the style of the Roland Bacchante subtly broaches the turn toward neoclassical taste. It introduces to the collection a type of supremely refined bronze that embodies, in its approach to classical antiquity, both the transition and the continuity between the arts of the ancien régime and those of the early nineteenth century.

Alison Luchs
Throughout his career William Blake struggled to find an artistic language capable of expressing the purity and intensity of his visionary subjects. To many of his contemporaries he was an enigma, a man distracted by a fanciful imagination and naive in his understanding of art and artistic practice. For others his extraordinary genius made him one of the most sophisticated and innovative artists of the era.1

Blake was trained in reproductive engraving, which would provide income throughout much of his life. His true ambitions, however, lay in poetry and painting and in 1779 he entered the Royal Academy, only to drop out during his first year. Drawings and watercolors from the late 1770s and early 1780s indicate that Blake quickly mastered the current styles of history painting and could produce accomplished work in the academic tradition. But he soon found such work restrictive, believing he had a God-given mission to convey higher truths to his fellow man through the means of art. Gradually, Blake evolved a personal style; by the late 1790s his art had taken on an intensely expressive and visionary character markedly different from the works of any of his contemporaries.

As early as 1785 Blake had begun executing biblical subjects in watercolor, finding the Bible more entertaining and instructive than any other book because of its appeal to the imagination and spiritual sensation.2 In 1793 Blake announced his intention to undertake a series from the Bible, but not until 1799, when Thomas Butts commissioned fifty temperas, did he actually commence this project.3 Butts, a military clerk, was not a wealthy man, but he managed to amass the largest contemporary collection of the artist’s works. His first commission was quickly followed by a second order for watercolors; Blake would eventually paint more than eighty for him.

The first years of the new century were filled with deep personal frustration and anguish for the painter. In January of 1802 Blake wrote to Butts that he was struggling with his art: “I have recollected all my scatter’d thoughts on Art and resumed my primitive and original ways of Execution in both painting and engraving. . . . I am not ashamed, afraid, or averse to tell you . . . I am under the direction of Messengers from Heaven, Daily and Nightly. . . .”4 Blake then developed a powerful new style employing bold colors and dramatic light effects, as is evident in the watercolors he painted for Butts in 1803, including The Death of Saint Joseph.

Like its companion watercolor The Death of the Virgin (1803, Tate Gallery, London), The Death of Saint Joseph shows figures in an otherworldly, undefined space surmounted by a vivid rainbow of angels’ heads and wings. Like the archivoltas of a medieval tympanum, this angel-rainbow circumscribes and defines a separate spiritual realm. There is no natural source of illumination; instead light emanates from the figures of Joseph, Mary, and Christ, filling the scene with supernatural radiance. That Blake chose to depict the very moment of transition from earthly to heavenly life was made clear by the inscription originally found at the bottom of the sheet: “Into Thine hand I commend my spirit: Thou hast redeemed me, O Lord God of Truth.”

The Death of Saint Joseph, with its superbly delineated figures, evocative colors, and profound spirituality, is a significant addition to the Gallery’s extensive Blake holdings. Joining three other watercolors from the Butts commission, including the well-known Great Red Dragon and the Woman Clothed with the Sun of c. 1805, it helps represent the finest achievements of a crucial phase in Blake’s art.

Franklin Kelly

PROVENANCE
Thomas Butts; Thomas Butts Jr.; Captain F. J. Butts; His widow, sold April 1906 through Carfax to W. Graham Robertson; Sold Christie's 22 July 1949, no. 43; Agnews'; Shaw Kennedy, by 1957; Agnews, 1963; Mrs. James Biddle, New York (later Mrs. Robert Duemling), 1966.

NOTES
2. Gregory Keynes, Blake's Illustrations to the Bible (Clairvaux, 1957), ix.

WILLIAM BLAKE
1757–1827
The Death of Saint Joseph, 1803
Watercolor on paper
14¼ x 14 (36.20 x 35.56)
Gift (Partial and Promised) of Louisa C. Duemling
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LOUIS LEOPOLD BOILLY
1761–1845

_The Vaccine, 1806_
Pen and ink and wash
17 1/8 x 23 3/16 (44.3 x 59.4)

Gift of Dora Donner Ide in Honor of William Henry Donner

The surprising subject of this superb drawing is the now-ordinary act of inoculating a child against smallpox. Presented as an emotionally charged domestic scene that is one of the principal hallmarks of Boilly's art, the drawing bears witness not only to the artist's special brilliance as a chronicler of contemporary life but also to the considerable trepidation with which the still-new vaccination process was regarded in his time.

As is always the case in Boilly's work, attention is focused entirely on the figures, whose every nuance of expression and gesture is precisely orchestrated to convey as complete an emotional tale as possible. The execution, with its strong contours, crisp pen lines, exquisitely controlled washes, warm light, and smoothly sculpted forms, is also vintage Boilly. Especially fine is the group of two young women comforting a child at the left, skillfully defined by a few patches of light coupled with some beautifully modulated veils of translucent wash.

Smallpox is still a highly feared disease, not inevitably fatal but often hideously disfiguring for survivors. It was not until the end of the eighteenth century that a safe method of inoculation against the disease was discovered by the British physician Edward Jenner (1749–1823). His procedure involved introducing under the skin of his patients the vaccinia virus (hence the term vaccine), commonly known as cowpox, a disease related to smallpox that affects only cattle. Those who were exposed to the cowpox virus in this manner were effectively immunized against smallpox without risking an outbreak of the disease itself.

In spite of the safety of Jenner's well-publicized inoculation technique, public fears and misconceptions were slow to fade. A significant advance was made in 1805, however, when Napoléon ordered that all his troops be vaccinated. The very next year, perhaps to help allay public mistrust, Boilly began detailed preparations for a painting on the subject of vaccination—tellingly subtitled _Le préjugé vaincu_ (prejudice vanquished)—for which this extraordinary drawing is the largest and most complete study.

As the first drawing by Boilly to enter the collection, joining only a small handful of French works dating from around 1800, this magnificent sheet is of critical importance for the National Gallery. Not only does it represent Boilly's draftsmanship in particularly memorable and moving fashion, but also it adds a notable masterpiece and considerable power to the collection of early nineteenth-century drawings. This gift is made even more special for the Gallery by the work's personal meaning for the donor, who was originally attracted to it because of her father's abiding interest in the history of medicine.

_Margaret Morgan Grasselli_

PROVENANCE
Probably Julien Boilly, Paris (sale, Paris, 4 May 1868, no. 94); Madame Variot, 1930; Jacques Seligmann, Paris; Mrs. Russell Pope, New York (sale, New York, Parke-Bernet, 25 May 1946, no. 775); Lock and Baer, New York; Baron Cassell Van Doorn, from 1946; private collection; M. R. Schweitzer and Didier Aaron, Inc., New York.

NOTE
1. The painting of 1807, almost identical in size to this drawing but differing in many details of pose, was exhibited in London in 1986. See _From Claude to Gericault, The Arts in France 1630–1830_ [exh. cat. Thos. Agnew & Sons, Ltd.] (London, 1986), no. 4. A number of other preparatory drawings are known for this composition: a wash drawing of the central group (private collection, Paris), which probably preceded the composition presented here; a black chalk study of the figures at left (sale, London, Sotheby's, 28 May 1935, no. 271); a black chalk study of the maid and two children at right (Robert F. Johnson and Joseph R. Goldyne, _Master Drawings from the Achenbach Foundation for Graphic Arts, The Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco_ [Geneva, n.d.], no. 51); a black chalk study of the woman holding a child at center and the girl standing behind (sale, Paris, Galerie Petit, 16–19 June 1919, no. 217); and a black chalk study for the doctor (Henry Harrisse, _L.-L. Boilly, peintre, dessinateur et lithographe, sa vie et son œuvre 1761–1845_ [Paris, 1898], no. 1189). The chalk drawings seem to have been made after the large-scale compositional drawing in order to modify and perfect the poses of individuals and specific groups. In 1824, Boilly made a lithograph of the painted composition (Harrisse 1898, no. 1202).
JOSEPH CHINARD
1756–1813

_A Lady_, 1810
Patinated terra cotta
26 3/8 (67)
Gift of Daniel Wildenstein

The favorite portrait sculptor of Napoléon’s family at the height of the Empire, Chinard depicted subjects including Napoléon himself and the Empress Josephine. The celebrated beauty Madame Recamier, a fellow citizen of his native Lyons, sat for some of the artist’s most captivating busts and reliefs. Perhaps because he spent much of his career in Lyons rather than at the center of power in Paris, his portrait style kept a quality of “unforced freshness combined with a faintly pensive air.”¹ This signed and dated bust of an unknown lady exemplifies this character, in the directly modeled feminine portraiture at which he excelled.

While his better-known sculptures often depict sitters with profuse details of coiffure and contemporary costume, this bust treats its subject with an austerity recalling certain ancient, imperial Roman portraits. Chinard must have known many such busts from his years of study in Rome, 1784–1787 and 1791–1793.²

In this late work the woman’s gown, cut to emulate a classical chiton, falls from one shoulder to bare her chest. Covering one breast and just revealing the nipple of the other, the decolletage suggests less erotic flirtation than the antique use of nudity to represent the sitter as immortal. The treatment is monumental in its concentration on large, simple forms, yet intimate in the loose fall of the garment and naturalistic modeling of the face. In the latter respect Chinard “made no concessions, even to female sitters.”³ With honest yet sympathetic observation he showed a face in transition, its delicate contours yielding to the fullness and lines of age. While the pupils are left blank in the classical manner, indentations in the forehead and around the finely formed eyes and mouth betoken human imperfection and sorrow in this lady who regards us with a perplexed brow and gently sad smile. The level gaze and slightly projecting upper lip lend an air of persisting innocence. The mood, together with the stark simplicity of the costume and cubic base, may reflect an origin as a posthumous commemoration of the sitter.

Terra cotta (baked clay) could serve either for a finished sculpture or a preliminary model. While this bust could have been a study for a rendition in marble, the painted surface, through which the red color of the clay shows, implies a state of completion. Whatever the sculpture’s purpose, the surfaces are vibrant with the touch of the artist’s fingers, which he chose to leave visible rather than smooth away. Subtle movement animates the bust in this handling, in the lifted shoulder and turning head, and in the incised rivulets that flow through the tightly bound hair.

This bust is the first work of neoclassical portrait sculpture in the National Gallery of Art’s collection. Yet it departs from neoclassical idealization in its concern for individuality, inner life, and impermanence. As one scholar has said of Chinard, “Face to face with another human being, he strove to understand his sitter, to pierce the mask and render the sitter’s true personality in marble or clay. Thus he transcended time and fashion and took his place in the great tradition of the makers of the French portrait bust.”⁴

Alison Lucks

PROVENANCE

NOTES

2. Chinard was expelled from Italy after imprisonment for the revolutionary sentiments expressed in his allegorical sculptures. Ironically, he then spent time in prison in Lyons (1793–1794) for his “moderation” in loyalty to the French Revolution. Thereafter he led an increasingly productive and honored artistic life there, with occasional visits to Paris and Italy.

3. Madeleine Rocher-Jauneau, “Chinard and the Empire Style,” _Apollo_ 80 (1964), 225. This bust is illustrated on p. 224 of that article.

THOMAS ROWLANDSON

1757–1827

The English Dance of Death
Repository of Arts, London, 1815–1816
Two volumes with 74 hand-colored etchings with aquatint after Rowlandson, accompanied by verse by William Combe
9½ x 6 (24.2 x 15.1)

The English Dance of Life
Repository of Arts, London, 1817
Bound volume with 26 hand-colored etchings with aquatint after Rowlandson, accompanied by verse by William Combe
9½ x 6 (24.2 x 15.1)

The Vicar of Wakefield
by Oliver Goldsmith
Repository of Arts, London, 1823
Bound volume with 24 hand-colored etchings with aquatint by Rowlandson
10 x 6½ (25.2 x 15.5)

Gift of Alexander Vershbow

Beginning around 1797, the British watercolorist and printmaker Thomas Rowlandson established a professional relationship with Rudolph Ackermann, a German-born publisher of prints and books. After commissioning several single-leaf prints from Rowlandson, Ackermann initiated a series of book-illustrating projects involving Rowlandson as artist and William Combe as author. The working relationship of artist and author was most unusual; the two never met. As Rowlandson completed the design for each illustration it was conveyed to Combe, who then composed verses to accompany it. The normal procedure for creating an illustrated book was thus reversed.

The outstanding product of this collaboration was the *The English Dance of Death*, a two-volume work that appeared in 1815 and 1816. It was followed by a sequel, *The English Dance of Life*, in 1817.1 Here we see Rowlandson's finest illustrations, full of spirited inventiveness. Ackermann first published serialized portions of these books in his *Poetical Magazine* between 1814 and 1816. Rowlandson made watercolors for Ackermann's shop to render into etching and aquatint, hand colored according to Rowlandson's scheme. The *Dance of Death* gave Rowlandson the opportunity to demonstrate his nearly inexhaustible imagination. He contrived to show Death interrupting mortal careers in all classes of society and all the ages of man.2 In the second volume, for example, Rowlandson ridiculed an astronomer for his benighted manner of meeting Death. So intent is the astronomer on scouring the heavens that he is unable to recognize Death leering down his telescope at him.

In 1817 Ackermann employed Rowlandson to illustrate *The Vicar of Wakefield*. As Rowlandson this time devised visual imagery to accompany an existing text, it was a more traditional project than that undertaken with Combe. Rowlandson himself made the hand-colored prints for this book, rather than Ackermann's shop. The tale of Doctor Primrose and his family recounts the misfortunes that the credulous and good must suffer in this world and their eventual rewards for virtue. Although the Primrose family was brought to grief by their petty sins of pride, both author and artist found them easy to forgive. Rowlandson never made them appear worse than just silly. In the episode of the *Family Picture*, only the artist is caricatured. The Primroses, in competition with their neighbors the Flamboroughs, who had recently had their portraits painted, commissioned a family portrait in historical costumes: "this would be cheaper, since one frame would serve for all, and it would be infinitely more genteel, for all families of any taste were now drawn in the same manner."3 At the center of the composition Doctor Primrose solemnly presents his writings on the necessity of clerical monogamy to his wife, dressed as Venus. Their folly overcame them, however, as the painting was too big to fit into the house, and had to be left leaning against the kitchen wall "in a most mortifying manner . . . the jest of all our neighbors."4

Virginia Tuttle Clayton

PROVENANCE

*Dance of Death* and *Dance of Life*: bookplate of Joseph Ablett, Llandbedr Hall; Hofmann and Freeman, Cambridge, Mass., 1967; Mr. and Mrs. Arthur Vershbow. *The Vicar of Wakefield*: Dana's Old Corner Book Store, Providence, 1964; Mr. and Mrs. Arthur Vershbow.

NOTES


5. Goldsmith 1823, 97–98.
Poetical Magazine.
The success of the seri-

The Tour of Doctor Syntax
in Search of the Picturesque
Repository of Arts, London, 1809
Bound volume with 31 hand-colored etchings with aquatint by Rowlandson
9 x 5 1/2 (23 x 14)

The Second Tour of Doctor Syntax,
in Search of Consolation
Repository of Arts, London, 1820
Bound volume with 24 hand-colored etchings with aquatint after Rowlandson
9 x 5 1/2 (23 x 14)

The Third Tour of Doctor Syntax,
in Search of a Wife
Repository of Arts, London, 1821
Bound volume with 26 hand-colored etchings with aquatint after Rowlandson
9 1/2 x 6 (24 x 15.2)

The History of Johnny Quae Genus
Repository of Arts, London, 1822
Bound volume with 24 hand-colored etchings with aquatint after Rowlandson
9 x 5 1/2 (23 x 14)

Gift of Ann Vershbow

The earliest and most popular work that Rowlandson and Combe accomplished for Ackermann was The Tour of Doctor Syntax in Search of the Picturesque. It first appeared in 1809 as monthly serials in Ackermann's Poetical Magazine. The success of the serialized version, titled The Schoolmaster's Tour, far exceeded expectations, and in 1812 Ackermann published it as an independent volume. Rowlandson made a new set of plates for the book, with the imagery mostly the same as that used for the magazine issue. The book was so enthusiastically received that five editions were printed within one year. The British public was at that moment enthralled with the "picturesque tour": a journey through native countryside, especially the Lake District, in quest of scenery that fulfilled the current aesthetic of the picturesque. The Tour was a parody of the type of illustrated travel account spawned by such tours, particularly that of William Gilpin, and as such presented a timely appeal to popular humor. At the opening of the work the long-suffering, impoverished Doctor Syntax conceives the idea of making a picturesque tour and of turning a profit by publishing, on his return, an illustrated journal recounting it:

I'll ride and write, and sketch and print,
And thus create a real mint;
I'll prose it here, I'll verse it there,
And picturesque it ev'rywhere. . . .
At Doctor Pompous give a look;
He made his fortune by a book:
And if my volume does not beat it,
When I return, I'll fry and eat it.

Although his trip was ultimately a success in the way that he had hoped, he was continually beset by comic misadventures along the way. One of Rowlandson's illustrations shows Syntax, at the end of his journey, in a bookseller's shop. He is offering the bookseller his beloved journal for publication, but the man, whose dinner has been interrupted, rebuffs Syntax, and a heated quarrel ensues.

So pleased was Ackermann with the public's warm reception of The Tour that he decided to continue it as a series. The illustrations for these books were again made by Ackermann's shop after watercolors by Rowlandson. In 1820 a sequel was brought forth after appearing in monthly installments: Doctor Syntax in Search of Consolation. In this book, Syntax embarks on a second journey to distract himself from grief at his wife's death. This time he is a much more prosperous traveler, riding a better horse and accompanied by his servant, Patrick, but he becomes involved in the same type of ridiculous situation that plagued him on his previous trip. In 1821, Ackermann published The Third Tour of Doctor Syntax—in Search of a Wife. Syntax gallantly sets forth, with Patrick eager to join him. By the end of the trip Syntax is not only successful in finding a wife, but adopts a foundling child. This character, Johnny Quae Genus, becomes the subject of the final book in this series, The History of Johnny Quae Genus, in which Johnny, orphaned and penniless after the death of Syntax and his wife, sets out to seek his fortune in the employ of a succession of eccentric characters.

The National Gallery was extremely fortunate in acquiring, from Lessing J. Rosenwald, an outstanding collection of Thomas Rowlandson's separate prints, originally mounted in a seven-volume nineteenth-century scrapbook containing 1,218 items. During the past five years the Gallery has also added a number of fine watercolors by Rowlandson as purchases and as gifts from Paul Mellon and William B. O'Neal. Now, with these generous gifts from Ann and Alexander Vershbow, the Gallery will be able to count as part of its collection the third major component of Rowlandson's art, the eight volumes that represent his greatest achievements as an illustrator of books.

Virginia Tuttle Clayton

The three Doctor Syntax volumes: stamped N. J. Bartlett and Company, Boston; inscribed in graphite "ex coll. J. T. Spaulding" [John Taylor Spaulding]; Goodspeed's Bookshop, Boston, 1964; Mr. and Mrs. Arthur Vershbow. Johnny Quae Genus: Norman Hall, Boston, 1960; Mr. and Mrs. Arthur Vershbow.

NOTES
Evening, 1757–1827

Evening, c. 1820–1825
Aqueous medium and chalk on pine panel
36⅞ x 11⅞ (91.8 x 29.7)
Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Gordon Hanes

Evening is one of a group of nine paintings Blake executed in the 1820s, several of which are on wood panels.1 For these late paintings Blake worked in a manner that is close in effect to the transparency of his watercolors and distinctly different from the heavily worked temperas he painted during various periods of his life.

Evening and a companion panel Winter, now in the Tate Gallery, London, are among the last of these late, thinly worked paintings to be completed. Both are thought to have been painted for installation at the sides of a fireplace (along with Frieze: Olney Bridge, now lost) for the Yaxham Rectory in Norfolk, which the Reverend John Johnson had rebuilt in 1820–1821.2

Both Evening and its companion in the Tate illustrate lines by Johnson’s cousin, William Cowper, in The Task, Book IV, in the case of Evening, lines 243–260:

Come, Evening, once again, season of peace;
Return, sweet Evening, and continue long!
Methinks I see thee in the streaky west,
With matron-step slow-moving, while the night
Treads on thy sweeping train; one hand employ’d
In letting fall the curtain of repose
On bird and beast, the other charg’d for man
With sweet oblivion of the cares of day:
Not sumptuously adorn’d, nor needing aid
Like homely featured night, of clustering gems;
A star or two, just twinkling on thy brow,
Suffices thee; save that the moon is shine
No less than her’s, not worn indeed on high
With ostentations pageantry, but set
With modest grandeur in thy purple zone,
Resplendent less, but of an ampler round.
Come then, and thou shalt find thy vot’ry calm,
Or make me so. Composure is thy gift.3

Evening, like many of Blake’s transparent watercolors, has changed over the years and is now less intense in hue; however, it reveals much about the artist’s masterful craftsmanship. The extraordinary grace of his line is best seen, perhaps, in the clarity of the hands and in the swirls of the drapery enfolding the figure. In addition, the touches of color—a warm flesh tone in the feet, hands, cheeks, and robe of the elongated figure, as well as blue in the background—serve to enhance the assuredness of Blake’s flowing line. The artist’s great sympathy with his subject is clearly evident in the gentle serenity of her expressive face.

As much a drawing as a painting, Evening is an important addition to the Gallery’s collection of Blake’s art, given earlier by Lessing J. Rosenwald, W. C. Russell Allen, and William B. O’Neal.4 In addition to other Blake watercolors, the Gallery’s holdings also include individual plates from several of Blake’s illuminated books, numerous drawings in a variety of media, prints made by many different processes, and several of Blake’s original etching plates, one of which is a fragment of copper that is the only known example of Blake’s distinctive relief etching. Of his paintings, we have two temperas on canvas: The Last Supper, c. 1799, and Job and His Daughters, 1823–1825 (fig. 1). Both of these are quite different in technique and sense of finish from Evening, which adds a distinctively new dimension to the Gallery’s representation of Blake’s art.

Ruth E. Fine

PROVENANCE
Rev. John Johnson; Canon Cowper Johnson; Bertram Vaughan-Johnson; his widow; the Rev. B. Talbot Vaughan Johnson; the Vaughan Johnson Trust; Sotheby’s 18 July 1979 (59, repr.) to Agnews for Mr. and Mrs. Hanes.
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Fig. 1. William Blake, Job and His Daughters, c. 1799/1800. National Gallery of Art, Washington, Rosenwald Collection
JOHN CONSTABLE
1776–1837

Yarmouth Jetty, 1822
Oil on canvas
12 1/2 x 20 (31.7 x 50.8)
Promised Gift of
Ruth Carter Stevenson

John Constable, unlike his well-traveled contemporary J. M. W. Turner, spent much of his artistic life close to home. Born in the small town of East Bergholt, Suffolk, Constable early on developed a deep affection for the bucolic scenery of East Anglia. There he found the subjects for many of his best-known paintings, including the famous Hay Wain of 1821 (National Gallery, London).¹ So strongly was he identified with the region that in his own lifetime part of it, the Stour Valley, came to be known as “Constable’s Country.”²

Yarmouth Jetty is one of the finest of a small group of seascapes, most of modest scale, that Constable executed in the 1820s; they were, according to the artist, “much liked.”³ Great Yarmouth, in Norfolk at the mouth of the river Yare, was the most important town and port on the East Anglian coast, a notable tourist destination and active fishing center.⁴ Only one visit by Constable to Norfolk is recorded, in the 1790s long before this painting was executed.⁵ No drawings or oil sketches related to the painting are known, so it is impossible to say whether it was based on his recollections or on a more recent unrecorded trip.

Whatever the case, the carefully delineated pier and building suggest that the artist worked from a drawing or sketch made on the spot. The sky, however, was based on one Constable had used in 1820 for several small paintings of Harwich Lighthouse.⁶ The painting fairly sparkles with light and atmosphere and has an almost palpable sense of swiftly moving clouds and sea breezes playing across the tops of the waves. In these years Constable constantly painted oil studies of the sky that are marvels of careful observation, and it was from these that he often derived the effects in his finished pictures. He attached great importance to the role of skies in his paintings, for as he said in a now-famous statement: “It will be difficult to name a class of landscape, in which the sky is not the ‘key note,’ the standard of ‘Scale,’ and the chief ‘Organ of sentiment.’”⁷ The potency of Constable’s vision was evident to his contemporaries: according to the artist, one owner of a Yarmouth painting often ate his breakfast with the picture beside him on the sofa so that he might imagine himself “on the seashore enjoying its breezes.”⁸

Yarmouth Jetty will join three other works by Constable already in the collection of the National Gallery: Wivenhoe Park, Essex of 1816, the full-scale sketch for The White Horse of 1819, and A View of Salisbury Cathedral of c. 1825. More spontaneously handled and more intimately conceived than these works, Yarmouth Jetty will help give a fuller view of Constable’s achievement and will also provide a link to the works of other artists in the Gallery’s collection such as Richard Parkes Bonington, Eugène Boudin, and Eugène Delacroix, who were in various ways profoundly influenced by him.

Franklin Kelly

NOTES
3. “Half an hour ago I received a letter from Woodburne to purchase . . . one of my sea-pieces—but I am without one—they are much liked. . . .” Letter of 18 August 1823, quoted in R. B. Beckett, John Constable’s Correspondence, 6 vols. (Ipswich, 1962–1968), 6:128. Most of his other seascapes depicted scenes of Brighton, in East Sussex, and Harwich in Essex. At least three versions of Yarmouth Jetty survive: the present example, another in a private collection, and a third in the collection of the Tate Gallery. This version is considered the original and the finest because of its full signature and date and its comparatively high degree of finish, and is most likely the “Yarmouth Jetty” that Constable exhibited at the British Institution in London in January 1823. For a discussion of the various versions see Leslie Parris, The Tate Gallery Constable Collection (London, 1981), 106, and Reynolds 1984, 108–109.
5. Parris 1981, 106. According to Parris, an anonymous manuscript account of Constable’s life mentions a sketching trip to Norfolk.

PROVENANCE
John Gibbons (d. 1851); the Reverend B. Gibbons, Corbyns Hall, Staffordshire; sale, Christie’s 26 May 1894, no. 6; purchased by Agnew for Sir Charles Tennant; by descent in the family until 1975; Agnew’s, London, 1975; Ruth Carter Stevenson, 1975.
JAMES PEALE
1749–1831

Fruit Still Life with Chinese Export Basket, 1824
Oil on panel
14 7/8 x 17 7/8 (37.8 x 44.8)
Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Thomas M. Evans

Fruit Still Life with Chinese Export Basket, the first painting by James Peale to enter the collection of the National Gallery, is an elegant distillation in both subject and technique of the qualities that distinguish the work of one of the founders of the American school of still-life painting. An important addition to the Gallery's holdings of works by the Peale family, 1 James Peale's masterwork serves as the ideal opening note for the museum's small but select collection of American still-life paintings that includes works by Peale's artistic successors William Michael Harnett (*My Gems*, 1888) and John Frederick Peto (*The Old Violin*, c. 1890). Peale's painting also bears an intriguing relationship to *Peaches—Still Life* (fig. 1), a theorem, or stencil painting, given to the Gallery in 1955 by Edgar William and Bernice Chrysler Garbisch. As an example of a popular technique that allowed amateurs to work from stenciled designs, *Peaches—Still Life* testifies to the widespread appeal of the still-life paintings produced by several members of the Peale family.

James Peale, a younger brother of Charles Willson Peale (1741–1827), spent much of his career as a painter of portrait miniatures in Philadelphia. When the strain of producing images on such a small scale threatened his health he turned to large-scale portraits, landscapes, and still lifes. His interest in still life may have been sparked by his nephew Raphaelle Peale (1774–1825), who had begun exhibiting still-life paintings as early as 1795. 2 A gifted artist with a taste for visual trickery, Raphaelle Peale produced trompe l'oeil "deceptions" as well as a series of masterfully composed still lifes. 3 Like his nephew, James Peale constructed his still-life paintings with a mathematician's eye for geometric form. In *Fruit Still Life with Chinese Export Basket* James skillfully balanced the circular forms of apples, grapes, and the ceramic dish with the sharp linear edge of the supporting table and the diagonal thrust of broken grape stems. Though asymmetrical in structure, the composition exhibits an equilibrium of classical purity. Equally skilled at rendering subtle variations in surface texture, Peale successfully juxtaposed translucent grapes, pitted apples, and serrated leaves. The golden apples at the center of the composition, spotted with signs of decay, suggest that Peale was aware of the European tradition of still-life painting. In placing blemished fruit at the center of his composition, Peale allied himself with generations of European artists whose carefully composed still lifes addressed the ephemeral and transitory. Despite its *memento mori* overtones, Peale's painting is a glorious celebration of nature's color. The apples that rest on the rim of the porcelain basket attest to the artist's ability to individualize each piece of fruit through subtle variations of color and tone. Thus the clear red of one apple serves as the foil for the pale yellow of another and the green grapes that crown the bowl complement the purple cluster at the far left. The same colors are echoed in the spray of leaves that forms the backdrop for the highlighted fruit. The stark white basket at the center, man-made and flawless, serves as both a pedestal for Peale's richly colored fruit and by contrast a powerful reminder of the imperfect and fragile character of the natural world.

At once solemn and celebratory, *Fruit Still Life with Chinese Export Basket* also contains a note of whimsy, for the grape tendril that twists toward the upper edge of the picture forms the artist's initials.

*Nancy K. Anderson

PROVENANCE

NOTES
3. *Venus Rising from the Sea—A Deception* (The Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art, Kansas City), also known as *After the Bath,* is perhaps the best known of Raphaelle Peale's trompe l'oeil "deceptions."

Fig. 1. American School, *Peaches—Still Life,* c. 1840, theorem velvet, glazed, 16 1/2 x 24 1/2 (41.9 x 62.2). National Gallery of Art, Washington, Gift of Edgar William and Bernice Chrysler Garbisch
THOMAS COLE  
1801–1848

Sunrise in the Catskills, 1826
Oil on canvas  
25½ x 35½ (64.8 x 90.1)
Gift of Mrs. John D. Rockefeller 3rd

The story of Thomas Cole's dramatic rise to fame and the founding of the Hudson River school is among the most familiar in the history of American art. In October 1825, when he was twenty-four years old, three of his landscapes were sold to three prominent figures in the young nation's art community, John Trumbull, William Dunlap, and Asher B. Durand. Recognizing that Cole's Hudson River Valley scenes captured the character of the American wilderness with a freshness and vigor that was stunningly new and original, they immediately spread word of his achievement. One of the first to hear was Robert Gilmor, a highly knowledgeable and sophisticated Baltimore collector. Cole met the collector in New York in the spring of 1826, and Gilmor commissioned a view of the Catskill Mountain House. In July Cole informed Gilmor that the subject was causing him difficulty and proposed choosing a new subject to "ensure a better picture." That "better picture" would be Sunrise in the Catskills.

Gilmor readily agreed, but also offered copious advice as to what style Cole should use and what details should be included. For Cole, corresponding with this astute though highly opinionated connoisseur proved of great importance. He was still forming his artistic beliefs, and expressing his intentions to Gilmor on paper during the very time he was painting the picture for him forced Cole to clarify his ideas both in his own mind and on canvas. The letters they exchanged provide fascinating evidence of a lively, often argumentative interplay between artist and patron and are among the most celebrated documents in the history of American art.

Sunrise in the Catskills was delivered to Gilmor on Christmas Day 1826. Its subject, according to the artist, was a view from Vly Mountain near the headwaters of the Delaware River. Using a daringly elevated point of view, Cole presented a vista toward several other mountains and across valleys filled with mists rising in the morning light. In the foreground are tangled bits of underbrush, contorted and fallen trees, and rough outcroppings of rock. Clearly, this is not a tamed and cultivated portion of the American landscape, but a remote, wild place. A primeval scene of "desolate wilderness," as Gilmor described it, confronts the viewer with something fundamental about the American landscape, American landscape painting, and the formation of an American national identity during the first half of the nineteenth century. As a writer for the art magazine The Crayon would observe: "Our country is wild, and must be looked at by itself, and be painted as it is. . . . untamed nature everywhere asserts her claim upon us, and the recognition of this claim represents an essential part of our Art." It was Thomas Cole, with works such as Sunrise in the Catskills, who first asserted that claim pictorially.

Sunrise in the Catskills, as a key early work in Cole's development, is an especially meaningful addition to the National Gallery's collection. In company with a splendid work from Cole's maturity, The Notch of the White Mountains (Crawford Notch) of 1839, and his famous four-part allegorical series, The Voyage of Life of 1842, it allows a superb overview of the artist's entire career. And in the broader context of nineteenth-century American landscape painting, represented in the collection by works by Asher Durand, John Kensett, Frederic Church, Jasper Cropsey, and other members of the Hudson River school, Sunrise in the Catskills provides a compelling beginning for one of the most glorious episodes in the history of our national art.

Franklin Kelly

PROVENANCE  

NOTES  
2. See Merritt 1967, 43–44.
3. Ten letters (see Merritt 1967) dating from 1826–1828 between Cole and Gilmor have survived, and several others are known to have existed.
4. In a now-unlocated letter of 4 December 1826 Cole announced the completion of the picture and described it to Gilmor. Something of the content of this important letter can be deduced from Gilmor's response of 13 December (Merritt 1967, 44–45). Cole's painting depicted "the desolate wilderness of American nature," did not include figures, and represented a scene "near the headwaters of the Delaware."
5. On Cole's list of pictures painted in New York in 1825–1826 (see Ellwood C. Parry III, The Art of Thomas Cole: Ambition and Imagination [Newark, Del., London, and Toronto, 1988], 22), Gilmor's picture is recorded as "Sunrise from the Fly [sic] Mountain." However, the first letter of "Fly" has been written over by a later hand. The word must have originally read "Vly," which is the name of a mountain in the Catskills about eight miles from the east branch of the Delaware.
JEAN-BAPTISTE-CAMILLE COROT
1796–1875

Clump of Trees at Civitā Castellana
1826
Graphite, pen, and ink
heightened with white
10 7/16 x 13 3/8 (26.5 x 35.2)

Gift of
Walter H. and Leonore Annenberg

The inscription on this drawing, Civitā Castellana / 1826, identifies it with a significant
and place in Corot’s development. One of the most prolific artists of the nineteenth
century, Corot began his career at the comparatively advanced age of twenty-six. After
nearly four years of academic training in Paris, Corot, like many aspiring French artists,
traveled to Italy to continue his studies. As was customary, Corot left Rome when
hot weather arrived to make sketching tours of the surrounding Roman campagna. He
arrived in Civitā Castellana toward the end of May 1826, remained there most of June,
and returned again in September and October of 1827, approximately three months
of the two and a half years Corot was in Italy. Yet the paintings and drawings that
originated in Civitā Castellana in that comparatively brief time form nearly one-fourth
of Corot’s output from that period.1

During his first stay in Italy, from the end of 1825 until the end of summer in 1828,
Corot attained artistic maturity and decided on the course of his life. In a letter to a
friend in Paris written shortly after Corot made this drawing, he noted first that he
was sitting in a dense wood with the noise of a waterfall in the background. He con-
tinued with the assertion that he had “only one goal in life that I wished to pursue
with constancy: to make landscapes.”2

It is in carefully worked drawings like Clump of Trees at Civitā Castellana, done on
the scene in several concentrated hours, that we see Corot’s artistic personality emerge.
Corot first established the principal lines of the composition in graphite, then articu-
lated individual components of the scene in crisp notations in both graphite and ink. Ink
was placed over the preliminary graphite line both to strengthen the paler graphite
and to create space and atmosphere in the composition by emphasizing prominent fea-
tures of the foreground in contrast to the distant hillsides. The effectiveness of that
approach and Corot’s mastery of these materials are evident, in some two ad-

dacent areas of hatching near the center: the darker lines indicate foliage extending out
from the trees at right, while paler, delicate hatchings summarily describe the over-
grown cliffs beyond. Corot followed this method of composition throughout his ca-

reer, an approach that he recommended to pupils and followers including the impres-
sionists Camille Pissarro, Berthe Morisot, and Auguste Renoir.

It is not uniqueness that distinguishes Clump of Trees at Civitā Castellana from con-
temporary works. Corot’s chosen subject and technique do not differ substantially from
the norm. Rather, Corot’s individual and particularly responsive handling of these tra-
ditional forms and the acuity and conscien-
tious freshness of his observation set him
apart from his coevals. On one convivial
evening in a Roman cafe, teasing by some
of Corot’s artist friends was stopped ab-
ruptly when Caruelle d’Aligny, another
French landscape painter, declared, “My
friends, Corot is our master.”3 Edgar Degas
echoed those words some sixty years later,
saying “I believe that Corot drew a tree bet-
ter than any of us.”4

Clump of Trees at Civitā Castellana is es-
pecially welcome at the National Gallery as
the only drawing by Corot in a collection
rich in his paintings.

Florence E. Conant
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NOTES
1. The most complete discussion of Corot and
Civitā Castellana is in André and Renée Jullien,
“Les Campagnes de Corot au nord de Rome
(1826–1827),” Gazette des Beaux-Arts s. 6, 99
3. “Je n’ai qu’un but dans la vie, que je veux
poursuivre avec constance: c’est de faire des pay-
sages.” Jean-Baptiste-Camille Corot, letter from
Papigno dated 8 August 1826 to Abel Osmond,
Paris, in Corot raconte par lui-même et par ses amis
et de ses œuvres,” in Alfred Robaut, L’Oeuvre
de Corot: Catalogue raisonné et illustré (Paris, 1905),
1:33–34.
5. “Je crois que Corot dessine un arbre mieux
qu’aucun de nous.” Degas reportedly made this
statement to Frémiet in Gérôme’s studio on 20
June 1887. Jean Dieterle in Exposition Corot 1796–
A Cascade in Shadow, 1835 or 1836
Watercolor, gouache, and pen
and brown ink over graphite
18⅝ x 14½ (46.4 x 37.5)

Promised Gift of Malcolm Wiener

Samuel Palmer made sketching tours of
northern Wales in 1835 and 1836, accom-
panied by the artists Edward Calvert and
Henry Walter. He returned to London with
dramatic sketches of the Cambrian Moun-
tains, romantic views of castles, and finely
wrought studies of waterfalls such as this
cascade near the junction of the Machno and
Conway rivers. Charged with poetic feel-
ing, this watercolor not only exemplifies the
love of the picturesque typical of the ro-
mantic period, but also Palmer’s distinctive
sensitivity to nature. Palmer’s son wrote that
his entire soul was in these Welsh works, and
indeed it seems that not a single nuance
escaped his attention, from the radiance of
golden light on distant leaves to the lustrous
and mirrorlike surface of the mountain
stream.

Palmer’s childhood was in many respects
exceptional. His mother encouraged him to
make copies after prints and drawings, and
his father, a bookseller, introduced him to
literature. The elder Palmer was a brilliant
but erratic man whose nature Samuel in-
herited. As Samuel matured he became a
habitual soul searcher, prone to radical shifts
of temperament.

At age seventeen Palmer met the artist
John Linnell. Linnell was thirteen years his
senior, forceful, engaging, and marked for
success. Linnell exerted a tremendous influ-
ence on Palmer’s life — introducing him to
the poet and painter William Blake and to
his daughter Hannah whom Palmer mar-
rried — and provided lifelong artistic and fi-
nancial support. This support was not with-
out its cost, however, for Palmer suffered
under the domineering influence of his father-
in-law.

Without question Blake’s visionary art had
a profound influence on Palmer and in-
spired his early Shoreham period, so named
after the Kent village where Palmer lived
from 1827 to 1832. These imaginative works,
remarkable for their high degree of spiri-
tuality, were followed by a shift in the mid-
1830s toward greater naturalism. In the
Welsh subjects of 1835 and 1836, which
include at least six studies of waterfalls, Palmer was able to balance his Shoreham
stylization with natural observation. The
sweeping pen lines that shape the frame-
work of *A Cascade in Shadow* are richly inven-
tive and form a counterpoint to Palmer’s
fidelity to texture and color. The play of
light and shadow in pure earth tones not
only enlivens the scene but also imbues it
with radiant warmth.

Although the National Gallery has a choice
group of Palmer etchings primarily from the
Rosenwald Collection and a bound copy of
Palmer’s Milton illustrations donated by
William B. O’Neal, until now the Gallery
had only one drawing by Palmer, an 1830
Shoreham work from the Paul Mellon Col-
lection. *A Cascade in Shadow* embodies ideal
beauty balanced with inventiveness and is a
superb example of the picturesque.

Judith Brodie
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NOTES
1. Calvert and Walter, along with Palmer, were
members of an artistic brotherhood called “The
Ancients.” For a catalogue on The Ancients see
Raymond Lister, *Samuel Palmer and “The An-
cients”* [exh. cat. Fitzwilliam Museum] (Cam-
2. Raymond Lister, *Catalogue Raisonné of the
Works of Samuel Palmer* (Cambridge, England,
3. “His soul was in this work; he rejoiced in the
rugged beauty of wild impetuous currents, no
less than in the still translucent depths; and held
that a landscape, however lovely, was never per-
fected without at least a glint of water.” A. H.
Palmer, *Samuel Palmer: A Memoir* (London,
1882), 9.
4. Lister 1988, nos. 221, 222, 226–228, and
241.
JOHN MARTIN
1789–1854

View on the River Wye, Looking towards Chepstow, 1844
Watercolor, gouache, oil (?) over graphite, scraped, heightened with varnish and/or gum arabic
12 ⅜ x 25 ⅛ (31 x 64)
Gift of The Circle of the National Gallery of Art

John Martin, generally recognized as a painter of apocalyptic visions, was also a painter of visionary landscapes, mostly in watercolor. Martin’s preference for dramatic river landscapes seems to have been shaped by his formative years spent in the Tyne River Valley in Northumberland. Although he moved to London in 1806 and acquired an urban outlook, he never confined himself solely to the city. The surrounding countryside was a frequent haven for Martin, as was Wales where so many of the British watercolorists had found inspiration. During the last decade of his life he traveled to southeast Wales and turned his attention to the beauty of the Wye River Valley, in particular the area near Chepstow. This astonishing watercolor, which is a view of the river with Chepstow Castle barely discernible in the distance, is one of a series of Wye views that the artist painted in 1844.

Martin eventually exhibited four of the Wye watercolors at the Royal Academy. Yet it was this sheet that he chose to exhibit first and singly. Another in Ottawa from the series provides a similar spatial sweep from a heightened aerial perspective, but without the overwhelming vertiginous and awe-evoking aspect. In the National Gallery watercolor the immense mountain range curves dramatically inward and threatens to engulf the tiny and almost transparent figures moving upstream in their boats. The imminence of the view actually provokes a sense of fear, an idea central to Edmund Burke’s thoughts on the sublime and one favored by artists of Martin’s generation. No less remarkable is the immensity and strength of the effect achieved with the utmost delicacy of brushwork.

Although the National Gallery has only one other watercolor by John Martin, a small pastoral scene, the collection of British drawings has been notably strengthened over the past decade. Among outstanding recent acquisitions were two superb watercolors, one by Thomas Girtin and the other by Paul Sandby, both donated by The Circle of the National Gallery of Art. Through The Circle’s continued generosity our British collection has been further strengthened by the addition of this magnificent work by Martin. The View on the River Wye, Looking towards Chepstow is one of Martin’s finest watercolors, spectacular in scope, and is an impressive example of what has been termed the natural sublime. It will unquestionably form a keystone in the Gallery’s collection of British romantic works.

Judith Brodie

PROVENANCE
Mrs. R. Frank, the sale of the beneficiaries of her estate, Christie’s, London, 1 March 1977, lot 176; Robert Tear, London; Michael Simpson Ltd., London.

NOTES
4. Among other evidence, the present sheet is inscribed by an unknown hand on the verso: “. . . Exhibited at the Royal Academy, London, 1845 No 707. Inspected and authenticated by D. Kighley Baxandall of the Cardiff Art Gallery. . . .”
7. Thomas Girtin (1775–1802), Village along a River Estuary in Devon, 1797/1798, watercolor over graphite and Paul Sandby (1725–1809), The Tide Rising at Briton Ferry, 1773, watercolor over graphite.
8. Paley pointed out that “the natural sublime represented scenes of great heights and depths which in nature produced the effect Burke termed ‘astonishment.’” See Paley 1986, 3.
In the spring of 1990 Albert Bierstadt’s most important early painting, *Lake Lucerne*, a work long thought to be lost, came to light under dramatic circumstances. Unaccounted for since the nineteenth century, the painting was discovered in the home of the late Pearl J. Rose, who had lived in seclusion near Exeter, Rhode Island, for many years. The first of Bierstadt’s large panoramic landscapes, *Lake Lucerne* had long been sought as the missing link between the artist’s early European paintings and the heroic western landscapes of the 1860s and 1870s.

Albert Bierstadt was born in Solingen, Germany, in 1830, the son of a Prussian soldier and his wife. Two years later he came to America when his parents immigrated to New Bedford, Massachusetts. In 1850 he moved to Boston and began advertising his services as a drawing instructor. Self-taught and reportedly lacking in natural gifts, Bierstadt struggled to raise enough money to travel and study abroad, for despite family opposition he had determined early on that he wished to become an artist.

In the fall of 1853 he set sail for Düsseldorf where he hoped to obtain the sponsorship of Johann Peter Hasenclever (1810–1853), a distant relative and a prominent member of the Düsseldorf circle of artists. Hasenclever died shortly before his arrival, however, and Bierstadt turned to Emanuel Leutze (1816–1868) and Worthington Whittredge (1820–1910), two American artists working in Düsseldorf, for assistance. It was Whittredge who later recalled that Bierstadt arrived in the German art capital with a group of presentation drawings that were “absolutely bad.” Despite his inauspicious beginning, Bierstadt studied, observed, experimented, and within an astonishingly short period of time transformed himself into a technically accomplished painter.

In the summer of 1856, after more than two years in Düsseldorf, Bierstadt joined Whittredge and several other companions on an extended sketching tour up the Rhine and on into Switzerland and Italy. In the fall of 1857 he returned to New Bedford after nearly four years of European travel and study. Almost immediately he set to work on *Lake Lucerne*, the painting with which he would make his exhibition debut at the National Academy of Design in New York the following spring.

On a canvas larger than any he had attempted before (six by ten feet), Bierstadt composed his landscape from numerous plein-air sketches completed in Switzerland the previous year. Though based on a specific geographic site, *Lake Lucerne* is a masterful combination of fact and fiction. Beneath alpine peaks identified in a contemporary review as Ematten, Oberbauen, Uri Rothstok, and Saint Gotthard are crystalline Lake Lucerne, the river Muotta, and the village of Brunnen. In the foreground, however, Bierstadt allowed his imagination free rein. The elevated knoll, rutted road, and framing trees that direct viewer attention to the middle and far distance are pure invention, as is the camp of nooning gypsies gathered near a blazing fire at the left. Just a few years later Bierstadt would utilize the same compositional technique to produce the first of his panoramic views of Yosemite Valley.

*Lake Lucerne* was a stunning achievement for an unknown twenty-eight-year-old painter from New Bedford. When placed on view at the National Academy of Design in April 1858, the picture drew astonished praise from critics. Within six weeks Bierstadt had been elected an honorary member of the academy and by the end of the year he had sold *Lake Lucerne* to Alvin Adams, founder of the Adams Express Company, for $925. In 1882, following Adams’ death, *Lake Lucerne* was sold at auction to Hezekiah Conant, a private collector from Pawtucket, Rhode Island. The painting has not been seen publicly since the Adams sale.

On the occasion of its fiftieth anniversary, the National Gallery is pleased to accept, as a gift to the nation, its first painting by Bierstadt. *Lake Lucerne* is the most important of Bierstadt’s “lost” works and the pivotal painting of his early career.

Nancy K. Anderson

PROVENANCE
Purchased from the artist in 1858 by Alvin Adams, Watertown, Mass.; purchased by Hezekiah Conant, Pawtucket, R. I., at the sale of the Alvin Adams collection, 1882, lot 109; reported to have entered the collection of William L. Sunderland, Exeter, R. I., first husband of Pearl J. Rose, by 1920; listed in the estate inventory of Pearl J. Rose, Exeter, R. I., May 1990.

NOTES
3. Bierstadt’s election to membership in the academy was reported in the *Crayon* (June 1858), 180. The *New Bedford Daily Mercury* noted the sale of *Lake Lucerne* on 14 December 1858.
4. On 18 March 1882 the *Boston Globe* reported that Mr. Conant had purchased *Lake Lucerne* for $3,375 at the sale of the Alvin Adams collection the previous afternoon.
FITZ HUGH LANE
1804–1865

Becalmed off Halfway Rock, 1860
Oil on canvas
29 x 48½ (73.7 x 1.23)

Promised Gift of
Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon

During the last decade of his life Fitz Hugh Lane created his most memorable paintings, which are characterized by refined, elegant compositions and a crystalline depiction of light and atmosphere. His subject matter had not changed—the beaches, harbors, ships, boats, and watermen of maritime New England remained the principal focus—but now a more meditative mood of stillness and quiet came to predominate. These qualities are clearly evident in Becalmed off Halfway Rock, a splendid example from this culminating phase of Lane’s career.

Halfway Rock, named for its location between Cape Ann and Boston, would have been well known to Lane, for he lived in nearby Gloucester. Rising some forty feet above the sea, the rock is three miles offshore of the harbors of Salem, Beverly, and Marblehead. Halfway Rock was and is frequently used as a marker in sailing races, and in Lane’s day outbound fishermen often tossed pennies on it to buy good luck and safe return. In Lane’s painting the rock serves as the focal point of the composition, with the becalmed vessels lying seaward to either side. Although the arrangement of the ships and boats convincingly suggests they have merely chanced to drift together on the tide, Lane carefully positioned each to give the painting perfect equilibrium. Stillness and quiet pervade the scene, and other than the motion of a small lobster dory being rowed, all is calm.

Modern eyes are most impressed by the masterful compositions and remarkable clarity of Lane’s paintings, but to the artist’s contemporaries it was his accuracy in depicting watercraft that ensured his success. He knew, according to one source, “the name and place of every rope on a vessel,” and his paintings “delighted sailors by their perfect truth.” Recent research has indeed shown that Lane had a remarkable understanding of ship construction and handling, and that he was sensitive to subtle details of hull design and rigging. Becalmed off Halfway Rock testifies to Lane’s knowledge of his subject, for it presents a veritable panoply of precisely identifiable craft. The vessel seen broadside at the left is a topsail schooner laden with a cargo of lumber, presumably en route from Maine to Boston. On the right a large merchant brig lies in the middle distance and in the foreground are a “pinky” (a double-ended schooner) and a small fishing sloop. The latter, to judge from the demeanor of its occupants, is being sailed simply for pleasure, but the pinky, carrying fish barrels and towing net-setting dories, is clearly fitted for fishing. Lane thus not only presents the viewer with several different types of vessels, but also surveys the variety of functions and purposes, ranging from the commercial to the pleasurable, for which they can be used.

The National Gallery owns one other work by Lane, Lumber Schooners at Evening on Penobscot Bay, also from 1860. That painting, with its two lone schooners, spare composition, and pink twilight sky, strikes a note of wistful revery that evocatively complements the mood of Becalmed off Halfway Rock. Both works remind us that Lane lived in rapidly changing times; while he faithfully chronicled the great age of sail in his paintings, he was also a witness to its passing. The majestic sailing ships he so lovingly portrayed were, even in his day, fast being outmoded by steam-powered vessels that moved with or without the wind.

Franklin Kelly

PROVENANCE
Private collection, Boston; Mr. and Mrs. Harrison G. Reynolds, Beverly Farms, Mass., c. 1940s; Mr. and Mrs. Kennedy B. Middendorf, Oyster Bay, New York; Middendorf Gallery, Washington, 1985; Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon, 1988.

NOTES
1. On Lane’s life and art, see John Wilmerding, Fitz Hugh Lane (New York, 1971) and his Paintings by Fitz Hugh Lane [exh. cat. National Gallery of Art] (Washington, 1988).
2. Information provided by the Cape Ann Historical Association.
3. Massachusetts: A Guide to Its Places and People (Boston, 1937), 274. Young boys were known to row out to the rock and harvest the pennies, but if caught they faced stiff fines.
4. Quoted in William H. Gerdts, “‘The Sea Is His Home’: Clarence Cook Visits Fitz Hugh Lane,” American Art Journal 17 (Summer 1985), 49.
7. Although Lane often included steam-powered vessels in his works of the 1850s, they rarely appear in his paintings after 1860.
EDOUARD MANET
1832–1883

The Balloon, 1862
Lithograph
16 x 20 1/8 (40.7 x 51.1)

Promised Gift of
Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon

The Balloon, Manet’s second lithograph, was far more ambitious and precocious in style and subject than his first, the Caricature of Emile Ollivier of 1860. He chose the subject when the print dealer-publisher Cadart invited him to participate in a project for a portfolio of prints by five artists that the dealer hoped would lead to a renewed interest in lithography. Because the results were regarded with contempt by Cadart’s printer, the project collapsed with only a few trial proofs produced of each image. Five examples of The Balloon are known, including the particularly rich impression from the Mellon collection. This exceedingly rare image is a superb complement to the Gallery’s collection of thirty-four prints by Manet.

The exact subject of The Balloon eluded scholars until 1983 when Druick and Zegers identified it as a balloon launch that took place 15 August 1862. The launch was arranged in connection with the Fête de l’Empereur, a national holiday celebrated every 15 August since 1852. The date had been chosen by Emperor Louis-Napoléon to encourage the impression of a direct connection between his reign and that of his uncle, Napoléon I, who had also declared 15 August a holiday. It was Napoléon I’s birthday; the birthday of the fictitious Saint Napoléon; the Feast of the Assumption; the date established by Napoléon I to celebrate his reauthorization of religion in France; and the date of the Vow of Louis XIII. In short, the date enhanced the illusion of legitimacy of his reign, but in reality Louis-Napoléon had obtained dictatorial powers through a coup d’état in December 1851. The fête, which always took place on the Esplanade des Invalides, included displays of imperial generosity such as support for the needy, amnesties, pardons, free entertainment, distributions of inexpensive gifts, and a lavish fireworks display. The festival was, in effect, an enormous propaganda event. The balloon launch fascinated and entertained the public, but it also served as a display of modern technological accomplishment and a symbol of the ascendancy of the empire.

To the left and the right of the balloon are two slippery, soaped poles known as masts de cocagne, which agile individuals climbed in order to retrieve prizes at the top. Behind are three so-called “Venetian masts” bearing imperial ensigns. In the left and right background are stages for pantomimes glorifying imperial military achievements. The crowd is a cross-section of the Parisian populace “whose diverse social components emerge clearly, but whose individual portraits are sacrificed to a vigorous handling that conveys Baudelaire’s image of the crowd as ‘an immense reservoir of electrical energy.’”}

In front of the crowd is a crippled figure on a wheeled platform just above the ground. He is the antithesis of the accomplishments represented actually and symbolically by the balloon. How he became crippled is not known, but Manet portrayed him as isolated within a society that ignores him. He anchors the composition and literally brings us down to earth. Ironically, he is the only true invalid visible at an occasion that took place on the Esplanade des Invalides not far from the Hôtel des Invalides, which is faintly visible in the background. Moreover, the alignment of the cripple and the balloon is by no means accidental. On 15 August 1862 the balloon launch actually took place at the other end of the esplanade. Clearly Manet organized the composition in order to draw attention to the failed social contract of the Second Empire.

Charles S. Moffett

NOTES

PROVENANCE
As the first landscape of the 1860s by Claude Monet to enter the National Gallery of Art, *Sainte-Adresse* is a superb complement to two other Monets of the 1860s already in the collection: *Camille and Bazille, 1865–1866* (1970.70.41) and *Interior, after Dinner, 1868–1869* (1983.1.26). In addition it joins an important canvas by the artist's close friend Frédéric Bazille, *The Ramparts at Aigues Mortes* (1985.64.1), also painted in 1867, as well as an outstanding landscape painted the following year by Camille Pissarro and also a gift in honor of the fiftieth anniversary, *Landscape near Pontose* (Gift [Partial and Promised] of Mr. and Mrs. David Rockefeller).

Monet spent the summer of 1867 in Sainte-Adresse, a village on the coast of Normandy near Le Havre. He was there because he was virtually penniless and therefore had to accede to arrangements made by his father to live near Le Havre. He was there because he was twenty canvases coming along nicely, some stunning marines as well as figures and gardens. . . . Among the marines, I am doing the regattas of Le Havre with lots of people on the beach, one finds the willingness to experiment and improvise that is so important to his technique. As William Seitz has noted in connection with *The Beach at Sainte-Adresse*, “Monet was unfettered by traditional formulas, and relied instead on an innate optic sensibility. . . . Were his images not enriched by vigorous brushwork, selective placing of mobile elements, and an intangible quality of artistry, one would say that he perceived objects as a camera does—in colored patterns rather than solid masses.”

Indeed, *Sainte-Adresse* and the beachscapes of 1867 reveal Monet as a central figure in the emerging impressionist movement. These accomplished and beautiful images are early but unequivocal evidence of the talent and prowess of the young artist long since recognized as a driving force of nineteenth-century French modernism.

Charles S. Moffett

**NOTES**

Between October 1866 and January 1869, Pissarro lived in Pontoise, a town about fifteen miles northwest of Paris on the banks of the Oise. The lanes, farm buildings, hillsides, and plowed fields of Pontoise and the surrounding area provided the subject matter for a group of landscapes that are among the artist’s most important works.

Les Pâts is situated in the Viosne valley between the château of Marcouville and Osney. Brettell has noted that the Rockefeller picture “was painted from the hillside near the village of Cernay overlooking the Viosne valley with its hamlet, Les Pâts. Yet it is clear that topographical accuracy was not Pissarro’s concern. The floor of the Viosne valley with its distinctive mills, old farms, quarries, and small forests, all of which would have fascinated a topographical tourist illustrator, play a minor role in Pissarro’s landscape. The rich human and architectural character of the site is eschewed.”

The orderly, structured character of the composition very likely reflects the influence of the early work of Corot, whom Pissarro had long admired and to whom he occasionally turned for advice. In addition, the present work suggests that Pissarro had looked carefully at the work of a wide range of nineteenth-century landscapists including Courbet, Daubigny, Chintreuil, and Pissarro’s younger friend Monet. Nevertheless, possible sources and influences notwithstanding, this painting and the other large landscapes of 1867–1868 have a particular character and strength of their own. The combination of relatively broad paint handling, the emphasis on rectilinear forms, the rich orchestration of greens, and the panoramic view of a grand but patently agrarian landscape is unmistakably the work of Pissarro. Moreover, the depiction of the productive and harmonious relationship between peasants and the land addresses overarching political and philosophical beliefs that were an increasingly important aspect of Pissarro’s imagery. As Emile Zola wrote of Jallais Hill, Pontoise after seeing it in the Salon of 1868: “There is the modern countryside. One feels that man has passed, turning and cutting the earth.

Landscapes at Les Pâts, Pontoise is one of at least seventeen views of Pontoise and its environs that Pissarro painted in the late sixties. Its dimensions identify it with a group of large, ambitious works that were apparently painted for exhibition, such as Jallais Hill, Pontoise, 1867 (The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York) and Hillsides of l’Hermitage, 1867 (Solomon R. Guggenheim Museum, New York), which were apparently shown in the Salon of 1868. Interestingly, the Rockefeller picture was neither sold nor exhibited during the artist’s lifetime, suggesting that Pissarro deliberately reserved it for his own collection. As Margaret Potter has observed, Pissarro was in the habit of keeping some of his best work for himself and his family “in spite of [the] material hardship” that plagued him for much of his career.

Charles S. Moffett
Sonnets et eaux-fortes is one of the most important illustrated books published in France during the third quarter of the nineteenth century. Its illustrations were created by some of the leading artists of this time, many of them better known as painters than printmakers. Its illustrations were treated with equal importance as the text, and it has been described as a precursor to the livres de peintres that flourished around the turn of the century. So outstanding are its illustrations that few copies of the book remain intact, most having been disbound and their pictures sold individually. The National Gallery’s graphic collection already includes a large and fine assortment of eighteenth-century French illustrated books from the Widener Collection and one of the great French books of the early nineteenth century, Goethe’s Faust illustrated by Eugène Delacroix. Until now however, it has included only one other volume with illustrations by an impressionist artist: Jules Champfleury’s Les Chats, with an etching and aquatint by Manet. The addition of Sonnets et eaux-fortes will help make the Gallery’s holdings of illustrated books more representative of this important period in the history of art.

Lemerre, the editor of Sonnets et eaux-fortes, enlisted Philippe Burty to commission prints as illustrations for the poems. This was an eminently wise choice because Burty, a critic for the Gazette des Beaux-Arts, was closely involved with the etching revival and able to interest in the project such notable artists as Gustave Doré, Jean Léon Gérôme, Seymour Haden, Camille Corot, Félix Bracquemond, Jules Jacquemart, Johan Jongkind, and Charles-François Daubigny. The etching revival in France began in 1862 when Alfred Cadart organized the Société des Aquafortistes and began publishing albums of prints to demonstrate the tremendous potential of etching as an artistic medium. Etching had fallen into disuse since the early nineteenth century, but Cadart’s efforts served to return it to favor. Sonnets et eaux-fortes was one of the few illustrated books that resulted from the creative forces of this renaissance of etching.

Manet was among the artists whose prints were published by Cadart. His experimentation with re-creating images from his own paintings—as well as from works by such artists as Velázquez and Goya—helped convey the graphic media to an increasingly elevated plateau; rather than merely reproducing existing images, he would alter them in ways appropriate to the graphic processes, a declaration of the autonomy of the print. Manet’s Fleur Exotique, which faces the text of the poem by Armand Renaud, is one of the most striking in the volume. It arrests the fascination with Spain and earlier Spanish artists that held sway over Manet’s imagination at this time. The National Gallery’s painting collection includes such important Manets from this period as The Dead Toreador. The image he created for Sonnets et eaux-fortes is based upon a plate in Goya’s Caprichos and embodies the mysterious and sometimes sinister enchantment of that work. The diversity of styles that was fostered within the etching revival is manifest in a comparison of Manet’s work with that of Jean François Millet and Victor Hugo, which also appear in Sonnets et eaux-fortes. Millet’s etching, like his paintings, addresses the guileless simplicity of the peasant figure with a direct and unassuming approach; Hugo’s L’Éclair is filled with romantic power, a visualization of the forces that inspired his writings.

PROVENANCE

NOTES


3. Manet’s work had an important impact on the collecting public’s perception of printmaking as art: “it would find . . . in Manet’s output, especially in the artist’s proofs, the premises for this reappraisal, this promotion of the print as an alternative art form, not subordinate to painting” (Michel Melot, “Manet and the Print,” in Françoise Cachin and Charles S. Moffett, Manet: 1823–1883 [exh. cat. The Metropolitan Museum of Art] (New York, 1983), 37.

4. Manet’s illustration is an interpretation of the image in plate 15 of the Caprichos.
The Saint Barbara is an impressive example of Burne-Jones' mature style. Its confident brushwork shows him at the height of his development. Broad strokes of thickly applied pigment lend texture and density and give the impression of oil paint, a technique favored at the time. Although the Gallery has acquired Pre-Raphaelite drawings in recent years, this is the first work that bridges the gap between drawing and painting. It is the National Gallery's most technically complex and ambitious Pre-Raphaelite work, an exhibition piece of the highest quality.

Edward Burne-Jones did not set out to be a painter. In 1853 he enrolled at Exeter College, Oxford, to take holy orders. There he met William Morris who introduced him to the Pre-Raphaelite movement and the work of its leader, Dante Gabriel Rossetti. Both Morris and Burne-Jones were passionate admirers of the medieval, and it was the romantic medievalism of Rossetti's work that inspired them. Burne-Jones left Oxford in 1856 to study with Rossetti, and in time became the most acclaimed of the second-generation Pre-Raphaelite painters.

Although the Pre-Raphaelite Brotherhood, a semi-secret society founded in 1848, decreed an interest in realism and nature, Burne-Jones was always more concerned with art than with nature. For inspiration he looked to the painters of the quattrocento such as Mantegna and Botticelli, as well as to those of the High Renaissance. Matters of design and decoration were of utmost importance to him. And myth held sway over contemporary reality. The subject of the present work is the legendary Saint Barbara, posed gracefully with a peacock's feather, symbol of her birthplace, and the tower of her imprisonment to her left.

It was that ability to translate myth into gracefully composed designs that William Morris surely recognized. For thirty-five years Burne-Jones provided the firm of Morris, Marshall, Faulkner & Co. with some of its most successful designs for tapestries, painted tiles, and stained-glass windows. Evidence suggests that in its original form the Saint Barbara was a design for a stained-glass panel. Its underdrawing (seen with infrared reflectography) is exquisitely rendered in charcoal and graphite and relates to a series of designs completed in 1866 for the east window of All Saints Church in Cambridge, England.

Probably Burne-Jones later retrieved the design and reworked it to its fully realized and lushly colored state. We know that he presented it as a wedding gift in 1870 to Alfred and Louisa Baldwin, brother-in-law and sister of the artist's wife. The industrious Mr. Baldwin was dismayed with the artist's lack of efficiency (the gift arrived four years and four months after the nuptials), but the work was treasured and remained in the Baldwin family for almost a century, passing into the collection of Earl Stanley Baldwin, prime minister of England, before it was acquired by Professor O'Neal.

Judith Brodie

PROVENANCE

NOTES
4. Barbara was the daughter of a heathen noblemen who wished to shield her from suitors. For her protection, he confined her to a tower with only two windows for light. Barbara turned to Christianity for salvation and as a testament to her new faith had a third window added to the tower, symbolizing the spiritual light of the Holy Trinity. Her father was so enraged by her new beliefs that he beheaded her.
5. Founded in 1861, changed to Morris & Company in 1875.
7. Burne-Jones preferred using a water-based medium for color, usually assumed to be gouache. In this instance we know the water-based medium was egg tempera made from egg white and not yolk. See Susana Halpine, National Gallery of Art Analytical Report, 16 March 1990.

160
This highly finished watercolor of *The Ruins of Saint Nicolai Church in Hamburg* records the aftermath of the disastrous fire that swept through the city on 7 May 1842. During that calamity many of the monuments of the historic old town were destroyed, including the famous thirteenth-century church of Saint Nicolai. With virtuosic control of this difficult artistic medium, Gensler shows the interior in ruins, open to the sky, with streams of sunlight articulating the massive piers and shadowy vaults and flickering off the last vestiges of architectural decoration. Nearly lost in the cavernous and disorienting space, workers remove a green-draped sarcophagus from a vault under the pavement. The inscription in the lower right "Vormalige S. Nicolaikirche zu Hamburg 1842, im Sommer," identifies the church and tells us that the picture represents events of the summer following the great fire.

Martin Gensler was the youngest of three brothers, all prominent Hamburg artists. Günther (1803–1884), the eldest, was primarily a portrait painter. The middle brother Jakob (1808–1845) specialized in landscapes and studies after nature; one of his most famous and ambitious paintings depicts *Hamburg after the Fire of 1842* (Hamburg, Kunsthalle). Martin Gensler is known best for his watercolors of the architecture of medieval German towns and of his native Hamburg, although he also painted genre scenes.¹

In the wake of the fire of 1842, Martin Gensler’s drawings in many instances were the city’s only records of the important old buildings and churches that were destroyed.² Given his interest in these subjects, the Senate appointed him to the commission for the preservation of Hamburg landmarks. The ruins of Saint Nicolai were one of Gensler’s most frequent subjects. In fact, his name came to be inextricably linked with the building in local legend. He nearly lost his life while executing one of these pictures when the scaffolding on which he was sitting collapsed, sending him fleeing into an adjoining house.³

The crumbling Gothic vaults with mysterious, dangling pieces of twisted metal and the macabre nature of the laborers’ excavations place *The Ruins of Saint Nicolai Church* directly in the tradition of German romantic art. One thinks immediately of the Gothic ruins in deserted landscapes represented by Caspar David Friedrich and his followers. These nineteenth-century German works also are related to an even more pervasive and equally romantic eighteenth-century tradition of depictions of Roman ruins, most notably by Piranesi and Hubert Robert. Although the present work is dated 1871, it is very similar to the views Gensler executed in 1842, at the time of the fire.⁴

*The Ruins of Saint Nicolai Church* is the National Gallery’s first fully finished German romantic watercolor, setting a precedent for the Gallery’s small but growing collection of nineteenth-century German graphic works.

*Elizabeth Pendleton Streicher*

**PROVENANCE**

Busch Collection, Dortmund; Arnoldi-Livie, Munich.

**NOTES**


2. Bürger 1916, 43–44.


WILLIAM STANLEY HASELTINE
1835–1900

Natural Arch at Capri, 1871
Oil on canvas
34 x 55 (86.4 x 139.7)
Gift of Guest Services, Inc.

In 1953 William Stanley Haseltine's daughter, Helen Haseltine Plowden, gave the National Gallery of Art Marina Piccola, Capri (c. 1856/1858), a remarkably fresh painting her father had completed following his first visit to the island in the 1850s. On the occasion of its fiftieth anniversary, the National Gallery welcomes the gift of a second painting by Haseltine, Natural Arch at Capri, completed fifteen years later in 1871. As complementary works, the two paintings testify to the artist's continued fascination with the rugged terrain and historical resonance of the island held by some to be the home of the mythical sirens.

William Stanley Haseltine was born in Philadelphia, the son of John Haseltine, a successful businessman, and his wife Elizabeth, an amateur landscape painter.1 While still a teenager he began studying painting with Paul Weber, a German landscape and portrait painter who had settled in Philadelphia. Haseltine graduated from Harvard University in 1854 and returned to Philadelphia where he resumed his studies with Weber. In 1855, having determined that he wished to become a professional artist, Haseltine journeyed to Düsseldorf where he became a student of Andreas Achenbach. The following year he joined Worthington Whittredge and a group of fellow students on an extended sketching trip up the Rhine, into Switzerland, and on to Italy. Haseltine remained in Italy for two years traveling and sketching. It was during this period that he visited Capri and completed Marina Piccola, Capri. In 1858 Haseltine returned to Philadelphia. The following year he moved to New York, took a studio in the Tenth Street Studio Building, and set to work composing paintings from sketches completed abroad.

Personal tragedy struck in 1862 when his wife died in childbirth. Four years later Haseltine remarried and shortly thereafter departed for Europe. By 1869 he had settled in Rome where he remained for more than twenty years. Natural Arch at Capri was completed in Rome in 1871.

Although based on plein air sketches like Nature's Arch (fig. 1), Natural Arch at Capri offers both a close-up view of the island's distinctive limestone cliffs and a more distant view of the Italian coast. In the immediate foreground is the Arco Naturale, the celebrated rock formation located on the southeastern side of the island. In the distance is the peninsula of Sorrento, the eastern arm of the Bay of Naples. To the right sheer rocky crags rise abruptly from the sea. At the far left, atop the most distant cliff, are the ruins of the Villa Jovis, built by the Roman emperor Tiberius. Although Augustus Caesar was the first of the Roman emperors to discover the beauties of Capri, it was Tiberius, his successor, who left a lasting mark on the island by building twelve villas.2

By the mid-1850s, when Haseltine made his first visit to the island, Capri had become a tourist mecca. Drawn by ancient ruins, spectacular views, the famous Blue Grotto, and a warm sunny climate, visitors arrived year-round. In June 1857 two of Haseltine's compatriots from Düsseldorf, Albert Bierstadt (1830–1902) and Sanford Gifford (1823–1880), spent nearly two weeks on the island sketching. Haseltine's own sketches of Capri's distinctive rock formations and splendid views suggest that he was as intrigued by the play of light on the rough surface of the island's limestone crags and the shimmering waters of the Mediterranean as he was by the arch itself. Thus the chief protagonist in Natural Arch at Capri is the sunlight that defines the serrated edge of the arch and casts the foreground in deep shadow. In the distance the same light bathes land, sea, and clouds in soft pastel hues.3

As painted by Haseltine, Capri exhibits all the charms of the mythical island where the melodious songs of sirens lured sailors to their deaths on rocky shores.

Nancy K. Anderson

PROVENANCE

NOTES
1. Helen Haseltine Plowden's biography of her father (William Stanley Haseltine [London: 1947]) remains the standard source for biographical information about the artist. Andrea Henderson, who is currently working on Haseltine for an upcoming exhibition, kindly supplied additional information.
2. Augustus Caesar landed on Capri in 29 b.c. while returning to Rome from a campaign in the East. He later turned the island into a private estate. At his death in 14 A.D. Tiberius inherited the empire and Capri. Attracted by its impregnability and isolation as well as its beauty, Tiberius spent the last decade of his life (27–37 A.D.) on the island.
3. In 1876 Haseltine contributed two paintings to the Centennial Exhibition in Philadelphia: Ruins of a Roman Theatre in Sicily and Natural Arch in Capri. At present it is not clear which of the several pictures Haseltine completed of the Natural Arch was exhibited at the Centennial.

Fig. 1. William Stanley Haseltine, Nature's Arch, watercolor and pencil on paper, 14 ¾ x 21 ½ (37.47 x 54.61). The Georgia Museum of Art, The University of Georgia, Gift of Mrs. Helen Plowden, Courtesy of National Academy of Design, New York
CLAUDE MONET
1840–1926

The Artist’s Garden in Argenteuil
(A Corner of the Garden with Dahlias), 1873
Signed, lower left, Claude Monet. 73.
Oil on canvas
24½ x 32½ (61 x 82.5)

Partial Gift of
Janice H. Levin

Between 1871 and 1878 Monet lived in Argenteuil. “Located just down the Seine from Saint-Denis,” Paul Tucker has written, “where the river loops for a second time on its course north from Paris to the Channel, Argenteuil was a picturesque, historic, and progressive suburban town. It was twenty-seven kilometers by water from the capital but only eleven kilometers by railroad, a fifteen-minute trip. . . . Well known in the nineteenth century as an agreable petite ville . . . it was a place, as one contemporary journalist noted, that had ‘flowers, large trees, green grass, and a breeze; isn’t that enough to make us forget everything?’”

From 1871 until 1874 Monet rented a house at 2 rue Pierre Guienne before moving around the corner to another house on the boulevard Saint-Denis. The present work depicts the garden behind the first house, but Auguste Renoir’s Monet Painting in His Garden at Argenteuil, 1873 (Wadsworth Atheneum, Hartford), which must have been painted at approximately the same time as The Artist’s Garden in Argenteuil, indicates that Monet considerably altered the scene to his composition. For example, the flower bed has been enlarged and apparently moved from his neighbor’s property to his own; the house in the background of Monet’s painting has been moved from a position to the left; several houses visible in the background of the Renoir have been eliminated altogether; and trees have been moved and/or given different shapes. The suburban realities depicted by Renoir seem not to have appealed to Monet; instead, he created an image that he must have found visually, aesthetically, and personally more satisfying.

Moreover, as Tucker has observed, “The energy of [this] view seems to derive from the conflict between myth and reality, between what Monet wanted the site to be and what it was.”

Of course the result contradicts Monet’s reputation for recording visual truths that he actually experienced. Indeed, Monet cultivated his image as a painter who always worked in the open air and directly from the subject, but careful examination of many paintings reveals that he often made changes for compositional and aesthetic reasons. As his career progressed, studio work and manipulation of compositional elements became an increasingly important characteristic of his work. The question of topographical accuracy notwithstanding, the present painting is an excellent example of the “high” or “classic” phase of impressionism in the early and mid-1870s. The emphasis on color and light, the palette, the attention to atmospheric and meteorological effects, the subject, and the prominence of the open brushwork are hallmarks of the style that established the reputations of the young avant-garde artists who held their first group show the following year and were immediately dubbed “impressionists.”

This composition is also important as one of the many views of gardens that first appeared in Monet’s work in 1866 and continued to the end of his career. Indeed, the imagined changes in The Artist’s Garden in Argenteuil foreshadow the constant changes, alterations, and modifications that he made to his garden and water garden in Giverny. Interestingly, there his garden became both a changing work of art in its own right and the ongoing subject of his art.

The Artist’s Garden in Argenteuil adds meaningfully to the Gallery’s twenty-one paintings by Monet. Moreover, it joins another of his celebrated garden paintings, The Artist’s Garden at Vétheuil, 1880 (1970.17.45), and is an excellent complement for the two other Argenteuil pictures in the collection, The Bridge at Argenteuil, 1874 (1983.1.24), and Bridge at Argenteuil on a Gray Day, c. 1876 (1970.17.44).

Charles S. Moffett

PROVENANCE
Purchased from Monet by Durand-Ruel, December 1873; Baroux Collection; Durand-Ruel, 1896; Gallery Thannhauser, Berlin, c. 1928; Sam Salz, New York; Mr. and Mrs. David O. Selznick, New York; Sam Salz, New York, 1965; Mr. and Mrs. Konrad H. Matthaei, c. 1966; Mr. and Mrs. Philip J. Levin, New York, 1971.

NOTES
3. Tucker 1982, 143 and 145, made many of the same observations and offered an extended discussion and analysis of the differences between the two paintings.
Among the many idylls of childhood that Winslow Homer made in the late 1860s and early 1870s in the aftermath of the Civil War, none are more beautiful and charming than the ones he painted in watercolor and oil at Gloucester, Massachusetts, in the summer of 1873. And among those, the most compelling is Dad's Coming.1

The image exists in three versions, all nearly identical in size: the Mellon oil; a watercolor at Mills College that depicts the boy seated on the beached dory, which probably preceded the oil; and a wood engraving published in Harper's Weekly in November 1873 that, like the oil, includes the standing figure of the woman and child at the right, and was probably made after it.

When it was first exhibited, and also when it was published in 1873, it was titled affirmatively Dad's Coming. In the poem of the same title that accompanied its illustration in Harper's Weekly that affirmative interpretation was reinforced by an exclamation point and by verses that made it clear that the father's boat has been sighted and all was well. About the middle of this century, however, an alternative title came into use, Waiting for Dad. While incorrect, it interestingly reflects a modern inclination to interpret the subject less affirmatively and more ambiguously. For in Dad's Coming, as in a number of Homer's oils and watercolors of the period, it does indeed seem that childhood innocence is infiltrated by tension, anxiety, and even incipient tragedy, which, in a modern understanding of the subject, makes them so much better, so much truer and more perceptive, than the usual Victorian depictions of childhood. Added to that, the stately cadenced compositional order and simple geometries that endow Dad's Coming with an almost classical clarity of form and, despite its actual smallness, a monumental largeness of effect give to the reading of its meaning a profundity and timeless universality.

Dad's Coming is a major addition to the National Gallery's rapidly growing collection of the works of Winslow Homer, one that includes definitive holdings of his watercolors, wood engravings, and oils ranging from his last great painting, Right and Left, to this singularly beautiful and equally moving example of his first maturity.

Nicolai Cikovsky, Jr.
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Wildenstein & Co., 1954; Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon.

NOTE
EDOUARD MANET
1832–1883

Polichinelle, 1874
Gouache, watercolor over lithograph
18¾ x 12¾ (48 x 32.3)
Inscribed by Manet with a couplet by Théodore de Banville

Gift (Partial and Promised) of Malcolm Wiener

Marcel Guérin recorded only “three or four” copies of the exceedingly rare first state of Manet’s lithograph Polichinelle.¹ One impression in black is in an American private collection; a second in black was used for the “pierre de report”; and a third, the present unique and outstanding example, was reworked with watercolor and gouache, presumably to be used as a guide for the printer. However, the disposition of the colors in the final version of the lithograph is not exactly the same, and green appears in addition to the reds, yellows, blues, and whites that Manet added to this example. The most plausible explanation of the discrepancies has been offered by Juliet Bareau. She has observed that although Manet wanted to exhibit an impression of the finished color lithograph in the Salon of 1874, he could not show it because work on the lithographic stones had not been completed. Instead he reportedly exhibited a watercolor of Polichinelle, which is an otherwise unrecorded. Bareau suggests that the image actually shown was probably the present work.²

Manet’s wish to show the print at the Salon is easily understood, because the color lithograph would have been recognized immediately as a technical tour-de-force. However, since exhibiting the finished print was impossible, his insistence on including the hand-colored proof among the four works that he submitted to the Salon suggests that Polichinelle was also significant for another reason. Indeed, it seems very likely that the print incorporated political references that were not immediately apparent to the jury, which accepted only two of the four works Manet submitted.

Although Manet’s friend, neighbor, and fellow artist Edmond André posed for Polichinelle, and although the image seems influenced by the painting of the same title that Meissonier exhibited in the Salon of 1860, evidently the figure depicted resembles Marshal MacMahon, president of France between 1873 and 1879.³ To contemporary audiences the implied ridicule would have been clear: Polichinelle was an irascible, boorish buffoon who was a stock character in productions of the commedia dell’arte and the guignol theater as well as the carnival and the masked ball of the opera. The connection was considered sufficiently obvious for the authorities to force cancellation of the publication of the lithograph in an edition of the Republican newspaper Le Temps in June 1874.⁴ Evidently the government was particularly sensitive to pointed criticism of MacMahon. He had commanded the French army at Sedan in 1870 that suffered one of the worst defeats of the Franco-Prussian War and later directed the brutal military response to the bloody civil disturbance known as the Commune.⁵ Manet himself never offered any information about the meaning of Polichinelle, a subject that he also treated on other occasions.⁶

However, the print is only one of several works in his oeuvre that comment either directly or indirectly on contemporary political and historical events.

This hand-colored impression of Polichinelle is an important addition to the Gallery’s exceptional collection of works by Manet. It joins three watercolors; thirteen paintings; and thirty-four prints, including a superb, fully finished impression of the first printing of the third state of Polichinelle (1947.7.85) and a proof in brown of the second printing of the third state (1983.35.1).

Charles S. Moffett
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NOTES
1. Marcel Guérin, L’Oeuvre Gravé de Manet (Paris, 1944), no. 79.
5. Brown 1985, 43–44, has pointed out that de Banville’s couplet, inscribed just below the figure, may also have been considered inflammatory because of its reference to drunkenness, an especially controversial issue at that time. The couplet reads: Féroce et vole avec du feu dans sa prunelle / Effronté, sot, divin c’est lui, Polichinelle! (“Ferocious and flushed, with fire in his eyes, Shameless, drunk, and divine, that is him, Polichinelle!”). Brown 1985, 43–44, has pointed out that de Banville’s couplet, inscribed just below the figure, may also have been considered inflammatory because of its reference to drunkenness, an especially controversial issue at that time. The couplet reads: Féroce et vole avec du feu dans sa prunelle / Effronté, sot, divin c’est lui, Polichinelle! (“Ferocious and flushed, with fire in his eyes, Shameless, drunk, and divine, that is him, Polichinelle!”).
This magnificent sheet is one of the greatest works in any medium and from any period of Winslow Homer’s career. It was painted just four years after he began making watercolors in earnest in the summer of 1873, following ten years of professional practice as a painter and illustrator.1 At the end of his life Homer reigned unchallenged as America’s greatest watercolor painter; this early work shows the stuff of his greatness. That consisted of an innately sympathetic feel for the medium, but it consisted also of a certain resistance to, or ignorance of, its conventions that gave his watercolors a range, reach, toughness, and daring that few others achieved. “Mr. Winslow Homer is fond of experiments,” a critic said of the works (including Blackboard) the artist submitted to the 1877 exhibition of the American Society of Painters in Water Colors, sounding an often repeated note in the critical response to Homer’s watercolors.2

Watercolors at their best are supposedly spontaneous and directly made, fluid and transparent in technique, immediate and intimate in effect. Homer, however, often painted watercolors like oils, thickly and opaquely, and he frequently reworked them as he did his oils. Sometimes, as Blackboard shows, he carried watercolors to the larger physical size of oil paintings and made them bear their more premeditated formative processes and meanings as well.

The nameless young woman in Blackboard appears repeatedly in Homer’s art of this period. Her presence reflects a part of Homer’s private life about which nothing is known otherwise, save that her sudden disappearance from his art about 1880, and therefore presumably from his life, was profoundly disturbing, producing the rebarbarateness and reclusiveness that marked his conduct for the rest of his life.3

Depicted as a teacher standing before a blackboard, she delivers a lesson in drawing. Homer’s native state of Massachusetts was the first in the nation to require (in 1870) the teaching of drawing in public schools. The method developed by Walter Smith, the commonwealth’s director of art education, stressed principles of design that could be taught by teachers without special gifts or training. In what might almost be a text for Homer’s watercolor Smith described a beginning lesson in drawing: “In the very earliest lessons to the youngest children drawings on the blackboard by the teacher are the only examples used, the illustrations being vertical, horizontal, and oblique lines singly and in simple combinations, such as angles, squares, triangles. . . .”4 With a wittiness he often indulged in his early work, the vertical, horizontal, and oblique lines by which Homer constructed his watercolor suggest that he was following a children’s lesson in drawing taught by the teacher/model according to the very latest method. With further wit he signed the blackboard in the lower right-hand corner as if it were his creation.

