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It is of great significance to inaugurate the exhibition entitled *The Golden Age of Chinese Archaeology: Celebrated Discoveries from The People's Republic of China* at the National Gallery in Washington, D.C. on the occasion of the fiftieth anniversary of the founding of The People's Republic of China. On behalf of the Chinese government, the Chinese people, and in my own name, I would like to extend my sincere greetings to the American people, and my best wishes for the success of this exhibition.

China is a great nation with a long history of 5000 years. The Chinese people, diligent and intelligent, once created a glorious ancient civilization and made indelible contributions to the progress of human civilization. I am sure that this exhibition shall enhance the American peoples' knowledge of China from historical and cultural perspectives, strengthen mutual understanding and friendship, and promote the cultural exchange between our two nations as well as the development of Sino-US relations.

I wish a complete success to *The Golden Age of Chinese Archaeology: Celebrated Discoveries from The People's Republic of China* exhibition.

Jiang Zemin
President,
The People's Republic of China
I am delighted to extend warm greetings to all those attending the National Gallery of Art’s new exhibition, *The Golden Age of Chinese Archaeology: Celebrated Discoveries from The People’s Republic of China*.

Twenty-five years ago, the government of China presented to the American people the first major exhibition of Chinese archaeological treasures unearthed since the 1949 founding of The People’s Republic of China. That epochal cultural exchange, *Archaeological Finds of The People’s Republic of China*, opened at the National Gallery of Art in 1974 and vastly enhanced our knowledge and understanding of China’s art and culture.

The works exhibited in 1974 were selected to represent many of the most significant discoveries made in the first quarter-century of the PRC. Working with their Chinese counterparts, the organizers of that exhibition were the National Gallery of Art in Washington and The Nelson-Atkins Museum in Kansas City. It is appropriate, therefore, that these two institutions have once again joined forces, this time with colleagues at the State Administration of Cultural Heritage and Art Exhibitions China, Beijing, to organize this latest superb exhibition, one that will bring to the American public some of the remarkable archaeological discoveries made in China over the past 25 years.

Hillary and I remember with great pleasure our 1998 trip to China, where we had the opportunity to see some of these archaeological finds in their native land. We are grateful to the organizers of this exhibit and to the Chinese government for sharing these treasures with the American people.
Foreword

Several times in the past five decades, the West’s attention has been riveted by news of astonishing archaeological finds in China. Such discoveries as that of the Bronze Age Erlitou culture are among the many achievements of a five-decade-long effort to encourage and support archaeological projects throughout the Republic, not only through “rescue” archaeology but also through analytical and scientific means. The world’s understanding of ancient Chinese history has been vastly expanded as a result, leading to our description of the second half of the twentieth century, and the present exhibition, as The Golden Age of Chinese Archaeology. Each work of art exhibited here will help the visitor along the path that leads toward understanding the profundity and grandeur of Chinese civilization. Each work exhibited is precious, embodying the history of Chinese art and science, and each was painstakingly recovered through the often arduous archaeological process.

In 1982, the Standing Committee of the People’s Congress passed a “Cultural Relics Preservation Law of The People’s Republic of China,” further strengthening an already firm national commitment to archaeology. While governmental support has allowed the discipline to grow, its successes are owed in no small measure to the determination and hard work of Chinese archaeologists, aided by colleagues from the West, and especially from the United States. In the 1920s the Rockefeller Foundation supported a large-scale systematic excavation at Zhoukoudian, where Chinese and Western scholars worked side-by-side. Since then, close collaborative investigations have continued, for example, at Mogao and Longmen Grottos, with the support of the Getty Conservation Institute, the Dunhuang Research Institute, and the Cultural Relics Department of Luoyang. Many American universities and research institutions participate in archaeological surveys, investigations, and excavations across China: the Palaeolithic site at Nihewan Basin; the Neolithic sites in Xianrendong, Wangnian, Jiangxi province, and in western China; the Lower Xiajadian culture site in Inner Mongolia province; Shandong province’s Rizhao sites; and the salt industry sites in the Chengdu Plain and its adjacent areas.

President Jiang Zemin, in a speech at Harvard University in November 1997, said, “Mutual understanding is the premise of developing a friendly relationship between countries…. In order to understand China, there can be many different points of view. The present China is the extension of the historical China, which is a country with five thousand years of history and civilization. We should comprehend and discern China from the perspectives of its history and cultural heritage.” The Golden Age of Chinese Archaeology, with its exquisite works from 5000 BCE to the tenth century CE, provides an excellent opportunity for our American friends to study Chinese history and culture. Through these ancient works we hope that you will become acquainted not only with a brilliant culture, but come to understand better China’s historical struggles, its longing for peace, and its strength.

The country and its people are brimming over with confidence as they assume new, proactive roles in archaeology as well as in world society. With great good will and enthusiasm,
China offers this important exhibition to the United States at the dawn of the new millennium. I wholeheartedly applaud all those who made the exhibition a success.

Zhang Wenbin
Director General, State Administration of Cultural Heritage
The People’s Republic of China
Foreword

Wenwu, the modern Chinese word for “antiquities” or, in the classical Chinese language, “objects of accomplishment,” embodies profound meanings. Wenwu refers not merely to excellence of artistry, but also to moral refinement and cultural literacy. In traditional China, wenwu often served as material standards marking distinctions of rank. As such, they made manifest the social order of Chinese civilization and defined its identity. Over thousands of years, collectors coveted ancient objects not merely for their physical beauty but as tokens of an enduring intellectual and emotional connection with the sages of antiquity.

Modern archaeology, or kaogu, was introduced to China in the 1920s. Since then, it has put a new face on the notion of wenwu. Excavations under carefully controlled conditions have made it possible to reconstruct in far greater detail than ever before the cultural meaning of ancient works of art in their own times. No longer isolated “objects of accomplishment,” wenwu have become constituent parts of a panorama of Chinese history, complementing, expanding, and at times correcting the textual record. By identifying, in several Neolithic cultures in northern and central China, features that are recognizably “Chinese,” archaeology can now reliably trace the cultural ancestry of the historical dynasties to remote prehistoric times, to periods that, in fact, antedate the time spans traditionally accepted. Archaeology has also shown that Chinese civilization did not develop from a single root or in a linear fashion, but that different parts of China had their own distinctive cultural traditions, which gradually merged over the course of millennia.

The astonishing works of art exhibited here are good indicators for the rich diversity of the earliest times, and for the way in which increasingly uniform cultural standards were imposed over the centuries. The present exhibition expands upon a foundation laid in 1974–1975, when our two institutions collaborated on The Exhibition of Archaeological Finds from The People’s Republic of China. Dating from prehistoric times to the tenth century, many of the exhibited works have never been seen in the United States, and some were discovered only in 1997. An exhibition such as this requires many resources, but above all it is the immense and sustained effort made by generations of Chinese archaeologists, across more than five decades, that enables us to present this great exhibition to the American people. Their work has resulted in nothing less than the rewriting of the history of Chinese civilization. We look forward to great archaeological discoveries still to come.

A large debt of gratitude is owed to the State Administration of Cultural Heritage, headed by Mr. Zhang Wenbin, without whose cooperation and goodwill our joint project might never have been realized. We thank the many museums and archaeological institutions throughout the Republic who lent to this exhibition, and our colleagues at Art Exhibitions China for their extensive efforts on our behalf. We are grateful to Ambassador Li Zhaoxing for his support. Xiaoneng Yang, curator of Chinese art at The Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art, chose the works and provided the vision that makes it possible for Westerners to place these often surprising works of art within the continuum of Chinese civilization. In this task he was aided by scholars.
from several continents, whose illuminating texts are published here. We wish to thank Eastman Kodak for its generosity in making this exhibition possible, and in particular, George M. Fisher, chairman and chief executive officer, for his vision and understanding of the importance of cultural exchange between China and the United States. The Henry Luce Foundation provided essential funds in the planning stages of our project, and we are grateful to vice president Terrill E. Lautz for his support. The E. Rhodes and Leona B. Carpenter Foundation, whose vice president Paul Day responded enthusiastically to our request for support for the exhibition catalogue, deserves our hearty thanks. We thank the Federal Council on the Arts and the Humanities for the indemnity granted to this exhibition. The Asian Cultural Council, New York, and the Metropolitan Center for Far Eastern Studies, Kyoto, also supported research in China.

Finally, to the Chinese people, who have shared so many of their most ancient and revered cultural properties, we are profoundly grateful. The citizens of both our nations are sure to enjoy new heights of mutual appreciation and understanding as the result.

Earl A. Powell III  
Director, National Gallery of Art  

Marc F. Wilson  
Director, The Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art
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The works of art included in the exhibition are representative of four periods, corresponding to sections of this catalogue: Late Prehistoric China (c. 5000 – 2000 BCE), Bronze Age China (c. 2000 – 771 BCE), Chu and Other Cultures (c. 770 – 221 BCE), and Early Imperial China (221 BCE – 924 CE). The order of presentation is chronological, with exhibited works from the same culture or find site presented in groups.

The Pinyin system of romanization has been used throughout. Chinese characters, which appear in the concordance beginning on page 556, are written in nonsimplified characters.

For some matters treated here, a unified terminology does not exist; for instance, authors' usage of terms such as the "upper," "middle," or "lower" Yellow or Yangzi River may sometimes be subjective and may not follow a strict geographic designation.

Hardstone objects from China traditionally described as "jade" (yu), and particularly carvings dated after the second millennium BCE, are usually nephrite. Neolithic hardstone carvings were made from a variety of hard, compacted minerals that assume a high luster when polished: nephrite, serpentine, fluorite, and other minerals not always easily distinguished by visual inspection. For this reason, the designation "jade" is used throughout the titles in the exhibition.

Dimensions of the objects are given in centimeters, followed by equivalent inches in parentheses. Weights, to the extent germane, are given in kilograms, followed by equivalent pounds in parentheses.

While every effort has been made to present the most current scholarship, it goes without saying that some of the views published here may one day be superseded by future discoveries.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period and Dynasty</th>
<th>Span</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Palaeolithic Period</td>
<td>c. 1,700,000 – 8000 BCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neolithic Period – Chalcolithic Period</td>
<td>c. 8000 – 2000 BCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xia Dynasty</td>
<td>c. 21st – 16th century BCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shang Dynasty</td>
<td>c. 16th – 11th century BCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Zhou Dynasty</td>
<td>770 – 221 (256) BCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Zhou Dynasty</td>
<td>c. 11th century – 771 BCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring and Autumn Period</td>
<td>770 – 476 BCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warring States Period</td>
<td>475 – 221 BCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qin Dynasty</td>
<td>221 – 207 BCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Han Dynasty</td>
<td>206 BCE – 24 CE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Han Dynasty</td>
<td>25 – 220 CE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Kingdoms Period</td>
<td>220 – 265 CE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Jin Dynasty</td>
<td>317 – 420 CE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern and Northern Dynasties</td>
<td>420 – 589 CE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sui Dynasty</td>
<td>581 – 618 CE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tang Dynasty</td>
<td>618 – 907 CE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five Dynasties</td>
<td>907 – 960 CE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song Dynasty</td>
<td>960 – 1279 CE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liao Dynasty</td>
<td>916 – 1125 CE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jin Dynasty</td>
<td>1115 – 1234 CE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuan Dynasty</td>
<td>1279 – 1368 CE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ming Dynasty</td>
<td>1368 – 1644 CE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qing Dynasty</td>
<td>1644 – 1911 CE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Xiaoneng Yang
A History of Modern Chinese Archaeology

Traditional Chinese antiquarianism, particularly the jin shi xue (the study of ancient Chinese bronzes and stone stelae), has endured for one thousand years. In contrast, modern field archaeology has come to be practiced in China only recently, starting in the early twentieth century. It is a young sibling if compared with Roman, Greek, and Egyptian archaeology. Modern Chinese archaeology is distinguished from previous efforts to investigate physical remains by its scientific methodology of field surveys and excavations.

A series of momentous discoveries during the first decade of the twentieth century — in particular, the Shang oracle-bone inscriptions at Anyang in Henan province and the Han-Tang manuscripts, paintings, textiles, and wooden slips from Dunhuang and Jiuquan in Gansu province, stimulated modern Chinese archaeology. Evolving from traditional sinology, after the political revolution of 1911 it absorbed the Western disciplines of palaeontology and geology. Initiated and first practiced in China by Japanese, Russian, and Western scholars and explorers, most of them self-taught, Chinese archaeology would eventually come to be a province of Chinese intellectuals.

Despite the interruptions imposed by political and social turmoil, the discipline developed rapidly over the course of less than a century, and much of China’s early history has been rewritten as a result. The achievements of Chinese archaeologists have drawn attention and admiration from around the world. Chinese archaeology has in fact entered a golden age, the result of a developmental process comprising four stages: initiation (1890s – 1910s); formation (1920s – 1940s), institutionalization (1949 – 1976), and maturation (1977 to the present).

1890s – 1910s: INITIATION

Long known as the “Central Kingdom,” China was battered during the nineteenth century and the first decade of the twentieth century by totalitarianism, poverty, and foreign invasion. In 1911, Chinese intellectuals and patriots engineered the overthrow of the Qing dynasty, and the Republic of China was established. One of their foremost goals was the pursuit and importation of science and democracy from the West, epitomized by the May Fourth Movement of 1919. If the door of China was first cracked by foreign forces, it was the Chinese people who enthusiastically swung it wide open.

Chinese intellectuals eagerly embraced foreign scholarship, including that of Western archaeologists. Liang Qichao (1873 – 1929), a key reformer and a leading scholar, was among the first to apply Western archaeologists’ periodization of the prehistoric era to China. His 1901 essay summarizing Chinese history refers to three successive prehistoric periods — delineated by the use of stone, bronze, and iron tools — a chronology established by the Danish archaeologist Christian Jürgensen Thomsen (1788 – 1865). Although the periods vary in length in different regions, Liang suggested that the sequence applies to prehistoric China, and he further posited the existence of a Stone Age before the legendary figure Shen Nong and a bronze age
since Shen Nong, or Yan Di — the first legendary Emperor Yan. Today, the Chinese people consider themselves the descendants of the Yan Di and Huang Di emperors. Liang pioneered the use of Western archaeological concepts and ideas to investigate ancient Chinese history.

During the same period, foreign scientists and archaeologists began to visit China, either on their own or in the company of missionaries. Torii Ryuzo (Japanese, 1870–1953) may have been the first trained archaeologist to work in China. In 1895, Torii surveyed sites dating from the Neolithic to the Han period and found polished stone axes and spearheads in the Liaodong peninsula of northeastern China. After surveying the region in 1905 and 1908, he published a report describing his travels and research, and the anthropological, archaeological, geographical, and topographical information that resulted. Torii and other Japanese archaeologists continued to survey sites throughout China and occupied Taiwan, covering a wide temporal and geographic range of subjects, ranging from prehistoric burials, ancient architecture, Buddhist caves, and imperial mausoleums.

American, English, French, German, Russian, and Swedish explorers also organized expeditions to China. They left their footprints throughout the northwestern regions and along the Silk Road, especially in the provinces of the Xinjiang and Gansu. Among them was Aurel Stein (1862–1943), a Budapest-born citizen of Great Britain who conducted large-scale geographic and archaeological surveys in Gansu, Inner Mongolia, and Xinjiang (1900–1901, 1906–1908, and 1913–1916). These surveys yielded valuable information on sites and cemeteries such as the Mogaoku Grottos at Dunhuang, Gansu; Xixia (Tangut) Yuan dynasty cities at Heicheng, Inner Mongolia; and the ancient city of Gaochang at Turfan, Xinjiang. Stein is chiefly remembered for the more than ten thousand paintings, textiles, prints, manuscripts, and other objects that he removed from the Mogaoku Grottos (the artifacts are now in the British Museum, London; the British Library, London; and the National Museum, New Delhi). French, Japanese, and Russian explorers also acquired a large number of the remaining Mogaoku treasures. Paul Pelliot (French, 1878–1945), procured several thousand works, the second-largest group ever to leave China (now mostly in the Musée Guimet, Paris). Langdon Warner (1881–1955) of the Fogg Art Museum, Harvard University, Cambridge, removed more than twelve fragments of wall paintings and a kneeling bodhisattva (now in the Arthur M. Sackler Museum, Harvard University, Cambridge) from the Mogaoku Grottos and transported them to the United States in 1924. Warner’s second expedition to Dunhuang in 1925 was less successful. In 1930, the Fogg trustees persuaded Stein to conduct yet another “survey” under the Fogg’s aegis, in northwestern China. When Chinese academics protested, this survey also failed. Many Chinese archaeologists characterize Stein’s and others’ activities in China as plunder and dao jue (unlawful excavations).

Geographer and explorer Sven Anders Hedin (Sweden, 1865–1952) approached exploration from a different perspective. He conducted archaeological, geographic, meteorological, and palaeontological surveys from 1895 to 1935 in Xinjiang, Qinghai, Gansu, Ningxia, Inner
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Mongolia, and Tibet. During an expedition that lasted from 1899 to 1902, he discovered the Loulan site at Xinjiang, an abundant source of historical data. Most of Hedin’s surveys were well documented by maps, photographs, drawings, and site descriptions. His last and most important joint venture with Chinese scholars, the Northwestern Scientific Investigation Group, or Sino-Swedish Expedition, was led by Xu Bingxu (1888–1976) and lasted from 1927 to 1935. The cooperative nature of this expedition resulted from protests by Chinese academics, especially members of the faculty at Peking (Beijing) and Ts’inghua (Qinghua) Universities, who were unwilling simply to act as observers in archaeological excavations. Hedin agreed that all artifacts discovered would remain in China. With ten Chinese and seventeen European scholars at its start, Hedin’s expedition remains the largest joint Chinese-Western archaeological project ever undertaken.

The Geological Survey of China, an agency of the Republic’s Ministry of Agriculture and Commerce, and its founding director Ding Wenjiang (Chinese, 1887–1936) played vital roles in the early years of archaeological investigations in China. A British-trained geologist who sought to promote Western science in China, Ding was appointed by the government to the Survey in 1916 to locate mineral deposits. Ding recruited several distinguished Western scientists to assist in the effort. The most influential and well-known were Amadeus William Grabau
(American, 1870 – 1946), chief palaeontologist of the Survey and editor of *Paleontologia Sinica*; Johan Gunnar Andersson (Swedish, 1874 – 1960) who was hired by the Chinese government to survey coal and iron resources; Davidson Black (Canadian, 1884 – 1934) and J. Franz Weidenreich (German, 1873 – 1948), respectively professor of anatomy and director of the Cenozoic Laboratory at Peking Union Medical College (founded by the Rockefeller Foundation); and Pierre Teilhard de Chardin (French, 1881 – 1955), a Jesuit priest, theologian, and palaeontologist who also practiced archaeology.

Under Ding’s leadership, the Survey expanded its work of locating ore to include several palaeontological and prehistoric archaeological projects. The projects initiated and arranged by the Survey and its members not only transmitted new knowledge and educated a generation of Chinese scientists and surveyors in related fields but also contributed to the birth of Chinese archaeology.

The earliest expeditions and the later, more rigorous geological and palaeontological surveys all centered on northern China. Whereas the early expeditions had favored relics of historical periods and especially Buddhist art, interest in prehistoric archaeology grew steadily, and almost all were associated with the Survey. In this era, the work was undertaken by foreigners or by Chinese nationals who had been educated abroad and had returned to China. Most of the resulting reports were published at the highest standard of the time and remain essential references for today’s readers, though the excavation techniques often seem primitive to modern eyes.

During the 1910s, Chinese institutions of higher learning such as Peking University created departments of geology whose curricula introduced students to fieldwork. That textual scholars came to appreciate fully the value of archaeological materials is evident in studies by Luo Zhenyu (1866 – 1940) and Wang Guowei (1877 – 1927) of Han dynasty wooden slips and Shang dynasty oracle-bone inscriptions. In 1908, upon learning that oracle bones had been discovered in Anyang, Henan province, Luo sent his brother to collect the bones. Luo identified Xiaotun, Anyang, as Yinxu, the Late Shang capital (c. thirteenth–eleventh century BCE), and linked oracle bones to the religious and ritual practices of the Shang royals. In 1915, Luo personally went to Anyang to investigate the site first-hand; he recovered oracle bones, as well as other objects. Later, Wang Guowei used oracle-bone inscriptions to verify historical documents regarding the genealogy and history of the Shang dynasty and demonstrated that the “Yin ben ji” of the *Records of the Historian* (*Shi ji*, c. 104 – 86 BCE) was for the most part accurate. Luo and Wang not only inherited the traditional textual research of the Qian Jia School (School of the period of the Emperors Qianlong and Jiaqing, 1796 – 1820), but also made great contributions toward the development of *jin shi xue* as a major branch of Chinese archaeology. The groundwork for the formation of field archaeology in China was firmly in place.
The Swede Johan Gunnar Andersson was impelled by the uncertain political climate of the early 1900s to shift his attention from geology to palaeontology in 1917. With Ding Wenjiang’s unfailing encouragement, as well as his own fund-raising skills, Andersson secured support from both China and Sweden for publicity, financial assistance, and staff for palaeontological and archaeological undertakings.

In 1921, Andersson was responsible for three major discoveries: the Neolithic cave at Shaguotun, Jinxu area, Liaoning province; the Neolithic settlement at Yangshao village, Mianchi county, Henan province (Yangshao culture [c. 5000 – 3000 BCE]); and the Palaeolithic cave at Zhoukoudian, Beijing, which led to the discovery of Peking Man, or *Sinanthropus pekinensis* (700,000 – 200,000 BP).

The Yangshao excavation best represents modern Chinese archaeology in its inaugural phase. It took several years to complete the Yangshao excavation. Although Andersson had collected vertebrate fossils from Yangshao village as early as 1918, it was not until his assistant assembled several hundred stone artifacts from the site that Andersson himself returned to Yangshao. In April 1921 he found some painted pottery but did not realize its importance until he returned to Beijing and read a report on the American geologist Raphael Pumpelly’s 1903 – 1904 exploration to Anau, in present-day Turkmenistan, which referred to protohistoric painted pottery. With the permission of the government and the support of Ding Wenjiang, Andersson organized a team and launched an excavation from October to December of the same year.

Andersson believed that the painted Yangshao pottery had been brought to the Yellow River valley in prehistoric migrations from Eastern Europe. Therefore he searched for the roots of the Yangshao culture in the Gansu and Qinghai provinces, in northwestern China. During explorations in 1923 – 1924 he discovered the remains of six regional prehistoric and Bronze Age cultures, including the Majiayao (Machang) (5300 – 2050 BCE) and the Qijia (2000 – 1700 BCE). He identified and distinguished the characteristics of these cultures and then established a chronology of prehistoric cultures in the upper Yellow River area. Andersson’s nomenclature was adopted and remains in use today, though his chronology is not entirely accurate. Although he and his teammates had been trained in geology and palaeontology by Walter Granger (American, 1872 – 1941) of the American Museum of Natural History, New York, their excavation skills and experience were in developmental stages. Few comparative data and no carbon-14 tests were then available. For all that, his achievement — the discovery of a Stone Age in the “cradle area” of Chinese civilization — was remarkable. Andersson’s work revealed that a previously unknown civilization, which used polished stone tools, painted pottery, and an advanced system of agriculture, had inhabited the Central Plains, the eventual seat of the dynastic cultures.

Andersson’s early hypothesis that Chinese civilization had been transmitted from the West may have been influenced by the cultural diffusion theory prevalent among Western intellectu-
Andersson also initiated and guided the Zhoukoudian excavation, a find that drew international attention. At the suggestion of J. Megregor Gibb, professor of chemistry at Peking University, Andersson visited Zhoukoudian, Peking, in 1918. Andersson did not find the actual site and did not arrange the excavation until he sent his new assistant, the Austrian palaeontologist, Otto Zdansky, to Zhoukoudian in 1921. During another visit in 1921, Andersson noticed flakes of quartz, and, guessing that they might have been used as cutting implements, he asked Zdansky to complete the excavation. Zdansky unearthed two hominid molars during short-term excavations that same year and in 1923. The Zhoukoudian excavations lasted much longer than Andersson initially anticipated and eventually uncovered the world’s richest and most comprehensive early Palaeolithic remains.34

In 1926, Andersson announced this discovery at a reception to welcome the Crown Prince of Sweden, Gustaf VI Adolf (1882–1973).35 Andersson suggested that the Zhoukoudian excavation should be taken over by the Survey, in cooperation with Davidson Black of the Cenozoic Laboratory at Peking Union Medical College, and financed by the Rockefeller Foundation. This rewarding Sino-foreign enterprise lasted more than ten years until it was halted in 1937 by the Japanese invasion. The Zhoukoudian excavation spawned the first generation of Chinese palaeo-
olithic archaeologists, which included Pei Wenzhong (1904–1982), who had excavated the first almost intact cranium of Peking Man at Zhoukoudian in 1929 and discovered in situ stone tool artifacts and evidence of the use of fire by the Peking Man in 1931. Tragically, the more than forty fossil remains of Peking Man which had been kept by several Americans in China, were all lost in December 1941.

After Black’s death in 1934, Weidenreich was hired to continue anatomical studies on the Peking Man. Weidenreich published most of his own research, and his publications, as well as those of Black, proved to be vital records after the fossils’ disappearance.

Andersson established the practice of gathering experts from different disciplines to research and excavate archaeological sites. For example, Yuan Fuli (Chinese, 1893–1987), an American-educated geologist, and Zdansky were the principals in the Yangshao excavation and topographical survey. At Andersson’s invitation, Black studied the human skeletons at Yangshao, identifying them as proto-Chinese, similar to those of the present-day northern Chinese. Such collaborative strategies remain the method of choice for interpreting the findings of archaeological excavations.

While archaeological work continued, academics in other related fields had come to be called the “Questioning Antiquity” school (yi gu pai). Headed by Gu Jiegang (1893–1980), they determined that the chronicles of the three huang “emperors” and five di “emperors” of predynastic China (before 2000 BCE) had been created by Confucians and other schools that arose only after the Eastern Zhou period. Records of the early dynastic epoch (or Xia and Shang dynasties, c. the 21st–11th century BCE), moreover, were extremely sparse, and those that recount the history of the Xia and early Shang were also found to be unreliable, to the point that some even doubted the existence of the Xia. More than two thousand years of received wisdom and Chinese historiography were being challenged: suddenly an entire corpus of history — and the legendary sages of antiquity, model rulers, and early dynasties — had been subverted. China itself seemed to have lost its roots. Who but the Chinese archaeologist would be able to reconstruct early Chinese history?

Locating Yinxu (the Ruins of Yin) at Anyang, the purported Late Shang capital, became the first priority of Chinese scholars. The archaeologists’ objective was the same as that of traditional Chinese historiographers and antiquarians: to test the veracity of the classics and their annotations and, in so doing, to fill in the lacunae of history (zhengjing bushi). In 1928, the Institute of History and Philology of Academia Sinica founded an official Archaeological Section, which embarked on its initial field work at Anyang in the fall of that same year under Fu Sinian (1896–1950), the director of the institute and an eminent historian. Dong Zuobin (1895–1963), a gifted palaeographer of oracle-bone inscriptions despite a lack of university or archaeological training, was the engineer. Dong’s intention was to explore whether oracle bones might still survive in underground Yinxu after thirty years of exhaustive hunting had turned up little. The field work yielded nearly eight hundred pieces of inscribed oracle bones.
Soon after, two young Chinese scholars trained at Harvard University became key figures in the Anyang excavations. Li Ji (1895–1979), who modestly described himself as an anthropologist by training and an archaeologist through opportunity, was selected as the first head of the section in December 1928, and assumed the direction of the Anyang excavations. Li had taught at Qinghua University from 1925 to 1928, and worked with the archaeological team of the Freer Gallery of Art until 1930. Li is acknowledged as the first native Chinese archaeologist because of his work, assisted by Yuan Fuli, on the excavation of a Yangshao culture site at Xiyan, Xianxian, Shanxi, in late 1926. The Anyang excavations continued for fifteen seasons from 1928 to 1937. With Li Ji’s arrival at the Anyang project in the second season, the team began to pay attention to stratigraphic sequences of remains, traces of pits, tombs and buildings. Numerous artifacts and oracle bones, architectural foundations made of pounded earth, sites of Shang palaces and temples, and Shang royal mausoleums, were excavated.

The Anyang team continued to meet with success. Liang Siyong (1904–1954), son of Liang Qichao and the earliest academically trained Chinese field archaeologist (in the strictest sense of the word) joined the Anyang team in 1931. He discerned that the Shang culture was later than the Longshan culture, and the Longshan culture later than the Yangshao culture, by distinguishing the three stratigraphic orders of the Yangshao, Longshan, and Shang at Hougang, Anyang, in the same year. During the 1934–1935 seasons, Liang headed the excavations of eleven Shang royal tombs (one unfinished) at Xibeigang, Anyang. These excavations were the culmination of the Anyang undertaking, not only because its team was the best organized and engaged five hundred workers per day (a record high), but also — and more important — because of its discovery of structures, scales, and burials of the mausoleums. The Anyang excavations confirmed that the Yinxu at Anyang was the true Late Shang capital, and also that Chinese archaeology had come to be guided by Chinese archaeologists.