Blackboard joins other watercolors that came to the Gallery through earlier gifts, bequests, and purchases to form a collection that embraces with conspicuous quality and remarkable range the body of Homer’s work in watercolor, one of the most distinguished achievements in the history of American art.

Nicolai Cikovsky, Jr.

NOTES
While he aspired successfully to be a sculptor of public monuments, Dalou often did his best work on more intimate sculptural types. This contemporary of Rodin, mentioned by critics of their time as of equal stature, excelled at portraits of children. They occur in his work, however, almost exclusively during the years 1871–1879, the period of his exile in England after involvement in the ill-fated Paris Commune of 1871. His English patrons, who came to include Queen Victoria, particularly appreciated his warm and accomplished images of contemporary mothers with children. Studies for the 1878 memorial to the grandchildren of the queen at Windsor, including a beautiful sketch model bust of a little boy, suggest the gift for sympathy that he brought even to monumental commissions.

Recently discovered in an English private collection, this bust shows a small boy with his ringlets swept back as if to free his finely formed face. Conceived and carved with a sensitivity that captures the subtlest swellings and hollows, the delicate marble face looks soft to the touch. While the boy gazes out with a grave and innocent expression, the slight tilt of his head and the deliberately off-center tie falling in the opposite direction give a liveliness that breaks the potential formality. Above all the pupils of the eyes are carved with such crispness and depth that they dominate the composition, appearing to sparkle with light and shadow. A date in the late 1870s seems plausible, based on similarity to Dalou’s terracotta bust of Miss Helen Ionides, 1879, in the Bethnal Green Museum, London; the present marble is a near mirror image of that calm portrait.

Like most sculptors of his day, including Rodin, Dalou employed practiciens (carving specialists) to assist with marble renditions of designs he created. His innate preference was for modeling in clay. Early in his career, however, he had developed the ability to perfect the carving of a roughed-out marble sculpture. By the mid-1880s he required a sitter to return several times so that he could personally carve the details. In this bust the exquisitely sensitive handling of the face, compared with the relatively summary treatment of the curls, suggests the difference between the approaches of a technician and a master.

The former owner’s grandfather was a partner in Bingham Brothers, marble masons of Fulham. This may one day prove a clue to the child’s identity; Dalou was conceivably portraying the son of a colleague who provided him with marble for his sculpture.

The National Gallery owns a terra-cotta sketch of a Mother and Child by Dalou, as well as a bronze portrait of his artist friend and protector Alphonse Legros. Besides enhancing the holdings of this important artist, this bust takes its place among the fine portraits of children that are high points of the Gallery’s sculpture collection. These include Desiderio da Settignano’s solemn-faced Little Boy, c. 1460, and Houdon’s Alexandre Brongniart and Louise Brongniart of 1777, with their sparkling eyes carved in a manner that Dalou may even have emulated.

Alison Luchs
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NOTES

2. For this connection, proposed by Hunisak, see Master Drawings and Sculpture [exh. cat. Thos. Agnew & Sons Ltd.] (London, 1989), with additional comparative works; for an illustration and discussion of Miss Ionides see Hunisak 1977, 133 and figs. 89a and b.

3. On Dalou and marble see Hunisak 1977, 93, and Dreyfous 1903, 48; both mention a carving accident early in his London career, but the 1885 account clearly indicates he continued to carve marble.
Cézanne used the Mellon sketchbook between c. 1877 and c. 1900. It contains forty-six sheets, several of which are blank, and is of a slightly larger format than most of Cézanne’s other sketchbooks. A hand other than the artist’s has numbered the recto of each sheet with a Roman numeral. Page XX was removed in 1923 by Cézanne’s son and given to Georges Rivière (Kunstmuseum, Basel), and the Roman numeral XXXI was used twice. Seventy-three sides of pages have been used for drawings; the end papers, the recto of the first page, and half the verso of the final page have been used for notes, lists, and arithmetical figuring. There is a draft of a letter to the critic Octave Mirbeau on page II recto. Only one drawing, a landscape with houses, extends across two sides of pages that face each other (III verso and IIII recto).

The Mellon sketchbook provides an excellent overview of Cézanne’s interests from the beginning of his early maturity in the late 1870s to the late work of c. 1900. It includes portraits, a self-portrait, landscapes, figures, architectural and decorative details, vegetation, furniture, bathers, studies after antique sculpture, studies after works by Desiderio da Settignano, Puget, and Rubens, compositional studies, and drawings of ordinary objects such as a lamp, fireplace utensils, and a hat. Cézanne seems to have opened the sketchbook at random and used any page or part of a page without regard to sequence. As a result the location of drawings in the sketchbook is sometimes haphazard. For example, a sketch of c. 1887–1890 depicting a mill (XVII verso) faces two studies of 1883–1886 for The Judgment of Paris (XVIII recto). Furthermore, work of different dates sometimes appears side by side on one page (VI verso), but a depiction of his son drawing (XVII verso) is several pages away from a directly related study (XXIII verso).

In addition to the many different subjects, there is a wide range in the finish and quality of individual drawings. There are drawings that are experimental and somewhat tentative, such as the page with two views of a woman’s head (XIII recto); but others, such as the self-portrait (X recto), are confident, direct, and well realized. Among the most impressive of the latter variety is a portrait of Madame Cézanne (VII recto) that is a study for Madame Cézanne in the Conservatory, 1891–1892 (The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York).

The Mellon sketchbook as a whole permits a closer view of the artist who was the most reclusive of the impressionist and post-impressionist painters. We see a wide range of technique and sense Cézanne’s unspoken delight with the medium. It offers an autobiographical glimpse of his fascination with Renaissance and baroque art, his delight in the shapes and rhythms of ordinary objects, his enduring interest in landscape, and his repeated contemplation and study of the two people closest to him, his wife and son. But most of all the Mellon sketchbook underscores an inexorable desire to search, investigate, probe, and realize imagery through drawing.

The Mellon sketchbook, one of the last Cézanne sketchbooks owned privately, is an outstanding addition to an exceptional group of works by the artist in the National Gallery’s collection: twenty-one paintings, three drawings, two watercolors, and nine prints.

Charles S. Moffett
INTRODUCTION

“It is the movement of people and things that distracts and even consoles . . . ,” Edgar Degas (1834–1917) wrote in 1886. “If the leaves of the trees did not move, how sad the trees would be, and we too.” The sculpture he produced in the privacy of his studio throughout most of his artistic career testifies to the fascination and consolation he found in intensive study of the movement of living beings.

As in his paintings, pastels, and prints, Degas’ principal subjects came from his immediate, late nineteenth-century Parisian world. They are race horses, jockeys, dancers, and nude women bathing or grooming. Yet only a few sculptures, notably the *Little Dancer Fourteen Years Old*, represent figures from contemporary Paris with the painstaking naturalism that was part of his jarring modernity. Even though Degas was a brilliant portraitist, relatively few of his sculptures are portraits. In sculpture, with a few exceptions, he concerned himself less with the details that define the individual than with the form as a whole. He posed his figures in attitudes that achieve a rare synthesis between directly observed actions and motifs derived from the old masters. Thus his statuettes, immediate as their poses may look, frequently recall the ancient, Renaissance, and Far Eastern works that Degas admired and carefully studied. Above all he grappled with the problem of representing a figure in motion, explored from every angle, piercing space and penetrated by it in a configuration that implies imminent change. The spaces shaped by the limbs, changing as one moves around a figure, become as fascinating as the solid forms.

The process of sculpture excited Degas far more than the result. This is evident in these rough, willfully unfinished surfaces; almost all retain the abstract quality of sketches. He strove endlessly to perfect his orchestration of a movement, and often reworked a statuette until it fell to pieces. Experimenting freely just as he did with new methods for painting and printmaking, he devised his own mixtures of pigmented wax, plastilene (nondrying modeling clay), and other substances such as fats and starch. He applied these over armatures of flexible wire and rope, supported by a vertical shaft anchored in the wooden base and often external to the figure. Some limbs were stiffened with nails, brush handles, or matchsticks, and he frequently added corks to the wax for lightness and bulk. This method suited him because, even if the unstable materials easily crumbled or collapsed, they afforded the freedom he prized to revise again and again.

From at least as early as 1870, Degas modeled in wax, devoting increasing attention to it in his last twenty years, as his vision deteriorated. Beginning with horses, he claimed to have taken up modeling statuettes as an aid to working out poses and movements in his paintings. But his sculpture quickly took on a life of its own. Even more self-critical in this pursuit than in his two-dimensional works, he exhibited only one sculpture during his lifetime, the *Little Dancer Fourteen Years Old*, in the sixth impressionist exhibition in April 1881. Critical reception ranged from profound admiration to fury and disgust. Probably less for this reason than because the process of bringing the *Little Dancer’s* highly naturalistic style to perfection took so long, Degas kept the rest of his sculpture private. Working at it for his own stimulation and exploration, not for an audience, he allowed only a few friends to see it. Nor would he permit it to be cast in a material other than plaster, and then in only four cases. He held out to the end against commitment to bronze, “that material for eternity.” But he carefully preserved his favorite waxes under glass vitrines and continued to model statuettes until 1912, when a forced move from his familiar studio in the rue Victor Massé precipitated his final decline.

The famous bronzes cast from Degas’ waxes were produced after his death by the founder A.-A. Hébrard in an arrangement with the Degas heirs. Of about 150 sculptures that his dealer Durand-Ruel remembered finding, in widely varying condition, in Degas’ studio in 1917, 74 were ultimately cast; many others must have fallen to pieces before and after his death. Before being cast the sculptures were repaired and the armatures and bases trimmed,
sometimes reinforced and sometimes replaced, probably with participation by Degas’ sculptor friend Albert Bartholomé. Seventy-three chosen sculptures were then cast in bronze, beginning in 1919, in editions of at least 23 each, by the Italian expert Albino Palazzolo (the 74th, The Schoolgirl, was cast at an unknown date years later). The process Palazzolo used to make molds from the waxes left all but four of the fragile originals preserved. They were returned to Hebrard’s cellar, not to emerge until after the Second World War. Restored further by Palazzolo, these 69 original sculptures were first exhibited as a group in 1955, at the Knoedler Gallery in New York. In 1956 they were all purchased by Paul Mellon.7

The bronzes today are widely known in public and private collections. Their brilliant compositions prompted even Degas’ friend Mary Cassatt, who had initially opposed their casting, to write: “I believe [Degas] will live to be greater as a sculptor than as a painter.”8 Yet Degas never saw the bronzes. The only sculptures he produced with his own hands are waxes like the ones in the present exhibition, and only these show the full extent of his sculptural genius. The improvised armatures, poking through the wax, reveal the “drawing in space” that underlies the figures. The direct work of the artist’s hands appears with fresh immediacy, smoothing some areas to the look of polished wood, building up others with bits of wax slapped on in a rough, scaly texture that leaves the edges of each fragment visible. Vaguely suggested forms contrast with delicate hints of facial features or anatomical detail. Only the waxes reveal the softly organic substance, its unique response to light, and the varied colors and textures of Degas’ revolutionary assemblage of objects and materials. Although the waxes were virtually unknown to most artists before 1955, this mixture of real and represented objects foreshadowed twentieth-century approaches to art.

Also evident only in the original wax sculptures is the essential role played by Degas’ armatures and bases. He showed a lifelong preoccupation with the figure’s relationship to the earth, its struggles against gravity for freedom and balance. In a few cases the armatures do not merely support a figure but seem to suspend it in the air, long before mobiles like those of Calder were conceived. These effects are lost in the bronze casts. Moreover each armature appears like the one in the present exhibition, and only these show the full extent of his sculptural genius. The improvised armatures, poking through the wax, reveal the “drawing in space” that underlies the figures. The direct work of the artist’s hands appears with fresh immediacy, smoothing some areas to the look of polished wood, building up others with bits of wax slapped on in a rough, scaly texture that leaves the edges of each fragment visible. Vaguely suggested forms contrast with delicate hints of facial features or anatomical detail. Only the waxes reveal the softly organic substance, its unique response to light, and the varied colors and textures of Degas’ revolutionary assemblage of objects and materials. Although the waxes were virtually unknown to most artists before 1955, this mixture of real and represented objects foreshadowed twentieth-century approaches to art.

Also evident only in the original wax sculptures is the essential role played by Degas’ armatures and bases. He showed a lifelong preoccupation with the figure’s relationship to the earth, its struggles against gravity for freedom and balance. In a few cases the armatures do not merely support a figure but seem to suspend it in the air, long before mobiles like those of Calder were conceived. These effects are lost in the bronze casts. Moreover each armature appears like the one in the present exhibition, and only these show the full extent of his sculptural genius. The improvised armatures, poking through the wax, reveal the “drawing in space” that underlies the figures. The direct work of the artist’s hands appears with fresh immediacy, smoothing some areas to the look of polished wood, building up others with bits of wax slapped on in a rough, scaly texture that leaves the edges of each fragment visible. Vaguely suggested forms contrast with delicate hints of facial features or anatomical detail. Only the waxes reveal the softly organic substance, its unique response to light, and the varied colors and textures of Degas’ revolutionary assemblage of objects and materials. Although the waxes were virtually unknown to most artists before 1955, this mixture of real and represented objects foreshadowed twentieth-century approaches to art.

Also evident only in the original wax sculptures is the essential role played by Degas’ armatures and bases. He showed a lifelong preoccupation with the figure’s relationship to the earth, its struggles against gravity for freedom and balance. In a few cases the armatures do not merely support a figure but seem to suspend it in the air, long before mobiles like those of Calder were conceived. These effects are lost in the bronze casts. Moreover each armature appears like the one in the present exhibition, and only these show the full extent of his sculptural genius. The improvised armatures, poking through the wax, reveal the “drawing in space” that underlies the figures. The direct work of the artist’s hands appears with fresh immediacy, smoothing some areas to the look of polished wood, building up others with bits of wax slapped on in a rough, scaly texture that leaves the edges of each fragment visible. Vaguely suggested forms contrast with delicate hints of facial features or anatomical detail. Only the waxes reveal the softly organic substance, its unique response to light, and the varied colors and textures of Degas’ revolutionary assemblage of objects and materials. Although the waxes were virtually unknown to most artists before 1955, this mixture of real and represented objects foreshadowed twentieth-century approaches to art.

Also evident only in the original wax sculptures is the essential role played by Degas’ armatures and bases. He showed a lifelong preoccupation with the figure’s relationship to the earth, its struggles against gravity for freedom and balance. In a few cases the armatures do not merely support a figure but seem to suspend it in the air, long before mobiles like those of Calder were conceived. These effects are lost in the bronze casts. Moreover each armature appears like the one in the present exhibition, and only these show the full extent of his sculptural genius. The improvised armatures, poking through the wax, reveal the “drawing in space” that underlies the figures. The direct work of the artist’s hands appears with fresh immediacy, smoothing some areas to the look of polished wood, building up others with bits of wax slapped on in a rough, scaly texture that leaves the edges of each fragment visible. Vaguely suggested forms contrast with delicate hints of facial features or anatomical detail. Only the waxes reveal the softly organic substance, its unique response to light, and the varied colors and textures of Degas’ revolutionary assemblage of objects and materials. Although the waxes were virtually unknown to most artists before 1955, this mixture of real and represented objects foreshadowed twentieth-century approaches to art.

Also evident only in the original wax sculptures is the essential role played by Degas’ armatures and bases. He showed a lifelong preoccupation with the figure’s relationship to the earth, its struggles against gravity for freedom and balance. In a few cases the armatures do not merely support a figure but seem to suspend it in the air, long before mobiles like those of Calder were conceived. These effects are lost in the bronze casts. Moreover each armature appears like the one in the present exhibition, and only these show the full extent of his sculptural genius. The improvised armatures, poking through the wax, reveal the “drawing in space” that underlies the figures. The direct work of the artist’s hands appears with fresh immediacy, smoothing some areas to the look of polished wood, building up others with bits of wax slapped on in a rough, scaly texture that leaves the edges of each fragment visible. Vaguely suggested forms contrast with delicate hints of facial features or anatomical detail. Only the waxes reveal the softly organic substance, its unique response to light, and the varied colors and textures of Degas’ revolutionary assemblage of objects and materials. Although the waxes were virtually unknown to most artists before 1955, this mixture of real and represented objects foreshadowed twentieth-century approaches to art.

Also evident only in the original wax sculptures is the essential role played by Degas’ armatures and bases. He showed a lifelong preoccupation with the figure’s relationship to the earth, its struggles against gravity for freedom and balance. In a few cases the armatures do not merely support a figure but seem to suspend it in the air, long before mobiles like those of Calder were conceived. These effects are lost in the bronze casts. Moreover each armature appears like the one in the present exhibition, and only these show the full extent of his sculptural genius. The improvised armatures, poking through the wax, reveal the “drawing in space” that underlies the figures. The direct work of the artist’s hands appears with fresh immediacy, smoothing some areas to the look of polished wood, building up others with bits of wax slapped on in a rough, scaly texture that leaves the edges of each fragment visible. Vaguely suggested forms contrast with delicate hints of facial features or anatomical detail. Only the waxes reveal the softly organic substance, its unique response to light, and the varied colors and textures of Degas’ revolutionary assemblage of objects and materials. Although the waxes were virtually unknown to most artists before 1955, this mixture of real and represented objects foreshadowed twentieth-century approaches to art.

A total of sixty-nine sculptures from Degas’ hands survive.10 Sixty-six are mostly of wax and plastilene, three of indefinable matter containing plaster. Today these original works can be seen in only three museums in the world: the Musée d’Orsay, Paris (four works), the Fitzwilliam Museum, Cambridge (three works), and the National Gallery of Art, Washington. In all cases these museum holdings result from the generosity of Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon, who donated seventeen waxes, five bronzes, and one plaster to the National Gallery in 1985. Their promised gift of thirty-one additional waxes—nearly half the surviving total—in honor of the Gallery’s fiftieth anniversary will make possible the fullest public installation of Degas waxes anywhere since 1956. In addition, the Little Dancer appears here in an unprecedented grouping with three closely related works from the 1985 gift: the wax nude study, a bronze cast from that study, and a colored plaster produced in Hebrard’s studio from the final 1881 version. These offer insight into the wax’s production process, its subsequent history, and the important differences between Degas’ original sculptures and the casts made from them.

PROVENANCE
Except for The Schoolgirl, all the waxes in this group have the same provenance. Found in Degas’ studio in 1917, they passed to the artist’s heirs and in 1919 to the founder A.-A. Hebrard. In 1955 they were placed on consignment with M. Knoedler and Co., New York. Paul Mellon purchased the entire group in 1956.

NOTES
The Waxes of Edgar Degas: The 1955 Knoedler Exhibition: Date and Provenance. The dates of the waxes are almost all conjectural. The Little Dancer is the best documented. Dates for others have been proposed based on relationships to other Degas works, clues in correspondence, and reminiscences of friends and models. It is usually uncertain whether Degas made a particular sculpture as a study for a related painting or pastel, or whether work on a twodimensional image inspired him to continue exploring a movement in three dimensions. He repeated and varied certain poses, often over many years. Besides this he would sometimes put a sculpture aside and return to it later.

It is usually argued that his early sculptural style is smoother and more compact, closer to the precision of the Little Dancer, and that his treatment grew looser and more expressive in his late years. But certain cases raise the possibility that he worked in more than one manner at the same time. There is also evidence that his earliest sculpted figures are more tranquil and restrained; that they move with increasing freedom after c. 1885, and that in his final years they become heavier and more earthbound.11

The “Degas” inscriptions on the waxes are posthumous. Degas would scarcely have added a signature with its implications of completion and an expected audience. The inscriptions may have been added before casting in 1919 or in preparation for the 1955 exhibition.

Materials are described based on observations in Rewald 1956; in Charles W. Millard, The
Sculpture of Edgar Degas (Princeton, 1976); and by the author. Analysis of each piece to identify components more precisely remains to be undertaken. Heights given exclude the bases.

Warm thanks are due to Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon and to Beverly Carter for essential information and assistance in studying the waxes.


6. On the plaster casts and Degas’ reluctance to cast in bronze see Millard 1976, 30.


10. The census of his surviving sculptures usually includes a seventieth work, a Torso cast in plaster at his wish around 1900, of which the wax disappeared before 1919. This plaster is counted among the sixty-nine works in the 1955 Knoedler exhibition, which did not include The Schoolgirl.

The fame or notoriety of this statuette began even before Degas allowed it to go on view in the sixth impressionist exhibition in April 1881. He had delayed its installation twice over the preceding year, not yet satisfied that it was ready. The critics greeted it with excitement whether they praised or reviled it.  

Degas had flouted conventions in choosing, as subject for an exhibition sculpture two-thirds life size, a coltish adolescent rather than an ideal beauty, and a denizen of contemporary Paris rather than a goddess or literary heroine. With her low forehead, thrusting jaw, and half-closed eyes, she stands defiantly self-absorbed in her wrinkled stockings. Several critics, based on common knowledge about the life of young dancers, read in her face a morally dubious future.  

Guilt by association must have reinforced this prejudice, for Degas showed two drawings of *Criminal Physiognomy* in the same exhibition. Compounding the unease the dancer engendered, Degas presented her with what the admiring critic Huysmans termed a “terrible realism,” suggesting an ethnographic display. He had modeled her in fleshlike tinted wax, dressed her in actual, miniature clothing rather than the illusion of it, and placed a wig of real blond hair on her head. Thin coats of different colored wax, applied with a paintbrush over the hair, bodice, stockings, and shoes, unified the surface and subtly enhanced the polychromy. The *Little Dancer*, laboriously perfected over three years, became the only sculpture Degas ever exhibited publicly.  

The model is generally agreed to be the dancer Marie van Goethem (or van Goethen), daughter of a Belgian tailor and a laundress, born in Paris on 17 February 1864. Her name and address appear in Degas’ notebook and on a drawn study; she presumably began to model for him in 1878. How he decided on her taut practice pose, a variation of the fourth position ouverte, is uncertain. It went through several changes, but part of the inspiration may have come from a dancer seen from the back in a woodcut by the Japanese master Hokusai, whom Degas greatly admired. His sculptural interpretation, with arms and legs stretching away from the torso in opposing directions, gives an arresting effect of openness and tension.  

The process of conceiving the statuette is documented in at least a dozen drawings of Marie, nude and clothed; and in the smaller (28½ in.) wax nude study in the National Gallery collection. This wax, the bronze cast from it (figs. 1,2), and the drawings record the most extensive preparation Degas ever devoted to a sculpture.  

Degas set the nude figure on a plaster pedestal whose base shows the trace of a changed position of the right foot. The final clothed version restores the more strained position he had rejected in the nude study. A crack visible in the bronze nude’s neck (repaired in the wax sometime after casting) reflects a crucial decision to tilt her head farther back, enhancing her look of absorbed concentration. The nude’s shoulders are thrust back so that her arms hang freer of her body. In the final wax sculpture some of this pent-up energy is transferred to her sharply bent wrists. The difficulties Degas encountered in rendering the angular young figure, most visible where the right leg joins the pelvis, were resolved and turned to advantage by the addition of the costume.  

The final, clothed wax has darkened with time; cracks that developed in the upper arms, visible in the bronze and plaster casts and early photographs, have been repaired. The figure received a new skirt, shorter and more curved than the original, around 1919. It nevertheless retains the effects of freshness, delicacy, and vitality that the posthumous casts can only approximate. The original alone shows fully the fleshlike warmth of the wax, the precision and tenderness with which Degas outlined the girl’s eyes, modeled her facial structure, and shaped her mouth, or the endearing details like the dangling end of a ribbon around the left ankle.  

The critic Elie de Mont asked the artist in his review, “Could you really have met a model this horrible, this repellant? And admitting that you did meet her, why did you choose her?” Degas evidently chose her because she captivated him as an individual, a social type, and an image of promise. Her character and movements clearly belonged to his own time and place, and he portrayed her with an experimental method as true as possible to those. She embodies the determination of the street-urchin dancer Degas addressed in a sonnet, in which he savors the contrast between her common origins and the celebrated future he envisions for her. The artist wished that, even as the spirits of historical and mythical dancers endowed her with their gifts, she might “hold fast in the palace the race of her street.”

Fig. 1. Edgar Degas, *Study in the Nude for the Dressed Ballet Dancer*, c. 1878/1879, wax. National Gallery of Art, Washington, Collection of Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon  

Fig. 2. Edgar Degas, *Study in the Nude for the Dressed Ballet Dancer*, model c. 1878/1879, cast 1919/1932, bronze. National Gallery of Art, Washington, Collection of Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon
NOTES


3. Scholars disagree on whether the “rôles crins” mentioned by the critic Huysmans are human hair or horsehair. That Degas probably obtained it from a maker of wigs for dolls, whose address he jotted down in his notebook, does not answer this question. See Rewald 1944, 8; Theodore Reff, “Degas Sculpture, 1880–1884,” Art Quarterly 33 (1970), 288, and Millard 1976, 64, 124.


5. Frederick Bohrer in Joslyn Art Museum. Paintings & Sculpture from the European and American Collections (Omaha, 1987), 102 and 104, n. 11.


7. On the two surviving plasters see Bohrer in Omaha 1987, 101–104.


This figure, as has often been noted, resembles The Little Dancer Fourteen Years Old in street clothes. The model might once again have been Marie van Goethem; but since her face is rounder and less clearly defined, she could just as well be one of Degas' young cousins, nieces, or the other girls who modeled for his sculpture around 1878/1882. Many of his works of the early to mid-1880s also evince a fascination with the elegant, hourglass silhouette of a well-dressed contemporary woman walking, as in fig. 3.2

As with the Little Dancer, the artist's interest in portraying recognizable contemporary types shows in his attention to this figure's costume: her skirt, shorter than a grown woman's; her close-fitting jacket with a broad collar and buckled belt; and her hat with its upturned brim and ornament. The tilt of her head evokes the preoccupied, slightly insolent air of the Little Dancer. The girl clutches her book bag with a gangly right arm that stretches out of its sleeve while her left hand reaches back to grasp her pigtail nervously. Degas used a similar backward-reaching gesture in a portrait bust of Hortense Valpincon in 1884 (destroyed). He must have liked the tense projection it gives to the upper body and the way it silhouettes the torso, allowing light to penetrate through the bent arm in a play of angles and curves.

While sketchy in general treatment, The Schoolgirl is modeled with wonderful delicacy in many details. The broken edges of the collar suggest lace. Fine pinpricks define the nostrils and hint at teeth between parted lips. Degas formed the right eye with care, but only suggested the left. Definition of one eye but not the other often occurs in Degas' sculptures, whether of humans or horses; one wonders if this may be related to the artist's own virtually monocular vision, with the right eye severely impaired. The present supporting armature is not the one that existed in 1918, which rose from the base in front of the figure and curved to meet it near the belt buckle.

Millard has seen in The Schoolgirl, with her broad-brimmed hat, crooked arm, and flexed left leg, a reminiscence of Donatello's bronze David in Florence. Unlike the David, however, the girl is moving forward; her skirt swishes out behind her to accentuate her progress. Thomson has raised the intriguing suggestion that Degas around 1880 planned a series of sculptures of Parisiennes, of which the Little Dancer was the only one to reach full realization. The Schoolgirl, worked out in considerable detail, might by implication have been a study for one of these, conceived for a larger, clothed execution that never took place.

The close connection between this figure and a wood statuette exhibited by Gauguin in the 1881 impressionist exhibition, Woman out for a Stroll, is widely recognized. Given the mutual awareness and admiration of the two artists at that time, it is impossible to be certain which statuette was created first. This small figure has a history separate from the other waxes. It was not cast with them between 1919 and 1921. Yet it was probably in Degas' studio with the others, since it was photographed with them. The title L'Ecolière (The Schoolgirl) may have come from Durand-Ruel, Degas' dealer, as it is used in Borel's photo caption, presumably based on records kept with the photographs made for Durand-Ruel. Only about five casts were made, for the artist's heirs, at an unknown date before 1955. All this suggests the artist's heirs held back this solitary wax, the only independent dressed figure in Degas' sculpture besides The Little Dancer. This would support the claim that the model was a member of the family.

PROVENANCE
Degas studio; Degas heirs; A.-A. Hébrard; consigned or sold to Knoedler and Co., mid-1950s; Paul Mellon, 1956.

NOTES
1. See Reff 1976, 245–261; Millard 1976, 14–15; Paris 1988, 358–359 (on young models), and a useful summary on The Schoolgirl by Camesasca in Milan 1986, 213, no. 74. Failing 1979, 41, referred to a letter “issued early in 1955” by Hébrard's daughter Nell, asserting that The Schoolgirl is a portrait of a “sister or niece” of Degas, given to the Hébrards by Degas' niece at an unspecified date.

2. For the painting illustrated, see Tinterow in Paris 1988, cat. 267, 440–442. Works often cited in connection with The Schoolgirl include Degas' pastels Project for Portraits in a Frieze (dated 1879, exhibited 1881), Abs collection, Cologne, and At the Louvre (1879/1880), private collection; a print (1879/1880) of The Actress Ellen Andrée (who has been suggested as the model for The Schoolgirl) and two other prints, At the Louvre: Mary Cassatt in the Etruscan Gallery and At the Louvre: Mary Cassat in the Picture Gallery. Drawings for The Schoolgirl, from the front, back, and side, appear in a notebook datable c. 1881. See references in previous note; Edinburgh 1979, 67, no. 79, and Paris 1988, 318–324.


5. For the early photo see Rewald 1944, 144, and Florence 1986, 213.


10. Knoedler and Co., New York, having acquired the statuette in the mid-1950s, arranged for an edition of twenty bronzes to be cast by Hébrard in 1956; Rewald 1956, 158; Millard 32–33, n. 32.
HORSES

As a subject horses must have attracted Degas for the qualities they share with dancers. Alluring in the interplay with space of their highly trained bodies, both move with a natural yet disciplined grace. Their constant tension between transitory balance and movement holds the imagination. And both touch the heart as they escape the earth for brief instants of triumph in the hopeless struggle against gravity.

Also like dancers, horses performed for an audience in a particular modern setting and social context (the Longchamp racetrack in the Bois de Boulogne, Paris, where Degas went to observe and sketch, had opened only in 1857). Degas took an interest not only in the “ritual movements” of performance, but perhaps even more in the accidental ones of horses breaking free from control, and dancers offstage.

Degas himself implied that his sculptural experiments had begun with horses, specifically inspired by his difficulties in painting The Steeplechase in 1866. Dissatisfied with his limited knowledge of “the mechanism that regulated a horse’s movements,” he found that sketches did not suffice; he had to try modeling. “The older I became,” he wrote, “the more clearly I realized that to achieve exactitude so perfect in the representation of animals that a feeling of life is conveyed, one had to go into three dimensions.”[2] Eighteen of Degas’ surviving sculptures represent horses or, in two cases, jockeys. Eleven of these are on view here. Usually dated before 1890,[3] the horse sculptures must have been among the favorite works he preserved for decades under the glass vitrines visitors saw in his studio.

Degas had plenty of opportunity to study horses from life, not only at the racetrack but also in the streets of Paris and in the country. From the 1860s he often visited the estate of his friend Henri Valpinçon, near the horse-breeding center at Haras-le-Pin. He learned also and remembered much from his practice of drawing after the old masters. Study drawings survive of horses and riders from the Parthenon frieze, which he knew through casts; and Benozzo Gozzoli’s Adoration of the Magi of c. 1459 in the Medici-Riccardi palace in Florence. His admiration for classical and Renaissance examples, such as the antique bronze horses at San Marco or Verrocchio’s Colleoni of the 1480s in Venice, seems to be echoed in the calm gait of a wax like Horse Walking.[4]

More recent sources also offered Degas guidance in depicting the horse. These included English sporting prints and the works of the French painter-sculptor Théodore Géricault, whose wax anatomical study of a Flayed Horse (fig. 4) Degas drew, perhaps from a bronze or plaster cast. The animalier sculptor Antoine-Louis Barye, whose retrospective exhibition Degas must have seen in 1875, and his contemporary Ernest Meissonier must also have impressed Degas with their horse images. His grief over the death (1870) in the Franco-Prussian war of his friend Joseph Cuvelier, who had specialized in bronze equestrian statuettes, may have strengthened Degas’ resolve to carry on with horse sculpture.[5]

The horses usually considered earliest, including Horse Walking and Thoroughbred Horse Walking, move slowly, primarily within a single plane, with the serene restraint of their most classical predecessors. Recent scientific and technological discoveries, always of interest to Degas in relation to his art, caught his attention as he experimented with increasingly movemented and spatially complex horses in the 1880s. Above all, photography instructed and stimulated him in this pursuit. By 1878 he was probably following, through illustrated reports in French journals, the experiments of the photographer Eadweard Muybridge, who was using stop-action photography to break animal movements visually into sequential phases. Degas may have known of Muybridge’s illustrated lecture in the studio of Meissonier in Paris in November 1881, although records do not indicate he attended. He was evidently studying and even drawing from the photographs in Muybridge’s major work, Animal Locomotion, within a year of its publication in 1887.[6]

Influence from Muybridge photographs has been proposed for at least six of Degas’ horse sculptures, all of which are in the present exhibition: Horse Galloping on Right Foot; Horse Balking; Horse Trotting, the Feet Not Touching the Ground; Rearing Horse; Horse Galloping on Right Foot, the Back Left Only Touching the Ground; and Horse Galloping, Turning the Head to the Right... Compare, for instance, Horse Galloping on Right Foot (Rewald vi) with fig. 5, or Horse Balking with fig. 6. The knowledge Degas gained from Muybridge certainly figured in the assurance with which he depicted increasingly complex equine movements. Yet the nature of the relationship is not beyond question in every case. Horse Galloping, Turning the Head to the Right, for instance, shows a striking resemblance to the horse ridden by a jockey on the right in the painting The Races (fig. 7), datable c. 1872.[7] One must consider whether this freely modeled wax could date earlier than 1887 (even if later than the painting), and whether, as Beaulieu has suggested, in some cases Muybridge simply confirmed what Degas had grasped with his own eyes.

In any case, Millard has cautioned that the evident importance of Muybridge for Degas should not be overstressed. The photographs provided him with valuable information on highly transient phases of movement and on the true relationships of the

Fig. 4. Théodore Géricault, Flayed Horse I, c. 1818/1824, wax. National Gallery of Art, Washington, Collection of Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon
legs. But in sculpture Degas concerned himself not with a principal silhouette seen from a fixed position, but with movement fully realized in three dimensions. His horses are for the most part conceived to be looked at from many directions, not one main view in which all aspects fall into place. Rearing Horse, for instance, twists and tosses its head to the side as if frightened, inviting the eye to follow it. Horse with Head Lowered draws the gaze around and through the changing patterns of its swinging limbs. Horse Balking “combines forward, backward, rising and twisting motions in the closest approximation of a centripetal spiralling movement possible with a four-legged animal.”8 In his pursuit of balance in three-dimensional space and organic integration of his glimpses of living energy, Degas went beyond anything the camera could tell him. His own brilliant observation and control, strengthened by study of other images, brought forth some of the most persuasively alive sculptures of horses in the history of art.

Technical experiments of his own also figure in Degas’ horse sculptures. Besides his usual mixture of modeling materials and his unorthodox armatures, including nails as well as wires, he devised a wax-coated cloth costume for one of his diminutive jockeys (Rewald xv), just as he had for the Little Dancer. Cloth also served as a saddle for at least two of the horses (both versions of Horse Galloping on Right Foot, in one of which only the imprint of the cloth remains). The jockeys, modeled independently, can change mounts, and the artist may well have experimented with this himself.9

The armatures, with their main horizontal elements defining the long contour of a horse’s back, work to splendid effect. This is evident, for instance, in Thoroughbred Horse Walking, where a gap in the neck leaves the wire exposed. McCarty has suggested plausibly10 that the piece fell away during Degas’ lifetime, and that the artist, recognizing the visual power of the charged void that remained, chose not to repair it. A wonderfully sinuous curve in three dimensions runs from the gently turning head through the spine to the tossing tail. In Horse Balking a wire projects from the end of the tail, and the wires shaping the structure of the head also emerge from the wax in many places. The vertical supports, two in this case, emphasize the precarious balance of this pose, also heightening its drama as the neck strains beyond the forked supporting piece in the chest as if against a barrier.11

Wit and imagination infuse the relationships between the horses and their bases. It is probably no coincidence that these bases all lie flat on the ground, as if surrogates for the earth. In contrast the bases for the statuettes of women, with a few exceptions, consist of a platform elevated by risers suggesting a stage, though in at least some cases the risers may be posthumous additions.12 Horse Walking has its left hind foot teasing the base a fraction of an inch above the surface, with the wire armature visually completing its descent. The left hind foot of Thoroughbred Horse Walking extends over the rear edge as though the animal has just entered the base space from the world beyond. Horse Galloping on Right Foot, on the other hand, seems about to plunge forward off its high, multilayered base. This horse, like the fiery Horse with Head Lowered, has its base surface built up with cork as if the hooves were churning up the earth.

Fig. 5. Eadweard Muybridge, “Bouquet” Galloping, Saddled, photograph from Animal Locomotion (Philadelphia, 1887), pl. 631. Courtesy of the Library of Congress, Washington


Fig. 7. Edgar Degas, The Races (detail), c. 1872, oil on canvas. National Gallery of Art, Washington, Widener Collection
Horse Trotting, the Feet Not Touching the Ground is the only one oriented along the diagonal axis of its base. This plays the silhouettes of its airborne legs with particular grace against the angled edges of the square, an effect missed in the bronze. The base beneath Horse Galloping, Turning the Head to the Right is smooth and narrow as a race-track lane, while under Horse Galloping on Right Foot, the Back Left Only Touching the Ground the wax is worked up in mounds at front and rear, creating a low gully that horse and rider traverse.

The widely varying facture of the horses shows the range of Degas’ modeling technique, from controlled precision to feverish freedom. Horse Walking, usually considered an early example, is relatively tight and compact in its modeling. Thoroughbred Horse Walking, tiny as it is, evinces wonderfully delicate treatment in the head, eyes, and mouth, achieving a sensitive expression with different means than those used in the summary yet spirited head of Horse Trotting. In Horse with Head Lowered, a smooth back and hindquarters contrast with a lumpy mane and ruggedly expressive head. Rearing Horse has its back smoothed to a fine polish; its right eye, bulging in apparent terror, is well defined while the left remains a suggestive blob of wax.

Clear fingerprints are sometimes visible, for instance on the right side of the head of Horse Galloping on Right Foot; this figure also bears the pattern of a herringbone cloth that was once pressed into its back. Stria-tions from a modeling tool, used to shape the forms in a faceted style, mark Horse Trotting. Vigorous, unblended applications of wax bits give a scaly surface to Horse Balk ing, fragmenting the light. Freest and most fantastic is Horse Galloping on Right Foot, the Back Left Only Touching the Ground, which looks to have been modeled with a swift excitement matching the animal’s movement. The lower part of its out-stretched neck, a roll of wax squeezed (over cork?) into a thin sheet, has wavy contours that enhance the spectral character of the gaunt steed.

NOTES
3. Rewald 1956, 140, catalogued the horses as a group c. 1865–1881, but recognized that some are probably later. Millard 1976, 20–23, argued that the calmer ones date from before 1881, the more active ones from c. 1881/1890, the period when the photographs of Muybridge had their greatest impact on Degas. Dates soon after the publication of Muybridge’s Animal Locomotion in 1887 have been proposed for Horse Balk ing and Rearing Horse (Gary Tinterow in Paris 1988, 461–463).
8. Millard 1976, 100–101, for this description and the limits of Muybridge’s role.
11. It was formerly known, perhaps more appropriately, as Horse Clearing an Obstacle; for the present title and proposed date see Tinterow in Paris 1988, 460–461, cat. 280.
12. The photo made before casting of Woman Arranging Her Hair, for instance, shows the base without the risers that are now present; Rewald 1944, 112. Failing 1988, 141, observed that the early photos show that the pre-casting condition of most of the horses corresponded fairly closely to their present state.
13. The finely pitted surface of this, presumably one of the earliest horses, may reflect age and wear rather than the modeling technique; Millard 1976, 97, n. 8.
Thoroughbred Horse Walking
probably before 1881
Yellow-brown wax, 5¼ (13.3)
REWALD V

Horse with Head Lowered, c. 1881/1890
Brown wax, cork, 7¾ (18.1)
REWALD XII
Horse Galloping on Right Foot
c. 1881/1890
Brown wax, cork, 11\% (30.2)
REWALD VI

Horse Trotting, the Feet Not Touching the Ground, c. 1881/1890
Dark red wax, 8\% (22)
REWALD XI
Horse Balking (previously called Horse Clearing an Obstacle)
c. 1888/1890
Yellow wax, 11\(\frac{1}{4}\) (28.6)
REWALD IX

Rearing Horse, c. 1888/1890
Red wax, 12\(\frac{1}{8}\) (30.5)
REWALD XIII
Horse with Jockey; Horse Galloping on Right Foot, the Back Left Only Touching the Ground, c. 1881/1890
Brown wax, cloth, 9 3/8 (23.8)
REWALD XIV AND XV

Horse with Jockey; Horse Galloping, Turning the Head to the Right, the Feet Not Touching the Ground c. 1881/1890 (?)
Dark greenish- and reddish-brown wax 11 1/4 (28.6)
REWALD XVII and XVIII
WOMEN AT THEIR TOILETTE

Woman Washing Her Left Leg, c. 1890
Yellow, red, and olive-green wax;
small green ceramic pot
7%/ (20)
REWALD LXVIII

“I have not yet made enough horses,” Degas wrote in 1888 to the sculptor Bartholomé. “The women must wait in their tubs.” This remark and a description to Bartholomé in June 1889 of his work on a wax convincingly identified with his ingenious The Tub (fig. 8) suggest it was around this time that figures of women bathing and grooming themselves entered his sculptural repertory. Some of his most innovative experiments in mixed media, as well as one of his most classical compositions, center on this theme.

The depiction of a woman bathing in a shallow tub or drying herself after a bath had occupied him at least since the late 1870s. He focused on it with particular intensity in the mid-1880s, sending ten pastels he described as “a suite of female nudes bathing, washing, drying and toweling themselves, combing their hair or having it combed” to the eighth impressionist exhibition in 1886. Around 1890 he worked on a group of pastels of bathers stepping into tubs. As usual with Degas these were not classical Aphrodites rising from the waves, even if their poses sometimes evoked ancient sculpture. They were anonymous women, often of less (or more) than ideal proportions, in settings clearly identified by the furnishings as modern. He presented them absorbed in their personal grooming, often bending to display the sensuous curves of their backs seen from above, from behind, or from oblique points of view. Although Degas carefully posed his models for these figures, they appear oblivious to any possible audience, as if the viewer “looked through a keyhole.” These numerous images of bathers have inspired considerable debate about whether Degas’ approach was perversely misogynistic or natural and sympathetic, as well as about the social station implied for the women by the settings, accessories, and actions.

In composing the sculpture Degas also played with differing heights. The woman and her chair stand on a small section of board set crosswise on top of the main base. She is poised to step down into the tub and her towel flows down over the chair toward it, connecting the different levels. The open form of the chair behind her balances the closed one of the tub in front to create an exceptionally complex and satisfying composition of solid forms, spaces, colors, and materials.

The smaller related wax assigned the same title presents questions. Here the base is a single board set on a pair of risers (possibly added after Degas’ death). The woman supports herself not on a chair but on an armature rod bent like a cane, with her left elbow lifted higher than in the other wax. There is no tub, but she holds a piece of wax-coated fabric to dry her left foot. The front of her left foot is broken off; a nail protruding from the base below it may once have supported its precariously raised toes. Her right foot, in contrast, spreads over the base like a root system. This figure is highly sketchy and abstract, its squiggle of an arm across space recalling the wavy neck of the Horse Galloping on Right Foot. Should this
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In composing the sculpture Degas also played with differing heights. The woman and her chair stand on a small section of board set crosswise on top of the main base. She is poised to step down into the tub and her towel flows down over the chair toward it, connecting the different levels. The open form of the chair behind her balances the closed one of the tub in front to create an exceptionally complex and satisfying composition of solid forms, spaces, colors, and materials.

The smaller related wax assigned the same title presents questions. Here the base is a single board set on a pair of risers (possibly added after Degas’ death). The woman supports herself not on a chair but on an armature rod bent like a cane, with her left elbow lifted higher than in the other wax. There is no tub, but she holds a piece of wax-coated fabric to dry her left foot. The front of her left foot is broken off; a nail protruding from the base below it may once have supported its precariously raised toes. Her right foot, in contrast, spreads over the base like a root system. This figure is highly sketchy and abstract, its squiggle of an arm across space recalling the wavy neck of the Horse Galloping on Right Foot. Should this
**Woman Washing Her Left Leg**
c. 1890/1900 (?)  
Brown wax, cloth, 5¾ (14.6)  
REWALD LXVII

**Woman Arranging Her Hair**
c. 1895/1910  
Yellow wax, 18¼ (46.4)  
REWALD L
wax be understood as a first experiment at the composition that Degas later developed with the ceramic basin or a variation on the same theme, perhaps modeled years later in feverish haste?)

Woman Arranging Her Hair, which actually represents a woman drying her hair, must occupy a fairly late place in the artist’s sculptural oeuvre. As often recognized, the composition relates to his plan announced in a letter of 6 July 1891 to Evariste de Valernes to begin two suites of lithographs: “a first series on nude women at their toilette” and a second on nude dancers. The works associated with this project include recurring studies of a standing nude seen from the back, leaning to one side so that her torso curves and her hair falls heavily toward earth, and bending her elbows as she vigorously towels one hip (compare fig. 9). Later, in works around 1900, Degas modified the pose from a woman wiping her hip to one drying her long hair. Other closely related figures appear in the charcoal and pastel Nude Woman Drying Herself, c. 1900 (The Museum of Fine Arts, Houston) and the pastel Woman at Her Toilette, c. 1905 (Art Institute of Chicago), a bending nude with streaming hair seen from the back.

The present statuette belongs to these explorations. The woman’s large size and heavy proportions suggest the massiveness of his latest sculptured figures, several of which dry themselves while seated in armchairs. The back view of this standing figure clearly appealed to Degas, as evident in the related lithographs and drawings. His attraction to this aspect of the sculpture shows in the attention he devoted to smooth modeling of the back and buttocks of the wax figure, leaving the front relatively rough.

Paradoxically for a figure of such weight and generally rugged treatment, the pose of a standing woman drying her hair has been recognized as one of the most “canonically classical” Degas ever chose. Its ancient prototype, of which many examples survive, is a standing Aphrodite wringing water from her tresses; a study drawing of one such sculpture exists in a Degas notebook.

The original armature for this wax, presumably removed in the preparations for casting c. 1919, contributed significantly to the spatial composition. The present external armature, piercing the left hip and seeming to confine the standing figure to a narrow space, was not present. To support her leaning torso and the horizontal extension of her hair Degas had run two strands of wire from inside the figure out through the hanging tress; these curved outward into space, then returned in their descent toward the board where they were fixed to the surface. Notches in the edge of the base below the hair still record the points where they made contact. This supposedly utilitarian support must have taken on a poetic character, suggesting the trajectory of falling drops of water or a visualization of the inexorable pull of gravity.

NOTES
2. Millard 1976, 9–10. Beaulieu 1969, 380, argued that the two versions of Woman Washing Her Left Leg and a third sculpture destroyed in casting, Woman Leaving the Bath, Rewald LXXII, are related to pastels dated 1883.
6. Reff 1976, 261, 291–292, 338; Millard 1976, 111–112. Millard and Reff also noted parallels with the approach of the Italian sculptor in wax and mixed media, Medardo Rosso, even before he and Degas were in contact.
7. Gsell 1918, 376; cited in Rewald 1956, 26; see also McCarty in Mellon 1986, 218.
9. The pose can be compared, for instance, to a pastel of c. 1886, Bather Drying Her Legs (Dayton Art Institute), but also to a pastel of Woman Stepping into a Bath, c. 1890 (The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York), and to a series of drawings and pastels of bender bathers c. 1895–1905. Paris 1988, 599–602 and 518, figs. 294 and 471.
11. For the lithograph Nude Woman Standing, Drying Herself see Paris 1988, 499, cat. 294.
13. For instance National Gallery of Art 1985, 54.58, 59 and 60, Collection of Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon; see Boggs in Paris 1988, 484 and 586, on proportions after 1900; Millard 1976, 108–110.
15. Millard 1976, 70 and fig. 108.
16. Early photo published by Rewald 1944, 112; the absence of risers under the base in this photo raises a question as to whether these were posthumous additions in some if not all cases.
ARABESQUES

Of all the movements of classical ballet, the arabesque seems to have held the greatest fascination for Degas as a sculptor. Eight of his surviving waxes1 represent figures in phases of this movement, in which the dancer, balancing on one foot, extends the other leg backward and both arms out from the center. These figures range from a fragile child dancer only eight inches high, usually dated before 1880 (Rewald xxxvii, Fitzwilliam Museum, Cambridge) through firmly modeled mature and graceful women, the largest of the group (Grande Arabesque, First Time and Grande Arabesque, Second Time, in the present exhibition) to a sketchier figure, possibly of the mid–1890s, whose stocky proportions make the pose look all the more improbable (Rewald xxxix, Musée d'Orsay).2 The assured handling of movement in Grande Arabesque First Time, Second Time, and Third Time has suggested dates c. 1885/1890.3 Their careful modeling, with lovingly smoothed backs and legs and even some attention to the hairstyle, may connect these three with the painstaking precision of the Little Dancer. Perhaps it was in pursuit of this smoothness that Degas experimented with plastilene as a principal material for these arabesque figures. Arabesque over the Right Leg, Right Hand near the Ground, Left Arm Outstretched, sketchier in modeling and more tentative in movement, is harder to understand. In it the human figure often appears close to flight. The difficulty for a model to maintain the relevant poses must also have stimulated him to explore the arabesque in sculpture. The resulting waxes provided a three-dimensional aid for studying this human movement for the treatments that appear repeatedly in Degas’ paintings and pastels from the 1870s through the 1890s.4 The example and challenge of the old masters may have further attracted him to the arabesque. For Grande Arabesque, First Time, a figure poised at the inception of the movement, Millard has suggested ancestry in antique sculptures of a Striding Diana or a Running Eros.5 Perhaps a work like Giambologna’s Renaissance bronze Mercury stirred Degas to attempt modern counterparts to the sculptural movements of the celebrated mythological figure. Or he may have been moved to surpass a recent feminine descendent of the Mercury in an arabesque-like pose, Jean-Alexandre-Joseph Falguière’s Hunting Nymph, exhibited in plaster in the Salon of 1884.6 His probable acquaintance with these works makes it all the more intriguing that Degas never chose to sculpt a dancer in an arabesque rising on her toes, as Giambologna’s and Falguière’s figures did, but always kept the supporting foot flat on the ground.

Experiments with suspension and flight produced sculptures like the remarkable Arabesque over the Right Leg, Left Arm in Front.7 Wires secure the tiny figure to its metal frame, with its movement oriented along the narrow base. The result is an unusually pictorial composition in which the figure and wires form a pattern dividing up the open, rectangular field within the frame, at the same time allowing display of the taut belly and muscular legs that are the glories of its modeling. The interplay of two-dimensional and sculptural design fascinates as the wires simultaneously bind and liberate. A similar structure that once supported the even more tenuously balanced Arabesque over the Right Leg, Right Hand near the Ground, Left Arm Outstretched was apparently sacrificed in the preparations for casting. The role of the lost frame in the composition is still recorded by the narrow base (shortened and set on a new platform with risers), once probably close to the proportions of the base that survives for Arabesque over the Right Leg, Left Arm in Front. An early photograph suggests Grande Arabesque, Third Time also had a long narrow base, as well as suspension wires at the head and extended leg.8 Whether freestanding or suspended, the arabesque dancers as a group evoke the infinite potential stages in the movement. Individually each also implies rotation on an axis, with the sole of the extended foot (almost always the left) turned inward.9 That Degas sought and savored this suggestion of a turning motion in arabesque figures is clear from an experiment he performed for Walter Sickert with the graceful, firmly modeled Grande Arabesque, Second Time.10 Sickert recalled how the artist projected its shadow against a sheet by candlelight one evening, rotating the figure slowly to change the silhouettes and to create an effect of continuous movement. The dancer’s height, augmented by a base heavily built up with wax over cork, must have enhanced the drama. This demonstration also suggests an element of fantasy and magic in Degas’ conception of his small wax dancers. For a moment he was Pygmalion, wishing a figure into life by actualizing the movement latent in its pose.

The difficult and painful nature of the arabesque pose is as insesapable as its exhilarating grace. Even with their faces undefined, the dancers carry expressive implications in their twisting limbs, their reach for the unattainable, and their poignant, losing battle with gravity that calls to mind Degas’ description of sculpture as “a medium in which to express profound suffering.”11

NOTES
1. Rewald xxxv, xxxvi, xxxvii, xxxviii, xxxix, xli, xlii.
On Degas’ use of plastilene for several of these see Millard 1976, 37, n. 54.

4. For the kind of armature involved see the diagram and X-ray in Sculptures en ciré 1987, 230–231. On Degas’ delight in change to the point of destruction see Millard 1976, 36.

5. For a list of examples of the arabesque in his paintings and pastels from the 1870s through the 1890s see Tinterow in Paris 1988, 586, n. 1.


8. For the Falguière, recognized in its time as a descendant of the Giambologna, see Peter Fusco and H. W. Janson, eds., The Romantic to Rodin [exh. cat. Los Angeles County Museum of Art] (Los Angeles, 1980), 260, cat. 132.

9. Millard 1976, 102, 111, noted this was as close as Degas came to complete suspension of a figure. He saw it as a forerunner to the mobiles of Alexander Calder in the twentieth century.

10. On Rewald XLI see Failing 1988, 140–141. Rewald XLI (Arabesque over the Right Leg, Left Arm in Line) also had some sort of frame, no longer extant, to which it was attached by spiraling coils of wire. See photo in Rewald 1944, 97. For an early photo of Grande Arabesque, Third Time, see Gsell 1918, 376.


Grande Arabesque, First Time

C. 1882/1895

Dark green wax, 19 (48.2)

REWALD XXXV
Grande Arabesque, Second Time

C. 1882/1895

Brown plastilene, wax, cork, 18½ (47)

REWALD XXXVI

Arabesque over the Right Leg,
Left Arm in Front, c. 1882/1895

Yellow-brown wax, metal frame

11¾ (28.9)

REWALD XXXVIII
Arabesque over the Right Leg, Right Hand near the Ground, Left Arm Outstretched (First Arabesque Penché), c. 1882/1895
Brown wax, 10 3/4 (27.3)
REWALD XLI

Grande Arabesque, Third Time (First Arabesque Penché), c. 1882/1895
Greenish-brown and black plastilene
15 7/8 (40.4)
REWALD XL
Could Degas have begun his sculpture of human subjects with the *Little Dancer Fourteen Years Old*? It is certainly difficult to imagine him reaching the level of accomplishment evident in that statuette or in the earlier nude study for it without prior experience. *Dancer at Rest* is the most convincing candidate for a work that preceded the *Little Dancer*. As such it would be perhaps the earliest surviving Degas sculpture of a human figure.

The pose places the legs in a variation on the fourth position similar to that in the *Little Dancer*, but reverses them. This figure is relaxed and earthbound, with the weight planted firmly on the back leg. The stocky torso is none too clearly that of an adolescent model, with small breasts and only hints of anatomical details. The well-defined muscles at work in the arabesques or in the *Little Dancer* herself seem distant. The modeling, too, seems methodical rather than assured; the surface is covered with striations from a clawed tool, suggesting a sculptor finding his way in facture as well as in anatomy and movement.

Typically for Degas, the figure places her hands on her hips with her elbows pointing out and back. Besides opening out the mass of a figure, this gesture brings tightness and a challenging look to the exposed torso. The face, a bare suggestion, recalls the *Little Dancer* and *Schoolgirl* in the projecting chin and outlined eyes, only the right one defined. A casual stance evoking the impatient mood of practice, of waiting backstage, gives an effect of life and imminent change to the still figure.

Informal as the pose appears, it may, like so many Degas works, owe something to study of an ancient model. Millard has pointed to an antique bronze of a standing *Hercules*, which Degas could have known either directly or through a plaster cast belonging to his friend the painter-sculptor Gustave Moreau. The restrained power and potential action in such a figure may have appealed to him.

McCarty has suggested that the plaster block at the junction of the external armature shaft with the wood base, angled to prevent undercuts, may be a posthumous addition made to simplify the mold-making process when the statue was cast around 1919.

**NOTES**

1. Millard 1976, 23, 97, for a date in the mid 1870s, calling its pose a “preliminary stage” of that of the *Little Dancer*. Beaulieu 1969, 375, instead dated *Dancer at Rest* c. 1890, in connection with related poses in pastels of that year.
2. Millard 1976, 60, and figs. 21, 22.
Degas produced two other waxes of dancers in a pose similar to this, one nude (Rewald xxiii) and one dressed in a billowing tutu crammed with cork (Rewald l.ii, Dressed Dancer at Rest . . .). The dressed version, because of its rugged facture and resemblance to figures of dancers in Degas' late pastels, has recently been assigned a date c. 1895.¹ For the other example of the nude figure closely resembling this one, various dates within Rewald's general suggestion of 1882/1895 have been proposed.²

Although the pose of this figure superficially recalls that of Dancer at Rest, Hands on Her Hips, Left Leg Forward (Rewald xxii), it seems astonishing that they should have been assigned such similar titles. Muscular and dynamic, this dancer hardly seems "at rest." Even her orientation along the long axis of the base, compared with the static horizontal alignment of the base for Dancer at Rest, Hands on Her Hips . . . serves to charge her with movement (for unknown reasons, this is one of the few bases for a female nude that lacks risers). Chin thrust out, she lunges forward on her bent right leg; only her foot's sharp turn to the right seems to break an advance evoking the figurehead of a ship. Her tightly pulled back hair and her projecting cheekbones enhance the effect of a rush into a resisting element.

The forward surge of this figure with winglike elbows projecting behind her suggests nothing so much as a modern nude interpretation of the ancient Greek Winged Victory from Samothrace. Inspiration from that source is possible. The Victory had been erected in the Louvre in 1867. After the discovery of its pedestal in the form of a ship's prow in 1879, the statue received a dramatic new installation dominating the Escalier Daru in 1884,³ which would have renewed its impact on its Parisian audience.

A date in the mid–1880s would seem to make sense for this Dancer, with her beautifully realized muscular torso, strongly constructed face, and modeling akin to Grande

NOTES

2. Millard dated Rewald xxiii to the early 1880s because of a pose somewhat similar to Rewald xxii, but handled with greater ability. Beaulieu 1969, 375, placed both nude versions c. 1890 because of their connection with the dressed version and the related pastels.

Arabesque First Time, Second Time, and Third Time. Whenever it was made, the figure calls to mind Degas' reply to the question of why he so often portrayed scenes of dancers: "Because only there can I recapture the movements of the Greeks."⁴
In the *Little Dancer Fourteen Years Old* Degas was already engaged in “break[ing] down the traditional hierarchy of views in favor of a continuous three-dimensional experience” of a sculpture.¹ He posed the young girl with limbs so disposed that, although she faces forward, no single point of view dominates. The observer is urged around her, each view leading on to another. *Dancer Fastening the String of Her Tights* represents a considerably more advanced stage in the same process of experimentation. Bending the forward leg and raising a shoulder, the figure twists actively around and downward, her arms placed to accentuate the spiraling motion. The curves of her back and hip, the crook of her right arm, and the changing shape of the void between her legs create endless appealing views as one turns around the figure. The proposed date around 1885/1890 seems likely.²

Degas had the best of old master teachers for a twisting pose of this kind. His principal point of departure was evidently a figure in Michelangelo’s famous cartoon of 1504–1505 for a mural planned for the Great Council Hall in the Palazzo Vecchio in Florence, *The Battle of Cascina*. That influential design, well known through Renaissance copies and engravings, included a nude figure seen from behind, twisting to pull on his stockings as he prepares for battle after bathing. Degas had drawn this and other figures from the *Battle of Cascina* in his notebook in the late 1850s.³

Such a figure, looking downward and turning back on axis with bent arms and knees, had a long life also in sixteenth-century mannerist sculpture influenced by Michelangelo. In particular it occurs in the bronzes of Giambologna and his circle, such as the *Apollo* or *Astronomy*.⁴ Its respected antecedents in ancient art may include a small bronze dancing satyr noted by Millard or the celebrated type of the *Venus Kallipygos*, gazing down over one shoulder at her shapely buttocks.⁵

Degas did not actually copy any of those sculptures, and the *Dancer Fastening* . . . has a pose closer to the Michelangelo drawing than to any of the sculptures cited. But he could easily have known them and sensed the challenge of their deliberately difficult poses, showing off the sculptor’s mastery of a figure performing a strenuous movement in place. If so, he could hardly have resisted tackling the problem in a modern context. His main differences with the Renaissance sculptural predecessors, besides the obvious one of his willful lack of finish, involve the degree of openness and conviction in the pose. Degas’ figure has both feet on the ground rather than one raised. Her legs, like the Michelangelo bather’s, are widely separated, making the pose more stable and dynamic and less affected, silhouetting the legs and opening up an interesting changing spatial shape between them. Degas brought the conception into his own time by associating the movement with preparation for the dance and by giving the heavy-legged figure individualized rather than ideal proportions. The work is modern also in its abstract treatment of major features such as the sketchy head and arms, scaly torso, or left hand that seems to disappear into the right hip.

The base beneath this statuette has no risers. It was lengthened, possibly after Degas’ death, by the addition of a piece less than an inch wide at the back end. The Durand-Ruel photo made before the sculpture was cast in bronze indicates that the lower legs at that time were badly broken. Repairs evidently included addition of the missing left foot in the present, impossibly double-jointed position.⁶
NOTES

2. Rewald placed it in his 1882/1895 group. Millard 1976, 24, dated it with other accomplished and controlled sculptures c. 1885/1890. Beaulieu 1969, 377, illustrated a closely related charcoal drawing as a much later “reprise,” but did not date the statuette. On the material see Millard 1976, 37, no. 55.


5. For the satyr, Millard 1976, fig. 85; for the Venus, Avery and Radcliffe 1978, 65, cat. 7, and Haskell and Penny 1981, 316–318, cat. 83. Degas, who often visited his relatives in Naples, could have known the famous example there, as well as the marble copy now in the Louvre.

6. For the early photo see Borel 1949 (unnumbered) and Failing 1988, 138.
Dancer Looking at the Sole of Her Right Foot, c. 1890/1900
Dark green wax, cork
18 (45.7)
REWALD XLV

Perfectionist that he was, Degas may have come unusually close to satisfaction with this statuette. The figure takes a pose to which he returned almost obsessively in at least six sculptured variants, presumably over several years.\(^1\) The explorations carried out in these sculptures and a group of closely related pastels and drawings graphically realize his principle that “one must repeat the same subject ten times, a hundred times” in order to master it.\(^2\) That he liked this particular sculpted version exceptionally well is evident from the fact that it is one of only three statuettes he allowed to be cast, in plaster, during his lifetime.\(^3\)

The casting took place around 1900 as we know from Degas’ remark to the model Pauline, who was taking the identical pose for him in December 1910. When she mentioned seeing a plaster cast “in the case” of her model, Degas replied “Ah yes! I modelled it ten years ago! That’s the last time the molder came here.”\(^4\)

Exactly why this particular version pleased him enough to cast it is not certain, especially without knowing for sure its place in the sequence.\(^5\) Whatever he liked about it did not stop him from returning to the pose later, as Pauline’s assignment shows. Compared with the others in this pose, it is apparently the most finished and the most serenely balanced. The smoothed thighs are relatively close together and the raised foot balletically pointed. Only in this version does the hair wind forward over one shoulder, deliciously echoing the curve of the shoulder blade as it enhances the spatial continuity. The angle of the bent right arm rhymes appealingly with those of the right knee and flexed torso as well as with the long, bowed armature anchored far behind the figure on its long base.\(^6\) With her full, rounded forms and supple torsion, this figure is perhaps the only one that makes the action look almost easy.

Degas himself shed some light on his attachment to this sculpture, or perhaps to its model, when he told Pauline, “The model who posed for it has started working in sculpture. . . . She left me, of course, just when she was doing exactly what I needed. . . .”\(^7\)

The pose, in which her limbs assail and encircle space, belongs to a broader exploration in various media in Degas’ late years, with two- and three-dimensional versions perhaps successively stimulating new forays in one or the other. The “athletic nudes” in his work after around 1885 include repeated variations on a bather seen from the back, reaching one arm downward and extending the other to steady herself as she steps over the edge of a deep tub (for instance The Morning Bath, c. 1887/1890, Art Institute of Chicago\(^8\) or After the Bath, Phillips Collection, Washington, c. 1905/1910).\(^9\) The waxes may well have assisted him in working out the related movement in some of the two-dimensional works.

Brettell mentioned one wax version—not identified—in which Degas broke, bent, and partially repaired the knee with hot wax as he rethought the pose. This may explain why one example fell apart during the casting process.\(^10\) Thomson, who associated the climbing pose with a bather Degas drew in his youth after Michelangelo’s Battle of Cascina, observes that the related works dwell “on an image which superbly coordinates balance and movement, and the venerable Degas was obsessed with balance.”\(^11\)

While the back view of this figure relates to the dynamic climbing bathers, the front and side views connect it strikingly with Degas’ much admired “movements of the Greeks.” The woman balancing on one foot, examining the other and extending the opposite arm to balance herself, took majestic form in the celebrated relief of a Victory Loosening Her Sandal from the parapet of the Temple of Athena Nike on the Acropolis at Athens. Degas’ study drawings include one of a plaster cast of that figure.\(^12\) The memory of such ancient grace may have inspired Degas to cast a modern woman in a related pose.\(^13\)

NOTES
1. For the four versions of Dancer Looking at the Sole of Her Right Foot see besides this one Rewald Ill, Lx, and Lxii; comparative illustrations in Rewald 1956, plates 58–61; Beaulieu 1969, 374–375 (dating them 1890/1895), and Boggs in Paris 1988, 527–529, cats. 321–323, with suggested dating c. 1895–1910 for the group. Rewald dated this version c. 1882/1895. For the type of armature see Sculptures en 1897, 235–236. The two versions of Dancer Holding Her Right Foot in Her Right Hand (Rewald Lxii and Lxv, National Gallery of Art 1985.64.53 and 1985.64.56) change the angle of the head and upper body in a similar balancing pose.

2. From Guérin 1945, 119, quoted in Shackelford 1984, 82.

3. The other two are The Spanish Dance (Rewald Lxvii, this catalogue) and Woman Rubbing Her Back with a Sponge, Toro (Rewald 11), for which the wax has not survived. For photos of the plaster casts, two of which still belong to the Hebrard heirs (Millard 1976, 35), see Borel 1949 (unnumbered); Rewald 1944, 100, 104, and (for Toro) Millard 1976, pl. 110.

4. Rewald 1956, 149–150; quotation in Millard 1976, 235–236. The Spanish Dance (Rewald Il, Lx, and Lxii; comparative illustrations in Rewald 1956, plates 58–61; Beaulieu 1969, 374–375 (dating them 1890/1895), and Boggs in Paris 1988, 527–529, cats. 321–323, with suggested dating c. 1895–1910 for the group. Rewald dated this version c. 1882/1895. For the type of armature see Sculptures en 1897, 235–236. The two versions of Dancer Holding Her Right Foot in Her Right Hand (Rewald Lxii and Lxv, National Gallery of Art 1985.64.53 and 1985.64.56) change the angle of the head and upper body in a similar balancing pose.

5. Millard 1976, 35, see Borel 1949 (unnumbered); Rewald 1944, 100, 104, and (for Toro) Millard 1976, pl. 110.

6. McCarty in Mellon 1986, 220, 222, admired the way such an armature reinforces the dynamics of the figure by contrast and repetition. Its original pertinence to this wax remains to be confirmed (questioned in Failing 1988, 140), but its strong effect and spatial expansiveness, seemingly irrelevant to the more practical need for a support, argue that it is Degas’ creation.

7. See Kendall 1987, 316.
8. Chicago 1984, 161, cat. 76.
10. Chicago 1984, 161; Rewald 1956, 152, Ill.
12. Millard 1976, 55, figs. 78, 79, here mentioned only in connection with *Dancer Rubbing Her Knee*. He suggests a type of standing Aphrodite and a related ancient dancer as possible sources for *Dancer Looking at the Sole* . . . (69, figs. 100, 126).
Degas made at least three sculptures of figures in this pose: the present wax and Rewald LVII and LVIII (assigned the same title). The last was reportedly destroyed in casting.\(^1\) Rewald LVII is in the National Gallery (1985.64.52), a gift of Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon. All three show the energetic modeling with rapid, unblended applications of wax associated with Degas’ late style. The present version is evidently the most finished of the three in the firm contours of its torso, back, and legs and in the definition of the facial features. She also shows more spirit and grace than the other two versions, lifting her head and extending the raised leg. Even so this wax is rough in execution, with a gash in the belly and a cluster of nails or flat-sided wires poking from below the right thigh. This and the fairly heavy body with sagging breasts point to a late moment in Degas’ sculpture. Yet it does not reach the rugged abstraction of the other two, especially the work that entered the National Gallery in 1985 with its lava-like clumping of wax, looking barely human, that was perhaps one of the last creations of the despairing artist. The sheer heaviness and failed balance of that wax may explain why Degas supported it with a vertical board against its left side.\(^2\)

In the present pose Degas set himself a balance problem related to Dancer Looking at the Sole of Her Right Foot, but relinquished the free and aggressively multidirectional character of the movement. In bending and reaching toward her foot, Dancer Putting on Her Stocking seems to fold in upon herself, enclosing space as much as penetrating it. The struggle against gravity that draws down her arms and raised leg becomes gloomy rather than exhilarating. The everyday nature of her action also contrasts with the relatively artificial movement of Dancer Looking at the Sole of Her Right Foot. The stocking-puller’s proposed ancestry in an ancient Dancing Faun, perhaps handed down to Degas through small eighteenth-century bronzes, seems remote.\(^3\) Brettell, dating the destroyed version around 1900/1912, found its composition characteristic of the blend of realism and classicism that pervades Degas’ work. He noted that in sculptures like this one nothing specifically identifies the figure as a dancer. In spite of the title assigned to her for the 1921 exhibition, she is to all appearances an anonymous nude.\(^4\) Yet Degas’ stated intention in 1891 to produce a suite of lithographs of “nude dancers” may indicate he meant such sculptured nudes in balancing poses as dancers also.

The layered base is intriguing but problematic. The neat lower board and risers may be posthumous, which would presumably mean the same for the present round armature shaft and its anchoring block set on that board behind the figure. The upper board, on which the wax rests directly, shows additions at two corners that may be Degas’.

The wood addition wrapped around the rear corner has its outer edge trimmed to a curve as if reaffirming the figure’s claim on space by tracing, on earth, the contours of her right hip and thigh.

**NOTE**
2. The armature was changed after his death, but a supporting vertical board is present in an early photo; see Borel 1949.
4. Todd Porterfield and Brettell in Chicago 1984, 182, cat. 87; for the same point with reference to Dancer Fastening the String of Her Tights, see Brettell in Chicago 198, 170.
DANCERS IN PERFORMANCE

Fourth Position Front, on the Left Leg, c. 1883/1888
Brown wax, cork
22½% (57.5)
REWALD XLIII

Three of Degas’ wax treatments of this classic ballet movement survive.¹ In them the artist moved far beyond the tentative steps of the Little Dancer to the accomplished balance and grace of an expert performer. Two of them, including this one, are among his largest wax dancers, suggesting this pose inspired a more monumental treatment than most. While a date of around 1883/1888 has recently been proposed for the trio, the Musée d’Orsay (Rewald LV) version could well be later. In that version sketchier execution is combined with a more perfect grasp of the position.²

Millard praised the present statuette as a peak of equilibrated balance and upward spiraling movement in Degas’ work, with a position that seems “continually and effortlessly to rise and turn from the base upward to the curved arm that returns the motion on itself.” The pose may also reflect Degas’ admiration for a related dance movement in Indian sculpture.³

This version of Fourth Position Front is a little off balance, with the torso leaning backward slightly and the flexed right leg well above the horizontal. The equilibrium he eventually brought to his treatment of the pose was hard won. This is evident from the use of an external suspension armature in at least one version, recorded in an early photo of National Gallery of Art 1985.65.49, Rewald XLIV.⁴ Not only was that figure suspended at the head from the overarching wire attached to a shaft behind her, but an additional L-shaped support also rose in front to bolster her extended foot. The armatures that produced that fascinating state of supported suspension were removed, probably in preparation for casting c. 1919.

The three waxes of Fourth Position Front illustrate the shifts in Degas’ modeling technique between relative naturalism and greater abstraction. This example has a precisely defined body and face, navel, nipples, rounded forehead, and turned-up nose. The National Gallery of Art example (1985.65.49, Rewald XLIV) shows similar attention to anatomical details in the torso but rougher surfaces and a face less human, rendered in a shorthand of lines, lumps, and ridges. The Musée d’Orsay wax, placed by Rewald in the 1896–1911 group, is the sketchiest. The torso is built up of wax scales, the head a blob pulled to a point at the back, yet the human forms reveal assurance and understanding even at their most abstract.

A crack in the right elbow of the example exhibited here, visible in the bronzes, was repaired after casting. The base beneath the wax is a virtual wedding cake, with four tiers of board and wax, so that the figure appears triumphantly poised on a summit. The lowest board with risers is perhaps a posthumous addition, but otherwise the substructure gives evidence of being Degas’ work.

NOTES
1. The other two, with the same title, are Rewald XLIV (National Gallery of Art 1985.65.49), nearly identical in size to this one, and the smaller wax (Rewald LV), 16 inches high, in the Musée d’Orsay. See Rewald 1956, pis. 41–44, and Tinterow in Paris 1988, 473–474, cats. 290, 291.
2. Rewald placed this example in his 1882/1885 group. Beaulieu 1969, 380, dated all three c. 1877/1883, close to the presumed date of the arabesque figures. Millard 1976, 24, dated it 1885–1890, connecting it with the more finished and balanced of the arabesques. Tinterow, dating all three Fourth Position waxes c. 1883/1888, associated them with other works assigned to the mid-1880s, including Spanish Dance (Rewald XLVII) and Dancer Moving Forward, Arms Raised (Rewald XXIV).
3. Millard 1976, 106–107; for Indian dancing figures, of which Degas owned some casts, see Millard 1976, 67 and fig. 72.
4. Illustrated in Rewald 1944, 99.
The movement and modeling in this wax are close to those in the more finished Grande Arabesque, First Time. Here the torso stretches tighter as both arms rise; the greater forward impetus and the angles of the feet make the balance less stable. The ongoing shift of the woman's weight suggests a stop-action photograph. In inviting us to imagine the movements immediately before and after what we see, this sculpture exemplifies Degas' passion for "the balance point between two movements." The effect of grace and pose, comparable to Fourth Position Front and Spanish Dance, has prompted a dating for this wax in the mid-1880s. A second version of the pose, in worse condition (Rewald xxvi), perished during the casting process.

To free the figure for an imminent forward rush, Degas suspended her from an armature anchored at the back of the base. Again, as in Arabesque over the Right Leg, Left Arm in Front, the suggestion of floating movement anticipates the concept of the mobile. The existing black metal armature sets a horizontal question mark, wrapped with wire and coated with wax, projecting from the shaft and arching to contact the top of her head. An early photo records that a flimsier wire armature, now removed, once played a similar role in the composition of another wax of about the same date, Fourth Position Front (Rewald xlv). The improvised form of that armature suggests that the present relatively neat and sturdy armature of Dancer Moving Forward may be a posthumous replacement. Thus the suspension armature was recognized, even by those who prepared the waxes for casting after Degas' death, as an element essential to preserve in the design of this sculpture. Perhaps it was kept only out of structural necessity, since it is omitted from the bronze.

Millard noted that the pose is prefigured in a famous ancient Dancing Faun, available to Degas in the original in Naples and also in a plaster reduction belonging to his friend Gustave Moreau. That sculpture makes the dance relatively light-hearted and joyful. In Degas' interpretation the essential elements of the composition, the rising U of the arms against the vertical shaft behind them, sum up in simple terms the tragedy he seems to have sensed in a striving for the sky, perennially counteracted by the pull to earth.

NOTES
3. Illustration in Rewald 1944, 99.
This slender, tightly wound figure is one of the three sculptures with which Degas was sufficiently satisfied to permit casting in plaster around 1900.1 He had evidently preserved it since the mid-1880s, a dating suggested not only by its careful modeling and command of movement but also by circumstances that might have inspired his study of this particular kind of dancer then. Spanish dancers were a frequent subject in the paintings of his friend and colleague Manet, who had died in 1883. Manet’s *Spanish Dance* of 1862, showing several figures in related poses, was on view in 1884 in a memorial exhibition that Degas would surely have visited.2

A precedent for a Spanish dancer in sculpture existed in the popular statuette of 1837 by Jean-Auguste Barre that depicts the famous ballerina Fanny Elssler dancing a *cachucha*. Degas’ friend Henri Rouart owned a plaster cast of that sculpture.3 The Barre statuette concerns itself less with complex movement than with a faithful likeness and with the overwhelming richness of the Spanish costume. Degas’ dancer, like almost all his others, is conceived as a nude. Her movements alone, in a taut, spiraling pose, define the nature of the dance. The dancer seems simultaneously to proffer and withdraw her body as she looks haughtily down over one shoulder at the observer. The model’s face is barely sketched, with a slash of a mouth that recalls Renoir’s comment on an early stage of Degas’ *Little Dancer*: “a mouth, a mere hint, but what draughtsmanship!”4

The pose works brilliantly in three dimensions, with no single dominant view. Arching arms cut the air and intriguing contrasts appear everywhere—the slow curve of the stretching right side against the full one of the outrush left hip, the sharp angles of knees, elbows, and right thigh against the pelvis. Degas chose an unusually slim model for this work, perhaps to emphasize the ardent and angular character of the movement. He heightened these effects by exaggerating the length of her right leg. A second *Spanish Dance* (Rewald LXVI), usually presumed to be later, is performed by a figure of similar slenderness. That rugged wax could represent an early sketch of the same fragile model, another model chosen later for her similar proportions, or a rough reprise based on the present statuette.

The effort Degas put into this design is documented in a measured drawing for legs in a similar pose.5 The drawing reflects his concern with the proportional interrelationships of the parts of a figure. Showing the legs and pelvis only, it may also document his aspiration to design figures from the ground up. The vertical inner armatures for his waxes must have facilitated such a process. Once the place of the weight-bearing leg was fixed, he could go on to articulate the figure “from the pelvis outward, thrusting and probing with volumes and axes until a balance is achieved.”6

NOTES
1. See *Dancer Looking at the Sole of Her Right Foot*. For photos of the plaster cast, which belongs to the Hebrard heirs (Millard 1976, 35), see Rewald 1944, 104, and Millard 1976, fig. 73.
2. Todd Porterfield and Richard Brettell in Chicago 1984, 154. For a date in the mid 1880s see also Millard 1976, 24; Beaulieu 1969, 375, dated it 1882.
3. Los Angeles 1980, 112–114, cat. 4; Millard 1976, 14, 103, fig. 75; Chicago 1984, 153.
5. Millard 1976, 24, 61, fig. 74.
A recent interpretation gives this wax an exceptional place in Degas' sculptural oeuvre, one that challenges generalizations about the waxes as independent explorations of movement for its own sake. The statuette appears to represent a woman dancing a specific role at a specific time, and perhaps even an identifiable performer.

The evidence lies in the similarity of the sculpture, the only one surviving in this pose, to a figure in one of a series of seven Degas pastels datable to 1884-1885. The pastels depict performers in *Les Jumeaux de Bergame*, the title of a comic opera and a ballet about the competing courtships of twin brothers. Both twins are harlequins, the humorous stock characters in particolored costume from the eighteenth-century Italian Commedia dell'Arte. In the ballet version, women danced both parts.

In one pantomime scene in *Les Jumeaux*, Harlequin Senior, having leveled a rival with his stick, bends over the fallen figure with left hand on knee and the club-wielding right behind his back, about to recognize his brother Harlequin Junior in the adversary he has knocked to the ground. Degas represented this scene in a pastel dated 1885, now in the Art Institute of Chicago, which is the closest pastel to the sculpture. It shows a rear view of the bending Harlequin Senior, dated 1885. There the figure holds a stick behind its back. Degas apparently reworked the back and right arm of the wax at some point so that it is now uncertain what the dancer holds. This may be one reason why the specific connection with Harlequin Senior has not been suggested earlier.

Degas made notes at a rehearsal that he attended on 23 July 1885 at the Paris Opera, in which Marie Sanlaville was dancing the role of Harlequin Senior. She went on to perform the part in 1886 in Paris. The few details of the wax figure's face support Tinterow's suggestion that Sanlaville may have served directly or from memory as the model. That she fascinated Degas is clear enough from a sonnet he dedicated to her. Praising her brilliance at pantomime and her "knowing grace," he spoke as a watcher "pierced by the mystery of the movements of a body eloquent and silent." One cannot be certain whether he made the sculpture to help realize a figure in the pastel or continued after the pastel was finished to explore the dramatic bending-stretching movement, with neck extended on the verge of discovery. His admiration for Sanlaville would make either a possibility. The likely connection with her performance in *Les Jumeaux de Bergame* makes one wonder if specific associations remain to be discovered for other Degas sculptures.

The proposed dating confirms Rewald's general suggestion of 1882/1895 and also lends support to the dates in the mid-1880s often proposed for similarly active and relatively smoothly finished figures among Degas' waxes. The dancer leans not along the axis of her base, but along a rectangular patch of wax set at an angle to it. This orientation, which accentuates the dynamism and attentive twist of her pose, was obliterated in the bronze. That and the absence of the club may help explain why this wax was taken for a figure at rest.

**NOTES**

2. The ballet was identified by Lillian Browse in *Degas Dancers* (London, 1949), 58. It was based on a 1782 play by Jean-Pierre Claris de Florian, which was adapted as a comic opera in 1875 and a ballet a few years later (Tinterow in Paris 1988, 432).  
Degas modeled a dancer bowing in at least two statuettes1 in this diminutive scale. While the nearly identical sizes and poses would suggest they were produced around the same time, the figures seem to reflect the individual proportions of different models as well as some difference in facture and color. This one, about half an inch taller, is short-waisted and compact, with her face a complete abstraction. The other, of red wax, is more willowy and supple, with discernibly human facial features. Thus the two may testify to a continuing exploration.

The small size, restrained movement, and firmly defined surfaces of the present work have suggested an early date, probably in the early 1880s. It was around then that Degas probably made several pastels of a dancer bowing, acknowledging the audience with her right hand brought toward her heart. Although less daring in her movements than his later sculptures, the figure is appealing in the graceful torsion of her turn to the right, with weight shifting forward onto her left leg as the right leg crosses behind it. This pose, implying that her body is about to bend, invites the observer’s mind to complete the action. Degas also modeled a dancer actually sinking downward in a deep bow, with sharply bent knees (The Bow, National Gallery of Art 1985.64.50, Rewald LIII). Its larger size (13¼ in.), rugged facture, and evident submission to gravity suggest that figure belongs to Degas’ later sculptures. In the present wax the dancer remains free and light, with the lilting step of an ancient striding Diana or running Eros. As Degas clearly preferred, it is her action and not her face that suggests the emotions of a completed performance as she moves forward and acknowledges an invisible shower of praise. This subtle little work fascinates in its evocation of the dancer’s interaction with her audience, and in its implied place within a sequence of movements, foretelling a bend that is always about to come.

NOTES
1. The other is Rewald xxxii; see Millard 1976, 24, fig. 48, with a dating in the early 1880s.
3. Millard 1976, 66, fig. 48 (Rewald xxxii) and figs. 49, 50.
As a struggling young painter in the early 1870s before he had found his niche as one of the great experimental etchers of the nineteenth century, Félix Buhot earned his living in a variety of ingenious ways, from decorating fans to designing covers for musical scores. For the fans his primary employer was a fashionable éventailiste by the name of Duvelleray, but Buhot also made them as New Year’s gifts for patrons who had been especially kind to him. Although he disliked catering to the tastes and whims of contemporary society, Buhot seems to have taken fan painting seriously enough to have exhibited one in the Paris Salon of 1875.\(^1\)

Few of Buhot’s fans are known to have survived, either because of natural attrition in a genre that is inherently ephemeral or because unsigned pieces are now no longer recognized as his work. Indeed, were it not for the signature at left in the exquisite confection presented here, it, too, would have been lost to Buhot’s oeuvre: the bold spray of flowers and litting butterflies splashed before the sunlit Norman shore have little in common with the darkly mysterious etchings and lithographs that occupied him for most of his career. Only the solitary figure on the beach and the indistinct tower on the headland give a hint of the brooding spirit that permeates so many of his prints.