In the early 1920s, Emile Licent (French, 1876–1952) and Pierre Teilhard de Chardin uncovered three palaeolithic sites at Ningxia, Shaanxi, and Inner Mongolia, including the Ordos Man (a human incisor) and thousands of stone implements. Wu Jinding (Chinese, 1901–1948) found the Longshan culture at Longshan, Licheng, Shandong, in 1928—a discovery that immediately inspired the investigation of the relationship among the Yangshao, Shang, and Longshan cultures. In 1936–1937, Shi Xigeng (Chinese, 1912–1939) of the Xihu Museum unearthed black pottery and jade and stone objects at Liangzhu, Hangxian (currently Yuhang), Zhejiang. Shi’s classification of the Liangzhu as the Longshan culture was accepted by scholars at that time; since 1959, archaeologists have identified these remains as those of the Liangzhu culture. In 1945, Xia Nai (Chinese, 1910–1985) corrected Andersson’s sequence of prehistoric cultures in the upper Yellow River valley. Through his fieldwork and analysis of data Xia demonstrated that the Qijia culture was later than the Yangshao culture. This achievement presaged his critical role in Chinese archaeology from the 1950s to the 1980s.

In 1928, the Central Committee of Antiquities Preservation was established, charged with
the protection of ancient cultural relics and the prevention of unauthorized digging. Its enforcement authority was strengthened by the enactment in 1930 of the Law on the Preservation of Antiquities and by the promulgation in 1931 of regulations concerning the excavation and export of antiquities.51

During the formation period, Chinese and foreign archaeologists, guided by their convictions that the origins of Chinese culture were to be found in the environs of the Yellow River — or, alternatively, that Chinese culture was originally transmitted from the West — concentrated most of their efforts on the Yellow River valley and on northern China. “Palaeolithic” and “Neolithic” were accepted as designations for China’s early periods, a usage that has continued to the present day.52 Human and institutional resources were decidedly limited: fewer than twenty professional archaeologists were engaged in fieldwork through the whole of China. The formation of Chinese archaeology, however, benefited significantly from the training of its practitioners in the West, as well as from the work of the leading international specialists.53

Between 1937 and 1949, large-scale excavations by Chinese archaeologists were suspended as a result of the Japanese occupation and civil war in China. Some archaeological activities such as surveys continued in the northwestern and southwestern regions. While Japanese archaeologists took advantage of the occupation to render excavation-site surveys from northeastern China to Taiwan, Western scholars were forced to withdraw from Chinese archaeology for a period.54

1949–1976: INSTITUTIONALIZATION
When the People’s Republic of China was formed in October 1949, archaeological work was reenergized. Administration, excavation, research, and education were systematized and gradually extended nationwide. Since 1950, Chinese archaeology has been a state-regulated enter-
prise with steady, though modest, financial support from the government. Guo Moruo (Chinese, 1892–1978) launched these initiatives, while Xia Nai implemented them.

Guo Moruo, a renowned man of letters and a leading spirit of Chinese history, literature, and epigraphy (all mastered by self-study), was more than a patron of archaeology. Vice Premier of the State Council and President of the Chinese Academy of Sciences from 1950 until 1978, he proposed and received approval from Premier Zhou Enlai (1898–1976) to establish the Bureau of Cultural Relics (now the State Bureau of Cultural Relics) under the Ministry of Culture. He also proposed an Institute of Archaeology under the Academy, which became part of the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences in 1977. Both were established in 1949 and 1950. The former is the government branch that administers the affairs of archaeologists and museums, while the latter is the national academic agency for excavation and research. Zheng Zhenduo (Chinese, 1898–1958), a noted scholar, was the founding director of both. Guo also selected Liang Siyong and Xia Nai as deputy directors for the Institute of Archaeology. Zheng, who was not a field archaeologist, devoted himself to the administration of archaeological affairs until his death in 1958 (Liang, incapacitated by severe tuberculosis, had died in 1954). In 1962 Xia became director of the institute by default but emerged as a major policymaker from the 1950s through the mid-1980s.

Xia Nai had been an intern under Liang Siyong in field archaeology during the Anyang excavations and had studied at the University of London from 1935 to 1939. There, one of his advisors was Mortimer Wheeler (British, 1890–1977). Liang’s studies abroad greatly benefited him later.

When Xia assumed the leadership of the Institute in 1950, he quickly organized and dispatched a team of his young protégés to Huixian, Henan province, in the Yellow River valley. Xia taught each one how to conduct field work, and in the depths of winter he personally excavated remains of nineteen chariots dated to the Warring States period (475–221 BCE) — an exceedingly laborious and intricate task. Xia cultivated a generation of core archaeologists for the institute while continuing to achieve such discoveries as the Shang culture remains at Huixian and Zhengzhou, both in Henan province, which antedated those from Anyang. Features of Chu tombs in Changsha, Hunan province, were preliminarily observed through large-scale excavations that laid the foundation for further research into Chu culture. These projects expanded our knowledge of the sequence and regional distribution of the Shang culture and extended controlled archaeological excavations into the Yangzi River reaches. From the 1950s through the 1970s, under the direction of Xia, the Institute was at the center of most major archaeological excavations.

In the early 1980s, Xia Nai was the greatest authority in Chinese archaeology, and I was inspired by his gentle, amiable, and approachable manner. A man of principle and integrity, he did not hesitate to state his beliefs or even to oppose his supervisors or high government officials. The story of the excavation from 1956 to 1958 of one of the imperial mausoleums of the
Ming dynasty (1368–1644) near Beijing is often related. Wu Han (1909–1969), vice mayor of Beijing and a famed historian of Ming history, had proposed to excavate the mausoleums but was rebuffed by Zheng Zhenduo and Xia Nai. Wu was insistent, and eventually the excavation plan of the Wanli mausoleum was approved by the State Council. Xia Nai headed the assignment, unwillingly. The excavation ultimately confirmed Xia’s belief that existing conditions presented a major impediment to excavation, and the plan to excavate the largest of the Ming mausoleums was abandoned. In 1961, the State Council forbade excavation of imperial tombs on the basis of Xia’s reports. Later, Guo Moruo wanted to excavate a Tang dynasty mausoleum, but when Xia Nai objected, Moruo capitulated. Xia frequently exhorted colleagues not to be motivated by the possibility of exhuming treasures. Although settlements or residential sites often contained only pottery fragments, their research value often surpassed that of many tombs. The achievement of an archaeologist, Xia argued, should be measured not by what has been recovered but rather by how the site has been excavated. Xia’s words still guide archaeological practices today.

In the 1950s, Marxism-Leninism-Mao Zedong Thought became the mandatory theoretical guideline for Chinese archaeology. Marxist historical materialism and the social evolutionary model proposed by anthropologist Lewis Henry Morgan (American, 1818–1881) and further elaborated by Friedrich Engels (German, 1820–1895) informed the interpretations of archaeological data. (Guo Moruo had in fact advocated Marxist historical materialism and Morgan’s theory long before 1949.) In 1930, Guo published A Study of Ancient Chinese Society (Zhongguo gudai shehui yanjiu), the first scholarly interpretation of ancient Chinese history under the Marxist model of social evolution with an emphasis on the forces and relations of production. Adapting Engels’ and Morgan’s ideas, Guo classified ancient China as having primitive, successive slave societies. Guo’s fresh approach not only won recognition from academic circles but dominated archaeological studies from 1949 until very recently. Marxist historical materialism captivated archaeologists because it proposed that social development was the consequence of techno-economic and techno-environmental evolution, data manifested in archaeological findings.

The politicization of archaeological research during this period did not change the data, and dicta of Morgan, Engels, or Mao Zedong (1893–1976) were often confined to conclusions or interpretations. One of the paradigms was the attempt to match archaeological discoveries to the Marxist model of kinship and social organization, such as matriarchal or patrilineal societies, and no one dared to criticize these efforts. Overall, the objective description and analysis of archaeological data were not affected, as Chinese archaeologists continued to study the typology, stratigraphy, and chronology of cultures—an approach that originated in traditional historiography.

Yet, between 1949 and the early 1970s, no practical or theoretical exchanges took place between China and the West. Scholarly and cultural dialogues between Chinese and foreign
archaeologists were scarce and superficial if they occurred at all. Except for a brief period when China and its archaeologists were in the Soviet orbit during the 1950s, they were isolated from developed nations in the West. All progress depended upon Xia Nai and archaeologists of his generation, as well as the younger generation of archaeologists.

In 1952, Peking (Beijing) University established the Archaeological Specialization Division (called the Department of Archaeology since 1983) under the Department of History, built upon the foundation established as early as 1922 under the leadership of Ma Heng (1881–1955), an epigrapher. Ma and his colleagues organized archaeological surveys and excavations during the 1920s and 1930s, but as his expertise was not field work, systematic and professional field training was not offered until 1952.

As the training of an archaeologist takes at least four years, formal university programs could not satisfy the immediate demand for more archaeologists in the field. Trained archaeologists were needed for rescue excavations engendered by the nationwide large-scale construction of railways, roads, irrigation works, canals, reservoirs, factories, and buildings. Accordingly, the Institute of Archaeology, Peking (Beijing) University, and the State Bureau of Cultural Relics jointly organized a series of accelerated courses — two months in the classroom and two months of fieldwork — and from 1952 to 1955 aspiring archaeologists were trained in this way. During the four years of short-term training courses, 341 students were selected from all over China. They returned to their original locations or provinces after graduation. Many served as core archaeologists and leaders of provincial and local institutions until their retirement. These archaeologists were nicknamed “Huangpu classes,” a reference to the Huangpu (Whampoa) Military Academy (1923–1930) located at Huangpu, Guangzhou City. During the early twentieth century, most senior school-trained generals were educated at this school and later served in the armies of the Nationalist and Communist parties of China.

American-style anthropology, encompassing archaeology, physical anthropology, cultural anthropology, art history, and linguistics, was not encouraged until 1980. Until then, archaeology and ethnology were regarded as separate disciplines and taught in different university departments; Chinese academic officials considered archaeology an essential constituent of the science of history rather than the science of anthropology.

Eventually an efficient network for administration and research was established in China. In addition to the State Bureau of Cultural Relics and the Institute of Archaeology, another national archaeological research agency, the Institute of Vertebrate Palaeontology and Palaeoanthropology, was established under the Chinese Academy of Sciences. It became responsible for palaeolithic archaeology. Archaeological teams in the provinces, autonomous regions, and special municipalities (hereafter collectively called “provinces”) were formed in affiliation with either a provincial museum, cultural bureau, or cultural relics administrative commission. Departments of cultural relics, organized under the provincial cultural bureaus, were established in many cities and prefectures. When it was revealed that cultural relics had
been discovered accidentally in some localities, regional authorities were given the responsibility for reporting and collecting discoveries and archaeological materials found by farmers, construction workers, and surveyors. They also participated in excavations, although the more important field excavations were directed by the Beijing-based institutions. Over several decades, the network for administration has evolved, and now also provides locations for the study, preservation, and display of cultural properties.

In 1950, the State Council issued provisional statutory measures prohibiting the unauthorized excavation and export of precious cultural relics, including works of art and rare books. In 1953, the Council further required that all ancient tombs and sites discovered during construction be excavated by teams operating under the authority of the State Bureau of Cultural Relics and the Chinese Academy of Sciences. As a result of effective and strict enforcement, smuggling and the illegal export of artifacts almost ceased between the 1950s and early 1970s. Archaeologists were able to work without fear of interference by intruders or plunderers.

Cultural relics, especially those already above ground and those in private collections, were hardly exempt from politics, though. Many works of art were lost, particularly during the
Cultural Revolution (1966 – 1976). For example, the Ming dynasty city walls of Beijing were demolished between the 1950s and the early 1970s, despite the outcry of scholars, in order to widen roads and build new construction. Protests by Liang Sicheng (Chinese, 1901 – 1972), a leading authority on ancient architecture and elder brother of Liang Siyong, were ignored.

Still, beginning in the 1950s, the Chinese government subsidized archaeological publications, including monographs and three major periodicals: Wenwu (Cultural Relics), Kaogu (Archaeology), and Kaogu xuebao (Acta Archaeologica Sinica). The first is produced by the Wenwu Press (Cultural Relics Press) with the State Bureau’s sponsorship, while the latter two are published by the Institute of Archaeology. These journals ensure the promulgation of research and data of archaeological discoveries and works of art, and are the most prominent and scholarly national journals on the subject today. Archaeologists refer to them as the “Three Great Magazines.” In 1966, however, publication was suspended. In 1972 publication resumed, with Zhou Enlai’s permission, and at Guo Moruo’s request.

While the metropolitan centers of the ancient Chinese dynasties remained the preeminent planned archaeological projects (field stations of the Institute of Archaeology were established in the region of the Yellow River capitals — in particular, in the precincts of Anyang, Luoyang, and Xi’an), archaeological activities occurred in nearly all of China’s provinces. With the resumption of palaeolithic archaeology in 1949 at the Zhoukoudian site, new hominid fossils and cultural remains from all periods continued to be discovered throughout China, at Yuanmou, Yunnan; Liujiang, Guangxi; Lantian, Shaanxi; Dingcun, Shanxi; and even in Tibet. Neolithic archaeologists refined prehistoric chronology in the Yellow River valley, while also obtaining fresh knowledge of the Yangzi River basin by unearthing cultural remains at Daxi (4400 – 3300 BCE), Qujing (5000 – 2600 BCE), and Songze (3500 – 3300 BCE). The 10,000-square-meter excavation of the moated Yangshao culture village at Banpo, Xi’an, and the 5400-square-meter excavation of the Dawenkou culture (4500 – 2500 BCE) cemetery at Dawenkou, Tai’an, Shandong, expanded knowledge of social and material life and the patterns of settlements in northern China. Across the Taiwan Straits, several prehistoric vestiges were excavated, and were found to share, with cultures in other southeast provinces of Fujian, Guangdong, and Jiangxi a tradition of coarse cord-marked pottery.

Besides the discovery of the Early Shang culture at Erligang in Zhengzhou, a Bronze Age culture that antedated the Erligang was found at Erlitou, Yanshi, Henan province, in 1959. This find supplied a missing link between the Shang dynasty and prehistoric China. Many scholars now believe that the Erlitou culture was the relic of the first historiographical Chinese dynasty, Xia (c. 2000 – 1500 century BCE). An idiosyncratic Bronze Age culture (c. the fifth BCE to first century CE), strikingly different from the Yellow River cultures, was uncovered in the Dianchi Lake area, Yunnan province, in southwestern China. Its sculptural bronzes revealed the daily and ritual life, economy, custom, and other social aspects of the Dian people. Ancient capitals from the Eastern Zhou to the Yuan dynasties, at Luoyang, Xi’an, and Beijing, were sur-
veyed and excavated, and ancient cities, architectural plans, scales, and technology were documented, all since the 1950s.81

Although planned archaeological activities were infrequent or sporadic during the Cultural Revolution, extraordinary accidental discoveries and rescue excavations continued to occur. The jade shrouds from the tombs of Prince Liu Sheng and his wife at Mancheng, Hebei (second century BCE); a bronze galloping horse from Leitai, Wuwei, Gansu (186 CE); an almost intact corpse of a noblewoman, textiles, silk paintings and documents, and lacquers from Mawangdui, Changsha, Hunan (second century BCE); and the life-size terra-cotta army from the burial pits (third century BCE) near the First Emperor’s mausoleum at Lintong, Shaanxi, were all unexpected discoveries.82 These finds confirmed the prodigious capability of the ancient Chinese people to create astonishing works of art. Gradually, exhibitions were organized and sent abroad, beginning in 1972. These have attracted huge numbers of visitors, and have increased interest in and understanding of Chinese culture and art. Perhaps more important, such exhibitions heralded China’s eventual reopening to the world.

1977 TO THE PRESENT: MATURATION

The arrest of the Gang of Four in late 1976 marked the end of the Cultural Revolution.85 In the wake of sweeping reforms initiated by Deng Xiaoping (1905–1997), China has fundamentally changed. Under this favorable climate, archaeology in China has achieved maturity, owing in part to the diverse means of scientific chronometric dating (and particularly the full utilization of radiocarbon and carbon-14 dating techniques).

In 1977, Xia Nai used the information provided by carbon-14 dating to produce a chronological framework for prehistoric cultures in seven regional clusters. He substantiated his 1962 thesis that several cultural systems had coexisted in the Yellow River and Yangzi River areas—rice cultures flourished in the south, and millet cultures in the north.84 In 1984, Xia further suggested that a sophisticated and highly stratified society had emerged prior to the Shang period (and no later than the Erlitou period).85 Xia spurred rethinking of when, how, why, and from where Chinese civilization was formed and developed. Xia Nai’s 1977 study had recourse to a mere ninety-four items dated by radiocarbon analysis; by 1991, radiocarbon dates had been established for more than 2,100 objects.86 Chronometric dating techniques other than radiocarbon dating—amino-acid racemization, archaeomagnetism, dendrochronology, fission track, obsidian hydration, potassium-argon, thermoluminescence, and uranium series—began to be employed.

Epochal archaeological discoveries of early and middle Neolithic cultures in the Yellow River watershed and the lower Yangzi River delta were realized in the 1970s. In the north, Neolithic cultures dated to the sixth millennium BCE were excavated at Dadiwan, Qin’an, Gansu province; Peiligang, Xinzheng, Henan province; and Cishan, Wuan, Hebei province.87
In the meantime, another culture that had existed between the sixth and fifth millennia BCE (c. 5400 - 4400 BCE) was unearthed at Beixin, Tengxian, Shandong province. These relics of millet-based cultures have been universally recognized as predecessors of the Yangshao and Dawenkou cultures. In the south, cultural remains from 5000 to 3300 BCE, which seem to parallel those of the Yangshao culture, were excavated at Hemudu, Yuyao, Zhejiang province. This rice-based culture is extraordinary because of its bone tools and above-ground log houses constructed with mortise-and-tenon joints.

Beginning in 1981, Su Bingqi (1909 – 1997) identified at least six major independent co-evolving regional traditions throughout prehistoric China, and proposed that “archaic cities” and “archaic states” had emerged during the late prehistoric period. Since then, the concept of coexisting and interactive multicultural sequences has emerged as a prevalent theoretical approach in contemporary archaeology. Founding chair of the Archaeology Specialization (now Department of Archaeology) at Beijing University, Su Bingqi was also an esteemed typologist of ancient pottery. He was capable of picking up random pot sherds and immediately identifying each by culture, utilizing touch alone. During my last visit with him in Beijing, in October 1996, he discussed topics ranging from the formation of the “Chinese archaeological school” to his optimistic prospects for future Chinese archaeology.

During the 1980s, Jilin, Nanjing, Shandong, Shanxi, Sichuan, Wuhan, Xibei, and Zhengzhou universities added an Archaeological Specialization within their departments of history. Jilin University established a Department of Archaeology in 1988. Zhongshan and Xiamen Universities in southern China also established archaeological programs, although they are contained within their departments of anthropology, as they tend to be in the United States. Political connections are no longer prerequisites to participation in intellectual endeavors. Various schools and theories of archaeology have been introduced from the West and dis-
Chinese archaeologists and students are eager to embrace the ideas, theories, methodologies, and trends followed by archaeologists from other countries.

The Cultural Relics Law of China was promulgated in 1982, and in the years since, excavations have benefited from protection by Chinese law. By the 1990s, most provinces had established institutes of archaeology independent of parent museums or cultural relics administrative commissions. More than one thousand professional archaeologists are employed by archaeological institutions and universities throughout China. Several large and important projects have been staffed by archaeologists associated with provincial and city institutions. They have developed techniques to preserve excavated artifacts such as the bamboo and wood articles, costumes, and silk paintings and documents from the Mawangdui Han tombs; lacquer and textiles from the Mashan grave of the Warring States period (cats. 111–112); and lacquer from the tomb of Marquis Yi of the Zeng state (cats. 107–109).

Social reform and administrative decentralization since 1980 have created opportunities for archaeologists to publish outside of the “Three Great Magazines,” and periodicals published by the provincial institutions, with a regional focus or specialization, have flourished. Nongye kaogu (Agricultural archaeology) has been published in Jianxi province since 1981; a national gazette, Zhongguo wenwubao (China Culture and Relics Newspaper), instituted in 1986, focuses on archaeology and museums.

Prompted by the discovery and subsequent sale of a quantity of Ming dynasty porcelain discovered under the South China Sea in 1986, a unit of underwater archaeology was created by the Museum of Chinese History in 1987. Japanese specialists were invited to China to give lectures; Chinese archaeologists were sent to Holland, Japan, and the United States to study related techniques. In 1989, a Sino-Japanese team conducted an underwater archaeological survey of a shipwreck near Taishan, Guangdong province. Proposals for joint archaeological ventures have been advanced by both Chinese and non-Chinese archaeologists.

On 22 February 1991, the State Bureau of Cultural Relics adopted twenty-two regulatory articles governing cooperative archaeological investigations. Having been prohibited from participating in archaeological work for more than forty years, American, British, Canadian, French, Israeli, Japanese, and Korean archaeologists, specialists, and students are once again working in China on projects investigating the palaeolithic through dynastic periods. Experts in archaeology, archaeobotany, archaeozoology, archaeological conservation, geomorphology, palynology, physical anthropology, remote sensing, and topography have worked together, employing geological coring, electrical resistivity, the Fourier Transform Infrared spectrometer, ground-penetrating radar, the Geographic Information System, the Global Positioning System, proton magnetometry, aerial and satellite image analysis, botanical specimens through soil flotation, chemical analyses, faunal remains analysis, collection and analysis of phytoliths, isotopic analysis of ancient human bone, micromorphological analysis, mineralogical analysis, and uranium-series dating. Investigations are continuing on early hominid populations, distri-
bution, chronology, and behavior; the distribution of microlithic sites; prehistoric diet, settlement and subsistence patterns; the evolution of landscape and topography; climatic and environmental variations; cultural adaptations and tool technologies; the commencement and development of sophisticated societies; the origins and evolution of rice agriculture; early Bronze Age sites; early Shang civilization; and prehistoric and ancient cultural interaction between East and West in the pivotal region of Xinjiang province. Archaeological collaborations have extended into conservation and restoration. Among the great achievements of the past decade are discoveries of hominid occupation of China around one million years ago; early domesticated rice cultures; prehistoric walled towns; and the earliest known Buddhist sanctuaries.

Our knowledge of ancient China, once purely speculative, is now based on a systematic, scientific history encompassing nearly two million years. Two male Homo sapiens, one a well-preserved cranium unearthed at Dali, Shaanxi province, in 1978, another a relatively complete human fossil, including the cranium dated to 280,000 BP were found at Jinniushan, Yingkou, Liaoning province, in 1984. The two finds provided data on the transition from Homo erectus to Homo sapiens. For the late prehistoric period, the momentous discoveries of jade works of the Liangzhu culture (cats. 29 – 56) in the lower Yangzi delta and of the Hongshan culture (cats. 10 – 22) in northeastern China have revealed a high level of skill in the crafting of hardstone materials, and corroborated the theory that Chinese civilization arose in many places. Along with the Taosi and Shandong Longshan, these cultures employed stratified burials (cats. 24 – 28). Additional evidence of walled towns and pictographs (cat. 23) has led many scholars to believe that China emerged as a state-organized society in the third millennium BCE.

The great historian Sima Qian (c. 145 – 86 BCE) documented dynastic China from the Xia dynasty (c. 2100 BCE) to his era, but he was unable to reconstruct a year-to-year chronology prior to 841 BCE. Archaeological finds have now made it possible to create a temporal and spatial framework of early bronze cultures that corresponds with the first “Three Dynasties” (Xia, Shang, and Zhou). A very early Shang city located at Shixianggou, Yanshi, Henan province, provides crucial information to ascertain the nature of the Erlitou culture and the distinction between Xia and Shang. Modern archaeology has revealed, in areas traditionally described as backward, advanced and complex cultures that created objects of surpassing beauty. This is perhaps most evident in the works included here from the Yangzi River watershed (cats. 57 – 75). The extraordinary bronze figures, masks, human heads, and spirit trees from Sanxingdui, Guanghan, Sichuan province, and Dayangzhou, Xin’gan, Jiangxi province, are unlike those found in the Shang metropolitan or the Yellow River area, but, almost all contemporaneous bronze cultures shared the Shang dynastic ritual bronze vessels and motifs.

The material and artistic features of ancient Chinese cultures were poorly described in historical documents. The record was silent on the huge underground terra-cotta army of the First Emperor of the Qin dynasty (221 – 206 BCE). Today, however, Song dynasty paintings can be compared with their excavated antecedents, which date more than one thousand years
Excavation photograph of Tomb 1, Mawangdui, Changsha, Hunan province, showing submerged wooden figurines and lacquer vases. The tomb (which dates to the Western Han dynasty) was excavated in 1972.

earlier. Ming dynasty porcelain can be traced back to glazed ceramic antecedents from the eleventh century BCE. The set of sixty-five bronze bells from the tomb of Marquis Yi of the Zeng state, with a range of more than five octaves of twelve semi-tones each, rivals a modern-day piano. Archaeology in China, moreover, is not limited to underground excavations: archaeological techniques have been applied to the study of Buddhist caves and works of art in Xingjiang, Central China, and Tibet, in order to establish their regional and temporal distributions.

The progress of Chinese archaeology over the last hundred years mirrors the opening of twentieth-century China; it has opened to view the richest and most abundant cultural remains in the world. Yet the ancestral legacies revealed by archaeology are finite, and great care must be exercised, regardless of other considerations, in the practice of archaeology. Ensuring the well-being of Chinese archaeology in the twenty-first century will require overcoming some grave obstacles, such as organized looting and smuggling of archaeological treasures. Archaeologists have achieved great things in times of prosperity as well as turmoil. The future of Chinese archaeology, too, is certain to be fruitful.
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Prior to the birth of modern Chinese archaeology, the best accounts of China’s prehistory were the learned sagas contained in the *Shiji* (Records of the historian) by Sima Qian (c. 145–86 BCE) or the narratives in the *Yuejue shu* (c. 40 CE) by Yuan Kang (c. first century CE). The latter mentions an Eastern Zhou philosopher who claimed that the Iron Age had been preceded by the Stone Age, the Jade Age, and the Bronze Age. Few people took this four-fold periodization of human history seriously; most dismissed it as vagary, a position that was maintained even into the early twentieth century. In the 1910s, for example, the Japanese archeologist Torii Ryuzo discovered what we now know to be Neolithic artifacts in northeastern China but attributed their manufacture to “barbarian” peoples or minorities inhabiting the peripheries of China during the dynastic era. Today, after nearly a century of archaeological investigations, we can discern a panorama of prehistoric China spanning nearly two million years. Surveys and excavations performed in the Yellow and Yangzi River regions and in northeastern China have yielded a framework of six coevolving regional traditions that ranges from the Neolithic to the Chalcolithic Age.

Five of these six regional traditions, representing the late stage of China’s prehistory (c. 5000–2000 BCE), are represented in this exhibition. They comprise the following: in the middle Yellow River basin, the Yangshao culture, distinguished by its polychrome painted pottery (cats. 1–5), and one of its late continuations — the Taosi Longshan culture, with a distinctive painted ceramic style of its own (cats. 25–27); in the upper reaches of the Yellow River, the Majiayao culture (which also developed out of the Yangshao culture), whose splendid ceramics incorporate abstract and, more rarely, figural designs (cats. 6–9). The Dawenkou and Shandong Longshan cultures inhabited the lower Yellow River area; they are represented here by pottery incised with pictographs and elegant jadework (cats. 23–24). The Hongshan culture, manifested in its jades, a terra-cotta torso, and ceramics (cats. 10–22), was situated in northeastern China. The lower Yangzi River delta was peopled by the Liangzhu culture, associated with jades that feature exquisite miniature carvings (cats. 29–36). The last established nexus (not included in this exhibition) is in the middle Yangzi River basin, and its late-period culture, Shijiahe, produced well-known, small-scale animal and human sculptures in terra-cotta and jade. These cultures, whose artifacts manifest distinctive characteristics, were the main forces in the formation of Chinese civilization; each bequeathed its heritage to the later dynastic cultures.

The most significant contributions of archaeology to our understanding of prehistoric China are the following: (1) in the absence of reliable written records, archaeology created a framework for the prehistory of China; (2) it established that the Chinese dynastic civilization did not originate solely in the Yellow River valley (as had previously been thought), but that it was formed by a confluence of cultures inhabiting the lands bordering the Yangzi River, northeastern China, and other areas. The peoples of each region, while interacting with those from...
other regions, formed distinctive cultures — each at a different pace, certainly, but developing along similar trajectories.

The objects themselves do not allow us to distinguish the artistic superiority of one culture over another. Beginning in the fourth millennium BCE, jade was used extensively to make ritual objects, exemplified by the Hongshan culture in the north and the Liangzhu culture in the south. During roughly contemporaneous periods, monochrome pottery became prevalent in most regions, while polychrome ceramics flourished among the Majiayao and the Taosi Longshan cultures. The phenomenon of exchange among regional cultures is manifested in their works of art. Dragon motifs, for example, although they assumed diverse forms, were shared by the Hongshan, Taosi Longshan, and Liangzhu cultures (compare cats. 10, 25, and 35); animal masks appear in the Hongshan and Liangzhu cultures (compare cats. 13 and 29–30).4

Archaeology has demonstrated that these prehistoric cultures were more complex and interconnected than had previously been thought. Ceramics from the Jiahu site at Wuyang (Henan province) in the middle Yellow River region, dating to between 7000 and 5800 BCE,
exhibit similarities to those of the Peiligang culture in the same area; the use of tortoise-shell and river deer (Hydropotes inermis) tusks among the Jiahu peoples is mirrored in objects of the Dawenkou culture in the region of the lower Yellow River; the cultivation of rice at Jiahu reflects the influence of Yangzi River cultures. This discovery challenged the conventional approach of characterizing cultures by primary reliance on excavated artifacts.

Over time, the cultural position of the Central Plains (located in ancient “central” China) became more prominent. The region’s geographic advantages enabled the cultures that inhabited it to take on an increasingly assimilative and intermediary character during the course of China’s prehistory. During that period, societies experienced fundamental changes, elaborated herein by Professor Zhang Zhongpei (pages 519–525). These changes ushered China into the dynastic era.

---

1. Sima Qian, juan 1 “Wu Di ben ji” in the Shi ji (for English translation and notes see Watson 1961); and Yuan Kang, juan 11 “Waizhuan ji baojian” in the Yue jue shu.
2. See Torii 1910.
3. See, for example, Yang Xiaoneng 1988.
4. For further discussion of this subject, see Yang Xiaoneng 1999.
6. The term “Central Plains,” narrowly defined, corresponds to the present-day province of Henan. Under a broader definition, which we use here, it extends to the reaches of the middle and lower Yellow River.
During the fifth millennium BCE, all across northern China, along the fertile loess terraces bordering the Yellow and Wei Rivers and their tributaries, small Neolithic agricultural settlements were coming into being. These settlements belong to the Banpo culture, which takes its name from the site discovered in the early 1950s near the present-day city of Xi’an, in eastern Shaanxi province.

The Banpo people were not the first agriculturalists in this area, nor the first to make pottery. They were preceded in the sixth millennium by a cultural horizon of millet farmers who produced a distinctive corded-ware pottery. Many of the Banpo villages were built in exactly the same locations first occupied by their corded-ware predecessors, and there is sufficient similarity between these two cultural groups to suggest a degree of continuity between them.1

The Banpo culture belongs to a broad category of northern Neolithic cultures, called the Yangshao horizon, conventionally defined by their use of pottery with painted decoration. The Yangshao period lasted from the early fifth millennium until Longshan times, beginning in the early fourth millennium, when the production of painted wares came virtually to an end in north China. By the Longshan period, when undecorated gray wares were the ceramics of choice, other important cultural changes had taken place. The population had increased substantially beyond its level during Yangshao times, social organization had become more complex and more highly stratified, and for the first time we see evidence of strife among the settlements as they vied for more limited resources. Apart from Banpo, the Yangshao culture is represented in the exhibition by Majiayao vessels (cats. 6–9), and by a single, atypical example from the Dahecun phase in Henan (cat. 5).2

The three Banpo sites known in greatest detail are Banpocun; Jiangzhai at Lintong, not far from Xi’an; and Beishouling at Baoji in western Shaanxi province.3 All three sites were occupied for long periods of time, and they must have been established landmarks, familiar to generations of Banpo people in their travels from one location to another. These villages covered areas as large as 50,000 square meters, and the layout of each was essentially the same. The main component was a dwelling area, usually surrounded by a ditch measuring about five meters wide and five meters deep. The houses within this area faced an open common at the center, where traces of animal pens have been discovered.

The houses themselves were either round or square in plan, and their foundations were constructed at ground level, or slightly below. The walls were of wattle and daub, and wooden posts supported their thatched roofs. Many had covered ramps leading to the interior. Inside was a central hearth, and in some cases banquettes made of clay were constructed along the inner walls. At Jiangzhai the houses were arranged in five clusters, each made up of a single large dwelling, about twenty meters square, surrounded by a number of smaller dwellings. According to K. C. Chang, this layout indicates that the village was organized according to lineage affiliation.4

The burial fields and the kilns were located outside the dwelling area, beyond the confines of the ditch. In the Jiangzhai cemetery, the archaeologists discovered close to fifty burials from...
the first Banpo phase, nearly all of them simple rectangular pits containing a single individual in dorsal position. Later, however, the burial procedure must have undergone a radical change. The majority of the three hundred Phase II graves were found to be secondary burials containing some twenty individuals, both male and female, of various ages, arranged neatly in rows. There are also rare cases where the remains seem to have been haphazardly tossed into the grave pit. Both primary and secondary burials are typical of the broader range of Banpo sites, as was the habit of burying infants, placed in pottery urns, close to their families in the dwelling area. The uniformly small number of grave goods that accompany the Banpo burials indicates a relative lack of rigid social stratification.

In fields peripheral to the settlements the inhabitants grew millet and vegetables. They relied on slash-and-burn agriculture, which entailed the progressive cutting away of the surrounding wooded areas to prepare new ground for cultivation. To supplement their diet, the Banpo people raised domesticated pigs and fished in the river; in the outlying wooded areas, they hunted wild animals. All these activities required the specialized production of stone and bone implements. The craft involving the highest level of specialization, however, was pottery making. Kilns have been found at all the major sites, and judging by the abundance of pottery at these settlements, they must have been frequently in use. Alone among the artifacts that have been preserved, the pottery provides an insight into the aesthetic sensibilities of the Banpo people.

Despite the very large numbers in which they have been found, the pottery vessels are confined to three basic types: tall, wide-mouthed jars tapering toward the base, which were used for storing grain; containers for liquids (large water jars, usually with a pointed bottom, requiring a stand of some sort, and smaller gourd-shaped flasks, possibly for millet ale); and, finally, bowls, as well as larger basins with everted rims.

Painted decoration is restricted almost entirely to the small number of vessels used at meals. The decoration was applied exclusively in black pigment, which contrasts with the reddish color of the ware. At the onset of the decorative tradition, the patterns were alternatively geometric, consisting mainly of multiple zigzag lines, used primarily for flasks, or composed of small, more or less realistic images of animals and fish, which are painted on the inner surfaces of bowls. The fish are often paired with mysterious masklike human faces, with fishlike appendages extending from the sides of their mouths. Subsequently, larger and progressively more stylized images of fish make their appearance on the outer surfaces of the bowls, and from then on, the interiors are left plain. In the final period, contorted versions of these stylized fish are added to the small flasks in sophisticated designs that leave the original image almost unrecognizable. In general, however, the key to an appreciation of the Banpo decorative style resides less in a perception of specific images, such as the fish or masklike faces, than in an awareness of the careful and eloquent balance of painted and unpainted surface and the configurations and elements of pure design thus engendered—characteristics inherent to this style since its beginning.
Two vessels in the exhibition come from the site of Dadiwan, in the Qin’an area of Gansu province, which marks the approximate western limit reached by the Banpo settlements (cats. 2–3). Another is from the nearby site of Wangjiayinwa (cat. 4). Like the Banpo sites in Shaanxi province, Dadiwan witnessed a long period of habitation, during which five recognizably separate cultures succeeded one another in time. Late in the Banpo period at Dadiwan, large rectangular buildings make their first appearance. These buildings, constructed as a setting for the administrative or ceremonial functions of a governing elite, suggest a society much changed since early Banpo times. One of these (F 405), which had entrances on three sides, measured 14 meters in length and 11 meters across.

The range of ceramics at Dadiwan suggests that the period of the Banpo habitation coincides with that at Baoji, and that communications between the two settlements were close. One pen basin from Dadiwan, for instance, which is decorated with geometricized renditions of fish images, finds a virtually identical counterpart among the late phase finds at Baoji. The Banpo phase at Dadiwan appears to have outlasted that at Beishouling, however, and witnessed the reduction of the once discrete images of fish to virtually abstract forms (cat. 2). Although this final phase in the evolution of the Banpo decorative style is absent at Beishouling, it is represented by a small number of pottery fragments at Banpo and Jiangzhai, as well as at Nanchengzi, in Huayin, slightly farther to the east. At this point in time, the remnants of the Banpo fish designs were absorbed into the newly emerging Miaodigou decorative style, which supplanted Banpo throughout the Shaanxi region.

The fact that the various phases in the evolution of the ceramic forms and their decoration recur throughout the continuum of Banpo sites indicates that the communities all across Shaanxi province and into eastern Gansu province maintained close relations with one another, and that they prized their association with the culture at large, to the point of sustaining a taste for its signature products. The consistency of vessel shapes and decorative designs at any one period in time also demonstrates the professional nature of the ceramic workshops and excludes the possibility that the production of ceramics was ever a “cottage industry” undertaken by individual households. This same professional quality pertains to all the other Neolithic Chinese pottery traditions, whether earlier or later, and serves as an indication of the degree of specialization within these societies.

The Banpo pottery also reveals another, quite different aspect of this culture. On a small number of vessels there appear single marks incised, or in very rare cases, painted, on the surface. They are seen almost exclusively on small rimless bowls. The marks take a variety of forms, but the same ones recur on different bowls and on bowls from different sites. Their significance is uncertain, but whatever the case, these marks seem to belong to a system and obviously carried some sort of recognizable meaning. While they cannot be considered as evidence of actual writing, and were apparently not passed on to the succeeding Yangshao cultures, they are nonetheless of considerable significance as a nascent phase in the use of visual signs, or as a
kind of graphic notation. These marks, present on the pottery remains throughout a wide spectrum of Banpo sites, from Jiangzhai and Banpocun in eastern Shaanxi province, westward to Dadiwan, are a further demonstration of the remarkable network of communications sustained for many centuries over the entire geographic territory settled by this early culture. LF-H

1. In southern China, on the other hand, rice agriculture, associated with an entirely different cultural system, centered in the Hanzhou Bay region, reaches back almost as far into the past. The Hemudu and Majiaibang people of the south lived along the marshy shores of the bay in wooden houses built on stilts, and their way of life was clearly very different from that of their contemporaries in the north.

2. While scholars generally agree that Yangshao comprises several regional cultures and developmental stages, the question of whether these represent discrete “cultures” or rather phases of a single culture remains the subject of debate (see the essay by Zhang Zhongpei, pages 519–525; Dai 1998, and Sun 1998).


5. Gansu 1983a, 1–13, 1986, 11–19. The earliest, called “Dadiwan I” belongs to the same pre-Yangshao cultural horizon found to underlie the Banpo strata at Beishoulou. Among the objects from the Dadiwan I level, we find again examples of the earliest vessels with painted decoration, bearing a single band of red paint around the rim (Gansu 1983a, 1–13; color pl. 1, pls. 1–3). The Banpo phase at Dadiwan (Yangshao early phase) is followed at the beginning of the fourth millennium by the Miaozi culture (Yangshao middle phase), and later by the Shilingsia (Yangshao late phase) (Gansu 1987c, 22–59).

6. Gansu 1987b, 15–19, 30. A second building (F 901), probably constructed during the early Longshan period, consisted of a main room seventeen meters long at the front and eight across, flanked by additional rooms on the sides and at the back. A covered portico at the front was supported by two rows of posts set on stone footings (Gansu 1986, 1–12; color pl. opposite page 16; pls. 1–2). The analysis of the excavated remains suggests that the Dadiwan structures had hip roofs and double eaves. These traits, as well as the interior support structures, establish them as precedents for the remarkable public buildings at the early Bronze Age sites of Erlitou and Panlongcheng, which inaugurated the tradition of Chinese palace architecture (Gansu 1986, 11).

7. Zhongguo 1983a, fig. 100 (M 169); Gansu 1983a, pl. 237.

8. Zhongguo 1965, pl. 160; Xi’an 1988, 273, fig. 198 (Jiangzhai Phase III); Zhongguo Shaanxi 1984, 481–487.
Painted pottery *hu* vessel

Height 15.6 (6), width 24.8 (9 ⅜)

Neolithic Period, Banpo Culture (c. 4500 – 4000 BCE)

From Beishouling, Baoji, Shaanxi Province

The National Museum of Chinese History, Beijing

Like many of the Neolithic vessels included in this exhibition, which are exceptional either in shape or decoration, this water container is a rare and atypical form.¹ Water containers characteristic of the Banpo culture are normally shaped as jars with a narrow neck and flat base, or as tall, slender vessels that come to a point at the bottom. The present version is found at only a few Banpo sites in western Shaanxi province dating from an early phase in the culture’s development.² While its cup-shaped mouth is in keeping with those on other water containers, the overall appearance of the vessel suggests that it was modeled on a sack-like container made of animal skin that was tied off at the two corners. Cords passing through the rounded lugs attached to the vessel’s sloping shoulders enabled it to be lowered into the water and to be more easily transported.

The burnished reddish tan surface is decorated at the center by a rectangular field of intersecting diagonal lines painted in a brownish black pigment. Each of the lateral edges is bordered by a row
of triangles pointing outward. The pattern, which seems to take its inspiration from a woven fabric, is of special interest because it is among the earliest examples of Neolithic painted decoration.

The tradition of painted wares associated with the Banpo tradition (cats. 2–4) was preceded by another, almost equally widespread tradition of unpainted ceramics that lasted more than a millennium. The earliest evidence of painted decoration occurs at the end of this pre-Banpo phase in the form of a single band painted around the outer surface of small bowls beneath the mouth. Other patterns present from the beginning of the Banpo phase that may derive from woven materials include simple rows of zigzag lines, alternately painted and left in reserve. 3

On the interiors of somewhat later bowls from the Xi’an site of Banpocun in eastern Shaanxi province, geometricized human faces with fishlike appendages sometimes appear with the images of fish or with small diamond-shaped fields filled with intersecting lines, which probably represent fishing nets. 4 It is unlikely, however, that the pattern on the present vessel had such a specific connotation. LF-H

---

1 Excavated in 1958 (M 98:3); published: Zhongguo 1983, 100, fig. 82: 5; color pl. 2: i; Yang 1991, 1, no. 7; Wenwu jinghua 1993, pl. 14, fig. 2; Zhang 1990b, cat. no. 1502.
2 This water container was recovered from a grave (M 98) in the middle stratum at Beishouling, identified as belonging to the early period in the Banpo culture. A second, undecorated vessel of the same type was unearthed from M 5 at the same site, but the stratigraphical position of this burial was uncertain. A third example, again without decoration, comes from an early Banpo burial (M 295) at Longgangsi, near Nancheng, south of Beishouling (Zhongguo 1983, 161; pl. 52: 1; Shaanxi 1990, 123, fig. 87: 6; 167, fig. 109: 3; pl. 85:5).
3 Compare Zhongguo 1983, pl. 45: 2–3.
Painted pottery pen basin

Diam. 51 (19 3/4)
Neolithic Period, Late Banpo Culture (c. 4000—3500 BCE)
From Dadiwan, Qin'an, Gansu Province
Gansu Provincial Museum, Lanzhou

The exceptionally large size of this pen suggests that it may have been used for ceremonial functions, perhaps, for ritual ablutions. The vessel was unearthed from the same site as a flask (cat. 3), within the confines of one of the square dwelling foundations (F 1) at the settlement. There, a smaller basin, decorated in patterns similar to those on the flask, was also found, indicating that the flask and the present vessel are closely contemporary.

The decoration on the outer wall of the basin, rendered in black crescent-shapes and fine parallel lines against the red surface, has much in common with the patterns repeated in the three registers on the flask. But unlike the patterns on the flask, those on the basin retain a direct reference to the representations of fish that were fairly common motifs during the preceding phases of Banpo pottery. They are seen in the earlier strata at Dadiwan and at sites to the east throughout Shaanxi province.

The derivation of this design is apparent in the trailing finlike forms and in the motif of the vertically placed crescent and bowed line, which originates from the older imagery of the fish's gill (fig. 1). The head of the fish and its pectoral fins have been eliminated. The allusion to the fish has receded in importance in these more fully evolved designs, and the emphasis has shifted to the purely aesthetic qualities of the painted configurations themselves. By late Banpo times, the hitherto discrete images have been altogether transformed by a more cursive style of painting. LF-H

---

1 Excavated in 1979 (F 1); published: Gansu 1983, color pl. 15: Zhang 1990, cat. no. 48: color pl. 6.
2 Gansu 1985, pl. 34.

---

Fig. 1. Fish design on a pottery pen from Dadiwan, Qin'an, Gansu province; Late Banpo culture. After Gansu 1985, 30, fig. 192.
Painted pottery ping flask

Height 31.8 (12.40), diam. at base 6.8 (2 ½), diam. at mouth 4.5 (1 ¾)
Neolithic Period, Late Banpo Culture
(c. 4000 – 3500 BCE)
From Dadiwan, Qin’an, Gansu Province
Gansu Provincial Museum, Lanzhou

The head modeled from the vessel's short neck is remarkable as an early testament to the interest in human physiognomy and to the Neolithic artist's ability to represent the human form in plastic terms. The face, described with broad cheeks and a small chin, is probably intended to portray a boy or young man. The slightly slanting plane of the face shows three short horizontal incisions marking the eyes and mouth. The carefully shaped nose has two small holes indicating the nostrils, and the protruding ears are pierced by a circular hole at the center. The hair, shown by vertical grooves, is combed forward over the brow and trimmed in an even line across the forehead and around the back of the neck. At the level of the vessel's mouth, the head is abruptly cut off, but the full shape of the crown may originally have been supplied by a lid or stopper, possibly secured by cords tied through the holes in the ears.

Vessels adorned with plastic renditions of human heads are a rare occurrence. They have been found for the most part in Gansu and Qinghai provinces in association with the Banshan and Ma-chang cultures of the late third millennium. One other example datable to Banpo times was discovered at Luonan in eastern Shaanxi. The Luonan head, apparently that of a young girl, is more completely and sensitively articulated than the one in the exhibition, and counts as a small masterpiece of its genre. Its discovery so far away from Dadiwan suggests that heads such as these may have been a subspecialty in pottery workshops across the entire Banpo settlement area.

The designs painted on the red-slipped body of the vessel are unrelated to the human head and comply with the decorative conventions current in...
eastern Gansu at the end of the fourth millennium. The patterns, repeated in each of the three registers, consist of large oval shapes seen in red reserve, outlined by the converging arcs of two segmental triangles, one of which extends to the lower edge of the register, and the other toward the upper edge. Bisecting each oval horizontally is a crescent shape with a narrow, bowed line above it. The space between one oval and the next is filled by a series of diagonal lines bordered on either side by two crescent shapes, alternately painted and left in reserve.

This complex and formulaic decorative scheme is associated with the final phase of the Banpo culture at sites in the upper Wei River valley. The general conformity between this design and the one on cat. 2 is recognizable in the curvilinear quality of the lines, contrasting with the deep red color of the ground, and in specific design elements, such as the combination of the crescent and bowed line. The chief distinction between them is that the patterns on the flask retain no allusions to the images of the fish, which had so long informed the Banpo designs. While the balance of painted and unpainted forms within a given design, which is intrinsic to the earlier Banpo patterns, was maintained, the designs themselves are transformed by the late Banpo painters into ones that are entirely geometric. This vessel thus combines a new venture in realistic sculptural form with a style of painted decoration that had become virtually abstract.

2 Examples of Banshan and Machang heads are illustrated in Andersson 1945, pls. 186 – 187; Qinghai 1980, no. 43.
3 See Hao 1984, 105; Yang Xiaoneng 1989, color pl. 11. The Luoran jar is analogous in shape to examples from Jiangzhai Period II at Lintong.
Painted pottery *hu* jar

Height 20.8 (8 3/8)

Neolithic Period, Late Banpo Culture
(c. 4000 – 3500 BCE)

From Wangjiayinwa, Qin’an, Gansu Province

Gansu Provincial Museum, Lanzhou

This water jar, like the basin (cat. 2), was recovered from one of the late Banpo settlements in the vicinity of Qin’an, north of the Wei River in eastern Gansu province. Its painted decoration similarly reflects an advanced phase in the evolution of the Banpo ceramic tradition, in which hitherto distinct images, such as those of fish, become subsumed within complex, integrated decorative schemes that move uninterrupted across the surface.

The design on the present vessel, applied in a thin brown pigment, has been convincingly interpreted as the image of a pig’s face, which is repeated three times around the shoulder. The snout is represented at the center of each face by an oval in reserve, containing two circles that indicate the nostrils. Two crescent shapes, also in reserve, serve as the eyes, while the wavy line below and the angled line above represent the jaw and furrowed brow. The design is arranged so that the eyes belonging to one face are also shared with the two adjacent faces. The baluster-shaped neck ends in a sloping upper section, which echoes the curvature of the shoulder. Four pairs of back-to-back triangles surround a circular perforation at the top.

Unlike the fish on cat. 2, which had once existed as discrete and easily recognizable images, the pig seems to have been added to the design repertoire during the final phase of the Banpo tradition and has no prehistory as an independent image. The particular design on cat. 4 is also rare, and finds its single close parallel in the designs painted on the two registers of a bottle-shaped water container from the Banpo site of Jiangzhai, near Xi’an, in Shaanxi province, located 300 kilometers east of Qin’an. Although domesticated pigs (*Sus domestica*) and wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) are both found among
the faunal remains at Banpo sites, the lack of tusks on the faces on the Wangjiayinwa and Jiangzhai vessels indicates that these images are pigs, rather than boars.5

The graves at the Wangjiayinwa settlement, unlike those at other Banpo sites, have a small, rounded compartment extending outward from the burial pit on the occupant’s left side.6 These compartments were designed to hold the ceramic vessels, which normally would have been placed in the burial pit itself. The unusual nature of these burials suggests the emergence of local variations in the westernmost regions of the Banpo cultural system during its final phase. LF-H

1 Excavated in 1981 (M 537); published: Gansu 1984b, 1–17; pl. 2:2; Zhang 1990b, cat. no. 25; color pls. 5:25, far left.
2 Gansu 1984b, 7; Xi’an 1988, t243; Wagner 1992, 1:32–33, 2: pl. 5:2–3.
3 See Zhongguo 1965, color pl. 1:2.
4 Xi’an 1988, 2: color pl. 10. Two water jars with baluster-shaped necks from late Banpo burials (M 262 and M 315) at the site of Longgangsi, near Nancheng, in southwestern Shaanxi province, exhibit similar designs, except that the faces on these vessels seem to be human; see Shaanxi 1990, fig. 84:1–2; 169, fig. 111:4–5; pl. 80:1–3.
6 Gansu 1984b, 4; fig. 7; 5: fig. 11.
The axe likely served as an honorific attribute, indicating rank or status. Taken together, the axe and heron may have identified the vessel’s owner, or the person for whom it was made.

The images painted on the Yancun vessel appear to be related to the pictographs occasionally incised on vessels of approximately the same date associated with the Dawenkou culture of Shandong province (compare cat. 23). On vessels from two high-status Dawenkou burials at the Juxian site of Dazhuji (M 17, M 26), and from other burials at the nearby site of Lingyanghe, there occur two sorts of graphs. The first type shows a circle above a crescent-like shape, which may also be combined with a third element, which is either flat or rounded at the bottom and rises to three or five symmetrical peaks at the top. Based on their similarities to characters in the Shang oracle-bone texts, these elements are generally read as “moon,” “fire,” and “mountain,” respectively, and they are generally regarded to make up a place name. The second category, which may occur singly or in association with the first type, is made up of graphs that represent ritual implements, including hafted axes and hafted adzes, and others that appear to be scepters. Archaeological evidence of cultural transmission between Yancun in Henan and the Dawenkou sites in Shantong is sufficient to suggest an actual link between the kind of images painted on the Yancun gang and those incised on the Dawenkou vessels.

The Yancun and Dawenkou images bear a striking similarity to some of the earliest inscriptions on bronze vessels, which date to the period of the first-generation rulers at Anyang, around 1300 BCE. Several of these bronze vessels recovered from the large royal tomb M 1 at Wuguancun at Anyang carry an inscription consisting of a central graph, equivalent to the modern character for dan, flanked on either side by two back-to-back human figures in profile, which make up the character bei, or “north.” These two combined graphs are followed by another, depicting a hafted bronze halberd, with the modern reading ge. On the evidence of the oracle-bone texts, the first part of the inscription is read Bei Dan, or Northern Dan. Although Bei Dan itself is only rarely mentioned in the oracle-bone texts, Western Dan, Eastern Dan, and Southern Dan occur with some frequency. The identification of the first element in the Wuguancun inscriptions as the name of a place (or a clan) lends credence to the interpretation of the Yancun and Dawenkou images as place names. The hafted axes and adzes on the Yancun and Dawenkou vessels, like the hafted halberd on the Wuguancun bronzes, would seem to function as honorifics. The importance of the heron and the axe on the Yancun vessel thus resides not only in the naturalistic rendition of the images, but in the evidence they provide for a nascent stage in the history of graphic notation in China.

The vessel, of a reddish buff ware, was finished on a slow wheel and then coated with a thin white slip before it was painted. Six hook-shaped lugs below the rim, two of which have been broken off, enabled a lid to be tied in place.

2 The view that the heron signifies a place name has been proposed by several scholars, including Zheng Jixiang, who notes that the word guan (heron) occurs in a much later text known as Shan hai jing (Classic of mountains and seas), referring to the “land of the guantou (or huantou) people,” who in early times had been displaced to the south from the Linru region of Henan (Zheng 1982, 50). Although it is pleasant to imagine that some 5000 years ago the Linru area might have been a habitat known for its waterfowl, all we can say for certain on this point is that the artist who painted the heron on the Yancun gang was deeply familiar with his subject.

3 Shandong 1974, 118, fig. 94. Several Yancun vessels are closely analogous to examples belonging to Period IV at the Zhengzhou site of Dahecun, in Henan, which has been dated on the basis of radiocarbon analysis to the late fourth millennium. Finds from Dahecun include an undecorated gang (W’s 1), the same size and shape as cat. 5, along with distinctive curve-sided ping with pointed bottoms (W’s 1, W’s 2), compatible with one from Yancun (Zhengzhou 1979, 344, fig. 35:1 – 2; 346, fig. 395; pls. 116, 159; compare Linru 1981, 4, fig. 1: 10; also see Zheng 1982, 49, fig. 2). Both types of vessels have also been recovered from Zhangshanzhai, another site in the Linru vicinity (Fang 1978, 138, fig. 2:12 – 13). The four dendrochronologically calibrated radiocarbon dates for Dahecun IV are: 3506 ± 3342 BCE (WB-03); 3072 ± 2902 BCE (ZB-09); 3493 ± 3109 BCE (ZB 84 – 21) and 3371 ± 3101 BCE (ZB 84 – 22) (Zhongguo 1991, 165).

4 Shandong 1974, 118, fig. 94:3 – 4. The emblematic designs on the Dawenkou pottery and others incised on Liangzhu jades are discussed by Wu 1985, 34 – 36; Li Xuegin 1987, 75 – 80; 85; and Keightley 1989, 197 – 198. The long-legged wading bird painted on the Yancun gang is not to be confused with the “sunbirds” inscribed on Liangzhu jades.

5 The inscriptions on the Bei Dan Ge vessels probably identify the person for whom the vessels were cast. More complete discussions of the WuguanANC M1 inscriptions may be found in Fitzgerald-Huber 1985, 24 – 25; Bagley 1987, 52, 429 – 435. The vessels were previously published by Guo Baqiu 1951, 1 – 6; pls. 1 – 44; and Chen 1956, 268 – 269, 314; Illustrations of some of the vessels appear in Henan 1981, nos. 272 – 274.

6 The Yancun and Dawenkou inscriptions were examined more fully in a paper titled “Where Have All the Documents Gone,” delivered by the present author at the Multiple Origins of Writing Symposium, held at the University of Pennsylvania, 26 – 27 March 1999.
Although the Majiayao culture was first identified by the Swedish archaeologist J. G. Andersson in the early 1920s, much remains unknown about it, and it continues to yield surprises.\(^1\)

In Gansu, as elsewhere in China, Majiayao enjoys a unique position because of the extraordinary quality of its painted wares. These ceramics, which comprise a wide range of shapes, from tall wide-mouthed storage jars and slender water containers to basins with gracefully everted rims, are of an unrivaled elegance. Even more remarkable are their highly dynamic painted designs, applied to the smooth, finely burnished surfaces. These designs are executed in multiple parallel lines, often involving spiral-based configurations, and they are used to decorate all manner of things, even children’s pottery rattles.\(^2\) Figural decoration, seen on three of the Majiayao vessels in the exhibition, is, however, exceptional (cats. 6, 8, 9). Concentric markings visible around the inner surfaces of the rims indicate that the vessels were finished on a fast wheel. The wheel may also have been employed in the application of the painted decoration.

Less is known than we might wish about the culture that sustained this exceptional ceramic tradition and about the people in whose daily lives these vessels played a role. Generally speaking, they were agriculturalists who lived in small villages and tilled their fields on the loess terraces above the rivers and streams that cut through the area. Their dwellings, implements, and the shapes of many of their vessels have much in common with the broad continuum of fourth-millennium painted pottery cultures stretching eastward as far as present-day Henan province. The cultural distinctiveness of Majiayao, on the other hand, is readily perceptible in the straw-colored ware of their ceramics, which contrasts with the red ware typical of this region, and in the distinctive designs that decorate them.