The addition of this remarkable fan to the Gallery’s collection is particularly appropriate since the museum owns another rare example of Buhot’s work as a fan painter, a watercolor and graphite study that is far less complete and very different in both subject and execution (fig. 1). Together these two pieces give a unique glimpse of this little-known aspect of Buhot’s work and supplement in a most unusual way the Gallery’s collection of his prints, including the impressive group that is also being given in honor of the fiftieth anniversary.

Margaret Morgan Griselli

PROVENANCE
Purchased c. 1965 from a second-hand bookstore near the rue du Bac, Paris.

NOTE
CAMILLE PISSARRO
1830–1903

Crepuscule, 1879
Color etching and aquatint
4⅝ x 7⅝ (10.5 x 18)

Promised Gift of Jeffrey Atlas

Of all the impressionist artists, Pissarro was the most actively involved in printmaking. He produced approximately two hundred plates, compared to fewer than half that number created by Manet, Degas, or Renoir. This rare, superb impression of Crepuscule (twilight) is the tenth of approximately fifteen artist’s proofs of the third and final state, and is one of the several versions printed by Degas. It is inscribed No 10 Epreuve d’Artiste, and signed C. Pissarro. In addition it bears the special notation imp. par E. Degas.

Pissarro’s prints generally depict the same subjects as his paintings. The modest, rural theme of Crepuscule is typical of his art: under cloudy skies, two peasants walk along a curving road past a pair of haystacks. While we think of haystacks or grain stacks as staples of the impressionists’ repertoire, Pissarro represented them only a few times: in this series of etchings and in several paintings. Monet may have been aware of Pissarro’s etchings when he embarked nearly a decade later upon his series of haystacks seen at different times of day.

Crepuscule dates from the period of Pissarro’s most active collaborations with Degas. The two artists met in 1878, and during the next few years Degas introduced Pissarro to new and complex printmaking techniques involving combinations of etching processes. In addition to the simple etched lines of his earlier works, he now employed softground, aquatint, and drypoint, and incorporated serendipitous acid accidents and printing imperfections into his designs. Because Pissarro did not yet have his own press, Degas printed some of his proofs for him. They also experimented with the expressive effects of different ink colors.

Crepuscule is a virtuoso demonstration of the two artists’ printmaking expertise. Barbara Shapiro described the three stages of the print’s evolution:

Initially, a rhythm of forms including two small figures, a curved road, double haystacks, and a row of trees on the horizon were all established by means of liquid aquatint (grains of rosin mixed in ether or alcohol), that literally puddled into abstract shapes. Additional coarser aquatint grains then created different textures and deeper tones. In the third state fine etched and drypoint lines clarified forms, especially the imperfectly silhouetted trees. Touches of acid brushed directly onto the sky heighten the effect of skudding clouds.

What is truly remarkable about this monochromatic print, however, is the way Pissarro has evoked the effects of color, light, and atmosphere that he and his fellow impressionists so successfully conveyed in their paintings. The print quite literally glows, with a luminosity achieved through an extraordinary range and depth of tone in the combination of different densities of aquatint and the highlighting effects of the touches of acid in the sky and haystacks. For just these reasons, Crepuscule is often described as the quintessential impressionist print. This impression in red-brown ink has at least one counterpart, in the National Gallery of Canada, Ottawa; other versions exist in black and white, Van Dyck brown, ultramarine, crimson lake, vermillion, and green.

Pissarro made his etchings and lithographs for his own pleasure, with no real expectation of either exhibiting or selling them. He resisted turning his plates over to commercial publishers and printed most of the etchings himself, sometimes with the help of experienced friends such as Degas. Thus his prints were not issued as editions, but rather as a succession of evolving states, some of which he signed. After Pissarro’s death, however, a number of posthumous editions were commissioned by his family. These much more numerous impressions are not nearly as fresh or subtle as those Pissarro printed himself. Thus early signed proofs such as this Crepuscule are especially important for understanding the subtlety and beauty of his art.

Elizabeth Pendleton Streicher

PROVENANCE
Galerie Kornfeld, Bern, Auktion 176, 1981, no. 678; David Tunick.

NOTES
No 10
Épreuve Hardt
(Châine)
Crépuscule

E. Pissarro
brun vif
sign. par E. Pissarro
FELIX BUHOT
1847–1898

Un Débarquement en Angleterre, 1879
Third state: etching, drypoint, roulette, and aquatint, with pencil additions
12⅓ x 9⅛ (31.9 x 24)

Une Jetée en Angleterre, 1879
First state: drypoint and roulette
11½ x 7¼ (29.6 x 19.8)

Second state: etching, drypoint, roulette, softground, and aquatint
11¾ x 7⅞ (29.9 x 20)

Seventh state: etching, drypoint, roulette, and aquatint
11¾ x 7⅞ (29.9 x 20.1)

Helena Gunnarsson Buhot Collection, Partial and Promised Gift

These two images, which are the reverse of each other, are based on sketches that Buhot made during his second trip to England. Dated 9 September 1879, his visual notes record a landing at Ramsgate, north of Dover.¹ The rain-soaked, wind-swept pier was a subject ideally suited to Buhot, who was well known for his ability to capture the changing effects of weather. These English subjects, so characteristic of Buhot’s painterly approach to printmaking, were exhibited in the Salon of 1880.

For these prints quick sketches were used as the basis not only of the primary images, but of the marginal scenes as well. Buhot’s development of what he called marges symphoniques (symphonic margins) was a major contribution to the art of printmaking.² In one respect these subsidiary images resembled remarques, the small, witty devices that nineteenth-century etchers sometimes added just outside the main image. Buhot transformed these modest jottings into fully integral elements of his prints that provide a sort of commentary on the main action. His inspiration may have come from a boyhood acquaintance with illuminated manuscripts, or from vignette-encircled book illustrations or sheet-music covers.³ Un Débarquement en Angleterre and Une Jetée en Angleterre, each with their heavily figured margins flanking one side of the main image, probably owe a special debt to Japanese woodcuts, some of which utilize this format. Certainly Buhot and his contemporaries knew and admired the art of Japan.

Any one of Buhot’s English subjects would have been an important addition to the National Gallery’s holdings by this artist. The gift of these four related images is, however, especially fortuitous because it provides an opportunity to experience Buhot’s virtuosity. The techniques and effects of these impressions of Une Jetée en Angleterre, representing three of eight known states, are startling and wide-ranging. Among them are the soft bleeding of rich black ink in the drypoint figures and dog; the illusion of light reflecting off wet pavement created by wiping the lower half of the plate almost clean after inking; the addition of seagulls in the stormy sky, drawn and burnished as highlights into the plate; and the remaking of the sky, once formed of billowing aquatint clouds, into a fine network of etched lines suggestive of descending wind and rain. Even in a period of unparalleled creativity in the field of etching, Buhot’s masterful manipulation of the medium was remarkable.

The six rare Buhots in this catalogue from Helena Gunnarsson exemplify her extraordinary collection of the artist’s prints and drawings. The collection includes a wide range of Buhot’s work but is most noteworthy for its remarkable quality, including beautiful impressions, rare states and working proofs, prints with hand additions, rare color proofs, and proofs with gold. In honor of the fiftieth anniversary, the Helena Gunnarsson Buhot Collection has now been promised to the National Gallery. It will transform our collection of Buhot’s work to represent the beautiful and moving art of this most subtle printmaker.

Deborah Chotner

PROVENANCE

NOTES
2. Gustave Bourcard, with additions and revisions by James Goodfriend, Félix Buhot: Catalogue Descriptif de son Oeuvre Gravé (New York, 1979). Buhot’s symphonic margins are discussed in Goodfriend’s unpaginated introduction.
JOHN LA FARGE
1835–1910

Lesson Study on Jersey Coast, 1881
Watercolor and gouache
8 x 10 5/8 (20.32 x 27.62)

Anonymous Gift

There was, as his contemporaries saw, something of the Renaissance, something almost Leonardesque, about the extraordinary versatility of the late nineteenth-century American artist John La Farge. "He was our sole 'Old Master,' our sole type of the kind of genius that went out with the Italian Renaissance," La Farge's biographer wrote in the year after his death.1

Born in New York to French parents, John La Farge was probably the most cultivated, learned, and literate artists of his age, numbering among his friends the philosopher William James and his brother Henry, the historian Henry Adams, and the geologist Clarence King. He was one of the first anywhere to be influenced by (and to write about) Japanese art, and one of the first to anticipate the impressionist study of light and color. He also touched, often as a pioneer, nearly every mode and medium of artistic expression—easel painting, mural painting, illustration, and stained glass; and nearly every subject—landscape, still life, and the human figure. La Farge's large-scale, ambitious public murals and decorative schemes are impressive and often very beautiful, but his smaller, more intimate works, ones more suited to the exquisite refinement of his sensibility, are consistently his most ingratiating. Among the loveliest of those are his watercolors.

La Farge did not begin painting watercolors in earnest until the later 1870s, although he had learned how many years earlier. He used watercolor for different purposes: for its own sake in still life, for decorative designs, and to record visual and emotional effects of nature. Lesson Study on Jersey Coast, as the title inscribed (with the date) on its backing suggests, is one of those nature studies (whether it was a lesson for La Farge himself or someone else is not clear). The process and purpose of such studies as this one may have been described by Henry Adams, who, ten years later, watched La Farge make a watercolor sketch in Hawaii by one day soaking on splashes of pigment and the next adding a few shaping touches: "Of course, it is not an exact rendering of actual things he paints, though often it is near enough to surprise me by its faithfulness; but whether exact or not," Adams added, "it always suggests the emotion of the moment."2 La Farge himself wrote, "The painter of water-color exercises far more skill, must be far more resourceful, and, in the end, with his simple means, often suggests more than the oil painter is able to represent."3

In its richly textured surface and extreme, almost austere reductiveness of form and color, this sheet—posed between its nineteenth-century antecedents Turner, Courbet, and Whistler, and its successors in the twentieth, Rothko and Reinhardt—is a remarkable example of the almost infinite suggestiveness and profound emotion that, La Farge believed, the "simple means" of watercolor were capable of expressing.

Lesson Study on Jersey Coast is the first watercolor by La Farge to come to the National Gallery. It joins three drawings for La Farge's first great decorative project, the murals for H. H. Richardson's Trinity Church, Boston (1876), in the John Davis Hatch collection, and his largest and most important Tahitian painting, After-Glow, Tautira River, Tahiti, c. 1895.

Nicolai Cikovsky, Jr.

NOTES
1. Royal Cortissoz, John La Farge, A Memoir and a Study (Boston and New York, 1911), 261.
Whistler left America for Europe in 1855 at the age of twenty-one. He remained abroad for the rest of his life, for the most part dividing his time between London and Paris and exerting a strong influence in important art circles in both cities. Nevertheless Whistler ranks among the central figures in nineteenth century American art.

Best known for his oils, notably the famous portrait of his mother (Arrangement in Grey and Black: Portrait of the Painter’s Mother, Louvre, Paris) and for his prints, especially the etchings he executed in Venice in 1879–1880, Whistler also completed a body of approximately sixteen hundred drawings in a variety of media including watercolor and pastel. Although he used watercolor occasionally throughout his career, he gave it particular focus during the 1880s.

In recent years this watercolor has been known as Beach Scene, and before that as Beach in Brittany, but Margaret MacDonald suggested that it is probably Grey and Yellow—Sun and Sands, Trouville, first exhibited at Dowdeswell and Dowdeswells in 1886. It was included in the important Arts Council of Great Britain exhibition of Whistler’s work held in England and New York in 1960, titled Beach Scene and dated to the 1890s.

The main focus of activity in the upper right corner is unusual for Whistler who most frequently structured the compositions of his seascapes, and city scenes as well, with layered horizontal bands, as seen in Return of the Fishing Boats, c. 1888–1893, another fiftieth anniversary gift. In Beach Scene, vivid dabs and strokes of paint against the broad washes of neutral beach and pale blue sea and sky suggest the active gestures of distant figures clustering in small groups. The details of their colorful clothing, plaids and polka-dots, evoke a feeling of carefree festivity that is countered by the smoking vessel in the distance, a harbinger of the modern industrial world.

This is one of four watercolors by Whistler committed to the National Gallery of Art by Mr. and Mrs. Mellon in honor of the fiftieth anniversary. All of the artist’s important themes in this medium are represented by them: this beach scene, a seascape, a London street scene, and an elegant portrait. Although the Gallery’s collection is quite rich in Whistler’s other works, with nine oil paintings, several hundred etchings and lithographs, and sixteen drawings, these are our first watercolors. This gift, therefore, supplements our Whistler collection in an especially fine way.

**PROVENANCE**

Miss Rosalind Birnie Philip; P. & D. Colnaghi Co. Ltd.; Mrs. Frances L. Evans, Oxfordshire; Christie’s, 19 May 1972, no. 37.

**NOTES**


2. I am grateful to Margaret MacDonald for sharing her entry on this work from her forthcoming catalogue of Whistler’s drawings.

3. *James McNeill Whistler* [exh. cat. The Arts Council Gallery and The Knoedler Galleries] (London and New York, 1960), cat. 95. Introduction by Andrew McLaren Young. The watercolor has been redated since by Margaret F. MacDonald.

JAMES McNEILL WHISTLER
1834–1903

Village Shop, Chelsea, c. 1884
Watercolor with body color
4 3/4 x 8 3/8 (12.2 x 20.9)
Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon

Works of small size such as the four watercolors included in this exhibition are an important aspect of Whistler's art. Charles Lang Freer described them as “superficially, the size of your hand, but, artistically, the size of a continent.” 1 Village Shop, Chelsea is a splendid example of the diminutive street scenes that punctuated Whistler's oils, watercolors, and etchings throughout the 1880s and into the 1890s. 2 Generally horizontal in format, Whistler established in them a counter-structure of verticals—doorways, window panes and shutters, and groupings of figures, usually engaged in conversation.

The barely discernible standing figure inside the building here—beyond the right doorway—to be joined by a toddler on hands and knees—offers evidence of Whistler's proclivity to peer past the obvious, into windows and through doorways, to suggest the private life behind the street's activities.

Village Shop, Chelsea is marked by great formal subtlety, with color supplying an internal quality of light apparent, for example, in the delicate blue of the bricks between doorway and shutter at the far left; in the touches of red that move horizontally across the center of the composition, several spots in the window at left, in the wall between the windows, and ending with the relatively brilliant hue in the flowers at right. Equally subtle is the way in which dabs of green quite clearly suggest leaves at the upper right, and the way brief strokes of the brush, seemingly lacking in specificity, capture marvelous details of the children's clothing and gestures.

At the center of the composition is Whistler's distinctive butterfly signature, which is also visible in the three other watercolors by him included in this Fiftieth Anniversary gift. Evolved over a period of years from his initials JMW, the butterfly is a hallmark of Whistler's work. The details of its configuration are often useful in determining dates, if not of when a work was painted, then of when it was signed.

This watercolor has long been admired. Under the title A Study (Houses), it was reproduced in The Studio magazine in November 1903, within months of Whistler's death. That title seems more apt than the one it has since taken on, as Whistler's shop scenes tend to give some evidence of the sort of shops they are. Much later the watercolor was included in an exhibition commemorating the 150th anniversary of the artist's birth, Notes, Harmonies & Nocturnes: Small Works by James McNeill Whistler. 3

Ruth E. Fine

PROVENANCE

NOTES
2. For a selection of London shop fronts, including a brief summary of Whistler's work in watercolor, see Whistler: Themes and Variations [exh. cat. Montgomery Art Gallery; Pomona College] (Stanford, 1978), 50–60.
This delicate watercolor is similar in several respects to some of Whistler's most important portraits in oil. Most notable is the manner in which the artist set off the figure: a dark, stark background, one that is lacking any qualities that would define it as a particular place. The dense blackness of the setting presents a dramatic contrast to the opaque mauve of the woman's dress, touched by rich blues in some of the shadows, and the browns and grays of the floor.

Broad watery washes are contrasted with delicate strokes that define the folds of the sitter's garment and details such as the tiny dabs of paint that suggest ruffles at the neck and wrists. On her lap, which is covered by a black shawl, she holds a wide-brimmed, feathered black hat. Both almost fuse with the surrounding darkness. Only the feathers spring free, carrying forward the gesture of her left hand into space.

Study in Black and Gold (Madge O'Donoghue) is one of several highly finished, elegant watercolors of women from the mid-1880s. Whistler was proud enough of this one to include it in his 1884 exhibition at Dowdeswell and Dowdeswells as Harmony in Violet and Yellow. By 1905 it was on view in Paris under its present title, and it also appeared in the important exhibition of Whistler's work organized by the Arts Council of Great Britain in 1960.

Study in Black and Gold (Madge O'Donoghue) was once owned by Alexander Arnold Hannay, a London solicitor who was friendly with Whistler in the late 1890s. Hannay was the owner of several of Whistler's oils as well. Whistler's portrait of him is among the paintings given to the National Gallery of Art by Lessing J. Rosenwald.

Ruth E. Fine
PAUL ALBERT BESNARD
1849–1934

La Fin de Tout, 1883
Etching, 9 1/2 x 8 1/4 (24.3 x 21)

Le Suicide, c. 1887
Etching, 12 3/16 x 9 1/4 (31.2 x 24.5)
Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Daniel Bell

Morphinomanes, 1887
Etching, 9 3/8 x 14 1/2 (23.8 x 36.9)
Promised Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Daniel Bell

These three etchings are from a large and extraordinary collection of prints and rare proofs by Besnard that has been gathered by Professor and Mrs. Bell. Most of these works were acquired in Paris and London from dealers who had in turn obtained them directly or indirectly from the Besnard family. In honor of the National Gallery’s fiftieth anniversary the Bells are now donating fifty-two of these exceptional works and offering twenty-five more as promised gifts. This gift will greatly expand the National Gallery’s small representation of this fascinating artist who, like other printmakers working at the end of the nineteenth century, became intrigued by the artistic possibilities of the various graphic processes. Besnard produced numerous working proofs for his prints, obsessively altering and adding to the image in successive states. The Bells’ collection brings into focus this most important aspect of Besnard’s graphic work by assembling sequential states of his prints.

After studying at the Ecole des Beaux Arts in the atelier of the great academic painter Alexandre Cabanel, and after a four-year sojourn in Rome following an award of the Prix de Rome in 1874, Besnard made a trip to London in 1879 that was to have an indelible influence on his career as a printmaker. Remaining in London for four years, he became closely acquainted with Alphonse Legros, James McNeill Whistler, and Félix Bracquemond, graphic artists well known for their original and innovative approaches to printmaking. As a result Besnard began to perceive the expressive power of the various printmaking techniques. La Fin de Tout, one of Besnard’s first important prints, dates to the period he spent in London, and it presents a clear indication of both the technical acumen and sad eloquence that would characterize his subsequent work. The striking light effects for which Besnard would become known are already apparent in this print. Acting on a suggestion made by Legros, he changed the print dramatically between the first and second states by cutting down the plate on all four sides, particularly the top and bottom. This reduction of the image eliminated extraneous details of the setting and greatly intensified the work’s psychological impact. The Bells’ gift to the Gallery includes a copy of the fourth state as illustrated and a retouched proof of the very rare second state.

Back in Paris in 1883, Besnard continued to develop the distinctly coloristic, tonal qualities of his prints, creating evocative representations of light to convey a strong emotional content. He explained that his attention to light resulted from his desire to “suggest the role of a thing by the way in which it concentrates in itself the light which comes from the objects around it.”

From 1886 to 1887 Besnard executed a series of twelve prints titled La Femme in which he endeavored to “fix certain impressions of humanity” by charting the life of a woman — acting as humankind — through the terrible vicissitudes of fortune. This desire to objectify and reveal mysterious, hidden truths with potent and personal motifs connects Besnard with the Symbolist movement. This general approach as well as certain crucial images such as La Fin de Tout clearly shows his influence on Edvard Munch. Le Suicide, the next-to-last print in La Femme, embodies its subject in a suitably grim composition with a stark division of light and dark, of life and death. The rare second state of this print is illustrated; to the third state, also part of the Bells’ gift, Besnard added aqua-tint to deepen the dark areas.

The femme fatale also occupied an important place in his creative imagination. Morphinomanes is another print that Besnard made around 1887, and it derives from this sinister perception of women. This strange and disturbing work portrays two dissolute women seated at a table, one of whom gazes sullenly at us through a heavy-lidded torpor. The head of the figure behind the table seems to dissolve into the dark, vaporous atmosphere; swirls of smoke are nearly indistinguishable from her hair. Besnard made only one state of Morphinomanes, and this impression is particularly brilliant.

Virginia Tuttle Clayton

PROVINCANCE

NOTES
1. The plate was originally 17 3/8 x 12 (44.4 x 30.5) (Louis Godefroy, Albert Besnard, in Loys Delteil, ed., Le Peintre-Graveur Illustre, [Paris, 1926], 30: no. 14).
2. His concern for depicting the varying qualities of light led to comparisons of his work with that of the impressionists; Degas responded sourly, “Besnard is flying with our wings.” See John House and Mary Anne Stevens, eds., Post-Impressionism: Cross-Currents in European Painting [exh. cat. Royal Academy of Arts] (London, 1979), 45. A comparison of Besnard to the impressionists was also made by Claude Roger-Marx, Graphic Art: The Nineteenth Century (New York, 1962), 154–156.
3. Quoted in London 1979, 45.
4. Delteil nos. 47 to 58.
5. Delteil no. 65.
AUGUSTE RENOIR  
1841–1919

Studies of Trees, 1886
Pencil, pen and ink, and watercolor
11 13/16 x 8 7/8 (30 x 22.5)

Gift of Dr. and Mrs. George Baer

Study of Trees is one of a group of drawings using watercolor and pen and ink over graphite that Renoir created from about 1883 to about 1886, after which the artist abandoned this crisp method in favor of sofer effects obtained with techniques such as charcoal, sanguine, pastel, and, toward the end of his career, pure watercolor. The precise linear control evident in this sheet, particularly in the tree trunk at the top and the delineation of individual leaves in the two central images, are characteristics of Renoir's manière aigre (harsh manner). One of the founders of impressionism, during the early 1880s Renoir abandoned the style he had helped to create some fifteen years earlier. Dissatisfied by haphazard effects of light and color, Renoir wanted to create a more classical and monumental personal style. Renoir discussed this phase of his work in conversations with Ambroise Vollard initiated during the late 1890s. Vollard, writing in 1919, reported that Renoir spoke of “a sort of break that came in my work about 1883. I had wrung Impressionism dry and I finally came to the conclusion that I knew neither how to paint nor how to draw. In a word, Impressionism was a blind alley as far as I was concerned.”

According to Vollard, Renoir continued with an anecdote to explain his stylistic transformation: “I was painting in Brittany, in a grove of chestnut trees. It was autumn. Everything I put on the canvas, even the blacks and the blues, was magnificent. But it was the golden luminosity of the trees that was making the picture; once in the studio, with normal light, it was a mess.”

Studies of Trees is closely related to that description. In the lower right section Renoir sketched the outline of a chestnut leaf in green, and the lobed leaves of the chestnut are clearly depicted in the principal study on the sheet, a closer study of the foliage seen in the pair of trees immediately above it. The cascading leaves were first drawn with graphite, over which Renoir added ink to strengthen and define the shapes of the leaves. Then watercolor of varying density in golden yellow, red-orange, and yellow-green tones was laid onto the individual leaves.

Renoir was in Brittany in August and September of 1886, staying in Saint-Briac, a village on the northern coast of Brittany. Writing to his dealer Paul Durand-Ruel in August, Renoir mentioned that he had “found a tranquil and pretty corner where he could work at ease.” Later in August he wrote again to inform the dealer that “I have here some pretty things to do until the end of September, but I make drawings and watercolors, in order not to be lacking in information [for paintings to be executed in the studio] this winter.” Like the majority of Renoir’s drawings from this time, it is possible that this sheet was a preparatory study for a now-unknown or perhaps destroyed painting, as suggested by Renoir’s comment to Vollard.

This drawing reveals a distinctive aspect of Renoir’s work not until now represented in the National Gallery. Our two pastels and two chalk drawings, all figurative works, differ from it in both medium and subject. The Gallery’s extensive collection of paintings by Renoir includes only one from this important moment in the artist’s development, the Girl with a Hoop (1963.10.58). There the landscape serves as a decorative backdrop for a commissioned portrait, whereas Studies of Trees reveals the full acuity of Renoir’s vision and the finesse of his draftsmanship as he rejuvenated his style following the break with impressionism.

Florence E. Conant

PROVENANCE
Ambroise Vollard, Paris; Eudouard Jonas; Curtis O. Baer, New Rochelle.

NOTES
1. This shift in graphic technique perhaps introduces the transition to Renoir’s late style, a synthesis of the manière aigre and impressionism which did not appear in his oil paintings until about 1888.
7. No Breton landscapes from this time in Renoir’s career are presently known. Renoir wrote to Monet that he had “scraped everything” from his Breton canvases, a story that Monet repeated in a letter to Alice Hoschedé. See Barbara Ehrlich White, Renoir: His Life, Art, and Letters (New York, 1984), 166, 291 notes 65, 66. A few works executed or conceived in Brittany do survive, so landscapes may also exist. See François Daulte, Auguste Renoir: Catalogue raisonné de l’œuvre peint (Lausanne, 1971), nos. 498–501, 504–505.
PETER HENRY EMERSON
1856–1936

T. F. GOODALL
1857–1944

Life and Landscape on the Norfolk Broads
Sampson Low, Marston, Searle, and Rivington, London, 1886
Bound volume with text and 40 platinum photographs by Emerson and Goodall
11⅝ x 16⅜ (28.5 x 41)

Promised Gift of
Harvey S. Shipley Miller
and J. Randall Plummer

PETER HENRY EMERSON
1856–1936

Marsh Leaves
David Nutt, London, 1895
Bound volume with 16 photogravures
11⅛ x 7⅛ (28.5 x 18)
Gift of Harvey S. Shipley Miller
and J. Randall Plummer

Peter Henry Emerson was an opinionated, feisty, and irascible man whose career was as intense and as brief as a meteor. He bought his first camera in 1882 and immediately started to photograph voraciously. By 1887 he had published Life and Landscape on the Norfolk Broads, which was hailed as “epoch-making...because such perfection of photography, such perfection of reproduction process and such perfection of artistic feeling have never before been brought together.”¹ In 1889 in Naturalistic Photography for Students of the Art, described as a “bombshell dropped in a tea party,” he insisted that photography in the hands of sensitive individuals could become an art. Yet only the following year, in 1890, he renounced this conviction. He published his last album of images, Marsh Leaves, in 1895, thus ending his brief and tumultuous career. Life and Landscape on the Norfolk Broads and Marsh Leaves are not only the end points of this remarkable work, but also the two publications that most effectively summarize his ideas, his contributions, and his influences.

Born in Cuba of American parents, Emerson spent most of his adult life in England. It was while he was studying for his medical degree in London that he discovered the Norfolk Broads. Entranced with the locale, he began to work with the naturalist painter T. F. Goodall on a book about this region. Traveling by boat, they spent many days photographing and taking notes on the people as well as the natural vegetation.

The result was truly a joint effort: both Emerson and Goodall wrote the texts and collaborated on most but not all of the photographs. The rich platinum prints in Life and Landscape, such as Rowing Home the Schoof-Stuff, are quiet, sympathetic studies; the figures are solid and classical in their proportions, in tune with their environment and dignified in their posture. These photographs also reveal Emerson’s strongly held and highly influential belief that photographers, in order to be truthful to nature, must not render all details with sharp outlines, but instead should use a differential focus. The eye, he believed, does not see all elements in a scene clearly at one time, and therefore the photographer must selectively render only the central elements in sharp focus, allowing peripheral information to become less distinct.

At heart, however, Emerson was both an artist and a scientist. And it is that duality that accounts for the schism in his work. As an artist he was intrigued with the simple, rugged beauty and the seeming purity of the people of the Norfolk Broads as well as their close communion with nature. But as a scientist he was also fascinated with the details of their lives and environment: their dress, their methods and habits of work, their patterns of speech, living conditions, and even their politics, as well as the flora and fauna of the area. His aesthetics would not allow him to clutter his imagery with such details, so he confined his discussion of these elements to the texts. It was also science—and to a lesser extent art—that caused him to abandon his claims for the artistic possibilities of photography: he had believed that tones could be made darker and lighter at will, but in 1890 the scientists Hurter and Driffield proved that tones always remained in the same relationship to each other. In addition, he wrote that conversations with “an artist,” possibly Whistler, convinced him that the simple representation of nature was not art.²

Emerson made the photographs in Marsh Leaves most likely in 1890 and 1893, although the book was not published until 1895. Including many of his most minimal and abstract compositions, this work also contains images, such as Marsh Weeds, that clearly reveal the influence on his art of both Japanese prints, especially those of Hokusai, and Whistler. Using a long-focal-length lens that compressed the space, Emerson created a spare composition with delicate banding of light and dark to construct a scene that is both formal in its structure yet evocative of the endurance of life within the depths of winter.

Emerson exerted a tremendous influence both on his own time and on subsequent generations. Alfred Stieglitz, whose work is represented so strongly in the National Gallery's collection, was a disciple of Emerson’s in the late 1880s and 1890s, adopting his subject matter, style, and even his technique. However, until Harvey S. Shipley Miller and J. Randall Plummer graciously donated Marsh Leaves and promised to give Life and Landscape on the Norfolk Broads, Emerson’s work was not represented in the collection.³ Their donation is further enhanced by the inscription in Marsh Leaves from Emerson to “Mr. Dallmeyeren” (Thomas Ross Dallmeyer, who introduced the first telephoto lens in 1891, was Emerson’s great friend and lens maker).

Sarah Greenough

PROVENANCE

NOTES
1. As quoted by Nancy Newhall, P. H. Emerson: The Fight for Photography as a Fine Art (New York, 1975). 4. Although Life and Landscape on the Norfolk Broads was dated 1886, it was not released until the spring of 1887.
3. Life and Landscape on the Norfolk Broads is one of an edition of 175 copies. Marsh Leaves is one of a deluxe edition of 100 printed on Japanese vellum and bound in morocco and white linen.
VINCENT VAN GOGH
1853–1890

Harvest—The Plain of La Crau
31 July–4 August 1888
Pen and ink over pencil
9 3/4 x 12 7/8 (24.2 x 32.1)

Promised Gift of
Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon

The spring and summer of 1888 were one of the most productive periods in van Gogh’s career. He had arrived in Arles from Paris in late February and immediately began to focus on subjects in the surrounding landscape. By the late spring, sowers, wheat fields, and harvest subjects had become his principal subjects. In June he executed an exceptional painting of the harvest in progress in the plain of La Crau near Arles.

The painting, which he titled The Harvest (Rijksmuseum Vincent van Gogh, Amsterdam), was done during an extraordinary ten-day period, 12–20 June, when he produced ten paintings and five drawings of harvest subjects. He used a relatively large canvas (72 x 93 cm), only the third of that size that he had attempted since arriving from Paris. The authors of the catalogue of the recent retrospective exhibition of van Gogh’s paintings have summarized: “He had first made two detailed drawings of the motif and, benefiting from the experience he had gained, completed the painting in a single, long session, adding the finishing touches to it in his studio a month later. . . . He later produced two drawings after it and contemplated making a copy after it in Saint-Rémy. Writing to [his brother] Theo from Arles, he explained his enthusiasm no fewer than three times: ‘The [. . .] canvas absolutely diminishes all the rest.’”

The Mellon drawing is one of the pair done after the painting; the other is in the collection of the Nationalgalerie der Staatliche Museen, Berlin. Interestingly, both were done for other artists. The Berlin drawing was included in a group of fifteen that he did in July for Emile Bernard; the Mellon example is one of twelve done between 31 July and 3 August that he sent to the Australian artist John Peter Russell, whom he had known in Paris.

There are significant differences between the two drawings. Ronald Pickvance has observed, for example, that in the drawings sent to Bernard, van Gogh evidently accommodates his friend’s dislike of neoromanticism by using relatively few pointillist dots. However, in the group done for Russell, including the Mellon drawing, Pickvance noted a change of approach: “They are executed less hastily; as well as being more finished, they are more stylized. The major distinction lies in the prevalent use of the dot. Dots infest and overrun every sky.”

The two drawings differ in other important respects, too. In the Mellon version, the viewer is slightly nearer to the foreground, and the illusion of depth and distance is not as pronounced because the horizon line is lower. As a result, there is a stronger sense of engagement with the subject. In addition, the patently neoromanticist style of the Mellon drawing offers a stronger expression of the energy of the landscape and the harvest. The sky shimmers and the landscape reverberates with rhythms and cadences, both natural and man-made. Clearly it is a more passionate and complete statement of van Gogh’s interests than the cognate image made for Bernard.

Harvest—The Plain of La Crau, Arles is the second drawing by van Gogh to enter the National Gallery of Art; the first, known alternately as Arles: View from the Wheatfields and The Harvest, July 1888, also given by Mr. and Mrs. Mellon (1985.64.91), was among the batch of fifteen that van Gogh sent to Bernard in July. In addition to the drawing, Harvest—The Plain of La Crau, Arles joins three prints and seven paintings, one of which, Farmhouse in Provence, Arles (1970.17.34), was done in early June 1888, just before the painting of the harvest.

Charles S. Moffett

NOTES


2. Van Uitert and others 1990, 122.

3. de la Faille 1970, 516 and 519, no. 1485 (Berlin) and 1486 (Mellon).


FELIX BUHOT
1847–1898

Convoi Funèbre au Boulevard de Clichy
1887
Third state: photomechanical reproduction, etching, aquatint, roulette, drypoint, lift-ground, stop-out, soft ground, and engraving
11 13/16 x 15 7/16 (30.0 x 39.7)

La Falaise: Baie de Saint-Malo,
1886–1890
Fifth state of central image, second state of margin
Photomechanical reproduction, etching, drypoint, roulette, and aquatint
11 3/4 x 15 7/16 (29.9 x 39.6)

Helena Gunnarsson Buhot Collection,
Partial and Promised Gift

Buhot was a highly experimental printmaker, eagerly employing unusual treatments of his inks and papers as well as his plates. His open attitude even extended toward photo-reproductive processes, which he looked upon as a legitimate tool in the creation of original prints. He explained: “we will be happy tomorrow to have at hand a process of artistic enlargement that is still in its infancy, but which handled finally by true artists, men of resourcefulness, can in an instant or two, counting retouching, bring to life on copper those compositions that we are too lazy or too timid to recopy ourselves.”¹

Using such a reproduction as the basis for Convoi Funèbre, Buhot reworked the heliogravure plate into a much more ethereal and moody image. Flying cranes were added in the margins. Their graceful forms are a favorite theme in Japanese art, but their presence above this funeral scene acts also as a metaphor for the soul taking flight. The artist’s application of colored inks in this, the most highly colored of all his prints, enhances its mysterious aura. In this impression the blue is bluer by contrast to the full moon and city skyline, a light area almost absent of ink. The japan paper on which the image is printed further adds to the luminous quality of the moonlit scene.

This impression of Convoi Funèbre provides an intriguing comparison to an earlier state of the same print in the National Gallery’s collection.

Like Convoi Funèbre, the origin of La Falaise is a heliogravure reproduction. In this instance, the original image was a watercolor by the artist’s father-in-law Henry Johnston,² which Buhot completely transformed. Dense, wiry vegetation has been added to the foreground and greater depth given to all other areas. A cross atop the cliff and the town across the bay were added as early as the second state.

Buhot is known to have used two different false margins (printed from a separate plate) for La Falaise.³ One of the most remarkable aspects of this impression is the delicate little images that surround the main subject. Intricate figures, flora, and fauna that relate to life in the Breton countryside are printed in a black ink that contrasts with and frames the bister-colored landscape at center. Although he eventually lived in Paris, Buhot was born and raised in Valognes, Normandy, and had a strong affinity to the beauties of provincial France that is evident in this work.

La Falaise joins another version in the National Gallery collection, which lacks any printed margins.⁴

PROVENANCE

NOTES
4. Another Buhot print of Saint-Malo Bay, Lever de Lune à Dinard, may have been intended as a pendant to La Falaise, documenting the same location at a different time of the day. Baltimore 1983, 117.

Deborah Chotner
John Rewald has observed that following the death of Cézanne’s father in 1886, the artist’s inheritance permitted him to live relatively comfortably and made resources available that were previously beyond his means. In 1889–1890 he was able to hire the professional model who appears in Boy in a Red Waistcoat. We do not know precisely when or for how long Cézanne employed him, but the young man also appears in three other paintings and two watercolors.\(^1\) Decorative elements in the interiors of at least two of the paintings in which he appears identify the setting as 15 quai d’Anjou on the Île Saint-Louis, Paris, where Cézanne rented an apartment between 1888 and 1890.\(^2\)

The use of a professional model represents a departure for Cézanne, who had long been in the habit of using relatives and friends as models. Little is known about the boy except his name and nationality. In the first catalogue raisonné of Cézanne’s work published in 1936, Lionello Venturi stated that he was “a young Italian model dressed as a peasant from the Roman campagna,” who, “according to tradition, was named Michelangelo di Rosa.”\(^3\)

Scholars and critics have long speculated about Cézanne’s interest in di Rosa as a subject and about the possible meaning of the painting. Nearly all have found the figure melancholy or languid.\(^4\) Schapiro noted “a mood of depressed reverie,”\(^5\) but Rewald, countering that interpretation, underscored the “considerable surface and vivid color” of the painting as well as the appeal of the model’s “slenderness . . . youth, and, to a certain extent, the elegance of his bearing.” He also asked “whether Cézanne really intended to convey a specific mood.”\(^6\) Indeed, the brilliant orchestration of reds in the waistcoat and the equally complex manipulation of broken blues, grays, mauves, and purples in, for example, di Rosa’s sleeve and in the background, belie the subdued mood of the figure. Moreover, the composition as a whole is a carefully woven fabric of exaggerations, distortions, seemingly arbitrary touches of color, and deliberate ambiguities that transcends the viewer’s concern with the sitter’s apparently wistful expression. The painting seems to exist principally not as a portrait but as a sophisticated, deliberate combination of formal elements that functions like a musical composition. Harmony, counterpoint, rhythm, theme and variation, and orchestration are far more important than illusionism, expressiveness, or the need to probe character. As Schapiro has noted, “Cézanne’s art . . . lies between the old kind of picture, faithful to a striking or beautiful object, and the modern ‘abstract’ kind of painting, a moving harmony of color touches representing nothing.”\(^7\)

Rewald has also observed that di Rosa’s posture in the Mellon picture resembles poses of those of models in academic life-drawing classes.\(^8\) Schapiro, too, cited “the conventional classic pose of the academy nude” but also alluded to “that noble largeness of form we admire in the High Renaissance masters.”\(^9\) Indeed, Boy in a Red Waistcoat is reminiscent of a compositional type used by Agnolo Bronzino (1503–1572) and others. The three-quarter-length format, the pose with one hand on a hip, di Rosa’s compositionally prominent long left arm, and the folds and rhythms of the draped fabric in the background appear at least indirectly related to portraits such as Bronzino’s Ludovico Capponi, c. 1556–1559 (Frick Collection, New York), and Gianettino Doria, c. 1547 (Galleria Doria-Pamphilj, Rome). The undeniable modernity of Boy in a Red Waistcoat notwithstanding, the painting seems also to reflect Cézanne’s admiration of sixteenth-century Italian art.

The importance of Boy in a Red Waistcoat to Cézanne’s development and the history of modern art has long been recognized. Unquestionably one of his best paintings, Boy in a Red Waistcoat is an outstanding addition of the Gallery’s already exceptional collection of twenty paintings by the artist.

Charles S. Moffett
VINCENT VAN GOGH
1853-1890

Roses, May 1890
Oil on canvas
28 x 35½ (71 x 90)

Gift (Partial and Promised) of
W. Averell Harriman and
Pamela C. Harriman

On Wednesday 8 May 1889, Vincent van Gogh left the Provencal town of Arles and traveled fifteen miles by train to the village of Saint-Rémy-de-Provence where he voluntarily committed himself to the asylum of Saint-Paul-de-Mausole for treatment of an illness characterized by epilepsy-like attacks, depression, and erratic behavior. About a year later, on the day of his release, his doctor, Théophile-Zacharie-Auguste Peyron, included the following passage in the remarks made for the hospital record: “Between his attacks the patient was perfectly quiet and devoted himself with ardor to his painting.” Dr. Peyron also noted that van Gogh was “cured.”

The last three weeks that van Gogh spent in Saint-Rémy were a period of stability and calm but also a time of extraordinary artistic activity. As the artist reported in a letter to his brother, he “worked as in a frenzy. Great bunches of flowers, violet irises, big bouquets of roses...” Among the pictures he produced were two exceptional still lifes of roses, the painting in horizontal format titled Roses that has been committed to the National Gallery of Art by Pamela C. Harriman, and another in vertical format titled Vase of Roses in the collection of Walter H. and Lenore Annenberg.

In a letter of 11 or 12 May 1890, van Gogh mentioned that he was working on two still lifes of irises and “a canvas of roses with a light green background,” referring to the Harriman picture. In a letter written on 13 May he cited a second still life of roses (“I have just finished another canvas of pink roses against a yellow-green background in a green vase”), the example in the Annenberg collection. A comparison of the two indicates that the flowers in Roses are slightly livelier and fresher; the rhythms of the petals are crisper. There is also a greater sense of energy and vitality that includes the articulation of the background, which is richer and more complex in its rhythms and brushwork. The differences are noteworthy because of the artist’s interest in the cycle of birth, death, and regeneration that is the underlying theme of nearly all of the works done in Arles and Saint-Rémy (February 1888–May 1890). Roses records the fullest and most impressive phase in the life of a rose; in contrast, Vase of Roses portrays the moment just beyond the peak, when petals begin to fall and the flowers begin to lose their vitality.

Although van Gogh assigned meanings to certain flowers in still lifes he painted, in his letters he never ascribed a specific significance to the roses depicted just before he left the asylum. Nevertheless, it is clear that he associated images of flowering and blossoming with a celebration of birth and renewal. Blossoming Almond Tree (Rijksmuseum Vincent van Gogh, Amsterdam), for example, was painted to celebrate the birth of his nephew in February 1890. It is very likely that he associated the flowering roses with his own renewal and his presumed “cure.”

Roses is the first still life by van Gogh to enter the National Gallery of Art. It joins six other paintings, two drawings, and three prints by the artist already in the permanent collection. As one of his largest and most important still lifes, as a work that is simultaneously rooted in tradition and daringly modern, and as one of the most beautiful works in his entire oeuvre, Roses brings new distinction to the National Gallery’s outstanding collection of post-impressionist paintings.

Charles S. Moffett

PROVENANCE
Gallimard, Paris, 1905; Bernheim-Jeune, Paris, 1917–1926; Reid and Lefevre Gallery, London; Marie Harriman Gallery, New York; Collection of Mr. and Mrs. W. Averell Harriman, 1930; Pamela C. Harriman.

NOTES
The wonderful contrast between sea and sky in *The Return of the Fishing Boats* suggests the great expressive range Whistler developed in his use of the watercolor medium. All of its fluidity was explored in his handling of the watery sea, as washes were applied over each other to surfaces already wet; alternatively, at the top of the sheet, using brushstrokes applied over paint that had dried, the artist suggested the weight of the clouds as they moved, swiftly, it appears, across the expanse of the sky.

A mood of serenity is evoked by the silvery tones of the piece that also suggest overcast weather that day, perhaps a chill in the air. The fuzzy quality of the boats’ reflections on the water attest to the motion of the wind.

This seascape presents a stark contrast to *Beach Scene*, c. 1883–1884 by Whistler. There the beach itself is featured, with the distant sea and sky no more than a narrow band across the top of the composition. Figures, far away, are represented by dots of color, and while gestures are suggested, no true sense of the figures’ solidity is offered. Here the sea itself is featured, with sailing vessels and their reflections accounting for much of the visual activity. The figures play an active role as well, not only visually but psychologically, looking as they are out to sea, awaiting the day’s bounty on one level but perhaps also longing for distances unknown.

A vertical composition in contrast to the horizontal format of *Beach Scene* and *Village Shops*, *Chelsea*, *The Return of the Fishing Boats*, like them, is composed of layered horizontal bands. The latest of the watercolors by Whistler included in this exhibition, it is the only one worked fully in transparent washes without the use of opaque body color, a more forgiving medium that more readily allows for overpainting and correcting. By this late date Whistler’s approach to watercolor had obviously become fully assured, although he still used body color occasionally.

Whistler’s interest in the presentation of his work is legendary. All four of his watercolors in this exhibition are framed in their original gilded oak frames in Whistler’s distinctive reeding and panel design.

*Ruth E. Fine*

**PROVENANCE**
Richard A. Canfield, Providence, Rhode Island; Knoedler Galleries, 1914; Charles S. Carstairs, 1915; Christie’s, 19 December 1972, no. 58; acquired from Baskett & Day.

**NOTE**

2. I am grateful to Hugh Phibbs for discussing Whistler’s frames with me.
THEODORE ROBINSON 1852–1896

_Drawbridge—Long Branch Rail Road,_
_Near Mianus,_ 1894
Oil on canvas
12 x 17¾ (30.5 x 45.4)

Gift (Partial and Promised) of
Mrs. Daniel Fraad in Memory of
Her Husband

Before 1893 Theodore Robinson’s artistic life was spent largely in Europe, first as a student in Paris beginning in 1876 and later in a succession of visits to Italy and France. The most important of those visits were the summers he spent at Giverny from 1888 to 1892, where, more as a friend than a pupil, he worked with the greatest of the French impressionist painters, Claude Monet.

Among his American contemporaries Robinson was the only one to receive impressionism firsthand from one of its inventors. He was never Monet’s imitator, however, even in the years when they were the closest. Nor did he, despite his many years abroad, succumb as several other American artists did to the temptation of expatriation. Indeed the chief concern of Robinson’s full artistic maturity, which can be said to have properly begun in 1893 after his return to America and was cut prematurely short by his death in 1896, was American subject matter and style: “emancipation,” he wrote in his diary in 1893, “from old formulae and ideas of what is interesting and beautiful, from the European standpoint, will work wonders.” He thought of American subjects, like “little square, box-shaped white houses,” and, in a lost painting called _New Jersey Town, “American figures and vehicles—perhaps a buggy.”_ And he rethought his style in more American terms, attracted to “severer design” and greater “frankness” and criticizing one of his own paintings that had “sunlight but little else” as “too floating, shimmery—and not firmly done.”

In the summer of 1894 Robinson visited Cos Cob, Connecticut, on the Mianus River. He first came to the region the previous summer in search of American subjects and to visit his friend John Twachtman who had settled in nearby Greenwich a few years earlier. The series of paintings of sailboats at anchor that he made that summer are some of Robinson’s loveliest and most successful paintings, surely because he found in the pictorial architecture of the subject something of the frankness, firmness, and severity of design that were, as he was coming to see, elements of an American vision and language of style. In June, the day after he arrived at Cos Cob, Robinson, as he noted in his diary, “walked around by the R.R. bridge to Mianus and back,” and found “some fine things—little white boats near the [Long Island] sound—anchored, also at Mianus, with the R.R. bridge in the distance.” A couple of weeks later he wrote, “Am getting well and strong [he suffered from debilitating asthma] and work with interest—especially from R.R. Bridge.”

This painting from the Cos Cob series, intimate in scale, is the first Theodore Robinson to enter the Gallery’s American collection, joining examples by his friends John Twachtman and J. Alden Weir and completing its representation of the major figures of American impressionism.

_Nicolai Cikovsky, Jr._

PROVENANCE

NOTES
2. Baur 1946, 37, 42.
4. Collections of Mr. and Mrs. Raymond J. Horowitz, Mr. and Mrs. Richard Manoogian, Mr. and Mrs. Hugh Halff.
5. Baur 1946, 41.
J. ALDEN WEIR
1852–1919

U.S. Thread Company Mills, Willimantic, Connecticut, c. 1893–1897
Oil on canvas
20 x 24 (50.8 x 60.9)

Gift (Partial and Promised) of Mr. and Mrs. Raymond J. Horowitz

J. Alden Weir was one of the first of his generation of American artists to have a first-hand experience of French impressionism. In Paris in 1877, while a student of the academic artist Jean-Leon Gérôme, Weir attended the third impressionist group exhibition. “They do not observe drawing nor form but give you an impression of what they call nature,” he wrote his parents of his reaction. “It was worse than the Chamber of Horrors. I was there about a quarter of an hour and left with a headache.” His experience was all the worse for having to pay an entry fee of one franc.

About fifteen years later, when he painted this thoroughly impressionist picture, Weir had clearly changed his mind about impressionism. By the 1880s impressionism had become more familiar, less disturbingly novel, and by the 1890s it had become virtually international in its acceptance and influence. More particularly, by that time several of Weir’s close artist friends, such as John Twachtman and Theodore Robinson (who had befriended Claude Monet at Giverny in the later 1880s), had adopted their versions of an impressionist style.

The five or six paintings of the factories at Willimantic, Connecticut, near his wife’s family home at Windham, are the high point of Weir’s impressionist period. His impressionism was short-lived, lasting no longer than the decade of the 1890s, and on the whole timid and tentative. He seldom yielded to the relaxation of conventional form and drawing that he found objectionable in the impressionist paintings he first saw in 1877, nor did he often paint the subjects, such as modern industrial architecture, that figured in a number of those paintings. He did both in U.S. Thread Company Mills, Willimantic, Connecticut, however, as if harking back to his first experience of impressionism and to pictures of the sort that he saw in the third impressionist exhibition and which, ironically, he had then found so horrible.

U.S. Thread Company Mills adds importantly to the Gallery’s still small but very choice group of American impressionist pictures, broadening its range to include a major personality in the history of American impressionism not heretofore significantly represented in the collection and a type of subject of which Weir was, chiefly if briefly, the major exponent.

Nicolai Cikovsky, Jr.

PROVENANCE
Wickersham June; Robert Carlen, Philadelphia; Schoelkopf Gallery, New York, 1968; Mr. and Mrs. Raymond J. Horowitz, 1968.

NOTES
PAUL GAUGUIN
1848–1903

Reclining Nude, 1894–1895
Charcoal, black chalk, and pastel on paper
12 1/16 x 24 1/16 (30.6 x 62.1)
Gift (Partial and Promised) of Robert and Mercedes Eichholz

This stunning pastel is based on one of Gauguin’s best-known paintings from the first Tahitian voyage, Manao tupapau (The Spirit Watches over Her), 1892 (Albright-Knox Art Gallery, Buffalo, New York). In that work Gauguin’s young Tahitian mistress Tehamana lies prone on a bed, terrified by the Tahitian night and the ancestral spirits it begets. Gauguin valued the painting highly, for he composed elaborate descriptions of it in his Tahitian manuscripts Noa Noa and Cahier pour Aline and derived from it a number of works on paper between 1893 and 1895 during his return to Paris from the South Seas.

This residence in France constituted one of Gauguin’s most prolific periods of graphic experimentation. In addition to this pastel, the artist made a lithograph1 based on the entire composition of Manao tupapau as well as a woodcut2 that dramatically silhouettes Tehamana’s head, shoulders, and hands. Of all of the graphic works generated from Manao tupapau, however, the present pastel relies least on the imagery of its predecessor. The threatening spirit and exotic trappings of the painting have disappeared. Instead, the tiny sleeping nude of the pastel inhabits an indeterminate locale, a peaceful world devoid of terror and superstition.

Judging from her slight figure the model was not Tehamana but Annah la Javanaise, a thirteen-year-old native of Ceylon who lived with Gauguin and was his principal model during the Paris years. This identification is confirmed by the presence on the verso of a sketch executed in pastel suspended in water, which is related to Gauguin’s monumental painting of 1893-1894, Aita tamari valine Judith te parari (The Childwoman Judith Is Not Yet Breached) (Private collection), which depicts Annah seated in a majestic blue armchair.3 The color and composition of this preparatory study, mysteriously confined to half the sheet, differ significantly from the oil. Judging from the abrupt cropping of Annah’s torso at the shoulder, Gauguin must have first made the sketch and later made the pastel on the recto, trimming the sheet to center the pastel figure.

Gauguin’s transformation of the elaborate iconography of Manao tupapau into a straightforward depiction of his naked, sleeping mistress recalls the account he wrote of the painting in Cahier pour Aline. Following a long description of the “literary” aspects of the painting, that is its complex subject matter, Gauguin paradoxically added that, all subjects aside, “the picture is simply a study of a Polynesian nude.”4 When combined, the pastel and verso sketch constitute an intriguing pair of drawings linked to two of the artist’s most important paintings of the 1890s. At the Gallery, the drawing will join twelve paintings by the artist, five of which date to the Polynesian years. In addition to a large number of Gauguin’s prints, the Gallery owns a second drawing from 1894 that is also loosely based on a painting of Tehamana from 1892.5 Gauguin produced at least two counterproofs or transfer drawings from this pastel original, one of which is dated 1895, which were made using a process that Gauguin developed in the late 1880s.6 He placed a dampened sheet of paper over the pastel and rubbed the surface to transfer some of the water-soluble pigment from the original drawing to the new sheet. The resulting transfer prints, like their pastel progenitor, are pale, diffuse images in a symbolist mode entirely consonant with their dreamy subject.

NOTES
2. See Kornfeld 1988, no. 30.
5. Te nave nave femua, 1894 (gouache and India ink; Rosenwald Collection) was derived from the painting of the same name (Ohara Museum of Art, Kurashiki, Japan).

PROVENANCE

Maria Prather

PROVENANCE
Verso
CAMILLE PISSARRO
1830–1903

**Baigneuses, gardeuses d'oies**, c. 1895
Etching in four colors
3 5/8 x 5 7/8 (9.2 x 14.9)
Gift of Martin and Liane Atlas

**Place du Havre à Paris**, c. 1897
Lithograph
5 1/2 x 8 1/4 (14 x 21)
Promised Gift of Martin and Liane Atlas

Like Crepuscule, both *Baigneuses,* *gardeuses d'oies* and *Place du Havre à Paris* are inscribed or signed by the artist, confirming that they are among the small number of very fine prints produced by Pissarro during his lifetime. *Baigneuses, gardeuses d'oies* is one of only five color etchings created by Pissarro. This exceptional impression of the fourth state, inscribed 4°, is printed with four different plates, in four colors: red, blue, yellow, and gray-brown.

In the mid-1870s Pissarro turned from pure landscape subjects to the themes of rural peasant life that would preoccupy him for the remainder of his career. These bathers tending geese are a conflation of two frequent subjects in Pissarro’s art: young girls tending farm animals and the bathers he introduced into his paintings and prints in the mid-1890s. This latter subject proved to be short-lived, however. In a letter to his son Lucien, Pissarro lamented his difficulties finding models in the rural town of Eragny who were willing to pose in the nude.

Nonetheless, he executed numerous paintings of bathers and more than a dozen prints, at least three of which depict nude bathers watching over geese.

Pissarro’s treatment of this theme was consistent with his other peasant subjects. As Richard Brettell observed about the artist’s late rural pictures, “For Pissarro one works in a paradise, and it is through work that one attains a state of harmony or grace. His peasants never toil. . . .” Indeed, these two bathers exist in complete, arcadian harmony with their surroundings, and are neither monumentalized nor sentimentalized.

If prints in black and white may be said to defy one of the most fundamental tenets of impressionism—that of the importance of colors and their interactions—then Pissarro’s color etchings occupy a very special place in the graphic oeuvre of these artists. Because it is nearly impossible to align four successive plates exactly the same way in printing an image such as this, each impression is unique. The three primary colors overlap, combine, and play off against each other. Jean Leymarie described their luminous effects: “The shades ranging from green to purple and brown, the broken reflections on the water, the mauve and purple nuances of the shadows, the light playing on the bodies, all reveal a typically impressionist feeling for color.”

In the last decade of his career Pissarro made a few trips to Paris, where he painted a famous series of views of the boulevards, places, and gardens of the city. In addition he produced two lithographs, one of them *Place du Havre.* This print from the second and final state is the third of twelve impressions.

Although Pissarro preferred to print his own etchings, the complexities of the lithographic printing process forced him to turn his stones over to the professional printer Taillandat, whom he supervised very closely.

The *Place du Havre* is located at the top of the rue Saint-Lazare, which is represented in Pissarro’s other lithograph in this series, *Rue Saint-Lazare, Paris.* Pissarro executed all of his urban views from indoors, from vantage points high above the street. In fact, *Place du Havre* is related to another work from exactly the same date in the collection of the National Gallery, Pissarro’s painting *Boulevard des Italiens, Morning, Sunrise* (fig. 1). In *Place du Havre* the horizontal format and the absence of surrounding buildings further accentuate the sense of mystery and chaos that critics of the day ascribed to the new boulevards of Haussmann’s Paris. Upon seeing Pissarro’s paintings of Paris, the writer Gustave Geffroy praised their evocations of the “social conflict visible in the restless comings and goings in the streets . . . the senseless agitation of human beings living out their lives against their ever changing backgrounds.”

The three Pissarro prints in this exhibition represent a larger group of rare lifetime impressions of Pissarro’s etchings, aquatints, and lithographs that have been collected by the Atlas family and which will eventually come to the National Gallery of Art. The Atlas’ fine proofs will greatly expand the Gallery’s collection of Pissarro’s quintessentially impressionist prints. In particular they will add many of the most important images now missing from the Gallery’s collection, in the early impressions that truly reflect Pissarro’s sensitive art.

*Elizabeth Pendleton Streicher*
PIERRE BONNARD
1867–1947

Woman with an Umbrella, 1895
Lithograph in two colors
12 5/8 x 9 7/8 (32.2 x 25.1)
Gift of Sidney and Jean Jacques

During the late nineteenth century the creative milieu in Paris was particularly diverse and stimulating as artists who had worked in the impressionist style were seeking a more complex and profound language of visual communication. Pierre Bonnard belonged to a group of artists who called themselves the Nabis—from the Hebrew word for “Prophets”—and who delighted in the use of strong colors and bold, linear effects. Bonnard was the first artist to experiment in achieving these effects with color lithography. His earliest poster, France-Champagne, was published in 1891, and drew broad acclaim and interest from fellow artists. It was, in part, Bonnard’s success with lithography that led other artists, including Toulouse-Lautrec, to adopt it as the graphic medium most suitable to their new modes of expression.

Supporting this innovative approach to printmaking, a number of periodicals began to publish original prints by artists such as Bonnard. One of these was La Revue blanche, which began publishing in Paris in 1891 and for whom Bonnard made a promotional poster in 1894. Each month La Revue blanche featured one print by an artist from the ranks of the avant-garde, and in September 1894 Bonnard’s Woman with an Umbrella appeared in issue thirty-five. The same work was reprinted in an edition of fifty in 1895 for L’Album de la Revue blanche, whose cover Bonnard adorned with another lithograph. The print presented to the Gallery by Mr. and Mrs. Jacques is from this later printing, which was on a larger sheet of paper than had been used for the magazine.

Monet once remarked that Bonnard’s most valuable asset as an artist was his ability to convey a sense of charm in his work; Woman with an Umbrella is a quintessential example of this. The attenuated figure of the young Parisienne dressed in black, her face glowing with a beguiling touch of peach—the only color on the sheet—is the very personification of elegance and dainty feminine charm. She has been identified as Marthe de Meligny, the “timid florist’s assistant” whom Bonnard met in 1893 and with whom he would spend most of his life.

The collection of the National Gallery includes a copy of Bonnard’s 1894 poster for La Revue blanche and a lithograph from Nib Carnavalesque, a supplement to the journal; the lovely Woman with an Umbrella is a most welcome addition.

Virginia Tuttle Clayton

NOTES
HENRI DE TOULOUSE-LAUTREC
1864–1901

Marcelle Lender Dancing the Bolero
in “Chilperic,” 1895–1896
Oil on canvas
57⅛ x 59 (145 x 149.8)

Gift (Partial and Promised) of
Betsey Cushing Whitney in Honor of
John Hay Whitney

In February 1895 a revival of the comic operetta Chilperic by Hervé opened in Paris at the Théâtre de Variétés. Toulouse-Lautrec saw the production often, at least twenty times according to Romain Coolus who often accompanied him. However, the principal attraction for Toulouse-Lautrec was not the operetta, a story about the eighth-century French king Chilperic, but rather the actress Marcelle Lender who played Chilperic’s Spanish bride, Queen Galswintha.

After numerous performances Coolus asked Lautrec why he insisted on returning to Chilperic. The artist answered unequivocally: “I come strictly in order to see Lender’s back. Look carefully; you will seldom see anything as wonderful. Lender’s back is sumptuous.” Her costume must have been as revealing in the back as the front, because Coolus reported: “The beautiful Marcelle Lender ... was dressed, or rather undressed, in such a way that every muscle in her back was available for the scrutiny of opera glasses.”

Lautrec’s fascination with Lender was shared by many, but her appeal was based on more than her physical traits. As John Rewald has observed, “Her back as well as her charm, her elegance, her vivacity, and the lithe grace of her body earned Marcelle Lender a prominent place in Lautrec’s collection of inspiring models. She thus joined such picturesque figures as Yvette Guilbert, Jane Avril, May Belfort, and La Goulue. All these young women appealed to him not so much by their beauty (Yvette Guilbert was far from pretty and Marcelle Lender’s finely drawn features were far more interesting than lovely) as by their personalities, their gestures, and their professional accomplishments, and the way in which they projected themselves in their performances.”

Lender inspired him and served as both model and muse; between 1893 and 1896 Lautrec included her in no fewer than twenty-six works. Marcelle Lender Dancing the Bolero in “Chilperic” is both Lautrec’s most important depiction of the actress and one of his best paintings. In Fritz Novotny’s estimation, “Of all Lautrec’s works on the theme of the theatre this picture is the greatest in both size and in significance.” It chronicles the spirit, style, and spectacle of the nineties, and underscores Lautrec’s fascination with the ambiguous boundaries between art and artifice and between “high” and “low” art. Lautrec combined elements of caricature, popular entertainment, and theatrical exaggeration to create an image that reflects the changing course of art. The open spaces and natural light of impressionism have been supplanted by a stage set, artificial light, and interests far removed from those of realism and naturalism. Indeed, Lautrec’s very personal and expressive use of line and color look well beyond the nineteenth century. It is a picture that boldly and confidently signals the end of one era and the beginning of another.

The Whitney painting is surprisingly the first on canvas by Lautrec to enter the National Gallery of Art. It is an extraordinary addition to the Gallery’s collection of post-impressionist art, especially to its extensive holdings of works by Toulouse-Lautrec on paper and carton. Moreover, it is an excellent complement for the five café and cabaret subjects of the nineties already in the permanent collection that depict other legendary figures of Marcelle Lender’s generation including Jane Avril, La Goulue, Maxime de Thomas, and Alfred la Guigne.

Charles S. Moffett

PROVENANCE
Toulouse-Lautrec until at least 1897 (although reportedly offered by the artist to Paul Leclercq in 1895); estate of Toulouse-Lautrec, 1901; Maurice Joyant; Madame M. G. Dortu; Mr. and Mrs. John Hay Whitney, 1950.

NOTES
1. Hervé’s original name was Florimond Rouger (1825–1892).
2. Marcelle Lender’s original name was Anne-Marie Bastien (1862–1926).
4. Coolus 1931, 139.
8. The painting bears the estate stamp (the monogram HTL in a circle, in red) at the lower left corner.
Seated Clowness is one of Toulouse-Lautrec's most famous lithographs. The subject, Cha-u-ka-o, performed as a clown, acrobat, and dancer at the Moulin Rouge and the Nouveau Cirque. Her name is an orientalized version of "chahut-chaos," a type of can-can dance. Cha-u-ka-o, a known lesbian, sits with her elbows on spread legs, a decidedly masculine pose, while at the same time she is feminized by the jaunty yellow ribbon on her top knot and the yellow ruffle across her shoulders. Lautrec used Cha-u-ka-o as a model in a number of artworks between 1892 and 1897.

Seated Clowness is one of a suite of images entitled "Elles," which includes a cover, frontispiece, and ten lithographs. Cha-u-ka-o is the only model in the suite who is not a prostitute. As Jean Adhémar pointed out, the word elles was a general term for women, and by using this word as the title of a series about prostitutes and a woman of the dance halls, Lautrec implied that he considered them ordinary women who led ordinary lives. There are several reasons why Lautrec may have chosen to produce a series on this subject. According to Thadée Natan, a friend of many artists of the period, Lautrec's inspiration for the series came from a lesbian couple, and the binding theme is lesbianism, a subject that fascinated Lautrec. Another possibility is that Gustave Pellet, the publisher of the series who was known to have an interest in erotica, may have suggested the idea to Lautrec. The Elles suite was the first collaboration between Lautrec and Pellet. Whether due to poor sales or lack of erotic content of the series, the collaboration was short-lived. The series was successful aesthetically, if not financially, garnering positive reviews from artists and critics. Sales were so bad that Pellet began selling the sheets separately.

Seated Clowness sold better than the others, undoubtedly because of the exotic subject, its brilliant use of color, and its striking composition. The vertical black wall section and the horizontal red step emphasize the contrast between Cha-u-ka-o's sly smile and her spider-like pose. As in so many of his lithographs, Lautrec used the spatter technique in Seated Clowness. In this technique, a short-bristled brush was dipped into ink and scraped with a knife, causing a fine mist of droplets to fall on the stone below. For large areas the inked brush was drawn over a metal grill held over the stone. Areas in which Lautrec did not want spatters were covered with a barrier of gum arabic. In his approach to Seated Clowness, the lithographic crayon was used for outline and some shading. The yellow ruffle and hair ribbon and parts of the black leggings were printed separately as solid colors created by lithographic tusche or wash. The remaining series of steps involved the use of layers of ink spatters in multiple colors. Seated Clowness is one of the finest masterpieces by Lautrec in this technique, which he made distinctively his own. Especially surprising here is the way Lautrec manipulated the technique to give, with only three color printings, a remarkable variation of both density and hue throughout the entire image. The Rosenwalds' Seated Clowness is the "bon à tirer," the signed state approved by Lautrec to be published.

From Lessing J. Rosenwald, Robert Rosenwald's father, the National Gallery received in 1947 and 1964 the entire Elles series except for this, the most famous subject. Thus it is fitting that Mr. and Mrs. Robert Rosenwald's gift should complete the Gallery's set of these celebrated color lithographs.

Barbara Read Ward
EDVARD MUNCH
1863–1944

Women on the Shore, 1898
Color woodcut with crayon
17%20 x 20%20 (45.4 x 51.1)

The Sarah G. Epstein and
Lionel C. Epstein Family Collection

One of Munch's most beautiful color woodcuts, this print is an important expansion of the small group of his outstanding color prints at the National Gallery, two of which were previously given by the Epstein family. Moreover, this gift joins five other impressions of Women on the Shore already at the Gallery, to complete a unique set of Munch's prints, one critical to the understanding of this artist. This group of six is the only case known where Munch chose a series of variant impressions of a single image, which had been printed at widely different times, and combined them to be hung and seen side by side.

Part of the power of Edvard Munch's art lies in his obsession with certain personal themes and "primal" images. Throughout his paintings and graphic work Munch repeatedly returned to these, altering their colors, their composition, their moods, their iconographic overtones to correspond with his new insights or intentions. The six impressions in this set were printed over the course of thirty years and show Munch's experimental, serial approach to color and mood.

The present gift conforms in coloring and carving with what might be called the "standard edition" of 1898: with blue sea-sky, green shore, black old woman, and ochre and white young girl. These basic color areas were achieved by Munch's distinctive method of carving the woodblock into several pieces, inking the pieces separately, and then fitting them together for a single pass through the press. Because of the tone and density of color this is clearly a very early impression, where the young girl appears the embodiment of innocence, standing erect on a bleak shore and facing an undifferentiated chasm, while an old woman in dark clothes stoops behind her. This fine early impression sets the stage for the other five variations already in the Gallery, all but one of them unique.

The printed part of the second impression (fig. 1), where the portion of the woodblock for the sea-sky has been omitted, is fairly early. However, Munch's watercolor additions of the yellow moon and reflection, the blue sea and forward edge of the shore, and the touches on the girl and the boulder were probably painted later. In the third impression (fig. 2) the subdued yellow shore and gray stripe projecting from the young woman's face across the sea-sky focus attention on her orange head and extend her gaze into an even bleaker environment of gray and dead white. Again without the woodblock sea-sky, the entire composition in the fourth impression (fig. 3) is overprinted in yellow and striations of purple with strong analogies to Munch's paintings of 1905 to 1907. The extraordinary purple color in the sky introduces a new note of luxuriance, which is enhanced by the denser yellow and blue and the increasingly redder key of the young woman's hair. They radically change the empty mood of the previous version so that now the girl seems balanced between a friendly shore and some extraordinary natural display she is watching.

The fifth impression (fig. 4) was printed after the shore block had been recarved. The coloring of the beach has now shifted to a higher key and corresponds to Munch's experiment with color and mood.

The printed part of the second impression (fig. 1), where the portion of the woodblock for the sea-sky has been omitted, is fairly early. However, Munch's watercolor additions of the yellow moon and reflection, the blue sea and forward edge of the shore, and the touches on the girl and the boulder were probably painted later. In the third impression (fig. 2) the subdued yellow shore and gray stripe projecting from the young woman's face across the sea-sky focus attention on her orange head and extend her gaze into an even bleaker environment of gray and dead white. Again without the woodblock sea-sky, the entire composition in the fourth impression (fig. 3) is overprinted in yellow and striations of purple with strong analogies to Munch's paintings of 1905 to 1907. The extraordinary purple color in the sky introduces a new note of luxuriance, which is enhanced by the denser yellow and blue and the increasingly redder key of the young woman's hair. They radically change the empty mood of the previous version so that now the girl seems balanced between a friendly shore and some extraordinary natural display she is watching.

The fifth impression (fig. 4) was printed after the shore block had been recarved. The coloring of the beach has now shifted to a higher key and corresponds to Munch's experiment with color and mood.

The printed part of the second impression (fig. 1), where the portion of the woodblock for the sea-sky has been omitted, is fairly early. However, Munch's watercolor additions of the yellow moon and reflection, the blue sea and forward edge of the shore, and the touches on the girl and the boulder were probably painted later. In the third impression (fig. 2) the subdued yellow shore and gray stripe projecting from the young woman's face across the sea-sky focus attention on her orange head and extend her gaze into an even bleaker environment of gray and dead white. Again without the woodblock sea-sky, the entire composition in the fourth impression (fig. 3) is overprinted in yellow and striations of purple with strong analogies to Munch's paintings of 1905 to 1907. The extraordinary purple color in the sky introduces a new note of luxuriance, which is enhanced by the denser yellow and blue and the increasingly redder key of the young woman's hair. They radically change the empty mood of the previous version so that now the girl seems balanced between a friendly shore and some extraordinary natural display she is watching.

The fifth impression (fig. 4) was printed after the shore block had been recarved. The coloring of the beach has now shifted to a higher key and corresponds to Munch's experiment with color and mood.

The present gift conforms in coloring and carving with what might be called the "standard edition" of 1898: with blue sea-sky, green shore, black old woman, and ochre and white young girl. These basic color areas were achieved by Munch's distinctive method of carving the woodblock into several pieces, inking the pieces separately, and then fitting them together for a single pass through the press. Because of the tone and density of color this is clearly a very early impression, where the young girl appears the embodiment of innocence, standing erect on a bleak shore and facing an undifferentiated chasm, while an old woman in dark clothes stoops behind her. This fine early impression sets the stage for the other five variations already in the Gallery, all but one of them unique.

The printed part of the second impression (fig. 1), where the portion of the woodblock for the sea-sky has been omitted, is fairly early. However, Munch's watercolor additions of the yellow moon and reflection, the blue sea and forward edge of the shore, and the touches on the girl and the boulder were probably painted later. In the third impression (fig. 2) the subdued yellow shore and gray stripe projecting from the young woman's face across the sea-sky focus attention on her orange head and extend her gaze into an even bleaker environment of gray and dead white. Again without the woodblock sea-sky, the entire composition in the fourth impression (fig. 3) is overprinted in yellow and striations of purple with strong analogies to Munch's paintings of 1905 to 1907. The extraordinary purple color in the sky introduces a new note of luxuriance, which is enhanced by the denser yellow and blue and the increasingly redder key of the young woman's hair. They radically change the empty mood of the previous version so that now the girl seems balanced between a friendly shore and some extraordinary natural display she is watching.

The fifth impression (fig. 4) was printed after the shore block had been recarved. The coloring of the beach has now shifted to a higher key and corresponds to Munch's experiment with color and mood.

The sixth impression (fig. 5) is distinguished from the previous one by the blue shore and the addition of the yellow sun-moon and reflection. This symbolic form so frequent in Munch's work, evocative not only of Nordic nature but also of male and female, was accomplished by a paper cutout inked separately and placed on the block. It reflects the completion of ideas tried by Munch in the hand coloring of the second impression.

These six impressions were selected by Munch for a close friend and kept together as a set until they came to the National Gallery in 1978. At that time the Epstein family generously helped in the acquisition of the group by buying this earliest impression as a promised gift. The promise is now fulfilled and the extraordinary set is happily reunited.

Andrew Robison

PROVENANCE
The artist; Christian Gierloff, Oslo; Sverre Munthekaas Munck, Bergen; his heirs; Kaare Berntsen, Oslo; Sarah G. Epstein.
EDVARD MUNCH
1863–1944

Girl with the Heart, 1899
Color woodcut
9 3/16 x 7 7/8 (24.9 x 18.7)

The Sarah G. Epstein and Lionel C. Epstein Family Collection,
Given by Their Children: David, James, Richard, Miles, and Sarah Carianne

In 1894, when he made his first print, Edvard Munch inaugurated a lifetime involvement with graphic media, producing a vast number of etchings, lithographs, and woodcuts characterized by technical experimentation and pictorial invention. Considering that he only began making woodcuts in 1896, the innovative techniques he applied in this 1899 work are remarkable.

Beginning with his earliest woodcuts, Munch explored new methods of color printing. Because he cut his own blocks and pulled impressions on his own presses, he was well positioned to manipulate his materials, all the while stretching the potential of the medium. For example, Munch devised an ingenious method of printing in color that bypassed registration, a time-consuming task that involves inking areas of the block separately for each color and painstakingly aligning the sheet for every run through the press. Munch's solution consisted of sawing pieces into sections that could be individually inked. The sections were then pieced back together like a puzzle and pulled through the press simultaneously.

In Girl with the Heart, one can easily make out the individual color sections. The red heart is cut from one piece of the block; the blue-green face and shoulders from a second; the black hair and background from a third. Munch reserved the white of the paper to depict strands of hair, and the striations of wood grain, less pronounced here than in many of Munch's woodcuts, introduce an atmospheric quality. The interstices between blocks form undulating white lines that impart graceful rhythms and a bold clarity to the work. Munch's technique was well adapted to an imagery constructed of large planes of complementary colors. In its succinct, highly concentrated forms, Girl with the Heart brilliantly demonstrates how the artist could exploit a medium to maximize the psychological impact of his subject.

This beguiling image dramatically expresses the emotional complexity of Munch's view of women. It is an ostensibly innocent scene, a young woman kissing a heart in a gentle, almost reverent manner. However, it takes on sinister dimensions if we recognize the blood-red color and organic nature of the heart as no paper valentine but a mass of human tissue. Does she caress or does she consume it? Indeed, the image can be understood as a searing portrayal of the predatory female whose carnal appetites amount to a powerfully destructive force.

Munch had represented a similarly macabre subject in 1896 in both a sketch and an etching. In that image, the artist portrayed a nude girl seated on the ground who pulls a heart-shaped flower out of the ground. Blood trails from its roots, spilling over the girl's foot and collecting in a dark pool on the ground. Girl with the Heart forms a kind of sequel to this earlier treatment of one of Munch's most frequently depicted themes, the inevitable coupling of Eros and death.

The Epstein Family Collection, which is promised to the National Gallery of Art, will be a major expansion of the Gallery's holdings of the art of Edvard Munch, especially in the important area of his color prints. Two works from the Epstein collection have already been given by Sarah G. Epstein, in this exhibition joined by this, our third impression of Two Women on the Shore. The present gift is especially welcome as the first gift from the Epstein children, who are collectors in their own right, to the Gallery.

PROVENANCE
Sold at auction 20–22 June 1979, Klipstein and Kornfeld, Berne, lot 917; Private Collection; Purchased by the Epsteins in 1984.

NOTES
PAULA MODERSOHN-BECKER
1876–1907

*Portrait of a Woman*, 1898
Charcoal and graphite
13½ x 19¼ (34.4 x 49.1)
Gift of Mr. and Mrs. James T. Dyke

Paula Modersohn-Becker’s superb portrait of a woman, incisively rendered with soft strokes of charcoal and graphite, is a tender yet powerful work that reveals her keen ability to reduce the figure to its most essential self. Modersohn-Becker’s initial reputation stemmed from the popularity of her letters and journals.1 Published ten years after her premature death,2 they were an immediate classic and provide an insightful account of the life of an enlightened woman at the turn of the century. Ironically it was not until after the Second World War that Modersohn-Becker’s reputation as a pioneering artist was widely recognized. She was the first painter in Germany to incorporate post-impressionist currents,3 and her drawings exemplify her highly individual treatment of subject and form.

The brevity of Modersohn-Becker’s career was counterbalanced at least by her precocious talent. As an adolescent in Bremen she took drawing lessons from a local painter and at sixteen attended a professional art school in London. When she was twenty she persuaded her parents to send her to Berlin where she attended classes at the Society of Berlin Women Artists. From that time forth her commitment to art was all-absorbing. While working in Berlin, and later at the artists’ colony at Worpswede,4 she was repeatedly drawn to the study of the human figure, especially women and children. Yet it was not merely realism or the anecdotal that motivated her. Her hallmark is a powerful disregard for conventional or idealized notions of beauty. She probed deeper in search of more essential truths.

This portrait of 1898 was executed at the very end of Modersohn-Becker’s studies in Berlin. That spring she wrote to her father, “Today in class we had an old woman whose head and neck were wonderfully structured. I loved quietly following with my eyes the almost imperceptible flow of the lines. I don’t think that I ever understood it quite so well before.”5 This work was a seminal one for the young artist. Her earlier drawings were more detailed and tied to realism. *Portrait of a Woman* signals a move toward simplicity of form. The shapes are distilled, and there is no line or mark that is superfluous. The model is depicted with dignity and a touching vulnerability. Perhaps it is the fold of her lips, or the oblique cast of her eyes. Certainly there is not a hint of sentimentality in this timeless portrait.

Although women are the subject of many late nineteenth-century works, their treatment is rarely impartial. Alternately portrayed as powerless figures or dangerous *femmes fatales*, they are seldom examined with the sort of unflinching objectivity that informs this portrait. The model’s large-boned and sparely structured face is in no way idealized and suggests a rare autonomy of spirit. Indeed few portraits of women in the National Gallery’s collection equal this one for its strength of characterization. Although the Gallery has an impressive body of works by modern German masters, prior to the fiftieth anniversary it had only one print by Modersohn-Becker. The addition of this superb drawing helps significantly to reconcile that imbalance.

Judith Brodie

PROVENANCE
Estate of the artist; Alice Adam Ltd., Chicago.

NOTES
2. Modersohn-Becker died of a heart attack at the age of thirty-one.
4. Worpswede was an artists’ colony located twenty miles north of Bremen. It was founded by Fritz Mackensen, Hans am Ende, and Otto Modersohn. Otto Modersohn and Paula Becker met at Worpswede in 1897 and were married four years later.
PIERRE BONNARD
1867–1947

Parallélément, by Paul Verlaine
Ambroise Vollard, Paris, 1900

Bound volume with 109 lithographs and 2 inserted sheets with 4 drawings in crayon, as well as 124 additional lithographs and 12 woodcuts by T. Beltrand after Bonnard. 11⅝ x 9⅞ (29.5 x 24)

Album with 33 drawings in charcoal and crayon on proofs of the text and 6 lithographs. 11 x 9 (27.7 x 23)

Album with 64 drawings in charcoal on proofs of the text and 8 lithographs. 11 x 9 (27.7 x 23)

Promised Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon

These three volumes chart the course of one of the most significant developments in the history of twentieth-century book illustration. This publication of Verlaine’s Parallélément was the first of the great livres de peintres of this century, books illustrated in innovative ways by the painters of the school of Paris.1 Departing from traditional mode of pictorially accompanying a text, Bonnard has interpreted Verlaine’s verses with 109 images that sprawl freely across the pages in response to sensations evoked by the poems, images that are as suggestive and compelling as their verbal prototypes. This gift is especially exciting because the two albums that contain Bonnard’s preliminary sketches made directly on early proofs of the text pages show us how he developed his ideas for the project.2 This copy of the published volume is number 86, the copy that once belonged to Ambroise Vollard himself, and it is of extraordinary quality.3

The inspiration for this new approach to book illustration can be attributed to Vollard, who as art dealer and publisher was instrumental in bringing to press some of the most important French graphic art of the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. During the late 1890s Vollard published albums of prints by leading French printmakers: in 1895, Bonnard’s Quelques aspects de la vie de Paris, followed by four more albums in as many years by various other artists. Undaunted by the lack of enthusiasm with which print collectors met these enterprises, Vollard next embarked on an even more adventurous undertaking, the production of sumptuously illustrated livres de luxe.

The first book he selected for this elegant treatment was Verlaine’s Parallélément. This series of poems, collected from different periods of the writer’s career, gave rich expression to the erotic side of what Verlaine perceived as the dualism—parallelism—of human experience, including both homosexual and heterosexual love. Vollard convinced the Imprimerie Nationale to print the letterpress for the book; apparently the title caused them to mistake the work for a geometry text! When it was published and the error discovered, the Ministry of Justice demanded that the book, which had previously been banned as immoral, be removed from circulation. Vollard effected a compromise, however, agreeing to recall the two hundred copies that had been printed and to remove and change the cover and title pages on which the mark of the Imprimerie Nationale appeared. The publication seems to have been too avant-garde for contemporary book collectors also. Few copies were purchased until many years later when its importance in the history of book illustration was finally recognized.

For the book, Auguste Clot printed Bonnard’s sketches in a romantic rose-sanguine, creating an association with the sensuously appealing drawings of the French rococo. The drawings and early proofs in the two albums trace the evolution of these illustrations, which appear on nearly every page of the book, from preliminary to final ideas. Here we can see the first impulses of the artist as he formulated pictorial equivalences to the hedonistic language of Verlaine’s verses. Here we see Bonnard calling forth profound visual recollections and impressions of sensuality, manifesting them with searching strokes of charcoal and crayon. These pages show the significant changes that were made between Vollard’s and Bonnard’s preliminary ideas on how to lay out the pages and their final revisions made in response to the sketches Bonnard had devised. All secondary decoration subsided in the face of Bonnard’s powerful and encompassing imagery. For example, in the text proof the first letter of each title was left blank to be filled in later with a historiated initial; in the final version they are printed the same as the other letters, not to distract from the prevailing illustration.

Many interesting discoveries are made in examining the three volumes together; for example, the evolution of one of Bonnard’s most beautiful figures, a languorous, voluptuous nude for which his mistress, Marthe de Meligny, probably served as model. The drawing, originally made for the poem Le Sonnet de l’homme au sable, was sufficiently appealing for Bonnard to use more than once. He maintained the double-page layout for the final version of Le Sonnet de l’homme au sable, but modified the position of the woman, drawing her legs together and making her look more soundly asleep, more “wooden,” in conformity with the text of the poem. He then used the original, more sensual figure for another poem, Seguidille.4

Virginia Tuttle Clayton

PROVENANCE

NOTES
Maurice Prendergast, a pioneering American modernist, was born in St. John’s, Newfoundland, but grew up in Boston. He aspired from his youth to be an artist and initially made his living lettering show cards. Unlike such famous contemporaries as John Singer Sargent who began studying in Europe at age fourteen, Prendergast was unable to afford formal training and foreign travel until he was in his thirties. By the time he enrolled in the Académie Julian and the Académie Colarossi in Paris in 1891 (he had visited England briefly in 1886), currents of dramatic artistic change were revolutionizing French painting. Prendergast was initially influenced most by the works of James A. M. Whistler and Edouard Manet, but soon a variety of sources inspired him, including Paul Cézanne (whose watercolors he particularly admired) and the Nabis, especially Edouard Vuillard and Pierre Bonnard. Like the Nabis and other post-impressionist painters, Prendergast found his subjects in the everyday life of Parisian cafés, parks, and streets and in the colorful scenes at nearby resorts.

Prendergast returned to Boston in 1895 and joined his brother Charles in his recently established framing business. He gradually began to make his reputation as a painter, concentrating at first on watercolors because the materials required were less expensive than those needed for oils. In Boston as in Paris he found his subjects in the parks and streets and nearby beaches. His watercolors of these years are remarkable for their loose handling, almost shorthand drawing style, and highly spontaneous feeling. A pronounced emphasis on surface pattern, which would become a hallmark of his mature work, was evident in his work almost from the first.

After an eighteen-month stay in Europe in 1898–1899, spent mostly in Venice, Prendergast returned to Boston to a period of increasing artistic recognition. He continued painting watercolors of Boston scenes, but began making regular trips to New York where he also painted. Although most of his works of the early 1900s portrayed the leisurely activities of people in parks or on beaches, in several watercolors he explored the docks and waterfronts of both New York and Boston. Prendergast’s working method: he would first rough out the scene with quick pencil notations and then lay in washes of color, often overlapping them as he moved from one form to the next. No other American artist of the time was as unabashed in allowing the process of artistic creation to remain so evident in a finished work.

In works such as Docks, East Boston and other watercolors from around 1900 Prendergast had evolved a modernist aesthetic that was highly advanced for American art at the turn of the century. Although identifiable as images of external reality, these works also flirt with abstraction in the way shapes and colors cling to the picture plane, creating rhythms and patterns that seem to have a life of their own.

Franklin Kelly
In September 1899 William MacBeth wrote to Maurice Prendergast, inviting him to join the group of artists represented by his new gallery in New York. Prendergast agreed, and MacBeth honored him with a one-man show of watercolors and monotypes in March 1900. The artist began making regular trips to New York and started a new series of watercolors devoted to scenes in Central Park. Although these works did not represent a departure from the style he had used in earlier park scenes in and around Boston, they are notable for their consistently high level of quality. Wonderfully fresh and spirited, the Central Park watercolors are among Prendergast’s most satisfying works.

_The Mall, Central Park_ shows three young girls, gaily dressed and sporting sun bonnets and parasols, poised at the top of steps leading down into the park. Beyond are countless figures enjoying the pleasures of a summer day, some seated on benches, some strolling about. At the right in the middle distance is a fountain spraying water and at the far left the corner of a bandstand is just visible. As with _Docks, East Boston_ the scene is lively and animated, but whereas that picture portrayed a world of work and commerce, _The Mall_ celebrates one of leisure.

With the addition of _Docks, East Boston_ and _The Mall, Central Park_, Prendergast is especially well represented in the National Gallery’s collection. The Gallery owns four other watercolors, _Parisian Omnibus, Revere Beach,_ and _Figures on a Bench_, all given by Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon, as well as _Saint Mark’s, Venice_. These six provide an excellent survey of his works in that medium. The collection also includes one of Prendergast’s innovative monotypes, _Outdoor Café Scene_, and a splendid oil painting, _Salem Cove_.

Franklin Kelly

**NOTES**

1. Prendergast was in Europe at the time MacBeth wrote and was only able to reply early in 1900 after his return. It would be at MacBeth’s gallery in 1908 that Prendergast joined Robert Henri, John Sloan, Arthur B. Davies, William Glackens, Everett Shinn, George Luks, and Ernest Lawson to exhibit as a group known as The Eight.

2. See Gwendolyn Owens, “Maurice Prendergast among His Patrons,” in Carol Clark, Nancy Mowl Mathews, and Gwendolyn Owens, _Maurice Brazil Prendergast and Charles Prendergast: A Catalogue Raisonné_ (Munich, 1990), 51. Owens suggested that Prendergast’s choice of Central Park may have been in part inspired by a desire to find subject matter that would appeal to New York patrons.

3. Hedley Howell Rhys, _Maurice Prendergast, 1859–1924_ [exh. cat. Museum of Fine Arts] (Boston, 1960), 40: “For many of his admirers his Central Park series rank with his first Venetian Series as a high point in his career. These Central Park pictures are a sustained demonstration of his artistic maturity, and of his control of his medium.”

4. At least two other watercolors in the Central Park series show the same spot as _The Mall_, but both depict it from the opposite view. _Central Park_ (Saint Louis Art Museum) portrays the view back up the stairs from just in front of them, and in _Fountain, Central Park_ (collection of R. Philip Hanes, Jr.) the vantage point is from the other side of the fountain. See Clark, Mathews, and Owens 1990, 409.
KÄTHER KOLLWITZ
1867–1945

Woman with Dead Child, 1903
Engraving and soft-ground etching,
with chalk, graphite, and gold paint
16⅞ x 18⅞ (41.7 x 47.2)
Gift of Philip and Lynn Straus

Käthe Kollwitz produced some of her finest prints and drawings between the years 1900 and 1910. Mother with Dead Child, a work of shattering power, is one of her most significant of the period. Over the engraved and etched proof impression Kollwitz added dense passages of black chalk and graphite, creating an effect that is exceptionally rich, a work that is as much a drawing as it is a print. Equally remarkable and rare is her addition of a subtle gold wash surrounding the figures. Although Kollwitz used color infrequently, she did so with great subtlety of effect. The thin veil of gold that washes up against the figures lends an ironic warmth to this otherwise brutal image.

In some respects this was a prophetic work for Kollwitz. By 1903 she had long been interested in sculpture but had never actually worked in the medium. Woman with Dead Child speaks strongly of Kollwitz's preoccupation with three-dimensional form. The stark but eloquent figures are boldly modeled, representing volume and weight. One can almost envision the artist circling around the mass, chiseling out cavities and refining contours. A year after making this print she enrolled in a sculpture class at the Académie Julian in Paris and sought out Rodin whose works she had long admired. Although we think of Kollwitz primarily as a printmaker and draftsman, at the end of her long career it was her sculpture, less known today, that she valued most highly.

Historically Kollwitz cannot be placed within the context of a specific group or movement. Her early work was influenced by Max Klinger's realism, but unlike Klinger she was not strictly a symbolist. Her methods sometimes paralleled those of the expressionists, yet her aims were very different. Although she was not a disciple of Edvard Munch's, his influence is sometimes apparent, particularly in works such as Woman with Dead Child. Like Munch, Kollwitz was drawn to powerfully emotional subject matter, and a suggestion of Munch's vampirish figures is echoed in the depiction of the woman, whose face is pressed to the neck of the child. Similarly one thinks of Goya's painting of Saturn Devouring His Children.

Although Kollwitz provided the title Woman with Dead Child, the physical characteristics of the woman are strikingly androgynous, perhaps more characteristically male than female. In the absence of the artist's title it might be easy to surmise that the dead child is in the grasp of a male figure, perhaps even the figure of Death. Abundant associations exist, provoking layer after layer of meaning.

The National Gallery has a superb collection of prints and drawings by Käthe Kollwitz, thanks largely to the generosity of Lessing J. Rosenwald. Yet the Gallery has lacked any impression of Woman with Dead Child. Now, through the generosity of the Strauses, the National Gallery has one of the richest and rarest impressions known. Few Kollwitzes in the collection equal it for its power and beauty. Few lend greater distinction to an already singular collection.

Judith Brodie

PROVENANCE
Wolfgang Wittrock, Düsseldorf, sold 1988.

NOTES
2. Aside from the present work, there are only five known impressions with gold backgrounds: three bequeathed to the British Museum by Campbell Dodgson, see Frances Carey and Anthony Griffiths, The Print in Germany 1880–1933 (New York, 1984), cats. 29–31; one in the Stadtischen Museum, Bielefeld; and one from the Schocken Collection now in private hands, see C. G. Boerner, Neue Lagerliste 50 (Düssedorf, 1968), no. 21.
4. In one respect it was tragically prophetic. Kollwitz had used herself and her seven-year-old son as models for the woman and child. Eleven years later her son was killed in World War I.
5. See Munch's Vampire in Gustav Schiefler, Verzeichnis des graphischen Werks Edvard Munchs bis 1906 (Berlin, 1907), no. 34.
One of the greatest works of Picasso’s Blue Period is found in this impression of his etching The Frugal Repast. Coming at the very end of this period, it is one of only two known impressions of the etching printed with blue-green ink, the other being in the Art Institute of Chicago. The present print is a darker blue, a color extraordinarily important and appropriate for this work in this period.

As a young artist Picasso was influenced by Spanish art of the sixteenth to eighteenth centuries, with its mannerist elongation of limbs, and by the Modernistas, a Spanish group of symbolist artists. The Modernistas were attracted to northern and medieval art and were interested in expressing mood rather than action; their figures were idealized, imprecise, and mystical. El Greco was a hero of the symbolist artists and was studied and admired by Picasso. The influence of Gauguin is also seen during this period in the limited use of color and heavy outlining of the figures.

The Blue Period evolved during Picasso’s first trip to Paris in 1902 and lasted until 1904. His work from this early period, of lonely or sick people in a blue tonality, exudes an impression of despair; the people are sunk into themselves. The mouths of the figures are closed; their faces are gaunt. Picasso used the theme of people sitting at a table as he did many times in his career. In The Frugal Repast, the blind man puts his arm protectively around the shoulders of the woman as his face hesitantly turns over his right shoulder toward the darkness. Their thin faces and plain clothes as well as the scant food on the table may have been a reflection of the poverty of Picasso and his friends. Sketches of the man in the etching are found in one of Picasso’s sketchbooks from 1903. The woman is seen in a number of other works, including Woman with Her Hair in a Topknot, 1904, Woman Ironing, 1904, and Seated Nude, 1905.

Except for one failed earlier attempt in 1899, The Frugal Repast is Picasso’s earliest etching and paradoxically one of his most successful. He was twenty-three in September 1904 when the painter Ricardo Canals taught him the craft in Paris. Picasso used a zinc plate with incompletely erased traces of a landscape by Joan Gonzalez; faintly visible tufts of grass and stones can be seen running up the right side of the image.

With this etching Picasso began his lifelong experimentation with printmaking in all of its variants. Between 1919 and 1930 he etched the Vollard series and began to learn lithography. His lithographic work with Fernand Mourlot was started in 1945 when he also printed a series of large-format aquatints. When Picasso moved to the south of France practical problems precluded lithography and he began to print linoleum blocks until 1963, when a printing press was brought to Mougins. The presence of the press made his printmaking endeavors more practical and more spontaneous, giving him the freedom to experiment in all media.

The National Gallery has two other examples of The Frugal Repast, both printed in black ink after the plate was faced with steel. However, the quality of this blue-green impression is far superior, the finest perhaps of all known from this plate. There are few examples of this etching before the steel facing, and, as there are only two in which blue-green ink was used, this rare print becomes a major addition to the National Gallery collection.

Barbara Read Ward

**PROVENANCE**
Sotheby’s; David Tunick, 1978; Robert H. and Clarice Smith, 1979.

**NOTES**
5. Palau i Fabre 1981, 383. The landscape is more visible in the impressions printed after the steel facing of the etching plate, when less ink was used, than in this version, which was printed before the plate was faced. See Bernhard Geiser, *Picasso Peintre-Graveur* (Bern, 1933).

**PAUL PICASSO**
1881–1973

**The Frugal Repast**, 1904
Etching in blue-green ink
18 3/16 x 14 9/16 (46.3 x 37.7)

Promised Gift of Robert H. and Clarice Smith
Jacques Villon was in Paris in the 1890s when the vogue for color printmaking was escalating and many artists were investigating color lithography. The young artist made only a few color lithographs in his early career, and he never embraced the lithographic process with great enthusiasm. Instead he became intensely involved with making etchings. He created his first color aquatints in 1899, and his gifted understanding of the medium and its technical nuances is evident in many of the beautiful prints he produced over the course of the next seven years. Another Time: 1830 is a superb example of what Villon could achieve. Villon printed Another Time: 1830 in addition to three color lithographs on the occasion of the Bal Henri Monnier. This park setting with two seated models in 1830s dress against a background of equestrian figures and promenading gentry depicts the tranquil world of the Parisian middle class; Villon probably intended the print as the appropriate salute to the artist Monnier (1805–1877) and the romantic era in which he worked.

Another Time: 1830 is part of Villon’s pre-cubist period when he followed closely the stylistic elegance of Henri de Toulouse-Lautrec’s color prints and posters. It was also about this time that Villon found inspiration in the work of Paul Helleu, who was responsible for inspiring an aesthetic movement that popularized similar romantic scenes of fashionable Parisian ladies. Another Time: 1830 also gives evidence of the strong influence Japanese prints had on the development of color printmaking in the late nineteenth century. The juxtaposition of unmodeled patterns of color and the denial of linear perspective for a flat tilted space echo some of the compositional devices of the Japanese ukiyo-e woodcuts admired at this time.

Villon’s graphic oeuvre is represented in the Gallery’s collection by sixty-nine prints, the core of which are from his cubist period and the later subjects of the 1930s and 1940s. Among the early works in the collection there are now eleven examples of his color aquatints, many given in 1985 by Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon. The present gift from Mr. and Mrs. Eyerly is a striking compliment to the Gallery’s small but choice group. Enhanced with touches of roulette and the marvelously rich burr of his drypoint line, Another Time: 1830 offers an instructive look at the breadth and variety of Villon’s intaglio skill, and thus holds an important place in the Gallery’s collection.

Carlotta J. Owens

Note
When, two years before his death, Thomas Eakins said that American artists who “wish to assume a place in the history of the art of their country” should “remain in America, to peer deeper into the heart of American life [and] study their own country and portray its life and types,”¹ he was to a great extent describing his own artistic undertaking, the one he himself had pursued unremittingly for nearly fifty years.

Portraiture was the means Eakins chose to peer into America’s heart and record its life and types. Virtually all of Eakins’ paintings are portraits. Not conventional portraits, however, commissioned by people who wished and expected to be flattered. Only a few of his portraits had been commissioned — most often it was Eakins who asked people to pose for him, as he did Admiral Melville — and none were flattering. He depicted his subjects with such uningratiating honesty and unflinching objectivity, such profound psychological penetration, that some sitters refused to accept their portraits and some even destroyed them.

With the exception of four years’ study in Europe from 1866-1870, Eakins spent his entire life in his native city of Philadelphia, painting his family, his friends, his students at the Pennsylvania Academy, and the professional people he admired — physicians, scientists, teachers, athletes, musicians, actresses, clerics, and fellow artists. His early portraits usually depict their subjects in some characteristic activity or environment — singing, playing instruments, rowing boats; in operating rooms or laboratories. But in the portraits he painted during roughly the last twenty years of his life, such as this one, he concentrated on his subjects alone, with little or no setting. Intensely observed, relentlessly probing, utterly candid, his late portraits are among the most profoundly moving examinations of human character ever painted.

Eakins painted two portraits of George W. Melville (1841–1912), of which this is the second version, done in 1905. When he first painted him in 1904 Melville had recently retired as engineer-in-chief of the U.S. Navy with the rank of rear admiral. His distinguished career was marked by numerous achievements as chief of the Bureau of Steam Engineering as well as acts of great heroism and resourcefulness in arctic exploration. His most notable exploit occurred on the Jeannette expedition of 1879–1882, in which the ship (of which he was chief engineer) was trapped in ice for almost two years and finally sank. Melville managed to bring the small boat he commanded and its survivors to safety in Siberia, and then returned to search for his dead shipmates. He was awarded a Congressional medal for his valor, and the Russian order of Saint Stanislaus that he wears in this portrait. In Eakins’ first portrait (Philadelphia Museum of Art), Melville stands facing left, in full dress uniform. The second, slightly smaller version is simpler. More plainly dressed, the figure frontally posed and filling the picture space, attention now concentrated on the leonine head with piercing eyes and scarred and gnarled hands, it expresses with greater success than the first the full power of Melville’s person and personality — his imposing size (he was more than six feet tall) and great physical strength, his sometimes gruff and irascible behavior, and his dauntless and indomitable spirit.² The second version, it seems, is the one Eakins himself preferred.³

The portrait of Admiral Melville is one of the finest of Eakins’ late portraits and in every way a superb example of what he could achieve in them. It joins an important group of Eakins paintings and drawings in the Gallery’s permanent collection, including such other late portraits as those of Louis Husson (1899), Mrs. Louis Husson (c. 1905), Harriet Husson Carville (1904), and Archbishop Diomede Falconio (1905).

Nicolai Cikovsky, Jr.
THOMAS EAKINS
1844–1916

*The Chaperone*, c. 1908
Oil on canvas
18 3/4 x 14 (46.3 x 35.6)
Gift of John Wilmerding

In 1877 Thomas Eakins painted a canvas showing the early Philadelphia ship carver and sculptor William Rush (1756–1853) working from a nude female model in sculpting a life-size allegorical figure.1 The only other figure present in the painting is an elderly woman knitting. Eakins was generally known for his uncompromisingly realistic depictions of Philadelphia friends and associates engaged in various activities (such as *The Biglin Brothers Racing*, c. 1873, National Gallery of Art), and only rarely took interest in subjects from the past. In his painting of Rush, Eakins altered historical fact to serve his own ends, for there is no evidence that the sculptor had worked from a nude model. Eakins believed that study from the nude was essential and he vigorously stressed this belief in his teaching at the Pennsylvania Academy of the Fine Arts. The painting of Rush was thus specifically intended to suggest that working from the nude was not unprecedented in Philadelphia and in fact had a venerable tradition. And by including the elderly woman chaperone, perhaps a relative of the model, Eakins legitimized the activity of posing nude, making it clear that this is a virtuous young woman, not a tramp or a prostitute.2

In 1886 Eakins was forced to resign his position at the Pennsylvania Academy, primarily because his unrelenting emphasis on working from the nude had become a controversial topic in staid Philadelphia. The dismissal affected him deeply and he increasingly withdrew from Philadelphia art circles to work independently. By the early 1900s he was already becoming forgotten; when the famous portrait painter John Singer Sargent visited Philadelphia in 1903 and asked to meet Eakins, his baffled hostess could only reply, “And who is Eakins?”3

In 1908 Eakins returned to the subject of William Rush in several paintings. In the most complete version (fig. 1), for which the present work is a study, the principal elements from the 1877 oil are retained but have been transformed to give the picture a different nuance. The figure of Rush is stockier and has come to resemble Eakins himself, suggesting that the painter now identified with the sculptor. The chaperone is no longer a finely dressed elderly white woman sitting in an elegant Chippendale chair, but a black woman with a bandana on her head in a plain wooden chair. We do not know the identity of the woman who sat for this sketch, but Eakins gave her a quiet dignity that is markedly different from the less sympathetic images of African-Americans found in all too many works by his contemporaries.4 Intent on her knitting and thus creating with her own hands, she makes an effective counterpart to the equally intent sculptor working with mallet and chisel.

*The Chaperone* will join three important images of blacks by Eakins already in the Gallery’s collection: two oil studies for *Negro Boy Dancing* of c. 1878 and a watercolor, *The Poleman in the Ma’sh*, of c. 1881.

*Franklin Kelly*

NOTES

1. This painting, *William Rush Carving His Allegorical Figure of the Schuylkill River* (Philadelphia Museum of Art) has been much discussed in the literature on Eakins; see Elizabeth Johns, *Thomas Eakins: The Heroism of Modern Life* (Princeton, 1983), 82–114.

2. Eakins often complained that his students could not learn to portray beautiful forms if they were only exposed to “coarse and flabby types” who came from brothels. He even asked the Pennsylvania Academy to advertise for respectable female models who could be “accompanied by their mothers or other female relatives”; see Kathleen A. Foster and Cheryl Leibold, *Writing About Eakins* (Philadelphia, 1989), 343. Eakins also believed that any student enrolled in a life class should be willing to pose nude if asked.


PROVENANCE
ERNST LUDWIG KIRCHNER
1880–1938

Performer Bowing, 1909
Color lithograph
14⅞ x 12¼ (38.2 x 32.8)

Ruth and Jacob Kainen Collection

The first great flowering of twentieth-century printmaking was created by Die Brücke, a Dresden artists’ association begun by four young architectural students in 1905. The Brücke artists, among whom Kirchner was the most creative and forceful, hoped to renew an artistic tradition, which they thought had grown stagnant and academic, through authenticity in both subject and style. The present work, an extremely rare color lithograph known in only three impressions,1 is a true masterpiece of Brücke prints.

Performer Bowing is one of a number of Kirchner’s cabaret, variety, and circus scenes, which in modern literature are variously dated from 1908, 1909, and 1910.2 This performer wears the tights and suit appropriate for an acrobat or tightrope walker,3 and is caught just at the moment of landing on her feet after leaping from a tightrope to take a bow. She epitomizes two crucial aspects of Kirchner’s art: his love of motion and his love of drawing. Kirchner explained to Curt Valentin the year before he died that during his entire career he had attempted to show life—colorful, fleeting, full-blooded life in motion. Here the swift, short strokes of Performer remind one pointedly of Kirchner’s 1909 Perforen Bowing, her suit are red, but there are also flashes of motion. Here the swift, short strokes of Performer remind one pointedly of Kirchner’s 1909 Perforen Bowing, her suit are red, but there are also flashes of motion. Here the swift, short strokes of Performer remind one pointedly of Kirchner’s 1909 Perforen Bowing, her suit are red, but there are also flashes of motion. Here the swift, short strokes of Performer remind one pointedly of Kirchner’s 1909 Perforen Bowing, her suit are red, but there are also flashes of motion. Here the swift, short strokes of Performer remind one pointedly of Kirchner’s 1909 Perforen Bowing, her suit are red, but there are also flashes of motion. Here the swift, short strokes of Performer remind one pointedly of Kirchner’s 1909 Perforen Bowing, her suit are red, but there are also flashes of motion. Here the swift, short strokes of Performer remind one pointedly of Kirchner’s 1909 Perforen Bowing, her suit are red, but there are also flashes of motion. Here the swift, short strokes of Performer remind one pointedly of Kirchner’s 1909 Perforen Bowing, her suit are red, but there are also flashes of 

Texture and truth to materials were crucial to Kirchner and the other Brücke artists. While there are precedents for such themes in woodcut in the work of Munch, in lithographs like this Kirchner was totally original. Part of the Brücke artists’ authenticity was to complete the entire process of preparation and printing themselves and to make evident the nature of the processes and materials from which their works of art were created. Thus the edges of the printing matrix were not disguised, as in earlier professional printmaking, but emphasized. Small hints of all three colors are left on the edges of the lithographic stone, to show just where the stone begins and ends, just what were its stone imperfections—the characteristic way it is chipped at the edge, and how each color is impressed on the paper, one on top of the other. But the most distinctive element of the Performer is the extraordinary mottled texture of the colors. This technique, apparently invented by Kirchner, involved the use of turpentine and unusually strong acid in such fashion still not entirely clear.5 In any case, it was a technique that enabled him simultaneously to fulfill three ambitions. First, it expanded the range of lithography while emphasizing the sense of the stone—the irregular pools of color evoking the feeling of lichen-covered rock. Second, the turpentine etching created mottling in broad areas, which is a modern textured substitute for the traditional modeling of tone, at the same time giving a richness and variety both to the figures and to the background. Finally, in combination with his swift strokes of crayon, Kirchner’s distinctive technique enhanced the sense of excitement from organic or almost randomly natural flashes of color throughout the image.

Andrew Robison

PROVENANCE
Kirchner Estate, stamp (Lugt 1570b) verso, with ink no. “I. 81 II D”; Hauswedell & Nolte, Hamburg, 2 June 1978, no. 650; Ruth Cole Kainen, stamp (not in Lugt) verso.

NOTES
1. Annemarie and Wolf-Dieter Dube, E. L. Kirchner: Das Graphische Werk (Munich, 1967), lithograph no. 122, dated by the Dubes 1909; they list only the impression in the Stedelijk Museum, Amsterdam. Besides the Kainen impression, there is one in the Fogg Art Museum, Cambridge, Mass.
2. See Donald E. Gordon, Ernst Ludwig Kirchner (Cambridge, Mass., 1968), 272–282, where the dates of the relevant paintings are spread over three years. On the other hand, Lucius Grisebach and Annette Meyer zu Eissen, Ernst Ludwig Kirchner: 1880–1938 (Berlin, Munich, Cologne, Zurich, 1979), 115–128, attempt to regroup virtually all the most closely related painted and graphic works into the single year 1909.
3. Compare the painting Tightrope Dancers (Gordon 114, dated by him 1910, but redated 1909 by Grisebach 1979, 126), as well as the woodcut Ringturnerin (Dube 167, dated by them 1910).
ERNST LUDWIG KIRCHNER
1880–1938

Green House in Dresden, 1909/1910
Oil on canvas
22 x 35½ (56 x 90)
Gift (Partial and Promised) of Ruth and Jacob Kainen

Green House in Dresden is one of an innovative series of paintings, prints, and drawings Kirchner executed in 1909–1910 depicting the shores of the Elbe River and the buildings, gardens, and streets in and around the city.¹ Donald Gordon characterized the works of this period as “among the most successful formal compositions in twentieth-century German art . . . [revealing] a decorative unity of line and color so harmonious and so immediate that one could not wish to see a single stroke altered.”² On the verso appears a later, less finished work by Kirchner, Dancing Couple in the Snow from 1928–1929.³

Kirchner and the other artists of the Brücke were committed to portraying the world around them—the human figure, nature, the city, and contemporary life—and to finding a style that could represent the inner or higher reality that lies beneath surface appearances. They were inspired by the spatial distortions, arbitrary colors, expressive brushwork, and psychological insights of van Gogh, Gauguin, and Munch, and by the pure colors and simplified compositions of French neoimpressionist artists, Matisse, and the fauves. The German artists knew the works of these painters well, from recent exhibitions in Munich, Dresden, and Berlin and from reproductions in books.⁴

In Green House, spatial recession is subtly exaggerated in a manner reminiscent of van Gogh or Munch. On the left, the lines of the building and road converge toward a vanishing point outside the picture. One’s eye is arrested, however, by a cropped tree defining the edge of the canvas. This pull toward the left is partially offset by the lone figure pulling a wagon on the right.

These perspectival effects are counterbalanced by patterns of color and line that emphasize the flatness of the surface. With no concern whatsoever for observed reality, Kirchner constructed the picture with bright patches of joyous, nonnaturalistic color surrounded by contrasting outlines. Glimpses of untouched, but heavily primed white canvas are visible throughout, especially in the road and around the windows in the green wall of the house. Overall, the paint layers are thin and dry, giving the canvas a chalky, matte surface. There are only a few touches of varnish, which may have been applied by Kirchner himself.⁵

During the first decade of his career, figural compositions, especially nudes, were Kirchner’s preferred subjects. Two Nudes (fig. 1) from 1907–1908 epitomizes these early works in the natural poses of the models and the rich impasto of the brushwork. Around 1909–1910 Kirchner began portraying circus performers, cabaret artists, and other socially marginal types with whom he and his bohemian friends identified. His views of Dresden from this period mark a stylistic turning point in his oeuvre.

Green House and The Visit, which also is a gift of Ruth and Jacob Kainen, together will transform the National Gallery’s small collection of modern German paintings. Heretofore our holdings of German painting have included only the earlier Two Nudes by Kirchner, three paintings by Lyonel Feininger, two canvases and a triptych by Max Beckmann, and one painting by Max Ernst.

Elizabeth Pendleton Streicher

PROVENANCE
Kirchner Estate; Galerie Roman Norbert Ketterer.

NOTES
5. Conservation report prepared by Ann Hoe
nigswald, conservator, and Barbara Pralle, technician, paintings conservation department, National Gallery of Art.
Paul Strand has often been called the first modern photographer. In his early work he pioneered a style and subject matter that were radically different from existing conventions. At the youthful age of twenty-six his art was so certain and direct, so innovative and bold, that we have a tendency to assume it was always so sure, that, as if by magic, from the moment he picked up the camera he instinctively knew what he wanted to say and how to say it. But, of course, it was not that easy.

In 1907 when he was a high school student at the Ethical Culture School in New York City, Strand took a photography class with the now-famous documentary photographer, Lewis Hine. He later dismissed Hine's influence as minimal, and his earliest surviving work indicates that he was clearly intent on creating works of art rather than photographic records. Adopting the subject matter, printing techniques, and soft-focus style of the pictorialist photographers who were so popular at the turn of the century, Strand made some lovely but nevertheless conventional images in the first few years of his career. By the time he had adopted pictorialism, it was a well-worn path.

His earliest work, however, also reveals a tentative search for an alternate. After his graduation in 1909, he worked first in his family's enamelware business and then, very briefly, in an insurance company. In 1911 he decided to use his savings to travel to Europe, visiting more than twenty cities in a little more than fifty days. The photographs he made there used devices familiar to the pictorialists: for example, in some works water and reflection create a decorative pattern and heighten the sense of illusion, while in others, such as *The Garden of Dreams*, both the title and the subject—a temple at Versailles—evoke a sense of otherworldliness.

Certain photographs taken on this trip, such as *Cambridge, England*, are different. Although it depicts a bucolic subject that was common among pictorial photographers, its point of view and strong formal complexity are strikingly at odds with the pictorialist vision. Strand later discounted the importance and complexity of several of these early images, noting that the lens he used "flattens and mushifies," allowing the beginning photographer to extract from reality essential elements and "pull things together." Yet it is far more than just Strand's lens that accounts for the abrupt compression of space and formal strength of *Cambridge, England*. Like other modern European and American artists, Strand composed the picture right up to the edge of the frame, allowing bits of reality to push into the edge of the picture space. With the fence and haystack boldly and emphatically forcing their way into the picture, Strand constructed an image that is diametrically opposed to the quietly contained, closed, contemplative structures of the pictorialists. This image, while alluding to its heritage, dramatically breaks with the past: it is an open, fluid fragment, an abstract pattern cut from the larger whole.

Although Strand dismissed Hine's influence on his art, he did acknowledge that in 1907 his teacher introduced him to Alfred Stieglitz's experimental gallery, The Little Galleries of the Photo-Secession, later simply called 291 from its address on Fifth Avenue in New York. Strand subsequently recalled that he did not begin to frequent Stieglitz's gallery with regularity until 1913, yet he was well aware of its activities. It may have been the 1909 exhibitions at 291 of John Marin, Marsden Hartley, and Japanese prints or the 1910 shows of Arthur Dove, Max Weber, and Paul Cézanne that encouraged Strand's formal investigations. Or it may have been Hine's teaching that photographs function as "a symbol that brings one immediately into close touch with reality," telling a "story packed into the most condensed and vital form" that prompted Strand to make such an image.² Then too,
ODILON REDON
1840–1916

Large Vase with Flowers, c. 1912
Oil on canvas
28 3/4 x 21 1/2 (73 x 54.6)
Gift (Partial and Promised) of John C. Whitehead

In 1913 Redon called flowers “fragile perfumed beings, adorable prodigals of light.”\(^1\) Large Vase with Flowers, painted in about 1912, exemplifies his metaphoric description. Elusive and evanescent qualities of the graceful bouquet of real and imagined blossoms take precedence over concrete delineation. An indistinctly decorated blue-ground vase holding the brightly colored cluster of flowers, some bunched near the center and others floating on attenuated, arcing stems, is placed slightly off-center against an amorphous multicolored background. The use of frontal views or strict profile in the flowers, the absence of shadow, and Redon’s technique, a combination of thinly applied, relatively dry pigments in imitation of the softened effects of pastel with occasional impasted areas that heighten separate components of the bouquet, give Large Vase with Flowers an eerie, unearthly quality.

Born in 1840, Redon was an exact contemporary of Claude Monet and Berthe Morisot and a year older than Auguste Renoir. His training and earliest works date from the same era as theirs, yet his work deliberately has little in common with that of his coevals. Reviewing the Salon of 1868 for a Bordeaux newspaper, Redon articulated the difference between his artistic goals and those of the realists and nascent impressionists. He wrote, “The weakness of M. Manet and of all those who, like him, want to limit themselves to the literal reproduction of reality, is to sacrifice man and his thought to good brushwork, to the brilliant handling of a detail. . . . It is on this point that true artists find themselves in decided opposition to paltry and restricted research. Although they recognize the necessity for a basis of seen reality, to them true art lies in a reality that is felt.”\(^2\) True art, for Redon, lay in a synthesis of the visual and the visionary, an attitude derived from the expressive force of paintings by Eugène Delacroix and the brooding intensity of the graphic works of Rodolphe Bresdin.

This preference for the evocative potential of a work of art characterizes Redon’s entire oeuvre. Redon wrote, “I think I have made an expressive, suggestive, indeterminate art. Suggestive art is the irradiation of divine plastic elements, harmonized, combined in order to provoke reveries which it illumines, which it inflames, by stimulating the thoughts.”\(^3\) From the late 1860s Redon was primarily a graphic artist whose macabre and mysterious charcoal drawings, etchings, and lithographs allied him with writers and artists of the symbolist movement. For no specific reason, although probably related to the artist’s growing optimism at the birth of his sole surviving child, color became an important element in his work in about 1890. Floral motifs dominated Redon’s work during the remainder of his career, in portraits and allegorical paintings and especially still lifes. As Berger wrote, “the flower pieces afford the best means of studying the development of the period of color which occupied his last years; they constitute the red thread running through his late art.”\(^4\) Large Vase with Flowers will provide a striking complement to the National Gallery’s Flowers in a Vase (1970.17.56), painted two years earlier in about 1910. The two are similar in content and composition but present poignantly different characterizations of the subject, illustrating the importance of the expressive and imaginary vein of Redon’s art.

Florence E. Coman

PROVENANCE

NOTES
3. “. . . je crois avoir fait un art expressif, suggestif, indéterminé. L’art suggestif est l’irradiation de devins éléments plastiques, rapprochés, combinés en vue de provoquer des rêveries qu’il illumine, qu’il exalte, en incitant à la pensée.” Redon 1922, 111.
In the first decades of this century, Gustav Klimt, Egon Schiele, and Oskar Kokoschka were the leading avant garde artists in Vienna. Schiele is best known for his portraits and other figure compositions, although he also executed land- and cityscapes. Like their compatriot Sigmund Freud, these artists were preoccupied with probing the human psyche and the self and with questioning the assumptions and mores of modern life and society.

*Dancer* is one of Schiele's most elegant, serene, and discreet likenesses. It depicts the artist's model and mistress Valerie Neuzil, who was called "Wally." She is readily identifiable by her red hair, bangs, high cheekbones, and long nose. Wally was introduced to the artist in 1911 by Klimt, for whom she had also posed, and she lived with Schiele until his marriage to Edith Harms in 1915.

Many of Schiele's portraits and self-portraits are nudes, often in agitated, provocative, or even overtly erotic poses. Although Schiele had a loyal clientele, his works were often controversial. In the spring of 1912, when he was living in the small town of Neulengbach near Vienna, Schiele was imprisoned for nearly a month on charges of immorality and the seduction of minors; at the end of his trial the judge symbolically burned one of his drawings. Schiele achieved a vindication of sorts in the spring of 1918, when his one-man exhibition at the Vienna Secession was a resounding artistic and financial success. Eight months later, he and his pregnant wife died during an influenza epidemic. Schiele was only twenty-eight years old, but he had already produced approximately three hundred oil paintings and several thousand watercolors and drawings.

*Dancer* is in many respects representative of Schiele's graphic art. The energized, jagged angularity of the drawing and the delicate passages of color—in the subject's reddish-brown hair and orange headband and the purplish-blue shading along the edges and folds of her garment—are closely related to Schiele's other watercolors of the period. Wally posed for many of Schiele's erotic drawings, and the position she adopts here, seated with her knees drawn up against her chest, often provided Schiele an opportunity to focus on the female genitalia. In this portrait, however, Wally is decorously dressed in a simple shift that envelops her from shoulders to feet. Her monumental, pyramidal form almost fills the entire sheet. The blank background concentrates our attention on her introspective expression and on her indolent gesture of raising—or lowering—her shoulder strap.

*Dancer* is a magnificent addition to the National Gallery's collection of modern German art. While the Gallery has eight of Schiele's prints and two of his black chalk portraits, Mrs. Mark Millard's gift is our first example of his extraordinary and much-coveted watercolors and joins the Mark J. Millard Architectural Collection of rare illustrated books and prints, which came to the Gallery during the 1980s.

Elizabeth Pendleton Streicher

**PROVENANCE**

Hans Ankwicz-Kleehoven; Rudolf Leopold; Serge Sabarsky; Sotheby Parke Bernet, New York, 16 May 1979, sale 4247, lot 72.

**NOTES**


MAX WEBER
1881–1961

Interior of the Fourth Dimension, 1913
30 x 39 1/2 (76.2 x 100.3)
Oil on canvas

Gift (Partial and Promised) of Natalie Davis Spingarn in Memory of Her Grandmother, Linda R. Miller

As the year that witnessed New York's Armory Show, 1913 marked a watershed in the development of American modernism and a critical moment in the career of one of its most sophisticated exponents. Max Weber painted Interior of the Fourth Dimension during this remarkably fertile year in which he forged a highly personalized cubist style. Having returned to New York in 1909 from a formative sojourn in Paris, Weber easily kept pace with the most progressive international developments in painting. With Interior of the Fourth Dimension the artist gave form to the theories of an ideal geometry that he had begun to formulate during his three and a half years in Europe.

By 1913 the concept of the fourth dimension was a fashionable topic of discussion among the New York intelligentsia and the subject of numerous articles in the popular press. Pseudoscientific in tone, these publications identified the fourth dimension with an unearthly sphere, one described in psychic and mystical terms. As early as 1910, however, Weber composed a short essay, "The Fourth Dimension from a Plastic Point of View," that was issued in Alfred Stieglitz' magazine Camera Work. As the first publication that addressed the role of the fourth dimension in art, Weber's ideas would wield considerable influence in this country, particularly throughout the Stieglitz circle, as well as in France. Although Weber's concept of the fourth dimension was fundamentally spiritual, he argued that its artistic expression must be firmly rooted in perceived reality, for the "greatest dream or vision is that which is given plastically through the observation of things in nature."

When the painting was exhibited in Baltimore in 1915, Weber's description of it was quoted in a local newspaper: "The interior of the fourth dimension is the space around an art form which is stirred by the essence with which that form was vested by the artist." It is this expressive, emotional potential of a higher dimension in art that Weber strove to achieve, and it could best be communicated through "a great and overwhelming sense of space magnitude in all directions at one time."

As a pictorial analogue for this "dimension of infinity," Interior of the Fourth Dimension suggests an enormous, expansive space. Although the semiabstract nature of the composition lends itself to multiple readings, the forms suggest an immense architecture, one no doubt triggered by the spectacle of New York that Weber had begun to record in his paintings by 1912. Cubist scaffolding evokes a myriad of towering edifices or the cavernous interior of an enormous Gothic cathedral, producing a dramatically modern image of sublimity. The somber palette, characteristic of much of Weber's work in 1913, and the black areas that border the composition suggest a nocturnally luminous city, anticipating New York at Night and other dynamic New York compositions of 1915. Weber's use of transparent planes and sequentially deployed forms suggests his familiarity with Marcel Duchamp's Nude Descending a Staircase, No. 2, 1912, that caused such a stir at the Armory Show. In 1915 Weber would paint his own interpretation of that work, Rush Hour New York, a work also in the National Gallery's collection.

Marla Prather

NOTES
1. There is a preparatory study of the same title in gouache (18 1/2 x 24 in.) for this painting in the Baltimore Museum of Art. It is incorrectly dated 1914.
**MARC CHAGALL**  
1887–1985

**Féla and Odilon**, 1915  
Gouache  
16⅞ x 13¼ (42.55 x 33.66)

Gift of Evelyn Nef in Memory of  
John U. Nef

*Féla and Odilon* is a splendid gouache from Chagall's early period, with an especially free and playful technique. Chagall took the unusual step of using real lace to print the design in the shawl around the shoulders of the woman. The style is more natural than in most of Chagall's work from this period. There are no flying people, no dreamy visions.

*Féla and Odilon* is a much livelier and more colorful work, but is of the same size and is basically the same image as the painting *Maternity*, 1914. Several suggestions have been offered about their subjects, including that they portray Bella Rosenfeld, Chagall's future wife. If so, Chagall would have been projecting into the future in anticipation of their having a child of their own, for their first child, Ida, was not born until the spring of 1916. However, Chagall seems to have painted no other works about the future. And if he were foretelling such an event, surely he would have inserted himself into the scene as the future father. Another suggestion is that the subject is Chagall's sister Lisa who had recently been married. However, she had not had a child at that point either.

I would suggest a third possibility, that the two works are portraits of Féla Poznanska, the first wife of Chagall's close friend, the poet Blaise Cendrars. Supporting the proposal that Féla is the mother in both the painting and the gouache is the resemblance of the mother in both the painting and the gouache to Féla. The woman in both works has straight hair that grows forward, falling toward her round face with its low forehead, just as Féla Cendrars appears in photographs. Bella's forehead, on the other hand, was high and her hair, which looks very wavy both in photographs and paintings, seems to have grown away from her narrow face.

Chagall knew the Cendrars well when he lived in Paris. As the couple spoke Russian, they undoubtedly helped to alleviate any homesickness that Chagall was feeling. When Chagall was asked what the most important events in his life were, he answered, “My meeting with Blaise Cendrars and the Russian Revolution.” Cendrars, in fact, provided titles for some of Chagall's paintings. Chagall inscribed the name Cendrars as one of four names surrounding a heart in his painting *Homage to Apollinaire*, 1911–1912.

Unfortunately the friendship was fated to be a stormy one. Upon Chagall's return to Paris with Bella after the war, he discovered that paintings he had stored with the dealer Ambroise Vollard had been sold. Chagall may have believed that Cendrars was partly to blame for this unwanted sale, since Cendrars had authenticated the paintings for Vollard. They rarely spoke again until they reconciled in 1961 when Cendrars was dying.

This beautiful gouache is the National Gallery's first Chagall drawing. The Gallery owns a painting by Chagall, *Houses at Vitebsk*, 1917, given by the Nefs and the William Wood Princes.

**Barbara Read Ward**

---

**PROVENANCE**  
Philippe Loeb; sold 1920s to John Nef.

**NOTES**

8. Alexander 1978, 261. Cendrars had written to Chagall to tell him that Vollard wanted him to return for a commission.
ERICH HECKEL
1883–1970

Young Woman, 1913
Woodcut on japan paper
10 3/4 x 6 1/2 (26 x 17.3)

Gift (Partial and Promised) of
Daryl R. Rubenstein and
Lee G. Rubenstein

In the year the Brücke disbanded, Eric Heckel, one of the founding members of the group, had his first solo exhibition and also made Young Woman.

Born and raised in Saxony, Heckel studied architecture at the Dresden Technische Hochschule during 1904 and 1905. All the founding members of the Brücke were students at the Hochschule, and none of them originally trained to be professional artists. Heckel was the group’s manager and practical organizer, and his strong concept of loyalty held the friends together for years.

During World War I Heckel voluntarily served as a Red Cross orderly in Flanders. After the war he returned to Berlin where he resided for most of each year until 1944, from then until his death making his home at Lake Constance. In 1937 his art was declared degenerate by the National Socialist government. More than seven hundred works were removed from German museums and confiscated. During a bombing raid in January 1944 his Berlin studio was destroyed; he lost numerous paintings as well as his wood blocks and etching plates. Between 1949 and 1955 he taught at the Fine Arts Academy in Karlsruhe.

Throughout his career graphics were a significant part of Heckel’s artistic production. In 1903 he began with woodcut, and in 1906 he made his first etching; altogether some 460 woodcuts and nearly two hundred etchings are known. For Heckel and most of the Brücke artists, woodcut was the preferred graphic medium. The stark contrasts of black and white, the sharp linear definition, and the emphasis on surface over depth appealed to their search for new means of visual representation. Further, the resistance of the wood forced the carver to reduce forms to their simplest, most direct shapes.

The bold, stunning simplification of Young Woman equally derives from Heckel’s personal preference for stylization over factual accuracy. This inclination emanates from two other influences. On the more immediate level, it comes from Heckel’s exposure both to South Seas art in 1908 and to cubism in the years following his move to Berlin. On a more lasting level, it extends back to his architectural training and to his lifelong interest in discovering the basic structures that underlie natural phenomena.

The palpable feeling of ennui that pervades many of Heckel’s works from this period, including Young Woman, strikes a discordant note within his oeuvre. It is at odds with the vital and dynamic images created during his years in Dresden and may well reflect the sense of crisis and impending doom that many German intellectuals so acutely perceived in the years immediately prior to World War I.

Several reworkings of Young Woman are known. In its final state, it was published in the periodical Genius in 1920. However, the proof presented to the National Gallery is unnumbered and was produced before the printing of the 1913 edition. The National Gallery has a small collection of Heckel’s graphic art, including nine woodcuts but only three from the crucial year 1913. Thus, this fine proof on japan paper adds greatly to the collection with not only an intense and moving image but also an impression of high quality.

Christopher With
Like any artist with a career of more than sixty years, Paul Strand explored many different subjects and styles. Reflecting the influence on his thinking of several of the dominant artistic, social, and cultural ideas that gripped the twentieth century, his art includes such diverse subjects as softly focused, romantic landscapes and highly detailed studies of machines; precisionist celebrations of the urban environment and anthropomorphic photographs of nature; cubist-inspired abstractions and humanistic portraits. Despite this variety, his work is unified by his unwavering commitment to two principles, discovered just shortly before the First World War and defended until his death in 1976. Pledged to the belief that art must be intimately expressive of and responsive to the contemporary world of its maker, Strand was equally insistent that the artist must repeatedly reexamine his work, experimenting with new forms, subjects, styles, and even media to make this reality known. It was these beliefs that not only allowed him to respond to the changing world around him, but mandated that he do so.

It was also these tenets that propelled him to create a group of photographs in 1915, 1916, and 1917 that can only be described as prescient. Breaking from conventional style, he conducted a series of methodical yet inspired experiments, infusing his work with the most current issues of the art and culture of his time. Responding to the innovations of the cubists, he made a series of still lifes in the summer of 1916 in order to understand, as he wrote, “the underlying principles behind Picasso and the others in their organization of the picture’s space, of their unity, of what that organization contained, and the problem of making a two-dimensional area have a three-dimensional character.” His aim, however, was not simply to create cubist-like abstractions, but to see what lessons he could apply to his studies of the real world. He used that knowledge in his photograph The White Fence, made in the fall of 1916. Unlike the abstractions, which were painstakingly constructed, this photograph is of a found object; it is an image discovered and extracted from the real world. And yet Strand’s placement of the bold white fence in the foreground of the picture, coupled with the strong formal integration of light and dark tones throughout the photograph, creates a work that calls into question the same issues of space, dimensionality, and structure that the cubists addressed.

This was not the only experiment Strand made in 1916. At the same time he was also making a series of candid portraits, such as Workman, of people on the streets in New York City. Although such well-known photographers as Jacob Riis or Lewis Hine had documented the plight of the poor and the working class in the slums of New York, few had insisted, as Strand did, that the results were works of art. Like Picasso who incorporated newspaper and chair caning into his works, Strand wanted to inject the real world into his art. In addition, however, he also wanted to reveal distinctly American types that had often been overlooked by art and literature: for just as each of the pickets in The White Fence is slightly different from all the others and just as the fence, barn, and house are readily identifiable in their simplicity and solidity as American structures, so too is the workman, in his expression, stance, and clothing, a clearly recognizable American type.

Throughout the 1920s Strand continued
to be involved with these issues, using his photographs to scrutinize the American rural and urban landscape. His photographs from the early 1920s, such as *The Truckman's House, 1922*, reveal his continuing fascination with abstract art (fig. 1). In addition, like so many artists of his generation, Strand was preoccupied with defining the nature of the American experience. He wanted, as he wrote in a series of articles published between 1920 and 1924, to try “to come to grips with the difficult reality of America, to break through the crust of mere appearance” and create images that “shoved [us] into the core of our world,” made us “experience something which is our own, as nothing which has grown in Europe can be our own.” As in *Wild Iris, Maine* (fig. 2), Strand often brought his camera in extremely close to the objects he was photographing, making studies that are, as he insisted, “sharply particularized.”

During the Depression, Strand shifted his attention to filmmaking, believing that to be the most effective means to communicate the pressing social and political issues of the time. When he returned to still photography in the early 1940s, he again sought to define the nature of the American character and experience. Yet this time he decided to focus his attention on one region of the country, New England. As in his earlier works, in *Toward the Sugar House, Vermont* he again celebrated the straightforward functional grace of the simple wooden building. By framing the tree within the opening of the wooden structure, he also commented on the delicate balance between natural and man-made objects. In addition, however, Strand brought to this project his experience as a filmmaker and sought to create a more extended portrait that both visually and verbally addressed the underlying themes of social and cultural development of the region. Collaborating with Nancy Newhall, who selected texts by New England authors from the seventeenth to the twentieth century, Strand published *Time in New England* in 1950, a work that speaks of the spiritual and moral wholeness of New England,
of its peoples' interaction with nature, their simplicity, and most of all their religious faith.

The Southwestern Bell Corporation has generously given six Strand photographs—these five plus Rebecca, New Mexico, 1931—to the National Gallery in honor of the fiftieth anniversary. Including the earliest known print of The White Fence, the only extant print of Workman, as well as excellent examples of other key works from his career, this gift is a significant addition to the Gallery's collection. In addition, Southwestern Bell has also promised to donate the remaining fifty-five works in their Paul Strand Collection. This will enable the Gallery to preserve the full range of Strand's art, from his earliest experiments in the 1910s to the last images in the 1970s. Southwestern Bell Foundation is also sponsoring the Paul Strand exhibition, which opened at the Gallery in December 1990 and will tour throughout the United States and Europe. With these two benefactions, Southwestern Bell has become the first corporation in the Gallery's history to fund an exhibition and at the same time give a major collection of works of art.

Sarah Greenough
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NOTES
1. Some of Strand’s abstractions were also made after his release from the Army in the summer of 1919. Strand, quoted in an interview with William Inness Homer, Alfred Stieglitz and the American Avant-Garde (Boston, 1977), 246.

Paul Klee
1879–1940

Persische Nachtigallen, 1917
Gouache, watercolor, pen and ink, graphite on paper, mounted on cardboard
9 x 7½ (22.8 x 18.1)

Gift (Partial and Promised) of Catherine Gamble Curran and Family

Although Paul Klee has generally been viewed outside the history of the avant-garde, his influence was widely felt and affirms his inclusion in it.1 Having moved from Switzerland to Munich in his late twenties, he allied himself with the artists of the Blaue Reiter (Blue Rider).2 Following the First World War his work was exhibited with the dadaists3 in Zurich.4 And from 1920 until 1931 he taught with the constructivists at the German Bauhaus. Even the surrealists working in Paris were keenly inspired by his art. They praised its miniature aspect and marveled at its poetic quality, particularly in works such as Persische Nachtigallen (Persian Nightingales).

In his teenage years Klee compiled three zoological notebooks, two devoted entirely to renderings and descriptions of birds. In years to come birds were a constant source of inspiration for Klee who must have envied their aerial view of the universe and freedom from earthly constraints.5 Although he rarely designated an individual species, in 1917 in the midst of the Great War he devoted a series of works, including a poem,6 to the nightingale. Probably it was the melodious song of this nocturnal bird that Klee, an ardent music lover, found so compelling.

Just as Klee integrated musical aspects into the plastic arts, so too did he experiment with the synthesis of language. In Persische Nachtigallen the Arabic letter R (balanced on the right by what appears to be an N) resides as an abstract sign and inhabits the same space and reality as the nonabstract elements: the nightingales, the stars, and the diversity of moons. These letters preside as symbols of language, as sounds that echo the nightingales' song, and as shapes within an abstract framework. Perhaps better than any artist of his generation, Klee understood the synthesis of parts and masterfully utilized its potential for poetic expression.

Hugo Ball, founder of the dada movement, wrote of Klee: "In an age of the colossal he falls in love with a green leaf, a star, a butterfly's wing, and since the heavens and all infinity are reflected in them, he paints those in too."7 Persische Nachtigallen reflects in miniature this wondrous and microcosmic vision of the universe: the earth below, the heavens above, and God's creatures between. The nightingales teeter within a tensile space architectonic in its design. Individual shapes shift one against the other, each within the confines of Klee's wiry line, each flooded with thin washes of color. Although perfectly balanced for the moment, one senses that just a tiny slip of a line in one direction or another would set the whole structure tumbling.8

Prior to the occasion of the National Gallery's fiftieth anniversary, the Gallery had only three drawings by Paul Klee in its collection. Now three additional drawings of exceptional quality have been added. Persische Nachtigallen, the gift of Catherine Gamble Curran and her family, is especially welcome as the earliest work in the group and the National Gallery's only one from the nightingale series. It is perhaps one of the loveliest watercolors that Klee ever produced and sets the stage for his supremely inventive achievements that would follow.

Judith Brodie
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Notes
2. In 1912 Klee participated in the group's second exhibition and had one work illustrated in their Almanac. See The Blaue Reiter Almanac, ed. Klaus Lankheit (New York, 1974), 197.
3. Hugo Ball included Klee's work in Galerie Dada's inaugural exhibitions, March and April 1917.
5. "Because I came, blossoms opened, / Fullness is about, because I am. / My ear conjured for my heart / The nightingale's song. / I am father to all, / All on the stars, / And in the farthest places. / And / Because I went, evening came / And cloud garments / Robed the light. / Because I went, / Nothing threw its shadow / Over everything. / O / You thorn / In the silver swelling fruit!" Paul Klee, The Diaries of Paul Klee, 1898–1918, ed. Felix Klee (Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1964), 375.
7. Klee may have been reflecting on the precariousness of life during World War I.
Between 1917 and 1937 Alfred Stieglitz made more than 330 finished portraits of Georgia O’Keeffe. Entranced not just with her face, Stieglitz photographed all parts of O’Keeffe’s body. However, from the very first time he photographed her in 1917 to his last images from the 1930s, he was also fascinated with her hands, making more than forty studies of them during this twenty-year period.

For Stieglitz, O’Keeffe’s hands were as much an index of her personality as her face, and in many of these images they assume the same qualities and attributes he revealed in his other, more traditional portraits of her. For example, celebrating O’Keeffe as artist and creator, Stieglitz often recorded her hands encircling her own drawings; signaling her independence, he photographed her hands resting on the wheel of her car; or revealing her as nurturer and provider, he depicted her hands peeling apples and doing other chores. Yet surely one of the most expressive of these studies of hands is this work from 1918. Emerging from the black background, O’Keeffe’s dexterous hands appear to dance before Stieglitz’s camera. Her elegant, graceful fingers, glistening with the supple sheen of the skin, reverberate with energy, creating an image of great sensuality.

When O’Keeffe donated the key set to the Gallery in 1949, Georgia O’Keeffe: A Portrait—Hands, 1918, and Georgia O’Keeffe: A Portrait, 1918 were noted on the list of objects to be given, but they were never transferred and were presumed missing. In the spring of 1990, after her death, they were discovered among O’Keeffe’s possessions and, through the generosity of the O’Keeffe Foundation, have been added to the Gallery’s collection, thus ensuring that the key set is the complete entity O’Keeffe originally envisioned.

Sarah Greenough

PROVENANCE
Georgia O’Keeffe; the Georgia O’Keeffe Estate, 1987; The Georgia O’Keeffe Foundation, 1990.
In more than seventy large woodcuts from 1915 to 1919 Kirchner created the greatest series of portraits in twentieth-century printmaking. Primarily in black and white, these did include a small number in color. The latter culminated aesthetically in two works, the unique monotype version of Kirchner’s 1917 Self-Portrait and this 1919 Blond Painter Stirner, itself recorded in only three impressions.

Kirchner painted, drew, and printed portraits throughout his life. However, during these five years the woodcut portraits are extraordinary in quality, scale, and number; they outnumber his portraits in any other single medium by three to one.

As a result of the disasters of his draft into the army in 1915 and again in 1916, his subsequent breakdowns and visits to sanatoria, and his auto accident in Berlin, Kirchner was physically and mentally debilitated. He clung to his life-giving art by force of emotion. The Swabian landscape and people. In more than seventy large woodcuts from 1915 to 1919 Kirchner created the greatest series of portraits in twentieth-century printmaking. Primarily in black and white, these did include a small number in color. The latter culminated aesthetically in two works, the unique monotype version of Kirchner’s 1917 Self-Portrait and this 1919 Blond Painter Stirner, itself recorded in only three impressions.

As a result of the disasters of his draft into the army in 1915 and again in 1916, his subsequent breakdowns and visits to sanatoria, and his auto accident in Berlin, Kirchner was physically and mentally debilitated. He clung to his life-giving art by force of will alone. This intensely inward period led to extreme sensitivity to the features and personalities of others and to a desire to capture a wide variety of acquaintances, intimate as well as casual, in portraits. Karl Stirner (1882–1943) was a painter, illustrator, and author who concentrated on the Swabian landscape and people. He visited Kirchner in the summer of 1919 for three weeks to study painting and woodcutting. During that period Kirchner painted his portrait, seated at a table with a wine glass and a cat, with background elements that resemble landscape. Like other cases where a monumental woodcut portrait succeeded a painting, Kirchner has here greatly intensified the expressive and symbolic aspects. As in the painted portrait, Kirchner’s woodcut shows Stirner as a pensive, melancholy man. However, here the abstract background of deep purple heightens the inwardness of Stirner’s look, a sense of solitude enhanced by the dark sickle moon that echoes and presses on the curve of his head. Stirner is no longer surrounded by his environment, which now, beyond the moon, consists of only three forms. Rather, he seems to be inwardly dreaming or mentally picturing it. The black cat, a frequent feature of Kirchner’s years in Switzerland, no longer plays on a table in front of Stirner, but is reduced to a tiny image imprinted on his neck, inevitably reminiscent of Kirchner’s interest in what he called “hieroglyphs.” The figures on either side are much more ambiguous. Such subsidiary objects in Kirchner’s woodcut portraits of this period are like comments on the sitter—sometimes open and straightforward, as in the peasants with their backgrounds of rural objects, sometimes more mysterious and evocative, as in the dark portrait of van de Velde with its abstract flurry of thrusting and pointed forms in the background. Here the figure on the left could be male or female, whereas the figure on the right is surely a nude woman with short hair. Her likeness to some of Kirchner’s carved wooden statues leaves us wondering whether these may even be objects from the studio. Probably, however, like the provocatively similar face-to-face man and woman in the culminating image of Kirchner’s 1913 series on Petrarch’s Triumph of Love, these are symbols of the eternal longing between man and woman, and may even represent Kirchner’s perception of the ground for Stirner’s melancholy. As he stands alone in the purple night under a dark moon and his intensely blue eyes rivet our gaze, is Stirner also what Kirchner called a “paraphrase,” specifically a paraphrase of longing for lost or unattainable love?

This wonderful woodcut and Kirchner’s 1909 Performer Bowing in this same exhibition mark a special and continuing contribution to the National Gallery. The Gallery had for some years a good collection of German expressionist prints, including a wide range of artists as well as extensive groups by Kollwitz, Müller, Barlach, Nolde, and Schmidt-Rottluff. However, the greatest printmaker of the period, Kirchner, was represented by only seven examples. Ruth and Jacob Kainen, in addition to their outstanding donations in other fields, have transformed the Gallery’s collection of Kirchner’s prints, beginning with their gift in 1985 of the great 1914 woodcut Five Tarts and continuing every year to add further masterpieces, so that the Gallery’s collection is now three times as large in number and immeasurably stronger in the quality of works by this extraordinary artist.

Andrew Robison
ERNST LUDWIG KIRCHNER
1880–1938

_Umbra Vitae_, 1924
Book by Georg Heym, designed and illustrated with 50 woodcuts by Kirchner
Maquette 8½ x 5¼ (21.7 x 14.5)
Published version 9¼ x 6½ (23.5 x 16.5)

Promised Gift of Ruth and Jacob Kainen

Frequently referred to as the finest German expressionist book and one of the finest illustrated books of the twentieth century, Kirchner’s *Umbra Vitae* was entirely designed by him: typography, layout, binding, colors, endpapers, frontispiece, and illustrations. The Kainen maquette is Kirchner’s own personal record of his trials and preparation for every aspect of the publication.

Kirchner was one of the best-read of modern artists, so it was quite natural for him to create series of woodcuts or book illustrations inspired by sympathetic literary texts. Walt Whitman was his favorite poet, his “leader and guide in perspective on life,” and Kirchner saw Heym’s poetry as a continuation of Whitman’s, “a Whitman translated into the German psyche, who prophetically saw and wrote of our times in the past decade.”

Seen from the end of the second decade, Heym, who died at the age of twenty-four in a skating accident at the beginning of 1912, certainly did seem prophetic in his visions of the universal conflagration of war, the confusion in the individual’s search for meaning in the face of death, and the strange ambiguities of environments both in nature and in the city.

Struck by Heym’s provocative imagery and sympathetic themes, Kirchner began privately to prepare small woodcuts, which he printed by hand in his own copy of the 1912 first edition. Each of these powerful cuts was inspired by its own poem or stanza. The size of each was made to fit the varying blank spaces at the end of the poems in the 1912 edition; and in many cases Kirchner printed the cuts on top of his preliminary pencil sketches in those spaces. The strong differences in style and their relation to other works make it likely Kirchner prepared these woodcuts over four or five years, from the late teens through the early twenties.

The initiative to take this very personal document and turn it into a published presentation came from Hans Mardersteig, Kirchner’s neighbor in Davos who visited him occasionally and thus had seen his private copy. Mardersteig worked for the Munich publisher Kurt Wolff, who originally wanted to publish a new portfolio of Kirchner’s prints. However, Wolff eventually took Mardersteig’s advice in February 1922 to focus on *Umbra Vitae*.²

While his exact procedure can only be surmised from internal evidence in the maquette, it appears on stylistic grounds likely that after Kirchner agreed to the commission in 1922 he may have finished illustrations for three or four of the poems. Perhaps at this point he also added for the table of contents an impression from a much earlier woodblock of 1905, which he recut to bring it closer to his contemporary style. It was surely then that Kirchner decided to replace one of his smaller cuts (here apparently in a unique impression) with a full-page work incorporating his own cutting of Heym’s text for the poem “Alle Landschaften Haben.” Kirchner also prepared a two-color frontispiece as well as two different double-page cuts for endpapers and a wrap-around two-color woodcut for the cover. As his sense for the total design of the book grew, Kirchner made impressions of all these additions, including a print of the cover woodcut on suede, and had his original edition taken apart and rebound with the appropriate insertions to give a true model of the finished work. This, the maquette copy, he then annotated with extensive instructions to the printer about type, arrangement of page, colors, method of printing—with some variation for individual cuts and a strong stress on the unity of picture and text throughout.

This fascinating maquette shows an extraordinarily sensitive printing and a personal quality in each woodcut, by comparison with its published version, as well as in the whole. The maquette’s subsequent history can be traced through Kirchner’s inscription, with understandable insistence, that the copy belonged to him and must be returned as soon as it was no longer needed by the printer. Kirchner completed its very personal meaning by inscribing and giving it to his wife Erna, in memory of her father who was a printer in Berlin.

Andrew Robison
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Ernst Ludwig Kirchner; Erna Kirchner; Walter Kern, stamp (Lugt 1567a) and annotation “from Mrs. Kirchner, 20 June 1940”; Galerie Kornfeld, Bern, 21 June 1985, no. 104; Ruth Cole Kainen.

NOTES
2. Eberhard W. Kornfeld, _Ernst Ludwig Kirchner: Nachzeichnung seines Lebens_ (Bern, 1979), 216–217.
GEORG HEYM
UMBRA VITAE
NACHGELASSENE
GEDICHTE

MIT 47 ORIGINALHOLZSCHNITTEN
VON
ERNST LUDWIG KIRCHNER

KURT WOLFF VERLAG MÜNCHEN
1924
In the summer of 1919 Kurt Schwitters invented the concept of *Merz*, which amounted to a one-man art movement. *Merz* became the nomenclature by which Schwitters categorized his drawings, collages, and assemblages. These two collages are characterized as *Merzzeichnungen*, or “Merz drawings,” abbreviated by the artist to *Mz*.

In 1920, Schwitters created a number of small, exquisite collages that are among the most satisfying works he ever made. A poet in his own right, he often gave humorous, wonderfully evocative titles to his works. *Herz-Klee* (Heart-Clover) delights both in German and English. Though the title refers to the hearts in the composition, the shapes of which are analogous to clover leaves, it is equally playful on a verbal level. “Merz” rhymes with “Herz,” and the association between the two words evokes much of the charm of these works. Moreover, Paul Klee was among the artists Schwitters most admired, one who worked with similar materials on an equally minuscule scale. Thus “Herz Klee” becomes a humorous variation on “Herr Klee.”

Like other collages produced around this time, *Herz-Klee* is composed of heterogeneous elements that, when assembled, produce a formally unified whole. Thin fragments of torn paper intersect and overlap, giving a dense textural quality, and painted passages of reddish-brown and black impart an overall softness and tonal warmth. Like nostalgic remnants from a past era, the papers seem darkened or stained with age, a mood underscored by glimpses of letters in a traditional Gothic typeface.

Schwitters worked intuitively and improvisationally, selecting his materials from the mounds of effluvia he collected and shifting them around a page until he achieved the desired composition. Although he wanted to disassociate them from any specific context, the contents of his collages have a timely, almost diaristic quality, like “miniature epistles of everyday experience.”

The torn tram tickets or liquor labels constitute the ephemera of a life, here clearly an urban, specifically German one, and the charred effect produced by patches of black paint evoke the ravages of recent war.

*Merz 30, 7* stands in strong contrast to the earlier work. Colors close in value make a spare, elegant work, light in both weight and tonality. In this more stringent composition, one informed by constructivist examples, Schwitters aligned the mostly scissors-cut forms with the edge. At the right, a letter addressed to Herrn and Frau Schwitters in Hannover constitutes the only handmade form, though in a writing not the artist’s. On the same piece of paper a large G arrests the eye by its modern typeface and jarring disjunction of scale. It is no doubt a remnant from the magazine *G*, issued by Hans Richter and Werner Graeff in 1923–1924, to which Schwitters contributed.

Like the paper elements, words are cropped and elusive, deprived of their syntactic role but provocative nonetheless. “Bitt,” for example, suggest a popular German beer named Bittburg. The label was probably taken from a Dutch cookie package, however, and is completed at the lower center where its other half is turned on its side.

These two collages are the first works by Schwitters to enter the National Gallery.

*Marla Prather*

---

**NOTE**

ANDRE DERAIN
1880–1954

Head of a Woman, 1920
Charcoal on paper
23 7/8 x 18 (59.64 x 45.72)

MARSSEN HARTLEY
1877–1943

Plums and Pears, 1927
Pencil on paper
14 1/2 x 11 3/4 (36.83 x 29.85)

Gift of Warren and Grace Brandt

As a soldier in the French army, André Derain wrote to his friend and fellow painter Maurice Vlaminck toward the end of the First World War: “I only want to paint portraits, real ones with hands, hair, life itself.” Following the demobilization in 1918, Derain made good that wish. For a time his prime subject became the figure, as he made numerous paintings and drawings of women.

Derain had long ago abandoned the fauve style upon which his fame still largely rests. Having fallen under the spell of Cézanne, he gradually developed an idiosyncratic, classicizing realism that emphasized clear outlines, strong modeling, and carefully balanced compositions. Above all, Derain drained his painting of that feature which had been central to fauvism: color. His post-fauve paintings are somber, thickly brushed in dark tones, browns, and black.

Although informed by the same principles as those paintings, Derain’s later drawings make a quite different effect. Purity of line is emphasized and tonal transitions are subtle. In his many drawings of female heads and nudes from the 1920s, Derain seemed intent to reconcile the geometric simplifications of cubism with the tradition of French figure drawing stretching back to Ingres.

Head of a Woman is certainly among the strongest and most beautiful of Derain’s drawings of female heads. Pulling thick charcoal against a roughly textured sheet of laid paper, Derain achieved a range of tonal gradations. Outlines of face and hair are firmly drawn, standing out against the white paper, while interior forms are delicately modeled. Smoothly curving lines that define chin and eyes betray an urge to generalize form while contrasting with the more specified treatment of nose and mouth and the lovely mass of freely drawn hair.

Derain’s model for Head of a Woman appears in a number of his paintings and drawings of the early 1920s. The same woman takes a similar pose in Derain’s painting Woman in an Armchair, 1920/1925, part of the Chester Dale bequest to the National Gallery. The Gallery’s collection of Derains, which began with Dale’s gift of eight paintings in 1963, now totals fifteen paintings, as well as a suite of engravings, a suite of woodcuts, a drypoint, a lithograph, and a color woodcut. Head of a Woman is the first Derain drawing to enter the collection.

Along with Head of a Woman, Warren and Grace Brandt have generously offered to the National Gallery for its fiftieth anniversary a pencil drawing by Marsden Hartley, Plums and Pears, 1927. Between 1926 and 1928 Hartley lived near Cézanne’s home in Aix-en-Provence. There Hartley, a pioneer of American modernism who had produced strikingly original reinterpretations of the most advanced painting of the contemporary European avant-garde, devoted himself to the example of Cézanne. He painted and drew many of Cézanne’s motifs in a style conspicuously indebted to that of the French master. A lovely example of those drawings, Plums and Pears is elegant and spare. Contours are more firmly drawn than in comparable works by Cézanne, and the flatness of forms rather than their volume is emphasized. An homage to his great predecessor, Plums and Pears is the work of an artist who understood and fully appreciated the use made of Cézanne’s work by painters pursuing the ends of abstraction. Drawn in passages of parallel pencil strokes with graphite rubbed between passages making a delicate overall silver tone, Plums and Pears joins an earlier Marsden Hartley drawing, Self-Portrait, 1918, in the National Gallery collection, as well as two lithographs and three paintings.

Jeremy Strick
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LOVIS CORINTH
1858–1925

_Totentanz_, 1922
Portfolio of 5 soft-ground etchings,
on japan paper, with title page
9 7/16 x 7 (23.9 x 17.78)
Gift in Memory of Sigbert H. Marcy

Lovis Corinth was born and raised in East Prussia. After studying in Königsberg and Munich, he spent three years in Paris where he trained with the academic painters Robert Fleury and William-Adolphe Bougereau. Back in Germany by 1887, Corinth eventually settled in Berlin in the summer of 1900, joining his friends and colleagues Max Liebermann and Walter Leistikow. Both of these artists were German impressionists who had broken away from the Berlin Academy and formed a splinter group known as the “Sezession” in 1898. Corinth actively participated in the Sezession and in its exhibitions, and was elected its president in 1915. During the first decade of the twentieth century, Corinth rapidly shed his academic background, and his palette grew lighter and his brush work more bold and free. Together with Liebermann, Corinth quickly became one of the most important and fashionable painters in Berlin.

Although known primarily as a painter, the graphic arts were an intricate and significant part of Corinth’s total output. His first etching was created in 1891 and, in 1894, he began lithography. By the early 1920s Corinth was producing more than a hundred prints yearly. At least nine hundred prints by Corinth have been documented.

Corinth treated the theme of the Dance of Death at various times in his career, in both painted and graphic versions. However, the _Totentanz_ is his only extended commentary on the subject.

Recent scholarship suggests that the figures in _Totentanz_ are either family members or close friends. The artist in _Death and the Artist_ might be Corinth himself; the figures in the four other prints in the portfolio are probably his wife Charlotte, the etcher Hermann Struck and his wife, and his son Thomas. The old man in the print _Death and the Old Man_ is possibly Corinth’s own father, Franz Heinrich Corinth.

The _Totentanz_ portfolio was created during 1921 and published at the beginning of 1922 by Euphorion Verlag, Berlin. The image reproduced here, _Death and the Artist_, has its title etched into the plate directly above the head of the artist. The individual looks out at us with an intense yet doleful gaze. Holding either a pencil or engraving tool in his right hand, he attempts to copy the scene before him. Peering out from the background looms the skeletal figure of Death, who gently, yet noticeably, rests his hand upon the artist’s arm. This gesture makes Death an active participant in the artist’s struggle to commit his idea to paper.

In accordance with the traditional imagery of the _Totentanz_, Death is presented here as man’s constant companion through life. However, Corinth added his own interpretation: that the making of visual images is an attempt to win immortality for their creator and thereby to cheat Death.

This perpetual dialogue with Death is reinforced by the style. The composition does not render the scene literally, but rather conveys Corinth’s emotional response. This is especially evident in the treatment of light and dark and the handling of line. The finely nuanced varieties of highlights and shadows may derive from his knowledge of impressionism, but their total impact is less descriptive than evocative. Similarly, the linear patterns are both rationally calculated and willfully random in their placement across the page. This latter quality is especially striking in the delineation of the left side of the artist’s face and shoulder. Taken together, these two aspects impart a calculated mix of control and arbitrariness, violence and restraint, and reason and emotion. They express Corinth’s often contradictory responses to Death, art, and life.

This portfolio, on japan paper, exemplifies the remarkable collection of Corinth prints formed by Sigbert Marcy. As a personal friend of Corinth, who even etched his portrait, Marcy had remarkable opportunities to obtain works of the best quality from the artist. His connoisseurship is reflected in the collection of more than 150 Corinths, all of which are stunning in their high quality and fine condition. The _Totentanz_ in this exhibition represents the generous decision of the Marcy family to give the entire collection to the National Gallery. The Marcy Corinth Collection will transform the Gallery’s holdings, making it an important center for the study of Lovis Corinth’s prints.

Christopher With
The Visit is a superb late expressionist masterpiece by Kirchner. It depicts the interior of the artist’s Swiss mountain cabin In den Lärchen—named after the larch grove that surrounded it—where he moved in the autumn of 1918. The painting shows, on the left, Kirchner’s companion and common-law wife Erna Schilling greeting the young Dutch artist Jan Wiegers as he comes up the stairs; and on the right, in the background, the artist himself lying on a sofa smoking a pipe. This painting once carried the subtitle Couple and Guest.

Kirchner had gone to Switzerland to recover from his mental and physical collapse. At first he moved to a hut high on the Staffelalp, just south of Davos. Later, after a stay at a sanatorium on Lake Constance, he moved to the cabin at the foot of the mountain near Frauenkirch. The dramatic alpine scenery and simple peasant life proved restorative both artistically and personally. He decorated his abode, as he had his Dresden and Berlin studios, with textiles, sculptures and paintings. In The Visit we see a charming frieze of animals adorning the middle space.

During the postwar years, when he finally was free from the deleterious effects of the medicines he had been taking and began painting again, Kirchner enjoyed visits and letters from his friends and even dreamed of establishing a school of artists modeled on Gauguin’s collaborations in Brittany and van Gogh’s in Arles. During the twenties and thirties a number of young artists came to study with him, including Jan Wiegers who arrived in 1920.

The Visit reflects the evolution of his art during the decade preceding its creation. Toward the end of his Dresden period, Kirchner became more concerned with expression, and turned for inspiration to the primitive art of Africa, New Guinea, and India among other places. In the years immediately before and during the First World War, he had created the Berlin street scenes populated with demimondaines for which he is best known, as well as views of the Baltic island Fehmarn. In these revolutionary canvases Kirchner communicated the anxieties of modern existence through spatial tensions and ambiguities, distorted forms, and arbitrary colors, often using jagged zigzag strokes.

Then in the early twenties, from his alpine retreat, Kirchner began once again to work in a more relaxed style and to represent the spectacular scenery and picturesquely costumed villagers of Frauenkirch as well as his own domestic world. He came to favor large square canvases and his forms and spaces became equivalently monumental. While his earlier paintings contained few, if any, parallel lines, The Visit is a harmonious construction of right angles and perpendiculars. The dynamics of the composition are set up by the parallel horizontal lines in the foreground, which lead our eye into the picture and back into space on the right. Yet it is not at all certain what these lines represent: floorboards, a carpet with a geometric pattern, or even steps. The interior of the cabin remains provocatively ambiguous. Wiegers obviously ascends a staircase, but the positions of Erna Schilling and Kirchner are less clear. Is she standing on a landing or on another staircase? Does Kirchner occupy the same space or a loft-like area behind? The figures are blocky and geometrized, according to a canon that Donald Gordon characterized as “a rectangle with rounded corners.” Likewise the palette is simplified, consisting mainly of primary colors and their derivatives. These bright and cheerful colors imply a warm domesticity. They often are used non-naturalistically, however, especially in the figures’ hair and in the modeling of their faces: Wiegers’ hair is green streaked with red; Erna’s hair is dark blue streaked with red; and the faces of all three figures are modeled with broad patches of blue paint. Throughout Kirchner created a surface pattern of long, constructive strokes of evenly applied pigment.

This late autobiographical painting by Kirchner is a welcome complement to the two early canvases by this artist, the Two Nudes already in the collection of the National Gallery, and The Green House in Dresden, also a fiftieth-anniversary gift from Ruth and Jacob Kainen.

Elizabeth Pendleton Streicher

PROVENANCE
Kirchner Estate; Galerie Wolfgang Ketterer.

NOTES
FERNAND LÉGER
1881–1955

Two Women, 1922
Oil on canvas
35 3/4 x 23 (90.8 x 58.4)
Gift (Partial and Promised) of Richard S. Zeisler

Fernand Léger originated a distinct cubist style during the second decade of this century. In the early 1920s he both embraced and influenced the aesthetic of Purism as espoused by his friends Le Corbusier and Amedée Ozenfant. The clean, geometric forms of mechanized industry and mass production were prized as the harbingers of a renewed social and aesthetic order. Many of Léger’s paintings took mechanical devices as their subject, and all of his paintings were informed by a style of cool precision and exacting workmanship.

Women occupied a traditional place within Léger’s ideal new order. Counterpoints to the urban world of industry and work, Léger’s many depictions of women embody a domestic realm of tranquility and leisure. Nevertheless he treated his depictions of women no differently than the most austere mechanical form: edges are sharp, colors are distinct, and modeling follows a conspicuously stylized formula.

Léger often produced more than one version of his important compositions, and Two Women, 1922, is no exception. The first version (marked “1er ETAT” on its back), Les deux femmes debout, in the Musée National d’Art Moderne, Paris, is slightly smaller than Two Women.² The two paintings are close in almost every detail, differing principally in the landscape viewed from the window at upper left.

Two Women also relates in a more general fashion to a number of paintings by the artist of parallel subject. These include the monumental La Mère et l’enfant of 1922 and Nus sur fond rouge, 1923 (both in the Basel Kunstmuseum), and the Deux femmes sur fond bleu of 1927 (private collection, Solothurn), among others. All of these paintings show two women, one older, one younger, either in an interior or against a uniform background. In style and subject it relates as well to one of Léger’s most famous series, that culminating in Le Grand Déjeuner of 1921 (The Museum of Modern Art, New York). Within this large group of paintings, Two Women is notable for its poignant combination of sharply delineated details of a domestic interior and precise human forms with the evident warmth and tenderness of the figures who clasp each other tightly.

The first major Léger to enter the National Gallery collection, Two Women makes an indispensable addition the Gallery’s holdings. The collection already includes the quite fascinating Léger portrait Maud Dale, 1935, and Woman with a Mirror, 1929. Two Women is a particularly fine treatment of one of the artist’s key subjects, painted at one of his most important and original moments.

Jeremy Strick

NOTES
Young Woman Seated in an Armchair is an idealized portrait of Olga Koklova, Picasso's first wife. The idealized features of the woman in this gouache, her large body, and the classically inspired hairdo and clothing are typical for Picasso in this period.

Alfred Barr effectively located the origin and growth of Picasso's neoclassical style in the early 1920s as a part of the general movement in various arts in Paris toward classicism. This movement can be seen not only in the visual arts but also in music, dance, and theater, the latter of which were very important to Picasso at just this time. In 1917 Picasso had visited the antiquities in Pompeii, Naples, and Rome, which may have been a background foundation for his neoclassical style although it did not actually surface until three years later.

Young Woman Seated in an Armchair is related to the huge female figures that Picasso made in 1920–1921. He has said that his interest in monumental proportions stemmed from his childhood experience of playing under the dining room table and seeing the thick ankles of his aunt, a solid and reassuring memory that he later transferred into his art.1 However, his attraction was not only to the large size of such figures, but also to their serenity and balance. His portrayal of these classical female figures seated in armchairs began as early as 1920, but increased markedly in 1921 after the birth of his son, Paolo, when Picasso produced a series of paintings and drawings showing Olga, draped in a classical shift, holding their son and seated in this same clearly recognizable armchair. As usual with Picasso, his reworking of a vision transforms it, in this case leading from the heavier and heavier figures to a sudden break with this work and another where Olga is once more a relatively slim and youthful woman.

Drawn on a pale blue painted background, the woman's massive body fits comfortably into the sketchy upholstered chair. The chair is given just enough form to hold her rounded limbs and body. A few drawn lines behind the chair are all that is necessary to show that it occupies the corner of a room. Her long hair, caught with a bow and falling in curls down her back, makes this pensive woman seem less austere.

One of the fascinating aspects of Picasso's neoclassical works is his exploration through these massive figures of the artistic problem of scale. His images in this period appear monumental but may in fact be surprisingly small. That is undoubtedly the explanation for Zervos having mistakenly thought that this drawing was an oil painting on canvas.

Young Woman Seated in an Armchair is a major addition to the National Gallery's small collection of drawings by Picasso. It is our earliest of his classical works, providing a background for the three paintings of 1922 and 1923 given by Chester Dale thirty years ago. Mrs. Nef has also made a gift of Marc Chagall's Féla and Odilon for the Gallery's fiftieth anniversary.

Barbara Read Ward

NOTES
PAUL KLEE
1879–1940

Grüne Pflanzen Blutlaus, 1924
Watercolor, pen, and ink on gessoed cloth, mounted on cardboard
8 x 12½ (20.2 x 32)
Gift of Ruth Carter Stevenson

Paul Klee was a naturalist at heart. As a young child he revealed a precocious interest in plants and animals, and in his adolescent years compiled meticulous renderings of mollusks, insects, and birds. His studio was filled with curious specimens, which he mounted to boards like collages. And in his teachings and writings he stressed repeatedly that the artist's dialogue with nature was a conditio sine qua non. In this concise and deceptively simple composition of 1924, Klee tackles one of nature's most complex mysteries.

The literal English translation of Grüne Pflanzen Blutlaus is green plant-blood-louse. Lice were the artist's occasional subject in the 1920s, but by the thirties they appeared repeatedly. Usually as predators, sometimes as male pursuers in a sexual relationship, their role was consistently insidious. And as Klee grew older and disease took hold of him they became a harrowing symbol of his own inevitable end.

Klee classified his creations as scientists do nature. Using Roman numerals he indicated each work's respective rank. The National Gallery watercolor was originally inscribed on the mount with a Roman numeral II, which was later crossed out and replaced with the abbreviation for his highest ranking, Sonderklasse (special class). Certainly it is an acknowledgment of its highly inventive and complex nature. In nearly diagrammatic fashion and with remarkable economy of means, Klee explores nature's life cycle. And in so doing, he ingeniously suggests overlapping associations between plants and humans.

Three points of reference signal our attention. First is the tubular stem rising from an orifice in the plant's divided trunk. Not without sexual implications, it is crowned with an ovum-like mass symbolizing germination, growth, and reproduction. Second is the menacing blood louse, its stinger penetrating deep into the plant's flesh, literally sapping it of its vital juices. And last, wedged between the stem and the louse, is Klee's ubiquitous arrow aimed upward to the heavens. The arrow symbolizes both physical movement and man's yearning to free himself from earthly bonds. With finite means Klee reveals an infinite vision of the universe, an ineluctable cycle of birth and death.

At the lower center of the sheet, almost unobserved, an eye and nose float free of gravity. Delicately rendered fragments of a face stare out at us, seemingly in acknowledgment of our presence, even signaling our inclusion. We are tellingly reminded that in this, one of life's greatest mysteries, we are both partners and silent witnesses.

Judith Brodie
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NOTES
1. For a detailed account of Klee's responses to nature, see Richard Verdi, Klee and Nature (New York, 1984), 1–32.
4. In 1935 Klee was diagnosed with scleroderma, a degenerative skin disease that severely impaired his manual dexterity.
EGON SCHIELE
1890–1918

Self-Portrait, c. 1917, cast c. 1925–1928
Bronze
10¼ (27.6)
Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Leonard A. Lauder

This bronze bust represents Schiele's only important sculptural work. He probably made the original terra cotta, which is presumed lost or destroyed, around 1917. His friend and patron Arthur Roessler obtained the terra cotta from the artist's heirs and had one or two plasters cast from it. The first of these, which is today in the Historisches Museum der Stadt Wien, was reportedly used to make an edition of about two or three bronzes between 1925 and 1928. The present bronze is from this earliest edition.