The Majiayao sites are distributed from Lanzhou eastward along the Wei River roughly to Shaanxi province, and westward along the upper reaches of the Yellow River and its tributaries into Qinghai province. The combined evidence of stratigraphical sequences at several sites in the Tianshui area has established that the Majiayao culture in this region was preceded by a series of earlier cultures, including Banpo, as well as Miaodigou and Shilingxia. Majiayao, in turn, was replaced at the end of the third millennium by the roughly contemporary and interrelated cultures known as Keshengzhuang II and Qijia.\(^3\) The radiocarbon dates for the Majiayao finds in this region cover a broad span of time, from the mid-fourth millennium to the early centuries of the third millennium.\(^4\)

One of the most instructive Majiayao sites is Linjia, in Dongxiang, southwest of Lanzhou, where excavations in 1977, revealed the foundations of some twenty-seven dwellings and a number of ashpits.\(^5\) The settlement was occupied, continuously or repeatedly, for a considerable period of time, during which dwellings were abandoned and replaced by ones that were generally larger and more substantial.

The house foundations are roughly square in shape with a central hearth and a single doorway leading to a small vestibule built at the front. During the earlier period, the founda-
tions were somewhat below ground level, and the vestibules contained steps leading down to the floor level. In the later period, the houses were built at ground level, but the vestibule was still retained. Most of the houses during both periods are oriented northwest, toward the river.

Several thousand artifacts, including pottery, bone, and stone implements were unearthed at Linjia. Some of these items were found within the confines of the house foundations, apparently left behind when the dwellings were deserted. A collection of especially beautiful ceramics was recovered from the late-phase dwelling designated as F 16, among them a guan and two tall water-jars with refined decoration and a well-shaped pen basin with a rounded bottom and a broad sloping rim. In two of the vessels from another late-phase dwelling (F 8) were discovered the carbonized remains of hemp (Cannabis sativa).

The ashpits located around the dwellings were also rich in artifacts, including the fragments of countless ceramic vessels. One of these ashpits (H 19) contained a large amount of carbonized broom-corn millet (Panicum miliaceum), the grain that evidently formed the agricultural basis of the Linjia economy. Apart from the stone knives used in harvesting the millet, bone arrowheads, and spears, some with their edges inset with microlithic blades, indicate that the diet of the Linjia people was supplemented by the meat of wild animals. Stone axes and adzes, used in felling trees and in woodworking, were also found in abundance.

A particularly surprising find at Linjia was knife blade made of bronze, said to have been uncovered from beneath the foundations of a late-stage dwelling (F 20), as well as bits of slag removed from an ashpit (H 54). These finds have been questioned by scholars as probable later intrusions, because it is generally believed that metalworking was unknown in Gansu province.
until about the year 2000 BCE, when it appears in association with the Qijia culture. For the present, however, the possibility of some form of metalworking during the late phase at Linjia is an issue perhaps best left open.

More is known about the Majiayao dwellings than about their cemeteries. One Majiayao burial, however, located at Hetaozhuang, near Minhe, in Qinghai province (M1), has attracted considerable attention because of its unusually large size and the quantity of artifacts it contained. The burial pit itself was square, rather than rectangular, and measured four meters on each side. On the chamber floor, at the depth of two and one-half meters, were discovered the traces of a coffinlike construction made of wooden planks, which was about three meters square — almost as large as the pit itself. For several reasons, including the fact that the skull was missing from the skeletal remains, the archaeologists have concluded that M1 was the secondary interment of an individual who had been removed from an earlier grave and given a final (and presumably more opulent) burial. In all, the Hetaozhuang burial contained 36 pottery vessels, many of them closely similar in their decoration to those unearthed from Linjia, along with 215 bone beads, and 10 of turquoise. The remains of a sacrificed sheep and the skulls of several pigs were also found in the grave.

Further discoveries of Majiayao ceramics have been made only very recently at Zongri, near Tongde, in eastern Qinghai province. This site, which is of great interest, has yielded over 200 burials and a number of ash pits. Some of these graves contained the traces of timber coffins large enough to accommodate four or five pottery vessels, in addition to the human remains.

The contents of the tombs were surprising in several regards. In many of the tombs, including M157 and M192, finely potted basins and storage vessels decorated in the Majiayao style were accompanied by other vessels of a totally distinct type with regard to their ware, their shapes, and their decoration. These vessels, called “Type C” by the archaeologists, are made from a coarse siliceous clay and coated with a clay slip. They take the form of often imperfectly shaped amphora-like storage vessels, simple rimless bowls, and one-handled jugs. Their comparatively crude decoration, executed in a purplish red pigment, consists chiefly of parallel rows of zigzag or scalloped lines, and other designs resembling tassels painted around the vessel below the neck. The same patterns are used to decorate the interiors of bowls.

The coexistence of these two types of wares in the same Zongri burials points to the presence of two separate cultural groups in this region, which must have been in close contact. The most unexpected aspect of the M152 and M192 burials, however, is the very early date assigned to them. The calibrated radiocarbon dates ascertained for tombs M157 and M192 are 3700 BCE ±140 and 3735 BCE ±225, respectively. If these dates can be trusted, they would indicate that the Majiayao culture was in existence fully five hundred years earlier than has been previously assumed on the basis of radiocarbon dates determined for the Majiayao strata at sites in Gansu province, such as Shizhaocun, and, in turn, they would require a reassessment.
of the relationships between Majiayao and other fourth-millennium cultures in the Gansu-Qinghai area.

The shapes of the “Type C” vessels, the use of purplish red pigment, and the dentate bands discernible in their decor, moreover, are characteristic of the later Banshan pottery tradition, which flourished in Gansu during the second half of the third millennium. An understanding of the relationship between these two ceramic groups and the cultures they represent is a further-challenge posed by the discoveries at Zongri. The Zongri finds thus provide tantalizing new evidence bearing on the genesis and interrelationships of several of the important painted pottery traditions in western China that succeeded the earlier Banpo phase. Much will depend upon obtaining further radiocarbon dates for the Zongri sites against which the validity of the present early dates can be judged. LF-H

1 Andersson 1943, 88–99; pls. 45–57, 181–185.
2 Sommarstrom 1956, 55–158; pls. 1–60.
3 Sommarstrom 1956, pl. 91a–c.
5 An extensive report on the Linjia finds is published in Gansu 1984a, 111–116; pls. 15–25.
7 Qinghai 1979, 29–32.
9 The mixture of Majiayao and Zongri “Type C” wares occurs at many sites along the upper reaches of the Huanghe from Guide southwest to Tongde (Chen 1998, 20, fig. 4; 23–26).
10 Chen 1998, 19.
Painted pottery pen basin

Height 14.1 (5 1/2), diam. at mouth 28 (11)
Neolithic Period, Majiayao Culture
(c. 3900 – 3500 BCE)
From Shangsunjiazhai, Datong, Qinghai Province
The National Museum of Chinese History, Beijing

The interior of the basin is decorated with a frieze organized in three panels, each containing a line of dancing figures holding hands with one another. The figures are described in minimal detail, with round heads, oval bodies and sticklike limbs. Short braids hang from the top of their heads. Despite the simplicity of their treatment, a degree of motion is conveyed by the slightly different positions of the legs, while the figures at the end of the line seem to sway, or pull away from the three in the middle. The figures are bordered above by a line along the inner edge of the rim, and by a series of circumferential lines below. The sides of the panels framing the figures are formed by clusters of parallel vertical lines, whose bowed shapes owe to the curvature of the vessel wall. The spaces separating the panels are divided diagonally by a band of even width or by one that tapers to both sides. The rounded, slightly everted rim is edged with fine diagonal lines, interspersed with clusters oriented radially. The outer wall of the vessel is encircled by three parallel lines gathered together on one side into a single hook-like flourish.

Recent excavations at Zongri, a second site in eastern Qinghai province, located to the southwest of Datong, near Tongde, provide new insight as to the meaning of the vessel’s decoration and also point to a need for a revised assessment of the chronology of the Gansu Majiayao pottery tradition.

The representations of human figures on two basins unearthed from separate burials at Zongri have direct bearing on the vessel exhibited here from Shangsunjiazhai. The example from M 157 shows a row of comparable stick figures — thirteen in all — holding hands in the same manner. The chief difference is that these figures have round abdomens, suggesting that they represent pregnant females. If the decoration on this Shangsunjiazhai vessel and the one from Zongri M 157 (see page 69) are indeed related in meaning, then the figures that decorate it are probably those of ithyphallic males. On the vessel from M 192, the figural panels are narrower and contain only two figures, shown fac-
ing one another and holding between them a large round object. This object, taken in conjunction with the representations on the other two basins, may be a child. If the interpretation of these representations is correct, then the decor of the three vessels counts as one of the few cases during the Chinese Neolithic in which the subject of human fertility makes itself known.

Calibrated radiocarbon dates for the two Zongri burials around 3900-3500 BCE indicate that all three pen with figural decoration are earlier than would previously have been believed. The dating suggests that an initial phase of the ceramic tradition, which is represented in these burials by other vessels as well, may be considerably older than the period of the late fourth and early third millennium to which it has been ascribed on the basis of the Gansu sites, such as the middle and late phase at Dadianzi and Shizhaocun V, where Majiayao ware has been found in greater abundance.  

1 Excavated in 1978; published: Qinghai 1980, color pl. 6; pl. 11; Li 1982, fig. 16; Zhang 1985, 49, fig. 3: 6; Chang 1985a, 152, fig. 117; Yang 1991, no. 20; Murowchick 1994a, 62, lower right; Wu 1996, pl. 21. 
3 Radiocarbon dates for M 157 and M 192 are provided in Chen 1998, 19, table 1. For diagrams of the M 157 and M 198 burials and the vessels found in them, see Qinghai 1998, 3, figs. 6–7. The presence in these two burials of decorated amphora-shaped storage vessels unrelated to the Majiayao style vessels found with them would seem to indicate the comingling of two separate cultures at the Zongri sites (see Qinghai 1998, 3, fig. 6-4, 5-5, 7-3, pl. 2-3-6, pl. 3-1, 5-6, color pl. 4; Chen 1998, 17, fig. 2).
Painted pottery *ping* container

Height 26 (9 ⅜), diam. at mouth 7 (2 ⅝)

Neolithic Period, Majiayao Culture
(c. 3000 – 2500 BCE)

From Lijiaping, Longxi, Gansu Province

Gansu Provincial Museum, Lanzhou

The purely geometric decoration on this water container is more fully representative of Majiayao than the other three examples in the exhibition, insofar as the vessels belonging to this tradition rarely show figural designs. It is also the single example where the calligraphic quality of the lines, one of the most remarkable aspects of this style, can be adequately appreciated.

The designs consist of radial spirals composed of a series of circular nuclei centered along the front and back of the vessel, and others along the sides, which incorporate the ring-shaped lugs. Circumferential lines at the base of the neck and those at the bottom of the register function as additional nuclei, so that the bundles of spiral arms that radiate from the top of one nucleus to the bottom of the next involve the entire decorated surface in an endless spiralling motion. Filling the interstices between the bundles of spiral arms are smaller circles in reserve formed by the converging arcs of three segmental triangles painted in black.

The full measure of this ceramic tradition can only be realized among the thousands of other vessels in this style—the gracefully shaped bowls and handsome storage jars, created of this same fine ware, whose carefully smoothed and burnished surfaces are decorated in a seeming endless variety of similar monochrome patterns rendered in multiple parallel lines. Arguably the finest of all the early Chinese ceramics, these remarkable vessels are easily a match for Neolithic wares found elsewhere in the world.

While the earliest datable evidence for this ceramic tradition is presently found at the sites of Shangsunjiazhai (cat. 6) and Zongri in Qinghai province, it seems mainly to have been centered at sites to the south of Lanzhou in the Dongxiang and Linxia areas, and to the east along the upper reaches of the Wei River. The present example from Longxi finds a close parallel in a fragmentary *ping* with the same shape and decoration recovered farther downstream along the Wei River, at the Tianshui site of Shizhaocun. The *ping* was recovered from the second stratum at Shizhaocun, as was the following small bowl (cat. 8).

---

1 Excavated in 1971; published: Gansu 1979, no. 13; color pl. 6; Fitzgerald-Huber 1981, pl. 38, fig. 99; Li 1982, 5, upper left; Zhang 1990, cat. no. 133.
Painted pottery bo bowl

Height 5 (2), diam. 16.5 (2 1/2)
Neolithic Period, Majiayao Culture
(c. 3000 – 2500 BCE)
From Shizhaocun, Tianshui, Gansu Province
The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

Within this small, rounded bowl is the figure of a froglike creature, who is seen from above, as if it had been captured and put inside. The vessel and its figural decoration are thus integral to each other, the one serving playfully as a setting or context for the other. The creature’s back is shaped as a broad oval containing a large circle at the center, which is divided down the middle by three lines into two hemispheres, filled by a dense network of intersecting diagonal lines. The small rounded head is almost entirely black, save for the circular eyes and the mouth, which appear in reserve. The two front legs, ending in three toes each, curve forward, seeming to press against the vessel wall; the rear legs mirror them, turning back toward each other. The asymmetrical relationship between the oval outline of the figure and the circular shape it contains, as well as the fact that the oval is placed slightly aslant in relation to the head, endows the creature with a hint of animation. In an equally subtle manner, the lines separating the hemi-
spheres of the circle follow an almost imperceptible curve from one side to the other, producing the effect of a dome-shaped surface. The design is completed by multiple tangential lines painted just beneath the rim, which converge at three equidistant points along the wall’s circumference. The point of convergence to the right of the figure’s head is marked by a hooklike flourish. A section on the left side of the bowl, where the frog’s front foot is located, and a smaller section on the right have been restored.

Whether the figure at the center of the Shizhao-
cun bowl is meant to be a frog or a turtle is not entirely certain. While the round body and possibly the head might suggest a turtle, the legs and the three-toed feet do not. Two similar images painted on the interior of a Banpo bowl from the Jiangzhai site at Lintong, in Shaanxi province, are clearly those of spotted frogs.

This vessel and the following guan jar (cat. 9) were both excavated in the 1980s from the important stratified site of Shizhaocun, near Tianshui, located just south of the Wei River in eastern Gansu. The site revealed six superposed strata, documenting a sequence of cultural phases and their associated ceramic traditions spanning a period of three millennia, from approximately 5000 to 2000 BCE. The present bowl was recovered from the second stratum, along with a pointed-bottom water flask decorated in the same manner as cat. 6. Both these vessels are regarded as somewhat older than the guan, which was unearthed from a different location at the site.

2 See Xi’an 1988, 2: color pl. 1.
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Painted pottery guan jar

Height 21.7 (8 1/2)
Neolithic Period, Majiayao Culture
c. 3000 – 2500 BCE
From Shizhaocun, Tianshui, Gansu Province
The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

The single most arresting feature of this vessel’s decoration is the human face, in relief, centered on one side.1 The body is represented below, in painted lines alone. The sensitivity with which this face is modeled, and the refinement and sweetness it conveys, set it entirely apart from its earlier and less expressive counterpart from Dadiwan (cat. 3).

The oval face, tilted a little to one side, is slightly dished below the forehead. Although modeled from the same buff-colored ware as the rest of the vessel, the face has been coated with a pinkish slip to enhance its lifelike appearance. The eyes and the half-opened, faintly slanting mouth are rendered as shallow depressions, which are shaded gray. The finely modeled ridges of the arched eyebrows are accented by almost imperceptible black lines. Three fine vertical lines drawn from the nostrils downward to the tip of the chin probably indicate tattooed markings or the cosmetic application of face paint.2 The top of the brow is indicated by a low ridge curving upward from the temples, and above, the figure’s hair is twisted into a high chignon at the front. The head is encircled by a brownish black band on the surface of the vessel and by broad painted strips that radiate away from the face, ending in triangular pointed tips. Lower down on the vessel, on either side of the chin, appear two circles in reserve, each filled by a cross.

The figure’s outstretched arms and small, splayed fingers appear below. The body itself is shown as a skeleton, with its spine and ribcage framed as an oval shape in reserve, bordered by a series of horizontal and diagonal brushstrokes. The area of the pelvis at the base of the vessel is blurred and less easy to distinguish. This skeletal figure with its contrasting, delicately modeled face and poignant half-smile, seems mysteriously poised between this world and a world beyond. In all probability, the vessel was exclusively intended for a role in the rites of burial.

The surfaces flanking the figure are painted with contrasting designs: the one to the right exhibits a more open pattern of crosses seen against the lighter ground of the ware; the one to the left shows a denser pattern of triangular serrations in vertical strips pointing in the opposite direction to the smaller triangles left in reserve. Beneath the rim, two broad horizontal bands frame a zone of narrow circumferential lines.

1 Excavated in 1982; published: Zhongguo Ganqing 1990, 585, fig. 3: 2; pl. 21: 2; Wenwu jinghua 1993, 81, fig. 1: Goep-per 1995, no. 4; Rawson 1996, no. 3.
2 Similar striations appear on the faces of the Banshan lids acquired by the Swedish archaeologist J. G. Andersson in Gansu earlier this century (Andersson 1943, pls. 186:1, 187:1). Human heads shaped from the necks of Machang vessels unearthed from sites in Gansu and Qinghai provinces often exhibit a series of parallel vertical lines painted beneath the eyes and down the cheeks, suggesting a form of face painting that may have been associated with mortuary rites (compare Zhang 1995, pl. 7:1 and Eliseeff 1986, no. 4). The Banshan and Machang cultures both date to the third millennium BCE.
THE HONGSHAN CULTURE

During the fifth and fourth millennia BCE, a prehistoric culture, currently known as the Hongshan, developed in northeastern China and coexisted with the Yangshao culture. Concentrated in present-day western Liaoning province and southeastern Inner Mongolia, its geographic range extended east to the western edge of the Liao River in Liaoning province, west to northern Hubei province, south beyond the line now formed by the Great Wall, and north past the Xilamulun (or Western Liao) River as far as the Mongolian Steppe. Evidence from the southern and western peripheries shows that there were contacts between the Yangshao and the Hongshan cultures.

The identification of the Hongshan culture has been a process spanning nearly a century. In the early 1900s, Japanese and French expeditions conducted surveys in what we now know to have been the culture's geographic periphery. In 1921, the Swedish archaeologist J. G. Andersson recovered remains from a cave at Shaguotun (in the area of Jixi, Liaoning province), which he identified as a Neolithic sacrificial site. In 1935, Japanese archaeologists under the supervision of Kosaku Hamada (1881–1938), excavated ruins at a site in Chifeng, Inner Mongolia, called Hongshanhou (literally, "the rear area of the red mountain") that yielded stone tools and pottery vessels identified by Japanese scholars as prehistoric relics; Chinese archaeologists of the 1940s believed that these finds were those of a "blended culture" that reflected interactions between the Yangshao of central China and northern microlithic cultures. In the 1950s, Chinese archaeologists began to identify such remains as those of a distinct culture, which they termed Hongshan after the type site. The finds suggested to some scholars that the Hongshan had developed under the influence of the Yangshao culture — even that they represent a phase of the Yangshao (although the latter identification remains the subject of debate).

Finds from the late 1970s and early 1980s dramatically broadened our understanding of the Hongshan culture. Rescue surveys and excavations conducted in Liaoning province during the 1970s discovered various types of jade carvings — coiled dragons, owls, turtles, cloudlike plaques — and painted pottery cylinders, which archaeologists have dated to the Hongshan culture. Planned surveys and excavations rendered since 1979 at Dongshanzui of Kazuo, and Niuhelian (both in Liaoning province) have yielded similar jades from stone tombs, as well as terra-cotta figures placed near a circular altar, and clay sculptures from what is believed to have been a female spirit temple (Nushenmiao). Jades and human sculptures constitute the predominant artifacts of the Hongshan culture.

So far, more than four hundred Hongshan sites have been identified in the region of Inner Mongolia, while more than one hundred sites have been discovered within Liaoning province. Large-scale excavations and surveys at Niuheliang (where the counties of Lingyuan and Jianping meet) of Liaoning province have found more than twenty sites dated to the late period of the Hongshan culture — the fourth millennium BCE; sixteen of these sites have been designated "localities"; thirteen of these localities are stone-covered burial mounds. The mounds, built on top, or on the high slopes of small hills — sometimes one to a hill, sometimes several — often contain...
Aerial view of the female spirit temple at Niuheliang, Jianping, Liaoning province; Hongshan culture.

numerous stone tombs and a few stone altars. The three other localities comprise the female spirit temple, a pyramid-shaped artificial hill (constructed of pounded earth and covered with stone) that occupies a surface area of 10,000 square meters, and a stone structure foundation.

Excavations at four of the localities (2, 3, 5, and 16) have brought to light sixty-one tombs constructed of stone and covered by stone mounds; these comprise five basic types: large central tombs, stepped tombs, Type A tombs, Type B tombs, and auxiliary tombs. They are distinguished by their form, their size, their placement above or below ground, and by the presence (or absence) of artifacts made of particular materials—principally jade and pottery. Type B tombs and auxiliary tombs generally contain no artifacts; large central tombs and stepped tombs do not include pottery objects. Of the tombs excavated thus far, thirty-one contained burial objects, and the specific material of the artifacts assigned to the graves seems to have had a particular, albeit unknown, significance. Most often, the burial objects were jade (twenty-six graves); one grave contained jades and objects made of stone, three graves contained pottery alone, and only one grave contained both jades and pottery.¹¹

Stone artifacts used by the Hongshan people reveal aspects of their economic life. Tools fall into three categories defined by the manufacturing technique: chipped and unpolished tools, microlithic pieces, and polished implements; the types normally reflect the developmental sequence, although they were produced and employed by the Hongshan people concurrently. Agricultural implements were large and simple, suited to basic farming; tools for hunting were carefully manufactured.¹²

Relatively thin deposits of cultural remains suggest that the Hongshan people moved more frequently than would a community whose economic basis was entirely agricultural, and the abundance of wild and domestic animal bones recovered from Hongshan sites strengthens this inference. They were not, however, a wholly transient community: six kilns have been found thus far, yielding various types of painted and unpainted pottery that reflect influence of northeastern Chinese and Asian cultures as well as the Yangshao culture.¹³ The Hongshan culture was based in a region that falls between steppe and agricultural zones; it was a transitional society, poised between steppe and farming cultures. By the late period, the Hongshan culture
seems to have become somewhat more rooted. They also made use of metal-casting technology, disclosed by a small copper ring unearthed from a tomb at Niuheliang (Tomb 1, Mound 4, Locality 2) and two small molds excavated from the foundation of a house at Xitai, Aohanqi, Inner Mongolia in 1987.¹⁴

Jade — normally contained exclusively in larger tombs — seems to have been a more prestigious material than pottery; the latter is associated with the smaller tombs, or placed around the tombs.¹⁵ In contrast to the jades of the Liangzhu culture (cats. 29–36), Hongshan jades were more simply carved and without miniature motifs; while other cultures used the material lavishly in their burials, the Hongshan were more frugal: the richest burial found thus far produced a mere twenty jades, and the most important burials — the so-called central tombs — contained fewer than ten.¹⁶ The type of jade seems to have been a more important consideration than its quantity.

One of the most significant discoveries associated with the Hongshan culture is the so-called female spirit temple. Its identification as a temple is debated; some scholars identify it as a repository. Twenty-two meters long from north to south, and nine meters at its widest, the chambered subterranean structure was constructed of earth and thatch applied to a wood framework.¹⁷ The walls were painted with red and white geometric patterns, and the temple was filled with unbaked clay sculptures depicting human figures and animals, as well as sacrificial pottery objects; seven female figures — life-size, twice-life-size, and triple-life-size — arranged by size and set off by dragons and birds of painted clay, have been recovered.¹⁸ Only one image
of the eponymous female spirit — a clay head — has been excavated. If it in fact served as a temple, the building's modest size indicates that it was not intended to hold many worshippers. Guo Dashun suggests this temple was built for and used by a few, elite individuals and argues that the graduated female sculptures may indicate a hierarchical form of ancestor worship. The difficulties in distinguishing the unbaked clay from the surrounding earth have prompted archaeologists wisely to halt further excavation and cover the site with earth until the development of more refined equipment and skills.

The complex of pyramid-shaped structures, temples, mounds, and altars at Niuheliang was built on a series of hilltops or high hillsides over an area measuring approximately fifty square kilometers, within a band measuring one kilometer from north to south; the female spirit temple was located at center of the complex, which ingeniously incorporates the geographic features of the area. Su Bingqi has suggested that Niuheliang represents a precursor to late imperial complexes incorporating a mausoleum, temple, and altar. Some archaeologists have detected the emergence of a ritual system in the Hongshan culture on the basis of stratified burials and the systematic use of jade and pottery in the tombs.

The extensive use of sophisticated ritual jades in China during its late prehistory — exemplified by the Hongshan culture in northeastern China and the Liangzhu culture in the lower Yangzi River delta — has prompted some scholars to identify the period as the Jade Age. The jade’s primary use in ritual and decoration rather than for tools, however, renders that terminology somewhat suspect.
Jade coiled dragon

Height 10.3 (4 1/4)
Hongshan Culture, c. 4700 – 2920 BCE
From Niuheliang, Jianping, Liaoning Province
Liaoning Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Shenyang

Hollow cylindrical jade object

Height 18.6 (7 1/4), diam. 10.7 (4 1/4)
Hongshan Culture, c. 4700 – 2920 BCE
From Niuheliang, Jianping, Liaoning Province
Liaoning Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Shenyang

Two jade coiled dragons found back-to-back on the chest of a male, and a hollow cylindrical jade object positioned underneath his skull were excavated from Tomb 4, Mound 1, Locality 2 at Niuheliang in 1984 (see page 80, plate). These two different types of carvings embody the spirit and style of the Hongshan jade repertoire. Although earlier excavations in Liaoning province had suggested a connection between jades such as these and the Hongshan culture, the controlled excavation at Niuheliang in 1984 was the first to scientifically assign these objects to the Hongshan culture and to document their positions in the burial. Fifteen years of subsequent excavations at the site have yielded no other examples of jade dragons.

The position of cat. 10 on the body, as well as the drilled hole, indicates that the object was hung on the chest of the deceased. The rarity of jade dragons in burials testifies to the fact that they were reserved for an exclusive group of the Hongshan, and they may have served as elements of ritual (perhaps involving pigs or boars), tokens of status, or fertility symbols.

The jade creature combines a coiled, serpentine body with a head resembling that of a pig or a bear; on that basis, such objects have conventionally been identified as "pig-dragons" or "bear-dragons." Tusks on dragons from the Zhaobaoguo culture, as well as on a clay sculpture from the female spirit temple at Niuheliang, however, suggest that the head more likely represents that of a boar. Hongshan representations of dragons took a variety of forms and were carved of various types of jade — cream colored, light green (as in this example), or blackish green. A larger jade from Sanxingtala, Wengniuteqi, Inner Mongolia illustrates one such variant: here, a decorative mane extends from the top of the head to the elongated body (fig. 1). Its form is thought by some scholars to derive from the earlier form (exemplified by cat. 10), but more archaeological evidence is required to establish that derivation with any certainty.

Archaeological evidence has demonstrated that the dragon was an image common to a number of prehistoric cultures, including the Hongshan, the
Yangshao, and the Taosi Longshan (see cat. 25). Dragon images from later periods are evidence of the enduring impact of these cultures, as well as regional influences: the plastic form of dragons from the Shang period (c. 1600–1100 BCE) such as one from the Fu Hao Tomb at Anyang (fig. 2), resembles both the Hongshan and Taosi dragons.

With a form resembling that of a horse’s hoof, the hollow cylinder, cat. 11 represents the most common type of jade object excavated from the Niuheliang tombs, although they are not invariably a component of the central tombs’ burial objects. Though identified by some scholars as arm or wrist ornament or a tool of some sort, the position of these objects in the tombs—placed horizontally underneath or above the skull of the dead—has led to a consensus that they were used as hair ornaments. Objects from the later period, such as a jade excavated from Dayangzhou (Xing’an, Jiangxi province), depict figures with towering, braided hair held in place with cylindrical objects, a hair style that may have been associated with individuals of particular status, or participants in rituals or ceremonies. The identification of the Hongshan cylinders with such ornaments is uncertain. Furthermore, the function of the symmetrically drilled holes, as well as the significance of the objects’ horizontal placement remains unknown. The jade bears a resemblance to Hongshan pottery vessels with sloping edges, but whether the two forms are related has not been established. Whatever their function, jade cylinders had a long use; one was found in the same region in a grave dating some fifteen hundred years later, hung at the back of the deceased.
Two-thirds of the mound had been heavily damaged by the construction of a sewer system. The find was nonetheless an unusually rich one that included twenty-six tombs, fifteen of which contained jade objects, while the rest contained no artifacts. Several of the tombs, arranged in a row, shared a stone wall with one another — an unusual feature. See Liaoning 1986, 7 and 9–10; and Guo 1997, 20.

Sun 1984; Sun and Guo 1984.
Nelson 1993b.
Childs-Johnson 1991, 93.
Sun and Guo 1984, 15–16; Liaoning 1997d, 50.
Zhongguo Neimenggu 1987, 491–496; Liaoning 1997d, 91.
Wengniute 1984, 6.
Sun 1984.
Yang 1999, chap. 3.
Zhongguo 1984a, 158.
Liaoning 1986, 9; Liaoning 1997b, 10.
Yang 1993b, 47–48; and Liaoning 1997d, 26–53.
Fig. 1. Jade turtle; length 4.8 (1\%); Hongshan culture; excavated in 1973 from Tomb 1, Hutougou, Fuxin, Liaoning province. After Fang and Liu 1984, 3, fig. 7:5 (top).