The most obvious sculptural influence on Schiele is Auguste Rodin (1840–1917), who was much admired by Schiele and his Viennese compatriots and who was mentioned specifically by Schiele in a letter to Roessler in 1910. It is instructive to compare Schiele's Self-Portrait with Rodin's portrait bust of Gustav Mahler in the National Gallery of Art. Rodin employed a technique similar to that used only a few years later by Schiele, with an expressive face, rising out of a loosely defined neck, formed in an impressionistic manner. Both have a pathos that belongs entirely to the twentieth century, leaving the old world behind. Other Rodins can be considered stylistic kin to Schiele's bust, perhaps in particular the various figures rising out of the bronze voids of his Gates of Hell.

The Self-Portrait, which bears a beautiful dark-to-golden-brown patina, depicts Schiele with his head turned upward, his lips slightly parted as if breathing, and his eyes wide open. The raised eyebrows and forehead and the blank eye sockets give the face a look different from Schiele's typical introspective, tortured, and knowing self-portraits on paper and canvas. The expression is similar to that in a photograph of Schiele from 1918 and in the large painting of The Family from the same year. There the artist depicted himself with raised eyebrows, looking out at the viewer. In both the painting and the bust we are presented a wide-eyed figure who confronts the outside world directly, with relative equanimity. Both show Schiele less self-absorbed and brooding than in many of his earlier self-portraits. Schiele looks out from himself with expectation and hopefulness, sadly cut short by his and his wife's premature death from influenza in 1918.

Schiele's Self-Portrait makes a significant addition to the National Gallery's holdings of early twentieth-century sculpture. It has an interesting parallel in the Gallery's wax Flayed Horse by Théodore Géricault (1791–1824) as a sculptural essay by an artist who was primarily a painter and who died at a young age before having the opportunity to develop his sculptural talents.

Donald Myers

PROVENANCE
Arthur Roessler; Private collection; Sotheby's, London, sale of 26 June 1984 (lot 27).

NOTES
1. Jane Kallir, Egon Schiele, The Complete Works: Including a Biography and a Catalogue Raisonné (New York, 1990), 651; only three other sculptural projects are known, all of little artistic significance.
2. Inv. no. 133.540; the second plaster, on loan to the Stanford University Art Gallery in California from a private collection, was probably cast from this plaster, though it may also have been cast from the terracotta. Although Roessler originally intended the bronze edition to be twelve to fifteen, he later maintained that it was limited to only two or three (Kallir 1990, 651).
3. This was verified by Jane Kallir, who was able to trace the provenance forward from Roessler to the present owner; see above. There were four later editions in bronze (1956, 1960, 1980, and 1987), and a 1963 edition in cast stone; the two most recent in date (which are also the most numerous in examples) were aftercasts, while the three earliest were from one or the other of the two plasters.
5. Accession number 1972.78.1, dating from 1909.
7. Österreichische Galerie, Vienna; see Mitsch 1974, 245, pl. 75.
8. Jane Kallir suggested that the fact that the number of self-portraits tapers off in the later years of Schiele's life indicates a more outward focus in his art. I thank Jane Kallir for all the assistance she generously provided.
9. Accession number 1980.44.7; the National Gallery also has two bronzes based on the Flayed Horse, accession numbers 1980.44.8 and 1980.44.9.
Paul Klee developed a pictorial language that was spare but richly inventive. Arrows form spindly trees, rows of saw-toothed lines arrange themselves into thickets, and swiftly penned dashes spell out clouds and sky. Set onto horizontal staff lines, these symbols resemble musical notation and underscore the melodic quality of this delicately patterned watercolor.

Much has been written about the role of music in Paul Klee's life and its relationship to his art.1 His father was a professor of music in Bern. His wife was a piano instructor, and Klee himself was an accomplished violinist. As an adolescent he was torn between a career in painting and one in music and even wrote that he was apprehensive about his growing passion for the musical arts.2 Ultimately he opted to study painting since he believed that music's glory was past and that painting's was still to come. Nonetheless Klee remained deeply involved with music and sought ways to integrate its theoretical aspects within the visual arts. Beginning around 1910 he experimented with musical-pictorial synthesis, and in the 1920s achieved a fully successful approach.

Works such as Junger Wald (Young Forest) have been referred to as “operatic,”3 in the sense that the pen line is analogous to opera's libretto and the color analogous to its orchestration. The line develops the plot and the color enhances it. That is not to say that line is equal to libretto or color equal to orchestration. The emphasis is on theory: that autonomous components serve an integrated purpose.

Klee, who was blessed with an innate aptitude for drawing, recognized the expressive strength of his line and its intimate tie to narration. Although he customarily used line as a boundary for color, in works such as Junger Wald he sought to change line's restrictive role. Line and color would no longer define but enrich each other. Indeed Klee's ragged line seems adeptly suited to the hazy patches of underlying color. He allowed the ink to flow into the damp and absorbent paper, creating an effect not unlike early morning mist on trees. So too his line reflects the spindly and frail nature of the individual saplings. The color stains areas of the sheet in green and its uppermost edge in sky blue, but for the most part the color bears little reference to nature's actual tones. It represents a more general harmony that underscores the rhythmic sequence of the pen drawing.

Although Paul Klee was by no means the only twentieth-century artist who focused on the relationship between music and the visual arts, he did provide some of the most meaningful theories. Of the three watercolors by Klee donated on the occasion of the National Gallery's fiftieth anniversary, Junger Wald most ably demonstrates Klee's unique musical thinking. It succeeds an earlier operatic work donated by Lessing J. Rosenwald, Alter Dampfer, and looks ahead to Klee's further achievements in musical-pictorial synthesis, exemplified by the 1940 watercolor Dampfer und Segelbote given by Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon. Junger Wald pulses with melody and bears proof to the adage that “all art aspires to the condition of music.”4

Judith Brodie
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NOTES
2. “As time passes I become more and more afraid of my growing love of music. I don’t understand myself. I play solo sonatas by Bach: next to them, what is Böcklin? It makes me smile” (1897). See Paul Klee, The Diaries of Paul Klee, 1898–1918, ed. Felix Klee (Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1964), 14 (no. 52).
Although *Abstract Composition* is signed and dated 1927, the painting was probably completed in 1921 during a period of rigorous experimentation that Davis undertook following the Armory Show in 1913.\(^1\) The International Exhibition of Modern Art held in the Armory of the Sixty-Ninth Infantry in New York City in February 1913, more commonly called the Armory Show, was, according to Davis, the pivotal event of his early career: “I was enormously excited by the show . . . and I resolved that I would quite definitely have to become a ‘modern’ artist.”\(^2\) In coming to terms with what he had seen of European modernism at the Armory Show and subsequently in New York galleries and avant-garde journals, Davis spent more than a decade exploring the vocabulary of modernism and working through the styles of such European masters as Paul Gauguin, Vincent van Gogh, Henri Matisse, Fernand Léger, Georges Braque, and Pablo Picasso. By the early 1920s Davis had focused his attention on the pictorial issues raised by cubism. In such works as *Abstract Composition* he began to forge the personal style that would mark him as one of the most innovative abstract artists working in America during the early decades of the twentieth century.

Stuart Davis was born in Philadelphia in 1892, the son of Edward W. Davis, art editor of the *Philadelphia Press*, and Helen Stuart Foulke, a sculptor. In an autobiographical essay published in 1945, Davis acknowledged his good fortune by noting that, unlike many other artists, he had encountered no parental resistance when he declared that he wished to study art. On the contrary, Edward Davis, who employed John Sloan, George Luks, William Glackens, and Everett Shinn as illustrators, allowed his son to leave high school to enroll in Robert Henri’s art school. Although Davis later abandoned the urban realism championed by Henri, he repeatedly credited his teacher with providing the guidance and encouragement that later allowed him to create paintings that were not factual reports on the natural world but independent objects.

*Abstract Composition*, an important and rare work from what has been described as the artist’s breakthrough period, is the first drawing by Davis to enter the National Gallery’s collection.\(^3\) An experimental work that foreshadows the spatially sophisticated compositions of the artist’s maturity, *Abstract Composition* documents Davis’ early engagement with the elements of cubism. The subdued colors and sharp linear quality of the picture reflect Davis’ concern with planar and spatial relationships.

In a recent essay on the artist’s early paintings, William Agee noted that between 1920 and 1922, the period during which *Abstract Composition* was completed, Davis “posed for himself the most fundamental questions about the art of painting, as if he was starting from the very beginning.”\(^4\) In 1920, for example, he proposed using only circles and squares to produce works whose simplicity would allow him to explore the purely formal character of art. The paintings that resulted from these experiments have been described as “in some ways radical works, unprecedented in American art.”\(^5\) Pictorially rooted in the 1913-1914 collages of Picasso and Braque, at least two paintings from the series seemed to echo “the suprmatist and constructivist geometries of Malevitich, El Lissitzsky and Moholy-Nagy.”\(^6\)

The deceptively spare *Abstract Composition* reflects Davis’ thorough investigation of the language of modernism. Though nonrepresentational in character, it already displays the same surface energy that quickly became the hallmark of the artist’s mature style.

---

**NOTES**

1. Robert Hunter, co-author with William C. Agee of the Stuart Davis catalogue raisonné, believes this work was actually completed in 1921 and later exhibited at the Downtown Gallery, perhaps under the title “Coving” (no. 11) in *Stuart Davis Exhibition: Recent Paintings, Watercolors, Drawings, Tempera*, 26 Nov.-9 Dec. 1927. Hunter cited other works (private collections) similar in style and materials that are signed and dated 1921.


In many ways August Sander seems something of an anachronism. Although all of his important work was made in the twentieth century, his style, at least superficially, seems to have more to do with the nineteenth. Active during the years that witnessed the development and perfection of small, hand-held cameras, he nevertheless continued using a cumbersome, large-format view camera with a slow lens. While his contemporaries from Jacques-Henri Lartigue to Henri Cartier-Bresson celebrated their newfound ability to capture the beauty of the effervescent and transitory moment with fluid, often disjointed or fragmented compositions, Sander persisted in carefully composing and containing his images and in constructing frontal, symmetrical compositions. Like so many nineteenth-century portraits, Sander’s bricklayers, farmers, bakers, or bankers, far from being caught unaware, were active participants in the process. His subjects stare directly into the camera with the same intensity and earnestness that their ancestors projected when they had daguerreotype portraits made.

Yet while the style may appear outdated, Sander’s methodology and his intention were distinctly of the twentieth century. He wanted to establish a typology that would show the expressive possibilities of human physiognomy within his society; he wanted no less than to create a portrait of, what he called, “Man of the Twentieth Century.” Beginning in 1910 he tried to accomplish this by photographing hundreds of different people whom he believed were archetypal subjects, reflective of universal human traits. He searched out individuals of all ages, from all levels of German society and all professions. Although he occasionally identified people by name, he was less concerned with their individual accomplishments and more interested in how they fit into the larger structure, the larger portrait, that he was organizing. Moreover, it was this larger portrait—as he wrote, of “the historical physiognomic image of a whole generation”—that dictated the style, for it was only once Sander established a uniform method of presentation that the viewer could concentrate on physiognomic differences.1

Often Sander photographed people in their own environments—industrialists in their offices, the unemployed on the streets—but just as frequently people came to his studio where he photographed them against a white plane. Occasionally, however, he was forced to photograph outdoors against backgrounds that he found disruptive. In these cases, as in The Bricklayer, he retouched out the distracting elements, making the entire background black, with the result that our attention is riveted on the face and shoulders of the young man. While we may wonder how Sander has convinced this man with such a heavy burden to stop long enough to have his portrait taken, we are more immediately struck by the seeming ease with which he carries his load and the dignity with which he projects himself. Although he is a nameless brick carrier, he is nevertheless a person of great dignity.

Generously donated by the photographer’s grandson Gerhard Sander and his wife Christine Sander, The Bricklayer is not only the first work by Sander to enter the National Gallery’s collection, but also the first work by a European photographer, and thus indicates the Gallery’s commitment to form a collection of photographs that represents artists of many different nationalities. The Bricklayer is one of four examples of this image known to have been printed by the photographer during his lifetime: one vintage eight-by-ten-inch print exists in the J. Paul Getty Museum, as well as three prints from the 1950s. Of the three later prints, this is the only large example and one of the few enlargements made by Sander. Its size is an appropriate symbol of the monumentality of the project of which it was an integral part.

Sarah Greenough
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NOTE
STANLEY WILLIAM HAYTER
1901–1988

Paysages Urbains, 1930
Portfolio of 6 prints incorporating
drypoint, engraving, and mezzotint
8 1/2 x 10 1/2 (20.7 x 26.8)
Gift of Mrs. Robert A. Hauslohner

In the late 1920s Stanley William Hayter made several prints based on urban themes, mostly Parisian street scenes. The culmination of that effort was the publication of Paysages Urbains1 (Urban Landscapes), a portfolio that prefigures the surrealist thinking that characterized much of Hayter’s work in the next decade. Each image in the set comprises two superimposed spaces: one a townscape, the other a landscape.2 This unconventional mixture of settings creates some striking interactions and jarring ambiguities. Certain elements locate themselves fittingly in both settings. Others loom too large or seem oddly miscast. In either case there is an uneasy relationship between reality and invented space that sparks vigor in each print.

Hayter was born in London and studied chemistry and geology at Kings College, where he made his first experiments in printmaking. In 1926, after a brief career as a scientist, he moved to Paris and studied engraving with the Polish-born artist Joseph Hecht. One year later Hayter founded Atelier 17, the cooperative workshop that propelled printmaking to the forefront of modern artistic expression. The roster of artists who passed through Hayter’s workshop is remarkably impressive. It includes Calder, Chagall, Ernst, Giacometti, Masson, Miró, Picasso, and—in New York where Hayter relocated during World War II—de Kooning, Motherwell, Pollock, Rothko, and David Smith.3

Hayter’s association with the surrealists was an informal one based on personal friendships. He met André Masson in 1929 and was influenced by Masson’s automatism: the acceptance of automatic impulses from the subliminal mind. That receptivity to the unconscious, without rational intervention, distinguishes even early works by Hayter. In the prints illustrated here the superimposition of landscapes and townscape creates an eerie sense of isolation similar to surrealist works by Dalí. In Rue d’As-sas the deeply recessive space is intersected by a barren landscape made up of a solitary moon and horizon line. A figure (in double outline) shadow-dances its way across a windowed facade that admits no hint of life. The landscape component in Rue de Repos is similarly barren and suggests a desert scene. A single cactus crosses the horizon, its form repeated in a tiny figure in the furthest distance. In the foreground a pitcher, comparable in scale to the architecture and resting solidly on an oval shadow, bursts forth with finely scribbled designs and bits of graffiti.

The National Gallery has more than fifty prints by S. W. Hayter, most donated by Lessing J. Rosenwald who met the artist around 1944 and thereafter acquired prints from him directly.4 In contrast to Paysages Urbains, the majority of these works represent Hayter’s mid-career accomplishments. This exceptionally attractive group from 1930 is not only the first portfolio that Hayter made but also a striking example of his extreme openness to the process of discovery.

Judith Brodie
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NOTES
1. To be included in Peter Black and Désirée Hayter’s forthcoming catalogue raisonné. I thank Peter Black for providing titles for the individual prints.
2. The individual spaces can be identified by media. The townscape are in drypoint and mezzotint, the landscapes are engraved.
3. From 1940 until 1949, Atelier 17 was associated with the New School for Social Research in New York. In 1950 it was transplanted once again to Paris where it functions to this day. The artists who have worked at Atelier 17 extend far beyond those listed.
In the early 1930s, Alberto Giacometti produced an extraordinary series of tabletop tableaux that may stand as his most remarkable sculptural achievement. Reversing the traditional relationship between sculpture and base, these objects, which have been compared to game boards, make the base the central focus of the sculptor's concern, radically redefining the relationship between viewer and object. No More Play, a work of great mystery and evocative power, was the culmination of this series.

The title is provided by the words "On ne joue plus" that Giacometti inscribed in reverse script in one corner. The sculpture is a flat, rectangular marble base pitted with round, craterlike depressions that give an almost lunar appearance. In the center are three rectangular holes resembling tombs, each with a cover. In addition to these marble elements, No More Play includes several wood and bronze figurines. Their correct placement is suggested by the earliest visual document of the sculpture, a photograph taken in 1932 by the American surrealist Man Ray. There the top tomb is covered and the bottom two appear with lids ajar. In the bottom tomb lies a skeletonlike object and in the middle tomb a serpent. Two figures, one with upraised arms (probably male), one with only the barest suggestion of arms (probably female), stand in the largest circular cavities at upper right and lower left.

A number of sources have been suggested for No More Play, including African wooden game boards with craterlike depressions and a Swiss wooden peasant table. An especially suggestive and convincing source is an altarpiece of the Last Judgment by the Florentine master of the early Renaissance, Fra Angelico. Commissioned in 1431 for the Florentine church of Santa Maria degli Angeli and now in the museum of San Marco, Florence, Fra Angelico's altarpiece depicts at center a double row of tombs, stone lids ajar, from which the elect have risen to heaven. The tombs recede in a straight line in sharp perspective, dividing the altarpiece into two halves, just as the area of tombs divides No More Play into two sides. At right in the altarpiece the damned are gathered in a series of pits and cauldrons that resemble the circular and oval depressions of No More Play. Giacometti may have remembered Fra Angelico's altarpiece from a trip to Florence in 1920, and he might also have seen it reproduced in a monograph on Fra Angelico published in Paris in 1929.

No More Play was executed in a preliminary plaster (now in the Museum of Modern Art, New York). The marble version figured in Giacometti's first exhibition in America, held at the Julien Levy Gallery in December 1934. On that occasion the object was given by Giacometti to Levy, the gallery owner whose interest in surrealism was largely responsible for introducing the movement to this country.

The National Gallery collection now includes seven Giacometti bronzes, including another surrealist work, The Invisible Object (Hands Holding the Void), 1935. No More Play will be the earliest Giacometti in the Gallery, and the only representation of his tabletop tableaux. This work, crucial to the history of twentieth-century art, makes a magnificent addition to the collection.

Jeremy Strick
PABLO PICASSO
1881–1973

**Two Men Contemplating a Bust of a Woman's Head, 1931**
Graphite
12 3/4" x 10 3/4" (32.9 x 26.1)

**Six Circus Horses with Riders, 1905**
Pen and ink
9 3/4" x 12 3/4" (24.7 x 32.7)

Gift of Walter H. and Leonore Annenberg

In the spring of 1931, in search of more space than his Paris studio permitted, Picasso took possession of a seventeenth-century chateau northwest of the city at Boisgeloup, near Gisors. There he converted the stables into various studios, including one with an etching press and several others devoted to sculpture. In these Picasso continued an activity he had begun in the late 1920s, working on welded constructions with the assistance of his old friend and fellow Spaniard, the sculptor Julio Gonzalez, who was a skilled smith and welder. Picasso also returned in the Boisgeloup studios to techniques he had used years earlier for sculpture, modeling in plaster and clay. In the course of the early 1930s he modeled a series of monumental female heads inspired by the features of his mistress, Marie-Thérèse Walter. These ranged in style from nearly classical to deformations of human form with enlarged rounded protruberances, such as noses seeming to grow directly from foreheads, as they do in the carved wooden figures of the African Baga tribe, an example of which Picasso owned and kept at Boisgeloup.

The serene yet introspective bust being observed carefully by two men in the Annenberg pencil drawing, dated 27 November 1931, bears a close resemblance to the most classical of the modeled heads of Marie-Thérèse, *Head of a Woman*, 1931. It is close in subject to an ink drawing of 4 August 1931, in which two men in shorts observe a sculpture of a female nude and to another ink drawing of that date in which a bearded figure in shorts is at work on a monumental sculptural bust he derives from the features of a model posing nearby. In still other drawings of the summer and autumn of 1931, notably a pencil drawing (Phyllis Hattis Fine Arts, New York) of the same size, date, and medium as the Annenberg gift and an important painting, *The Sculptor*, completed 7 December 1931 (Musée Picasso, Paris), the subject of the sculptor contemplating a bust of Marie-Thérèse is further explored. While none of the male figures in these drawings or the painting have features that resemble Picasso's, the theme of the artist in his sculpture studio is an autobiographical one. It seems reasonable to speculate that if one of the male figures in these drawings or the painting may be the friend Gonzalez, so frequently at Picasso's side at Boisgeloup.

Both the Annenberg drawing and the Hattis drawing of the same day, in which a bearded male in shorts at left observes a monumental bust in profile at right while a model sits cross-legged on the floor in between, are drawn on glossy, parchmentlike paper with a crisp, unhesitating line reminiscent of silverpoint.

The 1931 *Two Men* and one of the Annenbergs' other fiftieth-anniversary gifts, a 1905 ink drawing by Picasso, *Six Circus Horses with Riders*, are two of three Picasso drawings given on this occasion, bringing to nine the Gallery's total of Picasso drawings. The 1931 drawing is related to the Gallery's set of a hundred etchings by Picasso, the Vollard Suite, 1930–1937, where the subject of the sculptor in his studio with his model and his work is richly explored in forty-five prints. The *Six Circus Horses* relates closely to the Gallery's great circus-period oil of 1905, *The Family of Saltimbanques* (Chester Dale Collection), and especially to the drypoint *The Watering Place*, of which there is a fine impression in the Gallery given by Peter B. Josten.

**PROVENANCE**

**Two Men Contemplating a Bust of a Woman's Head:** Curtis O. Baer Collection. *Six Circus Horses with Riders:* Leo Stein; Henry Kleeman; Curt Valentin, New York; Curtis O. Baer Collection.

**NOTES**

1. In Werner Spies, *Sculpture by Picasso* (New York, 1971), 304, the *Head* (no. 128) is dated 1932. However William Rubin, ed., *Pablo Picasso: A Retrospective* [exh. cat. The Museum of Modern Art] (New York, 1980), 284, dated the sculpture 1931, which seems confirmed by the close resemblance of the drawn bust in the dated Annenberg drawing to the sculpture.


3. The Hattis drawing and a very similar work (collection Marina Picasso) are reproduced in Ulrich Weisner, ed., *Picasso Klassizmus* [exh. cat. Kunsthalle Bielefeld] (Bielefeld, 1988), 273, 335.
The ancient Mediterranean tradition of feminine beauty has received its most compelling twentieth-century sculptural interpretations in the work of Maillol. His nearly exclusive subject was a full-bodied, harmonious type of female figure, substantial, serene, and still. Carefully studied from nature, his statues transform their individual models according to the artist’s ideal of purified forms and clear structure, recalling his comment, “I am seeking architecture and volumes. . . .” The Three Nymphs represents one of his latest creations, developed over seven years from initial study of a single young model. His pupil Lucille Passavant posed in 1930 for a standing figure. Over the next few years Maillol developed three variations on the resulting sculpture. The central figure, conceived first, raises both open hands to breast height in a gesture of greeting or offering. The other two statues, facing her, are almost mirror images of each other except for subtle differences in movement and gesture. Each stands with the weight on one sturdy leg, the arm above it bending to raise and extend a hand toward the central figure. The arm over the relaxed outer leg hangs serenely down, slightly away from the torso to leave its slowly curving contour visible. The sense of balance Maillol sought is achieved in these figures, individually and together. The newly completed plaster group appeared as Nymphs of the Meadow in the exhibition Les Maitres de l’Art Indépendant at the Petit Palais, Paris, in 1937. There the figures wore crowns of daisies, buttercups, and sweet marjoram. Their hands were united by a garland of unknown material, and the title Meadow Flowers was also considered for the group. Maillol rejected the inevitable suggestion that it be called “The Three Graces,” claiming “they are too powerful to represent the Graces.” He nevertheless chose a timeless identification as beings from ancient myth and poetry, inhabitants of the fields and woods.

These majestic, entranced figures with their gentle smiles have particularly touched the imagination of Maillol’s admirers. For Waldemar-George they evoke the goddesses of antiquity who “solicit the judgment of an unseen Paris.” Rewald, noting the aged sculptor’s concentration on figures of “very young girls, radiant with youth and freshness, full of lyrical grace and a sensual poetic feeling,” saw this as a work that sums up “all his knowledge and all his feeling.” For Cladel the nymphs embody “the most tender homage Maillol ever devoted to the springtime of woman.” The sculptor himself, around the time he finished this work, suggested what such images meant to him: “There is a limit to physical love, imposed by age, but the love of beauty does not grow old or change, and we artists have the consolation of translating it into forms.”

In the National Gallery The Three Nymphs will crown a series of individual Maillol figures, complete and partial, of standing women ranging in date from c. 1910–1933. This example, cast in lead, is the Gallery’s first Maillol in that material frequently employed in the great tradition of French garden sculpture.

Alison Luchs

NOTES
2. Sotheby’s, New York, Important Modern Sculpture (15 May 1984), 96, presumably based on information from the owner Dina Vierny, Maillol’s last model, devoted friend, and the historian of his work.
6. Cladel 1937, 121.
7. Cladel 1937, 156.
8. Five other casts in lead, the material preferred by Maillol for this group, are recorded: at the Tate Gallery, London; the Musée National d’Art Moderne, Paris; the Kunsthalle, Bern; a U.S. private collection; and the Meadows Museum and Gallery, Dallas (formerly Wildenstein, New York). Bronzes, in addition to the one sold at Sotheby’s in 1984 (see n. 2), are at the Minneapolis Institute of Arts and the Musée de Poitiers. See Ronald Alley, Tate Gallery Catalogues. The Foreign Paintings, Drawings and Sculpture (London, 1959), 130-132, with detailed bibliography. Ay-Whang Hsia of Wildenstein kindly updated the information.
“I go to the street for the education of my eye and for the sustenance that the eye needs—the hungry eye, and my eye is hungry.”

Throughout his long career, Walker Evans was a voracious cataloguer of facts, accumulating vast archives of photographs of vernacular objects. He focused his camera on simple, everyday things—barber shops and billboards, Main Streets and back alleys—that had not yet through repeated use achieved the status of icon. Although he cultivated a style of presenting things simply as they are, and although his work overall takes on the quality of an inventory, he never confused his photographs for literal documents. When asked if photographs could be documentary as well as works of art, he responded, “Documentary? That’s a very sophisticated and misleading word. . . . The term should be documentary style. An example of a literal document would be a police photograph of a murder scene. You see, a document has use, whereas art is really useless. Therefore art is never a document, though it certainly can adopt that style.”

In another interview he amplified this statement, noting “the style of detachment and record is another matter. That, applied to the world around us, is what I do with the camera.”

Evans, however, did not immediately discover this straightforward approach. His earliest work from the late 1920s is self-consciously artistic. For example, in his portrait of Berenice Abbott, he used extreme close-ups and unusual angles. And, more significantly, there is little psychological separation between the photographer and his subject, as his feelings for her are clearly expressed. Yet he quickly shed this more sentimental style and by the early 1930s, as in Hudson Street Boarding House, New York, adopted the cooler, more sharply-focused approach that characterized his work for the rest of his life. This photograph, as in Mount Pleasant, Pennsylvania and so many of his other works, is about emptiness. Empty bedrooms, empty living rooms, empty train stations, and empty streets are the subjects of many of his photographs. Devoid of people, they are, nevertheless, redolent of human life. The elegant, lucid details of the bed and bedroom, the street and its surroundings tell us more about their inhabitants than we could possibly garner if we saw them with our own eyes.

These two photographs are also indicative of the great influence on his art, not of the work of other visual artists, but of literature, and particularly Flaubert. Adopting the French author’s naturalism and his objectivity of treatment, Evans emphatically stated that he believed “in staying out, the way Flaubert does in his writing.” A detached, non-existent observer, Evans did not project himself into either of these two photographs from the 1930s. Instead it is in the cumulation of details—the empty unpaved street, neatly trimmed hedges, and undulating shadows in the foreground of Mount Pleasant, Pennsylvania, for example—that impart meaning to the image.

These three works, along with the twenty-one other Evans photographs generously donated by Mr. and Mrs. Harry H. Lunn, Jr. and three more kindly given by the Clive Gray family, are significant additions to the Gallery’s growing collection of this artist’s work. Both the Lunn and Gray gifts include examples from throughout Evans’ career, and they have particularly enhanced our representation of his work from the late 1920s to the late 1930s.

Sarah Greenough
Often when a photographer presents us with a frozen slice of life we feel that if we were just quick enough in our reflexes, we too might be able to record such a scene. Yet we never have this sensation when looking at Lisette Model's photographs. The point of view in her works is so extreme that we are confident we would never see such a scene under normal circumstances. So highly charged and sharply described are her photographs that we feel she has somehow changed the real into the super real, the mundane into the extreme.

Model's famous statement, "Don't shoot 'till the subject hits you in the pit of your stomach," appears as if it could be applied both figuratively and literally to Promenade des Anglais. Made only a year after she began photographing, it was taken in Nice, France. One senses that she stalked this man sleeping in his chair, silently circling him like a lion moving in closer and closer, until she was so near that his toe could easily kick her camera lens, but her shot could not miss. Yet her prey is not caught totally off guard: although his left eye appears to be deep in sleep, rolling back into his head, his right eye is tracking her every action; his hands are not limp and relaxed with sleep but closed in careful circles, as if ready to move quickly. The woman in the background is also well aware of the intruder's presence and stares suspiciously at Model. And yet all is not quite as it seems: when we look at the full frame of the negative for this image it is apparent that much of its confrontational quality comes from Model's reconstruction of this image in the darkroom. By cropping it from a square image to a vertical one, Model focused attention on the man and, more specifically, his shoe.

Much of Model's art is rooted in European painting in the 1930s. Her use of satire, as in the Man with Pamphlets, suggests the work of George Grosz of this same period. Making no attempt to remain an objective outsider, Model again brought her camera in very close. Although her photograph emphasizes his fatness and poor clothes, she did not make fun of him. Rather, one senses that she was as fascinated with him for his peculiarities as she was the man in Nice and wanted to learn more about both men by photographing them. In the 1950s she said, "When I want to discover something, when I want to get an answer in this world which I don't understand because nobody does, then I take the camera, and I find out what strikes me. And this thing that strikes me I photograph, and that is in one way or another the answer."^3

Model claims an important place in the history of photography not only because of the strength of her images but also for her significant role as a teacher. She immigrated to the United States in 1938 and was an influential force on many younger American photographers, especially Diane Arbus. Until Gerhard Sander and Kathleen Ewing donated these two prints, plus Reflections, Fifty-seventh Street, 1939–1940, the National Gallery had in its collection no examples of her bold, confrontational art, with its graphic predictions of so much post-World War II photography.

Sarah Greenough
In 1937 Edward Weston became the first photographer to be awarded a Guggenheim Fellowship. Freed from the necessity of running his portrait business, he packed up his car, married Charis Wilson, and together they traveled widely throughout the West. It has often been commented upon that, with this trip, Weston’s work changed markedly: new subjects, new concerns, and a new style gradually begin to emerge in his art. Instead of focusing on the significant details of plants, rocks, or the human form, he quite literally pulled his camera back and addressed the object within its larger environment; instead of seeking reductive abstraction he sought complexity, photographing larger, more open and fluid scenes that frequently included many different and often competing elements.

In many ways, the photographs that he made in 1936 at the sand dunes in Oceano, California, are pivotal images. They both sum up his previous work and predict its future course. Entranced with peppers, rocks, and trees, Weston had made few nudes between 1928 and 1933. Yet beginning in late 1933, when he met Charis Wilson, his interest in this subject was rekindled, and in 1936, when Charis accompanied Weston to Oceano, he made many studies of her nude on the sand dunes. In addition, he also photographed the dunes alone. As with so many of his earlier works, the subject assumes the qualities of the female form. Using deep shadows contrasted with brilliant white sand, Weston created a series of photographs that are as sensual and sensuous as any of his studies of the human body. As in *Dunes, Oceano*, the forms undulate and twist with all the complexity, subtlety, grace, and bewitching charm of the human figure.

Yet in this work our eye is not contained entirely within the shallow and two-dimensional space of the foreground, but instead drifts up to the horizon, glimpsing the mountains and sky beyond this vista. In the years immediately prior to 1936, horizons had appeared very infrequently in Weston’s work, for he preferred to focus his camera on a tightly contained and controlled detail. When they do appear one often suspects it is because there was no way to eliminate them. In *Dunes, Oceano* the horizon, mountains, and clouds serve to remind us, subtly but insistently, that this is not a surrogate human body, but a beautiful portion of nature that is part of a much larger, more complex, and potentially less controllable whole.

Katherine L. Meier and Edward J. Lenkin have graciously donated this superb photograph, the first Weston in the National Gallery’s collection. As we build our holdings of strong representations of the art of major twentieth-century American photographers from Alfred Stieglitz to Robert Frank, Weston is and will be a crucial figure. *Dunes, Oceano* is further enhanced by Weston’s inscription on the verso to Kurt Edward Fishback, the son of his friend Glen Fishback: “Dear Kurt Edward—This is from another Edward, a photographer, who made this photograph, perhaps for you; at least I hope you like it—your father does. By the way, you have been given one of my names, isn’t that funny!” The young Fishback not only adopted Weston’s first name, but also his profession as a photographer.

*Sarah Greenough*

**PROVENANCE**

Kurt Edward Fishback, 1942; sale (Sotheby’s) to Katherine L. Meier and Edward J. Lenkin, 1987.
Wherever Emil Nolde made a rural summer home for himself, he planted flowers—in- mense quantities of flowers that were said to have astonished his peasant neighbors who had never seen such dazzling displays. Between 1906 and 1908 Nolde began painting flowers with the highly saturated, evocative colors that he preferred as he moved from his early impressionist phase into his mature expressionism. But it was in the 1930s and early 1940s that he produced his best and most renowned floral images. Nolde had by then established what was to be his final home on the Frisian coast at Seebüll, a few miles from the village in which he had been born. Here he made his most spectacular garden, which in turn provided inspiration and subject matter for some of his most cherished works. He revealed his deep attachment to this site in his expressed wish that he and his wife, Ada, be buried there; he formed their initials with flower beds in the garden. Many of the Seebüll flower pictures are watercolors, and, like the Laughlin's Red and Yellow Poppies and a Blue Delphinium, are signed but undated.

Red and Yellow Poppies and a Blue Delphinium is undoubtedly one of the watercolors that Nolde produced at Seebüll, and it is one of the most spectacular of this exalted group. The burning red-orange and gold of the poppies glow against the icy blue of the delphinium and limpid green of the foliage. It is a brilliant contrapuntal harmony, composed of the most intense chromatic themes. We can see that Nolde was intrigued by and that he encouraged the watercolor's tendency to spread freely from his brush across the damp paper, apparently of its own volition. He said that the running colors created forms “as if through themselves,” forms that seemed to manifest powerful images from his subconscious. He felt as if he were working in partnership with strangely animated, profoundly expressive materials.

This gift represents a significant addition to the National Gallery’s present holdings of just two Nolde watercolors, and it complements works already in the collection by other German expressionists. The Gallery owns, for example, an exquisite watercolor of yellow iris by Karl Schmidt-Rottluff, painted at about the same time as Red and Yellow Poppies and a Blue Delphinium. Nolde was briefly part of Die Brücke from 1906 to 1907, and the young artists in this group, including Schmidt-Rottluff, benefited greatly from their exposure to Nolde’s work and ideas. After leaving Die Brücke, Nolde remained friendly with its members. Red and Yellow Poppies and a Blue Delphinium is a quintessential expression of Nolde’s profoundly felt spiritual link with the powerful forces of nature, a sensibility much admired and shared by the Brücke artists.

Virginia Tuttle Clayton

PROVENANCE

NOTES
5. Karl Schmidt-Rottluff, Yellow Iris, c. 1935, National Gallery of Art, Rosenwald Collection, 1945.5.1324.
EMIL NOLDE
1867–1956

_Sunflowers, Pink and White Dahlias, and a Blue Delphinium_, c. 1930/1940
Watercolor
18½ x 14 (46.9 x 3.55)
Gift of Margaret Mellon Hitchcock

_Sunflowers, Pink and White Dahlias, and a Blue Delphinium_ is another significant addition to the National Gallery's group of German expressionist watercolors. This resplendent work and _Red and Yellow Poppies and a Blue Delphinium_\(^1\) represent the first of Nolde's magnificent flower pieces to enter our graphic arts collection. Here again Nolde has crowded the foreground plane with brilliant patches of color, conveying an impassioned message with his "floral icons."\(^2\) He has focused closely on just the flowers and a few of their leaves; looming toward us and isolated from their setting, they become almost surreal in their intensity of expression.

Sunflowers seem to have had a special significance for Nolde.\(^3\) An ardent admirer of van Gogh and his sunflower paintings, Nolde often portrayed sunflowers both in watercolor and oil, sometimes showing them as they pass their prime and bow their heads toward the earth in preparation for dropping their seeds. The sunflower at the lower edge of this composition, just left of center, is reaching that moment of somber acquiescence. While sunflowers and dahlias—frequently paired by Nolde—both blossom in mid to late summer, delphiniums are an early season flower. Such blues are scarce in the garden as the summer wears on, however, and Nolde's color harmony demanded this hue. He must have felt compelled in this instance to suspend the requirements of horticultural veracity in the interest of constructing a more perfect chromatic composition.

**PROVENANCE**
Stuttgarter Kunstkabinett, 1962.

**NOTES**
1. Also a Fiftieth Anniversary Gift to the National Gallery of Art.

_Virginia Tuttle Clayton_
GEORGES ROUAULT
1871–1958

Laquais, 1937
Sugarlift aquatint
17 7/16 x 13 3/16 (43.7 x 33.8)

Christ de face, 1938
Sugarlift aquatint
13 3/4 x 9 13/16 (34.9 x 24.8)

Gift of Dr. and Mrs. Frederick Mulder in Memory of David Jonathan Mulder

These rare artist’s proofs were made in preparation for a suite of thirty color aquatints that Rouault began in 1936. Though they were intended as illustrations for an edition of Charles Baudelaire’s famous collection of poems, Les Fleurs du Mai, the twelve prints that Rouault actually completed were never published in book form. The artist had initially undertaken plans for the book in the late 1920s with his dealer Ambroise Vollard, but the publication never came to fruition. When Vollard died in 1939 in the midst of the Fleurs du Mai project, considerable conflict and litigation arose regarding the ownership of Rouault’s works. This situation partially accounts for the termination of the venture.

Usually referred to as Les Fleurs du Mai III, this set of prints was Rouault’s third in a series of projects related to Baudelaire’s poetry. Les Fleurs du Mal I consisted of fourteen monochrome intaglios originally printed in 1926–1927. However, it was not until 1966 that Rouault’s daughter Isabelle, who had acquired the plates, had them published in book form with thirteen of Baudelaire’s poems. A second project, Les Fleurs du Mal II, consisted of several heliogravure studies, some of which were never completed, while others were modified and became part of the Fleurs du Mal III series. This set, along with a title page dated 1940, was issued by Roger Lacourière in an edition of 250. There were also a few maquettes of the 307-page book assembled by the Imprimerie Nationale.

Rouault conceived the project as a kind of posthumous collaboration between himself and Baudelaire, with whom he felt a deep, spiritual kinship. He did not create his prints as literal illustrations of the poems, but as pictorial evocations of the poet’s highly metaphorical imagery. As the artist himself explained, “I shall count myself happy if it can be said of these engravings that I have entered a little into the atmosphere of the poem, not as an overscrupulous servant aiming to make a commentary on the text, but as a modest and understanding brother.”

In fact, the imagery of the prints is entirely in keeping with Rouault’s work in other media from the same period. The face of Christ, particularly the closely cropped, elongated visage depicted here, is one of the most frequently repeated motifs of Rouault’s oeuvre. The caricatured features of the Laquais (footman) relate to Rouault’s countless images of clowns, judges, and grotesques.

Though an experienced printmaker by the 1930s, it was not until that decade that Rouault turned to the medium of aquatint. To make color prints in that medium, he used the sugarlift technique, which allowed him to draw directly on the copper plate with the freedom of a pen or brush. He achieved a rich, granular surface quality, made possible through the aquatint process, and lush, painterly layers of color. Characteristic of Rouault’s style in the 1930s, the unconventional, almost dissonant color scheme is checked by the generous and emphatic use of black.

The National Gallery’s extensive holdings of Rouault’s graphic work include the twelve prints from Les Fleurs du Mai III. In comparing these proofs with the final edition one can detect many changes the artist made in the latter to clarify and tighten his composition, as well as to subdue the color. The proofs, so remarkable for their loose, vigorous handling, are even more dramatically expressionistic than the final product.

Maria Prather

PROVENANCE
Roger Lacourière; by gift to private collection; purchased by Frederick Mulder in 1988.

NOTE
1. Quoted in François Chapon and Isabelle Rouault, Rouault: L’Oeuvre gravée (Monte Carlo, 1978), 44.
WALKER EVANS
1903–1976

*Untitled (Subway Portrait)*, 1938–1941
Gelatin silver photograph
4⅝ x 5⅝ (11.2 x 13.6)

*Untitled (Subway Portrait)*, 1938–1941
Gelatin silver photograph
4⅝ x 7⅛ (12.4 x 19.2)

Gift of Kent and Marcia Minichiello

According to section 1050.9 of the Metropolitan Transit Authority's rules for passengers, it is illegal to photograph in the New York subways. But that is not what makes Walker Evans' subway series arresting. Descending into the depths of the city, surrounded by deafening noise, blazing lights, cavernous shadows, grime, and pungent odors, Evans returned to the surface with a group of photographs that are striking not only for their subject matter but also for the conceptual framework that created them. With a 35mm camera concealed beneath his coat, its lens sticking out between two buttons, Evans imposed a rigid structure on this work that is remarkable for its lack of control. He consciously abdicated all the means that a photographer normally uses to make a picture: he did not look through the lens, he did not arrange his subjects or coach their expressions, he did not regulate his lighting or exposures, and he could not ever determine what was in his frame. Evans further restricted himself to sitting on a bench—thus he could not change the angle of his shot—and, with one exception, he confined himself to photographing the people sitting across from him. The only thing he did control was whether or when to press the shutter release in his sleeve.

At first glance these photographs seem radically at odds with Evans' previous work. When he began this project in 1938 he had just published *American Photographs*, which, although it contains some candid street photographs, is characterized by a cool, straightforward, documentary style. Emotionally detached, those photographs are, on the whole, carefully composed studies made with a large view camera of empty street scenes and quiet interiors in rural and suburban America. In his afterword, Lincoln Kirstein celebrated the cerebral, meticulous order of Evans' compositions and chastised the current craze of candid photography "with its great pretensions to accuracy, its promise of sensational truth." At precisely this same time Evans embarked on the subway series. His aim was to invest portraiture with the same neutrality and seeming transparency of his other work. In order to do this not only did he have to become invisible so that his subjects did not become self-consciously aware of his purpose, but, in a very real sense, he also had to make them unaware of themselves. He found that the subway not only leveled society, but it also neutralized the individual. Within this environment Evans discovered that the masks people wore at all other times melted away. Lost in thought, these people are presented simply as they are, without artifice or sentimentality.

Striving for what he called "the ultimate purity" of the "record method," Evans equated this project to a "line-up" of average people and suggested that he would have liked to act like a photographic sponge, recording and displaying everybody who innocently sat across from him. These two photographs are selected from fifteen generically given in 1990 by Kent and Marcia Minichiellos' gift of fifty-seven subway photographs. Together they incisively comment on Evans' working methods and demonstrate that in the subway series he did not abandon all artistic control. This group contains numerous examples of different croppings of the same frame or variant shots of individuals or groups of riders, clearly demonstrating that Evans transferred artistic manipulation from the exposure of the film to the selective process in the darkroom. 

*Sarah Greenough*

PROVENANCE
George Rinhart, 1974; Lunn Gallery, 1975; Kent and Marcia Minichiello, 1983.

NOTES
2. The exception is the last photograph published in *Many Are Called* (Boston, 1966), 177, a photograph—looking lengthwise down the center of the subway car—of a blind accordion player.
4. These photographs are usually dated 1938–1941. However Jerry L. Thompson in *Walker Evans at Work* (New York, 1982), 15, noted that they were made in 1938 and 1941. Evans was not the only photographer at this time to photograph in the subways: among others, Lee Sievan and David Robbins, members of the Photo-League, also explored this subject.
HENRI MATISSE
1869–1954

*The Oriental*, 1939
Charcoal on paper
24 x 16⅜ (60.96 x 40.96)
Gift of
Judith H. and Franklin D. Murphy

This large and fascinating work shows the great strengths of Matisse's mature charcoal drawing style and a quite unusual portrait subject. Though Matisse often sought interesting and exotic sitters, this drawing stands out as one of his most freely interpreted and stylistically extreme heads.

One of our century's greatest and most inventive draftsmen, Matisse believed the human body had connections to the vegetal world. In this sheet, originally titled *L'Asiatische*, he has given us a particularly organic and flowery shape. The face is portrayed as a large leaf or bulb, with treelike hair, trunk-like neck, petal-shaped ears. The eyes are highly stylized and his signature "bow" mouth is doubled by another mouth outline to its left. The face and neck have been progressively tightened. As the artist compressed the forms he left the estompe erasures as shadows, ghosts of the previous drawing. The result is a vital, actively charged image.

A remarkable slightly smaller charcoal, *Portrait: Dancer (The Buddha)*, also of 1939, presents another version of this same subject. Now in the Musée Matisse, Cimiez, that powerful drawing has even more exaggerated features and a tulip-shaped face. Recent research at the Archives Henri Matisse has confirmed that the subject of both these drawings was a dancer from the Ballets de Monte Carlo. In 1937–1939 the artist was designing the costumes and stage sets for *Rouge et Noir*, a ballet "symphonically" choreographed by Léonid Massine to Shostakovich's First Symphony. A number of smaller, more representational drawings of this model are documented in the Matisse Archives.

Matisse's normal creative progression was to establish a "theme," where he sought to capture the identity of the directly observed subject in detail. Various drawings cataloged in the Matisse Archives perform this function. Next, Matisse would turn away from the sitter and work from memory, relating more the spirit of the elements he discovered in the first sittings. Their pure inventiveness is evidence that both the Cimiez and Washington drawings are these subsequent "variations."

It is exciting to confirm that the exotic and sinuous curves of our drawing are related to the organic, flamelike forms found in Matisse's contemporary ballet designs. The Murphy drawing is thus connected to a critical moment when Matisse's lively theater designs were influencing so much of his work in other media, including his paper cut-outs, graphic oeuvre, and paintings.

This is the first late Matisse drawing of this type or of this epoch in the National Gallery's collection. However, this drawing can be chronologically related to the Gallery's two 1940 Matisse paintings, gifts of Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon and of Rita Schreiber in memory of Taft Schreiber.

*Jack Cowart*

NOTES
1. I am indebted to Mme. Wanda de Guébriant of the Archives Henri Matisse, Paris, for her generous and timely reply to my questions on this matter.
3. There are at least six of these figure in the Archives Henri Matisse.
On the evening of 11 September 1940, Henry Moore and his wife were detained by air-raid wardens in the London Underground.¹ This was the first occasion on which Moore saw refugees taking shelter in subway stations far below ground in preference to the official air-raid shelters closer to the surface.² The experience was both cathartic and catalytic. Moore explained, "I found myself strangely excited . . . by the unbelievable scenes . . . of the Underground Shelters. . . . I went back again and again. . . . I began filling a notebook with drawings, ideas based on London's shelter life."³

The immediate products of this pivotal episode in Moore's career are 162 pages in two sketchbooks, which he drew from memory after two or three nights a week in the Underground.⁴ Over the next year Moore developed from these prototypes some sixty-five to seventy-five large finished drawings, including this sheet.⁵ Exhibitions of these probing yet sympathetic renditions of such a contemporary subject won Moore an enthusiastic public audience. The private sale of many of them made him independent enough to give up part-time teaching and devote himself full-time to his sculpture, on which especially the group compositions and drapery studies of the shelter drawings had an immediate and profound influence.

This superb sheet is a faithful enlargement of a detailed sketch in the second Shelter Sketchbook.⁶ The prototype also prefigures its unusual technique. Moore had discovered that by laying down preliminary lines in white wax crayon and then washing over them with watercolor, the tone would "take" only outside these strokes; if they were then scraped free of wax and colored over again, more delicate tones could be made to adhere to their still-visible forms.⁷ This is the technique used in the present sheet, where it is most apparent in the vertical texturing of the background, in the foreground highlights, and in the ghostly structures of the figures themselves.

The nature and meaning of the images in the shelter drawings have received much attention, beginning with Moore's own statement that he strove in them for "the creation of a unified human mood. The pervading theme of the shelter drawings was the group sense of communion in apprehension. . . . The only thing at all like those shelters that I could think of was the hold of a slave-ship on its way from Africa to America, full of hundreds and hundreds of people . . . quite powerless to resist."⁸ His on-site notes written directly in the second Shelter Sketchbook bear out that sense of foreboding and anxiety: "Dramatic, dismal[ly] lit, masses of reclining figures fading to perspective point—Scribbles and scratches, chaotic foreground . . . (bundles of old clothes that are people)." Moore wrote above the preliminary sketch for this composition, "Two or three people under one blanket, uncomfortable positions, distorted twistings."⁹

All these formal and psychological tensions, however, are compassionately resolved by Moore's affecting rediscovery of humanity united and transfigured by suffering. "I was very conscious in the shelter drawings," he said, "that I was related to the people in the Underground. . . . Looking back, my Italian trip [of 1925] and the Mediterranean tradition came once more to the surface. There was no discarding of [my] other interests in archaic [and] primitive . . . art, but rather a clearer tension between this approach and the humanist emphasis . . . perhaps a temporary resolution of that conflict."¹⁰ Such a resolution is achieved by Moore's opposing strengths, in the shelter drawings, of naturalistic accuracy on the one hand and originality of vision on the other. The gravely somber rhythms of his figure groupings suggest timeless images of endurance, monumentality, and grandeur. Moore's idiomatic invention of a generic humanity united and transfigured by suffering.

The National Gallery owns thirteen prints by Moore and six sculptures. The present work is our first drawing by him and is one of the finest Moore drawings anywhere.

Douglas Lewis
Horace Pippin's career as an artist began late in life, after years of working as a porter, furniture packer, iron molder, and soldier. Despite a World War I injury that crippled his right arm, the untrained Pippin developed his own method of image making, beginning around 1925 with a process of burning pictures into wood panels. After 1937, when his work was discovered by a critic in a West Chester, Pennsylvania, art show, his fortunes took a dramatic turn for the better. Examples of his work were featured in a 1938 exhibition at the Museum of Modern Art and Robert Carien, a well-established Philadelphia dealer, became his enthusiastic representative.

Between 1940 and 1946, when he died, Pippin's paintings were included in numerous exhibitions and found a ready audience. Among his themes were his war experiences, the life of the abolitionist John Brown (whose hanging Pippin's grandmother had witnessed), a visionary series called Holy Mountain, and Pippin's recollections of his youth. The latter included both the over-stuffed Victorian parlors that he may have encountered during his mother's days as a laundress and the simple homes of his and other black families.

The domestic scenes Pippin painted in the 1940s probably recalled his memories of Goshen, New York, where he lived from the age of three, in 1891, until 1912. Many of these paintings share common elements such as bare wood floors, cracked plaster walls, and chairs with broken back slats, all suggesting an austere existence. However, these images are tempered by notes that suggest poverty perhaps, but not misery. Interior depicts a woman and two children in a nearly cavernous room. At the center is a curtainless window partially covered by a shade, with darkness beyond. Although the windowpanes are layered with snow, there is a large stack of wood beside the iron stove and the kettle is steaming. Smoking her pipe, the woman relaxes as her child, on a quilt on the floor, plays with a doll and toy animal. A boy with his back to them leans over his work next to a candle on the table. He might be reading, or if he represents Pippin himself, perhaps drawing or painting. There is no interaction among the inhabitants, yet the mood evoked is one of quiet anticipation, of the peaceful passing of time. The artist's enthusiastic recording of the textures and colors of the kitchen—the striped rag rugs, bright quilt, checkered tablecloth, and the woman's dotted kerchief—enlivens both the sparsely furnished interior and the canvas' design. Pippin excelled at utilizing surface patterns and interesting contours to vibrant effect.

With its strongly two-dimensional quality, unmodulated colors, and skewed perspective, Pippin's work is in some respects comparable to that of America's best-known twentieth century naive artist, Anna Mary Robertson ("Grandma") Moses (1860–1961). Moses' views of the pleasures of rural life, however, are laced with nostalgia whereas Pippin's embrace a grittier reality. At the same time Pippin confined his obvious messages to the John Brown paintings and a few other examples. In his everyday scenes he eschewed much of the direct social commentary of contemporaries such as Ben Shahn (1898–1968), Philip Evergood (1901–1973), and Jacob Lawrence (born 1917). The appeal of the best of Pippin's work is that it is absolutely straightforward, executed artfully but without artifice. Romare Bearden summarized the strength of Pippin's approach, recalling that upon meeting him Pippin was "positive that his paintings were completely realistic ... to him these images were not distortions but perfectly literal translations of the actual world." Interior, which was included in the important 1977 Pippin retrospective at The Phillips Collection, is such a work. It is the first painting by this artist to come to the National Gallery and joins a small but select group of works by African-American artists.

---

PROVENANCE
Robert Carlen Galleries, Philadelphia; R. Sturgis Ingersoll, Esq.; Mr. and Mrs. Irving Vogel; Mrs. A. Lewis Spitzer; ACA Galleries; Mr. and Mrs. Meyer P. Potamkin, 1972.

NOTES
1. From a magazine contest Pippin at age ten won "a box of crayon pencils of six different colors. Also a box of cold water paint and two brushes. These I was delighted in and used often." Horace Pippin, "My Life's Story," as included in Selden Rodman, Horace Pippin: A Negro Painter in America (New York, 1947), 77.

During a war, when all physical and mental energy are focused on survival and victory, art can seem of secondary importance. The desire to be directly involved in and supportive of the struggle propels many to use their skills to document the disaster around them. During the Second World War the pressure, particularly for photographers, to create records of the war was intense. Many photographers saw their work as incontrovertible visual evidence, endowed not only with the authority of truth, but also with a moral order. It was a heroic stance, but not one that was possible or even acceptable to all.

Ansel Adams, born in 1902, was too old to serve actively in the Second World War, but he aided the war effort in many ways, doing what he called “bread-and-butter” work for the Department of the Interior, photographing Army convoys and teaching photography at Fort Ord. His letters from the time, however, clearly indicate that he was both frustrated about not making a more direct contribution and deeply concerned about the kind of world that would emerge after the war. As a result, his images changed markedly during these years. The quiet, more personal reflections on the beauty of mountains, trees, and water that had so characterized his work in the 1930s were replaced with grander, more emphatic, at times almost didactic images. Including such celebrated works as Moonrise, Hernandez, New Mexico, 1941, and The Tetons and the Snake River, the photographs made during the Second World War were clearly intended to be public statements: the scale, power, and purity of the massive vistas of the American West posited as antidotes to the forces that threatened civilization.

Like so many artists before him, Adams understood the American landscape in terms that closely approximate religion. As his letters demonstrate, during the late 1930s and 1940s he saw nature as a cleansing, redemptive power, yet as the war intensified he came to understand it also as a symbol of man’s creative spirit. Shortly before the war openly erupted in Europe, he wrote that he wanted his photographs to show the “land and sky as settings for human activity,” and to demonstrate “how men could be related to this magnificent setting,” whereas in 1944 he wrote to Alfred Stieglitz that “as the war moves to a climax, the only enduring things seem to be the aspect of Nature—and its reciprocal, the creative spirit.” It is this sense both of endurance on a grand, heroic scale and of the equivalence between man’s spirit and nature that is the subject of many of Adams’ photographs made during the war and is most eloquently and emphatically affirmed in The Tetons and the Snake River. In his wartime work, Adams, as much as any other artist, constructed an art that not only embodied the ideals the nation was fighting to preserve, but also revealed the moral and spiritual order that he believed should be the foundation for the new postwar society.

As so many other artists had done in the 1930s, Adams experimented with scale and presentation. Beginning in 1935 and continuing for the next two decades, he occasionally made very large photographic prints. The Tetons and the Snake River was part of a group of mural photographs the Department of the Interior commissioned Adams to make in 1941 and 1942. Although he recognized that the large format could render some images trivial, revealing their weaknesses of subject, composition, and execution, it proved to be an extremely effective presentation of his images made during the war; their large physical size is a fitting reflection of the monumentality of their statement and intent. This rare mural print of The Tetons and the Snake River, so generously donated by Mrs. Adams, handsomely complements her previous gift of the Museum Set, a selection of seventy-five of Adams’ finest photographs from throughout his long and productive career.

Sarah Greenough

PROVENANCE
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NOTES
In the summer of 1942, Arshile Gorky spent three weeks at the Connecticut farm of his friend Saul Schary. The close experience of the country occasioned a major change in Gorky’s art. Rediscovering nature, he began an intense exploration of landscape and organic form.

The following summer Gorky and his family returned to the country, this time to the estate of his wife’s parents, Crooked Run Farm in Hamilton, Virginia. The Virginia countryside captivated the artist, who found it reminiscent of his native Armenia. Gorky had recently begun to draw in a new medium, pencil and wax crayon, and he celebrated his renewed passion for nature in a series of large drawings. So involved was he with the spectacle of nature, and so pleased with the progress of his art, that he decided to pass a full nine months of the following year at Crooked Run Farm, drawing, painting, and observing the changes of the seasons.

*Virginia Landscape* dates from that second, extended stay at Crooked Run Farm in 1944. It is a wonderful and quite characteristic example of Gorky’s landscape drawings of that year. Gorky, it has been noted, was more comfortable in both his drawing and painting with line than with color. In his 1943 pencil and colored wax crayon drawings he attempted to fuse the two, melding the colors of his wax crayons, often smeared or rubbed across the paper sheet, to complex pencil contours. In *Virginia Landscape* and other drawings of 1944, by contrast, line and color assert themselves as independent elements. He began with a scaffolding of strong, curving pencil lines, drawn in with a soft pencil. These are complemented by broad passages of color as well as several crayon lines that are drawn over or apart from the graphite. More subtle areas of silvery tone have been added by use of the stump and eraser.

Despite its title, *Virginia Landscape* derives more from a microscopic view than a macrocosmic vista. Gorky has been described sitting in the tall grass of the Virginia farm, dissecting “root, stem, insect, leaf and flower . . . As he worked he sang the plaintive melodies of the East — and with the song came moods and deep-sprung impressions whose haunting beauty infused mere analysis with emotion.”

His landscape drawings and paintings refer to nature seen close up; they are filled with strange forms that might be familiar were we to attend to the intimate details of the nature that surrounds us.

Although tied to that natural world, *Virginia Landscape* is quite evidently not a realist description. Gorky met and befriended the surrealist poet and theorist André Breton toward the end of 1944, but he was already familiar with the ideas of surrealism and practiced the surrealist procedure of automatic drawing. The lines and colors of *Virginia Landscape* occupy a middle ground between a transcription of the seen world and a brilliant improvisation based upon the nearly unconscious movement of the artist’s hand.

The National Gallery is particularly fortunate in matters of Arshile Gorky. The collection already includes three of Gorky’s most important paintings, *The Artist and His Mother*, c. 1926–1942, *Organization*, 1933–1936, and *One Tear the Milkweed*, 1944, as well as six major drawings. *Virginia Landscape* is of considerable importance to this collection, for Gorky’s landscape drawings of 1943–1944 are arguably his crucial achievement of those years. They form the basis for the style and provide many of the subjects for his paintings up until his death in 1948. *Virginia Landscape* complements its contemporary, *One Tear the Milkweed*. Whereas the painting is subtle and atmospheric, a lovely demonstration of Gorky’s subtle wash technique, *Virginia Landscape* is forthright and powerful, a compelling demonstration of the direct application of line, color, and imagination.

Jeremy Strick
A capsule history of abstract expressionist painting is familiar to many. The story goes that in the 1940s a young generation of American artists in New York turned away from the twin preoccupations of regionalism and social realism to embrace the tenets of advanced European art, especially cubism and surrealism. Influenced in part by the European modernists who came to this country in flight from the rise of fascism, these artists eventually came to forge in the 1950s a new abstract art founded upon gesture and immediacy and a grand, American sense of scale.

In recent years such capsule histories have been called into question. Not the least criticism is that in prizing the grand accomplishments of “classic” 1950s abstract expressionism, the early achievements of the New York school have been slighted. On the evidence of paintings like Robert Motherwell’s Personnage, 1945, that criticism is just.

Personnage, one of several paintings of that title painted by Motherwell in the mid-1940s, no doubt reflects the artist’s dialogue with Picasso, Matisse and, to a lesser degree, Mondrian. The picture can be read as an image of a painting, depicting a figure, set on an easel. The personage in the painting is described in an abbreviated, linear fashion—a version of the stick figures that Motherwell had first employed in his art in the seminal 1943 painting Pancho Villa Dead and Alive (The Museum of Modern Art, New York).\(^1\) Motherwell’s stick figures derive generally from Picasso’s Studio paintings of 1927–1928, but that of Personnage relates most closely to Picasso’s great Wire Construction, 1928.\(^2\) The setting of the clearly outlined shapes of painting and easel against a lushly painted ground recalls Matisse, while the reduced, geometric organization of Personnage suggests the impact of Mondrian, whose studio Motherwell visited in 1944.\(^3\)

If Motherwell has made no effort to hide his sources and influences, it is because he has transformed them into an intensely personal vocabulary.\(^4\) Of his stick figures Motherwell stated, “The figure interests me when it fills the picture as in a full length portrait. It never occurs to me to have the figure do anything, its presence is sufficient; and I suppose it rarely occurs to me to do anything except feel my own presence.”\(^5\) Personnage might be read as a meditation upon the transcription of personal presence onto canvas. The artist draws his own identity into a painted figure, but it is the painting as a whole rather than the isolated image that reflects that identity—the relative stasis of the figure and the energetic, anthropomorphized quality of the outlined painting and easel.

For the past several years, the National Gallery has actively collected New York school paintings of the mid-1940s. That collection now includes a number of early Mark Rothkos given by the Mark Rothko Foundation, Arshile Gorky’s One Year the Milkweed, 1944, William Baziotes’ Pierrot, 1947, Ad Reinhardt’s Untitled, 1947, as well as Barnett Newman’s Pagan Voud, 1946, one of several fiftieth-anniversary gifts of Anna Lee Newman. Personnage will make a distinguished addition to this company, while adding significantly to our representation of Robert Motherwell. Motherwell’s mural Reconciliation Elegy, 1978 was commissioned for the opening of the East Building of the National Gallery, and the Gallery also owns one artist’s book, one drawing, and twenty-two prints by the artist, none of these earlier in date than 1958. Among Motherwell’s early paintings, Personnage is unusual for the degree to which it contains in germ so much of his later work. The brown, blue, and ochre of Personnage are colors typically associated with Motherwell, as is the compositional device of playing curving lines against straight verticals. Personnage will also make a provocative juxtaposition with another important image of a painting-within-a-painting in the collection of the National Gallery, René Magritte’s The Human Condition, 1933.

Jeremy Strick

NOTES
ISAMU NOGUCHI
1904–1988

*Untitled*, 1945
Painted wood
50 x 19 x 9 1/4 (127 x 48.26 x 23.50)

Gift (Partial and Promised) of
Robert P. and Arlene R. Kogod

Isamu Noguchi came into his own as a sculptor in the mid-1940s with a group of biomorphic assemblages made from thin sheets of wood, slate, and marble. Surrealist in inspiration, these sculptures had their most direct source in Picasso’s “bone” figures of the early 1930s, and were close as well to the formal vocabulary of Yves Tanguy.¹

Noguchi worked on these sculptures at the same time that he was engaged in producing set and costume designs for Martha Graham’s dance company. He had first designed a set for Graham in 1935, for a production of *Frontier*, and had designed several other productions in the mid-1930s. The collaboration was renewed in 1943, when Graham invited Noguchi to work with her on the production of a trilogy of dances: *Imagined Wing*, *Appalachian Spring*, and *Herodiade*, which were performed for the first time in 1944. Noguchi’s sets for these dances were spare and suggestive. That for *Herodiade* included three freestanding objects made of painted plywood. Representing a chair, a clothes rack, and a mirror, these combinations of rounded and elongated forms functioned at once as furniture, stage design, and full-fledged surrealist sculpture.²

Although the importance of Noguchi’s set designs for the stylistic development of his sculpture is generally acknowledged, the artist’s most common material in this period was the inexpensive marble slabs used to face buildings.³ The black-painted wood *Untitled* is thus related to the three objects created for *Herodiade* in medium, and is also close to them in its furniture-like design. Indeed, one of Noguchi’s earliest and most famous furniture designs, the table he produced for A. Conger Goodyear in 1939, also comprises a flat, horizontal “table” element supported by two legs.

*Untitled* was documented first in a photograph of Noguchi’s studio taken in the mid-1940s by André Kertész.¹ There it appears unpainted, with a different “beak” shape where the tallest horned element is now. The sculpture is a complex union of curvilinear and geometric pieces, spiked projections, voids, and planes. Like many of Noguchi’s sculptures of this period it evokes a standing figure, but it reads also as a family of figures, perhaps mother, father, and child.

*Untitled* joins three later Noguchis in the National Gallery collection: a monumental stone sculpture of 1974 and two galvanized steel edition sculptures of 1983 and 1984. The first major early Noguchi to enter the collection, *Untitled* adds significantly to the Gallery’s holdings of this major American artist, and adds as well to our representation of the surrealist movement.

*Jeremy Strick*

**PROVENANCE**
Family of the artist; Private collector, New York; Sold at Sotheby’s, New York, 4 May 1984; Armand Bartos, Inc., New York.

**NOTES**
BARNETT NEWMAN
1905–1970

Pagan Void, 1946
Oil on canvas
33 x 38 (83.8 x 96.5)
Gift of Annalee Newman

Annalee Newman’s fiftieth anniversary gift of five paintings by her husband joins fifteen paintings by Newman already in the collection, the fourteen Stations of the Cross—Lema Sabachthani, 1958–1966, and the related oil, Be II, 1961–1964. The earliest of the five new gifts, Pagan Void, is one of Newman’s most important works of the mid-1940s. A transitional painting with elements of both figuration and abstraction, it relates to other New York-school pictures of the mid-1940s in the permanent collection, among them works by Arshile Gorky and Mark Rothko.

One of the great figures of the abstract expressionist movement, Newman was a thinker who developed his ideas in painting, sculpture, and writing. In his insistence on the importance of the artist’s intellect he often took issue with prevalent mythology about the art of his generation, writing, for example, in 1951 that an artist should be “approached ... as an original thinker in his own medium,” not “as an instinctive, intuitive executant who, largely unaware of what he is doing, breaks through the mystery by the magic of his performance.”1

Born and raised in New York, Newman attended the Art Students League while in high school and while attending City College. There he majored in philosophy, graduating in 1927. In the course of the next fifteen years he operated his family’s clothes manufacturing business, was a substitute teacher of art in New York high schools, and in 1933 ran as a candidate for mayor of the city on a platform advocating antipollution measures and civic programs for the arts. He also studied the writings of Henry David Thoreau and the anarchist Peter Kropotkin and became interested in botany, geology, ornithology, and tribal art. Newman destroyed most paintings he made in the 1930s and early 1940s.

In the spring of 1945 Newman set down a number of his developing ideas about the proper goals of aspiring abstract painters in various drafts of an unpublished “monologue,” “The Plasmic Image.” There he asserted that, between the time of the impressionists and the 1940s, modernist painters had solved the technical problems of the language of painting (color, shape, atmosphere) and should go on to transcend such decorative aspects of art to project concepts. “Art,” he wrote, “must become a metaphysical exercise.”2

Around this time he embarked on a series of paintings and drawings with egglike circular forms, sometimes joined to strong vertical elements. Some had titles that proposed subjects relating to the beginning of creation, for example a 1945 oil and oil-crayon drawing, Gea (the goddess who in Greek mythology was the first being to emerge from chaos). Thomas Hess, who knew the artist well, has suggested that, like Newman’s oil of 1947, Death of Euclid, Pagan Void has a combative title that refers to the lack of content of “Mohammedan” abstract art and by extension to all abstract art that is merely decorative geometric pattern and without subject matter.3 In its intimations of naturalistic imagery, Pagan Void might also be read as a “genetic moment” (the words are the title of another Newman oil of 1947), that is, the moment when a sperm begins to penetrate an ovum. Newman’s use in Pagan Void of rich green, blue, and red in thinly applied areas of paint anticipates the coloristic subtleties of his later abstractions and looks forward particularly to the palette of Dionysius, 1949.

Nan Rosenthal

NOTES
When the critic John Russell was preparing the catalogue for the Tate Gallery’s 1968 Balthus retrospective, the artist instructed him to state, “Balthus is a painter of whom nothing is known.” This insistence on privacy, combined with fanciful interpretations of his background, have made Balthus something of an enigma. Yet his artistic course has been markedly defined. Early on he determined the subject matter and style that would sustain him for a lifetime, and his devotion to that has been unfaltering.

Balthus’ work is resolutely figurative, his subjects most often being pubescent girls. They recline in states of utter leisure, lost in daydreams or asleep. The National Gallery drawing is a study for one of the artist’s most accomplished paintings, *Nude with a Cat* (1949), a highly ordered and provocative work in the collection of the National Gallery of Victoria. The drawing also relates in a broad sense to a series of important works painted between 1949 and 1952.

Balthus’ paintings are carefully conceived works, formally constructed with an eye to the old masters. His drawings are strikingly different. They are spontaneous where the paintings are not. Their handling is varied and reveals a certain gentleness not admissible in the paintings. In the National Gallery drawing, fluid lines shift this way and that as the artist situates his model on the page. Her hand raised, she reaches up to the playful cat hovering above her. In the midst of unbroken movement, the model’s composed face commands our attention, its contours accentuated with brisk cross-hatched shading. Her look is typically Balthusian: pensive, not truly focused on the cat, seemingly detached from her surroundings.

Four figure studies on the verso of this sheet probably relate to dance or theater projects. Balthus designed sets and costumes for five stage productions between 1948 and 1960. In the very center of the sheet, a faintly drawn stage curtain is tied back with a pull. To the left of it are two figures, their bearing and physique suggestive of dancers. A figure to the right strongly resembles one of Cézanne’s bathers and to the far right, barely discernible, is a crotchety-looking figure, seemingly in costume, pointing an accusing finger outward.

Works by Balthus are rare, exceedingly so in public collections. Although his reputation is legendary, admirers of his work seldom have the opportunity to see it in the original. Instead they must turn to photographic reproductions in books and catalogues. This beautifully rendered drawing, the first work by Balthus to enter the collection, will at long last offer visitors to the National Gallery an opportunity to study an original work by this twentieth-century master.

*Judith Brodie*

---
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5. Rewald 1984, 45–47.
Milton Avery's Laurels Number Four portfolio, published in 1948, brings together five drypoints. The copper plates for two of them, Riders in the Park and Head of a Man, had been worked in 1934 and 1935 respectively, but Avery had printed only a few proof impressions before setting them aside for what turned out to be more than a decade. The other three plates, Reclining Nude, March at a Table, and By the Sea were completed the year they were published.1

Avery's portfolio was the last of a series released by the Laurel Gallery in New York, owned by Chris Ritter who hoped thereby to raise some much-needed money. Issued for the sum of twenty-five dollars, the portfolio sold poorly even at that extraordinarily low price.2 The drypoints were accompanied by a title page and an appreciation by the artist Joseph Solman. Both were hand set and printed by Douglass Howell on Howell Handmade Paper. His colorful sheets also served as wrappers for the prints and text and for the hand-printed labels on the gray paper-covered board portfolios.

These drypoints were printed by Stanley William Hayter at his New York Atelier 17 in warm black ink with rich tonal surface effects.3 According to Grace Borgenicht, far fewer than the proposed edition of a hundred were printed.

The Laurels Number Four drypoint subjects are typical of Avery's work, including as they do portraits of friends and family (Head of a Man is a portrait of artist Louis Wiesenberg and March at a Table depicts Avery's daughter), the nude, the landscape, and the sea. The plates as a group present an intriguing compendium of drypoint markings, which the artist used to great advantage: for example, in building deep rich darks, as in the tree trunks in Riders in the Park; in developing a variety of middle tones, as in the necktie and background in Head of a Man; in suggesting delicately textured surfaces, as in March at a Table. As Solman said in his introduction, “this medium is ideal for Avery's concepts. Everything must be sacrificed to the barest notations. A few abrupt strokes, several tender incisions, and the scene or personage is replete with life, warmth, and personality. . . . Avery's work reveals pure joy.”

Avery is best known for his broadly composed, subtly colored paintings, as well as some two hundred monotypes executed toward the end of his life that take brilliant advantage of the painterly properties of the process. Throughout his career, however, he also completed a corpus of thirty drypoints, made intermittently between 1933 and 1950; eight lithographs, one completed in 1939, another, a color print, in 1963, and the rest published in editions of 2,000 in the souvenir catalogues for Artists Equity balls from 1950 to 1953; one linoleum cut and twenty-one woodcuts, many of them printed in variant impressions, all within the brief time between 1952 and 1955.3

Prior to this gift of Laurels Number Four, the National Gallery's collection included two prints by Avery, one early and one late: Rothko with Pipe, a 1936 drypoint portrait of the artist, purchased with funds donated by Ailsa Mellon Bruce; and the artist's only color lithograph, Grey Sea, the gift of Ruth B. Benedict. This splendid group of vigorously incised drypoints, therefore, represents a particularly significant addition to our representation of Avery's work.

Ruth E. Fine

PROVENANCE

NOTES
1. In Milton Avery: Prints 1933–1955, compiled and ed. by Harry H. Lunn, Jr. (Washington, 1973), these prints are nos. 6, 8, 26, 28, and 29. Riders in the Park was issued in 1973 in a restrike edition of one hundred unsigned impressions to accompany proof copies of the Lunn catalogue. Reclining Nude is also known as Nude with Long Torso; March at a Table also as March on a Terrace; By the Sea as Umbrella by the Sea.

2. I am grateful to Grace Borgenicht for supplying information about the Laurel Gallery portfolios, and to Harvey S. Shipley Miller for discussing this entry with Sally Avery and conveying to me that after Ritter closed his gallery several sets of these drypoints were assembled and issued without the portfolio and accompanying texts.

3. In “A Technical Note” in the Lunn catalogue, Alan Fern, citing Sally Avery as the source of his information, described Hayter's impressions as being in a brownish ink with surface tone and indicated they were less to Avery's liking than impressions printed in 1964 by Anderson and Lamb in black ink and wiped clean.

4. Alan Fern in the Lunn catalogue suggested more than two hundred monotypes. The others figures are based on this catalogue as well.
This arresting portrait belongs to a series of lithographic bust-length portraits that Picasso made beginning in January 1949.¹ One of only five printer’s proofs of the first state, the work was dated by the artist 26 February of that year. Around the same time, Picasso was painting very similar portraits of this sitter in the same frontal pose and striped dress.²

Picasso made his first lithograph in 1919 and subsequently worked occasionally in that medium until 1930. After that it was not until 1945 that he seriously returned to lithography. Beginning in November, in the Parisian studio of the printmaker Fernand Mourlot, Picasso concentrated intensely on lithography, making more than two hundred prints in the next three and a half years. He was attracted to the medium by the seemingly infinite number of techniques it afforded, many of which are evident in this print. Printmaking appealed to him for the various permutations of an image that could be explored in successive stages of a print, such as the depiction of a bull that he increasingly abstracted in eleven states in 1945–1946.³

Picasso’s first lithograph in 1945 was a portrait of Françoise Gilot, a young painter whom he met in Paris during the war in May of 1943. By the end of 1945 she gradually began to supplant Dora Maar’s position in his life as well as his art. This portrait clearly depicts the dark, piercing features of Françoise, with whom Picasso was currently living in Paris. The artist’s many images of Françoise during this period reflect the contentedness of their relationship. When Picasso drew this portrait, their son Claude was nearly two years old. Françoise was pregnant with a second child, Paloma, born April 19.

This emphatically frontal, nearly life-size image of Françoise offers a compendium of lithographic techniques. The striped bodice is wittily rendered with the side of a lithographic crayon, achieving a softly textured touch, while other areas such as the strands of hair or facial features have a painterly quality afforded by lithographic ink or tusche.

Having made the image, Picasso reworked the plate (here a zinc plate rather than a lithographic stone as in his earlier lithographs) on 4 March 1949, heavily retouching areas of the hair and face. This second state, like the first, was never published as an edition and exists only in five rare proofs. The same day he reworked another version of a very similar image that he had also originally composed on 26 February.⁴ This series of lithographic portraits of Françoise, with their repetitious theme and variation, has a cumulative, incantatory effect, like obsessive investigations of both a beloved visage and the very process of image making.

The Gallery’s graphics collection includes a large number of Picasso’s prints, but this rare impression makes a significant contribution to our holdings in later prints by the artist.

Marla Prather
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4. Mourlot 1949, no. 158.
CHARLES SHEELER
1883–1965

Counterpoint, 1949
Conté crayon
20 x 28 (50.8 x 71.2)
Gift of Daniel J. Terra

Described as Sheeler's “last great conté crayon drawing,” Counterpoint was completed in 1949 during a period of artistic rejuvenation sparked by an invitation three years earlier to spend several weeks as artist-in-residence at Phillips Academy in Andover, Massachusetts.1 As was his practice, Sheeler spent much of his time in Andover gathering the photographic “notes” he would later use to produce paintings and drawings.2 Although he took photographs of several campus buildings, the architectural structure that truly captured his imagination was not an academic building, but rather an abandoned mill at Ballardvale, near Andover. The dilapidated mill, much transformed, is the subject of Counterpoint.3

Sheeler began working with conté crayon very early in his career. Born in Philadelphia and educated at the School of Industrial Art and the Pennsylvania Academy of the Fine Arts, Sheeler later shared a Philadelphia studio and country house near Doylestown, in Bucks County, with fellow-artist Morton Schamberg. When it became clear that neither artist could support himself adequately on paintings alone, both Sheeler and Schamberg turned to commercial photography. Schamberg found work as a portrait photographer while Sheeler's subjects were more often art and architecture. About 1917, in a series of remarkable photographs and drawings of the Doylestown house and several Bucks County barns, Sheeler explored the formal issues raised by his photographs in drawings. The only other work by Sheeler presently in the collection of the National Gallery is a drawing of Chartres Cathedral dated 1929.

Between 1930 and 1937 Sheeler produced at least sixteen of these drawings before he abandoned the difficult medium for more than a decade. It was, in fact, an invitation from Bartlett Hayes, director of the Addison Gallery of American Art at Phillips Academy, that initiated Sheeler's return to the medium. Hayes wished to inaugurate a new acquisition program whereby contemporary artists would be invited to spend a period of time on campus and the gallery would then purchase the “creative results of this term of residence.”4 Sheeler, the first artist invited to participate in the program, spent about six weeks in Andover in the fall of 1946. Intrigued by the old mill he discovered in Ballardvale, Sheeler took many photographs and subsequently produced an oil titled Ballardvale that was acquired by the Addison Gallery. Three years later Sheeler completed Counterpoint, a large-scale drawing that displays all the tonal richness of the earlier drawings, but also a new compositional complexity drawn from his more recent work in oil. As others have noted, Counterpoint is “at once entirely legible and intriguingly abstract.”5 The image was initially conceived as a composite of superimposed photographs. Though it is not clear exactly how many negatives were used, at least one shows the mill in reverse. The result is a multifaceted composition that reprises in a far more sophisticated manner Sheeler's earlier investigation of cubist space.

In 1946 Sheeler wrote that he undertook conté crayon drawing “to see how much exactitude I could attain.”6 Counterpoint, perhaps the finest of the artist's late drawings, demonstrates that he was capable of extraordinary conceptual and technical “exactitude.”

Nancy K. Anderson

NOTES
2. Troyen and Hirshler 1987, 35.
3. A photograph of Ballardvale mill by Sheeler is reproduced in Troyen and Hirshler 1987, 192.
5. Troyen and Hirshler 1987, 35.
BARNETT NEWMAN
1905–1970

Dionysius, 1949
Oil on canvas
67 x 49 (170.2 x 124.5)
Gift of Annalee Newman

_Dionysius_ is one of four oils dating from 1949 in which Newman explored turning his characteristic bands or zips ninety degrees to cross the canvas from side to side rather than top to bottom. There are no drawings in this format, and Newman returned to it only once later, in 1951, with _Day before One_ (Oeffentliche Kunstsammlung, Basel), a strongly vertical oil with narrow lateral bands at the top and bottom edges.

In the four 1949 oils with horizontal zips, the bands do not edge the canvas but cut across the fields, dividing them into sections. _Untitled (No. 3),_ 24 x 28 (Collection Annalee Newman), is the smallest and most likely the earliest of the four. Newman positioned two light blue bands in a dark red field. The upper band changes midway to a darker blue, and there are other contrasting streaks of paint applied laterally part of the way across the field, creating a faint suggestion of atmosphere, as if the painting were an abstract landscape with the upper band functioning as a horizon line.

Two other 1949 paintings with horizontal zips, _Argos_ (Collection Annalee Newman) and _Horizon Light_ (University of Nebraska-Lincoln Art Galleries, Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Thomas Sills) are emphatically horizontal in format and nearly the same size (30 1/2 x 72 and 33 x 72 inches respectively).

_Dionysius_, probably the last of the four, is vertical in format. The rich blue-green field, with some yellow underpainting, is crossed above by a wide orange band and below by a narrow yellow band. In the orange zip Newman’s brushwork is conspicuous and travels in patches onto the green field; the yellow zip has rigid edges apparently formed by using tape. The coexistence of the contrary kinds of paint handling creates tension in the work and calls attention to the way the field is divided: into bottom and middle sections of the same height and a top section half the height of each of the other two. The painting is signed on the front at the lower right, and its stretcher bars are covered along the sides with black tape.

It was not unusual for Newman to title his abstract paintings with words referring to members of his family, aspects of Greek culture, the Old Testament, or places in American literature. These references were not meant as depictive allusions but rather, as Newman said, as metaphors describing his feelings when he did the paintings. “It’s not literal but a cue,” he told an interviewer.1

Given Newman’s great interest in light as a metaphor of creation and revelation as well as his interest in Gothic architecture (there are paintings called _Cathedral_ and _Chartres_), it seems possible that his title for this painting was prompted by Dionysius’ the Pseudo-Areopagite, a fifth-century theologian from Syria whose writings, a synthesis of Neoplatonism and Christian mysticism, were highly venerated in medieval France at the time of the invention of Gothic architecture. The theology of Dionysius was the chief source of a metaphysics of light that stimulated the planners of the first Gothic cathedrals.2 Newman may have discussed Dionysius’ light metaphysics with the art historian Meyer Shapiro, a close friend whose special field is the medieval period.

_Nan Rosenthal_

NOTES
On 29 January 1948, his forty-third birth-
day, Newman made a small painting, One-
ment I, whose innovative characteristics pro-
vided strategies for much of his subsequent
art. He first covered the entire surface of
the vertical rectangle with cadmium red dark,
brushing on the red-brown earth color with
up-and-down strokes that were evenly ap-
plied yet faintly visible nonetheless. Thus
his artist's touch was manifest in a straight-
forward, dignified manner that appeared to
eschew qualities typical of art contemporary
to it, on the one hand the straight-edged
rigidities of much geometric abstraction and
on the other the rhetorical flourishes of more
painterly abstract pictures. In contrast to
Newman's abstract surrealism and abstrac-
tions of the previous several years, the evenly
applied paint eliminated any suggestion of
shading from light to dark and therefore
of atmosphere or depth. On top of the un-
shaded red-brown, Newman placed a strip
of masking tape vertically down the center
of the canvas and painted over this tape with
cadmium red light, a red-orange he applied
so that his brushwork showed somewhat at
the ragged edges of the strip of color. It was
a test smear that Newman studied for months
before concluding that his painting was in-
deed finished and a revelation.

Newman's method with Onement I in-
volved using the surprising impact of sym-
metry—something so simple that it risked
appearing obvious or dull—together with
contrasting manners of paint application (flat
and thinly applied versus moderately brushy
strokes over tape). In addition he made use
of the impact of rich color that shifted very
little in hue but a good deal in chromatic
intensity. To viewers attempting to calculate
the reasons for the force of such a seemingly
simple picture, it became clear when the
nuances were taken into account that a mind
was at work and further that the intellect
was intended to show.

Between the fall of 1948 when Newman
decided that Onement I was, in fact, finished,
and the end of 1949, Newman was im-
mensely prolific, completing twenty paint-
ings including Yellow Painting. Newman had
earlier used saturated yellow dramatically with
black in a work of 1946–1947, Euclidian
Abyss. Yellow Painting, a particular favorite
of Mrs. Newman's because of its color, was,
like each of her fiftieth anniversary gifts, a
present to her from the artist.

The work partakes of the discoveries made
in Onement I. It is a symmetrical compo-
sition with a green-yellow ground. Near the
left edge and the right edge there are white
stripes or “zips”; both are fairly straight-
edged yet clearly made by hand. In the mid-
dle of the canvas, establishing the symmetry
echoed by the side bands, is a zip of yellow,
slightly darker in value and more orange in
hue than the yellow ground. It is nearly
impossible to discern at a glance which of
the three colors has been put on first. This
of course contributes to the absence of depth
the picture maintains. The painting is much
larger than the breakthrough Onement I,
and its expanses of color, in the absence of
spatial cues, caused the critic Clement
Greenberg to define such works by New-
man as not easel paintings but rather “fields,”
thus giving rise to the term “color-field
painting.”

Nan Rosenthal
Several months after Newman's first one-man exhibition, which took place at the Betty Parsons Gallery in New York in January–February 1950, he moved from his studio on East Nineteenth Street in Manhattan to a considerably larger space on Wall Street. One result of the move was that he could execute pictures much larger than previously possible, such as the eighteen-foot-long canvases *Vir Heroicus Sublimis* and *Cathedra*. One of the first paintings executed in 1951 in the Wall Street studio was *The Voice* (The Museum of Modern Art, New York, Sidney and Harriet Janis Collection). An eight- by nine-foot canvas, *The Voice* has two contrasting whites: a field of fairly evenly applied egg tempera and, five-sixths of the way toward the right, a vertical zip in glossier enamel or oil paint.

*The Name II*, Newman's second white-with-white painting of 1950, is virtually identical in stretcher size to *The Voice* but vertical in format, nine by eight feet. It contains four zips: two vertical bands at either side and two in the middle that divide the canvas into three more or less equal sections. The zips are in oil. The field areas, in Magna, probably mark Newman's first use of the new acrylic resin paint, sent to him by his friend Leonard Bocour, the paint manufacturer. While these white-with-white paintings have an obvious precedent in Kazimir Malevich’s suprematist white-on-white canvases of 1918, such as the Museum of Modern Art’s square work with a white square on a white ground, the Newmans are inventive in their expansive scale, which relates them to the human body. They also anticipate many subsequent works, such as Robert Rauschenberg’s entirely monochrome white paintings of 1951.

It has been proposed that the words of the title refer to the ancient Hebrew Tetragrammaton of four consonants, YHVH or the acronym Yahweh, used in place of the unutterable holy name for the creator. Newman earlier titled an oil of 1949 *The Name*.

A four- by five-foot gray canvas, the 1949 *Name* is divided into four identical sections and one smaller one to the right by four vertical red zips. There is also a drawing of 1949 in the collection of the National Gallery of Art (fig. 1), on which the words “the name” appear toward the top of the verso.

The compositions of the National Gallery drawing and the 1949 painting *The Name* bear no apparent relationship to *The Name II* of 1950, which was first exhibited at Newman’s second one-man show in April 1951 at Betty Parsons Gallery. The importance of the white-with-white pair of paintings (*The Voice, The Name II*) to Newman’s thinking at the time is suggested by the announcement of the Parsons exhibition, which Newman designed and had printed in white ink on white cardboard.

*Nan Rosenthal*
ALBERTO GIACOMETTI
1901–1966

The Table before the Dormer
Window, 1950
Graphite
20⅞ x 14 (51.2 x 35.7)
Gift of John D. Herring and
Mr. and Mrs. Paul L. Herring
in Memory of
Mr. and Mrs. H. Lawrence Herring

"What I believe," Giacometti said, "is that whether it be a question of sculpture or of painting, it is in fact only drawing that counts. One must cling solely, exclusively to drawing. If one could master drawing, all the rest would be possible."

Giacometti came as close to achieving that goal as any artist of his generation, especially in his mature works after 1945. Without pause he moved flawlessly from one medium to another, his sculpture fortifying his drawings and his drawings informing his paintings. Giacometti's unfaltering eye absorbed all that surrounded him: his friends and family, the landscape of his native Switzerland, and the familiar objects that filled his home and studio. Yet it was not the appearance of his subjects that interested him but the physical act of seeing. Vision after all is not a fixed sense; it shifts continuously, and Giacometti was able to capture that quality in brilliant drawings such as this one, whose swiftly coursing lines suggest a reality that is momentary rather than constant.

As a child Giacometti was an enthusiastic draftsman, constantly drawing the people and everyday objects that surrounded him, including reproductions that he found in art books. From all accounts he possessed a keen intelligence and insatiable curiosity. He would return again and again to the same subject, always attuned to its possibilities. Although he began his studies in Geneva, he concluded them in Paris where he settled in a Montparnasse studio in 1927. During the late twenties and early thirties he explored the avant-garde styles in vogue at the time: primitivism, cubism, and surrealism. But by the end of World War II Giacometti had sifted through these numerous influences and arrived at the signature style that defined his work thereafter. It is that style that marks the present drawing, the first by Giacometti to enter the National Gallery's collection.

Giacometti made annual visits to his family home in Stampa, a Swiss village in the Italian-speaking Bregaglia Valley. There he devoted his energies to painting and especially to drawing. He drew incessantly, recording the home's intimate interiors: its vaulted ceilings, the mullioned dormer windows, the rustic tables and sideboards, and the various beloved objects that graced their surfaces. This scene is of the kitchen table at Stampa with a basket and a pitcher of flowers, set against a dormer window with a bottle of Chianti on its sill.

In attempting to capture the transitory experience of seeing, Giacometti relied solely on his lines: those thinly defined marks that speed from point to point, propelled by a hand that seems never to lose touch with the sheet. Tangled and rapidly executed, they describe objects in an atmosphere that seems palpable. Their contours are gapped and in places erased so that air and light pass through. For Giacometti there was no such thing as empty space. It was part of his encompassing vision and was as tangible to him as the flowers that fill the pitcher or the bottle that rests on the sill.

James Lord's numerous essays on Giacometti are some of the most insightful writings on the artist. Lord knew him well and was keenly attentive to his demeanor. In writing about Giacometti's passion for drawing, he recounted that "even when his fingers were not engaged, his eye was. And often when he was seated somewhere without a pen or pencil in hand, his fingers would move round and round with the insistent gesture of drawing—on the table of a café, or on his knee as he rode along in a taxi—as if the mere motion of drawing might induce some new fragment of reality."

For Giacometti drawing was an essential means of clarifying his vision and of committing to line the transient nature of his surroundings.

Judith Brodie

PROVENANCE
Mr. and Mrs. H. Lawrence Herring, acquired c. 1952.

NOTES
3. For related drawings and other interior views at Stampa, see Lord 1971, nos. 88–90, 95, 96, 98, 102, 104, 114.
Around 1947 Jackson Pollock put aside the traditional tools and concepts of making art. Instead of brushing paint onto canvas or applying pencil or crayon to paper, he poured or flung paint onto surfaces. Instead of illustrating feelings, he attempted to express them. And in spite of the fact that these works seem uninhibited, they are in essence highly controlled. The sheer effusion and velocity of lines in this strikingly bold drawing belie its ingeniously ordered invention.

Pollock grew up mainly in Arizona and California, the youngest of five sons. Although his family's resources were limited, his mother was a determined believer who fostered artistic potential in each of her children. In 1926 Jackson's oldest brother enrolled at the Art Students League in New York City where Jackson joined him four years later. Both brothers studied with Thomas Hart Benton, the titan of American scene painting and a staunch opponent of European modernism. Although Jackson revered Benton he eventually sought new directions. Years later Pollock wrote with some irony and a good deal of affection that his work with "Benton was important as something against which to react ... it was better to have worked with [Benton] than with a less resistant personality who would have provided a much less strong opposition." 1

Pollock absorbed influences from divergent directions. He admired the work of the Mexican muralists, asserted that Albert Pinkham Ryder was the only American master who interested him, 2 found inspiration in the work of Picasso and Miró, assimilated the surrealist's thoughts on the unconscious, and was affected by Jungian symbolism. Somehow Pollock took account of all these influences and forged an approach to art-making that was entirely unique. That approach was dubbed action painting 3 or abstract expressionism and was largely responsible for the ascendency of American art after World War II.

Pollock's work was basically linear with little distinction made between drawing and painting. As one commentator remarked, Pollock had the "impulse to draw with paint." 4 The present drawing is from a series of works done in ink and sometimes watercolor on absorbent Japanese papers. The artist began by making an ink drawing on the top sheet of a stack of Japanese papers. Because oriental paper is very absorbent, the primary image would bleed through to the sheets beneath. These were later separated and frequently reworked with either ink or watercolor. The present drawing, a vigorously worked and enormously powerful image, was the primary work in a sequence; its immediate undersheet is in a private collection; and the remaining two undersheets were acquired by the National Gallery in 1985. 5 It is very rare indeed to have three directly associated works from a Pollock series. Rarer still is a primary work of such exceptional impact and brilliance, now promised by the Kogods to join the sheets already in the Gallery.

While many of Pollock's so-called poured works are centrifugally structured, the present drawing is essentially episodic. Two wildly energetic vortexes mirror each other on alternate sides of the sheet. One is underlaid by a burst of gridlike lines (to the left), the other by a more tightly compacted grid (to the right). Toward the center four dynamic splotches of ink punctuate the whole and slow the frenzied motion. A line spills onto the page at top and decisively parts and isolates the activity. Barely compressed into the lower corner is a diminutive vortex, acting like a brilliant coda. It is an exquisite orchestration that calls to mind the poet Frank O'Hara's statement about Pollock's draftsmanship: "that amazing ability to quicken a line by thinning it, to slow it by flooding, to elaborate that simplest of elements, the line—to change, to reinvigorate, to extend, to build up an embarrassment of riches in the mass of drawing alone." 6

Judith Brodie
DAVID SMITH
1906–1965

*Untitled (Virgin Islands)*, 1933
Ink and graphite
17 ⅔ x 27 ⅜ (43.5 x 69)

*Untitled (Oct 4 1951)*, 1951
Ink and gouache
26 ⅔ x 19 ⅞ (66.4 x 50.6)

*Untitled (11–22–58)*, 1958
Ink and egg yolk
17 ⅝ x 26 ⅛ (44.8 x 66.1)

*Untitled 5 (Sept 13–58)*, 1958
Graphite, ink with egg yolk, and gouache
26 ⅝ x 39 ⅞ (68 x 101.5)

Although David Smith is best known as a sculptor, he was a painter first; and he continued to work in painting and drawing throughout his career. He “drew every day. He kept a note-pad by the bed, to capture those images so vivid in sleep. Another waited on the table in the workshop beside the telephone, in addition to notebooks which were rather larger. Sometimes he’d sketch a detail of an object seen in a museum, Assyrian or Egyptian art, or something as intricate as a lock. He had to draw.”

These seven drawings and an eighth work in enamel paint on canvas, also part of this gift, present important aspects of the artist’s work in two dimensions. Smith himself made no distinctions between his drawings and his paintings; and in fact, many of the drawings are quite painterly whereas some of the paintings emphasize draftsmanship.

Some of Smith’s drawings relate directly to sculpture, either as working ideas or as portraits after the fact; others relate more tenuously. All of them share with the sculpture Smith’s unflagging sense of authority as expressed in his statement “From the artist there is no conscious effort to find universal truth or beauty, no effort to analyze other men’s minds in order to speak for them. His act in art is an act of personal conviction and identity. If there is truth in art, it is his own truth. If beauty is involved it is only the metaphor of imagination.”

The earliest work in this group, *Untitled (Virgin Islands)*, dates from 1933, the moment Smith began working in sculpture. It is part of a group associated with the Virgin Islands, either because they were made there or because they stemmed from drawings made during a sojourn in Saint Thomas from fall 1932 through June 1933. Like others in the group this drawing features broad sweeping strokes of varying widths juxtaposed with delicate, meandering lines that suggest an interest in automatism. Some of the lines map out shapes with no obvious references to the visible world whereas others evoke images of shells or trees. The drawings call to mind the work of Stuart Davis and of Arshile Gorky, as if Smith instinctively was tracking a wide field on which to base his understanding of ideas about abstract art.

Particularly dramatic in this sheet are the broad areas of black that function between forms, as hollows or shadows, while also establishing dramatic compositional elements across the surface. The components of the drawing relate closely to those in Smith’s sculptures of the period that incorporated wire, wood, shells, and other found materials. Smith’s own comments about cubism seem apt in reference to his scheme: “The overlay of line shapes, being a cubist invention permits each form its own identity and when seen through each other highly multiplies the complex of associations into new unities.”

The next of this group of drawings, *Untitled, (Oct 4 1951)*, in ink and tempera, is the most painterly and also the most sculptural of them. Its rich palette of oranges, blues, black, and white is somewhat unusual for Smith, although the daring use of color in two dimensions is not. Aggressive shifts in space, strongly defined forms, and agitated draftsmanship combine to impart a distinctive sense of strength. The drawing presses to the edges of the sheet, yet remains contained. A layering of materials suggests an additive approach similar to that in Smith’s sculpture.

There is a sense of grandeur about the drawing, which summarizes many of the formal themes Smith had been using, while also posing new ideas. Specific recognizable forms, either tied to Smith’s sculpture or to elements in nature, are included in the drawings of this period: bones, for example, like the one horizontally displayed here across the left center of the sheet. A heroic work, it was made during the period of the artist’s Guggenheim Foundation Fellowship, which enabled him the financial freedom to work ambitiously with greater ease.

Three drawings provide a window into the richness of Smith’s calligraphy, showing that the variety and range of his work even within this one realm is extraordinary. Some sheets feel quite close to the abstractness of the Oriental calligraphy that served as part of Smith’s inspiration. The individuated strokes maintain a life of their own, as in *Untitled (11–22–58)*, 1958, painted with a broad brush and the distinctive mixture of egg yolk and black Chinese ink that Smith began to use in the early 1950s.

The sensuous overlapping of strokes plays a crucial role in developing the energy of the sheet. Its dancing hieroglyphs vary in density. Also of importance is the beautiful yellow paper, an example of Smith’s keen interest in beautiful sheets, their textures, density. Also of importance is the beautiful yellow paper, an example of Smith’s keen interest in beautiful sheets, their textures, colors, weights, and the effect of these qualities on the drawings themselves. “David took pride in good paper. . . . In part, quality was a solace for the fact that he couldn’t buy steel large enough to make the sculpture he dreamed of.”

Many of Smith’s calligraphic drawings of the late 1950s suggest mountain landscapes, a clear response to the surroundings of Bolton Landing, New York, his full-time home.
from 1944. In *Untitled*, 1959, which reveals no clear descriptive characteristics at all, the energetic speed of Smith’s strokes and their shifts in scale suggest distant hills and close, dense foliage, perhaps a cloud in the sky, and a definite sense of the grandeur of the Appalachian Mountain landscape that surrounded the artist’s studio.

Less characteristic of Smith’s calligraphy is *Untitled 5 (Sept 13–58)*, in which there is both a suggestion of an underdrawing and of overpainting with white tempera to modify the black ink line. While retaining the flowing overall qualities characteristic of the calligraphic drawings, spontaneity is not a salient characteristic here.

The two latest of these seven are from a group called the spray drawings, which Smith made starting about 1958. His process involved placing found objects—scrap of metal, paper, and other materials—on the sheet as a mask and then spraying automobile enamel around them. The mask established the image; the spray paint established the color world, which tended to be both layered and speckled, with a rich interaction of several hues as seen in both examples here. Smith often used metallic paints, and sometimes added strokes with white tempera to reinforce shapes and gestures.

The “sprays” are of two types, those that relate closely to Smith’s sculpture, as in *Untitled*, 1963, with two circles separated by a horizontal slab; and the free flowing painterly type in which shapes dart in and out of space, as in *Untitled*, 1962. A clue to the mask used for this last drawing remains in the crushed piece of masking tape in the lower left section.

For Smith, making drawings functioned as thinking, as exploring, as action, as event. And the artist proudly included his drawings in his exhibitions throughout his life. These works are a central aspect of his art; knowing them is essential to a deep understanding of his sculpture. Along with the eighth work in this gift, *Untitled*, 1965, a nude painted in enamel on canvas that reveals another aspect of Smith’s calligraphic style, this beautiful and wide-ranging group of drawings joins one other calligraphic sheet and six of Smith’s sculptures that are part of the National Gallery’s collection.

*Ruth E. Fine*
Untitled (Virgin Islands), 1933

Untitled (11-22-58), 1958
Untitled 5 (Sept 13–58), 1958
Untitled (9/3/59), 1959
Untitled, 1963
Although Clyfford Still's best-known work is identified with abstract expressionism and in particular the color-field direction found in Rothko and Newman, its originality bypasses neat categories. Even before making contact with New York art circles from 1945 onward, Still, who had already spent much time in the northwest and then went on to teach in San Francisco, forged a strong individual style from diverse sources. During the next seven years audacious pictorial statements grew rapidly out of those foundations. They are therefore less the total "breakthrough" that critics have imagined than the extraordinary climax of an artistic vision matured over more than a quarter of a century.

Some of these paintings from the later 1940s and early 1950s reduce the ruggedly incisive contours that Still liked to draw with the palette knife to a few dramatic accents set upon unpainted canvas. Others establish a conflict between vertically uprising, eroded, and resistant passages, often heightened by dissonant color combinations. A third tendency was to engulf almost the entire image with a single mass of pigment. 1951-N belongs more to the latter type yet takes elements from the first two. Its startling appearance—a wall of earthy browns riven by crimson streaks, lemon yellow, and the merest blue sliver at the bottom right edge—comes from an unusual synthesis. On the one hand, everything seems stripped to a bedrock of forms. On the other, this radical abstraction is charged with expressiveness: violent knife work, the sense that action is happening beyond the picture's edge or below its surface, and a denial of ordinary visual space so intense that the viewer is confronted as if before a monolith.

Appropriately, then, Still could comment in the year before 1951-N that they were "not paintings in the usual sense; they are life and death merging in fearful union."1 His allusion was evidently to the symbolism underlying the images; ideas whose roots extended back to acute early experiences. As a youth Still homesteaded on the prairies of Alberta where the vast flatness of the landscape made a deep impression. During long periods of drought and recession through the 1920s and after, the situation there became a struggle between the environment and life itself that was translated into the vertical motifs—human, natural, and even mechanical—which rise from the land against expansive stretches of sky or other surroundings in the early works.

By the mid-thirties more cryptic elements began to personify the merger of earth, heavens, and the living presence. It is almost certain that Still, at first a student at Washington State College under an eminent literary scholar, developed them partly from modern studies in Greek mythology that dealt with sinister chthonic figures, dark regions, and blazing flashes from the sky above. When Rothko in 1946 quoted Still's notion that his art was "Of the Earth, the Damned and of the Recreated"2 it surely echoed such origins. In the meantime he had also absorbed lessons from European avant-garde painters such as Picasso and Miró to reach a spartan manner fully, and aggressively, his own.

Vestiges of past themes remain in 1951-N: the yellow outburst from on high that answers to the blue literally submerged deep below (scrutiny reveals how most of it was overpainted), a field bristling with forces, and an upright pared down to an alizarin crimson gash whose minute whitish flecks near its break are the final traces of the markings that animated Still's earlier figures. Even more daring is the way these are stated. Not only did the concept of the paint surface itself as a hostile terrain have little parallel at the time, but also the design where shapes are sliced by the edge was groundbreaking and without any debt to cubism. Given no more than a basic date-plus-letter title by Still in order to banish literary associations, the achievements of this period breathe an intense, fearsome spirit. Before them, he wrote, the viewer would "be on his own."3

Still concentrated his donations to the public realm in select locations, among them museums in Buffalo and San Francisco. As the first of his works to enter the National Gallery, 1951-N constitutes a crucial and magnificent addition to its representation of postwar American art.

David Anfam

PROVENANCE
Marlborough Gallery.

NOTES
Rather than progress along a linear path, Clyfford Still periodically mined his own past for inspiration. Established ideas would give birth to breathtaking new compositions. Dating from the same year as 1951-N, Untitled attests to his cycles of growth and reflection. Its blaze of yellow belongs to the period when Still was pushing color to the farthest limits. In 1950 he wrote about creating “explosive forces,” and no phrase could better describe the impact that both canvases wield. Yet a sense of design grips those vectors in precarious equilibrium. The excitement is almost tangible.

Echoes and accents offset the massive hold on the viewer’s attention exerted by the field. Narrow rills of orange, red, and other strong hues anchor the right side whose asymmetry nonetheless balances the vast radiant gulf. Narrow rills of orange, red, and other strong hues anchor the right side whose asymmetry nonetheless balances the vast radiant gulf.

As sharp wedges rear upward from the lower left, almost identical rifts descend from the top. Whites and black establish a dialogue around the entire picture, while the exotic pink at the upper edge resounds against an equally minute blue in the bottom right corner. Belying Still’s stereotype as one of the cruder abstract expressionists, these refinements also revolutionize some of his oldest artistic traits.

Colleagues from Still’s time as an art instructor remembered an ability to analyze paintings in great detail. Indeed, compositional rhythms he observed in Cézanne in 1935 anticipate by a decade or more the tectonics that would hold the subsequent abstractions together beneath their austere surfaces. So do the devices of Still’s representational style from the 1920s onward. In a typical case such as the Canadian prairie landscape entitled Row of Elevators (National Museum of American Art, Smithsonian Institution, Washington), red and green are poised on either side of the central focus.

He also frequently introduced conventional repoussoirs — motifs such as trees or figures that the old masters placed near a picture’s edge to frame its interior elements — whose ultimate descendants are the marginal presences seen in Untitled. What had begun as traditional practice returns here to amazing effect.

The inimitable palette, involving “hot” colors such as yellows and reds that sometimes overwhelm, at other times themselves are overwhelmed by earth tones and black, may express a similar transformation. Certainly the various yellows that came to the fore in the later 1940s and dominate Untitled had once held a special meaning. A fellow art teacher was struck by “great shafts of ocher wheat blades rising upwards from a relentless earth” in his early work; the word “yellow” occurred in three of the titles in his 1943 retrospective; and the famous Jamaïs (Peggy Guggenheim Collection, Venice) contains upright vivid ocher wheat stalks. The latter accompany a dark red sun and an immensely elongated black specter. To cite such a history behind Untitled neither trivializes nor denies its sheer rigor, but instead suggests how far Still had traveled in a few years.

Less ferociously wrought than 1951-N, Untitled has perhaps a more exultant tone. In particular, it seems to liberate the verticals that the artist considered indicative of vital energy itself. Moreover, every hue is near maximum saturation. Whereas the Meyerhoff gift presents, on the whole, an unforgettably landlocked mass, the rising movement in the present work runs to infinity as if form and space were a single continuum. Both exemplify Still’s art at its most absolute. Together they allow the Gallery to initiate the record of his historic status.

David Anfam

PROVENANCE
The artist.

NOTES
1. Irving Sandler, The Triumph of American Painting: A History of Abstract Expressionism (New York, 1970) reproduced the work as 1951 Yellow (plate XI). This is erroneous since Still stopped using titles (other than simple numerical ones) by 1947; see his 3 March letter of that year to Barnett Newman in the Archives of American Art.
3. For example, the late Lois Karzenbach, a teacher at Washington State College, interview with the author, 9 April 1978. Also, Dr. William Bakamis, letter to the author, 21 February 1978.
6. At the San Francisco Museum of Art, March.
7. See Angelica Z. Rudenstine, Peggy Guggenheim Collection, Venice (New York, 1985), 707–710.
8. See Ti-Grace Sharpless, Clyfford Still (Philadelphia, 1963), 9. The concept of space and time as a continuous dimension was well established in American physics by the 1940s, and Still undoubtedly knew the nuclear physicist J. Robert Oppenheimer’s writings.

CLYFFORD STILL
1903–1970

Untitled, 1951
Oil on canvas
108 x 92½ (274.32 x 234.95)
Gift (Partial and Promised) of Marcia S. Weisman

PROVENANCE
The artist.
Following his second one-man exhibition at Betty Parsons in New York in the spring of 1951, Newman worked on several paintings that, while they did not involve gradual modeling from light to dark, showed brushwork and changes within one hue from more opaque areas to thinner paint handling. This gave the impression of slight changes in value. In *Achilles* it occurs in the broad red area that forms the central field, contrasting with the dark brown sides of the painting that are resolutely opaque.

The most striking feature of *Achilles* is the way that, unlike a narrow zip that races from the top edge to the bottom edge of the canvas, the vivid, broad, central red shape is broken toward the bottom in an irregular jagged manner, cut into by the opaque dark brown at the bottom. Despite the contrast in paint handling, both the red and the brown appear from close up to be on the same plane. The unusual composition has a precedent in a brush and ink drawing of 1949 (fig. 1), where the central swath (the white paper reversed into an image by the black ink around it) appears to stop with a jagged edge before reaching the lower edge of the paper.1 Much as brushed ink establishes the bottom of the white central image of the drawing, it seems likely that brown paint established the bottom of the red central area of *Achilles*.

Regarding the title *Achilles*, Thomas Hess has written that Newman referred “to its red and fiery central shape as a 'shield,' thus reiterating his great theme, the act of creation and the artist as a god, through Homer’s famous description of that masterpiece of Hellenic realism, the hero’s armor wrought by Hephaestus.”2

_Nan Rosenthal_

NOTES
JOHN MARIN
1870–1953

New Brunswick Sketchbook
(29 drawings), 1951
Graphite, watercolor, and blue ink
13 15/16 x 11 1/16 (35.3 x 28)

Maine Landscapes Sketchbook
(12 drawings), 1952
Watercolor, crayon, graphite, ink
11 7/8 x 8 7/8 (30.2 x 22.4)
Gift of Norma B. Marin

Drawing is central to John Marin’s art. From the 1890s, when Marin was practicing architecture, and throughout his career as a painter, which lasted half a century, he seems habitually to have carried a sketchbook or loose sheets of paper with him, making brief visual notes of his surroundings as he engaged in his daily activities.

In 1986 and 1987 John Marin Jr. donated to the National Gallery of Art an archive collection of his father’s work that includes 114 watercolors, thirteen oils, thirteen drawings, twenty etchings, and sixteen sketchbooks that contain more than four hundred drawings and watercolors dating from the 1890s through the 1940s.1 The two sketchbooks now generously donated by Marin’s daughter-in-law probably are the last volumes the artist filled, bringing our rich representation of his drawings to almost the end of his life.

All of the twenty-nine studies in the earlier of the two books are annotated as to place. Most of them document a trip to St. John, New Brunswick, Canada, revisiting and drawing places Marin had first seen as a child of twelve. At the front of the book are several sites in Maine, however, and one in Massachusetts is at the back.2 The New Brunswick scenes for the most part are linear in approach, using graphite or blue ink—a material distinctive to this sketchbook. Nine of the graphite drawings, including Near Calais, Maine,3 incorporate watercolor, which Marin applied freely with the great versatility for which his art had long been acclaimed. All of the drawings, regardless of medium, are marked by the artist’s lively staccato style.

The 1952 sketchbook contains eleven pages plus a twelfth drawing on tracing paper (a drawing surface Marin used often at the end of his life) that was attached to a verso, perhaps by the artist.4 This book is filled entirely with scenes in Maine where Marin spent a significant part of most years from 1914 through 1953. Annotated like the earlier one, this book features sites not far from his Cape Split home, many of which Marin visited repeatedly during the last years of his life: the Tunk Mountains; Machias; and brilliantly colorful sunsets, perhaps seen from the porch of his cottage overlooking Pleasant Bay.

Most of the scenes were worked in watercolor with graphite, although occasionally ink was employed as well, and they are as highly finished as Marin’s individual late watercolors and oils. Patches of paint in counterpode to the dynamic linear structure evoke rather than depict the various moods of the artist’s adopted state. Marin’s colors tend to reflect the seasons. The page illustrated here, for example, splendidly illustrates his response to the vivid, burning reds of autumn, a season Marin occasionally also responded to with words:

—there are the things that grow on the ground —
flowers trees and such — there are the ledges — not
forgetting entirely the Ocean and a few wild things —
birds fishes and animals . . .
The day is balmy — the sun is warm — the water sparkles
The little purple and gold asters in clumps — beautiful
The (Earth Beautiful) if only those who live on it would
— believe in some extent
As for me — it’s back to — the Cities
—but I hope for not too long.5

Ruth E. Fine

NOTES
1. The drawings in the John Marin Archive gift are briefly described in Ruth E. Fine, “The John Marin Archive at the National Gallery of Art,” Drawing 9 (September–October 1989), 54–58. Other watercolors and etchings have come to the National Gallery of Art as gifts from Frank and Jeannette Everly, Eugene and Agnes E. Meyer, Mrs. Harold Ober, James N. Rosenberg, and the Alfred Stieglitz Collection.
3. In color, composition, and the handling of his materials, this sheet is remarkably like the one that follows it, annotated “Near St. Stephen, N.B.” The only page in this part of the book said to be a Canadian site, it makes one wonder about the accuracy of the annotations.
ROBERT FRANK
Born 1924
Black, White, and Things
Bound volume of 34 gelatin silver photographs, 1952
14¾ x 15½ (37 x 40)
Robert Frank Collection,
Gift (Partial and Promised) of Robert Frank

A few years ago, Robert Frank recalled that as a young man he often “made decisions based on negative feelings.” He continued, “I didn’t know exactly what I wanted, but I sure knew what I didn’t want. I didn’t want to be part of the smallness of Switzerland.” His revolt against the rigid Swiss middle-class standards of decorum, its circumscribed world view, and its strict moral standards has informed much of his life and art ever since. It not only propelled his immigration to the United States in 1947 and defined his lifestyle once he arrived, but it is also at the very core of his photography. For it is this attitude that challenged and inspired him to reject accepted conventions of photographic style, subject matter, and intention. To this day, it is this rebellion that gives his art its strength and clarity, its frequently unsettling quality, and its compelling sense of honesty.

Thinking back to his early work, Frank also remembered: “I wanted to sell my pictures to Life magazine and they never did buy them. So I developed a tremendous contempt for them which helped me. You have to be enraged. I also wanted to follow my own intuition and do it my way, and not make any concessions—not make a Life story. . . . It comes back to what I said before, if I rejected life in Switzerland with all its moral values, so the same thing goes here. If I hate all those stories with a beginning, a middle, and an end then obviously I will make an effort to produce something that will stand up to all those stories and not be like them.”

For several years in the late 1940s and early 1950s Frank did struggle to have his photographs published in Life as well as other magazines. In addition he also made three bound volumes of photographs that begin to address the cumulative and intuitive power of a sequence of photographs: Peru, a book of thirty-eight photographs made in 1948 and assembled that same year; an untitled compilation of images of Paris made for his first wife, Mary Lockspeiser; and three copies of the book Black, White, and Things, designed by Werner Zryd, with photographs taken from 1947 to 1952. The first two books were stories in the more traditional sense: Peru, although it has no clear progression through time, does convey a sense of Frank’s journey through and discovery of that South American country, while Mary Lockspeiser’s book is a lyrical poem of photographs of Paris.


I didn’t know exactly what I wanted, but I wanted to sell my pictures to Life and they never did. Instead of exploring just one subject as do Peru and the Lockspeiser book, Black, White, and Things uses photographs from many of the locales Frank explored in his early years: New York, Peru, Spain, London, and Paris. Not organized thematically, it is divided into units that are grouped conceptually. As Frank wrote on the title page: “sombre people and black events/quiet people and peaceful places/ and things people have come in contact with/this, I try to show in my photography.” The “black” group includes, for example, photographs of London bankers or funerals in Spain. Although it does contain some joyous images, these few lyrical notes are followed by bleaker ones. The “white” section includes photographs of intimate familial details—My Family, New York, for example, as well as a Peruvian family and a communion. The final section on “things” is the most emphatic. While it begins with a wistful photograph of a man holding a tulip behind his back, it quickly progresses to a chilling juxtaposition of religion and commerce, between a photograph of a procession with a statue of Christ on a cross, titled Men of Wood, and an image of a balloon from the Macy’s Thanksgiving Day parade, titled Men of Air, New York (fig. 1). These are followed by a haunting photograph of a doll in a plastic bag.

Stylistically and conceptually, Black, White, and Things is the precursor for Frank’s book The Americans, first published in 1958. Like the later work, it uses groupings of photographs and sequences to establish meaning, and, like The Americans, it is founded on the power of intuition. No text or captions direct our attention or manipulate our sentiments. Only a brief quote from Antoine de Saint-Exupéry, printed on the title page, sets the tone. It reads: “It is only with the heart that one can see rightly. What is essential is invisible to the eye.”

In May 1990 Robert Frank gave the Gallery a major archive, representing his entire career from the 1940s to his most recent work of the late 1980s. Although the Robert Frank Collection contains approximately 2,000 rolls of film, 2,296 contact sheets, 999 work prints, and sixty-one rare vintage photographs, one of its most compelling items is Black, White, and Things. It, perhaps more than anything, makes us fully appreciate the ambition and intention of the young photographer.

Sarah Greenough

PROVENANCE
Rosie and Henry Frank, Zurich, 1952; Robert Frank, 1983.

NOTES
3. Anne Tucker and Philip Brookman in Robert Frank: New York to Nova Scotia (exh. cat. Museum of Fine Arts) (Houston 1986), 9, noted that Frank made four copies of Black, White, and Things. However, further research indicates that only three copies were made: in addition to this one, given by Frank to his parents, he gave one to Edward Steichen, which is now in the collection of The Museum of Modern Art, New York, and one unbound copy is in a private collection.
4. The sequencing of photographs in the two intact copies is different, particularly in the more complex section of “things.” Steichen’s copy, for example, does not juxtapose Men of Wood with Men of Air, but has them on successive double-page spreads. See Houston 1986, 94.

Fig. 1. Men of Air, New York, 1948
My Family, New York, 1951
In 1976 Robert Frank admitted that there is an element of the stranger present in his art. “Well, I think that’s quite a good observation,” he said, “I guess I am an observer, in a way. It also had to do with the fact that a lot of my work deals with myself, especially my films. It’s very hard to get away from myself. It seems, almost, that’s all I have. That’s sort of a sad feeling. But that feeling of being a stranger—it has to do with years of photographing, where you walk around, you observe, and you walk away, and you begin to be a pretty good detective.”

When Frank moved to New York in 1947 he had walked like a stranger through the city, acutely observing anonymous people and perceptively capturing their isolation while moving through the urban environment. In 1951, after living in New York for four years, he returned to Europe for a stay that lasted a little less than two years. While there he made several photographic essays, including ones on a Welsh miner, chairs and flowers in Paris, and bankers in London. A few of these essays, such as the one on Ben James, the Welsh miner, were the result of a close communication between Frank and his subject, but most were silent records, made without any interaction between the photographer and the people he depicted.

Perhaps nowhere did he better perfect his role as the silent, almost invisible observer than in the series of photographs he made in London in December 1952 of English bankers. As in the City of London, the elegant but arrogantly self-confident bankers stride past Frank, oblivious to his presence and his intention. Fascinated with their top hats, overcoats, and umbrellas, Frank was also intrigued with their deportment—in how they carried their canes, angled their hats, and moved through the streets. Permeated with fog, the images, like Parking Lot, are fused with a soft gray light that blurs all outlines both in the foreground and background, merging near and far, object and air—so much so, in fact, that one senses that Frank too was enveloped and thus unobtrusively melted into the surroundings.

He made several photographs of the bankers, and as a group this work has an important position in the evolution of his art. He later recalled that at this time he was still trying to create the single photographic masterpiece. And yet in looking at several published in the most recent edition of The Lines of My Hand one can see that it was in the group as a whole that Frank found the most meaning. As much as anything else it is the differences between the images—the way one banker tucks his umbrella under his arm while another uses it as a cane, the way another walks precisely with legs close together while yet one more lanky figure work. On the one hand this group harks back to Walker Evans' subway series, while at the same time it predicts Frank's own bus series, the last group of photographs he made in the late 1950s before abandoning still photography for film.

City of London and Parking Lot, both vintage prints, are two of a total of six photographs of London bankers whose purchase was made possible by a grant from the Howard Gilman Foundation. All six works are reproduced in Frank's most recent edition of The Lines of My Hand and thus are the images the artist selected to represent this important group of photographs.

Sarah Greenough

NOTE
Approaching New York Harbor, 1953
Gelatin silver photograph
9⅜ x 13⅛ (23.2 x 33.5)

Robert Frank Collection,
Anonymous Gift

"The photographing of America" is a large order—read at all literally, the phrase would be an absurdity. What I have in mind, then, is observation and record of what one naturalized American finds to see in the United States that signifies the kind of civilization born here and spreading elsewhere.

Robert Frank
Guggenheim Fellowship application, 1954

On 17 March 1953 Robert Frank sailed from Southampton, England, back to New York. In many ways, he left behind his earlier work. During his two years in Europe he had created some of his most lyrical images: passionate, tender, evocative, at times romantic, they speak of a young photographer at peace in his surroundings. For someone born in Zurich, the cities of London and Paris as well as the countries of Wales and Spain had proved fertile subjects, their atmosphere safe and familiar. Yet, like the four people on the Mauretania, Frank was on a journey of thoughtful reflection, looking in many different directions for something else.

What he found, of course, was The Americans, a series of eighty-three photographs of the United States made in 1955 and 1956 and published in 1958, which are radically different from his previous work: tough, gritty, often abrasive, they tell of a world that is separated by more than an ocean from Frank’s previous abode. The Americans is one of the most compelling and influential photographic publications ever produced. With the eyes of a European he looked freshly on the American scene and saw a country cloaked in alienation and angst, by extreme contrasts of rich and poor, and by discrimination. He photographed both the empty symbols that had come to stand for American culture—and particularly the flags, parades, and politicians—as well as its true objects of veneration—cars, juke boxes, and the open road. As in Parade—Hoboken, New Jersey, the first image in the book, he looked behind all these symbols, both real and imagined, to see something deeper. He was concerned with revealing how symbols had obscured reality, literally blotting out the people, land, and ideas they supposedly represented. And he was concerned with showing how the people themselves were often blind to the world around them, unable or unwilling to see because their eyes were closed or literally shrouded by these false icons.

Although there are many images in this book that have come to be accepted as masterpieces of the art of photography and through our veneration of them become icons in themselves, it is, perhaps, wrong to extract any one photograph from the work as a whole. For part of Frank’s purpose in constructing The Americans was to get away from the idea of the singular photographic masterpiece and create, as he said, “a more sustained form of visual expression.” It would have to last longer. There had to be more pictures that would sustain an idea or a vision or something. I couldn’t just depend on that one singular photograph any more. You have to develop; you have to go through different rooms.”1 Originally Frank created four separate rooms or sections in The Americans, each of which began with an image of the flag: Parade—Hoboken, New Jersey is the first photograph in the book; Fourth of July—Jay, New York announced the second room; Bar—Detroit, a photograph of a flag fluttering surreally between the portraits of Washington and Lincoln, began the third; and Political Rally—Chicago opened the last.

Eventually he merged the sections so that it became one seamless whole, but nevertheless flags remain a powerful, recurrent theme. It was a bold subject on which to frame his idea, for the flag carried with it the potential to be nothing more than a cliché—an obvious, sentimental, fatuous object, stripped of its meaning and conviction. Yet by using it as the prelude to each section, by repeatedly drawing our attention to its hollowness, Frank emphatically demonstrated that it was time to look more clearly at the true nature, not just of the emblems of American life and culture, but of the reality of America itself.

Parade—Hoboken, New Jersey and Approaching New York Harbor are part of a larger anonymous gift of twelve photographs by Robert Frank from the years 1951 to 1956. Selected by the artist himself to represent this early period in his work, they are a superb addition to the Robert Frank Collection from this donor, whose support has been crucial to the growth of the photography collection at the Gallery.

Sarah Greenough

NOTES
ROBERT FRANK
Born 1924

*Drug Store—Detroit, 1955*
Gelatin silver photograph
18 7/8 x 12 7/8 (47.9 x 32.7)
Gift of Christopher and Alexandra Middendorf

*Paint Rock Post Office, Alabama, 1955*
Gelatin silver photograph, early 1970s
4 x 16 5/8 (10.2 x 42.2)
Gift of George F. Hemphill and Lenore A. Winters

It is all too easy to fall into nostalgia and reverie when looking at Robert Frank’s photographs, and particularly those published in *The Americans*. For many the discovery of this book, whether it occurred in the late 1950s when it was published or in subsequent years, was a profound revelation. It was not just Frank’s subject matter—gas stations, diners, and juke boxes—or the raw, grainy style of his photographs that gave his work of this period its greatest impact. Nor was it his suggestion that these things, rather than the majestic landscape or towering skyscrapers, were the true icons of American civilization. Instead, as in *Drug Store—Detroit*, it was the sense of loneliness, isolation, and angst that pervaded his haunting images and was so at odds with the myth of postwar prosperity that deeply affected his viewers. Thus, as our sentiment descends into sentimentality, it is hard to extract the myth of *The Americans* from its reality and the myth of ourselves from this art.

But Robert Frank is not so confused. Sensing the perils of succumbing to a style rather than an expression, Frank stopped photographing in the late 1950s and turned to filmmaking. In the early 1970s when he looked back to *The Americans* he saw a different picture. *Paint Rock Post Office, Alabama* is composed of three negatives taken in 1955 while Frank was traveling around the United States making the photographs for *The Americans*. None of the three was published in that work. In the early 1970s when Frank was working on the first edition of his book *The Lines of My Hand* he printed them as a triptych. The image recalls the empty, evocative interiors of many of the photographs of Walker Evans, Frank’s close friend. Yet this is not merely a tribute, nor is it any simple resurrection or appropriation of the past. By combining three negatives, an act clearly indicative of his career as a filmmaker, Frank was taking a broader look at his past, allowing us to see the wider panorama of possibilities available to him. And ultimately, perhaps, this is an attempt to diffuse or at least partially allay the iconic grandeur of his earlier work.

*Drug Store—Detroit*, graciously donated by Christopher and Alexandra Middendorf, *Paint Rock Post Office, Alabama*, given by George F. Hemphill and Lenore Winters, along with a third photograph, *Platte River, Tennessee, 1961*, from the Middendorf Gallery, are significant additions to the National Gallery’s growing collection of Robert Frank’s photographs. They particularly enhance our representation of Frank’s innovative work from the middle of the 1950s to the early 1960s.

Sarah Greenough

PROVENANCE
Throughout this long evolution one of his fundamental concerns was the interaction between the living presence and its environment. In works such as White and Orange the dialogue becomes abstract, initiating not just tensions between the two main forms and their narrow surrounding space but also the drama of the spectator’s encounter with mysterious veils of color. These canvases therefore carry a wealth of associations within their seemingly plain format and represent what the artist had earlier called “the simple expression of the complex thought.”

Although often linked to Clyfford Still and Barnett Newman as an exponent of the so-called “color-field” idiom of abstract expressionism, Rothko denied the value of formal effects in themselves, instead insisting upon the expression of “basic human emotions.” Yet his technique still shows a remarkable blend of power and subtlety. It owed much to a longstanding penchant for watercolor that climaxd in the atmospheric washes evoking some primal, aqueous world in the mid-1940s works on paper. The translucency and layerings typical of the medium were then developed to the utmost in his far larger “classic” abstractions. In them oil pigment was thinned with solvents so that it would soak into the canvas as a series of superimposed films. The result was fragile but richly tinted surfaces from which light appears to emanate. Frayed or unfocused edges to their interior forms intensify the hypnotic mood, as if the viewer were looking at an evolving vision where nothing remains altogether static but rather dissolves, rematerializes, and shimmers like a mirage. Vestiges of themes from the 1940s such as the processes of natural growth and the passage of time are distantly recalled here. Indeed, Rothko once spoke of his pictures “expanding and quickening in the eyes of the sensitive observer.”

As early as 1952 Rothko experimented with the enormous, almost murallike dimensions sometimes chosen by other abstract expressionists, including Still, Newman, and Jackson Pollock, to engulf the onlooker. Critics have compared their sweep to the melodramatic impact sought by late eighteenth- and nineteenth-century painters of “sublime” landscapes. Nevertheless, White and Orange maintains an essentially human scale. Its warm hues, particularly characteristic of the period, also heighten the sensuous immediacy. One source for this is hinted at by the title of a canvas executed in the previous year, namely Homage to Matisse (private collection, New York), but another may lie in the glowing harmonies of Pierre Bonnard whose 1948 retrospective at the Museum of Modern Art in New York the artist is likely to have seen. Unique to Rothko, however, are the suggestions of undertows and contradictions present within the main fields. The uppermost white expanse, for instance, shades imperceptibly into a second and slightly denser oblong occupying the middle of the composition. Both are scumbled over a virtually concealed golden ground. This itself extends beneath the evanescent glazes of a somewhat more reddish cast that constitute the lower field and, in turn, melt into the peripheral orange. Ironically, much seems to be hidden by this forthright, lucid facade.

Thus White and Orange alludes to the opposing forces that typify Rothko’s artistic language and, at its best, are held in an unresolved tension. With the most extensive public collection of his works in the world, the National Gallery is singularly able to place this outstanding gift from Mrs. Paul Mellon in context. Holdings from the 1930s given by the Mark Rothko Foundation like Street Scene XX (fig. 1) show the play of animate life against inscrutable planes that would remain as abstract qualities in White and Orange, while its high-key tones are foretold by Untitled (1948, Rothko estate no. 5094.48). But the Gallery’s finest companion to White and Orange is surely Orange and Tan (1954, gift of Enid A. Haupt), which it matches in design, amplitude, and haunting refinement.

David Anfam

PROVENANCE
Marlborough Gallery.

NOTES
2. Quoted in Selden Rodman, Conversations with Artists (New York, 1957), 93.
4. A 1952 canvas by Rothko (estate no. 5136.52) measures 117 x 174 in.
5. In a 1958 lecture at the Pratt Institute, New York, Rothko included “irony” among the ingredients of his “recipe” for art.
Any highly distinctive or original style tends to hold its creator in thrall. With his quintessential idiom of the 1950s, Rothko, like other major abstract expressionists, committed himself to extracting the greatest range of expression from a limited vocabulary. He had no fears about this, saying to a friend, "If a thing is worth doing once, it is worth doing over and over again—exploring it, probing it, demanding by this repetition that the public look at it." Nothing better illustrates the fruits of that resolve than the contrast between Red, Black, White on Yellow and the other Rothko given on this occasion by Mrs. Mellon, White and Orange of the same year. Together they offer a microcosm of the competing directions that helped to keep his output at the time, despite its steadfastness, diverse and alive.

In fact his paintings were meant to be shown closely together to resonate against each other and in 1954 Rothko wrote that "by saturating the room with the feeling of the work, the walls are defeated and the poignancy of each single work [becomes] more visible." Furthermore, hanging them "low rather than high" entailed their being "encountered at close quarters, so that the first experience is to be within the picture." The intentional lack of frames—a situation ideally applicable to all the "classic" works—also stresses their palpable transaction with the viewer. But it was this very point, the interplay between image and onlooker, that Rothko began to ponder with growing concern during the 1950s. By the close of the decade it would contribute to a radical shift in his methods. Both the various mural series (which began with those planned for a room in New York's Seagram Building in 1958) and his exploration of a more somber pictorial world after 1957 articulated a changing notion of how to confront an audience. Already, however, the special character of such compositions as Red, Black, White on Yellow appears prophetic.

Besides physically larger and more imposing dimensions than White and Orange, any overt lyricism is eschewed. Among the few inflections are the faint rose tones that grace the white region toward its upper left. Otherwise the handling and conception are different and a relatively uniform sense of touch creates flatter planes, monolithic and quite implacable. Whatever may be lost in atmospheric depth is gained in severity and drama: a reminder that Rothko had soon been upset by those who saw his art as merely beautiful and instead wrote the previous year that it was "the opposite of what is decorative." A certain starkness indeed informs the relation of the fields to their ambience. Whereas White and Orange was built of seductive superimposed veils, the presences here hover upon (rather than within) the ultra-thin tan ground. Adamant yet enigmatic, they perhaps contain the germ of impulses that led to the clear-cut motifs found in his subsequent mural series and related pieces like the Gallery's Sketch for Mural H (fig. 1). The effect is architectonic. Equally instructive are the links between Red, Black, White on Yellow and another Gallery holding such as an Untitled (Rothko estate no. 5028.53) from two years before. Their affinities demonstrate how the harder shapes and an "iconic" look were by no means belated additions to Rothko's repertoire.

The palette of Red, Black, White on Yellow is also significant because its strong tonal contrasts, whereby the black band thrusts like a portent between the uppermost light red and the lurid white below, allude to a lengthy involvement with polarities. Rothko described them bluntly in 1957 as "... tragedy, ecstasy, doom." At least one canvas already in the National Gallery, a strange counterpoint involving white and black female nude figures from the early 1930s (estate no. 3100.30), suggests that these oppositions had deep imaginative roots. Red, Black, White on Yellow, nonetheless, is preeminently an important abstract statement from a period when the artist had concluded that "there are some painters who want to tell all, but I feel that it is more shrewd to tell little." Within that terseness it summarizes much, poised between the first brilliance that marks Rothko's flowering in the early 1950s and the monumental, darker vision that lay ahead.

David Anfam

PROVENANCE
Marlborough Gallery.

NOTES
2. Correspondence with Katharine Kuh, Archives of the Art Institute, Chicago.
3. Correspondence with Katharine Kuh, Archives of the Art Institute, Chicago.

Fig. 1. Mark Rothko, Sketch for Mural H, 1962. National Gallery of Art, Washington, Gift of The Mark Rothko Foundation
MILTON AVERY
1885–1965

Mountain and Meadow, 1960
Oil on canvas
60 x 68 (152.4 x 172.7)
Gift of Sally Michel Avery

In a memorial address delivered upon the death of Milton Avery, Mark Rothko spoke eloquently of his friend: “His is the poetry of sheer loveliness, of sheer beauty... This alone took great courage in a generation which felt that it could be heard only through clamor, force and a show of power. But Avery had that inner power which can be achieved only by those gifted with magical means, by those born to sing.” For Rothko and other painters of his generation such as Adolph Gottlieb and William Baziotes, Avery’s example contributed significantly to their artistic development. Yet Avery himself never followed the path toward pure abstraction. He consistently looked to the physical world and its inhabitants for his subjects, all the while regarding nature as a pretext for the formal exploration of chromatic and spatial relationships, sometimes attaining such a degree of abstraction that only the title of the work reveals its subject.

The landscapes Avery produced in the last decade of his life are among the finest works of his career and of American art in this century. In 1955 Avery and his family spent the summer in Yaddo, an art colony near Saratoga Springs, New York. While driving near the border of Vermont and Massachusetts, they stopped in a meadow for a picnic where Avery sketched the landscape that he eventually painted as Mountain and Meadow. It was not atypical of the artist’s working methods in that five years elapsed between the initial recording of a motif and its realization in paint. Rather than representing a specific locale, Avery captured the general mood of the place by abstracting its essence in a characteristically tranquil, harmonious view of nature.

By the late 1950s Avery had begun to paint in a larger format, increasing the size of many of his canvases from approximately forty by fifty inches to sixty by seventy. With the enlarged scale came the new breadth in composition and remarkable simplicity of color and form so beautifully exemplified in Mountain and Meadow. Avery here reduced the compositional elements to a few gracefully interlocking forms that convey little in the way of illusionistic space but imply a spatial expansiveness and a gently palpable atmosphere. By mixing his colors with white and thinning them with turpentine, he created a dry, subtly worked surface and luminous coloristic effects. His highly refined color sensibility could produce surprising layerings and juxtapositions of hues, such as the pale pink of the sky that has been painted over thin shades of light blue.

Avery, who was notably reticent about his own art, aptly summarized his aims in 1951. “I like to seize the one sharp instant in nature, to imprison it by means of ordered shapes and space relationships. To this end I eliminate and simplify, leaving apparently nothing but color and pattern. I am not seeking pure abstraction; rather the purity and essence of the idea—expressed in its simplest form.” With an absolute economy of means, Avery created in Mountain and Meadow a lyrical, radiant vision of nature. This work is the first painting by this key figure of American modernism to enter the collection of the National Gallery.

Marla Prather

NOTES
2. Quoted in Robert Hobbs, Milton Avery (New York, 1990), 166.
HANS HOFMANN
1880–1966

Staccato in Blue, 1961
Oil on canvas
59\(\frac{3}{4}\) x 83\(\frac{7}{8}\) (151.8 x 213)

Gift (Partial and Promised) of Mr. and Mrs. Gilbert H. Kinney

Born in Weissenburg, Bavaria, and raised in Munich, Hofmann spent the decade from 1904 to 1914 in Paris. There he painted and befriended the inventors of the new movements in European modernist painting, fauvism and cubism, just as these styles were emerging. He was particularly close to Robert Delaunay and shared his interest in attempting to merge the high-key color of fauvism and German expressionism with the compositional grid and shallow space of cubism.

Caught in Germany by the start of World War I, Hofmann deepened his exposure to German expressionist painting, became familiar at first hand with Kandinsky's "improvisation" pictures, and, always concerned to analyze and explain, opened his first art school, in Munich. In 1930 he moved his teaching activities to the United States, at first as a guest at the University of California and soon afterward on the East Coast where he settled. He became an American citizen in 1941. In the course of the 1940s and 1950s Hofmann's art schools, in New York City and in summers on Cape Cod in Provincetown, Massachusetts, became legendary both for the clarity with which he presented and assessed formal problems of European modernism and for Hofmann's encouragement of students to exploit their intuition and spontaneous gesture when painting. Among Hofmann's many students were I. Rice Pereira, Lee Krasner, Helen Frankenthaler, Larry Rivers, and the critic Clement Greenberg, who further disseminated Hofmann's teachings in his own essays about Matisse, cubism, and color-field abstraction.

Although a generation older than American abstract expressionist painters of the New York school, Hofmann developed in the 1940s as one of them, anticipating Pollock in his use of pouring techniques in oils such as Spring, 1940. In 1958, in his late seventies, he ceased teaching, began to paint full-time, and during the final eight years of his life accomplished his most inspired work. The most characteristic abstract paintings of Hofmann's great final years are composed of rectangular slabs of intensely saturated and highly impastoed color, aligned parallel to the picture plane in balanced asymmetrical grids somewhat reminiscent of Mondrian's compositions yet unlike them in Hofmann's richly varying, tactile surfaces and use of vibrant, jarring, even dissonant hues. In these works Hofmann seems to have arrived at a highly original solution to an old challenge: merging fauvism and cubism into a new style that calls vivid attention to the canvas surface.\(^1\)

It was characteristic of Hofmann always to set himself new problems. Having achieved vivid abstract paintings with rectangular slabs of thick oil in the years around 1960, in 1961 he made a series of paintings in which roughly rectangular planes are set down on a white ground in balanced, nearly transparent washes of a single color. The diluted paint is applied in broad swaths and the path of the wide brush is evident. The presence of a compositional grid remains, yet each wide rectangular element has become slightly askew from a position parallel to canvas perimeters. The effect is a kind of syncopation, lending a sense of motion to these nearly monochrome canvases much as dissonant color lends vitality to the thickly painted works. Staccato in Blue, similar in style to the better-known, ocher-colored Agrigento (University Art Museum, Berkeley, California) of the same year, is an outstanding example in this group of nearly monochrome works. It is the first painting by Hofmann to enter the collection of the National Gallery. In terms of the energy of its strokes it relates to abstract paintings in the collection by Franz Kline, and in terms of its thinly washed paint application it relates closely to works in the collection by color-field painters such as Frankenthaler.

Nan Rosenthal

PROVENANCE

NOTE
ROY LICHTENSTEIN
Born 1923

Look Mickey, 1961
Oil on canvas
48 x 69 (121.92 x 175.26)

Dorothy and Roy Lichtenstein, Partial and Promised Gift of the Artist

Look Mickey is a monument of vanguard American postwar painting, representing the artist’s first mature adaptation of the subject, style, and source of comic-strip illustration to his art. Here Lichtenstein applies the imagery of popular culture to so-called “high” art and achieves a complete creative and functional transformation. The artist and this bold, challenging work helped define those vital international activities later called Pop art.

Lichtenstein has long been fascinated with the way we perceive the world around us, especially as it is graphically represented or surprisingly juxtaposed in mass communication: newspapers, books, or ephemeral printed material. Working during the early 1950s in a youthful abstract-expressionist idiom (mixed with reverential wit for both European and American master artists and their subjects), he freely portrayed themes of art history and American art. 1 By the late 1950s Lichtenstein quickly sketched several Walt Disney characters as gifts for his children. These Donald Duck, Mickey Mouse, and Bugs Bunny drawings from 1958 led in 1961 to the artist’s more formal paintings of the distinctly American comic figures Popeye, Wimpy, Mickey, or Donald. 2

The artist recalls that Look Mickey was a composition found on a bubble-gum wrapper, and was painted “as is, large, just to see what it would look like.” 3 But it was more than merely an enlarged image, and his use of the subject was far more complicated.

Blowing it up from a two by three-inch wrapper to a four by six-foot painting radically changed the effects of the bright saturated colors and obliged a number of artistic alterations. Large flat areas of unmodulated yellow, red, blue, and white now dominate our view. Lichtenstein fashioned new painted lines to suggest the smaller drawn lines on the gum wrapper. He painted through a screen in Mickey’s face and Donald’s eyes to create a printlike halftone. Although at a distance it looks as if it is made mechanically, this painting is very much made by hand, with visible pencil lines, brushmarks, and his trademark script signature rfl in the lower left.

The small lettering in the original word balloon is now large and bold, an emphatic part of the new composition. The message, Donald saying “LOOK Mickey, I’ve hooked a BIG one!!” refers first to the literal image, the only relevant interpretation in the gum wrapper. But it has a second and more provocative meaning to the world of art. Are viewers, galleries, or collectors the big ones “hooked”? Has Lichtenstein “hooked” a big new style or idea?

The artist has preserved this painting in his personal collection for the last three decades, knowing that it confirmed his mature style by its devious and subtle inventions. The National Gallery collection has two important early Pop works by Andy Warhol 4 and one other Lichtenstein painting, his 1974 Cubist Still Life.

Jack Cowart

NOTES
2. Popeye, 1961 (42 x 56 in.); Wimpy (TWEET), 1961 (16 x 20 in.).
3. Diane Waldman, Roy Lichtenstein (New York, 1971), 8. However, after contacting Topps Chewing Gum, the manufacturers of Bazooka, and the Walt Disney Archives, we have not been able to find a record of this particular gum wrapper. The artist made no preliminary drawings from the wrapper, choosing instead to enlarge the design directly on the primed canvas.
4. A Boy for Meg, 1962 (Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Burton Tremaine) and Let Us Now Praise Famous Men (Rauschenberg Family), 1963 (Gift of Mr. and Mrs. William Howard Adams).
LOOK MICKEY, I'VE HOOKED A BIG ONE!!
WAYNE THIEBAUD
Born 1920

Cakes, 1963
Oil on canvas
60 x 72 (152.4 x 182.9)

Gift of the Collectors Committee,
the 50th Anniversary Gift Committee,
and The Circle, with Additional
Support from the Abrams Family
in Memory of Harry N. Abrams

By his own admission, Wayne Thiebaud is
a traditional artist. Both as a teacher and a
painter he has long been intrigued by the
concept of realism, and he admires painters
in the realist tradition such as Vermeer,
Chardin, Eakins, and Morandi. However,
when he began to paint still lifes of food in
1953, he did not resort to time-honored
still-life subjects such as bread, onions, and
apples, but to the contemporary equivalents
of these humble foods consumed daily by
his own society.

For Thiebaud, the still-life genre, like the
figures and landscapes he has also painted,
is a means of investigating formal issues. It
is paint’s ability to re-create observed reality
in an endless variety of ways that constitutes
Thiebaud’s chief artistic preoccupation. He
has said of the challenge offered by realism,
“. . . it seem[s] alternately the most magical
alchemy on the one hand, and on the other
the most abstract construct intellectually. . . .
This makes it possible for representational
painting to be both abstract and real si-
multaneously.”

By 1960–1961 Thiebaud had developed
the distinctive imagery of mass-produced
American foodstuffs with which he is as-
associated today. When his paintings of pies,
hot dogs, and gum-ball machines were ex-
hibited to much critical acclaim in New York
in 1962, they were regarded as indictments
of America’s desolate consumer culture and
earned Thiebaud a reputation as a major
exponent of west-coast Pop. Yet he has al-
ways resisted the Pop designation and main-
tained that his subjects are born of admi-
rution and nostalgia rather than contempt.

Thiebaud has said that the ritualistic prac-
tices surrounding food—its presentation and
consumption—initially attracted him to the
subject. Cakes, particularly the celebratory
type depicted here, are ceremonial in func-
tion. They are elaborately decorated with
mounds of frosting to commemorate birth-
days, anniversaries, and marriages. In Cakes,
the display counter arrangement isolates the
confections against a neutral background and
structures them within a simple composi-
tional grid. Thus, Thiebaud interfaces the
essential geometry of the cakes with what
he has called their “organic messiness.” While
these objects may share certain formal char-
acteristics with Pop art—a deadpan, non-
hierachical presentation, a conformity of one
object to another—these are not brand-name
goods or commercially printed designs, but
objects carefully observed in space. Though
monotonous at first glance, the individual
units are infinitely varied by subtle manip-
ulations of color, light, and texture, all made
possible through the descriptive powers of
oil paint. Most importantly, while Pop art-
ists took care to mitigate the subtleties of
touch, Thiebaud took impasto to new heights
and, ultimately, new meaning. Cakes is one
of the most delectable examples of Thie-
bau’s mastery of the brush and pure delight
in the handling of the medium. With skillful
precision he reproduces the very substance
of buttery, creamy frosting. A trademark of
his style, this technique has been described
by the artist as “object transference,” that is
using paint to re-create literally the look and
feel of the substance it depicts, whether mus-
tard, meringue, or frosting.

Thiebaud’s highly developed chromatic
sense is in full evidence here. His practice
of underdrawing in several hues eventually
produced the rainbowed lines that define
the perimeters of his objects. These brilliant
colors, which depart from any sense of local
description, endow the objects with a re-
markable luminosity and prismatic vibra-
tion, like blinking colored neon lights trav-
eling around the edges of the cakes. These
contours are echoed in the surrounding paint,
creating an effect of halation that is both a
means of activating the monochromatic
ground and expressing a light that seems to
radiate from the objects themselves.

Monumental in scale and ambitious in
execution, Cakes figures among Thiebaud’s
most important pictures. From it he gen-
erated smaller variations on the subject in
the 1970s. It is the first painting by the artist
to enter the National Gallery’s collection.

Maria Prather

PROVENANCE
Sold in 1963 to Harry N. Abrams by the Allan
Stone Gallery, New York.

NOTE
1. Quoted in Karen Tsujimoto, Wayne Thiebaud
[exh. cat. San Francisco Museum of Art] (Seattle
OSSIP ZADKINE
1890–1966

Le Jouvenceau, c. 1961
Ebony, 42 1/8 x 10 1/2 x 6 (107 x 26.67 x 15.24)

Torso, c. 1963
Ebony, 41 1/4 x 10 x 6 1/2 (106 x 25.4 x 16.51)

Gift (Partial and Promised) of
Mr. and Mrs. Nathan L. Halpern

Although Ossip Zadkine was born and passed his childhood in Russia, he was an artist essentially of the school of Paris rather than the Russian avant-garde. He established himself in Paris in 1909, entering (briefly) the Ecole des Beaux-Arts and the atelier of the academic sculptor Injalbert. Soon he was frequenting the cafés and salons of Montparnasse, and his early sculptures reflect the currents of cubism and primitivism running through advanced Parisian art.

Those currents continued to run through Zadkine’s work for the remainder of his long career. He preferred to work in several manners concurrently, choosing his manner according to his subject. Sculptures of similar theme or subject are thus quite close in style, even if thirty years separate their making. Most often referred to as a cubist sculptor, Zadkine frequently applied elements of the cubist formal vocabulary to three-dimensional figurative objects, treating forms in terms of simplified geometric shapes.

Zadkine distinguished himself above all in his feeling for materials, especially wood. It is worth noting that he descended from an English shipbuilder brought to Russia by Peter the Great at the end of the seventeenth century. His mother’s family had remained builders of wooden ships in the city of Vitebsk. Zadkine carved sculptures from a variety of woods including, most dramatically, ebony.

Torso and Le Jouvenceau (the youth), although carved at the end of Zadkine’s career, typify the artist’s work in ebony. Torso, in fact, is one of a series of sculptures of that title that stretches back to 1918, the first ebony Torso dating from 1922. The Halpern Torso may be the most refined of that series: it is a matter of smoothly flowing lines and softly undulating shapes that contrast only

with the incised crossing of buttocks and thighs. Anatomical and decorative detail have been eliminated in favor of an unusual purity of form.

Le Jouvenceau, too, has a long pedigree in Zadkine’s oeuvre. A sculpture of Demeter of 1918 (Stedelijk Van Abbe Museum, Eindhoven) carved in elm displays much the same classical pose as Le Jouvenceau, standing with legs apart, one arm held behind a tilted head, the other arm hanging down with elbow turned outward, a pose maintained as well by several later sculptures. Like the Demeter, Zadkine’s figures in this pose are mostly women. Le Jouvenceau may be said to possess a hermaphroditic character, its sex defined only by the masculine gender of its title.

In both Torso and Le Jouvenceau Zadkine highlighted the smooth, polished surface afforded by ebony. Although ebony is among the hardest and most obdurate of woods, Zadkine makes it appear soft and almost pliant, lending these sculptures a distinctly tactile quality. Although they were conceived independently, Torso and Le Jouvenceau make a revelatory pair. They are the first works by Zadkine to enter the collection of the National Gallery.

Jeremy Strick
DAVID SMITH
1906–1965

Untitled, 1964
Enamel on canvas
27½ x 43 (69.86 x 109.22)
Gift of Candida and Rebecca Smith

More than many artists, David Smith responded to the nature and abundance of his materials. He liked to work with large quantities of things, and his series of works are often defined as much by medium as by subject. The painted steel Tanktotems, for example, all incorporate the lids of oil drums, the Voltri series had its origins in the scrap metal of an abandoned factory, and the Agnicoles were made up of elements of farm machinery. Much the same principle applied to Smith's graphic oeuvre. The extensive series of calligraphic drawings produced in the late 1950s and early 1960s can be understood partly as Smith's response to the soft, broad brush and to the black egg ink that he mixed himself in large batches.

Smith may remain best known for his last great sculptural series, the Cubis of 1963–1965, which, if covertly figurative, are most evidently defined as assemblages of geometric volumes of polished stainless steel. The Cubis represented a technical departure for Smith, as they were constructed of designed rather than found elements. Interestingly, at the same moment that Smith was engaged in what was his ultimate sculptural departure, he was pursuing a quite different technical departure in another medium: painted nudes.

Throughout his career Smith had drawn the female nude. These drawings were shown as a group for the first time in 1964 at the Marlborough Gallery, paired in an exhibition with Smith's Cubi, and again in spring 1990 at Knoedler & Company and at the Montclair Art Museum. Altogether, they are remarkable for the direct power of their linear forms. The brush and ink reclining nudes of 1953 suggest both figure and landscape, while the vertical figures of 1963 embody much the same disturbing force as de Kooning's Women.

Smith's interest in the female nude culminated in 1964 with a series of paintings in which he applied enamel paint, mostly black, to white canvas. These paintings had their most evident precedent in the late black pourings of Jackson Pollock, but technically angular form, which seems compressed into an image of restrained power.

Untitled, the first David Smith painting on canvas to come to the National Gallery, makes a stunning addition to the collection. Part of a gift from Candida and Rebecca Smith that also includes seven works on paper, Untitled joins six David Smith sculptures already in the collection. Combined with Cubi XXVI and the two untitled spray paintings on paper of 1962 and 1963 also included in this fiftieth-anniversary gift, it completes a compelling overview of the great innovative achievements of David Smith's last years. It will make a fascinating companion to Jackson Pollock's great black pouring, Number 7, 1951.

Jeremy Strick

NOTES
ANDY WARHOL
1928–1987

Green Marilyn, 1964
Silkscreen on synthetic polymer paint on canvas
20 x 16 (50.8 x 40.6)
Gift of William C. Seitz and Irma S. Seitz

Andy Warhol's well-known works based on Marilyn Monroe are his youthful Pop-art challenge to Willem de Kooning's earlier Marilyn Monroe, 1954, and de Kooning's even more notorious abstract-expressionist Woman paintings. The issue became larger, however, as Warhol expanded beyond a local conflict with the preceding generation of New York artists and moved into a more complicated dialogue with our new media-saturated society. The artist was fascinated by our movie-star system, the persuasive role of media imagery, and questions of originality in art raised by the emerging Pop artists' use of collaboration, derivation, and mechanical, "neutral," or media-like methods.


Warhol's subsequent Marilyns would thus subsume almost any and everything that these prior sources bring to mind: beauty, movie stars, theatricality, publicity, ideal symbols, cult worship, fate, tragedy, and so much more. In 1964 the artist produced his twenty- by sixteen-inch series of pastel-colored Marilyns. Green Marilyn is a remarkable painting from this historic suite, works that were immediately acquired by some of the most influential and respected people in contemporary art: Alfred Barr, William Seitz, Jasper Johns.

In many of his early Pop portraits, this small twenty- by sixteen-inch format was a prevalent and critical dimension. The silkscreen portrait's meanings and effects, however, are large and immediate. We are confronted by an image reminding us of snapshots, postcards, passports and identity cards, or photo-booth frames.

Green Marilyn began when Warhol cropped a publicity still of Monroe that had been taken by Gene Kornman for the 1953 film Niagara. Rauschenberg and Warhol, among others, began experimenting by late 1962 in the use of silkscreen to apply images in paintings. The black and white photograph was transferred to a photosensitized screen. But the Green Marilyn and others were still largely painted by hand. At least nine colors were used after outlining this head on the canvas. Then the green background was brushed on. Following were the darker green of the collar, two skin tones (an underpaint of lavender and a covering of a warmer pigment), the yellow hair, the light and dark blues of the eyes, the green eye shadow, and a soft white over the white primed canvas where the teeth would be. Only now was the black silkscreen printed over the paint. Subsequently came the painting of the red lips and the touch ups: tightening around the neck with green and the lips with lavender. The result is a jewel, a provocative addition to the Gallery's growing collection of postwar art.

The National Gallery has two larger, earlier Warhol paintings (A Boy for Meg, 1961/1962, and Let Us Now Praise Famous Men [Rauschenberg Family], 1963), and thirty-eight of his prints. Green Marilyn is especially welcome as the collection's only Warhol image on the subject of Marilyn. The painting also has a striking relationship to the Hirshhorn Museum and Sculpture Garden's Marilyn Monroe's Lips, 1962, the silkscreen painting composed of 168 floating Monroe mouths in rows on large white and pink panels.

Jack Cowart

NOTES
1. De Kooning's astonishing and controversial Woman paintings began in the early 1940s, reaching their full critical impact by the early and mid-1950s.
RICHARD DIEBENKORN
Born 1922

Still Life: Cigarette Butts and Glasses, 1967
Ink, conté crayon, charcoal, and ball-point pen
13 15/16 x 16 3/4 (35.2 x 42.5)
Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Richard Diebenkorn

Drawing plays a central role in Richard Diebenkorn’s art. In his paintings and prints as well as in his drawings themselves, the sense of his searching for form, of altering, of refining is a salient characteristic. Images such as Still Life: Cigarette Butts and Glasses, depicting a table in the artist’s studio, provide an alternate form of self-portrait. In the mid to late 1960s particularly, having moved from the lyrical abstraction that dominated his work of the late 1940s and early to mid 1950s, Diebenkorn made many paintings and drawings related to this one, which tell not only about the artist’s vision but also about his daily habits.

Depicted here, in addition to the cigarette butts mentioned in the title, are two pipes; and of glasses, also mentioned in the title, there are two kinds, those for drinking and those with which one sees. There is a beverage can as well. Those objects might be found any number of places, but this is clearly an artist’s studio, as is evident from the tools for drawing and cutting strewn across the table. The locale is reinforced by the several drawings lying about. Some are more highly finished than others. An example is the upside-down landscape that reminds us of another subject that occupied Diebenkorn’s imagination at this time.

The composition of Still Life: Cigarette Butts and Glasses at first looks random, as if the artist walked into his studio, sat down, and drew precisely what he happened to see. And perhaps he did. But the taut relationships between the various elements that are revealed by studying the drawing suggest that as part of his working process Diebenkorn uncovered a hidden structure within the scene, making critical adjustments to the objects’ arrangement, aligning edges, creating shapes between forms, augmenting rhythms, suggesting formal echoes. Ovals, triangles, and eccentric rectangles work together to predict the highly structured Ocean Park paintings of the following decades. No form is locked into a specific space; instead, each element seems to shift in recognition of its relationships to other things. A pulsating surface results, amplifying the sense of inner light with which Diebenkorn’s art is always imbued.

The careful structuring of pictorial elements in Still Life: Cigarette Butts and Glasses is heightened by the sensuousness of the artist’s touch. A meandering blue pen line appears throughout the sheet, outlining shapes, setting in touches of tone visible from beneath the dense black washes, suggesting directions in which the eye should move. Presumably this blue line was used for laying in the preliminary composition. After setting it in, Diebenkorn employed a variety of other media to achieve his painterly surfaces. Wet washes forming puddles and veils and dry strokes, often layered to create rich tones and textures, are placed over and under or adjacent to each other, working together to create a second kind of pulsation, vivacity. The dynamic plane, the structuring of space, and the sensuous handling of materials are characteristic of Diebenkorn’s work in all media.

This is the first of Diebenkorn’s drawings to enter the Gallery’s collection, joining a 1955 oil, Berkeley, No. 52, as well as twenty-two lithographs and drypoints dating from 1962 to 1985.

Ruth E. Fine

NOTES
2. Tools for eating—knives, forks, spoons—also have been important components of Diebenkorn’s still lifes.
CLAES OLDENBURG
Born 1929

Soft Drainpipe—Red (Hot) Version, 1967
Vinyl, styrofoam, canvas, metal
120 x 60 x 45 (304.8 x 152.4 x 114.3)
Robert and Jane Meyerhoff Collection

In 1967 Oldenburg created provocative and witty drawings, models, and sculptures on his new theme of Colossal Monuments. Plans for monuments in Toronto, Stockholm, and London were shown at the Sidney Janis Gallery. According to the artist, they were to be “poetic ideas that express the reality of a place, even if they are never put into effect.”

The visionary monument intended for Toronto was a giant drainpipe. At the horizontal gutter top of this 850-foot-high structure was to be a heliport and swimming pool. Water would flow down the vertical pipe and exit at the bottom into a great dam generating hydroelectric power. Oldenburg proposed this as Toronto’s civic answer to nearby Niagara Falls.

Relating to the five-foot painted wooden model of this scheme were a lively series of large drainpipe drawings, a styrofoam model, and two other soft sculptures.

Generally called a Pop artist, Oldenburg maintains a special ability to analyze everyday objects and transform them into serio-comic sculptures whose fine-art meanings are multiple and cleverly contradictory. The “soft sculptures” constructed of loose canvas, kapok, or vinyl are his special invention. He began this style in the early 1960s, and by 1966 and 1967 these objects became metamorphosed, antiheroic monuments representing a major part of his production.

Oldenburg attempted in these works to idealize life and, following the aspirations of the new public art of the sixties, to push the viewer into a new relationship with the daily world.

The Tate Gallery, London, owns Soft Drainpipe—Blue (Cool) Version, 1967, made of painted canvas, with a pulley and ropes that can retract the soft downspout. It is in many ways the artistic and emotional opposite of the Meyerhoffs’ Red (Hot) Version. The former hangs like a relief painting on the wall, while the latter is a suspended sculpture on its freestanding metal rack. The red version is made of shiny glistening vinyl, not the matte stained canvas of the blue version. Oldenburg’s sketchbooks and finished drawings show the quite surprising evolution of this common object. He first saw an advertisement showing gutter and downpipe sections in a Swedish newspaper. The gutter T reminded him of his middle initial; the T racks in his studio from which he hung other sculptures; the shape of crucifixes and the form of the sagging body on this cross; human body parts; and elephant trunks. It fits into the artist’s evocative and systematic index of powerful found shapes where L shapes are equated with ray guns and T shapes are so-called “double ray guns.”

In this creative process the drainpipe accumulated all of these legitimate references. But another Oldenburg, in his wall relief composed of twenty metal T-shapes titled Exercising Drainpipes, reminds us that the artist views all of these sculptures as kinetic, animate objects, loaded with associations but also all the energy of our daily life.

Oldenburg exercises our skills at seeing the lush world around us and our complicated visual history. In this aggressive and odd-looking Soft Drainpipe—Red (Hot) Version, the artist, working with his wife, Pat, who cut and assembled the fabric, has produced one of his signature sculptures. It is the first large-scale Oldenburg to enter the Gallery’s collection. We have numerous Oldenburg prints, small object multiples produced by Gemini, G.E.L., and an expanding collection of major paintings and drawings by other Pop artists. This Meyerhoff gift provides the Gallery with a significant and dramatic work from the historic period of Oldenburg’s early and large soft sculptures.

Jack Cowart

PROVENANCE
Mr. & Mrs. Roger Davidson, Toronto; Sotheby Parke Bernet, 21 October 1976, lot 217, Leo Castelli Gallery; Robert and Jane Meyerhoff.

NOTES
4. Ludwig Museum, Cologne, Germany.
JASPER JOHNS
Born 1930

Color Numeral Series: Figure 0, 1968–1969, Lithograph 38 x 31 (96.5 x 78.7)
Color Numeral Series: Figure 9, 1968–1969, Lithograph 38 x 31 (96.5 x 78.7)
Gift of Gemini G.E.L.

These two lithographs complete the National Gallery’s set of Jasper Johns’ Color Numeral series, which are among his most admired prints. Prints have played a central role in Johns’ art.2 Since 1960 he has completed more than 250 editions using all of the major media—lithography, etching, screenprint, woodcut, and monoprint—distinctively exploring each process to locate its particular characteristics in relation to his art. He has worked with many renowned printmaking workshops, starting with Universal Limited Art Editions (U.L.A.E.) and including Atelier Crommelynck, Simca Print Artists, and Gemini G.E.L.

The alphabet, flags, targets, and numbers were among the “things the mind already knows” that have stimulated Johns’ imagination throughout his career.3 He began to use numerals as a subject in his paintings in 1955, and in one of his earliest prints, a 1960 lithograph in black and white titled 0 Through 9, the ten individual figures were superimposed upon each other. Also in 1960 Johns began four series of prints in which 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 appear as individual figures each of which is placed on the page below two rows of smaller figures: 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 above 5, 6, 7, 8, 9. All four series were made at U.L.A.E.

Johns’ first print project with Gemini G.E.L. was his Black and White Numerals series; the Color Numerals closely followed, and Figure 0 and Figure 9 are two of these ten ambitious color lithographs.5 For the Black Numerals, Johns’ drawings on lithograph stones were printed in black and transparent gray, and the same stones were used unaltered for all of the color prints that followed except Figure 9, because its original drawing was lost when the stone was used for the color edition.6 In addition, a third printing element was used for the opaque white layer added for each color image. Whereas each of the Figures is self-contained, each also plays a specific role within the group. This is evident, for example, in the manner by which Johns has structured his bands of color. He started with Figure 0, comprising the primary colors, and introduced a secondary hue, violet, in Figure 1; and moving through the series he introduced a different color at the top band of each print, shifting down one level the color that had appeared at the top of the previous Figure. In Figure 9 the secondaries—orange, green, and violet—are featured. Johns’ signature functioned within a second scheme; each print was signed in a pencil the color of the top printed band, for example Figure 0 is signed in red whereas Figure 9 is signed in orange.

The sense of sequential movement within a specific framework as seen here is an important component of Johns’ art. So, too, is the clear structuring of individual parts within a larger whole. Also vividly apparent in these two prints is the artist’s extraordinary ability to suggest the full range of tonal and textural possibilities within the medium of lithography. Delicate line work and broad washes, marks that maintain their autonomy and marks that merge to suggest a broader field, play a role in Johns’ rich visual language.

The National Gallery’s collection includes two drawings by Jasper Johns, Untitled (from Untitled 1972) donated by the artist and included in this catalogue, and Numbers. Johns’ prints are represented at the Gallery by more than a hundred impressions, most of which are part of our Gemini G.E.L. Archive. Others came into our collection as donations from Lessing J. Rosenwald, William W. Spiller, Dr. and Mrs. Maclyn E. Wade, and The Woodward Foundation, Washington, D.C.

Ruth E. Fine

NOTES
5. Philadelphia 1970, nos. 94–113. Figure 0 is printed in an edition of forty plus eleven artist’s proofs and thirty-two other proofs; Figure 9 is in an edition of forty plus twelve artist’s proofs and eighteen other proofs.
GERHARD RICHTER
Born 1932

9 Objekte, 1969
Portfolio of 9 offset lithographs
17\% x 17\% (44.9 x 44.9)
Gift of Wolfgang Wittrock

How is reality known? This philosophical question guides Gerhard Richter’s artistic quest. The “answers” to date constitute a diverse output that is dominated by his activity as a painter but also encompasses film-making, sculpture, photography, and related graphic works such as the print portfolio 9 Objekte. Like his friend Sigmar Polke (they met while students at the Düsseldorf Kunstakademie), Richter seems acutely aware of his historical place at the end of an entire western art tradition and, moreover, modernism itself. As he remarked: “I see myself as the heir to an enormous, great, rich culture of painting, and of art in general, which we have lost, but which obligates us.” From that perspective he is bound to analyze, deconstruct, and reassemble the elements of vision itself, scrutinizing how images are created. His development has been neither chronological nor stylistically monolithic. Instead, disparate explorations of a constant theme exist side by side.

In the same year as 9 Objekte Richter therefore also made abstract “Star Paintings” related to Jackson Pollock’s tangled mazes of pigment alongside “Photo Paintings” depicting idyllic landscapes with painstaking care. All three directions in fact share a single target: the innate human tendency—indeed need—to process raw experience into usable “models,” whether for creating art or to render everyday life easier. Photographs, the basis of numerous paintings and the 9 Objekte, exert a special appeal for Richter because they occupy a zone where perception and cognition merge, bridging the divide between what is visible and how it can be recorded. He explained that the photographic medium was “a crutch to help me understand reality.” Within such a context these nine prints quiz the tantalizing nature of representation.

The forms in the series are variations on “impossible” solids: configurations like the notorious “Penrose triangle” whose sides can be visualized yet could never join in three dimensions since they amount to a contradictory whole. At first we are even doubtful whether Richter just took shots of real constructions (insofar as such objects can be physically fabricated and, if seen from a fixed point, fool the eye into believing they are integral), or rather has more likely altered the original photographs. Various degrees of focus confound the dilemma, notably in the backgrounds that are at times ambiguous and echo patterns in the Star Paintings. In 1966 the artist made a totally blurred film. He associates haziness with his own insecurity toward the world, adding, nonetheless, that a picture can never be blurred. That is, it remains always a sharp image of something else and thus a paradox. Banality, however, resides in the general matter-of-factness: gray tones, an apparently almost mechanical technique and the contrast between the wooden conundrums themselves and domestic artifacts such as teacups or a folding chair. Here Richter’s initial interest in Pop still lingers. Overall, though, the competing messages neutralize each other to leave a dialectic on the inexplicable and the known, reality and abstraction. Two years before Richter had built assemblages with glass panes and noted, tellingly, how in them we “see everything and grasp nothing.” That comment might well summarize the thought-provoking 9 Objekte.

In their ironic detachment these prints balance the more vigorously crafted graphics by such Germans as Baselitz and Im- mendorff that are among other fiftieth anniversary gifts and, again, firsts of their kind at the National Gallery. By a happy coincidence Jasper Johns’ Untitled (From Untitled 1972) also explores, in an altogether different framework, kindred ideas about illusion and the structures of knowledge. Both will be at home with an even earlier picture in the Gallery that delves deep into metaphysics, René Magritte’s The Human Condition.

David Anfam

PROVENANCE

NOTES
2. See for instance Ernst Gombrich’s speculative writings on this topic, especially Meditations on a Hobby Horse (London, 1971).
Roy Lichtenstein
Born 1924

Study for *Untitled Head II*, 1970
Aluminum and wood
30 (76.25)
Gift of Gemini G.E.L.

Much of Roy Lichtenstein’s art from the mid-1960s forward can be understood as a brilliant, idiosyncratic revision of the history of modern art. Cubism, futurism, German expressionism, surrealism, and abstract expressionism are among the styles that Lichtenstein has made over into his own distinct pictorial language. One of the richest veins that Lichtenstein mined was 1930s *art moderne*, or art deco. Beginning in 1966, Lichtenstein produced an extended series that included paintings, drawings, prints, as well as a number of sculptures, all in a witty approximation of art deco style.