Fig. 2. Jade turtle; length 5.4 (2\%); Western Zhou; excavated in 1993 from Tomb 63, Beizhao, Quwo, Shanxi province. After Shanxi 1994a, 19, fig. 28:1 (bottom).
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Jade turtle carapace
Height 2.7 (1\%), width 5.5 (2\%), depth 4.1 (1\%)
Hongshan Culture, c. 4700–2920 BCE
From Niuheliang, Jianping, Liaoning Province
Liaoning Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Shenyang
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Jade animal mask
Height 10.2 (4.02), width 14.7 (5.79), depth 0.4 (0.16)
Hongshan Culture, c. 4700–2920 BCE
From Niuheliang, Jianping, Liaoning Province
Liaoning Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Shenyang

In 1989, archaeologists excavated a single large tomb designated as Tomb 21, Mound 1, Locality 2 at Niuheliang. Built into the rock of the hill and covered with rocks and stone slabs, the tomb contained the body of an adult male, as well as twenty jade objects. Though not one of the largest or more
impressive of the Niuheliang tombs, Tomb 21 has yielded the richest complement of jades of any Hongshan tomb excavated thus far. The tomb had never been disturbed, a fact that permitted archaeologists to map the original positions of its jades and to obtain a better understanding of their possible use and functions. The jades include ten square-and-round-cornered bi disks, two double bi-shaped disks, one hollow cylindirical object, and a cloudlike pendant, as well as a jade turtle carapace and a jade animal mask. The latter two — elaborate and unique items — are included in this exhibition.

The turtle carapace was placed on the left side of the chest of the deceased. Unlike other jade turtles of the Hongshan culture (fig. 1), this example is painstakingly carved to represent the carapace itself. Holes and tenons on the bottom of the jade suggest that it was originally combined with other materials or objects. The tradition of making images of turtles, or of using the actual shell for specific ritual or decorative purposes, has a long history in China. Recent archaeological discoveries at Jiahu, Wuyang, Henan province have revealed that as early as 6000 BCE turtle plastrons were incised with marks. The two large central graves at Hutougou and Niuheliang yielded additional turtle- or tortoise-shaped jades of the Hongshan culture. At Niuheliang, two larger jade turtles were placed in the hands of the deceased. Other prehistoric cultures also produced turtle-shaped jades, such as the one discovered at Lingjiafan, Hanshan, Anhui province. During the Shang period, turtle shells were frequently employed for divinatory purposes (see cat. 56), while turtles were common subjects for sculptors, who rendered lifelike, detailed versions in hardstone (cat. 54). Even so, few examples survive of jade turtle carapaces, although the tradition endured for several thousand years over successive generations; one late but almost identical example was recovered from a Western Zhou tomb at Beizhao, Quwo, Shanxi province (fig. 2).

The turtle in China is an auspicious symbol, associated with longevity, but whether this association extends back in time to the Hongshan people remains an open question. In any case, the secular and sacred associations of the turtle motif and of the carapace itself — a tradition that has continued for 8,000 years — indicate the lasting importance of the image.

The jade animal mask (cat. 13) is a plaquelike abstraction of an animal head. The two eyes and the nostrils are hollowed in the round. The two symmetrical small perforations in the animal’s jowls, with traces of wear on the bottom edges of the
mask, and the mask’s position in the burial — on the waist of tomb’s occupant — indicate that it was probably the upper part of a composition or an important element of a belt. The archaeological report states that Tomb 21 overlapped with Tomb 4 (the find-site of the coiled dragons and the hollow cylindrical jade [cats. 10, 11]) and Tomb 14, an indication that it is an earlier burial. Like the turtle carapace, this animal mask probably is the earlier form of such representations in the Hongshan culture. The animal mask constitutes a dominant motif in Shang ritual bronzes (see cats. 57, 70), and its origin, meaning, and function have long been a focus of scholarly inquiry. The discovery of jades of the Liangzhu culture carved with animal motifs (see cats. 29, 30), has led some scholars to trace the origin of Shang animal masks back to the Liangzhu culture of the lower Yangzi River delta, but animal masks appear among other prehistoric as well, including the Hongshan culture, and it is likely that the later form of the motif drew inspiration from various sources in ancient China.

Jade plaque with animal design

Height 9.5 (3 3/4), width 28.6 (11 ¼)
Hongshan Culture, 4700 – 2920 BCE
From Niuheliang, Jianping, Liaoning Province
Liaoning Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Shenyang

This plaque, the largest jade of the Hongshan culture excavated thus far, was positioned vertically in the tomb, with its back facing up. Thin and finely engraved, the object produces a clear, ringing tone when struck. Both sides are carved with patterns resembling tiles, although the front is more elegantly and delicately worked. At center, openwork and engraving allow the upper part to look like a pair of round eyes and eyebrows of a bird or animal; immediately below, five striations suggest birds’ feet, or perhaps animal teeth. Extensions from the middle to the two sides resemble wings in flight; the tile-like patterns on the jade may represent feathers — perhaps those of an owl, a frequent image in prehistoric China (along with abstract or mythical birds) that became quite popular by the Shang period (c. 1600 – 1100 BCE). The precise iconography, however, remains disputed: other scholars have argued that it represents a phoenix, a dragon, the tusks of a boar, deer antlers — even a rose. Burials of the Hongshan culture have yielded many jade animals and birds whose iconography is less enigmatic than that of this example; the most plausible interpretation of the image is that it combines elements of certain birds or animals in an abstract manner, but the uniqueness and this abstractness of this plastic form make the precise identification of the image — much less its meaning — hypothetical. While several jades resembling this example appear in collections, this is the sole example recovered by archaeological excavation.

1 Excavated in 1995 from Tomb 27 Mound 1, Locality 2. The tomb has not been fully reported, but see Liaoning 1997d, 71.
Jade Y-shaped object with animal mask

Height 12.1 (4 3/4), width 6 (2 3/4), depth 0.3 (1/8)
Hongshan Culture, c. 4700 – 2920 BCE
From Fuxingdi, Fuxin, Liaoning Province
Liaoning Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Shenyang

This Y-shaped jade, recovered in 1981 from the Fuxingdi site during an archaeological survey, resembles two jade objects in the collection of the Liaoning Provincial Museum. There is at present no counterpart available from controlled archaeological excavation. The most striking element of the jade is the abstract animal or owl mask, dominated by two large, round eyes at its upper end. Animal-like mask motifs, consistently depicted facing front and with enormous eyes, appear throughout prehistoric China, an indication that their meaning and significance transcended specific cultures. A handlelike extension, perforated at the bottom, projects from the mask, and there are traces of wear at the bottom edges — evidence that the object was originally tenoned to another object or into a stand.

A form of handlelike jades appears in Bronze Age cultures such as the Erlitou and during the Shang and Zhou periods; they are commonly identified as ritual instruments. These later jades may represent a formal synthesis of objects represented by this Y-shaped jade and the rod-shaped fittings of the Liangzhu culture (cat. 34). XY

1 Published: Sun 1984, 10.
2 Mou and Yun 1992, pls. 15 – 16; and Liaoning 1994, pl. 54.
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Jade owl

Width 3.8 (1\(\frac{1}{2}\))
Hongshan Culture, c. 4700 – 2920 BCE
From Hutougou, Fuxin, Liaoning Province
Liaoning Provincial Museum, Shenyang
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Three-holed jade object with animal heads

Height 2.8 (1\(\frac{1}{2}\)), width 9.2 (3\(\frac{1}{2}\))
Hongshan Culture, c. 4700 – 2920 BCE
From Sanguandianzi, Lingyuan, Liaoning Province
Liaoning Provincial Museum, Shenyang

In 1973, along the river at Hutougou (in Fuxin county, Liaoning province), farmers found a stone tomb, part of which had been washed away by the current.¹ They recovered several jades, including the owl exhibited here (cat. 16), as well as two turtles, a bird, another owl, a bi disk, and a cloudlike plaque. (Archaeologists subsequently conducted a systematic excavation of the tomb and found another grave.) Although the precise positions of these particular objects remain unrecorded, discoveries such as these, as well as systematically excavated finds, have enabled archaeologists to identify jade carving as one of the attributes of the Hongshan culture.

The Hongshan jade animal figures are uniformly small (turquoise was also used to create small-scale animal sculptures); holes drilled into the back of the objects indicate that they may have been attached to certain articles — perhaps clothing — or that they served as pendants. These jade turtles and birds exhibit a more naturalistic approach to representation than do other Hongshan jades such as the jade plaque with animal design and the Y-shaped object (cats. 14, 15). Particular cultures or periods do not necessarily exhibit a uniform artistic style; indeed, abstract and realistic approaches to representation coexisted in the Hongshan culture.
The three-holed jade object with animal heads (cat. 17) provides additional evidence of two coexisting artistic styles during the Hongshan culture. The piece was found in disturbed earth, possibly from the excavation of Tomb 1 at Sanguandianzi, Lingyuan, Liaoning province, although later publications have amended the attribution to associate it with Tomb 2. The animal heads at each end were initially identified as representations of pigs and subsequently identified as bears (as were the heads of the coiled dragons [cat. 10]); the shift may simply reflect the archaeologists’ belief that the pig was not as powerful or dignified a spirit as a bear. Whatever the image the jade was intended to represent, its style is realistic. Four small holes drilled at the base of the jade would have served to attach it to another object (now lost or disintegrated), perhaps by mortise-type joinery. A similar object, recently discovered, features human heads at the two ends. Determining the function of these objects awaits additional information.

1 Fang and Liu 1984, 2-4.
2 Li 1986, 501.
3 See, for example, Liaoning 1997d, 54.
4 Fang and Liu 1984, 2-4; Li 1986a, 501.
5 Yang 1999, fig. 156.
Together with coiled dragons (cat. 10) and hollow cylindrical jade objects (cat. 11), cloudlike jade plaques are the most representative jade works of the Hongshan culture. The term “cloudlike,” a conventional usage of Chinese archaeologists, hardly covers the variety of shapes that these plaques assume, although the form is typically rectangular or round with four protruding legs. In recent years, several scholars have combined this type, the jade plaque with animal design (cat. 14), and other types of jades into one category of object, and suggested that they served the same functions.\(^1\) Cloudlike jade plaques, however, are more properly treated as a category of their own, perhaps representing a transformation of animal forms such as the turtle or tortoise. This plaque was excavated in 1987 from the central tomb (measuring 3.8 by 3.1 meters) at Mound 1, Locality 5, Niuheliang. The tomb, that of an adult male, constituted the highest level in the hierarchy of grave forms, but it contained only seven jade objects: two *bi*, placed symmetrically at the skull near the ears; two tortoises or turtles, placed on the palms of the occupant’s hands; a bracelet worn on the right wrist, a braceletlike jade positioned on the right side of the chest, and this plaque, which was positioned vertically and upside-
down on the right side of the abdomen overlapping the braceletlike jade. Four pairs of shallow openings at the back of the plaque suggest that it was attached to another object—although probably not fabric or clothing, since such attachments commonly have sharp edges and protrusions. Though the object is often identified as a pendant, an alternative hypothesis identifies its function as ceremonial and relates it to the ritual fu or yue axes. Its conspicuous position on the body and its size (it is largest jade in the burial) suggests that it surpassed the other jades in importance.

The jade arm ornament (cat. 19) and a jade bracelet were excavated in 1986 from Tomb 9, Locality 3. Originally reported to have been found on the
right side of the chest of the deceased, a more recent publication places it on the tomb occupant’s upper arm — a position that indicates a function for which we have evidence of a long tradition. Several tilelike patterns decorate the surface of the object; two holes are pierced on opposite sides, and a single hole is pierced through the curved end. Three examples of similar jades dating fifteen hundred years later than the Hongshan jades (one of these resembles the cloudlike plaque, and one resembles the arm ornament [see fig. 1]) have been excavated from tombs of the Lower Xiajiadian culture. 

The double-bi-shaped pendant (cat. 20) was dug out by farmers from a tomb at Locality 2, Niuheliang and retrieved by archaeologists in 1981. Two much smaller double-bi pendants of a different shape were unearthed at Tomb 21, Mound 1, Locality 2 at Niuheliang in 1998. Another smaller triple-bi-shaped pendant was excavated at Hutougou, Fuxin, Liaoning province in 1973 (fig. 2). The bi — a flat disk with a hole in the center — was a cherished image in China from prehistoric through imperial times. It had a ritual function, and later texts, such as the Zhou li (The rites of Zhou), dating from the Warring States to Western Han periods, remark that the bi might symbolize heaven, or that it served as a ritual instrument for sacrifices to heaven. In fact, the diverse forms and sizes of the Hongshan bi-shaped pendants are an indication that they probably served several purposes — decorative, ceremonial, or ritual.
Terra-cotta torso of a pregnant woman

Height 5 (2)
Hongshan Culture, c. 4700 – 2920 BCE
From Dongshanzui, Kazuo, Liaoning Province
Liaoning Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Shenyang

In 1979, archaeologists excavated a round, altarlike stone structure and a square stone structure at Dongshanzui. Surrounding the round stone structure, 2.5 meters in diameter, nearly twenty fragments of terra-cotta figures were discovered, including a half-life-size figure, sitting cross-legged with its hands clasped together in front of the body, and this terra-cotta torso of a small, naked pregnant woman; the latter and a similar torso in particular have intrigued scholars. In 1963, a tiny pottery sculpture of a naked torso was excavated at Xishuiquan, Chifeng, Inner Mongolia, but attracted little attention. The Chinese did not, apparently, have a tradition of sculpting naked figures comparable, for example, to classical Greek and Roman sculpture. Anatomically detailed, naked pottery or wooden funerary figures were fashioned during the Han dynasty, but their bodies were clothed. Sculptures from this period exhibit subtle differences in facial features that served to distinguish one figure from another. Among the most famous of these are the terra-cotta figures from the pits near the Qin First Emperor’s mausoleum (cats. 123 – 128).

The artist of the Hongshan torsos stands out in his adept representation of the human form. In comparison, other contemporary sculpture is more primitive and naive (fig. 1). Perhaps because of the artist’s skill and the uniqueness of sculpted representations of nudes, this figure and another (not exhibited here) have been admired as China’s own version of Venus; she is sometimes identified as a fertility goddess.

An unbaked clay female head excavated from the female spirit temple also relates to the Hongshan sculpture. The head’s proportions are realistic and her expression affable. Her eyes were inlaid with turquoise, while her slightly opened lips con-
vey the impression that she is talking. Considered as a group, the Hongshan human sculptures represent an artistic achievement of the highest level for the period.  

4. For example, see Shaanxi 1992, 5–8; and Zhongguo Hanchengdui 1994, 120–122.
5. For example, see Yu 1984, 13, 17.
7. For a survey of prehistoric sculpture, see Yang 1988.
Painted pottery *lei* jar  

Height 41 (16 ¼), diam. at mouth 12.6 (5), diam. at base 11.6 (4 ½)  
Hongshan Culture, c. 4700 – 3000 BCE  
From Niuheliang, Jianping, Liaoning Province  
Liaoning Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Shenyang

Among the sixty-one Hongshan stone tombs excavated so far in the Niuheliang zone, only four included pottery vessels among the burial objects — in each case, a single painted pottery *lei* jar with a lid.¹ This *lei*² was found near the feet of the occupant of a smaller tomb (Tomb 5, Mound 4, Locality 2) designated by the excavators as a Type A tomb.³ Pottery vessels (whose function may have been sacrificial rather than utilitarian) are limited to smaller tombs; the furnishings of larger tombs, by contrast, are exclusively jade (see p. 80), but it remains uncertain whether the distinction in the material of the furnishings was generated by the tomb occupant’s social, material, or occupational status.

The Hongshan burials were often encircled with large numbers of painted pottery cylinders, open at both ends, positioned in a single ring. One hypothesis, which takes into account the fact that the cylinders are bottomless, is that these objects served as vessels for communicating between heaven and earth; other scholars have argued that the cylinders were sacrificial devices, perhaps prototypes of the stone mound.⁴ Pottery of the Hongshan culture comprises two principal types: painted red vessels with geometric decorations, and unpainted gray or red-brown cylindrical vessels with zigzag patterns. The red-painted pottery (of which this *lei* is an example) reflects the influence of the Yangshao culture; the unpainted vessels represent an indigenous regional heritage and style.

¹ Guo 1997, 20, 22. One of the four tombs contained a jade object.  
³ Liaoning 1997c, 17–18.  
⁴ Liaoning 1997d, 81; Liaoning 1997c, 19.
Before China advanced into the Bronze Age, two successive cultures, the Dawenkou and Shandong Longshan, occupied a prominent position in the lower Yellow River valley during the late prehistoric period. The core area of the Dawenkou culture (c. 4500 to 2500 BCE) was in the present-day provinces of central and southern Shandong, northern Jiangsu, and Anhui, while the Shandong Longshan culture (c. 2500 to 2000 BCE) dominated the central and eastern Shandong peninsula and the northern Huai River region of Jiangsu province. With nearly identical geographic distributions, the two cultures spanned a regional continuity of approximately twenty-three hundred years, and along with contemporaneous prehistoric cultures in other areas of ancient China, their social, economic, and cultural evolution contributed to the formation of dynastic civilization in Bronze Age China.

THE DAWENKOU CULTURE

Named after the type site at Dawenkou, Tai’an, Shandong province, excavated in 1959, the Dawenkou culture is sometimes referred to as the Qinglian’gang culture on the basis of an earlier excavation (1951) at Qinglian’gang, Huai’an, northern Jiangsu province. By general agreement, however, the term Dawenkou is generally accepted and widespread.

The climate in which the Dawenkou people lived was temperate and warmer than today, like most of the Yellow River valley, and millet was the staple crop. The Dawenkou employed sickles made of bone, tooth, and shell, as well as polished and often bored stone axes and hoes. Built either at or half-below ground level, houses were either square or round and probably had eaves and conical roofs. The Dawenkou people wore hair ornaments, necklaces, and bracelets made of jade, stone, bone, tooth, ivory, and pottery. They had a distinctive custom of head deformation and tooth extraction. Their burial etiquette presented another distinctive attribute of the culture: the larger rectangular graves included second-level ledges (ercengtai, or narrow earthen platforms, usually for holding funerary goods), wooden coffins and burial chambers furnished with the heads and lower jaws of pigs, the teeth of river deer (hydropotes inermis), and turtle shells. In the late period of the culture — the early third millennium BCE — a disparity is evident between the funeral articles of the rich and poor and even in the placement of their tombs.

The artistic achievement of the Dawenkou culture is manifested in works of carved ivory and bone (engraved tubes and combs), fine “white” pottery (“baggy legs” gui pitchers and he tripods), and thin-walled black pottery (goblets or stemmed cups). The thin black pottery preceded the production of the Longshan eggshell black pottery. In general, most pottery of the Dawenkou culture was red. Many pottery vessels, painted with beautiful geometric patterns, have been found from the early period, but few from the late period have been recovered. The late period is represented mostly by pottery zun urns with incised pictographs (see cat. 23), ritualized stone and jade items (ben and yue axes), and animal-shaped pottery vessels. Most clay vessels were made on potter’s wheels.
In the late 1970s, Tang Lan (1901–1979) suggested that pottery pictographs of the Dawenkou culture were already standardized and simplified and therefore quite advanced. These pictographs, commonly identified as one of the distinctive traits of the Chinese civilization or state-organized society, are comparable to bronze and oracle-bone inscriptions from later periods. Tang believed that the Dawenkou culture was indeed already a slave or state-organized society, but that argument has proved controversial.

Despite the fact that more than two hundred Dawenkou sites have been identified and more than ten cemeteries have been excavated, the site at Yuchisi, Mengcheng, Anhui, has been regarded as one of the most important. Covering approximately 100,000 square meters, it is one of the largest residential settlements of this culture ever discovered. Archaeologists categorized the Yuchisi site as a different regional type of the Dawenkou culture. At Yuchisi, remains of row houses and more than one hundred and fifty tombs of a later period were excavated. About half of the zun burial urns were for children. Yuchisi was the first site where coffinlike apparatuses were also incised with pictographs, expanding our knowledge of the function and meaning of early pictographs.

THE SHANDONG LONGSHAN CULTURE

Most of the archaeological cultures of the Shandong Longshan age, even the now renowned Liangzhu culture, were once called the Longshan culture. The Longshan culture was first discovered at Longshan, Licheng, Shandong, in 1928. According to the practice of the time, similar cultural remains took the name of the type site: Longshan. Since then, archaeological excavations and research have greatly expanded our understanding of the Longshan culture, which is now subdivided geographically into the Shandong Longshan, Henan Longshan, Shaanxi Longshan, Hubei Longshan, Hunan Longshan, and Taosi Longshan cultures.

The Shandong Longshan culture is distinguished from the Dawenkou culture by its high-stemmed, eggshell-thin, black pottery goblets (less than 1 millimeter thick), town walls of pounded-earth (hangtu), copper and bronze tools, oracle bones for divination, and thunder-cloud patterns and animal-mask designs. Contrasting sharply with the painted pottery of the Yangshao and Majiayao cultures, black pottery epitomizes the Shandong Longshan culture — to such an extent, in fact, that the culture was also termed “Black Pottery Culture.” The culture also produced elaborate works in jade that were as sophisticated in craftsmanship as those of the neighboring Liangzhu culture. A jade hairpin adorned with an openwork animal mask, excavated in 1989 from Tomb 202 at Zhufeng, Linqu, Shandong province (cat. 24) is from one of the largest burials of the Shandong Longshan culture. The tomb was furnished with painted wooden coffins and chambers, an ercentai ledge, painted wooden containers, pottery vessels (including several eggshell pottery goblets), ritual jade objects, stone and bone tools, turquoise ornaments, and dozens of
alligator scutes (probably the remains of alligator drums). The size of the tomb and its lavish contents indicate that the occupant might have been a ruler of the region.

The advancements of the Shandong Longshan culture seem to have extended beyond art, style, and technology. War and ritual became institutionalized, social stratification developed, and regional states were very likely established. The works of art exhibited here are only one visual index to that society. xv

1 Shandong 1974.
2 For more information, see Zhongguo 1984, 86 – 97; Chang 1986a, 156 – 169.
3 Tang Lan 1977, 1978, and 1979. For a summary of the argument (and opposing opinions) see Shandong 1979. Western scholars usually refer to the period as the Bronze Age, rather than Slave Age.
5 Wu 1930; Li Ji 1934.
6 Liu 1972.
7 Zhongguo Shandong 1990, 587 – 594. Du Jinpeng suggested that the animal mask was the image of a deity wearing a crown and originated with the Dawenkou and Liangzhu cultures. See Du 1994.
Pottery 

Height 59.5 (23 3/4), diam. 29 (11 1/4)

Dawenkou Culture, c. 4300 – 2500 BCE

From Yuchisi, Mengcheng,
Anhui Province

The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

For students of the origins of Chinese civilization, the pictographs on the zun urns of the Dawenkou culture are an important source of information. Their similarity to Early Bronze Age inscriptions has made these pictographs especially significant to scholars working on the emergence of writing. If the Dawenkou pictographs are true writing, they would make it possible to ascertain the nature of the Dawenkou culture.

In 1973, Yu Xingwu (1896 – 1984) first construed the pictograph on a zun urn of the Dawenkou culture as the character dan, meaning daybreak or sunrise.\(^1\) The 1974 Dawenkou archaeological report published six pictographs from Dawenkou, Lingyanghe, and Qianzhai, all in Shandong province.\(^2\) Since then, a series of similar discoveries — at Lingyanghe, Dazhuja, and Hangtou in Shandong province have been reported.\(^3\) An excavation at Yuchisi, Mengcheng, Anhui province, is underway at this time. Several different pictographs on burial zun urns unearthed at this site are identical to those found in Shandong province, including the typical “sun-fire (or moon)-mountain” seen here. The furnishings that accompanied this zun, excavated from Tomb 215 in 1995, included pottery ding, guan, and hu vessels.\(^4\)

To date, more than twenty individual pictographs have been found,\(^5\) all dating to the late stage of the Dawenkou culture — the third millennium BCE.\(^6\) Some pictographs were found outside of the Shandong area. Their stylized form is advanced — well beyond simple pictures, marks, or decoration — and they are very close to bronze or oracle-bone inscriptions, which are indisputably recognized as true early Chinese writing. The meaning of these pictographs must have been widely known within the Dawenkou culture.
After two decades of research and debate, most scholars now agree that the Dawenkou incised symbols are true pictographs. The interpretation of individual pictographs is still underway. Some specialists have argued that the Dawenkou pictographs are akin in form and nature to “clan emblems” of later bronze inscriptions and the forerunners of Chinese writing, while rejecting the characterization of the pictograph as true “writing.”

The urns excavated in the Shandong region, were all from medium- and large-size tombs and were prominently positioned in the graves. Their placement suggests a significant function, although the precise purpose of the vessels, which are identified by some scholars as components of ritual sacrifice and by others as wine-making utensils, remains the subject of debate. The urns at Yuchisi served as burial containers, used primarily for children. People of the Dawenkou culture, in the two different areas, belonged to two separate clans or groups, each with distinct customs. Archaeological analyzes of the remains at Yuchisi have identified the site as a local subtype of the Dawenkou culture. Accordingly, these pictographs, although identical, were not clan signs; they were probably emblems or names for certain sacrificial rituals.
Jade hair ornament inlaid with turquoise

Overall length 23 (9 3/4), width 9 (3 1/2)
Neolithic Period, Shandong Longshan Culture (c. 2000 BCE)
From Zhufeng, Linqu, Shandong Province
The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

To create this elegant accessory, the lapidary combined a long, tubular pin of mottled gray-green and a thin, curved plaque of creamy white jade. The pin head is drilled with a V-shaped notch, which slips over a concavity hollowed in the base of the plaque. Gradually tapered to a softly pointed tip, this pin is exquisitely crafted in the round. Two bamboolic sections, each composed of two gently concave cylinders joined at a central node, alternate with bands of ridges and curves of lathelike precision. The plaque is symmetrically carved with hooked contours and vermiform perforations, the latter formed by drilling adjacent sections. One pair of circular perforations is inlaid with turquoise beads; another pair along the lower edge may have been used to thread a binding to the pin. Sketchy incisions—some echoing the contours of the openwork plaque, others rendered as simple curves and intersecting lines—may represent preparatory, unfinished designs.

This ornament was excavated from a large, rectangular tomb in north-central Shandong province.
FIG. 1. Jade blade incised with goggle-eyed design; length 18 (7/8); Shandong Longshan culture; unearthed in 1963 from Liangchengzhen, Rizhao, Shandong province. After Liu 1972, 57, fig. 2.

Originally, the tomb’s single occupant lay encased in a wood coffin within an outer wood coffin. The plaque and pin lay beside the skeleton’s head and neck. The coffin also contained a creamy white jade pin of a finely articulated, hooklike form beside the shoulder and three jade ritual weapons (one blade, two axes) near the hips. Other grave goods included approximately 980 very thin turquoise plaques, bone implements, and black and gray earthenware tripods, jars, and handled cups.

Whether this composite ornament was worn in life or made exclusively for burial, whether intended as a separate hairpin or as an insert in a fabric headpiece, is unknown. Whereas the form of the pin is so far unique, the plaque’s attenuated, hooked silhouette and vaguely masklike decoration invite comparison with an intriguing variety of jade images. These include goggle-eyed motifs incised on a blade previously unearthed in Liangchengzhen, Rizhao, in southeastern coastal Shandong province (fig. 1), as well as human and monsterlike faces depicted on plaques and blades in several Chinese and Western collections. Generally dated to the third millennium BCE, these diverse facial images—the excavated examples among them found mostly in eastern-central China (Anhui and Hubei provinces)—stimulate ongoing scholarly debate as to their symbolic significance and possible relation to jade designs of the Liangzhu culture of the east coast area near present-day Shanghai.

Throughout the third millennium BCE, a vast complex of late Neolithic cultures occupied eastern and central China. The types of wheel-thrown pottery found in this tomb appear to be distinctive to the late phase of the Longshan culture in Shandong province. Two openwork pieces (variously identified as jade or kaolinite) unearthed in 1991 from a tomb in Sunjiagang, Lixian, in northern Hunan (near the Hubei border) suggest that the Shandong Longshan style of jade carving exemplified by this head ornament may have extended farther south than previously realized. Although technically analogous to this openwork plaque, the Hunan pieces incorporate clearly zoomorphic silhouettes, such as a bird or dragon. Whether these delicate openwork carvings attest to a general diffusion of styles or to a widespread distribution of styles originating from geographically concentrated workshops remains to be discovered. EP

2 Liu 1972, 56–57, figs. 1–2.
When the cemetery at Taosi was first uncovered, the startling riches discovered there gave rise to speculation that this was a site of the legendary Xia, referred to in historical texts as the predecessors of the Shang. But much of the material excavated at Taosi bears little direct relation to Erlitou, now considered by many archaeologists as a Xia capital city, and, moreover, the radiocarbon dates for Taosi place it somewhat earlier, in the final centuries of the third millennium BCE.

The Taosi site, north of the Yellow River in the Xiangfen region of southern Shanxi province, was excavated between 1978 and 1985. Although remains from this same culture have been reported from numerous other locations in the area, only Taosi has been extensively excavated and published in any detail. Traces of dwellings, storage pits, and kilns have been noted, but the archaeological investigation has focused on the cemetery alone. Many tantalizing questions therefore remain about this distinctive late Longshan culture.