*Untitled Head II* belongs squarely within that series of art deco explorations but, typical of Lichtenstein’s work, it makes reference to a number of objects and artists as well as styles. This unique study for an edition of thirty with three artist’s proofs was produced between 1969 and 1970 at Gemini G.E.L. The *Untitled Head II* edition, eventually executed in wood, was part of a group that included *Untitled Head I* as well as a related series of prints and reliefs, *Modern Head #1–5*, and *Modern Head Relief*. This group of prints and sculptures marked something of a departure in Lichtenstein’s art deco series, for most of his earlier works in that style (and especially the sculpture) had focused on the decorative and applied arts vocabulary of *art moderne* rather than the human figure. In fact, Lichtenstein has noted that his original inspiration for the Modern Head series came not from art deco but rather from the paintings of Alexej von Jawlensky that he saw at the Pasadena Art Museum.²

Of the Gemini group, *Untitled Head I* and *Untitled Head II* are the only freestanding sculptures. Ironically, they read as two-dimensional works, revealing themselves fully only in profile. Lichtenstein’s approach to sculpture is deeply informed by the work of Alexander Calder and David Smith, both of whom made objects that function as drawings in space rather than volumetric masses.³ But whereas the two sides of *Untitled Head I* are entirely flat and the sculpture is articulated only in profile, *Untitled Head II* is marked by a series of setbacks and indentations that lend it particular richness and complexity.

Iconographically, *Untitled Head II* refers to the ancient tradition of Janus, the two-headed god of beginnings. Since the Renaissance, Janus figures have symbolized the coexistence of opposite characteristics in a single being. That conception is evident in *Untitled Head II*, where one side of the head is formed of straight lines and regular geometric forms and the opposite side is fluid and irregular. The regular, geometric side is close to one of the two heads in the *Peace through Chemistry* prints and relief that Lichtenstein produced at Gemini at about the same time that he made the Untitled and Modern heads.

This unique piece is an especially important gift of Gemini G.E.L. and the artist. It makes complete the National Gallery’s representation of Lichtenstein’s collaboration with Gemini of 1969–1970, joining *Untitled Head #1* and *Modern Head Relief* among others. The Gallery’s collection of works by Roy Lichtenstein now numbers seventy-five prints, four sculptures in multiple editions and two maquettes for edition, and two paintings, including *Look Mickey*, which has been donated by the artist in honor of the Gallery’s fiftieth anniversary.

Jeremy Strick

NOTES
These small sculptures, all but one of a type known as “Animobiles,” date from the later years of the artist’s life. They combine his positive, humorous outlook with his lifelong interest and delight in animals. As a child Calder collected Noah’s Ark sets with his sister Peggy and designed animal figures. In 1925–1926 he made a series of nearly 250 drawings of animals in the Bronx and Central Park zoo, a group of which were published in his 1926 book Animal Sketching. It was also during this period that he became enthralled with the circus, making sketches at the Ringling Brothers/Barnum & Bailey Circus in 1925 for the National Police Gazette. This experience, which gave him many opportunities to explore the artistic and comic possibilities of animals, led to the creation in Paris between 1926 and 1931 of one of Calder’s best-known, most-loved works, The Circus, a miniature functioning circus complete with an elephant and a roaring lion. Although Calder’s use of animal motifs in his art never waned—they are found in every stage of his career, in every medium, including cast bronze, wood, wire sculpture, paper sculpture, jewelry, drawing, and gouache—it is perhaps symptomatic of a revitalized interest that Calder reinstalled The Circus in 1970 and that he brought out his zoo drawings in 1972, both during the period in which he was creating the present works, his Animobiles.

This term was coined by Louisa Calder, the artist’s wife, combining “animaux” and “mobiles.” Only nine of the present works fall into this category; the tenth, Les Flèches (arrows), is related in size and technique but was made five years later than any of the others and contains no overt animal references. Each Animobile is made of a flat sheet of metal that is cut, folded, and painted. The flat sheet generally stands perpendicular to the ground, forming the body of the animal, with the cut-out legs bent to allow it to stand. A back-and-forth folding process makes extra volume in the so-called “crinkly” areas, Calder’s term for such passages as the neck of the Crinkly Taureau or the body of the Crinkly Worm. Finally, a mobile head (in most cases) balances carefully on the pointed neck. These heads are also made of cut and bent sheets of metal, are usually punched or pierced for eyes and nostrils, and can have hanging attachments, as in the horns of La Vache or the Crinkly Taureau; some Animobiles have recognizable animal bodies, but with “heads” that are purely abstract mobiles. Originally Louisa’s term for the group applied to animals with movable heads, but later it came to include others of the period, such as the Crinkly Worm. Another important element of most Animobiles is the brightly colored paint, often with two contrasting colors on the animal’s two different flanks, so that the two principal views differ radically in character, as in the Horse, the Red and Yellow Bull with Blue Head, and the Blue and Red Bull with Yellow Head. A slightly different construction technique is used in both Deux Angles Droits (which, despite its non-figural descriptive title “Two Right Angles,” depicts a seal with large, white tusks attached to its round face) and Les Flèches. In these two the support for the mobile element is made from a flat piece shaped somewhat like a wide boomerang, one arm folded upright and the other lying flat on the ground as a base.

This technique of cutting, bending, and painting sheet metal calls to mind an earlier, similar technical approach in the work of the ubiquitous Pablo Picasso, such as his Bust of Sylvette of 1954 or, more significantly, his Man with Sheep of 1961, whose sheep (carried on the man’s shoulders in a classical pose) is remarkably comparable in character to the Animobiles, albeit on a smaller scale. Calder himself used folded sheet metal as early as c. 1930–1932 for his Old Bull in the Whitney Museum of American Art, but manipulated it in a much more complex fashion than did Picasso or than he himself did in his own Animobiles. Nor did Calder paint the early sculpture.

Beyond their technical aspects in common, the Animobiles share an overriding sense of whimsy. Among the group of five bovines, the Crinkly Taureau is the natural leader because of his large size and his fancy, harlequin-like neck. His long haughty face and his insolent gaze, coupled with oversized horns, give him an air of foolish, condescending importance. In contrast to him is La Vache, whose angled eyes give her an irritated squint that is offset by the humor of her lopsided horns and her black-and-white, wire-attached teats. Blue and Red Bull with Yellow Head has one ear larger than the other and horns that curl in opposite directions: one up, the other down. The small Red Cow with Black Head has one horn slightly higher that the other and a somewhat worried expression on her small head. Red and Yellow Bull with Blue Head also has a small head, with a bluntly angled nose and long, droopy ears. His punched eyes are larger and ronder than those of his herd mates, giving him a surprised demeanor.

The Horse, with his long neck and one ear longer than the other, has a blankly expectant gaze. Alert, uneven ears give his small friend the Camel, on the other hand, an eager look. He is perhaps the most richly humorous of the bunch, with a looped neck, two tiny humps (echoed in the little “Adam’s apple” on his neck), and a protruding red tongue, as if chewing his cud or licking something off his face.

The seal (Deux Angles Droits) has a fat body topped by a flat moon-like face. His silly expression is the result of closely spaced eyes at the top, widely spaced nostrils in the middle, and goofy tusks attached at the bottom. Only the Crinkly Worm has any hint of menace—diamond-shaped eyes and pointed snout—but this is negated by bright coloring and the crinkly tail.

Les Flèches is more abstract than the Animobiles. Its top pivots on the point of its simple, bent base, allowing continual change in the direction of the “arrows” and drawing attention in and out of its central locus. It thus makes a very basic statement about movement, space, and direction in kinetic sculpture.
The deceptively simple construction of these sculptures reflects Calder’s knowledge of engineering gained from four years of study, starting in 1915, at the Stevens Institute of Technology in Hoboken, New Jersey, before entering the Art Students League in 1923. In addition to the careful balance of the kinetic heads (on all but the Crinkly Worm), many exhibit a complex, well-thought plan that cleverly uses the metallic sheet. For instance, in the Camel the bottom edge of its swag-like neck, were it to be bent flat, would lie against the forward edge of the right front leg, which itself would match with the edge of the left leg. Similarly, the front and back legs of the Horse would fit together if flattened, the hooves of both right legs fitting in the notched-out fetlocks of the left legs and the length of the legs joining together. The legs of the Blue and Red Bull with Yellow Head, the Red and Yellow Bull with Blue Head, the Red Cow with Black Head, and the back legs of the Crinkly Taurus are all similarly devised.

The Crinkly Worm, however, is the most complex in plan. If it were laid flat it would form a solid eovoid sheet, with only the cut-out eyes missing: the right side of the worm’s head would nestle against the inside of the loop of the body, with the rest of the tail wrapping around the tip of the nose and then curling around on itself.

The Animobiles (designated as such) made their exhibition debut in February 1971, at the Galerie Maeght, Paris, followed by a showing at the Galleria dell’Obelisco, Rome; they were shown later that year at Perls Galleries, New York, during October and November. Though not styled as Animobiles, two of the type were shown three years earlier at Dayton’s Gallery 12, Minneapolis: a Cheshire Cat with long wire whiskers and an Iguana with a mobile-like head, both made in 1967. One of the earliest, however, is the Foxy Dog of 1958, which might actually be considered as a precursor rather than an actual Animobile, since its head is made of shiny unpainted brass. The production of Animobiles ceased sometime after 1972, one of the latest examples being The Crested Crow of that year, a black bird with a colorful crest of colored disks on the ends of black wires. The Animobile series seems perhaps to have metamorphosed into a new type, the Critters, which are humanoid, three-legged creatures in a similar technique (though generally larger in scale and more impish in character), which were shown at Perls Galleries in 1974.

Until now the National Gallery had relatively few works by Calder, even though one of these, the Mobile of 1973–1977, functions as a symbol of the Gallery’s East Building. This piece shows the full potential of Calder’s public works, both in its grand size and its impact. The Gallery’s Obus of 1972, also donated by Mr. and Mrs. Mellon, is a Stabile. The Gallery also owns three color lithographs by Calder. Both monumental works of sculpture contrast with the Animobiles, which represent Calder at his most lighthearted, playful, and intimate. The Mellon Animobiles were briefly displayed at the National Gallery as part of the ceremonies celebrating the opening of the East Building in May of 1978.

Donald Myers

PROVENANCE
Purchased at Galerie Maeght, Paris: Crinkly Taurus, La Vache, Red and Yellow Bull with Blue Head, Blue and Red Bull with Yellow Head, Deux Angles Droits, and Black Camel with Blue Head and Red Tongue in March 1978; Horse, Crinkly Worm, Red Cow with Black Head, in April 1978, with Les Flèches.

NOTES
3. New York 1976, 81; some of these were published in 1974 by the Archives of American Art, Smithsonian Institution, in a portfolio entitled Calder at the Zoo.
4. As in The Blunt-Tailed Dog of 1970, which has a large red disk on a wire balancing five white disks on several wires; illustrated in Jean Lipman, Calder Creatures Great and Small (New York, 1985), 15.
9. April 17–May 11, 1968; the exhibition was entitled simple Calder, and was done in collaboration with Perls Galleries, New York.
12. Accession number 1977.76.1; the Gallery also owns the Model for the East Building Mobile, 1975.114.1.
13. 1983.1.49, Collection of Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mellon.
**Crinkly Taureau**
39½ (100.3), 1970

**Horse**
38¾ (97.5)
1970

**Crinkly Worm**
18¾ (46), 1971

**La Vache**
34½ (86.7)
1970

**Red and Yellow Bull with Blue Head**
40½ (101.9), 1971
Blue and Red Bull with Yellow Head
39\(\frac{1}{8}\) (99.4), 1971

Black Camel with Blue Head and Red Tongue
21\(\frac{1}{8}\) (53.7), 1971

Deux Angles Droits
20 (50.8), 1971

Red Cow with Black Head
22\(\frac{3}{8}\) (56.8), 1971

Les Flèches
25\(\frac{1}{2}\) (63.8), 1976
SIGMAR POLKE

Born 1941

Kölner Bettler IV, 1972
4 offsets
16⅞ x 23⅜ (42.9 x 60.7)

Figur mit Hand (Es schwindelt mir . . .) 1973
Offset
24⅞ x 17⅛ (62.7 x 45.4)

Gift of Wolfgang Wittrock

Born in the Lower Silesia region of East Germany, Sigmar Polke moved to the Federal Republic in 1953 at a time when its “Economic Miracle” was underway. As might be expected of a newcomer, he became fascinated with consumerist society and while studying at the Kunstakademie in Düsseldorf during the earlier 1960s was influenced by both Joseph Beuys’ iconoclastic teaching and the eclectic images of the American pop movement. The first broke down distinctions between art and life while the second saw mass culture as packed with layer upon layer of signs, commodities, and visual codes. Subsequently Polke compounded these twin attitudes into a quintessential post-modernist oeuvre that parallels Gerhard Richter’s many-sided talents.

Yet by comparison Polke’s paintings ostensibly recognize no norms whatsoever and incorporate bizarre materials, multiple styles, and even stranger sources.

Although a mere year separates the Kölner Bettler (Cologne Beggars) quartet from Figur mit Hand (Figure with Hand), they straddle Polke’s past and future, apart from being among his most distinctive prints. The movement called “Capitalist Realism” that he launched with Richter and others in 1963 turned a wry gaze on commercial objects. Its implicitly bittersweet view of a society that treated them as fetishes shifted to overt social comment in the Kölner Bettler scenes—perhaps darker than anything he did until the references to Nazism that emerged in the paintings of the early 1980s. Rubbish contradicts a slogan proclaiming “Der saubere Weg” (The Clean Street) in prints I and III, while the violinist-beggar of IV is sharply graven unlike his faceless audience. Their shopping bags answer to his one instrument of survival. A prototype exists in Otto Dix’s mordant portrayals of First World War veterans and, more recently, the Cologne working-class milieu photographed by Chargesheimer.

PROVENANCE
Kölner Bettler: Klaus Stäck, Heidelberg; Figur mit Hand: Griffelkunst, Hamburg.

NOTES
4. Compare, for instance, the black silhouettes of Picabia’s Animal Trainer (1923).
VIJA CELMINS
Born 1939

*Untitled*, 1973
Graphite
29\% x 43\% (75.7 x 111)
Gift (Partial and Promised) of the Grinstein Family

*Untitled* is a beautiful drawing that exemplifies a pivotal phase of Vija Celmins’ career. Whether in drawings or prints, Celmins’ oceans are meditative in their quietness and hypnotic in their constancy. Nothing intrudes upon their peacefulness except for their own rhythmic motion.

Celmins relocated from Connecticut to California in 1962 to continue her graduate studies at UCLA. She had hoped that the move from the environment at Yale to a university in a larger city would provide the stimulation she required to continue her career. Yet a year later Celmins discontinued painting for a period of time, needing to seriously reevaluate her art. When she returned to the canvas, she had deserted her earlier abstract-expressionist approach for a style closer to the Pop art movement in California.

In the late 1960s Celmins began to take photographs of the ocean. She made her first graphite drawing of the Pacific in 1968. This led to intense study of this subject both from nature and from the photographs she took from Venice Pier, which was near her Venice, California, studio, south of Los Angeles. About the same time in her career she also took a break from oil painting. Since then Celmins’ primary mode of expression has been drawing.

The early drawings of the ocean surface are large images that fill the page. The ocean is brought so close to the picture plane that the viewer’s spatial relationship with the subject is ambiguous. In 1973 she began to explore depth in her drawings, for example in *Untitled*. She reduced the format of her placid views to a narrow horizontal band situated on the lower register of a large sheet of paper. This emphasizes the breadth of the picture and makes it more panoramic. At the same time, the allusion to depth is implied by the boundary placed between the upper edge of the band of water and the vast white ground above the image. This edge can be interpreted as a horizon, something upon which the viewer can focus.

Compressing the image into a narrow band increases the abstract qualities of the situation. One’s attention to the drawing shifts to the literal subject. From a distance it may be difficult to identify the scene because the drawing appears three-dimensional. Upon very close inspection one loses sight of the overall place, the surface becoming more engaging. The graphite markings on the page become the focus. Celmins explained, “the art is in the making, not in the object.” Her painstaking technique and delicate touch show just how dedicated she is to the process of drawing.

Celmins’ drawings of the ocean, distinctive in their density and tonality, seem to have their own individual pulse. They remain her best-known works. This drawing, which represents an important turning point in her career, is the first of Vija Celmins’ drawings to enter the collection of the National Gallery. In conjunction with several prints in the collection, the majority of which are part of our Gemini G.E.L. Archive, this drawing will further define the progression of Celmins’ imagery.

Carlotta J. Owens

**PROVENANCE**
Riko Mizuno, sold 1973.

**NOTES**
2. Los Angeles 1979, 27.
DAVID HOCKNEY
Born 1937

*Celia*, 1973
Lithograph
42½ x 28¾ (107.9 x 73)
Gift of Gemini G.E.L.

David Hockney has said that “portraits aren’t just made up of drawings, they are made up of other insights as well.” His investigations into appearances are not only masterful studies in problems of formal drawing, but also moving tributes to the people who share his life. Hockney’s lithographs made at Gemini G.E.L. have the same fullness and graphic subtlety that pervade his most sensitive drawings. *Celia* is a lithographic portrayal that is exceptional not only in its economy of means, delicacy, and softness, but also an understanding portrayal of a friend in an unguarded moment.

Some of Hockney’s most memorable portraits have been of Celia Birtwell, a London-based textile designer and close friend who became a subject for his prints by 1969. Celia visited Hockney in Los Angeles with some regularity after he moved there from England in the early 1970s, and the artist often celebrated his friend’s visits by drawing her. She is seen frontally in this work, her legs shifted slightly one way, her head turned slightly in the other. Gently slumped in the seat, her buoyed shoulders suggest the support of the armrests while the narrow plunging arrow of her neckline emphasizes gravity’s pull.

A series of contrasts animates this work. The silvery figure stands out against the warm background, its contours pulsating against the edges of the sheet. This energy is further augmented by the subject’s off-center placement. Additionally, the highly finished areas of the face, hands, shoes, and armrests contrast with the notational character of other marks. Details of the hair and eyes are remarkably intense studies that are set like jewels in luminous space. Small, dark areas such as Celia’s shoes, the back of her head, and the armrests form an interesting constellation. The ruled lines of the horizon and parts of the chair play against the frehand lines of the figure and have a sense of whimsy when compared with the general treatment. It is interesting to see a prefiguration of Hockney’s later experiments with oriental and cubist spatial representation in the forward-leaning chair in this work. Note too that the artist’s use of contrast even stretches to the green crayon signature.

Lithography captures subtle tonalities in *Celia*. Hockney established the figure’s structure with litho crayon and then amended it with a wash of tusche. By allowing the tusche to pool and then settle, passages of exquisite luminosity that mimic the gown were created. Even the deckle edges of the textured paper echo the rippled dress. Highlights on Celia’s hair and nose are achieved by scraping back to the stone through the litho crayon.

By the late 1960s Hockney’s work gravitated toward portraiture and the depiction of space and naturalistic effects. His first collaborations with Gemini occurred in the early 1970s. *Celia* was made in 1973 during a concentrated period of activity at the atelier. Since then, numerous other lithographic portraits have been completed including the Friends series in 1976, featuring a number of celebrated as well as little-known associates. Hockney often does a great deal of self-editing, making “many more lithographic drawings than the number of editions ultimately printed. By proofing all of the images, the artist is able to select those that he finds most successful.”

*Celia* is a notable addition to our collection of fifty-seven prints by Hockney. Some of the other lithographic portraits of Celia in our collection include *Celia, 8365 Melrose Avenue, Hollywood* and *Celia Smoking*, which are two seated portraits from the same period as the present work, a series of calligraphic brushstroke images of her in a variety of attitudes, two expansive reclining portraits, and a series depicting her seated in various kinds of chairs (one of which is both lithograph and screenprint). Most of Hockney’s works in the collection have been gifts of Gemini G.E.L. and the artist.

Charles M. Ritchie

NOTES
PHILIP GUSTON
1913–1980

Painter’s Table, 1973
Oil on canvas
77¼ x 90 (196.22 x 228.6)
Gift (Partial and Promised) of
Mr. and Mrs. Donald Blinken
in Memory of Maurice H. Blinken

By the late 1960s Philip Guston’s art had
achieved a remarkable but troubling level of
freedom. It evolved from his early WPA
mural projects, the complex figurative com-
positions of the 1940s, and his tense and
lush abstract expressionist paintings of the
1950s and early 1960s, yet most viewers
were not prepared for the famous 1970 ex-
hibition of his new symbolic figuration. But
when Willem de Kooning saw the “heresy”
of Guston’s late work in this breakthrough
exhibition, he said, perceptively, “Well, now
you are on your own! You’ve paid off all
your debts.”

Painter’s Table is a work expressing the
artist’s mature meditations concerning crea-
tivity, the dilemma of the solitary artist, the
beauty of his materials, and his own desire
to involve us in what he felt were the per-
plexing impossibilities of his artistic search.
Painted in 1973 when the artist was sixty,
this remarkable canvas contains depictions
of simple objects emphatically drawn. Gus-
ton said he didn’t “arrange” the still life of
objects picked up from around the studio
and he did enjoy painting the ashtray and
cigarette butts, “which began to look like
something else.”

But the issues of this composition are
probably more complex. One can propose
that the two flatirons, the shoes, and the rail
spikes are examples of mundane reality,
though they do have curious extended ref-
ences to art history. The chain-smoking
artist is present in his filled ashtray, his burn-
ing cigarette, and his profile eye on a small
canvas. We are reminded of his insomnia
and his obsessive night working by the light
bulb, pull chain, and a haunting window
opening out to the dark. His materials are
suggested by the pigments on the palette in
the center. The various open books on the
left may be the Law or Authority. This large
and impressively grand canvas is a more extensive
pendant to his 1972 work Painter’s Forms.
It sums up a number of smaller autobiogra-
phical paintings and drawings of the pe-
riod when Guston, without being egotistic,
went to give the full benefit of his broad
introspection and classical training.

Painter’s Table is frequently cited in mon-
ographs and reviews. The New York Times
critic John Russell saw this canvas as an
“updated version of Chardin’s Attributes of
the Arts.” And this it is, with its narrative
outline of the sources and studio atmos-
phere of a deeply thoughtful artist. But the
more visceral and mysterious dark manner
of the Spanish baroque still lifes of Goya
and Meléndez are profoundly connected as
well. Noting relationships to early twent-
ieth-century metaphysical and surrealist art-
ists, Dore Ashton saw this as a work made
“in order to underscore the strangeness, fi-
nally, even of everyday things.”

This promised gift from Mr. and Mrs.
Blinken is the first Guston painting to enter
the Gallery collection. It joins our com-
prehensive collection of major paintings by
Jackson Pollock, Barnett Newman, Mark
Rothko, Arshile Gorky, and Franz Kline,
among others, all of whom were Guston’s
close artistic friends in New York. By its
subject this work by Guston continues a
figurative tradition, but by its expressive
surface, handling, and worried content there are
compelling relations to various modes
of twentieth-century modernist expression,
and most particularly those of recent times.

Jack Cowart

PROVENANCE
Acquired from the artists in 1974.

NOTES
1. Philip Guston, Recent Paintings, Marlborough
2. Musa Mayer, “My Father, Philip Guston,”
4. John Russell, “Art: Guston’s Last Tape Mis-
5. Dore Ashton, Yes, but . . . (New York, 1976),
173.
Robert Rauschenberg’s art seeks to contain the world by utilizing its objects. This “realism” mirrors our cluttered, complex lives, generating surprising results through unusual juxtapositions of subject and form. The contemporary world is seen in a fresh context presented with extraordinary sensitivity to materials and atmosphere. Not only the content of Rauschenberg’s art but also the technology frequently used to help create it are indicative of the modernist aesthetic.

A group of nine printed Hoarfrosts was made for Gemini G.E.L. in 1974. Ringer State is a slightly different version of Ringer, which is also in the series. The word “state” implies that the print is presented at another stage in its development. Composed of soft cheesecloth and delicate silks and satins, the Hoarfrosts drift and overlap like drapery. Everything is in visual flux due to shifting support and, as Rauschenberg wrote, “imagery in the ambiguity of freezing into focus or melting from view.”

Ringer State is a fine example of art served by technology. Inks from newspaper and journal cutouts were transferred to cloth using solvent and the heavy pressure of a lithography press. During edition printing “approximately one hundred copies of the Sunday Los Angeles Times were separated into sections and organized into neat, discrete piles (a pile of one hundred magazine covers, for example, next to a pile of one hundred front sheets from the entertainment section). These piles and the enlarged offset sheets were, in effect, Rauschenberg’s palette.” As Rauschenberg commented, “The Hoarfrosts were built of such excesses. The sound of making them is very dramatic. The presses are going on and the paper is being crumpled . . . we use gas masks. It’s all poisonous too, so we send the dogs out of the room because they don’t have gas masks. I mean it’s like Cecil B. De Mille to make a Hoarfrost.”

The National Gallery has long sought the works of Rauschenberg, and is fortunate to have 241 of his works in the collection. Ringer State will help complete our series of Gemini G.E.L. Hoarfrosts.

Charles M. Ritchie
LEE KRASNER  
1908–1984

*Imperative*, 1976
50 x 50 (127 x 127)
Oil, charcoal, and paper on canvas

Gift of
Mr. and Mrs. Eugene Victor Thaw

Throughout her career Lee Krasner periodically turned to the medium of collage, one she employed on a scale comparable to that of her large oil paintings. Many of the collages figure among the peak achievements of this important figure of first-generation abstract expressionism. When Krasner produced her first collage painting in 1938, she made cutouts from her own paintings to construct an entirely new composition.1 Utilizing a similar method in 1976, she made *Imperative* to inaugurate a startling new series of collages.2

*Imperative* consists of pieces of paper that have been sliced and slivered into angular shapes and glued to sized, unpainted canvas. These elements are fragments of charcoal and oil drawings Krasner made as a student between 1937 and 1940. In the summer of 1974 the artist came across the drawings in her East Hampton studio while rifling through old portfolios. Produced while Krasner was studying with Hans Hofmann in New York, the drawings were dynamic figure studies in charcoal, graphite, or brown chalk on paper as well as colorful still-life studies in oil and gouache. Krasner later avowed that she had learned the rudiments of cubism at the Hofmann school, and her figure drawings are boldly conceived, highly abstracted compositions demonstrating her vigorous interpretation of Picasso’s analytical cubist drawings from 1910.

Fiercely self-critical, Krasner frequently destroyed her own work. In mutilating the drawings from the thirties, she cast, in her own words, “a cold eye” over her early work and reclaimed it for a new context. Each collage in the series carries a title of a grammatical tense or mood. The title of *Imperative*, the first of the group, implies an obligatory act. As Krasner explained, “I experienced the need not just to examine these drawings, but a peremptory desire to change them; a command as it were to make them new.”3

The elements of *Imperative*, as with the other works in the series, are structured within a grid. The canvas is here bisected horizontally. Each half is divided into rectangular sections, although areas of collage frequently transgress the borders of the grid. Some of the collages preserve entire drawings, leaving the figure intact. *Imperative*, however, embodies a ruthless dissection of several charcoals that defy reconstitution but present tantalizing remnants of figuration within an otherwise abstract composition.

Departing from Krasner’s collage paintings of the fifties, in which pieces are torn and left ragged, the cutout shapes that make up *Imperative* are hard-edged and sharp. The forms overlap and interpenetrate one another so that, in their reconstituted form, the drawings of the thirties function ironically like cubist shards. Dark, monochromatic sections of charcoal alternate with occasional chromatic flourishes provided by the oil studies. These brilliant flashes of impastoed color serve as a reminder of Krasner’s gestural canvases and, like the reserved areas of bare canvas, constitute dramatically luminous passages.

Krasner said that the rediscovery of her forty-year-old drawings forced her to think of “time and its inexorable passage.”4 Her self-appropriation of that work introduces an autobiographical dimension that is central to her production. Though an ostensibly harsh judgment of her student work, the destructive reordering of the thirties drawings made possible a creative regeneration and synthesis.

As a key example of Krasner’s late work, *Imperative* will provide a striking counterpoint to her earlier monumental painting *Cobalt Night*, 1962, in the Gallery’s collection.

*Marla Prather*

PROVENANCE
Purchased by Eugene Thaw from the Pace Gallery exhibition, 1977.

NOTES
2. This series of collages was the subject of an exhibition *Lee Krasner: Eleven Ways to Use the Words to See* at the Pace Gallery, New York, in 1977. On Krasner’s entire collage production, see *Lee Krasner Collages* [exh. cat. Robert Miller Gallery, New York] (New York, 1986).
JASPER JOHNS
Born 1930

Untitled (from Untitled 1972)
1975–1976
Pastel and graphite pencil on paper
15\(\frac{3}{16}\) x 37\(\frac{3}{8}\) (38.5 x 95.9)
Gift of Jasper Johns

Like most of Jasper Johns’ drawings, this pastel followed the painting on which it is based, offering a reworking of imagery previously executed in another medium. It is one of five drawings Johns made between 1973 and 1976 based on his monumental four-panel painting, Untitled, 1972 (Museum Ludwig, Cologne).¹ That oil, encaustic, and collage painting is a pivotal work, drawing upon motifs employed by the artist since the late 1960s and introducing imagery critical to the work of the following two decades. Its far left panel of green, violet, and orange cross-hatching marks Johns’ earliest use of a motif that frequently recurred in works throughout the 1970s and 1980s.² The two middle panels reproduce in red, black, and white a flagstone pattern Johns said he glimpsed on a wall in Harlem and that he first incorporated into a painting from 1968 called Harlem Light. Attached to the far right panel of Untitled are wooden slats which in turn carry flesh-colored body parts that have been cast from life in wax. Multicolored paint has been dripped over the surface of the these objects as well as the canvas.

Three of the drawings based on Untitled, 1972 are identical in size and were based on the entire composition of the painting. The first of the three is a black ink on plastic drawing from 1975; the last, dating from 1976, is made with metallic powder that has been suspended in acrylic. Unlike those monochromatic works, the present drawing, a richly textured pastel on gray paper, closely reproduces the color and composition of the painting, although subtle variations can be detected throughout. For example, the cross-hatching in the first panel of the pastel is composed on a scale commensurate with that of the painting but in different configurations, and the color scheme has been enhanced with additions of blue, brown, and yellow.

The flagstone patterns of the pastel carefully replicate those of the painting, yet there is no analogy here for the two different media in the painting: oil and collage in the left and encaustic and collage in the right. In 1969, Johns wrote about the perceptual game at work in the flagstone panels:

Flagstone ptg. 2 panels. one in oil. 

An imagined unit the square of the height of these canvases.
The flagstones enclosed by a border (within this imagined square). The left rectangle (oil?) will include area A.B.C.D. The right (encaustic?) will include E.F.G.H. The meeting B.D. and E.G. will not have borders. (Or will they? Aim for maximum difficulty in determining what has happened?) (The possibility of these—or others—in gray.)

Whether to see the 2 parts as one thing or as two things.
Another possibility: to see that something has happened. Is this best shown by “pointing to” or by “hiding” it.³

This “imagined square” results when one flagstone panel shifts over the other, aligning the patterns and forming a single square panel.

The silhouetted shapes in the far right panel of the pastel only schematically suggest the three-dimensional boards and casts of the painting. The warm tones and shimmering layers of pastel echo but by no means duplicate the palette of the painting. Each of the boards in the pastel is marked with a discrete band of “matching” color at either end. This device replaces the “L” and “R” (left and right) stenciled on either end of the boards in the painting.

Beginning in 1973, Johns made several prints based on the 1972 painting. These include two four-sheet sets published by Gemini G.E.L. and included in the National Gallery’s substantial holdings of Johns’ prints. The Gallery’s collection contains one other drawing by Johns, Numbers from 1966.

Marla Prather

NOTES
2. Johns was driving on the Long Island Expressway and saw a crosshatch design painted on a passing car.
JASPER JOHNS
Born 1930

#6 (after Untitled 1975'), 1976
5 lithographs from a set of
15 progressive proofs
30⅞ x 29⅝ (76.6 x 75.8)
Gift of Gemini G.E.L.

The process of working is a central subject in Jasper Johns’ art. Methods, materials, and systems suggest components of its meaning. Printmaking, in particular, provides Johns with a splendid arena for documenting a work’s evolution, keeping a record of its development, tracking the steps made along the way. This is because impressions of a print in progress may be made at various points throughout the working process. When such impressions are made in the course of the artist’s changing the marks on the matrix they are called state proofs; when the impressions are drawn or painted upon by the artist in further developing an idea, they are called touched proofs or working proofs; and when a systematic record is maintained of each plate or element as it is successively added to build a multiplate image the proofs are called progressive proofs.

For virtually all of his printed editions Johns has come to retain various types of proofs, many of them quite special. For color prints he tends to have his printers make a proof in black of each separate plate in a multiplate image as well as progressive color proofs such as the five seen here for #6 (after Untitled 1975'). These five from a set of fifteen progressive proofs have been selected to show the image at several immediately discernible, dramatically different stages.

#6 (after Untitled 1975') is the last of six variants based on a 1975 painting in encaustic and oil. According to Richard S. Field, #6 is worked from some of the plates used for earlier works in the series as well as from plates assembled from cut-out portions of other of the earlier plates. Sixteen print runs, many of them very subtle in effect, were used to complete the lithograph, including four of a varnish glaze.

Progressive Proof 1 features gray lines that divide the format into four segments, echoing the four panels of the painting from which it derives: a vertical rectangle at left adjacent to a vertical rectangle one-half its width, this right one composed of three almost square units stacked one above the other. The regularity of these divisions provokes an imaginative leap in which one sees the print’s surface divided into nine smaller units, which together suggest the various divisions explored in the series titled “6 Lithographs (after Untitled 1975”).

On view with these proofs is an earlier gift of Gemini G.E.L., the final version of the subject (opposite, lower right). In it the glow of the glaze layers along the right third of the image subtly differentiate each of the stacked squares, the lower right segment being the richest in effect. This use of glazes achieves a partly matte and partly gloss surface similar to the one achieved in the Untitled painting in encaustic and oil from which this image derives.

The Gallery’s collection includes more than a hundred of Johns’ prints. However, this is our first group of his special proofs.

Ruth E. Fine

NOTES
1. Johns’ proof impressions were the subject of the exhibition Working Proofs, organized by Christian Geelhaar for the Kunstmuseum Basel in 1979.
2. The number II/II in the margins indicates it is one of two sets.
The title plate for *The Blue Guitar* informs us that the series comprises “Etchings by David Hockney who was inspired by Wallace Stevens who was inspired by Pablo Picasso.” Hockney also has written that he read Wallace Steven’s (sic) poem [*The Man With the Blue Guitar*] in the summer of 1976. The etchings themselves were not conceived as literal illustrations of the poem but as an interpretation of its themes in visual terms. Like the poem, they are about transformations within art as well as the relation between reality and the imagination, so these are pictures within pictures and different styles of representation juxtaposed and reflected and dissolved within the same frame.¹

Picasso’s blue-period painting *The Old Guitarist*, 1903 (The Art Institute of Chicago) was the impetus for Stevens’ 1936 poem to which Hockney, forty years later, responded. Hockney’s etched rendition of *The Old Guitarist*, surrounded by a border of visual notes on other Picassoesque subjects, follows the title plate for the series. Next is a medley of landscapes, interiors, still lifes, and figure compositions remarkable for their visual inventiveness. Together they chart the artist’s fertile imagination, suggesting and transforming images from the natural and fantastic worlds, using graphic media with great variety and subtlety. The blue guitar itself, musical staves, architectural details, furniture, draperies, flowers, figures, drawing instruments are all to be found.

Hockney’s drawing here tends toward the playful and the schematic, yet portrait heads including one of Wallace Stevens on the plate titled “The Poet” capture keen likenesses. And references to cubism, surrealism, and others of Picasso’s modes abound, lest we naively be charmed by Hockney’s own delight in capturing essences with his ostensibly simplified approach.

All of the prints have individual titles, some of which refer to Picasso and his subjects. Others employ phrases from Stevens’ poem: “Discord merely magnifies,” “Tick it, took it, turn it true.” One title, “Etching is the Subject,” responds to Stevens’ line “Poetry is the subject of the poem.” For this plate Hockney juxtaposed a heavily worked portrait head, with strong volumes developed by using a variety of etched marks one upon the other, and another head described by a few highly selective fine lines. Other areas of the plate show Hockney further exploring his medium, his stated subject.

On “Made in April,” part of Stevens’ poem appears in reverse, starting with the last four lines of verse xvi through the first four lines of verse xviii, the latter of which are quoted here:

*A dream (to call it a dream) in which I can believe, in face of the object,*

*A dream no longer a dream, a thing Of things as they are, as the blue guitar.*²

Hockney’s etchings were printed by Maurice Payne, each of them from two copper plates inked with a selection from five colors: red, yellow, blue, green, and black. The white paper functions actively throughout the series as a foil for these bright hues that create patterns moving in space, set in counterpoise to rhythmic developments across the surface. *The Blue Guitar* was published by Petersburg Press, London and New York, in an edition of two hundred (of which this is number eighteen) plus thirty-five proof copies.

The National Gallery is fortunate to own fifty-seven etchings and lithographs by David Hockney, but this is the first of his illustrated portfolios or books to enter our collection. It makes a splendid addition not only to our representation of Hockney’s art, but to our holdings of modern illustrated books and portfolios as well.

*Ruth E. Fine*
JIM DINE
Born 1935

Me in Horn-Rimmed Glasses, 1979
Etching and drypoint with pastel
25½ x 19¼ (64.8 x 50.1)
Gift of Richard A. Simms

At the start of his career, Jim Dine's images of bathrobes were considered self-portraits. As his work evolved from a less personalized approach into an intensely introspective art, his self-portraits moved from the symbolic and theoretical to the more immediately referential. Indeed, while Dine still is engaged by the bathrobe theme, it is now viewed as a metaphor for everyman as much as for the artist alone. Among his other important subjects has been his own countenance. Especially in his prints and mixed media drawings, Dine has studied himself repeatedly and with great concentration, as if seeking meaning in both life and art within his own visage.

Speaking broadly about his use of figuration, Dine has signaled his work in printmaking as being particularly important:

"Making prints is as important to me now as making drawings or paintings. As a matter of fact, it was the only medium, in which, until just recently, I felt free enough to be figurative when the pressure was still on to make those field paintings with the tools hung on them. Probably because the process was one step removed from me (with the printer in between), I felt that I could start to do that. I'm not sure exactly why I did it, but making prints was the first place my interest in figurative art raised its head."

Me in Horn-Rimmed Glasses is the sixth of a series of nine self-portraits from 1979, eight of which, including this one, were printed at the Burston Graphic Center in Jerusalem by Ami Rosenberg, with the final one proofed by Aldo Crommelynck and printed at Atelier Crommelynck in Paris. The first two of the prints, Self-Portrait in Gray and The Hand Painted Portrait on Thin Fabriano, include the artist's shoulders and bare chest. With each succeeding stage of the plate's development the artist reduced its size, so that the focus became increasingly involved with the face itself and its individual features.

This self-portrait series has been worked with etching and drypoint, using both hand tools and the power tools that Dine has come to wield with such authority. Many of the group incorporate direct work with paint, grease crayon, or pastel. Most are printed in very small editions. Me in Horned-Rimmed Glasses, for example, is in an edition of eight impressions (plus six proofs, some on different papers), printed with an overall layer of surface tone on Canson Mi Fientes rust-colored paper. The warmth of the sheet is enhanced by the red and orange pastels, which emphasize the volume of the face. White and black pastels also are used, highlighting and drawing attention to the artist's intense gaze.

As has been Dine's method for many of his etchings, for Me in Horned-Rimmed Glasses and other works in this series, the plate was run through the press two times, slightly off-register, so each of the lines and marks appears twice, one darker than the other and with a slight space between them, adding a suggestion of movement and agitation to the image.

Commenting to David Shapiro on solipsism, Dine has indicated that "the unleashing of inner thoughts in an exciting way, in an appropriate way, is never a problem. I love exposure." More than any of his other subjects, Dine's self-portraits enforce our awareness of this introspective view. Me in Horn-Rimmed Glasses is the first of Dine's self-portraits to enter our collection, thereby adding an important dimension to our representation of his work.

Ruth E. Fine
The art of A. R. Penck embraces polarities: light and dark, positive and negative, beginning and end, hope and doubt, West and East, and existence and nonexistence. His pragmatic and magical use of signs reflects upon mankind's earliest origins. These signs also act as symbols of hope for its continuation. Although intended as communication, the artist strives to keep his “message unspecific and at times ambiguous. Only the structure...has to be logical. The sequence of signs has to be accessible so that the viewer can work somehow with the information.”

In this way Penck seeks to regain prerational thought for the viewer, allowing him or her to form subjective associations from visual information of primal integrity.

8 Erfahrungen (eight experiences) is a portfolio of woodcuts printed in black on white paper. Signs and images emerge in mesmerizing variety from constantly reversing figure and ground relationships. While activating the entire surface with pattern, Penck maintains a general balance of light and dark from image to image. Each print is a meditation on the self based on personal experiences of the artist and, metaphorically, the eight individual experiences of creating these woodcuts.

Two works from the portfolio are illustrated. Number 6 from 8 Erfahrungen is a dancing figure in black silhouette, whose arms and legs swing out in expressive diagonals toward the edges of the image. Highly reminiscent of work by the artists of the Brücke movement, this print has strong subject and compositional similarities to a woodcut of a nude dancer by Christian Rohlfs entitled Tanzender Akt, 1909–1910. Additionally, it echoes elements from a woodcut by Emil Nolde entitled Korzentänzerinnen, 1917, a depiction of two partially-clad women dancing with lighted candles at their feet. The candles find equivalents in the small, dark, starlike forms that occupy the foreground of Penck’s work. Other prints in the portfolio have notable correspondences to earlier work such as the full-faced portrait Number 3 from 8 Erfahrungen (not illustrated), which is highly reminiscent of the vigorous gouging and angular style of woodcut portraits by Karl Schmidt-Rottluff. It is important to note that while many contemporary German artists are overtly troubled by the Nazi past, there are those such as Penck whose work celebrates great German artistic achievements.

Number 8 from 8 Erfahrungen is compositionally divided in half, with the left side suggesting the artist standing before his work and the right side the world of symbols he composes. Traversing the image from top to bottom, the silhouetted artist swings open his arms toward the slightly off-vertical decmarator that leans with a gentle dynamism in his direction. The right side of the composition is filled with glyphs, some of which combine to evoke a female form. Penck’s characters are created with the most rudimentary elements and some have multiple readings much like a Rorschach inkblot. The artist’s stick figures in particular have been described as representing “the holocaust of anonymity in perpetual, pervasive process in the modern administered world.” While such doubt is at the heart of the artist’s work, it is important to remember the hope implied in his attempts to communicate.

Many aspects of Penck’s technical approach to printmaking relate to that of earlier German expressionists. The utilization of blotter paper and other papers with heavy physical presence is similar. This kind of support provides a vital interaction of image and surface. Also like his forbears, Penck has a predilection for woodcut and has noted that “the resistance of the material almost forces the shape on the artist.” It is easy to see in the artist's enthusiasm for the elemental act of cutting wood in these works, an aspect that mirrors the gouging of the block by earlier expressionists. Note too that the frequently consistent width of the cutting line generates a harmony that extends through the entire series.

Much of Penck’s artistic philosophy developed during his years behind the Iron Curtain where he absorbed writings on politics and philosophy. He also took an interest in natural sciences with a focus on research into primal origins. Penck emigrated to the west in 1980, but his works were known outside East Germany fifteen years before because of special export arrangements. His several pseudonyms were a means of self-defense and a way to facilitate the export of his paintings from the German Democratic Republic. The name A. R. Penck was borrowed from the geologist Albrecht Penck (1858–1945), whose writings on the Ice Age the artist admires. The present works are signed both A. R. Penck and α 'γ, another adopted appellation.

The National Gallery welcomes the addition of this portfolio, which is the first of Penck’s work to enter the collection and represents one of the artist’s most important printmaking achievements.

Charles M. Ritchie

PROVENANCE
Peter Blum Editions, New York.

NOTES
Georg Baselitz has been of international importance since the 1970s with art that he has referred to as “Stil Malerei” (style painting). This mode of working is often surprising in its impact, with aggressive marking and crude yet facile portrayals of simple, even banal subjects. A simpler direction is shared with earlier German expressionists such as the artists of the Brücke movement, who likewise cultivated an interest in primitive art and the art of the insane, the search for a Germanic voice in art, and a revitalization of printmaking, particularly the woodcut. Nevertheless, Baselitz’s art is different, often lacking the brilliant color, the optimistic radicalism, and even the psychological component that were key elements for the earlier group.

As is common in Baselitz’ work, in Lesender Mann (man reading) the artist has turned the figure upside down, forcing the viewer to consider the formal aspects of the print before the represented subject, and also suggesting the problems of orientation in our complex contemporary society. Baselitz frequently places his subject in compositional center, viewed from front or side, giving the work a balanced, monumental quality despite the churning, expressionist mark making. Indeed the figurative elements in Lesender Mann are often overwhelmed by the sparkling contrast between whites left open in the printing process and the blacks of the soft printing ink and the viscous paint. Drips, spatters, fingerprints, as well as strokes of the soft printing ink and the viscous paint.

Lesender Mann is a fine example of the artist’s work, featuring the inverted profile of a man holding an open book close to his face. While the subject is not necessarily a self-portrait, the artist frequently depicts himself, his wife, his studio, and the landscape nearby his castle in Derneburg where he lives and works in relative isolation. Interestingly, Baselitz’ subjects are drawn from memory and imagination rather than directly from the model because he is interested in “invented figurations” rather than “illustrative method.” The artist’s concern with portraiture, genre, and landscape subjects reflects not only his own interest in representation, but also the return by many artists throughout the world to figuration during the 1980s. In particular, the fragmented human body has been used by Baselitz and other contemporary artists to show “corrosion and dissolution of the human body as a metaphor for the erosion of value and belief in the modern world [and] for a sense of historical loss.”

The print is also an outstanding example of both the renaissance of interest in the woodcut medium and the flowering of the unique print that have occurred in the past decade. Printed by the artist and his wife in limited rather than large editions, Baselitz’ prints have a personal quality and are frequently enlivened with variation and experiment. It has been noted that his prints of the early 1980s “were often informed by Baselitz’ activities as a sculptor, which began in 1980. His attack of the wood or linoleum block (sometimes even with a chain saw) is similar to the aggressive manner in which his wooden sculptures were brought to form.” The woodblock surface of Lesender Mann was gouged by a power tool, the effect of which can be seen as regularized skips in some of the white areas. The sculptural qualities of creating a woodcut are apparent when looking at this print, especially the brutality of cutting into the block and the tactile aspects of printing it. Even the expressive overpainting emphasizes the print’s physicality.

This significant work will begin to fill a major gap in our collection. Lesender Mann is not only the first work by Baselitz to be offered for the Gallery’s collection of prints and drawings, it is also one of the first by any of the major contemporary European neo-expressionists.

Charles M. Ritchie

NOTES
2. Lloyd 1988, 90.
FELIX DROESE
Born 1950

_Glocke_, 1982
Three unique impressions of a woodcut
9⅛ x 15⅜ (23.8 x 39.1)
13⅞ x 16⅝ (34.6 x 41)
10⅞ x 17 (26.1 x 43.2)

Gift of Wolfgang Wittrock

Broken planks, wood ravaged and eroded by nature, wrappers from consumer goods, and other discarded entities inspire the artistic impulses of Felix Droese. It has been noted that the artist's "stigmatized" supporting materials conform exactly with the themes of his works, which are concerned with such social questions as freedom and responsibility, suffering and redemption, and reflect the ethical position of the artist.1 Droese's art transforms these substances into powerful statements about the forces that control our lives and poses questions about the role of the artist in contemporary society.

The three present works, each entitled _Glocke_ (bell), are from a series of impressions made from the same block. Small, nearly triangular in shape, the matrix itself is not unlike the flaring shape of a bell. In the image, a mysterious black figure with featureless head confronts a bell-shaped form, which displaces it toward the left side of the composition. The bell, one of the artist's most important themes, evokes a variety of interpretations: as "warnings of disaster," a call for "freedom," and a caution to a "world ruled by reason."2

Each sheet responds to the image in a different way. One version on wrapping paper is traversed laterally by bands of red hearts. Its two pinked edges and numerous folds attest to its previous life as packaging. The hearts are both a cliché from popular culture and an ageless symbol. One wonders if the artist scorns their superficiality or endorses their sentiment, or both? Irony is fundamental in the contrast between the cheery background and enigmatic image. It is interesting to note how the vibrant hearts generate energy, much like waves of sound from a bell.

Another version is printed on an unfolded package of organically grown rye flour. Green labeling shows through from the verso, and torn edges remain along the bottom edge of the folded sheet, on which the image is printed twice. The choice of this support reflects not only the artist's environmental consciousness, but also evokes his concern that forces directing our food supply are insensitive to the individual.

The third version on deep purple is actually the verso of a book cover. The image is printed twice, and the overprintings interact in an interesting manner. Note too how the subtle orange rectangle on the right half adds a stimulating color contrast. The verso of the image is printed with "East-West Painting Poems by Sheila Isham," a title that reminds us of the artist's global and political concerns. In each print the artist has shifted the context of the printed image, forcing us to consider essential themes such as love and physical and intellectual nourishment.

Droese's expressive, bladelike line often assumes the quality of silhouette. Indeed, a group of scissor-cut images drew acclaim for the artist in the early 1980s, and he has also created larger sculpture that sustains these traits. The artist's sensitivity to the materials he chooses is clearly seen in the _Glocke_ prints.

Droese's approach to woodcut technique recalls many aspects of his German predecessors in the Brücke. He prefers to print using only the most primitive methods. Note the inscription "handgedruckt" (printed by hand) on two of the prints, denoting his absorption with the unassisted act of printing the image. This method sustains immediacy and cultivates irregularities that are so important to his process. Printing his works in very small editions, the artist also explores a wide range of printing surfaces: maps, newspaper pages, and other extraordinary supports. Droese studied with Joseph Beuys, whose political and social activism has inspired many contemporary German artists. In the spirit of Beuys, Droese finds the artist's role as a manufacturer of goods for middle-class society problematic. He attempts to subvert the system by adopting the orphans of our culture, transforming them into desirables, and placing them in the hands of the collector. Much like the phoenix rising from the flames, the magical is born of ashes and engages us with its thorny beauty. Metaphorically, these three prints are small bells ringing us awake to the failures of our consumer culture and the threat of political darkness in the late twentieth century.

Charles M. Ritchie

NOTES
2. For a discussion of the importance of the bell motif in Droese's work, see Bardon 1986, 159.
The Apocalypse: The Revelation of Saint John the Divine is the earliest of three volumes with images by Jim Dine that have been published by Andrew Hoyem's Arion Press in San Francisco.1 The story of the Apocalypse has been a source of inspiration for many painters and printmakers, notable examples being Albrecht Dürer and William Blake. Thus it is not surprising that an artist with so strong a sense of art history as Jim Dine would tackle the complexities of the theme. Dine’s immediate inspiration, however, was his reading of Unforgettable Fire: Pictures Drawn by Atomic Bomb Survivors.2

Dine’s Apocalypse opens with a full-page frontispiece self-portrait entitled “The Artist as Narrator.” The balance of the woodcuts, varied in size and shape and individually titled, are spaced throughout the volume’s twenty-two chapters. Many images long associated with Dine’s art make close connections with the text: tools (“Pruning Shears”); bathrobes (“Robes Were Given Unto Every One”); hearts (“The Voice of the Bridegroom and of the Bride”); trees (“The Two Olive Trees”); gates (“Every Several Gate was of One Pearl”).3 In addition, images that have since been used frequently as part of Dine’s vocabulary made an early appearance here, for example hands and skulls. Many of the woodcuts seem specific to this text, however, such as “That Old Serpent, Called the Devil,” “I Saw Another Angel,” and “Behold a White Horse.”

Dine carved his images into oak. His masterful control of the woodcut is evident throughout the volume. Using both hand tools and the power tools that he wields with great authority, the artist has provided us with a virtual dictionary of woodcut marks, from the finest, most delicate of lines through complex and varied textures to broadly worked shapes that are refined with incisive clarity. The role of the grain of uncarved expanses of wood varies from print to print, sometimes serving as a broad textured tonal area, other times providing a quiet activity among many dynamically carved surfaces.

Beginning with the artist’s own vivid likeness, each of Dine’s compositions is characterized by a striking interplay of dramatic black and white contrasts. Sometimes darkness prevails, sometimes blinding light. In a number of prints volumetric form and qualities of light are emphasized; in others one responds first to the drama of an event or situation; or the qualities of atmosphere, of smoke, of fire become paramount. Some images handsomely demonstrate the economy with which Dine is able to convey a form, whereas in others the accumulative vigor of Dine’s extraordinary ability to conflate many ways of working takes precedence. In several instances two images of similar subjects—hands, bathrobes, trees—fill a double-page spread, inviting comparisons between their structure, their emotional impact, their facture.

The Apocalypse is recorded on French handmade paper from the Richard de Bas Mill, with every page a pleasure to touch. The text, from the 1611 King James Bible, is set in several typefaces—Monotype Garamond Bold, Hadriano, and Stempel Garamond Titling. The pages are bound in oak veneer plywood boards stained with an image of a lightning bolt; the spine is white pigskin.

This is the first book with Jim Dine’s prints to enter the Gallery’s collection. It is also one of our first volumes printed and published by Arion Press, one of the most admired fine printers in America. Thus it makes a doubly important addition to our representation of modern illustrated books.

Ruth E. Fine

PROVENANCE


NOTES

1. The Apocalypse: The Revelation of Saint John the Divine was printed in an edition of 150 (of which this is number 36) plus 15 copies hors commerce. In the current catalogue raisonné of Jim Dine’s prints, Ellen G. D’Oench and Jean E. Feinberg, Jim Dine: Prints, 1977–1985 (New York, 1986), The Apocalypse is listed as no. 141. Dine’s other two Arion Press books are The Temple of Flora (D’Oench and Fineberg 1986, 177), with twenty-nine prints incorporating drypoint and engraving and poetry by John Ashbery, Hart Crane, Kenneth Rexroth, among others (1984) and, most recently, Biotherm, with offset lithographs accompanying the last poem of Frank O’Hara (1990).

2. See D’Oench and Fineberg 1986, 128.

3. On these themes see Graham W. J. Beal and others, Jim Dine: Five Themes [exh. cat. Walker Art Center] (Minneapolis and New York, 1984).
THE REVELATION
OF
SAINT JOHN THE DIVINE
THE LAST BOOK OF THE NEW TESTAMENT
FROM THE KING JAMES VERSION OF THE BIBLE, 1611,
WITH TWENTY-NINE PRINTS FROM WOODBLOCKS CUT BY
JIM DINE
PRINTED AND PUBLISHED IN 1965 BY
THE ARION PRESS
SAN FRANCISCO
EDWARD RUSCHA
Born 1937

*I Think I'll . . .*, 1983
Oil on canvas
53 3/4 x 63 3/4 (136.53 x 161.93)
Gift (Partial and Promised) of Marcia S. Weisman

Edward Ruscha was born in 1937 in Omaha, Nebraska, and raised in Oklahoma City. After high school he moved to Los Angeles where from 1956 to 1960 he attended Chouinard Art Institute, a school known then as a training ground for Disney illustrators and animators. He majored in painting and also took courses in commercial art. While a student Ruscha worked as a printer’s devil, setting type by hand, pulling proofs, and cleaning presses, and also in a mail order gift house that personalized toys by enameling children’s names on them in showcard lettering. Ruscha’s interest in using words, as visually important elements and wry, quizzy carriers of meaning, dates back to his paintings and collages of the very early 1960s.

Although regarded from almost the beginning as a leader of West Coast Pop art, Ruscha has found that label narrow. While his paintings (as well as his drawings, prints, and humorous, narratively charged printed books of photographs) have involved incorporating elements of quotidian popular culture in the form of images and words, his work has often achieved its impact from the kinds of odd juxtapositions found in the surrealism of an artist such as Magritte. Ruscha’s work often apposes the sweeping scale and magnificence of nature in America with manifestations of our conspicuously manufactured products. Both categories are rendered with meticulous craftsmanship, as if to make us consider carefully what it means to live in a culture that may equalize the value of a gasoline station and a subtly modulated sky. Ruscha’s craftsmanship may also be thought of in the wake of the American precisionists of the years between the world wars. The flawless finish that characterizes precisionist art, whether the stretched perspectives and sharply angled industrial landscapes of Charles Sheeler or the graphic signs atop Charles Demuth’s urban scenes, appear to be distant ancestors of Ruscha’s words in the sky and views from the freeway.

The 1983 oil *I Think I’ll . . .* is the first painting by Ruscha to enter the Gallery’s collection. It joins three drawings and forty-one lithographs and screenprints dating from 1963 to 1982. The painting is one of a group from 1983 in which words with an ambiguous message float on red skies suggesting smog-filled sunsets over Los Angeles. The upper-case lettering resembles the sans serif type style Helvetica, except that straight edges have been set at angles where curves normally would be, as on the Bs and Ts. By combining graphic lettering associated with the flat surface of the printed page and an illusionistically rendered sky that describes infinite depth, Ruscha has created a lush, highly witty sight gag about a classic issue of modernist painting: calling attention to the surface of the work, its twodimensionality, more than to the capacity of painting to engender an illusion of three dimensions behind the surface. This issue has concerned Ruscha often during his career. The step-down drift of the larger letters in the painting (“I THINK MAYBE I’LL . . .”) from the upper left to the lower right of the canvas rectangle is reminiscent of the way Ruscha bisected the canvas diagonally, leaving the upper triangle as empty sky, in much earlier compositions involving Standard gasoline stations, the 20th Century Fox trademark, or the Los Angeles County Museum in flames. The fiery color of the sky in *I Think I’ll . . .*, combined with the indecisive nature of the message, create an ominous mood that links this work directly to another of the 1983 red-sky paintings, in which the message reads “A CERTAIN FORM OF HELL.”

Nan Rosenthal

PROVENANCE
From the artist to the donor.
MAYBE...YES...

WAIT A MINUTE...I...

ON SECOND THOUGHT,

MAYBE...

I'LL...

MAYBE...NO...

YET...
Untitled is from a group of paintings on paper that Helen Frankenthaler made in Connecticut during a very productive August and September of 1983. Annotated 10 August, Untitled is one of at least two works painted that day. While high-key colors are often associated with Frankenthaler’s art, she has also explored a more somber palette throughout her career and especially in the 1980s. This aspect of Untitled may be associated with several paintings on canvas, among them Brother Angel, 1983, and Halley’s Comet, 1985. Fascinating is the fact that these earth-toned paintings have titles suggestive of heavenly themes.

The seminal importance of Helen Frankenthaler’s work has been acclaimed since the early 1950s. Her Mountains and Sea, 1953, referred to at the time as a “bridge between Pollock and what was possible,” continues to evoke extraordinary interest and praise. Worked from memories of the Nova Scotia landscape, Frankenthaler said, “I knew the landscapes were in my arms as I did it.”

John Elderfield has responded to Frankenthaler’s words by pointing out that “in my arms . . . is not a casual figure of speech: deriving not only from landscape but from the experience of painting landscape, the picture releases stored knowledge and memories of that experience in its physical creation.”

This release of stored memories as an aspect of physical creation is an important factor in Frankenthaler’s art; and one of her major concerns has been to explore the expressive power of the painterly touch. On canvas and on paper she has expanded and refined her intensely personal exploration of the nature of her medium. In each work she creates a unique visual space, an atmosphere, a mood, the layers and details of which are uncovered in stages as one looks closely.

Frankenthaler often employs beautifully colored handmade papers, and Untitled is painted on a tan sheet visible around the upper left corner. It provides a foil for the dominant, pulsating brown that shifts in space. Puddles and pools, splashes and strokes create a shimmering arena for the artist’s bold touches of color and of gold. Some areas emerge from the distance, float forward, whereas others feel as if they are spreading across the surface as we watch.

Frankenthaler’s lush, fluid brown and golden washes are enhanced by clear delineation of edges within them, by the brilliant red hovering to the right of center, the smoky white that modifies it, and the strongly felt white shapes that jump across the lower edge just above the dryly brushed dark brown stroke. There is a significant shift in “feel” when moving from these washed and brushed markings to Frankenthaler’s colorful “clumps,” to use Elderfield’s word for the artist’s touches of thickly applied paint. These dabs of subtle, metallic blues and greens function as accents, as turning points, as momentary anchors for the forms that attach themselves to them.

And then there is the daring use of gold. More visually insistent than the clumps of color, these dabs and strokes evoke heavenly bodies, fireworks, explosions of light, acting with the color touches as counterpoints to the earth tones throughout.

The National Gallery’s collection of works by Helen Frankenthaler includes one painting, Wales, 1966, two paintings on paper, and sixteen prints; primarily the gifts of The Woodward Foundation, other donors include Lessing J. Rosenwald and Louis J. Hector. This beautiful acrylic on paper, a generous gift from the artist, is an enormously important addition to our representation of her work.

Ruth E. Fine

NOTES
2. In Elderfield 1989, these are reproduced respectively on 340 and 360.
3. The frequently quoted statement by Morris Louis after seeing Mountains and Sea in 1953 can be found in Elderfield 1989, 65.
6. The artist’s assistant, Maureen St. Onge, suggested relationships between this Untitled painting on paper and Grey Fireworks, an acrylic on canvas of 1982, reproduced in Elderfield 1989, 329.
JÖRG IMMENDORFF
Born 1945

Café Deutschland gut: folgen, 1983
Linocut with painted additions
70% x 90% (180 x 230)
Joshua P. Smith Collection

Jörg Immendorff has stated that “art is the only way for me to clarify my political viewpoint.”1 Intending his art as a catalyst for political and social change, the artist presents allegorical subjects as a way of commenting on contemporary issues. This activism is an extension of the artistic approach of Joseph Beuys, an early influence on Immendorff and a leader of an artistic revolution that began in Germany in the 1960s. Expanding upon Beuys’ declaration that art is a powerful instrument and life force, Immendorff and others such as Anselm Kiefer and Markus Lüpertz have sounded it as a clarion call for a troubled Germany and an ideologically divided world.

Folgen (consequences) is from a series of ten linocuts entitled “Café Deutschland gut,” all printed from the same matrix but with variations in color scheme. The subject is an imaginary bar based on the Rattinger Hof, a Düsseldorf gathering place. Created by Immendorff as a stage on which to dramatize symbolic events, it involves famous characters from history, writers, notables from popular culture, revolutionaries, spies, and other political figures. All are depicted in a style and color reminiscent of comics. Immendorff himself can be seen at center, poking his head through a crumpled image of Chairman Mao. Above him is a helmeted German soldier, a specter from the past who looks away from a blue mirrored column. Just to the left is a rather unstable-looking Brandenburg Gate and at the far right is a tumbling horse, part of the Roman Victory that once topped the gate. On the left side of the composition is a broken eagle, a symbol for the West German state. The top of the round table in the foreground has a scrambled red swastika-like insignia, while the broken arms of black swastikas fall from above. A yellow oval glares with an interrogation-room-like light, with figures such as Marx, Stalin, and Lenin in attendance.3

Although it is smaller and a reverse image, folgen is a transcription of a 1982 painting entitled Café Deutschland XII / Adlerhälfte. Immendorff’s work is in the tradition of history painting, using grand scale as a way of inviting the viewer to participate in the events depicted. While folgen does this, its fractured arrangement is less than accessible. The frenetic energy of color and line create a tension thatinvokes a feeling of threat and claustrophobia, undermining certain narrative qualities. In addition, the hand-painted red background adds a fiery undercurrent to the chaotic action formed in shadowy brownish black.

The linocut has sometimes been neglected in the fine arts, perhaps because of its image as a crafts process, the industrial nature of the material, and its characteristic requirement for simplification of line. The technique and its populist associations would seem to be an obvious printmaking choice for Immendorff. Linoleum is easy to cut and allows the artist to work quickly on a large, relatively lightweight surface. Further, it does not require a press but can be printed by hand using a spoon to rub the back of paper while in contact with the matrix. This aspect has been particularly useful to Immendorff who has chosen to print smaller sections of this image as distinct new compositions.

A work of monumental power and scope, folgen is the first work by Immendorff to enter the National Gallery’s collection. It represents a primary theme by this important artist and provides a cornerstone from which our collection of his art can be developed.

Charles M. Ritchie

PROVENANCE
Maximilian Verlag-Sabine Knust, Munich.

NOTES
2. Ulrich Krempel, Café Deutschland gut (Düsseldorf, 1983), n.p.
VIJA CELMINS
Born 1939

Concentric Bearings, A, 1983-1985
Drypoint, aquatint, photogravure, and burnishing
Two plates:
(left) 8½ x 5½ (20.5 x 13.5)
(right) 9½ x 7 (24.0 x 17.7)

Gift of Gemini G.E.L. and the Artist

Concentric Bearings, A is the first in a series of four mixed intaglio prints titled Concentric Bearings that was printed by Vija Celmins at the Gemini G.E.L. workshop in Los Angeles. The series consists of four images on individual plates of various sizes that have been aligned in different combinations and printed on large sheets of paper. Two of these images, a galaxy field and Marcel Duchamp's Rotary Glass Plates [Precision Optics], appear in Concentric Bearings, A. Two more images can be seen in the other prints in the series: a smaller version of a galaxy field and a monoplane in flight.

In 1968 Celmins abandoned her earlier paintings and drawings of large-scale everyday objects and began graphite drawings of the ocean surface seen close-up on a shallow plane. This new investigation occupied her almost exclusively for five years, after which she expanded her subjects to include the desert floor, the lunar surface, and the galaxy. By the early 1970s these themes were carried over to the print medium. Celmins created many variations on these motifs, often executing them in the same format but always looking at them with slightly different sensibilities.

The source for her image of the star cluster in Concentric Bearings, A is a photograph taken by a NASA observatory. Celmins has meticulously redrawn the image on the plate and further worked it with aquatint and drypoint. The Duchamp motorized machine is also taken from a photograph that she transferred to the plate by photogravure and then reworked with drypoint, aquatint, and burnishing. Celmins does not intend to imitate the texture and character of the photographs she employs, but rather reinterprets these images into a surface that is true to whatever graphic medium she is using. The results provide sensuous and tangible surfaces that are physically pleasing and never wearisome to the eye, through which the artist hopes the viewer will reexperience a familiar sight, for example the dark starry heavens. Celmins explains, “You really have to quiet yourself to see how physical they are.”

It is this physical quality and the art of picture making that she finds challenging.

Celmins’ pairing of the constellation with the image of Duchamp’s machine makes for an exceptionally beautiful print. Besides being aesthetically pleasing, Concentric Bearing, A, along with the entire series, is Celmins’ witty commentary on perception. Both images address similar concerns with the optical illusion of space and motion, but ironically one is man-made and the other made by nature.

The National Gallery owns ten prints by Celmins. The earliest is a lithograph of an ocean view that she printed at the Tamarind Lithography Workshop, Inc., in 1970 and was a gift to the Gallery from Dorothy J. and Benjamin B. Smith. In 1986 the Gallery acquired Celmins’ largest print of a galaxy view from Mr. and Mrs. Roger P. Sonnabend. Since then the Gallery’s Celmins holdings have continued to expand, thanks to the generous gifts in 1988 and 1989 from Gemini G.E.L. and the artist. Because of their continuous support the Gallery’s series of Concentric Bearings is now complete.

Carlotta J. Owens

NOTES
1. Duchamp made his motorized device in 1920 to demonstrate optical illusion. It consists of five rectangular glass plates of graduated lengths attached to a metal rod. When the rod is turned the black lines painted on the ends of the plates appear as a continuous circle.
Jim Dine first attained recognition in the early 1960s as a kind of “Pop-assemblagist,” an artist who, instead of depicting or re-making the objects of popular culture, brought the objects themselves into his works. During the later 1960s and throughout the 1970s Dine continued to incorporate various objects into his paintings at the same time that his painterly technique became ever more lush and complex. In these years Dine’s work increasingly lost its “Pop” edge. His iconography grew more personal, and the objects he incorporated into his works became linked to a private world of memory and association.

Much of Dine’s work, it has been noted, revolves around five themes: tools, robes, hearts, trees, and gates. Gates are the most recent of these themes to enter the artist’s oeuvre, introduced in 1981. Dine’s immediate inspiration for the theme came from the nineteenth-century iron gate outside the house and studio of the French master printer Aldo Crommelynck, with whom Dine worked over a period of many years. He first addressed the gate in paintings and drawings, but in 1983 it inspired the creation of a monumental bronze sculpture, The Crommelynck Gate with Tools.

To make The Crommelynck Gate with Tools, Dine employed the technique of direct casting. Casts of tools were taken in wax, then heated and reshaped before being cast in bronze. The bronze casts were then welded to the frame of the gate. Also affixed to either side of the gate were direct casts of two tree branches. The themes of tools and trees were thus explicitly incorporated into The Crommelynck Gate with Tools, as were, implicitly in the curving tendrils of the frame, the themes of hearts and robes.

The ability of the gate to incorporate a number of separate themes is all the more evident in Dine’s The Gate, Goodbye Vermont, 1985. Here Dine returned to the technique of straightforward assemblage. The work is constructed from actual tools, pieces of scrap steel, and tree branches, all welded and bolted into place. There is a reminiscence of The Crommelynck Gate with Tools, especially in the arabesque of tracery at top, but in most other specifics The Gate, Goodbye Vermont differs from its predecessor. Most important, the tools in The Gate, Goodbye Vermont, rather than appearing as appendages to a structure, participate in defining the structure of the work. Moreover, the heart theme, implicitly stated in the earlier sculpture, is made prominent in The Gate, Goodbye Vermont by the inclusion of a large, painted metal heart.

The Gate, Goodbye Vermont is at once aggressive and intensely personal. The tools, many sharp or pointed, stick out from the sculpture at all angles. At the same time these objects, made to be held and used, seem to proffer themselves to our hands. Working with his son Nicholas and his son’s friend John Labine, Dine made The Gate, Goodbye Vermont shortly before he moved to Connecticut from the Vermont home where he had lived since 1971. There is an elegiac quality to The Gate, Goodbye Vermont, a sense of tools being put away for the last time, tools transformed from objects of work into a work of memory and art.

Jim Dine regards The Gate, Goodbye Vermont as one of his most important works. At the National Gallery it joins a number of the artist’s prints, drawings, and sculpture in multiple editions. A monumental work, The Gate, Goodbye Vermont will serve as a virtual cornerstone to the Gallery’s Dine collection, summing up the artist’s past and pointing toward his future.

Jeremy Strick

PROVENANCE
From the artist via Pace Gallery to the Fisher collection.

NOTES
4. Conversation with the artist, 15 October 1990.
First known in the late 1960s for his vast earth sculptures in the Mohave Desert, Michael Heizer has also completed major works for public sites including This Equals That (1980) for the State Capitol complex in Lansing, Michigan, and his Effigy Tumuli (1983–1985) at Buffalo Rock State Park, along the Illinois River south of Chicago; site-specific pieces privately commissioned; and a significant body of paintings, drawings, and prints. Heizer has worked in printmaking intermittently, approaching each project with the same sense of exploration that spurs his massive sculptures.

The artist's first prints were made at Gemini G.E.L. in 1975, and he since has worked in a variety of print media including etching, screenprint, and monoprint. In addition, printed elements play a significant role in his complex drawings, often functioning as a base from which he further develops his images using spray paint, Paintstik, and other media.

Platform #2 is one of three prints in the Platform series, published together in 1985, that feature similar configurations: a rectangular central section divided into four long bands, two of them further divided into smaller rectangular units; and four panels separated from but enclosing the central section. Within these outer panels are divisions bearing a variety of relationships to those in the central section. In some instances the divisions on these four surrounding panels continue the internal sectioning; in others they set up new systems.

Whereas the general configuration of the three Platform prints is quite similar, their surfaces are varied. For Platform #1 the aluminum plates were etched to achieve distinctive, textural effects. The plates for both Platform #2 and Platform #3, by contrast, feature “natural” or “found” drypoint markings, resulting from the ravages of time and activity, reflecting two operations — scratching and corrosion. They are similar to the plates used for Heizer's series of six Scrap Metal Drypoints, published by Gemini in 1979. Platform #2 is especially rich, with pale overall surface articulation set in contrast to darker indentations.

Heizer's art is rooted in a far-ranging curiosity, coordinating archaeology, anthropology, folklore, and myth as well as art and architecture of many cultures: a wedding of his explorations of materials and processes and his respect for cultural history. The idea for the Platform prints, for example, stems from the artist's interest in Meso-American sacrificial platforms, often thirty feet square and standing some four feet high. Steps on all four sides allow access to and egress from the top. Heizer's exploration of his subject suggests the format of an engineering drawing, with the four sides flattened for visibility on a single plane.

The aluminum plates used for the Platform series were somewhat thicker than standard etching plates, and each individual segment of the sections was actually a separate piece of metal, cut and roughly beveled by Heizer at his Nevada studio before being brought to the Los Angeles workshop for printing. To hold these slabs to their desired configuration for printing, the plates were mounted on thin sheets of metal to form the five units.

Monumentality is virtually always an issue in Heizer's work, and the format of this idea was larger than the Gemini presses could accommodate at that time. To achieve the scale of his intentions, each of the five sections used for Platform #2 was printed as a separate element, and then collaged together onto a single large sheet of paper.

Platform #2 joins twenty-one prints by Michael Heizer in the Gallery's collection, all of them part of our Gemini G.E.L. Archive.

Ruth E. Fine

NOTES
3. Heizer's father, Robert Heizer, was an anthropologist, with whom he traveled to Mexico, Bolivia, Peru, and Egypt. These travels provided the roots for the artist's broad cultural awareness.
4. Telephone conversation with the artist, 13 December 1990.
5. The edition of Platform #2 is five, plus six proofs including a color variant.
RICHARD DIEBENKORN
Born 1922

Trip on the Ground, 1984–1985
Lithograph
37 x 25 (94 x 63.5)
Gift of Gemini G.E.L. and the Artist

Richard Diebenkorn’s artistic journey has crossed and recrossed the territories of realism and abstraction in his search for balance and harmony. Full of surprise, growth, tension, doubt, and joyous arrival, his work is a metaphor for life.

Trip on the Ground is the result of an investigation in lithography for the artist at Gemini. Related to the Ocean Park series, which he began in 1967 and which continues to this day, the print is an exquisite tribute to space and light. Inspired by the ambiance of the suburb of Santa Monica where he lives and works, Diebenkorn creates engaging visual equivalents not only for the “pearly moistness of the American West Coast” but also for “the experiences he has known as a painter.”

Trip on the Ground is a temporal and spatial journey. Consider the linear expeditions in this work: at times thin and sprightly, at other times coarse and meandering, at still others broken and halting, and at yet others thick and unyielding. All of these variations imply the artist’s tactile engagement with his materials and compose a log of “events,” as the artist has termed them. Their combination also forms the bones of vectors and planes that structure the viewer’s journey into the rectangle. Three strong parallel diagonals lean back toward the right side of the composition, calling to mind spatial recession in oriental art. The path of the leftmost diagonal seems to disappear behind a plane that dominates the center of the image. This plane appears to hide the line from vision while its two counterparts demand that the line be supplied in the imagination. Another avenue moves downward with tumbling chevrons, their points leading to the baseline and also serving as reminders of the corners of image and sheet. Note too the ladder of horizontals that invites one to climb to the edges of the image and into the implied space beyond its boundaries, a space in the viewer’s mind.

Consider as well the journey into light and atmosphere. Printed in two colors, a light gray over a deep blue-black, the print has a scrubbed gray patina. Featherly clouds of gray stretch in a veil over the dark background, obscuring yet revealing intricate details of some of the enigmatic hidden spaces. Indeed, the dark background is pulled forward by the similarly colored lines that act as a network across the frontal plane. Pentimenti are seen in several delicate scratches that skin the face of the picture. Like the spatters, dots, and fingerprints that liberate the image from its boundaries, they trace the history of the artist’s search. While Diebenkorn is well known for his splendid color sense, this work in grisaille shows the artist’s ability to exploit the most restrictive palette. The charged unity of the whole, the vigorous interaction of drawn lines and fluid tones, provide the dynamic energy to propel one through this work.

The artist’s figurative art has informed abstract images such as Trip on the Ground and the Ocean Park series. During the late fifties and early sixties when abstract painting “was a religion,” he moved instead, against the current, into representation out of a need to experience the tradition of modern figurations and to explore the permissions and insights of Matisse and Bonnard. Through disciplined study he learned to evoke space and light, balance form, and compose his art in terms of essences. These vocabularies of description have provided material against which the artist measures his painterly experiences. Throughout the artist’s career the swings of the pendulum between the two approaches have occurred at critical points affording him fresh possibilities for investigation.

Trip on the Ground is an inviting passage, like a small window or a washed sidewalk, that leads through a delightful landscape of the mind.

Charles M. Ritchie

NOTES
3. Richard Diebenkorn as quoted in Gruen 1988, 82.
At first sight *Untitled* may baffle most viewers. Odd words seem to confuse a simple picture; alien things interrupt a familiar world. But this stems from Lothar Baumgarten’s desire to engage no less than one of western civilization’s driving forces: the urge to find and possess realms outside itself. “Though trapped in my Western thought patterns,” the artist wrote in 1987, “I have always been interested in the ‘other.’”¹ South American natives are Baumgarten’s most recurrent “others.” During 1978–1980 he lived with a Venezuelan tribe. Their environment and culture populate his art, which, in the tradition begun by Joseph Beuys (Baumgarten followed Richter and Pollock at the Düsseldorf Kunstakademie), knits emblems—text, photographs, material things—into a network with numerous links but no conventional center.

To study the elements in this composition is to be reminded of Baumgarten’s admiration for the tableaux of de Chirico and Italian *pittura metafisica* compositions in general, whose narratives lurk just beyond our grasp. Banana leaves make a matted background, reminders of the fruit that gives many people their best and perhaps only contact with South America. Among the leaves are fish skeletons alluding to a companion print entitled *Shniprabowe*, in which an Indian with hunting arrows sails in a canoe through the water. Names of Venezuelan rivers overprint *Untitled*. It cannot be a coincidence that Baumgarten has deployed the pollution of the continent, including its waterways.² Straightforward politics like those that sparked Beuys’ actions or the didactic statements alongside the pictures by his fellow German Hans Haacke are not, however, Baumgarten’s agenda. His is a subtler path. Hence the background tapestry in *Untitled* becomes more disconcerting the longer one studies it. The bones sprout as if they were flowers while the viewing angle feels wrong, either too high or close. In fact a critic has noted how the images are consistently cropped, making us aware “of the constraints to our vision.”³ A commonplace of intellectual currency from the late 1970s onward considers the human gaze as an act of possession. Filtering the design through the horizontal canes and, lastly, the screen of words, Baumgarten casts the viewer as transgressor: except for the fact that these tribal names, stamped in colors akin to the natives’ own orange-red body paint, stand firm in their alien tongue.

*Untitled’s* hierarchic zones, from botanic (leaves) via zoological (skeletons) to linguistic (names), surely draw upon the French anthropologist Claude Lévi-Strauss who traced such structurings beneath purportedly “savage” cultures.⁴ Baumgarten’s use of native words contrasts strikingly with the process by which earlier colonists appropriated the continent in naming it after European sites. “Venezuela” for example means “little Venice.”⁵ In *Untitled*, as in Baumgarten’s museum installations and books, the true tribal names such as *Tootoowe* and *Itahi* are literally and metaphorically of the first order (note how the screenprint stencil even raises their hues up slightly from the surface of the paper). Behind lie monochrome memories.

Baumgarten once envisaged artworks that might be “dematerialized, planted into consciousness, they would exist solely in the imagination and might survive unattenuished.”⁶ This daring conceptual slant means that *Untitled* opens a new chapter in the National Gallery’s coverage of recent printmaking.

David Anfam
JONATHAN BOROFSKY
Born 1938

Berlin Dream with Steel Window Frame
at 2,978,899, 1986
Lithograph with Herculene, plexiglas
and metal frame, prismacolor, painting
33\%/x 41\%/ (86.0 x 106.1)
Gift of Gemini G.E.L. and the Artist

With seriousness and wit, Jonathan Borofsky's art probes the psychological, political, and social turbulence of our times. The chatter of the inner mind and the restless drama of the outer world are scrutinized equally with a seemingly childlike directness. Much like the dada artists of the early twentieth century who tried to destroy the boundary between life and art, Borofsky uses the exhibition space as metaphor for the world, challenging the viewer to confront a wide range of often-difficult and sensitive issues. His one-man shows are carnivals of paintings, drawings, and sculpture that are unconventionally displayed and frequently animated with mechanical, video, and recorded sound elements that interact with other exhibition objects and the viewer.

Berlin Dream with Steel Window Frame consists of a dark steel frame with window bars, which encases a depiction of a strange doglike creature carrying away a bird from a furrowed field covered with birds. The creature's ears are alert and it seems to hesitate as if being accosted by an unknown predator. The endless regiment of birds that recedes out of the picture plane lends a feeling of doom that subverts the playful quality of the drawing. Deep and boxlike, the frame is an oppressive container that contrasts with the smooth, frosty image it contains. The overall static quality of the work is relieved by the diagonals of the furrows and the warped sweep of the horizontal window bar.

Borofsky frequently uses his dreams as subjects for his art. Although they are always self-referential, he tends to select those that he feels will have universal meaning. The artist has noted, "I had a dream when I was living and working in West Berlin—near the Berlin Wall. I dreamed a dog forced its way into a garden of birds because the fence was broken. It picked up one of the birds in its mouth. Later, when I was awake, the memory of this dream fragment led me to thoughts about freedom and aggression, fear of 'the enemy' and the need for personal space." 1

It has been noted that in this subject "the extinguished lives of the birds symbolize for Borofsky the lives of the East Germans, who were themselves unable to flee." 2

Borofsky's work matured in the late sixties and early seventies and some of the predominating conceptual themes from that period are evident in his work: art as a form of theater, as a means of documenting experiences, and as a means of communicating ideas. The number painted at the lower right of the frame of the present work is a thread from one of Borofsky's most important conceptual experiments. In 1969, during a period when he rejected making "things," he began counting from one to infinity on sheets of eight-and-a-half- by eleven-inch paper. For several years he worked continuously, drifting into variations that eventually became the doodles that led the artist back to image-making. 3 His counting has remained an ongoing project, however, and he assigns the next consecutive number to each new piece.

Borofsky often recycles his imagery to find fresh nuances of meaning in new materials. Berlin Dream with Steel Window Frame at 2,978,899 is a lithograph based on a 1983 charcoal drawing on paper. The subject has been drawn, painted, projected, traced onto exhibition walls, and even reproduced as a lamp. The National Gallery has three variations in its collection. Two are different colored versions in photogravure and the other presents the image as a sheet of stamps on photographic paper. Part print, part sculpture, this gift is a major addition to our holdings of this important theme by the artist. In addition to the three previously mentioned works, there are currently nineteen prints and edition sculptures of various subjects by Borofsky in the collection, all of which were published by Gemini G.E.L. All but two were gifts of Gemini and the artist. The other two were the first to enter the collection and were donated by Mr. and Mrs. Roger P. Sonnabend.

Charles M. Ritchie

NOTES
SUSAN ROTHENBERG
Born 1945

_Boneman_, 1986
Mezzotint
30 x 20 7/8 (76.2 x 52.9)
Gift of Gemini G.E.L. and the Artist

Susan Rothenberg is one of a number of contemporary artists who have reinvested subject matter with mystery. Her fragmented figures emerge from atmospheric voids as enigmatic symbols inviting interpretation. Developed organically out of webs of expressionist marks, her characters are products of improvisation and intuition rather than preconception. Interestingly, “while grouped with the Neo-Expressionists, Rothenberg’s expressionism is not concerned with raw extroverted emotionalism but rather with the more powerful inner emotional psychological state of her subject.”¹ This state is conveyed to the viewer in subtle, multilayered ways.

_In Boneman_, a profile figure sits in solitary blackness, drumming a wide, shimmering path of light out of the upper-right portion of the composition. It is as if the musician is conducting a beam of bones and sound into a field beyond the picture plane. The levitation of both figure and bones seems magical. Indeed, the viewer may be reminded of the artist and musician’s ancient role as shaman in society. Rothenberg’s figures frequently have the directness of primitive art. Similar to pictographic cave drawings, they act as elements of language featuring universal symbols such as bones, heads, hands, and horses as vocabulary. Rhythm and movement propel this work. Repetitive marks are the basis for the figure and its force field and are a natural characteristic of the wood grain’s pattern. Momentum is emphasized through a key harmonic element, the wedge shape. This acute angle is echoed throughout the composition; in the cropped corner at the upper right, in the beam of activity projecting from the figure, and in angles formed by the drumming legs and arms. Further, the wooden triangle acts as a dynamic force, an arrowhead that points the beam of energy beyond the boundaries of the image area. Interestingly, it also acts to unify the three distinct sections of the composition, like a small magnet attracting a similarly toned band across a field of darkness. Another unifying factor is the wood grain that traverses and gives structure to the dense black image area.

It has been noted that “Rothenberg’s prints are not directly derived from painting images. Her approach in each case is a spontaneous response to the specific materials—for example, the shape of a block of wood—as much as a development of her own visual themes.”² Thus the choice of medium and its specific qualities are critical to the artist’s creative process. The wood veneer paper on which _Boneman_ is printed is an inherently rich textural surface and its brown tonality suffuses the print with warmth. Further, mezzotint is a process that creates some of the richest blacks attainable in printmaking. To do this, the entire surface of the plate is pitted beforehand with tiny holes that become reservoirs for ink. The artist then burnishes or smooths areas to create highlights. The medium requires working from dark to light, and is highly suitable for coaxing a phantom figure from inky blackness as Rothenberg has done in this print.

_Boneman_ is an important acquisition for the Gallery because it reflects Rothenberg at her most technically and conceptually experimental and because it is beautiful, representative of her finest work.

_Charles M. Ritchie_

NOTES
ROBERT RAUSCHENBERG
Born 1925

Fifth Force, 1986
Bronze, Xerox transfer on silk, thread, shot
83\(\frac{1}{4}\) x 15 x 45 (211.5 x 38.1 x 114.3)
Gift of Graphicstudio, U.S.F.
and the Artist

Fifth Force is quintessential Rauschenberg, merging history and current events, seriousness and wit while combining diverse materials and techniques. The keystone of the piece is a cast bronze plaque that replicates part of a dictionary page featuring an image of the campanile of Pisa as the illustration for the word “tower”; the page is surrounded by a grid pattern. The plaque was cast from a photoengraved plate of a small collage Rauschenberg had created during the 1950s in which he mounted the page at an angle on a piece of graph paper so that the tower would appear straight, thereby “correcting” the tower's famous tilt.¹

On the white silk hanging below the plaque is a portrait of the Italian astronomer and physicist Galileo (1564–1642), applied to the fabric through the process of Xerox transfer. The portrait appears twice, doubled in a mirror image of itself, recalling the convex and concave lenses that form the telescope, the Dutch invention that Galileo perfected.

Legend has it that Galileo, who was born in Pisa, climbed to the top of the “leaning tower” and dropped cannonballs of differing weights in a public demonstration of his theory of motion. Galileo’s theories were once again brought to public notice in 1986, when two physicists reported that preliminary results from their experiments seemed to challenge Galileo’s findings, suggesting the existence of a fifth force in the universe, in addition to the four already known. An article that appeared in Time magazine about these experiments was the impetus for Rauschenberg’s Fifth Force, which evokes the centuries-old Galileo story in its combination of images, while its title suggests contemporary scientific investigation.² The frozen motion of the “cannonball” on the floor, tenuously reigned in by the delicate waxed thread that connects it to the fabric, seems to exert the force that “corrects” the tower’s angle.

The dialogue between disparate materials is at the heart of Rauschenberg’s art, as is clearly evident in Fifth Force. The hard, rigid, and reflective surface of the cast bronze plaque is counterbalanced by the free-flowing softness of the shimmering white silk, which gently gathers where it joins the bronze and then falls in sensuous soft folds that are projected outward by the apparent “pull” of the cannonball.

The added color on the plaque highlights the straightened tower, which has been given a rich purple tone complimented by an adjacent area of red, while the blues and greens at the bottom of the plaque provide a subtle transition to the similarly colored Xerox transfer. The color is not on the surface of the plaque, but rather fills the recesses in the same way ink is wiped onto an etching plate.

Fifth Force was executed at Graphicstudio in anticipation of an exhibition of Rauschenberg’s work in Italy in conjunction with the Rauschenberg Overseas Culture Interchange (ROCI) project.³ ROCI, announced by Rauschenberg at the United Nations in 1984, was established by the artist as a touring exhibition meant to promote world peace through the exchange of cultural information and the sharing of artistic ideas. ROCI will have traveled to ten international locations before its showing at the National Gallery of Art in the spring of 1991. The Italian venue, however, ultimately was not included on the tour.

Fabric has long had an important place among the varied materials used by Rauschenberg in the making of his art. Fifth Force is a welcome recent example, joining many other works in the National Gallery’s collection that feature this material. Among them are the Airport series, also produced at Graphicstudio, and the Hoarfrost Editions, published by Gemini G.E.L., both from the mid-1970s.

Mary Lee Corlett

NOTES
1. Information about the collage and the various processes used to produce the piece comes from Donald Saff, former director of Graphicstudio, in conversation with Ruth Fine, June 1990.
3. The sculpture was planned in an edition of twenty-five, eleven of these having been completed at this writing, along with five proofs.
Sam Francis has pursued printmaking throughout his career, completing more than six hundred etchings, lithographs, screenprints, and monoprints in both black and white and color. Indeed, his commitment to printmaking is such that since 1970 he has maintained his own print workshop, The Litho Shop, in Santa Monica, California. At the present time, two printers, one in etching and one in lithography, are part of the shop’s full-time staff.

Francis has worked intermittently at Gemini G.E.L. since 1971, and King Corpse, printed in fifteen runs, is one of a group of four screenprints and one lithograph they published in 1986. The screenprints were printed by Ron McPherson at his La Paloma workshop. King Corpse was issued in an edition of sixty-five plus forty proofs, fourteen of which are color trials.

Forms hovering, streaks darting, broad slabs mapping areas across the surface, Francis’ visual world is charged with activity. His layering of discrete, vivid areas of hue builds to an exuberant crescendo, the intensity of his colors achieved by using rich pigments that are dissolved in a wetting agent alone. This allows for maximum brilliance of hue, rather than having the color effects diluted by large quantities of binder. Moreover, Francis’ meticulous attention to color is reflected in the fact that he has many of his inks made to his personal specifications.

Layers of transparent and transluscent hues one on another enable him to achieve a pulsating density of color and to greatly expand the visual effect of his palette. In King Corpse pure reds, yellows, and oranges are juxtaposed with more muted greens, blues, and violets, presenting a field that is simultaneously brilliant and subtle.

Francis “like[s] to work on prints continuously, at a slow pace, where things change in a natural way.” His drawings on mylar for each individual color were transferred to the screens as the starting point for King Corpse; and the same mylars were used for the lithograph in this group. The lithograph, titled Beaudelaire, is printed entirely in variations of yellow, its impact very different from that of the multicolor screenprint. In approaching his work Francis drew each color layer with the certainty of how it would interact with all of the others, a reflection of his years of experience in printmaking. Reflected, too, is a sense of the artist’s great joy in the act of working.

Francis’ fluid markings—brushed, poured, splattered—establish an explosive rhythmic field of great visual richness. As King Corpse immediately makes clear, all of the freshness and spontaneity one associates with Francis’ paintings and unique works on paper is retained when he approaches his prints. Forms at once seem to grow out of the depths of the cosmos and hover on the surface of the sheet, suggesting infinite space and flatness constantly shifting in tense equilibrium.
SANDRO CHIA
Born 1946

Father and Son Song, 1987–1989
Woodcut with assemblage
85⅜ x 75⅜ x 4⅛
(217.8 x 192.0 x 10.7)
Gift of Graphicstudio, U.S.F. and the Artist

Sandro Chia is a member of the European vanguard who helped reestablish figuration as an essential medium of expression after the minimalist and conceptual emphasis of the 1970s. Borrowing from the great tradition of western art, particularly the themes and attitudes characteristic of the Renaissance, the vigorous dynamics of futurism, and the beefy figures of realist art of the 1930s and 1940s, Chia both assimilates and transforms his sources into vital, ambitious, and personal statements. Chia is well known for his innovative work in etching and other intaglio print processes. Father and Son Song is a splendid example of his ability to leap technical and conceptual boundaries in the woodcut medium as well.

As is characteristic of much of the artist’s work, Father and Son Song presents an obscure narrative in heroic scale unfolding on fields of exuberant color. The subject, a large male figure accompanied by a smaller one, is common in Chia’s mythology. Numerous works pertain to this theme. Indeed the artist often recycles not only themes but particular figures as well. Both the large reclining figure and the small climbing figure can be found in variations in other works by the artist and can be related to subjects in works by Michelangelo and Rodin. Despite clues in the title, the mysterious subject defies identification. Does the artist refer to the experience of raising a son? Is this a self-portrait with an oblique homage to the tradition of western painting? It has been suggested that Chia “offers the experience of puzzling over his work as a stand-in for the process of making sense of the world in which the painting is . . . immersed.” ¹

A game of formal relationships also invites contemplation. Each wooden shape that hovers about the edge of the composition relates directly to a printed area, much as if the original print matrices had been included in the frame. In matching each “puzzle” piece to each print area, the viewer begins to unravel the subtle internal harmonics beneath apparent cacophony. The title, then, may also be seen as an echo of the parent and offspring relationship that exists in the song of the matrix and its print. Even the two black clouds serve to stabilize and give contrasting texture to the work, the exceptionally rich surface of which is due to the sensitively printed woodgrain whose inherent beauty is further enhanced by its shifting directions.

This is the second work by Chia to enter the National Gallery’s collection. The first was Flowers Fight, which was also created at Graphicstudio and also explored the heliorelief process developed there. In this process a light-sensitive block of basswood is exposed through an ink drawing on translucent Mylar and is then cut by sandblasting, thus creating a bas-relief.

Chia masterfully discerns possibilities inherent in materials and processes while making his art, and his contributions have helped to make the recent period of printmaking rich in discovery. Father and Son Song is a fine example of the new scope of contemporary printmaking, a field that welcomes invention and blurs media distinctions.

Charles M. Ritchie

NOTE
JAMES ROSENQUIST
Born 1933

Welcome to the Water Planet, 1987
Aquatint
75% x 59% (191.9 x 151.2)
Gift of Graphicstudio, U.S.F.
and the Artist

Rosenquist's *Welcome to the Water Planet* is related to a series of works that includes a 1987 painting of the same name and composition and comprises other paintings and prints. “Water planet” refers to Earth, the only known planet with water, and reflects Rosenquist's environmental concern.

To create this image, the artist imaginatively stepped back to view the planet's fragile inhabitants from space, alluding to the vulnerability of “spaceship Earth” in a monumental but disjointed spectacle. Among stars, fish, and a great water flower in shimmering reflection, a jagged rupture reveals a woman's face with hand over mouth. Other faces are hinted at in the ghostly galactic clouds and in other fragmented areas. Rosenquist began using the interlocking fingerlike device (which he calls “crosshatching”) by the early 1980s as a means of pictorial invention. He has observed that “crosshatching filled with imagery leaves space for more forms.” ¹ These layers of imagery shift constantly and are impossible to absorb at a glance. They elicit our attention and invite our interaction to decode the composition.

Rosenquist's early work recycled images and objects of American consumer culture in fragmentary views and disjointed spaces. As a painter of billboards in New York in the late fifties, he started to explore ways of incorporating into his own canvases oversize images like the ones that he was painting above the city streets. His startling juxtapositions force the viewer to see the everyday images in new ways, both humorous and threatening. Rosenquist's recent work has explored several specific images—women's faces, flowers, stars—combined with his jagged forms. Both ironic and poetical, *Welcome to the Water Planet* is an excellent example of this direction in his work.

This is technically one of the most impressive prints created by Rosenquist at the Graphicstudio workshop. One of the difficulties of producing large works in intaglio is the limitation imposed by the width of the press. In order to work as large as Rosenquist desired, the piece was printed in halves in two runs from two copper plates on a single sheet of folded paper. The aquatint process seems both liquid and atmospheric, naturally suited to the subjects, and its silvery shadow and light lend an austere feeling to what is an unusually solemn, reflective image for the artist.

*Welcome to the Water Planet* is an important addition to the Gallery's collection of fifty-nine prints by Rosenquist. The artist has consistently produced numerous prints at Graphicstudio, U.S.F. and Gemini G.E.L., all of which are part of the National Gallery's archive collection from the two ateliers.

Charles M. Ritchie

NOTE
RICHARD SERRA
Born 1939

Muddy Waters, 1986–1987
Screenprint
74 x 60½ (188 x 153.7)
Gift of Gemini G.E.L. and the Artist

I continually attempt to confront the contradictions of memory and to wipe the slate clean, to rely on my own experience and my own materials even if faced with a situation which is beyond hope of achievement. To invent methods about which I know nothing, to utilize the content of experience so that it becomes known to me, to then challenge the authority of that experience and thereby challenge myself.

Although Richard Serra made this statement when discussing his sculptures, it is the principle that governs virtually all of his art. Monolithic steel sculptures are what Serra is most widely known for, yet over the course of the last decade his prints have emerged as a significant extension of them. Serra has explained, “The prints are mostly studies made after a sculpture has been completed. They are the result of trying to assess and define what surprises me in a sculpture, what I could not understand before a work was built. . . . The shapes originate in a glimpse of a volume, a detail, an edge, a weight.”

Muddy Waters is one of seven screenprints Serra made in 1986–1987, most of which relate to his Corten steel sculpture. This is the second group of prints in which Serra has employed his special technique of screenprint using ink and Paintstik, a combination Serra began in 1983. (In other contexts Paintstik has been one of Serra’s often-used graphic materials, one he initially started using in some of his drawings in the early 1970s.)

Muddy Waters and the entire series were executed with the assistance of printmaker Ron McPherson at his print workshop La Paloma. McPherson has worked as an outside collaborator on silkscreen projects for Gemini G.E.L. since the mid 1970s. His expertise in the area of silkscreen has been essential to the successful production of this and several others of Serra’s projects.

In executing Muddy Waters, as in all of Serra’s screenprints with Paintstik, the first step is coating the paper with urethane in order to prevent any degradation of it by the oil-based Paintstik. Then the essential shapes are screenprinted in black ink. Using the same screen, the Paintstik is pressed across its surface, forcing the dense black pigment through the woven network of the material, leaving a layer of Paintstik over the entire surface of the form. The textural surface of the print is further developed with an additional layer. Each layer requires several days to dry. The final result is a dramatic surface of irregular vertical striations that communicate Serra’s forceful encounter with the medium.

Muddy Waters has an imposing presence that demands our attention much like Serra’s sculptures. Large in scale, the black form has a weight and mass that dominates the paper, and its orientation relative to the paper’s edge further defines the weight of this image.

Although this work is part of an edition of twenty prints, each one has its own distinctive character because of the hands-on application of the Paintstik. The extraordinary subtlety of the layered Paintstik on Muddy Waters merits close inspection; thus, Serra insists that the work not be obstructed by glass. The bare surface of the print beckons the viewer to contemplate its richness and variety.

The National Gallery has thirty-three of Serra’s prints, almost all donated by Gemini G.E.L., at whose atelier Serra has produced the major part of his print oeuvre.

Carlotta J. Owens

NOTES
JIM DINE
Born 1935

Youth and the Maiden, 1987–1988
Woodcut, etching, and drypoint with
paint, in three sections
Left and right 78⅝ x 24¼
(199.1 x 61.6); center 78⅝ x
91⅛ (199.1 x 232.1)
Gift of Graphicstudio, U.S.F.
and the Artist

Printmaking has been central to Jim Dine’s art. In the course of the past three decades he has completed more than six hundred etchings, lithographs, woodcuts, and screen prints, often, as here, combining several processes to create an image.1

Youth and the Maiden is Dine’s largest print to date, virtually enveloping the viewer in its mysterious presence.2 Its triptych format conjures memories of altarpieces. And the complex of images Dine has juxtaposed makes reference to the breadth of his explorations in religion, myth, and art history while developing his own artistic vocabulary.

Dine’s use of a vast and varied selection from the art of the past for his inspiration is well documented in his many published interviews and statements.3 Among the works that played a role in the development of Youth and the Maiden were two from the sixteenth century: Andreas Vesalius’ anatomical text, De humani corporis fabrica, illustrated by skeletal figures that are enhanced, like the figures in Youth and the Maiden, by vast areas of sky; and Domenico delle Greche’s woodcut after Titian’s The Death of Pharaoh and His Army Submerged in the Red Sea. Cut from twelve separate blocks that together measured approximately 46 x 88 inches, this was a massive woodcut among prints of the sixteenth century just as Dine’s is among prints of the twentieth.

Trees in foliage, skeletons and a flayed figure, a sheathed central woman based on a Hellenistic sculpture: all carry ritualistic overtones. By repeating these elements in his own work Dine has made them as much his as are the robes and hearts long associated with his art.4 Less pervasive in their shared meanings or associations with Dine’s art are the braided doll figures. Yet they recall his 1972 etching, Braid (First State), and other works featuring hair from that period. At the same time they suggest German peasant dolls thought to have mystical powers on behalf of fertility as well as curative powers if burned to ashes and swallowed.

Youth and the Maiden was printed from multiple copper plates and woodblocks. The plates were drawn in several of the etching media, including spit-bite and softground along with drypoint. The woodblocks were both hand cut and worked in a heliotype process recently developed at Graphicstudio. In this method, Dine’s drawings on transparent mylar were transferred to specially light-sensitized wood blocks, after which the images were cut into the blocks by sandblasting.

In working these various printmaking processes, Dine’s enormous sensitivity as a draftsman is vividly evident. And in his layering of surfaces, repetition of images, atmospheric color, and references to both a cultural and personal history he invites us to share his own responses to the pleasures and pains of modern life.

Youth and the Maiden is one of more than forty-five works by Jim Dine in the National Gallery’s collection, including drawings, prints—many of which are working proofs with hand-drawn additions—and sculpture. His work is central to our Graphicstudio Archive, donated by the University of South Florida Foundation or Graphicstudio and the artist. Dine has also made several independent contributions to the archive of especially important works. Other gifts of Dine’s work have come from Robert Rauschenberg, Lessing J. Rosenwald, Joshua P. Smith, and the Woodward Foundation.

Ruth E. Fine

NOTES
4. For Dine’s best-known subjects, see Graham W. Beal and others, Jim Dine: Five Themes [exh. cat. Walker Art Center] (Minneapolis, 1984); the catalogue includes comments by the artist throughout.
MATTHIAS MANSEN

Born 1958

Studio—Head and Feet, 1987
Woodcut

79 7/16 x 27 3/16 (218 x 69)

Gift of Wolfgang Wittrock

Time, decay, and loss are constant references in the woodcuts of Matthias Mansen. His themes are explored not only with traditional subjects chosen from everyday life, but in the highly radical creative strategy he employs. By utilizing discarded materials, decaying matrices, and by selecting subjects that often dematerialize within a printed sequence, the artist invests old concepts with a new emotional resonance. Mansen and a number of other contemporary German artists have been responsible for a revivification of the German woodcut, breaking down our notion of what it represents, how it is seen, and expanding the possibilities of what it can be.

While full of graphic activity, a haunting absence pervades Studio—Head and Feet. The strongly vertical composition is established around the outlines of a full figure, seen frontally and appearing nearly actual size. Its featureless presence questions the viewer and invokes the imagination. Head, hands, and feet are frequent subjects for the artist, symbols of mental and physical activity. Mansen portrays them in a fragmented state, suggesting a kind of powerlessness. This is emphasized in the horizontal sectioning of the figure and the disconnected nature of the hands, cropped by the left side of the composition. These hands, working with wood tools at a table, are seen almost from above while the bare studio is seen from a slightly lower perspective extending down to a grid that evokes a window. The stiff wooden legs of a table in the foreground are a metaphorical echo of the immobile legs of the figure.

Mansen frequently utilizes a tall format that introduces a temporal element into his work by forcing the eye to travel over an image that cannot be absorbed as a compositional whole. In Studio—Head and Feet, two long white verticals (representing seams between boards of the matrix) are spines on which horizontal ribs of the composition are attached. Superimposed lines representing the edges of the tabletop and studio walls construct a grid that finds relief in the diagonals of the arms and the inverted V shapes at the base of the table legs. Broken and irregular cutting lines further emphasize a feeling of impermanence. Recessive earth colors predominate. The coppery-brown central area, the peripheral border of black, and sections of green at the base of the table legs form a tonal scaffolding that supports the brightly colored spots of modulated red, blue, and yellow scattered throughout open passages of the figure, which are applied in monotype fashion on the woodblock.

Mansen is uninterested in making reproductions of an image. Most of his prints are unique variants. Primarily done in series, his works represent stages of arrested metamorphosis. Changes are frequently introduced by recutting the block between printings, varying color arrangements, and by affixing or overprinting new blocks. Sometimes Mansen exhibits a series of prints as a single work in which the variations become the focus of the work. The old boards and disassembled pieces of furniture that he uses as matrices frequently limit the number of printings because the “blocks are partially worked with sculptor’s tools, and, after intensive use of the drill, they have so many holes they look like a sieve.” It has been noted about Mansen’s work that “the impression of day-to-day life is emphasized again and again—even if not intentionally—by the choice of materials.” Usually Mansen works in oil paint, often applying it to the printing surface by hand. These colors tend to seep into the nontraditional supports such as wrapping or industrial paper that he uses, adding further to the informal character of his work.

Studio—Head and Feet contains references to past, present, future. The old planks used to print this work speak of their previous existence as objects in the world, not only as wood construction but also as trees. Presently they are seen as vehicles for art, but the future is foretold in the bits of wood clinging to some of the printed surfaces, subtly alluding to decay and the inevitable return of wood to dust.

Mansen produced the present work while he was working in London; it is directly related to an important series of head-and-feet images he created there. The present woodcut is the first work by the artist to enter the National Gallery’s collection and an excellent example of the powerful content for which he is known best.

Charles M. Ritchie

NOTES

1. This work has been exhibited as Mann in Studio (Man in Studio). The artist stated, on 18 December 1990, his preference for the present title.


One side straight, the other gently bowed, the pure, dark form of *Untitled* springs directly from the floor. Despite its asymmetry, Ellsworth Kelly’s sculpture seems a miracle of balanced poise. The contrasting sides create a dynamic equilibrium between expansion and contraction, upward and outward movement, action and repose. The inherent tension of the sculpture is only enhanced by the mystery of its support. Held in place by an invisible subsurface mechanism, the nearly ten-foot-high sheath of bronze appears to rest directly on the floor, magically balanced on its inch-thick edge.

*Untitled*, the artist’s copy in an edition of one, is the first Ellsworth Kelly sculpture to enter the National Gallery collection. It relates to a series of the artist’s sculptures known as the Standing Totem Curves. Begun in 1974, this series comprises tall, dramatically thin, stele-like sculptures. The vertical perimeters of each sculpture in the series delineate a curve based upon the arc of an enormous circle. The Standing Totem Curves have been fabricated in aluminum, weathering steel, stainless steel, wood, and, most recently, bronze. The bronze sculptures, including *Untitled*, appear to be solid pieces of metal. In fact they are hollow, each composed of five separate bronze pieces that are welded together.

Most of the Standing Totem Curves are symmetrical both horizontally and vertically. That is, not only are both sides curved, but the centers of the curves are placed at the center of the sculpture, so that top and bottom of the sculpture are of equal width. *Untitled* is unique in Kelly’s oeuvre in that it is asymmetrical in both dimensions. The two sides are unequal (one curved, the other straight), and the center of the single curve is placed low, so that the bottom of the object is considerably wider than the top.

Kelly’s art, which often reads as precisely calibrated geometric form, always relates to objects or shapes that the artist has observed, often in nature. Kelly has described the visual effect of *Untitled* as akin to his memory of seeing a shark’s fin cutting through water. Because the curves of *Untitled* are unequal, one senses that one sees only a fragment of a larger form, that the sculpture continues beneath the ground. As if one spotted a shark’s fin, a presence beneath the surface is felt.

The first public exhibition of *Untitled* occurred in 1989 at the National Gallery of Art in a room devoted to Ellsworth Kelly’s work. This also marked the first time that the artist’s painting and sculpture had been exhibited together. Both Kelly’s paintings and his sculpture explore the subtle interrelationships of shape, space, and color. The close ties between Kelly’s sculptural and painterly interests are vividly apparent in the comparison of *Untitled* and an Ellsworth Kelly painting in the National Gallery collection, *White Curve VIII*, 1976 (Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Joseph Helman). Painting and sculpture both explore the contrasts and tensions of curved and straight lines. In *White Curve VIII* opposing blocks of black and white are brought into dynamic interplay. In *Untitled* it is form, color, and their surrounding space that Kelly at once opposes and unites.

*Jeremy Strick*

**NOTES**

2. Conversation with the artist, June 1990.
3. Conversation with the artist, June 1990.
ELLSWORTH KELLY
Born 1923

Red Curve, 1987–1988
Lithograph
26 x 84 (66 x 213.4)
Gift of Gemini G.E.L. and the Artist

The year 1970 marked the beginning of Ellsworth Kelly's long and productive association with Gemini G.E.L.'s graphic workshop. Over the course of twenty years Kelly has worked at Gemini fairly regularly, producing more than 184 prints and eighteen sculptures in multiple editions. Red Curve is one of several related lithographs he printed at Gemini in 1977–1988 based on his fan-shape motif. This motif first engaged Kelly in his paintings in 1972 and appeared later in his weathering steel and painted aluminum wall reliefs of 1974. Kelly introduced this motif into his prints in Red Curve and the other lithographs in this group.

During his years in Paris, 1949–1954, Kelly gravitated toward the forms and colors of the artists Constantin Brancusi, George Vantongerloo, Jean Arp, and Sophie Taeuber-Arp. Although his early direction was perhaps guided by their work, Kelly assimilated these influences and forged his own personal style of nonobjective flat hard-edged shapes that he conceived from forms he has observed in nature and his environment.

Kelly's first experiment with printmaking was in 1949 while he was enrolled at the Ecole des Beaux-Arts, but it was fifteen years before he created another print. By that time he was already recognized as a distinguished painter and sculptor. Over the last twenty-seven years, Kelly has produced an impressive body of prints, which represents a major portion of his oeuvre. His paintings, sculptures, and prints often evolve in tandem and are mutually enriched because of their shared vocabulary. With all of his art forms, the creative process usually begins the same way. Kelly explained, "The form is usually the first situation. The form is made and color is applied. Sometimes form and color happen simultaneously."2

In Red Curve Kelly substituted his flat pristine colors for a more painterly surface. The print is made with two lithographic plates. One holds the brushed surface of the print, which he produced with tusche wash, and the other is the key color plate. He explored similar gestural brushwork in his Saint Martin series of lithographs made at Gemini in 1983–1984. Kelly is conscious of how his shapes and colors interact with his expressive surfaces. He intuitively weaves these elements together in order to achieve a harmonious whole. In the other lithographs related to Red Curve, the surface, color, and size of the fan shape varies; in turn, the viewer's perception and response to each print differs. Studied together, the prints offer a challenging visual experience.

With this recent gift, the Gallery now owns 134 of Ellsworth Kelly's prints. Among the holdings are a few of his early prints from 1964, examples of some of the first lithographs Kelly collaborated on at Gemini in 1970, and several transfer lithographs from his 1974 and 1978 botanical series. Other highlights are his elegant Colored Paper Images, a series of twenty-three colored and pressed paper pulp pieces he produced at Tyler Graphics Ltd. in 1976–1977 and the Concorde series, 1981–1982, which combines etching and aquatint. Both series demonstrate major stages in Kelly's early interest in the textural surface of his prints and provide an instructional comparison to Red Curve, which continues his investigation of surface character. Apart from his prints, the Gallery's collection includes one graphite drawing, a painting from 1976, and four sculptures. Red Curve and a large portion of the prints in the collection have come to the Gallery as gifts from Gemini G.E.L. and the artist. Other important donors who have added Kelly's prints to the Gallery's holdings include Mr. and Mrs. Roger P. Sonnabend, Mr. and Mrs. Burton Tremaine, Dr. and Mrs. Maclyn E. Wade, and the Woodward Foundation, Washington, D.C.

Carlotta J. Owens

NOTES
ROY LICHTENSTEIN
Born 1923

Green Face, 1987–1989
Lithograph, woodcut, and screenprint with encaustic and waxtype
58 1/8 x 41 (149.5 x 104.1)

Gift of Graphicstudio, U.S.F. and the Artist

Roy Lichtenstein’s Green Face is one of a series of “portraits” developed and produced at Graphicstudio between February 1987 and March 1989. Each print in the group represents an arrangement of several kinds of Lichtenstein’s distinctive brushstroke characters. Green Face shows the artist at his wittiest. It is a compendium of many of his characteristic printmaking techniques.

Framed by a metallic oval of silver strokes, a wild array of brilliantly colored forms coalesces loosely into a bust as if seen in a distorted mirror. The viewer is spurred to query which details represent which features and whether the viewer is reflected or the artist himself depicted. Donald Saff, collaborator on this project, has observed that Lichtenstein “views not only the world and his relation to it, but he also views himself looking at himself engaged in the life of the world.” 1 Indeed the artist has frequently used mirrors as subjects for paintings as well as prints; his work “is further loaded by the implied allusion to the histories of art and literature which are stocked with mirrors and mirroring.” 2

During the 1950s Lichtenstein was exploring the idea of using the expressionist brushstroke to create comic figures. In doing so he parodied the art of the predominant abstract expressionists whose marks, drips, and spills on canvas were presented as records of intense feeling. While sensing the humor and irony of using the expressionist gesture to re-create cartoon and other “low art” motifs, Lichtenstein, like the other Pop artists, introduced new subjects into painting, borrowing from a variety of popular media sources. Lichtenstein’s prints feature stripes, Ben Day dots, and other elements derived from commercial printing processes. By 1980, however, he had begun to reintroduce freehand drawing and his brushstroke into his work. Green Face incorporates this and other more mechanically conceived “brushstrokes” from his vocabulary.

A great beauty of the “brushstroke” elements is the wide range of printmaking media used to create them: hard-edged bands in woodcut with lightly grained tone, dots of pure direct color created in screenprint, lithography strokes with a broad tonal range, and waxtype with its inviting, translucent surface that has beautiful relief qualities. Waxtype is a new screenprint process developed at Graphicstudio in which wax is pressed through the screen to print the image. After printing, the wax may be left with the screen’s texture as part of its surface, or it may be made smooth by using heat in a traditional encaustic manner, and it also may then be burnished to a high sheen.

This is a welcome addition to our collection of seventy-five prints by this artist. In addition to the works by Lichtenstein that have been given to us as a part of the Graphicstudio and Gemini archives, others of his prints have been donated to the National Gallery of Art by Lessing J. Rosenwald, J. Carter Brown, Charles Parkhurst, Mr. and Mrs. Burton Tremaine, the Woodward Foundation, Washington, D.C., Dr. and Mrs. Maclyn E. Wade, David Ginsburg, Benjamin B. Smith, and Mr. and Mrs. Roger P. Sonnabend.

Charles M. Ritchie

NOTES
MALCOLM MORLEY

Born 1931

Rite of Passage, 1988–1989
Spit-bite and aquatint
46½ x 32½ (118.1 x 82.5)

Gift of Gemini G.E.L. and the Artist

The British-born Malcolm Morley graduated from the Royal College of Art, London, in 1957. He was influenced by abstract expressionism, especially the work of Willem de Kooning. The following year he settled in New York where he met Barnett Newman, who became an important stimulus for Morley during his early career. Morley strove for a personal means of expression, his super-realist paintings of the mid-1960s being what first brought him recognition in the art world. He avoids ties to any particular style, which accounts for the innovation and assorted modes of expression seen in his oeuvre.

Although Morley's discipline is primarily painting, throughout his career he has sporadically produced prints. His watercolors have often been the bases for paintings and prints. These sequences provide an insightful look at Morley's creative process.

Rite of Passage is derived from several watercolors of the same subject. The metamorphosis of this image can be traced from the very first watercolor he made to the watercolor Rite of Passage with Complementaries and Tertiaries, Tondo, which was the direct inspiration for the round format of this print. There is a certain consistency between the print and the watercolor after which it is modeled. The print adheres closely to its predecessor's composition and colors and approximates its fluid quality. Yet Morley did not attempt to force the print medium to imitate the characteristics of the watercolor. Rather, he explored the physical qualities of the spit-bite and aquatint with a painterly sensibility. His goal is “to make whatever happens, the content, the image, in terms of the medium itself and not in terms of making the medium look like another medium, thus beating it to death. I would rather let the medium itself be free.”

The elegant colors in Rite of Passage seem to penetrate the paper as they flow across the page, giving the image rhythm and motion. The circular format amplifies the spatial depth and the viewer's high perspective. Likewise, it heightens the closeness of the two sails and figures to the foreground and their dominance over the composition. As a compliment, the tondo is surrounded by a field of transparent and opaque gray washes interspersed with pools and streams of various colors. These nuances enhance the richly orchestrated colors within the tondo.

Morley is one of the more recent artists to work at Gemini G.E.L.; prior to Rite of Passage, he had completed twelve prints in their workshop. The National Gallery has two other prints by Morley, both in the Gemini G.E.L. Archive. These prints, two versions of Eve Born of Adam (1987), are bold figurative studies with broad lines and more gestural handwriting. Rite of Passage is a sensually handsome work and offers an interesting comparison to the two earlier prints.

Carlotta J. Owens

NOTE
NANCY GRAVES
Born 1940

Canoptic Legerdemain, 1990
Brushed stainless steel, aluminum mesh, cast resin, cast paper, aluminum panels, cast epoxy, color lithograph
85 x 95 x 37 (215.9 x 241.3 x 93.9)
Gift of Graphicstudio, U.S.F. and the Artist

Color, line, and form interlock in Canoptic Legerdemain, Nancy Graves’ first edition sculpture.¹ The openness of the sculpture, enhanced by the transparency of the overlapping elements, belies its tightly interwoven and interactive structure; its shifting planes extend outward at various angles, twisting and turning in and around each other in complex, dynamic fashion.

Every element in Canoptic Legerdemain leads a double life, serving an iconographical as well as a compositional function. The imagery reflects the artist’s ongoing study of art history, culture, and archaeology, bringing together Byzantine, Egyptian, and Christian sources while interweaving male and female historical and mythological roles. For example, the “hand of God,” seen in the lithograph incorporated in the piece and again as a relief element, is obviously taken from Michelangelo’s Creation of Adam on the ceiling of the Sistine Chapel, while a related Sistine fresco served as the basis for the face of Eve printed in red on the lithograph, from which the hand of God extends. The snake has cross-cultural associations, including the creature’s role in the temptation in the Garden of Eden.

On the left side of the composition, Graves rendered the sixth-century Byzantine empress Theodora in an intricate and open design that suggests the tesserae of the mosaic in San Vitale (Ravenna) on which Graves’s image is based. Intertwoven with the likeness are references to the Egyptian calendar—blue stars and circular forms superimposed with a sunburst-like image. Other references to ancient Egyptian culture include the fishing scene, cut from stainless steel with a laser that had been digitally programmed from the artist’s drawing, itself a composite of images from Theban tombs. The ducks featured on the left side of the lithographic element are also from a Theban source, and the canopic (burial) jars referred to in the work’s title can be found beneath the ducks.

In 1983 Graves had introduced classical Greek forms as well as references to ancient Greek culture into her work. Here these are seen in the resin bust of Aphrodite, from a cast in the artist’s collection taken from the Elgin marbles. On the lithograph’s right side are Greek mourning women whose arms are raised in the ancient gesture of grief, while on the left is a portion of a Greek robe, which the artist chose in part “for its graphic configuration and the way it would contrast with the laser-cut.”²

Throughout her career, Graves has consistently pursued technical alternatives for their expressive potential, and Canoptic Legerdemain is clearly a tour de force in this regard. A remarkable interplay of color, texture, and pattern is achieved using a number of innovative processes, including the laser-cut steel and the cast resin already mentioned. The river element is also molded resin, cast from plasticene-covered styrofoam that the artist manipulated with her fingers, and other tools, rope, and wire. The Theodora panel is epoxy mixed with sand and marble dust, from a clay original to which palm leaves dipped in wax, wax stars, and styrofoam balls had been added. The grittiness of the surface of the panel was enhanced by sandblasting before painting.

Both the skeletal structure and the skin of the snake are actually “found” materials; the diamond-shaped aluminum mesh of its skin was manufactured for use over screen doors, while its internal armature is a section of prefabricated aluminum grating.

In Canoptic Legerdemain Graves’ recent explorations in both painting and sculpture are merged, making it an exciting addition to the Gallery’s collection. It joins one other Graves sculpture, the wonderfully whimsical Spinner (bronze with polychromed and baked enamel, 1985), which was acquired by the Gallery in 1986 as a gift of the Lila Acheson Wallace Fund.

Mary Lee Corlett

NOTES
1. An edition of seven was produced, plus seven proofs.
ROBERT FRANK
Born 1924

*Untitled*, 1952–1990
Gelatin silver photographs, video prints, board, wire, nails, colored paper, paint, and acetate
40⅝ x 29⅞ (101.9 x 75.6)

Type C color photographs, video prints, acetate, foil, nails, and board
41⅜ x 31⅞ (104.5 x 81)

Robert Frank Collection,
Gift of Isabel and Fernando Garzoni, Switzerland

One senses, at times, that Robert Frank's past weighs heavily on him, that it has been both a burden and a source of inspiration. His early work, and particularly *The Americans*, catapulted him into the forefront of American art, but it also served to define and thus potentially confine him ever since. However, while Frank may not wish to disown his previous work, he clearly recognizes that it is inadequate to express his present feelings.

In his video *Home Improvements*, 1985, he dealt with his past by having a friend drill holes through a large stack of prints from *The Americans* as well as other early work. Even within this highly personal examination of his relationship with his wife and son as well as his art, it was a brutal, abrupt, and unexplained action. In *Untitled* he significantly expanded upon this act by wrapping the stack of photographs with wire, driving nails through it, and mounting it onto a piece of wood. Before he did this, however, he selected as the top photograph not his more romantic study of flowers in *Paris* or his highly charged image of a flag, *Parade—Hoboken, New Jersey*, that are so clearly destroyed in *Home Improvements*, but rather a photograph of a bull in Valencia, Spain. With a sword stuck in its back, the bull is a wounded relic of the past, struggling to live in the present. Covered with dirt and aggressively raw, *Untitled*, like the bull itself, is a clear statement of Frank's abhorrence of the preciousness and sanctity ascribed to most works of art.

Although the various components of *Untitled* and its companion piece *Mute/Blind* were made over many years— from the early 1950s to the late 1980s— they were assembled for a group show in January 1990 at Frank's dealer, Pace/MacGill, to inaugurate new galleries on 57th Street in New York. It may have amused viewers, and possibly even the photographer himself, to see *Untitled*, with its conscious, violent desecration of a large number of marketable prints, within this context. However, Frank was not mocking the art world. Instead, as he has done so often in his career, he seized the occasion of this group exhibition, which included work by senior photographers as well as the most current younger practitioners, to unequivocally separate himself and his art from the crowd. In addition, it should be recognized that while *Untitled* does speak to the gross commercialization of art and photography in the 1980s, it and *Mute/Blind* are more fundamentally about change and continuity, both within Frank's life and within the medium of photography.

*Untitled* consists not only of the stack of photographs nailed to a board, but also several thermal transfer prints of video stills from *Home Improvements*. As well as showing his wife, June Leaf, in the hospital and his “happy” neighbor in Canada, one of the stills in the center of the composite is of Frank himself, filming directly into a reflecting window at his house in Canada. Recognizing the continuity in his work as well as his struggle to simply keep going, Frank in the film tells the viewer, “I'm always doing the same images. I'm always looking outside trying to look inside. Trying to tell something that's true. But maybe nothing is really true. Except what's out there and what's out there is always different.” The highly fugitive video stills, even now only pale shadows of their original intensity, will change and fade with time, as has Frank's relationship with his earlier stack of photographs.

*Mute/Blind*, composed of stills shot for
Frank’s 1989 film *Hunter*, is also about what is out there and the struggle to see and know. Inspired by Kafka’s parable, “The Hunter Gracchus,” the film, as Frank wrote in the press release, is about “a man whose destiny is—not to find a destination. A man who fears that he will never find what his imagination compels him to look for.” It is also the journey of a traveler seeking to know the German people, attempting to reconcile his past feelings with their present reality, “looking for Evil and Hate. But they are all nice people—the Germans—and if they hear you explain you’re Jewish they are especially nice.”¹ Yet, while *Mute/Blind* is derived from the film, it is an independent work. The stills in *Mute/Blind*, many of which are outtakes that were never included in *Hunter*, are primarily of a blind dog and a statue of a deer. Blindness and muteness, particularly as they affect close friends and family, are recurrent themes in Frank’s work. In *Mute/Blind* the dog and the statue are both deeply appealing and at the same time very disturbing figures. Profoundly real, the dog is not a prop or a foil for a joke. It is not a dog by William Wegman (whose photographs were also shown in the group exhibition at Pace/MacGill), posed as if it were a human being, but a genuine animal with piercing, haunting eyes. The statue of the deer, which is in a park in Zurich notorious for the consumption of drugs, is not only mute, but it too has been blinded, literally defaced by park inhabitants so that it is unable to see. In a gesture that seems to allude painfully to Frank’s feelings for Zurich, his birthplace, he has further altered the deer’s eye, adding color so that it appears almost to bleed and weep.

The power of *Untitled* and *Mute/Blind* resides not only in their compelling imagery, but also in their innovative exploration of new technological inventions. Signaling his acceptance of the transformation of the medium of photography, Frank constructed a piece that is largely dependent on electronic imagery. Only the stack of photographic prints, which is dirty and defiled, has been made using traditional photographic methods: even the color prints in *Mute/Blind* were taken from a video screen. Yet no relationship within this mixture is stable. Although at the present time the video prints in *Mute/Blind* have an extremely strong physical and psychological intensity, like those in *Untitled* they are fugitive and will fade. Just as the deer was assaulted by vandals and forced to find a new definition in a new context, so too will both *Untitled* and *Mute/Blind* continue to change with time and be forced to find other meanings. They are, as Frank wrote on the edge of *Untitled*, “Monuments of Glory and Regret.”

This rich, complex piece has been generously given to the Gallery by Isabel and Fernando Garzoni, old friends of Frank’s from Switzerland. Their donation of this elegiac but triumphant work, which is at one and the same time redolent of the past but expressive of the most pressing issues of the artist’s present, is a fitting tribute to the endurance of their friendship.

*Sarah Greenough*

**PROVENANCE**
Isabel and Fernando Garzoni, 1990.

**NOTE**
CLAES OLDENBURG
Born 1929

Profiterole, 1989–1991
Painted cast bronze
5¾ x 8 x 8½ (14.6 x 20.32 x 21.91)
Gift of Gemini G.E.L. and the Artist

Although by no means Claes Oldenburg’s only subject, food is among his most frequent. His comestibles tend to the sweet and the popular: pies, cakes, and ice cream; hamburgers, hot dogs, and baked potatoes. Always they are presented in a manner that is at once appreciative and ironic, excessive and transgressive.

Food had long been a traditional subject of still-life painting, but to make sculpture of individual foodstuffs as Oldenburg first did in 1961 was a novelty. More challenging to the idealizing tradition of still life was Oldenburg’s early representations of food as messy, seeping, and sagging. He made objects of humorous revulsion as well as desire. In recent years, however, Oldenburg’s representations of food have become increasingly pristine. Part of their humorous and aesthetic charge comes not from messiness, but from an impossible perfection.

Such is certainly the case with Profiterole. That most excessive of deserts—ice cream, pastry, and chocolate sauce—is re-created in painted bronze to appear as immaculate as in a food-magazine photograph. The puff pastry is perfectly shaped, the ice cream has the correct degree of softness, and the thick chocolate sauce flows slowly down and outward to form neat crosses.

One of Oldenburg’s favored devices involves dramatic shifts in scale, enlarging everyday objects out of all proportion to their normal appearance and function. Profiterole, however, is made to life scale. It is in every respect a perfectly realized simulation. Its special punch derives from a curious contradiction: the very perfection of its realization renders more striking the fact that it is utterly unconvincing. Despite the brilliance of illusion, one is instantly aware that the Profiterole is not real. That has partly to do with Oldenburg’s ephemeral subject. Seen in any setting other than a dining table, the Profiterole simply could not be. By its extreme realism, then, Profiterole paradoxically widens the gap between art and life.

If many of Oldenburg’s large sculptures can be termed mock heroic monuments, Profiterole belongs to a category that might be called mock precious. It is the size of an objet d’art, and its subject, as desserts go, is more rarefied than popular. Equating the objet d’art with a precious dessert, Oldenburg wittily draws attention to the correspondence and contradictions of aesthetic and gustatory desire.

Oldenburg’s Profiterole was made by Gemini G.E.L. in an edition of 113, 75 of which were made as a benefit for the Hereditary Disease Foundation, along with a color lithograph of the same subject. It makes an interesting counterpoint to Oldenburg’s Soft Drainpipe—Red (Hot) Version, 1967, donated to the Gallery in honor of the fiftieth anniversary by Robert and Jane Meyerhoff. Soft Drainpipe—Red (Hot) Version uses pliable materials to represent hard metal, whereas Profiterole uses bronze to represent soft ice cream.

Jeremy Strick
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(350.52 x 208.28 x 30)

Gift of Mr. and Mrs. William A. Nitze

Divided Square Oblique II is an outdoor wind-powered work highly characteristic of Rickey's mature oeuvre. Symmetrical and abstract, the sculpture consists of an eleven-foot-tall center post and four identical three-sided hollow spars that are each six feet long. These slightly tapering spars or blades are attached to the center post with ball bearings. Each has the capacity to rotate 360 degrees in a plane, to describe a circle perpendicular to the ground. The blades are internally weighted so that when the air is completely still (an unusual circumstance) and the blades are thus at a position of rest—which in the case of this work are positions at a 45-degree angle from the upright center post—the four blades together form the “square” of the title. “Oblique” is the term Rickey uses to describe moving sculptural parts that at rest have a slanting position, neither parallel nor perpendicular to the ground. The artist's neat geometry is constantly broken by air currents that send the blades into motion. In this respect the work, like much of Rickey's sculpture since the early 1960s, fascinates because it embodies both order (his vocabulary of parts) and randomness (the unpredictability of the wind). This contrast of order and randomness is characteristic of the appearance of Rickey's sculptural parts as well: the almost rigid geometry of the components is countered by the appearance of their stainless-steel surfaces. These Rickey has treated with free-form strokes of a carborundum disk, creating marks that catch light fleetingly as the parts move.

A descendant of Yankees who emigrated to America from England in the seventeenth century, Rickey was born in South Bend, Indiana, and raised near Glasgow, Scotland, where his father, a mechanical engineer, managed the Singer sewing machine company's British branch. Rickey read modern history at Balliol College, Oxford, where he also took art classes at the Ruskin School. Later he studied cubism in Paris at André Lhote's academy and in the late 1940s attended the Institute of Design, the Chicago outpost of Bauhaus pedagogy. Intrigued by both the history of constructivist art (on which he wrote a pioneering book in the 1960s) and by the example of Calder's mobiles, and encouraged by his friend David Smith's advice to be lavish with materials, in the course of the 1950s and early 1960s Rickey developed systems of motion for his sculpture that were acutely responsive to the slightest variation in currents of air. During the past twenty-five years he has developed sculpture with parts made of lines, planes, rotors, volumes, and space churns, moving in paths that describe planes or volumes in a variety of ways, from simple oscillation to conical gyrations, according to his engineering and the flow of air and, in certain cases, the pressure of water. Many works since the early 1960s have been large-scale public commissions for sites in the United States, Europe, and Japan. Recently Rickey has divided his time between his home in East Chatham, New York, and studios in Berlin and Santa Barbara, California.

Divided Square Oblique II, number one in an edition of three, is the first work by Rickey to enter the collection of the National Gallery. It complements a related group of much earlier works, also with blades or lines, in the collection of the Hirshhorn Museum and Sculpture Garden. Between 1981 and 1983 Rickey made a number of objects nearly identical in design to the Nitze sculpture but in different sizes (with three-foot, four-foot, eight-foot, four-foot-five-inch, and seven-foot blades), as well as two much smaller indoor examples that also form an oblique square. The Nitzes' gift is the first sculpture of the “divided square oblique” type to enter any public collection.

Nan Rosenthal
Jean Dubuffet
1901–1985

Site à l’homme assis, 1969/1984
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Gift of Robert M. & Anne T. Bass and Arnold & Mildred Glimcher

Arguably the most important artist to emerge in postwar France, Jean Dubuffet is known as a painter, sculptor, theorist, and collector of what he termed “lart brut”: the art of the insane and the dispossessed, those at the margins of culture and society. His earliest sculptures, the Petits statues de la vie précaire of 1954, were figurative constructions composed of cast-off or fugitive materials. They were made of lava and clinker, newspapers, twine, and sponge. In the late 1960s Dubuffet’s career as a sculptor entered a new phase as he pioneered the use of polyester resin and began to produce public and environmental sculpture.

Site à l’homme assis is exemplary of this later phase of Dubuffet’s career. Both witty and grand, the sculpture represents a figure seated in a landscape of rocks and trees. Thick black lines energetically curve and twist across the sculpture’s intricate surface, keeping the viewer’s eyes moving while giving to this three-dimensional work a powerful graphic punch. In fact, Dubuffet described works such as Site à l’homme assis as “peintures monumentees”...paintings turned into monuments. Their formal vocabulary was translated into sculpture from the meandering script of the Hourloupe series of paintings that Dubuffet began in 1962.

The transition from painting into sculpture was first accomplished in 1966. Wielding an electrically heated wire, Dubuffet was able to work Styropor, a polystyrene, as easily as he painted and drew. The same looping gestures of the hand evidenced in the Hourloupe paintings, then, could be employed for the creation of sculpture. Once cut out of the relatively fragile Styropor, Dubuffet’s sculptures could be cast in a durable polyester resin, “pointed up,” and recast in polyester resin at a larger scale. The original polyurethane maquette for Site à l’homme assis measured 23½ x 25 x 14½ inches (60 x 64 x 38 cm). The final cast of the sculpture is composed of six separate elements.

Dubuffet had conceived of his Hourloupe series as “the figuration of a world other than our own or, if you prefer, parallel to ours.” By turning to sculpture he was able to concretize that separate world, eliding the difference between it and our own lived world. In addition to discrete sculptures, Dubuffet created grand monuments and ensembles, Hourloupe rooms, and outdoor environments.

Compared to Dubuffet’s largest sculptural creation, the nearly two-thousand-square-yard Closerie Fabala at the Fondation Jean Dubuffet in Périgny-sur-Yerres, Site à l’homme assis may seem relatively modest. Yet Site à l’homme assis embodies Dubuffet’s ambitions as well as any of the artist’s larger Hourloupe sculptures. Dubuffet noted that “the works that belong to the Hourloupe cycle are linked one to the other, each of them an element destined to become part of the whole.” The landscape of Site à l’homme assis, with its writhing forms and odd protrusions, seems at once complete and contained, yet suggests a world of the imagination magically made real and present and capable of infinite extension.

Since before the opening of the East Building of the National Gallery in 1978, the Gallery has sought a major sculpture by Dubuffet. The acquisition of Site à l’homme assis makes for a spectacular realization of that ambition. Site à l’homme assis joins an important early Dubuffet painting in the collection, La dame au pompon, 1946, as well as a group of 106 lithographs.

Jeremy Strick

PROVENANCE
The Pace Gallery, New York.

NOTES
The great American abstract expressionist Willem de Kooning moved from New York City to eastern Long Island in 1963. But in leaving the city he took with him one of his most profound subjects, the Women series. This theme, running as a vital thread through his work from the late 1930s to his critically acclaimed and controversial Women of the early 1950s, changed at that time, however. Most of his previous Women were depicted either seated formally in a studio or standing in a cool gray light, cut apart by sharp fractures of paint. The New Women, the so-called Women in the Country, were standing, floating, glowing in bright outdoor sunlight. They had lush curvilinear bodies, more like tribal fertility idols than their tense, splintered predecessors. The artist indulged in the oily, fluid qualities of paint.

Today this nude is acclaimed for its expressive beauty. However, when Woman with a Hat was presented to the public for the first time, Thomas Hess recorded the contemporary view that the figure was frightening. He wrote: “De Kooning’s radical departure was toward a controlled Expressionism which holds the image to the surface, but reveals the drama of the destructive forces and anxieties that went into its conception....” The full-scale Women of 1964–66 face you directly. Visage, torso, and waist are arranged on a vertical axis. The arrangement is a bit like a totem pole, or a ceremonial spear. Face, breasts, and sex are pulled apart; the eyes twist away from the nose, the mouth is forced open in a gape, revealing dangerous teeth.... It is a gesture of ferocious display.... The anatomy is cut apart and reassembled, and sections are visualized from different points of view.... Some of the Women wear big, lumpy hats, like cushions or loaves of bread, which block off the top of the picture. The anatomy generally fills the whole narrow format, like a closet packed to bursting. There is no background, only a brief indication of exterior space which writhes with an energy even more muscular than that of the figure itself.”

Woman with a Hat is a critically important member of this distinguished series of tall vertical paintings. Two larger versions are Woman Sag Harbor, 1964, in the Hirshhorn Museum and Sculpture Garden and Woman Aca- bonic, 1966, in the Whitney Museum of American Art. In all three, de Kooning imposed broad, abstract, expressive gestures upon the figure, leaving just enough of this image to allow identification, and perhaps even sympathy, for the model. The work is filled with juicy, bloody red and fleshy pink paints merged with vivid yellows, bright greens, and blues from outdoors. The glowing pigment is slathered on in strokes that keep their spontaneous look even as we know the artist carefully considered every mark.

Beginning in the early 1960s de Kooning began painting more works on paper. Woman with a Hat is one of these, where the artist pushed or slid the paint around, rubbing and scraping off areas, exploiting the paper’s slickness. This gives a “fatted,” greasy look to the surface, one quite in keeping with the visceral nature of the subject. At the same time de Kooning invented a new canon of expressive beauty in these ample Rubensian blonds. Simultaneously frightening and brilliant, the subject in Woman with a Hat seems deformed, obese, but nonetheless arresting, compelling. The iconic figure, perhaps with raised arms helping to make the “hat” shape, is penned in by the tight picture frame. The caricatured face, distended breasts and belly, and flattened, hanging legs and feet challenge the viewer in 1991 perhaps as much as they did in 1966.

The National Gallery owns an early de Kooning series of four painted mural panels dated 1940, twenty-one prints, and three drawings. The gift of this remarkable painting from General Dillman Rash provides the Gallery with its first major de Kooning painting and our first work from the artist’s long and celebrated Women series.

Jack Cowart

PROVENANCE
The artist; Knoedler Gallery (1967).

NOTES
1. Not all the early Women were painted in the studio, but their preponderant attitude was visibly different from the bright and lush works of the 1960s. For further discussion of the evolution, their more obvious relations to cubism, and the settings for the 1950s series of Women see E. A. Carmean, “Willem de Kooning: The Women,” in American Art at Mid-Century: The Subjects of the Artist [exh. cat. National Gallery of Art] (Washington, 1978), 154-182.
Asher Durand, whose first career was as an engraver, turned to painting landscapes after an 1837 sketching trip with his friend Thomas Cole. Following two years of study and travel in Europe in 1841-1842, Durand established a routine of sketching in the Catskill, Adirondack, and White Mountains in the summers and painting finished works in his New York studio during the winters. By the mid-1840s he was considered one of the most prominent landscape painters in the country and in 1845 he was elected president of the National Academy of Design in New York, a position he would hold for almost twenty-five years. With the death of Thomas Cole in 1848 Durand assumed leadership of the Hudson River School.

During the 1850s Durand developed two types of landscapes that became fundamental to the Hudson River School. One was the vertical format canvas of quiet forest recesses, such as *In the Woods* (1855, The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York), which celebrated the pristine beauty of untouched American wilderness. The second was the panoramic view of a great expanse of rural scenery. *Pastoral Landscape*, painted when Durand was at the height of his powers, is one of the most majestic and accomplished examples of his panoramic landscape style.

The view in the painting moves from a detailed foreground of precisely painted rocks and underbrush across a placid body of water and into a vast distance softened by a radiant glowing atmosphere. Large, painstakingly individualized trees frame the left side of the composition, providing a shadowy contrast to the more brightly lit fields and hills of the distance. Various buildings, including an Italianate villa just glimpsed among the trees, a gabled house by the water, and several churches in the distance, establish man’s presence but do not intrude upon the peaceful serenity of nature. *Pastoral Landscape* is the largest and most important painting known by Durand from 1861, and may be the work known as *Hillsdale*, which has been unlocated since the nineteenth century. Durand sketched in and around Hillsdale, in Columbia County, New York, in the summer of 1861 and created a major landscape based on his experiences that fall. *Hillsdale* was sold to a Baltimore collector for $1,200, a sum that indicates it was a large and important work.

Whether or not *Pastoral Landscape* may be identified with *Hillsdale*, it is a summary example of Durand’s mature art and a quintessential Hudson River School painting. It presents America as a pastoral paradise where devout and industrious citizens live in harmony with nature. Ironically, it was painted at the very moment when just such reassuring visions were about to be undermined by the turmoil and tragedy of the Civil War. Durand was too old to serve in the war, but he, like other Hudson River School artists, was profoundly affected by it. Although he continued to paint in the later 1860s and in the 1870s and 1880s, the optimistic and celebratory vision of American nature and civilization that makes *Pastoral Landscape* so appealing disappeared from his art.

Although the Gallery’s collection includes two landscapes by Durand (as well as two portraits), both are smaller and less important than *Pastoral Landscape*. A full-scale example of the artist’s best work, this painting will be a worthy counterpart to major paintings by other Hudson River School artists such as Thomas Cole, Frederic Church, and Jasper Cropsey already in the collection. But unlike paintings such as Cole’s *Sunrise in the Catskills* (also included in this exhibition), which celebrate the drama of untamed wilderness, *Pastoral Landscape* gives us the quiet harmony of the settled American landscape.

Franklin Kelly
JEAN-BAPTISTE GREUZE
1725–1805

The Ungrateful Son, c. 1777
Red chalk
16½ x 12¾ (41.9 x 32.4)

Gift in Memory of
Douglas Huntly Gordon

This stunning drawing is an exceptionally powerful and moving example of the têtes d’expression or expressive heads that were one of Greuze’s greatest achievements as a draftsman. Remarkable for their monumental scale and vigorous execution as well as the broad cross-hatching that is such a distinctive feature of Greuze’s drawing style, these heads were intended to stand on their own as portraits of specific psychological states such as grief, joy, and anger. Rarely, though, did these emotionally charged images attain the eloquence, intensity, and sheer physical beauty of the exceptional sheet presented here.

As is generally the case with Greuze’s expressive heads, this elaborate drawing was not made in preparation for a painting, but rather was derived from one, in this case his work in 1765 and 1777 on The Father’s Curse: The Ungrateful Son.1 The drawing is based on the head of the son who, in choosing to leave his family to enlist in the army, is cursed by his father. The shock, pain, and sadness elicited by the unexpected malediction is simply conveyed in the sharp twist of the head, the puckered brow, the intense gaze, and the parted lips. Greuze based such expressions on the formalized representations of emotions established a century earlier by Charles Le Brun (1609–1690), but injected them with new vigor and immediacy.2 His very best efforts in this genre, including the head presented here, are brought to life by both the force and speed of his chalk strokes and the naturalness of the pose. These imposing head studies were widely praised and collected by Greuze’s contemporaries; many served as models for aspiring artists. The existence of several copies of this work gives a clear indication of the admiration it must have elicited in Greuze’s time.3

This magnificent drawing joins two other fine red chalk heads by Greuze in the National Gallery, both of female subjects and both very different in execution. The addition of this one sheet elevates the Gallery’s representation of Greuze’s work in this genre to the very highest level and puts the artist in his rightful place on a par with some of the greatest draftsmen of the eighteenth century in France.

Margaret Morgan Grasselli
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Charles Fairfax-Murray, London; Prince W. Argoutinsky-Dolgoronkoff, Paris (Lugt 2602d; sale, London, 4 July 1923, no. 41); Tancred Borenius; Mrs. W. H. Hill, Boston; Mrs. Smith, New York; Douglas H. Gordon, 1942.

NOTES
1. Greuze made a compositional drawing of the subject, now in the Musée des Beaux-Arts, Lille, in 1765; he then made an important painting in 1777, in which he reversed the composition (Musée du Louvre, Paris). Both are reproduced and discussed by Edgar Munhall in Jean-Baptiste Greuze 1725–1805 [exh. cat. Wadsworth Atheneum] (Hartford, 1976), nos. 48, 84. This red chalk expressive head seems to have stemmed from an intermediate stage of Greuze’s work on the subject, since it is in the same direction as the drawing but is closer to the expression of the son in the final painting.
2. The present head was based on Le Brun’s rendition of physical pain, which in turn was based on the head of one of the sons in the famous antique sculpture of Laocoon, now in the Vatican. See Hartford 1976, 15, fig. 7.
3. Munhall (in Hartford 1976, 174, no. 85) mentioned four copies of this head (in the Pierpont Morgan Library, New York; the Musée du Louvre, Paris; the Musée des Beaux-Arts, Lyon; and a private collection in Paris) and a counterproof in the Musée Greuze, Tournus.

Supplement to Art for the Nation
LUCA SIGNORELLI
c. 1450–1523

Bust of a Youth Looking Upward

c. 1500

Black chalk on tan paper, partially indented with a stylus

8 ½ x 6 ¼ (22.5 x 17.7)

Gift of the Woodner Family Collection

This compelling drawing, the first by Signorelli in the National Gallery, shows with remarkable clarity why the artist has been characterized as the first great Italian master of black chalk, the medium in which nearly all his extant drawings were executed.1 Very much an artist of his time in the facial types and expressions he gave his figures, Signorelli stood apart from his contemporaries in the bold forms and powerful physiques that he presented with uncompromising directness. Both his deep understanding of the human form and his brilliant execution are revealed in exemplary fashion in the Woodner drawing, not only by the arresting figure on the recto, but also by the two excellent nude studies on the verso, discovered only in 1987.

Figures gazing upward with their heads tilted back to reveal the underside of the chin are found throughout Signorelli's oeuvre, though the precise figure for which the Woodner drawing was made has not yet been identified. Since 1928, scholars have suggested connections with several different figures in the great frescoes in Orvieto cathedral,2 but the strange, pointed, earlike forms that jut from the sides of the head of the drawn figure seem to indicate that this study was made for some other project altogether.3 None of the figures in the Orvieto frescoes have such ears, which seem to resemble the long, pointed ones usually associated with Pan and his followers. Nor do any of the Orvieto figures have quite the same pose, with the chest thrust forward and the arms pulled back in a way that suggests the youth may be bound. (His pose is in fact very close to that of Amor in The Triumph of Chastity, now in the National Gallery, London, but in reverse.)4 Since the study on the recto was incised for transfer, Signorelli presumably used the figure in one of his paintings, though not apparently in one that has survived to this day.

As a prime example of Signorelli's draftsmanship and the earliest black chalk Italian drawing to enter the National Gallery, this exceptionally fine sheet assumes immediate importance within the Gallery’s small but treasured group of Italian Renaissance drawings. To make it even more precious, it comes to the Gallery from the collection of a very close friend and donor, the late Ian Woodner, whose special love for drawings of the Italian Renaissance is thus movingly honored.

Margaret Morgan Graselli

PROVENANCE
De Cémeni; A. G. B. Russell (sale, London, Sotheby’s, 22 May 1928, no. 89); Durlacher; John Nicholas Brown, Providence; David Tunick, New York, 1986.

NOTES
2. Tancred Borenius was the first to propose the connection to the Orvieto frescoes, specifically to the Crowning of the Elect (in the catalogue for the sale held in London at Sotheby’s on 22 May 1928, introduction and no. 89). Berenson later suggested a direct relationship with a “youth forming a group with a woman embracing another man, just under r. foot of trumpeting angel l. in the fresco of Resurrection” (Drawings of the Florentine Painters, 1938, 2:334, no. 2509F). More recently, Nicholas Turner has suggested that the drawing was made in connection with the fresco of The Damned.

3. The ears were first pointed out by Nicholas Turner (London, 1987, no. 4), who posited a relationship between the drawing and the Orvieto fresco of The Damned, which features a number of horned and winged demons. Those demons’ horns, however, grow out of the forehead and have a very different shape from the forms in the Woodner drawing.
GIOVANNI DOMENICO TIEPOLO
1727–1804

*The Apostles’ Creed,* c. 1771 or after
Pen, brown ink, and wash over graphite, 18½ x 14½ (46.5 x 35.6)
Gift of Stephen Mazeoh and Company, Inc.

This is a very handsome and typical sheet from Domenico’s so-called Large Biblical Series, a corpus of more than two hundred fifty drawings that the artist executed over a period of time following his return from Spain to Venice in 1770. As James Byam Shaw has pointed out, the series consists of “album drawings,” that is, sheets made as independent works of art rather than as preparatory studies for paintings or prints.¹

This particular drawing is one of many devoted to the apostles of Christ. The apostles here occupy a clearly defined room with one figure, probably Peter, enthroned in the center below a wall tablet inscribed with Domenico’s rather cryptic designation of the subject. The prayer referred to in the drawing, not found in the Bible and likely dating from the second or third century in its first form, might here be interpreted as the apostles professing their belief in Christ and dedication to the preaching of Christianity.²

As the prime apostle grandly and rhetorically gestures toward the left, the others respond to his gesture by glancing upward, some with their hands raised in prayer. The figures appear to be infused with religious fervor, and their almost ecstatic states are greatly enhanced by Domenico’s characteristically nervous pen line and brilliant use of the white of the paper; the light seems to fall erratically, illuminating parts of bodies and clothing.

During his own lifetime, Domenico Tiepolo labored under the shadow of his famous and revered father, Giovanni Battista, who died in 1770. Domenico was accused of a lack of imagination and invention, traits deemed essential for the best eighteenth-century artists. Supposedly stung by such criticism, he demonstrated his originality in several large series of drawings and one of etchings. The Large Biblical Series sheets, as here, may be seen as part of this endeavor. Modern scholars have readily recognized his own unique talent and vision as a draftsman, painter, and etcher.³

This is the seventh drawing by the artist to enter the Gallery’s collection and the second from the Large Biblical Series.

H. Diane Russel

PROVENANCE

NOTES
2. Further research may clarify the subject matter.
3. For other comments on this sheet, see Eric Van Schaack, *Master Drawings in Private Collections* (New York, 1962), 86–87, cat. 64.
SINGOLI APOTOLICI

CREDO

IN SINGO CON IL QUIE,

E CONTESTERO EI FEIELI, E PER

TENERLE PER UN SOMMARIO, E

E COPIA, DELLO CHE ESSI

DETTA NOBILMENTI E CREVERLI.
This fine impression of Israhel van Meckenem’s roundel print illustrating Saint George slaying the dragon is one of about thirty known impressions of this version and is the first to enter a public collection in North America.¹

Meckenem, who was trained also as a goldsmith, executed his first engravings around 1465, soon becoming one of the most prolific artists in this medium in the fifteenth century. It has been noted that about one-fifth of all German engravings executed before Dürer are attributable to Meckenem.² Though a large portion of his oeuvre consists of copies after prints by other early printmakers, including Master E.S., the Housebook Master, Martin Schongauer, and the young Albrecht Dürer, Meckenem did possess a strong degree of originality and inventiveness, which he displayed even in his earliest prints such as this present engraving.

The style and technique of Saint George and the Dragon suggest an early date of c. 1465–1470. As Alan Shestack has noted, Meckenem’s early prints combine hard, rigid outlines with soft, delicate modeling.³ They are also reminiscent of the engravings by Master E.S., especially in the sculptural quality of the drapery and in the stacking-up of landscape forms. In this print Meckenem filled the space with decorative and flowing lines, creating rich surface patterning that is harmonious with the artist’s concurrent work as a goldsmith. Equally ornamental is the fluid Gothic script of the artist’s prominently placed signature.

The story of Saint George slaying the dragon was a favorite subject among Renaissance artists. According to the Golden Legend, Saint George was a Roman soldier of steadfast Christian faith. He was traveling through the countryside of Silene at a time when the people were being terrorized by a dragon. To appease the beast, human sacrifices, chosen by lot, were offered. The next victim was to be Princess Cleodolinda, daughter of the king. George arrived at this perilous moment. Protecting himself from harm by making the sign of the cross, he slew the dragon with his spear, thereby saving the princess and liberating the frightened people. According to the story, many who had witnessed the power of Saint George’s faith were converted to Christianity. As a devotional image, Saint George slaying the dragon is an allusion to the triumph of good over evil.

The Gallery’s collection of 105 Israhel van Meckenem prints, all given by Lessing J. Rosenwald, is considered the finest in the United States. The collection contains several rare prints, including three unique sheets.⁴ The present impression strengthens the small group of early prints by the artist in the collection, of which this is clearly the most accomplished.

¹ Max Lehrs, Geschichte und kritischer Katalog des deutschen, niederländischen und französischen Kupferstichs im XV. Jahrhundert (Vienna, 1934), 9:281–282, no. 344, state ii of ii.
² Fritz Koreny, preface to vol. 24 of Hollstein’s German Engravings, Etchings, and Woodcuts, 1400–1700 (Blaricarum, 1986), vi.
⁴ See Washington 1967, cats. 155, 156, 162.
FREDERIC-AUGUSTE
BARTHOLDI
1834–1904

Allegory of Africa, cast c. 1863/1865
Bronze, 12½ x 20 x 6½
(31.8 x 52 x 17.2)
Gift of the 50th Anniversary Gift Committee

Few sculptors are more familiar to the American public, even if not by name, than Bartholdi. He created the colossal statue of Liberty Enlightening the World, installed in New York Harbor in 1886. Patriotic monuments had long been his specialization by that time. This impressive bronze Allegory of Africa had been conceived earlier for one of his first such monuments, a fountain commemorating a hero of his native city of Colmar in the Alsace region of France.

Shortly after the sudden death from cholera of Admiral A. J. Bruat (1796–1855), the city of Colmar proposed a monument to him. The young Bartholdi, who had recently completed his first such local monument (to General Rapp), was approached for a design. In March 1856 he submitted a project for a fountain. After delays and revisions occasioned by financial problems and debate over a site, Bartholdi produced a bronze maquette that was exhibited in the Salon of 1863 in Paris. The monument, completed and inaugurated in 1864, featured a bronze statue of Bruat standing above a basin of pink Vosges sandstone. From the same stone were carved reclining figures symbolizing the four parts of the world in which the admiral had triumphed, Europe (or "Oceania") and Asia represented by women, America and Africa by men.

This bronze, the only known example of an apparent reduction of Africa from the finished monument, symbolizes the continent through the majestic figure of a black man reclining on a lion skin. His pose, powerful physique, and brooding expression recall not only ancient sculptures of river gods, but even more Michelangelo’s Times of Day on the Medici tombs in the new sacristy of San Lorenzo in Florence. Cast at an as yet- unidentified foundry, the bronze shows highly refined execution, with a rich dark brown lacquer selectively applied to enhance the modeling.

The bronze suggests the intense impact the full-scale stone figure must have exercised. Striking testimony to this comes from the reminiscences of Albert Schweitzer, the great theologian and mission doctor in equatorial Africa: “It was the Colmar sculptor Bartholdi... who directed my youthful thoughts toward distant lands. On his monument to Admiral Bruat... he carved a Negro in stone, which is certainly the most impressive thing to come from his mallet: a Herculean statue, with a profound and sorrowful expression.... The sight of it spoke to me at length about the misery of these black brothers. Whenever I’m in Colmar, I still go to see it.”

The figure that inspired Schweitzer was destroyed, along with the rest of the Bruat monument, during the German occupation in 1940. The present bronze gives an idea of the expressive quality Schweitzer found so moving. Other than reductions of the Liberty Enlightening the World, Bartholdi bronzes are rare in American collections. In the National Gallery this one will reveal to the American public another aspect of the talents of an artist best known for a great national icon.

Alison Luchs

NOTES
3. For the bronze maquette see Lami 1914–1921, 1:65; on a plaster cast that appeared in the Salon of 1864 see Betz 1954, 57. A photograph, possibly of that cast, showing the complete monument is reproduced in Betz 1954, 50.
4. Bronze reductions of two other parts of the world from the Bruat monument, as yet unidentified, are reportedly in private collections in London. The terra cotta sketch model for Africa, differing in detail, is in the Musée Bartholdi in Colmar, along with the bronze and plaster maquettes.
5. Schweitzer is quoted in Betz 1954, 60; translation author.
6. An iron fountain by Bartholdi, however, may be seen not far from the National Gallery of Art at First Street and Independence Avenue, SW. See James Goode, The Outdoor Sculpture of Washington, D.C. (Washington, 1974), 250–251 and 546.
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PIERRE-JEAN DAVID D’ANGERS
1788–1856

François-Pascal-Simon,
Baron Gérard, 1838
Plaster, 24 (61)

Gift of the Christian Humann
Foundation

Pierre-Jean David, who styled himself “d’Angers” after his birthplace and to distinguish himself from the famous painter Jacques-Louis David (1748–1825), enjoyed a reputation during his lifetime as the greatest sculptor of his time. He left Angers in 1808 to study in Paris with the sculptor Philippe-Laurent Roland (1746–1816).

David is best known for his portraits, usually of famous or worthy contemporaries. He felt that sculpture had the moral obligation to proclaim the importance of great persons. His choice of subjects often reflects his staunch Republican sympathies. His first portrait was a medallion of the composer Louis Joseph Ferdinand Herold, in 1814. He made more than five hundred portrait medallions during his career, depicting such luminaries as Lord Byron, Baron Gros, Chateaubriand, Harriet Beecher Stowe, and Paganini. He also made numerous large-scale monuments including the Thomas Jefferson in the rotunda of the U.S. Capitol and the Ambroise Paré in Lavel. Both of these are represented in the National Gallery by small bronze reductions. The present bust is the first full-scale portrait by this important master to enter the collection.

The subject, the painter Baron Gérard (1770–1837), was a student of Jacques-Louis David and was one of Napoleon’s favorite portraitists. David d’Angers inscribed the bust to Gérard on the front, with his signature and the date 1838, the year following the sitter’s death. It bears a second inscription to the sitter’s widow: “à Madame Gérard, David.” Gérard may have sat for the portrayal before his death, as well as for David’s medallion of him from 1837. This plaster bust was apparently cast after a marble version made for the vestibule of the Institut de France. Two other plasters are known, both in the Musée David in Angers; one of these was apparently the model for the marble, while the other is a twin to the present plaster.

An engraving of the marble illustrates a collection of Gérard’s correspondence.

The portrait bust gives a quiet, thoughtful dignity to Gérard, who was a fellow instructor with David d’Angers at the Ecole des Beaux-Arts. He appears in contemporary dress, coiffed to allow the artist to emphasize the high, noble forehead. David was very interested in Gall’s and Lavater’s studies of phrenology and physiology, and his emphasis here on the physical shape of Gérard’s head, unobscured by strands of hair, may have been purposeful. David does not spare his sitter, but depicts clearly the bags and wrinkles below the eyes, the large ears and strong nose, and the determined set of the mouth. These qualities, together with Gérard’s concentrated gaze to the right, give a picture of vitality even in advanced age. The hatched texture of Gérard’s collar and coat emphasizes the soft pliancy of the face above them.

The bust makes an instructive comparison with another given for the National Gallery’s fiftieth anniversary, the terracotta bust A Lady by Joseph Chinard (1755–1813). That work, a generation earlier, depicts a woman in antique drapery that contrasts with the Parisian overcoat worn by Gérard. The later bust, though retaining a truncation following an ancient Greco-Roman type and a certain ageless, classical air, is more explicitly rooted in time and place and probes the subject’s features more intensely than does Chinard’s relatively idealized depiction.

Donald Myers
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Madame Gérard; Private collection; André Lemaire, Paris.

NOTES
2. Los Angeles 1980, 211.
3. Accession numbers 1975.11.1 and 1977.27.1, respectively.
4. For the marble, see Stanislas Lami, Dictionnaire des Sculpteurs de l’Ecole Française au dix-neuvième siècle, vol. 2 (Paris, 1916), 91. Thanks to Jacques de Caso for his assistance regarding the two plasters in Angers.
6. This observation was made by Suzanne Glover Lindsay.
HUGH DOUGLAS HAMILTON  
c. 1739–1808

Frederick North, Later Fifth Earl  
of Guilford, in Rome, late 1780s
Pastel, 37 3/8 x 26 3/8 (95 x 68)

Gift of the 50th Anniversary  
Gift Committee

This magnificent pastel by the Irish-born portraitist Hugh Douglas Hamilton is one of his masterpieces. It presents an elegant Englishman, presumed to be the young Frederick North (1766–1827), against the grand ruins of Rome. The figure itself is exceptionally fine in both the handling of the face, enlivened by deft accents of unblended pastel, and the smooth and rich rendering of the clothes. Particularly compelling is the execution of the crumpled glove, a marvelous contrast to the smoother textures of the breeches and coat. Equally brilliant are the bold red band inside the hat, the only bright spot of color in the composition, and the gleaming metal buttons of the coat. The setting, too, is wonderfully rich in texture and detail, providing a suitably grand backdrop for this impeccable portrait.

Frederick North, later fifth earl of Guilford, was the third son of Lord North, second earl of Guilford, well known to Americans as the prime minister of England during the American Revolution. Frederick, who succeeded his two brothers to the earldom in 1787, spent most of his adult life abroad. He served as governor of Ceylon from 1798 to 1805 but otherwise preferred scholarship to politics. Greece and the Greek language were his particular passion and he is known to have had an impressive library of books and manuscripts. When the Ionian Islands came under British protection in 1815, he helped to found the University of the Ionian Islands on Corfu and served as its first chancellor. It is thought that his portrait came to the Sheffield family, in whose possession it remained until 1909, through his sister, Anne, who married the first earl of Sheffield in 1798.

The works of Hugh Douglas Hamilton are now generally known only to specialists, but in his day he was an artist of considerable stature. Born in Dublin the son of a wig maker, Hamilton trained there in the drawing school of Robert West (?–1779), where he won several prizes. As an independent artist he first specialized in portrait miniatures, working mainly in chalks and pastels. His rapid success in Dublin encouraged him to move to London in about 1764, where he soon established himself as a fashionable society portraitist. Moving to Italy in 1778, he continued to make portraits for English travelers but also experimented with history subjects during his twelve-year stay. In the late 1780s Hamilton was convinced by his countryman and fellow artist John Flaxman (1755–1826) to devote himself more to oil painting and accordingly made very few pastels thereafter. He finally settled permanently in Dublin in 1791 and continued to enjoy considerable success as an artist until poor health forced him to give up painting in about 1804.

Within Hamilton’s oeuvre the most impressive and inventive works are without question the full-length portraits. Those in his very best manner, such as this great pastel of Frederick North, have all the presence and power of oil paintings and can rival the best work of Hamilton’s older contemporary, the great Italian portraitist and history painter Pompeo Batoni (1708–1787).

During the past decade the acquisition of British drawings has been a high priority for the National Gallery. The purchase of this impressive piece is thus most opportune, adding to those holdings both an exceptionally glorious portrait drawing and the first eighteenth-century British pastel.

Margaret Morgan Grasselli

PROVENANCE
The sitter, his sister, Anne North Sheffield; by descent to Henry North Holroyd, 3rd earl of Sheffield (sale, London, Christie’s, 11 December 1909, no. 3); Gooden and Fox, London, 1909; Hazlitt, Gooden & Fox, London, 1990

NOTES
1. See English Drawings [exh. cat. Hazlitt, Gooden & Fox] (London, 1990), no. 38, where the identification of the sitter as representing John Baker Holroyd, first earl of Sheffield (1735–1821), who would have been fifty or more when the portrait was made. A lithographic portrait of Frederick North by the great French artist Jean-Auguste-Dominique Ingres (1780–1867), made in Rome in 1815—nearly thirty years later—shows marked similarities with the Hamilton portrait in the full lower lip and the high-bridged aristocratic nose. The Gallery owns two impressions of Ingres’ print.
2. The most complete article on Hamilton and his work was published nearly eighty years ago. See Walter G. Strickland, “Hugh Douglas Hamilton, Portrait-Painter,” The Walpole Society 2 (1912–1913), 99–110. Hamilton’s years in Italy are the subject of a more recent article by Finten Cullen, “Hugh Douglas Hamilton in Rome 1779–92,” Apollo (Feb. 1982), 86–91.
CLAES OLDENBURG
Born 1929

Glass Case with Pies
(Assorted Pies in a Case), 1962
Burlap soaked in plaster, tins, paint, glass and metal case
18 3/4 x 12 1/2 x 10 3/8
(47.63 x 31.12 x 27.62)
Gift of Leo Castelli

The elder of two sons of a Swedish diplomat, Oldenburg was born in Stockholm and grew up in Chicago. He attended Yale where he majored in English and in art. In the early 1950s he worked as a police reporter and at odd jobs while studying art. He also traveled in northern California, and in 1953 became naturalized as a U.S. citizen. In 1956, increasingly experienced as a draftsman, he moved from Chicago to New York, settled in the gritty environment of the Lower East Side of Manhattan, and pursued his career as an artist who rejected abstract painting while absorbing lessons of abstraction expressionism.

From childhood Oldenburg kept detailed written and illustrated notebooks, at first about his imaginary utopia of “Neubern,” an island in the south Atlantic whose language was half English and half Swedish and whose universe Oldenburg imagined and inventoried in concrete detail. As Barbara Rose observed, the precise parodies of reality in Oldenburg’s Neubern anticipate his adult art.1 While, as Rose also proposed, Oldenburg rejected in his work the idealist aesthetics of European art, he was at the same time a sensitive intellectual whose artistic clowning (a kind of costume or disguise) criticized consumerist values of American society of the late 1950s and early 1960s while nonetheless acknowledging its popular attractions.

Oldenburg’s first important work to be shown in New York, in 1960, was two versions of an environment called The Street. These consisted of many related two-dimensional cut-out figures, objects, and signs, painted brown and black, that either were attached to the wall or hung or stood in space. Made of burlap, newspaper, other found materials, wire, and cheap paint, the figures were childlike, ragged-edged creations that appeared to reflect the poverty and palette of New York slums and, to a degree, the style of Jean Dubuffet. The Street marked one of the first appearances of Oldenburg’s seriocomic alter ego, Ray Gun, an object with human attributes such as phallic potency.

The Ray Gun Manufacturing Corporation, with C. Oldenburg as president, in 1961 began to produce “commodities” for Oldenburg’s second important environment, The Store. The Store contained brightly painted burlap and plaster reliefs and three-dimensional objects chiefly representing processed or cooked foods and manufactured clothing. There were two main versions of The Store: the first at Oldenburg’s Lower East Side studio (itself a former store) at 107 East Second Street in December 1961–January 1962, where the artist-proprietor sold the goods; the second at the Green Gallery on 57th Street, where in addition to plaster pieces approximately the size of their real-world counterparts, Oldenburg showed his first giant soft sculptures, of food such as a ten-foot-long ice cream cone and a bed-size hamburger.

Leo Castelli’s generous fiftieth-anniversary gift, a classic icon of Pop art, was made at Oldenburg’s Second Street studio in summer 1962 and first shown at the Green Gallery version of The Store in September–October 1962, when Castelli purchased it. The unique sculpture consists of six “pies” formed from burlap and plaster in real pie tins, placed in a vertical glass and metal display case that the artist purchased from a restaurant supplier. Several “flavors” appear identifiable (lemon meringue, pumpkin, chocolate cream), while others appear more generic. According to the artist his main concern at the time, when he was using six or seven hues of an inexpensive commercial oil enamel, was never to mix or blend the colors but to use them on his objects just as they came from the can.2 The flat or slightly mounded pies are themselves a kind of low relief, with the glass and metal case constituting a kind of frame provided by the artist.

Glass Case with Pies is the earliest of three works by Oldenburg to enter the Gallery’s collection on the occasion of the fiftieth anniversary. It relates closely to two other important works of the early 1960s that are also anniversary gifts: Roy Lichtenstein’s Look, Mickey, 1961 and Wayne Thiebaud’s Cakes, 1963. In Oldenburg’s oeuvre Glass Case with Pies was preceded by two smaller works of 1961 consisting of slices of pie in glass and metal cases. Two larger, horizontal pastry cases with foodstuffs also date from 1962 and another dates from 1965. Oldenburg also made four works with French vitrines and “tartines” for an exhibition in Paris in 1964.

Nan Rosenthal

PROVENANCE
Green Gallery, New York; Leo Castelli.

NOTES
2. Conversation with the artist, 10 January 1991.
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