The cemetery itself, however, is of great importance. It is estimated to contain several thousand burials, of which nearly a thousand have already been excavated. The large number of burials suggests that the area was densely populated, and the fact that many of the graves overlap indicates that the cemetery was in use for a long period of time. Archaeologists have classified the burials according to their size. The majority of graves were small, measuring roughly two meters in length and a half to one meter in width, and for the most part they were unfurnished. The medium-size tombs, a little more than two meters long and a meter wide, numbered fewer than a hundred. They contained wooden coffins and a variety of burial objects, such as pottery and wooden vessels, jade axes, cong, and personal ornaments, as well as pig mandibles. One of the medium-size tombs (M 3296) yielded the surprising discovery of a small cast copper bell. The bell is assumed to have been made in a place other than Taosi because, so far, no evidence of either smelting or metalworking has come to light in the vicinity of the site.

At least four of the objects in the exhibition come from the large tombs, which are the burials of the elite members of the Taosi community. As far as can be ascertained, the large burials, generally about two meters in length and two to three meters wide, are exclusively those of adult males. The coffin, fashioned of wooden planks, was placed at the center of the tomb, surrounded by as many as nearly two hundred burial objects. One of the most lavishly provided of the tombs at Taosi, M 3015, gives us a sense of the wealth and variety of objects destined for an elite burial. In all, the tomb contained 178 objects, including 14 pottery vessels, 23 wooden objects, 130 items of jade and stone, and 11 bone implements. Among the pottery vessels were examples of handsomely shaped corded gray-ware containers, and even a small ceramic stove, all of which are the recognizable descendants characteristic of the older Miaodigou II culture that once thrived in this area. The tomb also yielded a small number of painted earthenware vessels, including a hu (cat. 26a).

Even more remarkable for the very fact of their preservation were a number of wooden objects, such as caskets and vessels. Some of the wooden vessels, like those from other tombs,
appear originally to have been painted in a wide-ranging palette of red, white, yellow, black, and green. More significantly, the surfaces of many of these vessels bore decoration in lacquer.

The surprisingly large number of ritual jade objects, which may have functioned in the ceremonial life of the Taosi court as insignia of rank and office, included bi disks, finely shaped axes, large harvesting knives, and adzes. Stone and bone arrowheads were also found. Tomb M 3015 was, moreover, provided with a small orchestra, consisting of two large, elaborately and brightly decorated wooden drums with alligator skin coverings, and with what are thought to be their tuning devices still intact, and a stone chime measuring some eighty centimeters in length. The tomb occupant was also accompanied by three of his dogs.

The magnitude of M 3015 and the abundance and quality of objects that have been recovered from other burials in the Taosi cemetery hint at the prosperity of this society and the advanced nature of its organization, which was both highly stratified and specialized; and they likewise attest to the refined taste of its cultured elite. A fuller assessment of this culture and its interrelationships with other societies of the late Longshan world, as well as its possible link to the early phases of the Erlitou culture, will depend on identifying and excavating the neighboring settlement areas, once populated by this impressive society.

The evidence of the Taosi finds, moreover, has important implications for more general issues bearing on the long-term preservation and transmission of visual language. It has been commonly assumed that the Yangshao tradition of painted pottery and its complex decorative syntax had been completely extinguished by the Longshan period, when a new tradition of un-
painted gray wares came to predominate throughout the China heartland area. The discovery of a thriving and sophisticated tradition of painted ware at Taosi has begun to alter this perception. The painted vessels at Taosi ware differ from the Yangshao ceramics in many ways, notably that they were fashioned of low-fired earthenware decorated only after firing. The use of spiriform patterns, however, suggests that elements of the older tradition of painted decoration had continued uninterrupted as an ancillary tradition, at least in some areas of the Central Plains, throughout Longshan times. The excavations at Dadianzi (cats. 41–45) demonstrate that the tradition of painted wares survived even into the early days of the Bronze Age. The existence of this continuing and evolving tradition of painted decoration, in evidence at Taosi and later at Dadianzi, begins to shed light on the question of how the decorative programs of some of the earliest bronzes of the late Erlitou and Zhengzhou periods came to include spiriform patterns and to maintain something of the more ancient syntax.

The presence of lacquerware at Taosi is especially noteworthy, because it may well be that the colorful palette of the painted ceramics, distinguished from the monochrome painting tradition of the earlier Yangshao ceramics, originated under the influence of lacquer painting.

---

1 No complete report of the Taosi excavations has as yet appeared. The present text is based on the information provided in Zhongguo Shanxi 1983, 30–42, pls. 4–7. An initial report on the site was published in Zhongguo Shanxi 1980, 18–31, pls. 4–6. The metal bell from M 3296 and two pottery bells found elsewhere at the site are discussed in Zhongguo Shanxi 1984, 1069–1071, pl. 3. Color illustrations of the Taosi site and some of the burial objects are available in Wenwu jinghua 1993, pls. 35–40.

2 Two of the basins (cats. 25, 273) and the hu (cat. 263) are known to have come from large tombs (M 3072, M 3073, and M 3105, respectively). The size of the tombs that contained the other three objects exhibited here is not clear from the archaeological report.

3 Zhongguo Shanxi 1983, pl. 54.
Painted pottery *pan* basin

Height 8.8 (3 1/2), diam. 37 (14 1/2)

Late Neolithic Period, Taosi Longshan Culture (c. 2500–2000 BCE)

From Taosi, Xiangfen, Shanxi Province

The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

The Taosi cemetery is remarkable for its lavishly furnished elite burials, and it is from one of these that the present earthenware basin was recovered. Its painted decoration, consistent with the other ceramics in the exhibition from this site, was not applied until after the vessel had been fired. It shows around the sloping inner surface a red serpent, seen against a jet-black ground, which uncoils clockwise from a bulge at the vessel’s center. Two rows of scales, half red and half in black reserve, extend the full length of its body in slightly staggered alignment, creating a checkerboard effect. The head, marked by a tiny black eye, shows two lappet-shaped appendages above and below, and a long dentated snout and lower jaw. The pinnate sprig emanating from between the teeth is a puzzling aspect of the image, which must once have served as an important key to the figure’s symbolic meaning. The serpent is encircled by a band of red paint around the upper edge of the wall and the canted rim.

The serpent motif in China reaches far back in history, but it occurs infrequently before the Anyang period. It makes its first, and so far unique, appearance during the early Neolithic, in the form of an eared or crested serpent painted on the shoulder of a *hu* from the Banpo level at Beishouling, Baoji, in Shaanxi province, dating to the fifth
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millennium. On the Beishouling hu, the figure of the serpent is chased by a bird, apparently nipping its tail.

At the beginning of the Bronze Age, the image of the coiled serpent reappears, on a pottery lid from the site of Dadianzi, located far to the north in the Aohan district (Aohanqi) of Inner Mongolia. The body of the Dadianzi serpent, like that on the Taosi pan, is composed of alternating red and black scales, in colors suggesting the influence of lacquer painting. In the Late Shang and Early Western Zhou periods, the image of a serpent-bodied creature becomes the dominant motif decorating the interior of cast bronze pan. On the bronze vessels, however, the serpent’s head is replaced by a larger tigerlike head in profile, or by an equally formidable taotie face, which is positioned at the center of the vessel, with its body reconfigured to form a full circle around it.

Although some form of continuity between the image of the serpent on the Taosi vessel and the corresponding images on the later bronze pan might be expected in light of their obvious similarities, there is no evidence from the intervening period to substantiate this connection.

1 Excavated in 1980 (M 3072:6); published: Zhongguo Shanxi 1985, pl. 4:1; Wenwu jinghua 1992, 74, no. 61; Zhongguo 1993, fig. 36:1; Sugaya 1993, 137, no. 1; Goepper 1995, no. 13; Rawson 1996, no. 7.
2 The lid is illustrated in Zhongguo 1996, 137, fig. 75: 6.
3 See Zhongguo 1983b, 105, fig. 86:1.
4 Both versions are represented by pan from Tomb 5 at Xiaotun (Zhongguo 1980a, 33, fig. 21:34, fig. 22).
Two painted pottery hu vessels

a. Height 19.2 (7 1/3)
b. Height 28.2 (11 1/3)

Neolithic Period, Taosi Longshan Culture
c. 2500 – 2000 BCE
From Taosi, Xiangfen, Shanxi Province
The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

The spiraling designs on the shoulder of the first of these two vessels (a) carry echoes of the monochrome designs of a much earlier period (compare cat. 7). Yet by comparison the effect of the designs is quite different, conditioned by the warm pastel hues of pink and ocher now added to the design and by the softer appearance of the unpolished surface, as well as the slowed and more graceful tempo of their clockwise progression around the surface.

Black lines, fluctuating in width as they descend from the top of one configuration to join the next from below, and the S-curved bands in ocher, which float free at the bottom, create the appearance of scarves blown lightly back, suspended in air as the progression of spirals moves steadily forward. Together, they bring to the overall design a new sense of buoyancy. With a similar subtlety, faint black lines in the guise of additional spiral arms extend upward at the front of each spiral. These seem to define the contours of the S-shaped bands in red, equal in width to those in ocher. In this way, an element of ambiguity is introduced into the design.
as the area of red paint begins to function simultaneously as ground and as an integral part of the spiraling configurations.

A narrow band of ochre at the base of the broad, flaring neck marks the upper limit of the decorated frieze. Both the neck and the inner edge of the rim are painted black. The strongly canted base is left plain, revealing the buff-colored ware. The vessel comes from tomb M 3015, one of the most richly furnished of all the Taosi burials.2

The second vessel (b), a larger version of the first, has the same dramatic silhouette created by the oblique planes of the shoulder and the base and the sharp angle where they join. The strongly receding base indicates that these vessels were designed to rest in the circular openings of specially made ceramic stoves, which are found in the same burials.1

The painted designs on (b) have a character all their own, which might be described as ideosyncratic and even whimsical. These forms do not reflect the strict symmetry of those on the other vessel, and instead take shape as heavily outlined, larger and smaller units painted in pink, which move across the surface in waves, slowly following one another. The erstwhile spiral nuclei, shaped as squared ovals, hang like pastel bubbles under the crests of the larger units. Against the open, whitish ground above, smaller squared circles in the same pastel hue float high up on the vessel wall. Together with the smaller dark ovals between them these shapes conceivably had meaning as celestial forms. LF-H

1 Excavated in (a) 1981 (M 3015:42) (b) 1979 (M 3002:49); published: (a) Zhongguo Shanxi 1983, pl. 11; (a and b): Sugaya 1995, pl. 21-2; (b) Zhongguo 1995, pl. 4:2; Zhongguo 1995, 45, fig. 36:2.
2 Zhongguo Shanxi 1983, pl. 5:2.
3 Zhongguo Shanxi 1983, pl. 5:4. Examples of ceramic stoves and the containers that fit them are known in the same Shanxi area as early as Yangshao times (Zhongguo 1959, pl. 39:1–5–6).
Two painted pottery pen basins

a. Height 18.2 (7 1/2), diam. at mouth 46.5 (18 1/2)
b. Height 21 (8 1/4), diam. at mouth 31.5 (12 3/8)

Late Neolithic Period, Taosi Longshan Culture (c. 2500 – 2000 BCE)

From Taosi, Xiangfen, Shanxi Province

The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

The final two vessels from Taosi introduce painted designs unlike any seen before on Neolithic Chinese ceramics. These additions to the common fare reflect a prosperous society able to indulge the demands of a sophisticated elite clientele with a taste for innovation and a wider range of decorative modes.

The first vessel (a) comes from a large, high-status burial, which was located next to the one that contained the pan decorated with the figure of a coiled serpent (cat. 25). The pattern on the outside of the basin consists of juxtaposed, diagonally oriented units alternating in black and white, each the mirror-reverse of the one adjacent. They interlock with the pink ground like pieces of a jigsaw puzzle. The effect of these patterns is made more dramatic by the fact that the remaining surface — the interior, rim, and base — are all painted black. The unusual size of the basin suggests that it may have been intended for a ceremony at which a large number of people were present. A pen of the same shape but of slightly smaller diameter was recovered from another large, richly furnished grave discovered at the cemetery (M 111). The pattern on the second vessel (b) consists of adjacent sets of ribbonlike bands, again oriented...
on the diagonal, that continue uninterrupted across the vessel's upper and lower sections. The bands are shown in white against a pinkish red ground, but some of the units appear to be tinted pale green. These designs possibly take their inspiration from painted fabrics. 1

2 Zhongguo Shanxi 1983, 33, fig. 4.
3 Zhongguo Shanxi 1983, pl. 6:3
Jade and bone hair ornament inlaid with turquoise

Length 22.5 (8 3/4)
Neolithic Period, Taosi Longshan Culture (c. 2500 – 2000 BCE)
From Taosi, Xiangfen, Shanxi Province

The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

None of the objects associated with the Taosi culture speaks quite so eloquently of the refined and courtly ambiance surrounding the elite members of these communities as do the personal ornaments. In a direct and intimate way these ornaments reveal the dimension of a wealthy and high-status social stratum, unheralded at other contemporary late Neolithic sites in the Central Plains area.

The ornament exhibited here was designed to be pinned in the hair. It combines in a fanciful display a variety of precious materials, including nephrite and turquoise. The polished bone stem is surmounted by a spherical section inlaid with turquoise chips and further embellished by a wedge of white jade through the center, which projects beyond the sphere on one side. Mounted at the top of the sphere is an upright finial of pale olive green jade with a pointed extension above. The final element of the assemblage is a slender rectangle of the same olive green nephrite, which would originally have been fastened in place by
a thin cord drawn through a perforation at the end and another drilled in the finial. Such an attachment would make for a swinging motion as the wearer stepped or turned, producing a sound as the pendent struck the other pieces of jade.

The Taosi hair ornament, which was unearthed from a female burial, stands as an amazingly early precursor in the long history of very imaginative and artful jewelry that has continued in China almost to the present time. As a personal ornament it is not unique among the finds at Taosi sites. During excavations conducted in 1997 and 1998 at a Taosi cemetery at Xiajin, near Linfen, slightly north of Xiangfen, nearly 500 graves were uncovered, among them a number of elite burials. From the burials of two female elders have come elaborately inlaid bracelets. The largest and most astonishing of these is the one from M 76, which measures 9 centimeters in height. Its surface, like the spherical element on the hair ornament, is covered by a mosaic of turquoise chips, and it is further embellished by three contrasting inset ovals of white stone.

1 Excavated in 1980 (M 2023:1 – 3); unpublished. Gao Wei, head of the Taosi excavation team, Institute of Archaeology, CASS, has indicated that, in addition to the hair ornament, Tomb 2023 contained a bracelet inlaid with turquoise and a painted pottery ping. Gao has suggested that the hair ornament is a precursor to later hair ornaments called buyao (literally, "swinging when walking").

2 Zheng 1998, 4 -13; frontispiece and color pls. 1 – 2.

3 According to the excavation report, the bracelets are made of a black rubbery substance (Zheng 1998, 10). Whether this substance is related to lacquer has not been determined. The report makes no reference to the possibility that the substance might originally have been the coating of some underlying material, such as wood or woven fibers.

The elite burials at Xiajin, so richly provided with personal ornaments and jade ritual implements, lack the usual collection of pottery vessels and other accoutrements. In each grave only a single vessel was found. All of these vessels are of one kind: a tall, slender gray-ware ping, of exceptionally distinguished appearance, painted partly red (Zheng 1998, color pl. 12).
THE LIANGZHU CULTURE

The Liangzhu culture is named after a small village near Hangzhou, Zhejiang province, where archaeologists first discovered prehistoric artifacts in 1936. Subsequent fieldwork and academic research have mapped out an extensive distribution of some three hundred sites in southern Jiangsu, northern Zhejiang, and Shanghai and established a chronology spanning the period from 3200 to 2000 BCE. The discovery and recognition of Liangzhu and the cultures that preceded it have had a profound impact on Chinese archaeology, fundamentally altering the diffusionist theory that treated the Yellow River valley as the sole center of all prehistoric cultures and prompting scholars to consider a multitude of regional cultures as contributors to the formation of Chinese civilization.

The Liangzhu economy was primarily agricultural. The cultivation of rice in the lower Yangzi River valley dates back to around 5000 BCE. By the third millennium BCE, farming had reached an advanced stage: the residents of Liangzhu had developed sophisticated farming tools and had begun to practice irrigation. Hunting and gathering, fishing, and animal husbandry rounded out the subsistence economy, and, together with rice farming, constituted a high-yielding, diverse, and above all, secure blend of resources that provided the surpluses necessary for many handicraft industries, including stoneworking, pottery, basketry, woodworking, textile crafts, and jade carving.

The Liangzhu were the most advanced of their contemporaries in the craft of stoneworking. Stone tools — plows, weeding hoes, sickles, chisels, knives and arrowheads — were well made and smoothly finished; battle axes (typically with a large perforation, a thin blade and a lustrous polish) represent the highest technological achievement. Other Liangzhu crafts demonstrate a comparable level of technical sophistication. Wheel-thrown pottery vessels have graceful silhouettes, thin and even walls, and smooth surfaces; most are colored black and decorated with fine engravings, but some have painted surface patterns. Textiles found at a Late Liangzhu site at Qianshanyang in northern Zhejiang include ramie and silk, the latter finely and skillfully woven from a cultivated thread, indicating an advanced stage of sericulture. At Qianshanyang, archaeologists discovered woven bamboo objects — baskets, bins, buckets, and floor mats — that display a rich variety of weaving patterns, some of which are still in use to this day. Lacquer had been developed by the Middle Liangzhu period (c. 2800 – 2400 BCE); traces on pottery vessels and woodwork indicate that Liangzhu craftsmen used colored lacquer as a surface coating and for decoration. A recent excavation in northern Zhejiang province has yielded several pieces of lacquerware; an extremely thin-walled wine cup (2 – 3 millimeters, including the wood core), inlaid with small pieces of jade, retains its original red color.

Recent finds from a dwelling site at Longnan, Jiangsu province, suggest that Liangzhu residences were concentrated on riverbanks, where the inhabitants had easy access to water for domestic and agricultural use, as well as for transport. The houses were semi-subterranean, with pounded-earth floors and straw-thatched roofs raised on wooden posts, and were surrounded by pigpens and storage pits. Remains of what appears to have been a pounded-earth
dam lay between the river and the houses — probably to control flooding during the rainy season. Farther away, excavators found the remains of a small pier, constructed of wooden posts and boards, extending onto the shore. Excavations at other sites have uncovered fragments of a boat and oars, providing further evidence of water transportation. Finds from Qianshanyang suggest that Liangzhu residents may also have built their houses on stilts — a very common form of domestic architecture in the marshy areas of southern China.

Since the mid-1970s, archaeologists have excavated hundreds of Liangzhu burials, ranging from small graves that contain few (if any) burial goods to large, lavishly furnished tombs. The most extravagant of these, presumably those of the social elite, were found in Middle Liangzhu sites at Fanshan and Yaoshan in northern Zhejiang province. Excavations at the Fanshan site — a man-made earthen mound approximately 82 meters long, 27.5 meters wide, and 3.5 meters high — revealed eleven tombs, which together yielded more than three thousand jades as well as fine pottery vessels and stone implements. A mound of similar dimensions at Yaoshan was more elaborately structured than the Fanshan site: it comprised a central, square platform of red earth encircled by a six-foot-wide ditch filled with loose gray soil and surrounded by a U-shaped platform of yellowish brown earth; the entire structure was covered with gravel. Twelve tombs arranged in two rows were found on top of the mound, eleven of them miraculously intact at the time of excavation. All yielded a large quantity of burial goods, including several hundred jades, and some tombs apparently held double coffins that included a storage compartment between the inner and outer coffins. The absence of either architectural remains or traces of human habitation has led scholars to speculate that this had once been an important and sacred site (probably reserved for public meetings or religious rituals), subsequently abandoned and turned into a cemetery.
Of all Liangzhu achievements, jade carving reveals an unparalleled artistic sophistication and technical virtuosity. The antecedents of Liangzhu jade carving can be traced to its parent culture, the Majiabang culture, which arose in the fifth millennium BCE. Majiabang jades, limited to earrings, beads, bracelets, and small pendants, are technically crude, shaped by pecking and then ground to a polish; many show pitted surfaces and bear clear scars of abrasion. Over the subsequent two thousand years, jade carving witnessed tremendous advances, and by the middle of the third millennium BCE, Liangzhu craftsmen were producing works of unprecedented quantity, variety, and artistic sophistication. The most distinctive forms include bi disks, cong tubes, axes, bracelets, beads, pendants, fittings, and ornamental plaques, many of which have complex shape, fine and elaborate surface decoration, and exceedingly lustrous finish.

Until recently, insights into the carving techniques of Liangzhu jade craftsmen have remained elusive, largely because most of the recovered jades are finished products; traces of tool marks, which might reveal how the jades were carved, have been smoothed out by polishing. The discovery of roughly made bi disks at various sites and of jade fragments and quartz drill bits at Mopandun (Dantu county, Jiangsu province), however, have begun to shed light on the techniques of Liangzhu jadework. Liangzhu lapidaries used rotating wheel-saws to slice jade or extract it from large boulders, and some type of rotating mechanism for drilling and plane grinding. (The extensive use of the potter’s wheel in the Liangzhu pottery industry attests to a mastery of rotary tools.) They seem to have shaped the jades from slabs with bowstring saws (probably made of leather straps), as well as with thin stone blades and bamboo slips. Drills — both solid and hollow — were used to bore holes; the jades were polished with leather and pieces of bamboo and brought to a high luster with elutriated quartz sand whose grade approximates that used in the modern jade industry.

The sources of the nephrite that constitutes the raw material of the Liangzhu jades remain uncertain; mineralogical analyzes have eliminated all presently known nephrite deposits in China and neighboring countries as possible sources for the Neolithic industry. Several scientists suggest that local sources of nephrite existed in Liangzhu times but have since been exhausted. A recent identification of nephrite deposits in Xiaomeiling, Liyang county, Jiangsu province — well within ancient Liangzhu territory — lends support to this theory. Although the Xiaomeiling nephrite has proved to be mineralogically distinct from that of Liangzhu jades, it nevertheless confirms the existence of nephrite-forming conditions in this area.

The splendor of Liangzhu jades not only reveals a flourishing material culture but also sheds light on the social, political, and religious life of its people. Because jade is much harder than metal and can be shaped only by grinding with abrasives, jade-working is extremely laborious and time-consuming and requires specialized skills. The enormous quantity of refined jades in lavishly furnished tombs points to a stratified society, in which the elite class could deploy large numbers of specialized workers for their extravagant, conspicuous consumption of a precious material. The construction of the Fanshan mound (estimated at 180,000 cubic feet of
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earth) confirms the existence of both an elaborate social organization that was able to plan, manage, and sustain such a formidable project, and a large labor force. Finally, although many Liangzhu jades (notably beads, pendants, and bracelets) are clearly ornamental, some large objects — particularly bi disks and cong tubes — appear to have served no practical function. The staggering amount of labor expended in their manufacture and the archaeological context in which they were found suggest that these forms probably served as ritual paraphernalia for those of privileged status.

With the beginning of the second millennium BCE, the Liangzhu culture fell into decline. Although its cultural attributes seem to have had little influence on its immediate successors, the Liangzhu jades inspired later artistic traditions. Many Liangzhu jade shapes were adopted as standard ritual objects in the cultures and dynasties that arose afterward. One of its representative forms — the bi disk — constituted a vital element of official paraphernalia through the fall of the last imperial dynasty in the beginning of the twentieth century. Jade itself has remained a highly valued and prestigious material for over five thousand years, and the many practices associated with jades that originated in the Liangzhu culture have remained integral to Chinese civilization.

1 Shi 1938, 4-5. For additional discussion and bibliography on the Liangzhu culture, see Sun Zhixin 1993, 1-40.
2 For additional discussion of Liangzhu agriculture, see An 1988, 235-245.
3 Zhejiang 1960, 73-91.
4 Zhejiang 1988b, 52-51.
8 For additional discussion of Liangzhu jades, see Sun Zhixin 1993, 18-21.
Jade cong

Height 10 (3⅓), exterior diam. 8.4 cm (3⅓),
interior diam. 6.6 (2⅓)
Liangzhu Culture, c. 3200 – 2000 BCE
From Fanshan, Yuhang, Zhejiang Province
Zhejiang Provincial Institute of Archaeology,
Hangzhou

Of all the diverse jade objects associated with the
Liangzhu culture, the cong displays the most com-
plex form: a cylindrical tube encased in a square
prism that gently tapers from top to bottom, usually
divided into evenly spaced tiers by horizontal
grooves. Cong vary in size, from short examples with
one or two tiers to large, pillarlike examples that
stand as high as 30 centimeters tall with as many as
fifteen tiers.¹ Their projecting collars span a range
of thickness and rotundity, and their corners are
variously rounded or sharply angled. Each of the
four corners is generally decorated with a face
motif sculpted in low relief or engraved in fine lines;
these motifs range from simple masks comprising
only circular eyes and a bar-shaped mouth to com-
plex faces with intricate scrollwork. Most cong have
a glossy finish; finely polished examples may be
quite lustrous.

The function and meaning of cong remain enig-
matic. Centuries of speculation have focused on
theories proposed in Late Zhou and Han texts that
postdate the Liangzhu culture by two or three thou-
sand years and are for that reason irrelevant to
how these objects were used in Neolithic times.
(The term cong itself derives from texts of the Late
Zhou period and, though descriptively useful, is
archaeologically meaningless.) In recent years
some scholars have attempted to relate the cong
to totemism and shamanism by applying Western
anthropological theories to the study of jades;²
others warn that such analogies should be treated
with great caution.³

Given their impressive size and weight, most
cong could not have been worn as personal orna-
ments. Their discovery in predominantly large,
lavishly furnished tombs suggests that cong proba-
bly signified wealth and privileged social status.
However, they may have served other functions as
well. In a tomb at Sidun, Jiangsu province, numer-
ous cong lay in a circle around the tomb occupant,
suggesting that they had been arranged for a
specific religious or ritual purpose.⁴

The recurring motif of superimposed faces, as
seen on this cong,⁵ probably grew out of an image
combining a human figure with a monster’s face, of
which the decoration on a cong recently unearthed
at Fanshan, Yuhang, Zhejiang province, provides a
detailed example.⁶ The upper part of the image
seems to represent a human figure wearing a fan-
shaped feather headdress. A band of incised angu-
lar spirals encircles its trapezoidal face: two pairs of
concentric circles and two superimposed rectangles
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form the subject's beady eyes, broad nose, and toothy mouth; horizontal lines on either side of the circles suggest the canthi. The figure seems to be riding on a fearsome monster which has large circular eyes, a gaping mouth with protruding tusks, and two clawed feet. This double image mirrors the more abbreviated face motifs commonly found on Liangzhu jades.

The significance of these face motifs is highly controversial. While generally agreeing that these faces carry symbolic meaning, scholars differ in their interpretations of the image. Some argue that they depict the animal assistants of shamans, while others suggest that they represent deity figures, ancestor spirits, or even phallic symbols. Although archaeological evidence does not yet allow further speculation on the specific meaning of these faces, we can reasonably postulate that they represented religious icons of some sort.

1 For examples of cong of various heights and proportions, see Zhejiang 1989, pls. 6 – 58.
3 Rawson 1995, 124.
6 Zhejiang 1988, 10 – 14, figs. 19, 20; for detailed photographic reproductions, see Zhejiang 1989, pls. 6 – 9.

Jade cong

Height 4.5 (11/4), exterior diam. 7.9 (3 1/4), interior diam. 6.7 (2 3/4)
Liangzhu Culture, 3200 – 2000 BCE
From Yaoshan, Yuhang, Zhejiang Province
Zhejiang Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Hangzhou

Unlike the common type, this short cong has a circular wall resembling a bracelet. A large monster face is incised in fluent lines on each of the four decorative panels, its circular eyes, bar-shaped nose and mouth sculpted in low relief. Between the eyes, vertical lines form a fan shape, echoing the feather headdress of the human figure in the more elaborate human-monster configuration. In contrast to the fine spirals and curls that fill the background, the eye circles are cut in deep grooves, giving the face a threatening aspect.

While most cong have a square cross section and circular central hole, round, bracelet-shaped forms have been found at several Middle and Late Liangzhu sites, including Fanshan in northern Zhejiang province, Fuquanshan in Shanghai city, and Sidun in southern Jiangsu province. The slightly raised angle that appears in some examples along the median line of the decorative panel nonetheless suggests an affinity to the typical cong shape.

It is likely that the cong developed out of the bracelet form, perhaps reflecting lapidaries’ search for an effective means to present the face motif. The close resemblance between the bracelet and the earliest known example of the cong — datable to the Early Liangzhu period (c. 3000 BCE) — suggests such an evolution. The cong differs from the bracelet by having four rectangular panels, on which simple, monsterlike faces are incised. These are the earliest known examples of face motifs, but they consist of exactly the same pictorial elements as the later ones — a pair of gogglelike eyes joined by an arched bar and a wide-open mouth with protruding tusks. As the surface decoration grew more elaborate in the Middle Liangzhu period (c. 2800 – 2400 BCE), the craftsmen accentuated
the motif by elevating it into relief with a slightly bulging median axis. It is in this experiment that they found the potential of transforming the two-dimensional image into a three-dimensional one. Consequently, the cong’s cross section evolved from circular to rectangular. This represented a turning point in the developmental sequence of the cong, when the emphasis shifted from surface decoration to formal structure. The cong subsequently grew much taller and assumed monumental forms, while the face motifs became noticeably abbreviated. However, the old form did not die out with the invention of the new form; indeed, it continued for a long period. 

1 Excavated in 1987 (M 9-4); reported: Zhejiang 1988a, 36–37.
2 Zhejiang 1988a, fig. 23; Zhejiang 1988b, 36–37, fig. 9, pl. 6; Shanghai 1984, 3, color pl. 1: 2; Nanjing 1984, 117–119, pl. 4: 3; for detailed photographic reproductions, see Zhejiang 1989, pls. 10, 11, 14; Shanghai 1992, pl. 88.
3 Nanjing 1982, 29, fig. 6; for a detailed photographic reproduction, see Zhejiang 1989, pl. 12.
Jade yue axe and haft fittings

axe: length 16.7 (6 5/8), height 8.2 (3 1/4)
fitting: top, height 3.6 (1 1/4);
bottom, height 3.1 (1 1/4)
Liangzhu Culture, c. 3200–2000 BCE
From Fanshan, Yuhang, Zhejiang Province
Zhejiang Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Hangzhou

This large axe, carved from a cream-colored nephrite fretted with white veins and brown speckles, has a gracefully curved cutting edge and two straight sides, all gently and thinly beveled. Two drilled holes pierce the axe’s butt, the larger of them bored from both sides, as evidenced by a small ridge in the aperture. The surface of the blade is polished to a glossy luster, while the butt, which would have been fitted into a haft and thus would not have been visible, is unfinished.

Evidence suggests that finely crafted jade axes evolved from functional weapons and hacking tools of ordinary stone. The earliest stone examples, dated to the fifth millennium BCE, come from the cultures of the eastern coast. Thick and lenticular in cross section, these perforated stone axes (many of which have cracks and chips along their edges, suggesting that they were in fact used as implements) closely resemble their unperforated counterparts. It is the perforation, by which the blade is mounted to the handle, that distinguishes the two types of axes. Whereas the blade of an ordinary axe would have been positioned in the split end of the handle and then tied with a cord, the butt of a perforated axe blade was inserted into a groove cut in the handle and then tied through the hole (fig. 1). This simple hole ensured a much stronger bond between the blade and the handle, and it may be that this superior, reinforced hafting led to the exclusive use of the perforated axe as a weapon, for the secure mounting of a blade would have made a fatal difference in battle. Over the fourth millennium BCE, the perforated axe became increasingly large and flat. Many are smoothly polished and have an exceedingly thin blade.
Axes carved of jade appeared during the Liangzhu period and had by then become larger and flatter than their stone predecessors. The many jade axes found at Liangzhu sites vary considerably in size and proportion, but all have a thin, flat blade, one or two perforations near the butt, and a curved cutting edge beveled on both sides. Found exclusively in large and richly furnished tombs — generally one to a tomb — the axes were placed next to the left hand of the tomb’s occupant, with the cutting edge pointing outward. The handles, made of wood or other organic material, have rarely survived, but those that have show evidence of lavish decoration. An ivory handle found at Fuzhanshan, Shanghai, entirely covered with complex engravings, is among the most exquisite works of Liangzhu art. Small bits of jade found between two ornate end fittings in a tomb at Fanshan suggest that the wooden handle was originally inlaid. The fine material, extraordinary workmanship, and, above all, the imposing form of these jade axes command power and respect. Indeed they probably served exclusively as symbols of political and military authority, for few, if any, show signs of wear.

The axe served this symbolic function throughout the Bronze Age and during the early centuries of imperial China. Several bronze axes resembling the jade form have been found in royal tombs of the Shang period. Two axes of impressive size and decoration (see cat. 52) were found in the tomb of Fu Hao (d. c. 1200 BCE) — an eminent female general and the consort of King Wu Ding — who led the Shang armies in several military campaigns. Ancient documents also record that the king held the axe as he directed his armies in battle and delegated his military authority to his general by presenting him with the axe. As this practice subsequently diminished in later periods, the axe became a ceremonial weapon in the regalia and was illustrated on the royal banners. It remained an emblem of the sovereignty until as late as the sixteenth century, when its image was embroidered on the emperor’s dragon robe, an allusion to its functions in remote antiquity.

1 Excavated in 1986 (M 20:144); reported: Zhejiang 1988a, 16. The two jade haft fittings were excavated from M 20 along with the axe. See Zhejiang 1988a, 16.
2 Fu 1985, 820–829.
3 Shangshi 1984, fig. 18; for a detailed photographic reproduction, see Shanghai 1992, pl. 92.
4 Zhejiang 1988a, 14–15, fig. 25.
5 Fu 1985, 820–829.
6 Zhongguo 1980a, color pls. 151, 2.
8 For an illustration, see Fong 1996, pl. 162.
a. Jade trapezoidal plaque
Height 5.2 (2), width 10.4 (4 ft), depth 0.3 (ft)
Liangzhu Culture, c. 3200–2000 BCE
From Fanshan, Yuhang, Zhejiang Province
Zhejiang Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Hangzhou

b. Jade trapezoidal plaque
Height 3.4 (3 3/8”), width 6.4 (2 ft), depth 0.3 (ft)
Liangzhu Culture, c. 3200–2000 BCE
From Yaoshan, Yuhang, Zhejiang Province
Zhejiang Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Hangzhou

In addition to large bi disks and cong tubes, the Liangzhu culture created a diverse variety of small jade objects, among which trapezoidal plaques are a standard form. Although they are fairly consistent in shape, their decorative schemes, all derived from the monster-and-human-face image, vary considerably: some plaques have only a simple face represented by a pair of circular eyes and a bar nose, while others (in particular those from the Fanshan and Yaoshan sites) are extravagantly embellished. The vocabulary of the plaques’ surface decoration comprises three primary elements: face motifs, ribbons, and scrollwork. The face motif is usually abbreviated to a pair of circular eyes and a bar-shaped nose and mouth but is occasionally extended to include the feather headdress. Ribbons and scrollwork, which were introduced in the Middle Liangzhu period (c. 2800–2400 BCE), add complexity to designs and textural detail to otherwise spare surfaces. The talent and imagination of the Liangzhu craftsmen are evident in their ability to create a rich variety of patterns within a limited decorative repertoire.

Painstakingly shaped and elaborately embellished, the first plaque (a) displays a high level of technical and aesthetic virtuosity. Together with neatly hollowed openwork, twisting and winding ribbons form a monster face with circular eyes, a broad nose, and a large mouth with sharp fangs.
A pair of clawed feet, also represented by winding ribbons, extends from beneath the jaws. Two human faces, rendered in profile and wearing feather head-dresses, flank the central image, while ribbons and spirals interweave to create an intricate network that links the monster and human faces. Fluent engraved lines lend a tactile quality to the lustrous surface.

Among numerous jade plaques excavated over the past twenty years, those from Fanshan and Yaoshan in northern Zhejiang province are the most ornate. No two examples bear identical designs, and it may be that their distinctiveness served to define the individuality of their owner. The context and function of these plaques are still unknown. The plaques are characteristically worked down to a stepped edge at the bottom and pierced with a row of small holes, perhaps to attach the plaque to a piece of garment or an object made of perishable material. They may also have been components of a larger assemblage such as headgear, an interpretation suggested by the discovery of plaques among beads and pendants in the Fanshan and Yaoshan tombs. Given the diverse shapes of Liangzhu jades, a large variety of combinations can be made by arranging the trapezoidal plaques with various beads, pendants, and fittings.

The second plaque (b) is precisely symmetrical and consistent in thickness. Exact lines and sharp edges delineate its contour, testimony to the craftsman’s skillful and assured hands. Amid interlaced ribbons and spirals, a monster face emerges — with large circular eyes, a broad nose, and a wide-open mouth with protruding tusks, all executed in fine engraved lines. As in earlier examples, the lines are formed of repeated short cuts, but here more finely and fluently executed. Above the monster face, an oval opening has been cut with great precision; four small holes are drilled at regular intervals along the stepped lower edge. The surface of the plaque is finished to a soft gloss.

This plaque is an excellent example of Middle Liangzhu jades, which are characterized by their exact and fluent lines, precise cuts, smoothly polished surfaces, and, above all, intricate surface decoration. Several theories have been proposed to explain the remarkable advances in carving technology that these middle-period plaques display. A plausible hypothesis suggests that Liangzhu craftsmen developed a heating process to soften the surface of jade, and that the creamy white color of Middle Liangzhu jades is not the result of burial but rather of heating the stone to more than 900 degrees Celsius (a temperature achievable in pottery kilns of the period). A simulation test found that while the composition and structure of the jade remains constant after heating, its specific gravity and hardness are reduced — the latter by three to four degrees on the Mohs scale. This significant reduction in the stone’s hardness would have facilitated carving to a great extent, improving the shaping process as well as permitting the extensive use of incised scrollwork.
a. Jade plaque

Height 3.9 (1 3/8), width 7.1 (2 5/8)
Liangzhu Culture, c. 3200 – 2000 BCE
From Yaoshan, Yuhang, Zhejiang Province
Zhejiang Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Hangzhou

b. Jade plaque

Height 6.2 (2 3/8), width 8.3 (3 3/4)
Liangzhu Culture, c. 3200 – 2000 BCE
From Yaoshan, Yuhang, Zhejiang Province
Zhejiang Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Hangzhou

Although both these plaques were excavated from a Middle Liangzhu site at Yaoshan, they are technologically centuries apart. The rather primitive-looking monster face on the first plaque (a) is represented by a pair of circular eyes and a cross-shaped mouth, all executed in openwork. The carving technique — clearly at an early stage in its evolution — is evident in the Y-shaped cutouts that represent the corners of the eyes: having first drilled a hole, the carver cut lines radiating outward (the bore is detectable where the lines meet). The cuts are rough and clumsy, implying the use of a soft saw-blade possibly made of a leather strap, as are the engraved lines that describe the eyebrows and nose. The archaic appearance and crude manufacture of this plaque exhibit an affinity with a small openwork pendant unearthed from an early Liangzhu site at Zhanglingshan in Jiangsu province and raise the possibility that the two objects may have been created contemporaneously, but the plaque’s smooth finish and the two beautifully drilled holes that represent the eyes suggest that it was probably reworked and refinished at a later time.

The image depicted on the second plaque (b) — a variant of the conjoined human figure and monster face — is far more complex than that of the first. A square-faced and unusually long-necked human figure, wearing a feather headdress flanked
by two winglike shapes covered with curls and spirals, appears to merge into a fearsome monster face below. The monster face has two large bulging eyes, two prominent nostrils, and a large mouth, incised along the bottom edge of the plaque, with neatly aligned teeth. The carving technique is far more advanced than that of the first plaque: the contour is smooth, the engraving fluent, and the openwork precise. The surface is carefully modulated to accentuate the monster’s face and smoothly polished to a soft luster.

The function of these plaques remains unknown. They have been found exclusively in Middle Liangzhu tombs at Yaoshan positioned among pottery vessels near the feet of the deceased. Although their half-disk form resembles that of neck pendants (huang) found near the chest of the deceased, their position and the absence of suspension holes indicate that they may have served other functions. Small, connected holes on the back of plaques suggest that the objects were probably sewn onto clothing or sheets of fabric, which decomposed over the centuries of burial.
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centimeters and has sharp corners. Its surface decoration is drastically abbreviated: low-relief bars and bands of parallel lines spanning the corners vaguely allude to the face motifs of the distant past.

Rod-shaped fittings are thought to have been used as components of necklaces; certainly short ones could plausibly have served that function, but examples from Late Liangzhu sites seem to be too long to have been worn as necklace pendants. Some were probably mounted with their tips pointing upward, so that the face motifs would have been oriented right side up, but the diversity of their positioning at the burial sites — near the head, the hands, or the chest of the deceased — renders archaeological evidence for their function inconclusive.

Rod-shaped fittings have also been found at the Dawenkou site in Shandong province. Round in cross section and pointed at one end, they closely resemble and are contemporaneous with Early Liangzhu examples. They were made throughout the Middle and Late Dawenkou periods (3500–2500 BCE), during which their form remained unchanged. It is likely that they were introduced from Liangzhu during the early third millennium BCE with the strengthening interactions between the two cultures.

1 Excavated in 1986 (M 9:7); not recorded in the brief report.
3 Nanjing 1982: 25–35, for a detailed photographic reproduction, see Zhejiang 1989, pl. 140.
4 Shanghai 1986: 23, for a detailed photographic reproduction, see Zhejiang 1989, pl. 139.
6 For discussion of the interactions between prehistoric cultures in the Lake Tai region and those in the Shandong region, see Gao 1986: 42, 47.
Jade zhuo bracelet

Height 2.6 (1), exterior diam. 7.4 (2⅖), interior diam. 6 (2⅖)
Liangzhu Culture, c. 3200–2000 BCE
From Yaoshan, Yuhang, Zhejiang Province
Zhejiang Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Hangzhou

This thick-walled bracelet is beautifully formed, elaborately decorated, and polished to a soft luster. Four monsterlike faces carved in high relief encircle its exterior, each featuring a pair of round eyes with bulging pupils and a wide, straight mouth with neatly aligned teeth. Thin, sunken lines define the arched eyebrows, round nose, and prominent jaws. Unlike the awesome expression of most Liangzhu monsters, these faces appear rather playful.

The jade is creamy white, but scattered spots and fine streaks of olive color suggest that the stone was originally translucent green. The form of this bracelet, as well as the symmetrical arrangement of the monster faces at the four corners, exhibits a close affinity with that of the cong, which indeed may have been its inspiration.

Bracelets number among the earliest Liangzhu jade forms; their antecedents can be traced to the very beginnings of eastern-coast jadework during the fifth millennium BCE. Examples from Liangzhu display a rich variety of shapes and designs — slim, thick, or convex walls and surface patterns engraved in intaglio or raised in relief. Decorative motifs primarily comprise face images and scrollwork, but twisted-rope patterns occasionally appear. A bracelet found on the arm of the deceased in a Fuquanshan tomb in Shanghai consists of two half-
Cat. 35, incised and carved decoration. After Zhejiang 1988b, 46, fig. 35:3.

2 Although varied in shape and decoration, jade bracelets are ubiquitous among prehistoric cultures, and their distribution spans the Liao River valley in northeastern China to the Zhujiang River valley in the far south. It is still too early to assign a common origin to jade bracelets: pottery and bone antecedents dating back much earlier than the jade forms have been found among many of these cultures, and these exhibit a wide variety of idiosyncratic formal features; bracelets of the Late Yangshao culture, for example, have a triangular cross section. The fact that in later periods bracelets were made of other materials (including gold, silver, agate, ivory, and lacquer) apparently did not diminish the value attached to jade: for thousands of years after the Liangzhu culture — even to the present day — jade bracelets have been the most prevalent and favored items of personal adornment in Chinese society.

1 Excavated in 1987 (M 1:30); reported: Zhejiang 1988a, 48.
2 Shanghai 1984, 2, pl. 1:7; for a detailed photographic reproduction, see Shanghai 1992, pl. 83.
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Jade beads and plaque

Beads: length 2.7 – 3 (1 – 1½)
Plaque: height 4.2 (1⅝), width 6.3 (2⅞)
Liangzhu Culture, c. 5200 – 2000 BCE
From Yaoshan, Yuhang, Zhejiang Province
Zhejiang Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Hangzhou

By quantity, beads dominate the inventory of Liangzhu burial goods — some tombs contain more than a hundred — and they are the oldest form of jade objects among cultures of the eastern coast, with examples dating back to the fifth millennium BCE. Jade beads were carved in tubular, spherical, and barrel-shaped forms; tubular beads are by far the predominant type. Most beads are undecorated, but some tubular forms bear face motifs similar to those cut in sunken lines on the cong, often so finely executed that the details are visible only under magnification. Despite their modest, undeco-
rated surfaces, spherical beads — with their precisely round contours and brilliant luster — testify to the skill of Liangzhu lapidaries. Although beads could be carved from lumps of raw jade, they were more likely made from drill cores or other off-cuts of larger objects.

Beads are most often found clustered in burials — sometimes together with plaques and pendants — as components of necklaces or other assemblages that were originally strung together. Determining the original arrangement of dislocated beads is a formidable, perhaps impossible, task and all reconstructions are for that reason conjectural. The fact that the beads and the plaque of this reconstructed necklace were found in close proximity to one another, for example, does not necessarily imply that they were strung as part of a single assemblage.

This plaque takes the form of a half disk with a gently curved and smoothly finished surface. The carving on the front of the disk, executed in extremely fine, sunken lines, depicts a human figure, arms at his side, wearing a feather headdress; he appears to be riding on a monster with large circular eyes, a bar-shaped mouth, and two clawed feet. Two small holes are drilled near the straight edge, one in each corner.

Semicircular plaques constitute a standard category among Liangzhu jades. Some are decorated with face motifs and scrollwork, others are plain-surfaced; several examples are drilled at the back with connecting holes, which suggests that they were probably attached or sewn onto garments of fabric or leather.

1 For photographic reproductions, see Zhejiang 1989, pl. 85; Shanghai 1992, pl. 75, 90.
2 Excavated in 1986 (M 22:8); the beads and plaque are not recorded in the excavation report (Zhejiang 19883, 1-29).
3 Zhejiang 1988a, 22, fig. 25:1988b, 46-47, figs. 28, 33 for photographic reproductions, see Zhejiang 1989, pls. 151, 152, 153; Shanghai 1992, pl. 62.
According to traditional historiography, the first three successive dynasties — the Xia, Shang, and Zhou — ruled parts of northern China during the period to which the archaeological finds described in this section can be dated. While various ancient texts document the deeds of the Three Dynasties’ kings (including King Yu, the first king of the Xia dynasty, and by extension, the first king of China, who directed his people to build irrigation systems to prevent floods\(^1\)), there was no physical evidence to verify such legends. The early writings situate the capitals of the Three Dynasties in the present-day provinces of Henan and Shaanxi — the region long believed to be the cradle of Chinese civilization; locating the remains of the Three Dynasties has been a consistent priority of Chinese archaeologists. As a result of the efforts of several generations of archaeologists, the Xia, Shang, and Zhou dynasties have begun to emerge from their cloud of mystery; the progress of these investigations itself comprises a trilogy of sorts.

The first episode was the 1928–1937 Anyang excavations, which proved the existence of the Shang dynasty and identified Anyang as the area of the Late Shang capital.\(^2\) The Anyang project continues to this day; one of the most unexpected recent discoveries has been the undisturbed tomb of Fu Hao. The Shang dynastic culture is represented by highly advanced objects and writing — ritual bronzes, jades, oracle-bone inscriptions — as well as the foundations of palaces, cities, and large royal mausoleums. Exhibits from the Anyang area, including items from the Fu Hao tomb, exemplify the dynasty’s artistic achievements (cats. 46–56). The Anyang excavations, moreover, have demonstrated that some accounts of early China in ancient Chinese historiographical works are in fact reliable and helpful in the planning of archaeological projects.

The second episode in the investigation of the Three Dynasties was the search for the Xia in western Henan, which ancient texts identified as the dynasty’s seat. Since the 1950s, archaeologists have conducted field work at the Erlitou site, Yanshi, Henan province.\(^3\) These excavations brought to light the earliest bronze culture in China (see cats. 37–40). The Erlitou culture’s temporal and geographic range falls within the parameters of the Xia dynasty described in texts. While the Erlitou excavations were underway, a Shang city at Zhengzhou was discovered and identified as earlier than the Anyang Shang culture and later than the Erlitou culture.\(^4\) The prevalent view identifies the Erlitou relics with the Xia culture — a position argued herein by Professor Zou Heng — but no writings have been discovered that definitively confirm this hypothesis. While the nature of the Erlitou culture remains the subject of some debate, there is consensus that the Erlitou culture was a state-organized society that appeared earlier than the Zhengzhou and Anyang Shang cultures.

Investigations of the Western Zhou dynasty were performed contemporaneously in the Shaanxi area. Excavated ritual bronzes, especially those with long inscriptions, have greatly benefited our understanding of the ritual and lineage system of that period (see cat. 81). The Zhou people had close ties to the Shang culture, from whom they inherited the major elements of their culture, to the point that many Late Shang and Early Western Zhou bronzes are so
similar that there are few recognizable standards to distinguish between them. This exhibition includes bronzes and jades from the Western Zhou metropolitan area (cats. 76–77), the Zhou’s homeland (cats. 78–83), and the state of Jin, established by a member of the Zhou royal family, in Shanxi province (cats. 84–90). Traditional historians believe that the Three Dynasties represent the mainstream of cultural and artistic development during the years in which they flourished; recent archaeological finds, however, have shown that the situation may not be so simple. As was the case during the preceding Neolithic period, distinctive regional cultures continued to thrive throughout the Bronze Age in the areas adjacent to the territories of the Three Dynasties.

The third episode in the investigation of Early Bronze Age China was the extension of archaeological investigation into the regions beyond the political scope of the Three Dynasties. In northeastern China, the discovery of the Lower Xiajiadian culture in Inner Mongolia revealed that many elements of the Erlitou culture were assimilated by the northeastern Chinese peoples: jue and jia vessels in the Erlitou style were commonly employed in the Lower Xiajiadian culture (cats. 44–45), and artifacts of both cultures display shared decorative elements, such as animal-mask motifs and cloud-and-thunder patterns (compare, for example cats. 38 and 41–43). These shared characteristics show cultural influences from the Central Plains extended into distant areas as early as the first half of the second millennium BCE. In southern China, findings from Sanxingdui (Guanghan, Sichuan province) along the upper
Yangzi River (cats. 65 – 75) and Dayangzhou (Xin’gan, Jiangxi province) along the middle Yangzi River (cats. 57 – 64) are testimony to the extensive development of Bronze Age cultures all over China proper.

The artistic achievements of the southern Bronze Age cultures parallel those of the Shang dynasty. Certainly, the Sanxingdui and the Dayangzhou cultures adopted a tradition of bronze ritual vessels from the Shang (cats. 59 – 62, 74), but bronzes from these southern Chinese cultures also display local, indigenous features. The Sanxingdui human, animal, and mythical sculptures, for example, contrast sharply with the northern dynastic tradition (see cats. 65 – 73). Bronzes from Dayangzhou contain local features, but these are often manifested in minor ways — in the animal ornaments on the handles of objects or in certain decorative patterns (cats. 59 – 62). Its musical instruments, such as the bronze bo bell (cat. 64), nevertheless demonstrate salient regional characteristics. On the other hand, cultural exchanges were mutual. These discoveries have proved that advanced bronze cultures inhabited both the south and the north of China; the long-held prejudice among scholars that the south was a backwater is no longer tenable. No writing from Shang period China has been discovered in the two southern cultures (except pictographs and dedicatory inscriptions in the Shang dynastic style from the Hunan provincial area); whether that fact reflects differences between the northern dynastic culture and the bronze cultures of the south is a question that remains to be answered. XY

1 See, for example, Sima Qian, "Xia ben ji" in the Shi ji (Records of the historian).
2 For the history of the early Anyang excavations, see Li Ji 1977.
3 For achievements of the early-stage investigations, see Zhongguo 1965.
4 Henan 1959.
The luster of the “Erlitou culture” derives from unique finds characteristic of the type site. First identified as the result of a deliberate effort to discover material remains of the Xia dynasty (the first of the Three Dynasties [san dai] of traditional Chinese historiography), the Erlitou site in Yanshi county, Henan province, lies in the eastern suburbs of the great city of Luoyang.1 Excavations have yielded a large quantity of a gray pottery dated as intermediate between that of local Neolithic cultures and Early Shang period pottery from such key sites as Zhengzhou. Moreover, the Erlitou site may hold upward of a dozen pounded-earth foundations conventionally regarded as “palaces” by their excavators. The two palaces already uncovered reveal courtyard plans of a kind fundamental to all later Chinese architectural practice.2 Over the last two decades, many richly furnished graves have been excavated, yielding, in addition to hardstone objects, the earliest bronze vessels in China proper. Most Chinese scholars now confidently equate this archaeological culture with the Xia, relying on its general correspondence in time (c. 1900–1500 BCE) and place (western Henan province) with the expectations of historiographical tradition.

The confidence of many Chinese scholars has not, however, persuaded all researchers. The lack of a worldwide consensus on the identity of the Erlitou type site (compared with the general acceptance of the Zhengzhou and Anyang sites as Shang) illustrates some of the competing assumptions and agendas of archaeologists and historians, both inside and outside China today. For many Chinese scholars, especially those who conceive of archaeology as an essentially historiographic discipline, the recovery of the Erlitou culture marks a major breakthrough in the reconstruction of the past and the reconciliation of historiography and “scientific evidence.” As such, the work at Erlitou is considered important as the excavations at Anyang (cats. 46–54) and the Plain of Zhou (cats. 78–83). In each case, modern archaeology verifies a received historical tradition, complementing and correcting that record.

Among scholars who embrace a different orientation, such as the North American view of archaeology as anthropology, the evidence from Erlitou appears less revelatory: The absence of any writing (save a few signs on pottery sherds) and the lack of any putative royal burials (with one disputed exception), combined with the piecemeal publication of the finds, raises many doubts about what has been recovered at the site itself. So far, the type site is exceptional in its own right; no other sites of this archaeological culture compare in their material inventories. The absence of any references to a Xia people or to Xia kings in the Shang oracle-bone inscriptions from c. 1200 BCE (see cats. 55–56) also makes the equation of the Erlitou culture with the Xia dynasty problematic. Other archaeological cultures could be championed as putative Xia remains, including, for example, the remarkable cemetery at Taosi in Xiangfeng county (Shanxi province).

In general, more data generated over time will help promote greater clarity in disputes regarding the identity of particular archaeological cultures or finds, even if they are not conclusively resolved by the latest discovery. Resolving the status of the Erlitou culture can only
Conjectural reconstruction of one of the “palaces” at Erlitou, Yanshi, Henan province. After Yang 1987, 75, fig. 5.

result from a broader consensus on other issues — theoretical and practical. How do we choose to define a “state” and its archaeological traces? How do we imagine the interaction of peoples and their collectivities over space and across time? What is the meaning of a concept such as “the Xia kings” for excavators? Only within a paradigm that assumes certain answers to these and related questions can one posit solutions. It remains to be seen whether researchers will endeavor to establish such a broad paradigm of common goals and assumptions. 

1 Thorp 1991a.
2 Thorp 1983b.
Bronze ding tripod

Height 20 (7¾), diam. at mouth 15.3 (6)
Erlitou Culture, Period IV or Lower Erligang Period (1600 – 1400 BCE)
From the Erlitou site at Gedangtou, Yanshi, Henan Province

The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

The ding tripod, a type ubiquitous throughout the Chinese Bronze Age, has come to symbolize the ritual vessels of the Shang and Zhou dynasties. This particular vessel\(^1\) complements the more common jue pouring cups (of which about a dozen are known) and jia and he wine warmers in the repertoire of ritual vessels from this site. The vessel was intended for practical use; later examples suggest that it might have been used to cook a meat stew.
interior

and the tripod's pointed legs would have allowed the vessel and its contents to be placed directly into a bed of hot charcoal or some other fuel. This ding is of a modest size that permits easy manipulation by one pair of hands; presumably the two loop handles at the rim were used to lift it off the fire.

The vessel still bears traces of seams between the ceramic piece-molds used for its casting, as well as signs of an early repair. The mold assembly required for such a vessel presented no great challenges, and surely the object was less demanding to cast than the more common jue. A spherical clay core (with three pointed stumps to create a hollow in each conical leg) formed the vessel's interior. Outer mold sections formed the body of the vessel, their joins aligned at regular intervals around the vessel — probably in three sections extending from one leg to the next. If the ding was cast upside down (as generally seems to have been the practice) the outer mold sections would have been formed to render the everted rim and to leave space for the two loop handles. This ding has a simple pattern of crossed relief lines in a band around its waist, an effect that takes advantage of the piece-mold technique. The interior of outer molds could easily be scored, carved, or even stamped with decorative designs to create relief on the surface of the cast object.

This ding was recovered through the efforts of the local public security bureau after it, a jia tripod and a gu goblet were unearthed by workers at a factory near the Erlitou site. Since the archaeological context was never properly documented, or related artifacts (such as pottery) collected, the assignment of this ding to the Erlitou culture (rather than to the subsequent Lower Erligang [Early Shang] Phase) is at best hypothetical. Several vessels with similar decoration have been recovered from other sites in Henan: a jue was found at Zhengzhou in 1958, and a jia was found in Xinzhou in 1975. These related examples are usually assigned to the Early Shang period (c. 1600–1500 BCE).
Bronze plaque inlaid with turquoise

Height 14.2 (5½), width 9.8 (3½)
Erlitou Culture, Period II (c. 1800 – 1700 BCE)
From the Erlitou site at Gedangtou, Yanshi, Henan Province

The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

Unprovenanced objects closely resembling this bronze plaque were catalogued some years ago as horse “frontlets,” and indeed their size, shape, and loops for attachment plausibly suggested this identification; their use of turquoise inlay, on the other hand, was reminiscent of finely crafted weapons from Anyang and other Late Shang contexts. Only in 1981 was a plausible archaeological source for this kind of object reported, with the excavation of the grave at Erlitou that contained the plaque shown here. This example was found near the chest of the deceased in a burial distinguished by the richness of its furnishings, which included fragments of lacquerware as well as bronzes and jades. Since its discovery, other rich burials at the site have yielded similar plaques. However, there is no evidence at Erlitou for horses or their trappings, and chariots cannot be attested in northern China prior to the Anyang occupation several centuries later. Thus, the function of this and the other plaques remains a matter for conjecture.

All of these plaques measure about 15 centimeters in length, with rounded corners, small loops on each long side, and raised bands that contain small fragments of turquoise. Turquoise has been found in other contexts at Erlitou, such as the inlay on a bronze disk (possibly a mirror) and strings of beads. The stone was not native to the region, however, and must have been acquired through some kind of trade from distant points. We have little if any evidence for the use of turquoise with bronze after the Erlitou culture period in northern China until it reappears in the Late Shang, as for example in objects from the tomb of Fu Hao (cats. 46 – 54).

This design is often interpreted as a mask on the visual evidence of what appear to be two round eyes peering over a snout and two jaws surmounted
FIG. 1. Cat. 38: inlay and cross section. After Zhongguo Erlitou 1984, 38, fig. 5.1.

by large ears or horns (fig. 1). Eyes on these plaques come in two shapes, either unframed circles (as here) or circles within pointed sockets. The upper “horns,” however, vary in every example. If the image anticipates the motifs that play so large a role in later bronze decoration (for example, the fangding, cat. 46), and for which the anachronistic term taotie has been employed since premodern times, it nonetheless differs in a number of respects. No consensus has emerged as to the significance of such motifs, but their ubiquity in so many media (bronze, stone, lacquer) and varied contexts — even as early as the Erlitou culture — makes the question worth pursuing.

Two plaques have been recovered at the Sanxingdui site in distant Sichuan province. They may be roughly contemporaneous in date, a fact that would point to the possibility of exchanges between the bronze-using cultures of northern China and the upper Yangzi River region in the early second millennium BCE. Since hardstones also suggest this possibility, the character of such exchanges deserves attention.

1 Loehr 1965, no. 19 and Poor 1975, no. 13.
3 Zhao 1994, nos. 63–64.
The shapes of hardstone objects recovered from rich burials at Erlitou suggest specialized, perhaps ceremonial or ritual, purposes. Blades or scepters (zhang) are a form not established in the Neolithic period and, like the dagger-axe (ge), may actually depend on bronze prototypes.1 Such blades would normally have been hafted at a right angle to handles — in the case of this zhang,2 perhaps through the small perforation in its tang (although its length and thinness would have rendered it too fragile for any use except as an insignia or token of rank); we have no physical evidence, however, that such elaborate hardstone blades were ever actually hafted and displayed. The stone zhang from Erlitou was found in a grave, placed pointing north on the chest of the deceased, and was paired with a similar but smaller blade pointing in the opposite direction. It seems unlikely that the blades were attached to handles at the time of the burial.

Broad flat axes (yue), on the other hand, were widespread in Neolithic cultures of the eastern coast and the mouth of the Yangzi River.3 Two variants documented at Erlitou are more elaborate: one shown here is a conventional flat axe with a circular perforation, four segments to the cutting edge, and six small "teeth" on each side.4 The other variant (called a qi or qibi) is a disk with a large central
perforation, the same four segments to the putative cutting edge, and teeth above and below. If the disk variant was used actually as an axe blade, it must have been hafted, but no obvious method of attachment is apparent from the Erlitou examples. Like the zhang blade, this well-crafted stone may have been carried as regalia or insignia.

The main affinities between the large Erlitou hardstone blade types and outlying cultures are found in the Northwest macroregion (present-day Shaanxi) and the Upper Yangzi macroregion (the Sichuan basin). The Sanxingdui site (cats. 65–75) has yielded copious quantities of similar blades. Just as the use of imported turquoise as inlay in Erlitou bronzes implies contacts with other areas (see cat. 38), it may be argued that the Erlitou type site was in communication with distant regions — in this instance at the receiving end of a tradition of fashioning hardstones. As yet, it appears unlikely that Erlitou was itself a center for such craft.

1 First suggested by Jessica Rawson; see Bagley 1980, 76.
2 Excavated in 1980 (υ^3 4); reported: Zhongguo Erlitou 1985, 199–205; 219 and pl. 1.
3 Shao 1993.
4 Excavated in 1981 (8/141 6:1); reported: Zhongguo Erlitou 1984, 37–40 and pl. 3.
The Lower Xiajiadian culture, dating to the early Bronze Age, was located far to the northeast of the Erlitou metropolitan centers in the area roughly coinciding with the territory once populated by the earlier Hongshan culture (cats. 10–22). It is represented in the exhibit by ceramic vessels from the Inner Mongolian site of Dadianzi, in the vicinity of Chifeng, but its wider distribution extended both north and south of the Yan mountains, well into what are now Hebei and Liaoning provinces.¹

The Xiajiadian sites are situated for the most part on the table lands above the rivers that wind through the region. Often these sites seem to occur in pairs, facing each other across the rivers, or in clusters near the mouths of rivers. The settlements with closer access to the rivers were apparently the preferred location, while those situated at a greater elevation tend to be smaller and less rich in artifacts. Dadianzi, itself a large and important site, was surrounded by smaller settlements and guarded by a sentry post built in the mountains overlooking it.

One of the most renowned features of the more sizable Lower Xiajiadian settlements are the defensive walls that surround them, which were constructed of pounded earth or of stone. A series of walled settlements stretching along the Daling and Laoha Rivers provides a very early prototype for the Great Wall, erected in this same area during the Warring States period. At Dadianzi, the walls seem to have been largely of pounded earth, although the gateways were faced in stone. Walled enclosures also surround the mud-brick dwellings at some of the Xiajiadian sites.

The Xiajiadian cemeteries, including the one at Dadianzi, were located beyond the defensive walls. The burial field at Dadianzi was unusually large, and the well-preserved graves found there, nearly 800 in all, can be considered as typical for the culture as a whole. While most of the graves are relatively small, the larger burials of the elite members of the community, which are dug to an exceptional depth, are the more interesting for the artifacts they contained and for what they reveal about the Dadianzi society and its connection with other, often distant cultures.

M 612, the tomb from which all but one of the pottery vessels in the exhibition were recovered, is an example of a fairly typical large, high-status burial at Dadianzi (fig. 1). It was located at the northern edge of the cemetery. The burial pit measured over two meters in length and almost a meter in width, but its most surprising aspect was its depth of fully six meters. Preserved in the walls of the pit were the foot holes used for climbing up and down it.

At the bottom of the pit were the partial remains of a skeleton, identified as a male, approximately forty-five years old. Under his left ear lay a pair of turquoise beads, and between his thighbones were some forty stone beads, perhaps once sewn to the ends of a sash tied at his waist. Traces of a fabric belonging to his garment or to his shroud were also detected. Although the wooden coffin had disintegrated, its imprint was left in the soil.

The burial objects were found not in the burial chamber but on the ledges of a niche cut into the sides of the pit more than two meters above the floor of the chamber. In the side of the...
niche aligned with the foot of the coffin were placed the gui and jiao (cats. 44, 45), along with a number of pig's feet. On the ledge to the right was a li, emptied of its contents and placed upside down. The majority of the pottery vessels and other items were deposited in the niche to the left, including a large li (cat. 41), a second, smaller li, a hu (cat. 43), and a small covered jar. In the same section of the niche were found the remnants of lacquerware objects, a jade pendant, and more pig's feet. One of the li vessels contained several cowrie shells, which must have been acquired through long-distance trade, as well as pieces of turquoise.

Evidence that the burial rites continued as the tomb was being repacked with earth is indicated by the discovery at the depth of about three meters of two separate sacrificial burials, which had consisted of dogs, and also of pigs which had their feet removed.²

The excavation of the Dadianzi site is important not only because it revealed a hitherto relatively unknown culture in the northeast, but because it provides evidence of long-distance connections with the early Bronze Age urban centers far to the south in the Henan area of the Yellow River valley. Among the most significant objects recovered from the Dadianzi burials are the gui and jiao ceramic vessels, used for pouring ritual libations (cats. 44, 45). These vessels are seen only in the large, high-status burials and are considered to represent prestige goods. As vessel types, they have no prehistory in the northeast, but at the Erlitou sites in Henan they are very common.

While the presence of these two vessel types at Dadianzi can be considered as proof of the influence from the distant Erlitou urban centers, it raises many unanswered questions about the actual nature of the interaction between the two cultures. That these two vessels were
found in the burials alongside the other ceramic vessels suggests their incorporation into the ceremonial rites of burial, indicating that this interaction may have involved more than casual trade relations. One possibility is that individuals made their way from Erlitou to the northeast, and that the local Xiajiadian elite were sufficiently impressed by the newcomers to emulate their vessels and the rituals for which they were designed. The quite distinctive nature of the Dadianzi culture, however, dispels any suggestion of a wider Erlitou presence within these communities.

A number of the gui and jiao vessels from Dadianzi exhibit what appear to be imitation rivets, lending support to the theory that a tradition of sheet-metal vessels may have existed at Erlitou before the development of cast-bronze technology. Other metal artifacts found at Dadianzi, however, suggest no influence from Erlitou, but point instead to cultural transmissions from a very different source, namely the Eurasian steppe. These artifacts include trumpet-shaped earrings and larger annular nose rings, which have been recovered from roughly contemporary finds scattered all across the northern periphery of present-day China, from Gansu eastward to Liaoning province. Earrings of the same kind are associated with the Andronovo and other nomadic peoples who had begun to make their way east across the steppelands from as far away as Western Central Asia. Other types of metal objects from Dadianzi include cast-bronze accouterments for weapons, among them finials that were secured to wooden hafts by metal nails. Although a clay casting-mold has been recovered from a related Lower Xiajiadian site, implying the existence of local bronze production, the ultimate prototype for these finials is possibly to be found as far away as the Bactrian-Margiana area in what is now southern Turkmenistan and Afghanistan.

These finds tell us that Dadianzi was a crossroads for cultural transmissions from very different cultures. The site may well have been one of the important transit points from which Eurasian metalwork was carried south to the Erlitou urban centers, where its influence is especially visible in the shapes of bronze knives and other implements. In exchange, other goods deemed of equal value were evidently transported to the north. These commodities probably included textiles and, almost certainly, lacquerware. Evidence from the elite burials at Dadianzi reveals that the gui and jiao ritual pouring vessels were accompanied by lacquered wooden beakers (gu), just as they were at Erlitou, and it is fair to assume that these three vessel types arrived in the north as a set.

The presence of lacquerware at Dadianzi and the likelihood that it was imported from the south raise a number of issues regarding the painted decoration on the Dadianzi vessels (cats. 41, 42, and 43). The pervasive syntax of these designs, based on complex interconnected and re-curving C-shapes, as well as such distinctive designs as quasi-zoomorphic faces, are also perceptible in the designs on the turquoise inlaid bronze plaques from Erlitou (cat. 38). Because the pottery and the bronze vessels at Erlitou are either undecorated or embellished only with simple striations, it is generally assumed that the decorative systems we associate with
the early Shang bronzes did not arise until after the Erlitou period. The inlaid plaques are the exception. The evident paucity of decorated objects from Erlitou and the elaborate repertory of painted designs at Dadianzi, known from no other culture at this period, have led to speculation that some design elements later seen on bronzes — including most importantly the taotie image (see cat. 42) — may have appeared first at Dadianzi.

The shared characteristics of the designs on the Dadianzi pottery and those on the inlaid plaques from Erlitou may, however, be susceptible of a somewhat different explanation. The patterns on the Dadianzi ceramics, outlined in black against a red ground, call to mind nothing more strongly than carved lacquerware. We also know from the archaeological reports that lacquer was used at Erlitou to decorate not only wooden vessels but a wider range of objects, including coffins. Although virtually none of this material has been made available in illustration, the drawing of a single fragment of lacquered wood from an Erlitou burial shows the carved design of two oval eyes with C-shaped curls above, reminiscent of an early form of the taotie. The abundance of lacquered objects at Erlitou and the evidence that some of them bore carved decoration suggest that the patterns on the inlaid bronze plaques and the Dadianzi ceramics may both reflect a tradition of carved lacquer decoration current at Erlitou.

Given the fact that we do not yet know in any detail what forms the lacquered decoration at Erlitou took, it would seem a rush to judgment to assign the priority of such important designs as the taotie to the Dadianzi culture. Real answers to the sources of the painted designs on the Dadianzi ceramics and to the broader issue of the relationship between these two early Bronze Age cultures await further information that only future archaeological excavations may be able to provide. LF-H

3. Examples of gui and jiao vessels showing imitation rivets are illustrated in Zhongguo 1996, 82, fig. 411–5, 5, 84, fig. 424; Zhongguo 1993, 133, fig. 1051–2. See Fitzgerald-Huber 1995, 20–21.
6. The Dadianzi cast-bronze fittings are illustrated in Zhongguo 1996, 190, fig. 86:1–5; pl. 56:1–4. A reconstruction of how two of these fittings were placed on a haft is shown in Zhongguo 1995, 134, fig. 1063. A Bactrian finial similar to one from Dadianzi (Zhongguo 1996, 190, fig. 86:3; pl. 56:4) is shown in Ligabue 1988, 165, fig. 8; Pottier 1984, 177, fig. 45:36.
8. The best preserved of the Dadianzi lacquered gui is illustrated in Zhongguo 1996, color pl. 203.
11. The painted designs on the Dadianzi ceramics also share certain similarities with the older tradition at Taosi (cats. 25–26). At Taosi, where fragments of lacquer have been found, the palette of red and black is sometimes present, but more striking are specific design motifs later seen at Dadianzi, among them the running spiral, and, even more surprisingly, the motif of the coiled serpent (Zhongguo Shanxi 1983, 42; Zhongguo 1996, 112, fig. 73:6; color pl. 123 [coiled serpent]). A question arises whether designs similar to the ones on the Taosi pottery may have had a wider currency in the Central Plains area in lacquerware and whether they may have been transmitted to Erlitou and ultimately to Dadianzi in the north.
Painted pottery li jar

Height 25 (9 ½)
Early Bronze Age, Lower Xiajiadian Culture (c. 2000 – 1500 BCE)
From Dadianzi, Aohanqi, Inner Mongolia
The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

Li vessels shaped like the present example have been found in almost all the furnished burials at Dadianzi. In the larger and more important burials they were placed with other ceramics in the niches cut into the wall of the tomb high above the level of the tomb floor. The li were usually placed upside-down above a guan jar, but the example from M 612, found in an upright position, is an exception.

Unlike the much earlier painted pottery of the Yangshao Neolithic, the Dadianzi vessels were decorated after firing. This characteristic, which they share with the painted ware from the late Neolithic site of Taosi (cats. 25 – 27) results in a tendency for the paint to flake off, especially when one layer of paint is applied over another. The chalky white pigment is the most vulnerable of all. In the present case, it would appear that the entire outer surface of the vessel, with the exception of the feet, was first coated with black paint. The primary decoration in white and red was added subsequently, leaving a narrow line of the underlying black pigment visible along the edges of the designs.

The image of a single eye delineated in red can be made out above the juncture of two of the legs, like those visible on other vessels of this type from M 612. The remainder of the decoration seems to be largely a free invention of the artist, who has transformed the more customary bands of curling forms into an exuberant assemblage of flamelike forms rising on the surface, unconstrained by the rules of symmetry that govern most of the decorative schemes associated with Dadianzi. The painting on this vessel thus contrasts with the orderly arrangement of patterns seen on the hu (cat. 43), recovered from the same burial (M 612).

The patterns encircling the inner side of the rim are more restrained and conventional. Painted to a smaller scale and meticulously executed, the configurations of white curls, in repeated units aligned radially and circumferentially, are outlined by minute black lines, ending in dots at the tips of the curls.  

Painted pottery lei jar

Height 30 (11 1/2)
Early Bronze Age, Lower Xiajiadian Culture (c. 2000 – 1500 BCE)
From Dadianzi, Aohanqi, Inner Mongolia

The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

Lacking the spirited flamboyance of the designs seen on some Dadianzi vessels and the disciplined control of others, the painted decoration on this vessel has a wild and unruly aspect, and an importance all its own. It is on this vessel that we encounter one of the earliest known occurrences of the taotie face which was to become the preeminent image on bronze vessels during the Shang period and into the early years of the Zhou.

Centered on the shoulder of the vessel above the level of the ear-shaped lugs, the taotie is readily identified by its two oval eyes, surmounted by curving eyebrows. Beneath the nostrils, the double lines of its bar-shaped mouth end at both sides in C-shaped curls. Evenly spaced pairs of short vertical lines indicate the teeth. The lower jaw, running parallel to the mouth, is drawn up at the side into reversing curls, which in turn touch the lines reach-
ing upward to form the taotie’s “crest.” The alert and menacing appearance of the face suggests that the image was probably apotropaic.

The taotie, moreover, is accompanied by other creatures. Lower down on the right side is another, more attenuated face, found amid the swirling, vaporous lines that fill the surface. Two sweeping S-curved shapes form the face, one the mirror-reverse of the other, with narrow, slanted lines representing the eyes. A second face of the same kind appears below, to the right. This particular type of face is also seen on one other vessel recovered from the same tomb as the lei.

To the left of the taotie can be discerned a final figure, which reaches to the bottom of the painted register. The head is rendered only as a horizontally placed C-shape with a point at the center, but the rest of the form seems humanlike, with pointed shoulders, its arms bent to the chest, and a long spine-like body, with what at the bottom resemble legs drawn up as if the figure were squatting. Wing-like appendages are apparently hinged to its arms. This figure, no less cryptic than the taotie and the other faces, seems to be presented as the apparition of a mysterious, almost dreamlike world.

The taotie on the lei is reminiscent of the demonic faces with large eyes seen on the slightly older Liangzhu jades. The two faces on the right side, on the other hand, compare with those on the turquoise-inlaid bronze plaques from the contemporary site of Erlitou (cat. 38). While a link almost certainly exists between these images, the story behind their transmission from one culture to another remains sketchy. A satisfactory explanation is also needed for the apparent relationship between the endlessly twisting convolutions forming the context for the figures on the lei and the curvilinear patterns associated with the Bronze Age taotie and other images, which by Anyang times become compressed to form the leiwen.

This lei, in contrast to the other Dadianzi vessels exhibited here, comes from the burial M 371. It was found in a niche cut into the wall of the tomb almost two meters above the foot of the coffin. Placed on top of it was a li vessel resting upside down. The niche also contained other vessels and traces of red lacquer, jade and stone ornaments, cowrie shells, and pigs’ feet. The skeleton, estimated to have been about forty years old, was poorly preserved; but a staff point and thirteen bone arrowheads unearthed nearby indicate that it was a male. Across his shinbones was found a lacquer gu vessel inlaid with turquoise. In the fill above the tomb chamber were the remains of several dogs and pigs, including one pig with an arrow lodged between its cervical vertebrae and its shoulderblades.

1 Excavated in 1976 (M 371:10); published: Zhongguo 1996, 105, fig. 54:1; pl. 11, fig. 3.
2 The only other taotie to vie in age with the one on the Dadianzi lei is a fragmentary image carved in lacquered wood from Erlitou (Zhongguo Erlitou 1983, 203, fig. 9:3). Related images are seen on other Dadianzi vessels; for example, Zhongguo 1996, 105, fig. 54:3.
3 Zhongguo 1996, 105, fig. 54:4; pl. 51.
4 A figure of the same type shown in profile occurs on an Early Shang bronze fitting from Xiaoshuangqiao accompanied by a serpent and a tiger; see Henan 1993, 247, fig. 7:7.
5 Compare Mou 1989, 91, fig. 139.
6 Zhongguo Erlitou 1984, 38, fig. 51; pl. 41; Zhongguo Erlitou 1986, 321, fig. 6, 10; pl. 71.
7 Zhongguo 1996, 36: 37; pl. 30.
Painted pottery *hu* jar

Height 40.5 (15 3/4")

Early Bronze Age, Lower Xiajiadian Culture (c. 2000 – 1500 BCE)

From Dadianzi, Aohanqi, Inner Mongolia

The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

This magnificent globular jar swells outward from a narrow ring foot of approximately the same diameter as the taller, slightly flaring neck. The vessel is surmounted by a high dome-shaped cover with a finial rising above.

In contrast to the previous *li* vessel, which comes from the same burial at Dadianzi (cat. 41), the designs on the present vessel are painted in a chalky white pigment outlined by fine black lines.
against a red ground. The decoration on the body is arranged in two horizontal registers, bordered by narrow bands edged in rounded relief. Diagonal strips within the bands encircle the vessel in alternating colors. Executed with utmost discipline, the principal configurations in white, ending in paired curls, are organized in parallel rows with the larger units occupying the zone of the vessel’s widest circumference. The units in each row are the mirror-reverse of those above and below. Horizontal strips marked by pairs of small black squares link the rows of smaller and larger units within each register, while others lead to the curls on the right of each unit. Similar units in single file circumscribe the neck.

The patterns on the lid, organized in a somewhat looser, but no less rigorous manner, are oriented along horizontal lines, and, vertically, by lines of fluctuating width reaching to the upper and lower borders. The finial above appears to represent a snake’s head, with its mouth modeled in relief.

The narrow band of white lozenges around the foot, different in character from the rest of the decor, is shown in fine lines of red reserve against a black ground. At the center each lozenge is studded with a black dot. LF-H

1 Excavated in 1977 (M 612:22); published: Zhongguo 1993, 150, fig. 102, center; Zhongguo 1996, 117, fig. 61:5; 204, fig. 94:7. The excavation report identifies the vessel as a guan.

This vessel1 and its smaller counterpart the jiao (cat. 45) were found in the niche of M 612, lying side-by-side. These two vessel types, intended for the pouring of libations, have been recovered only from the larger high-status burials at Dadianzi, and they invariably form a pair. Evidence indicates that in most, if not all cases, they were accompanied by a lacquered wooden goblet shaped as a flaring cylinder, which lay nearby. Together the three vessels evidently formed a specific ritual set. Only one complete example of the gu has survived (M 726:7), but we know of their presence in other tombs like M 612 by the remaining traces of their lacquer shell.2 Apparently the three vessels were deposited in the niche at the conclusion of the libation rite, after their contents had been emptied.

The gui from M 612 is fashioned of a buff-colored ware, largely obscured by the black paint that covers its surfaces. Its three hollow, tapering legs support a cylindrical body that widens toward the rim. The radius of the rim is approximately equal to that of the splayed legs at their tips, which accounts for the vessel’s well-balanced appearance. The rim rises at the front to form a short, upright pouring channel. A small knob at the opposite side of the rim may originally have served to secure a cover in place. At the back of the vessel, a broad strap handle reaches from the midsection to the upper part of one leg.

Gui vessels of this type recovered from Dadianzi are closely analogous to examples from the Erlitou Period II, and along with the jiao, establish the existence of long-distance cultural transmissions from the Central Plains region to the far northeast at the beginning of the Bronze Age.3 Despite the clear dependence of the Dadianzi gui on a Henan prototype, its surface decoration indicates that
the vessel was locally produced. Although the Erlitou ceramic vessels sometimes show incised decoration, 4 the band of triangles around the vessel’s midsection and the diagonal lines that fill the narrow bands above and below are pricked into the surface, penetrating the layer of the black paint to the clay body below. Pricked designs, rare at Erlitou, are regularly seen on Neolithic pottery across a broad area of the northeast, including the Hongshan wares, which come from the same geographical region where the Lower Xiajiadian culture later developed. 1F-H

1 Excavated in 1977 (M 612:10); published: Zhongguo 1993, 132, fig. 104:2; Zhongguo 1996, 204, fig. 94:1.
2 Zhongguo 1996, color pl. 203.
3 A gui closely comparable to cat. 44 was recovered from M 49, a Period II burial at Erlitou (Zhongguo Erlitou 1992, 297, fig. 4:3).
4 For example, a gui from M 55 at the Erlitou site of Yichuan Nanzhai (Henan 1996, 39, fig. 6:8; 1. 4:3).
Pottery jiao vessel

Height 18 (7 3/4)
Early Bronze Age, Lower Xiajiadian Culture (c. 2000 – 1500 BCE)
From Dadianzi, Aohanqi, Inner Mongolia
The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

This small pouring vessel stands delicately poised on three legs tapering to pointed tips. Its body, smooth and undecorated, rises from the low bulging section as a slender flute that widens at the rim. The rim sweeps upward at the front and back to a pointed apex. A long tubular spout extends forward in a slight curve from the vessel’s midsection. Seen in combination, the spout and the tops of the rim fan out in space, forming an elegant configuration across the top of the vessel. The broad strap handle on the vessel’s left side curves outward from a point above the spout and rejoins the bulging lower section of the vessel above one of the legs. The several sections of the vessel were fashioned separately and luted together. The grayish buff ware is covered by a thin coat of black pigment. Unlike the larger gui, this vessel is elliptical in cross section.

The jiao, like the gui, finds its prototype among examples recovered from Erlitou Period II. Rare instances of this vessel type cast in bronze are also known from approximately the same time. The jiao, however, is much less common at Erlitou in both media than the jue, which has a long open pouring channel instead of a spout, and lacks its high neck.

1 Excavated in 1977 (M 612:10); published: Zhongguo 1993, 172, fig. 104:1; Zhongguo 1996, 204, fig. 94:5. The excavation report identifies the vessel as a jue.
2 Zhongguo Erlitou 1992, 297, fig. 4:39; Henan 1996, 65, fig. 5:5.
3 Guo Baojun 1981, pl. 8:2.
The Anyang excavations of 1928–1937 created "Shang archaeology," simultaneously restoring the second of the traditional Three Dynasties to history. In conjunction with studies of the oracle-bone inscriptions (cats. 55–56), archaeologists substantiated the last segment of Shang dynastic history, when eight or nine self-styled kings (wang) divined at Anyang. However, the Anyang excavations posed many questions that were unanswerable given the limited evidence: Where had the Shang come from? How had their culture and their state developed over time? What were their relations with other, contemporaneous groups, presumably the descendants of the Xia and the ancestors of the Zhou?

One of the main achievements of Chinese archaeologists working since 1950 has been a range of plausible responses to many of these important questions. We now have at least a general understanding of the long-term growth of the culture that became the "Late Shang." Most Chinese scholars believe that remains of the Xia have been identified at the Erlitou type site (cats. 37–40), and many discoveries over the breadth of China proper have gone a long way toward defining an "Early Shang culture" and the Late Shang state's position in relation to other contemporaneous groups.

All the Anyang sites had been so badly looted that it seemed to some observers in the 1930s that the "ruins of Yin" (Yinxu) were nearly exhausted, at least with respect to the most precious items. That expectation has been proved wrong several times, first by a large find of oracle bones in 1973 and continuing in 1976 with the richest royal tomb ever excavated at the site: Tomb 5. Both discoveries were made within a few steps of the Anyang Work Station, where a permanent archaeological team assigned to the site resides. Still more recent finds, again including oracle bones and richly furnished burials, testify to the long-term potential for archaeology of all kinds at Anyang.

Subsequently known as the tomb of Fu Hao from more than one hundred inscriptions of that name on bronze vessels, the assemblage in Tomb 5 can be dated to the reign of the first Shang king certain to have reigned at Yin, Wu Ding (c. 1200 BCE). Most scholars believe Fu Hao was a royal consort or queen of Wu Ding — one of the king's three consorts now known from archaeological remains; she apparently died before the king. Fu Hao is the first truly historical Shang figure well documented both through material remains and contemporary inscriptions. Her tomb held more than 200 bronze ritual vessels (6 of which are included in this exhibition); about 250 other bronze objects, including bells, tools, and weapons; some 750 jades; more than 100 stone and semiprecious stone carvings; more than 560 bone carvings; 3 ivory goblets; 11 ceramics; and 6,800 cowries. As the only unlooted royal burial from the Shang center at Anyang, Fu Hao's tomb has opened a unique window on the life of the Shang elite.

The assemblage of more than two hundred bronze ritual vessels found in the Fu Hao tomb has revolutionized our understanding of Shang bronzecasting. Many of the vessels, presumably made during the lifetime of this consort, were inscribed with her name. Others bear inscriptions indicating they were made for and belonged to other contemporary lineages and may...
have been given to the deceased at the time of her funeral. Some may have been given to her prior to her death or even confiscated booty. In any case, most of the vessels appear remarkably consistent in design and style, testifying in all probability to the range and quality of bronze production at the Anyang foundries. As a group, these vessels represent a cross section of the royal bronze industry of the period, documenting the stylistic characteristics of a number of vessel types, as well as varying approaches to decoration. Many vessels were made as constituents of matched sets but vary slightly in dimension and weight, while still other types are extremely unusual, perhaps even unique. All were cast using ceramic piece-molds that were finished by individual detailing, the same technology already in evidence at Erlitou (see cat. 37). The assemblage also demonstrates the character of ritual in Fu Hao’s time and the relative emphasis placed on offerings of wine (actually fermented grain), millet, and meat. While one cannot deduce a strict code prescribing the composition of this ritual set, the Fu Hao assemblage does suggest that the Zhou custom of graded perquisites tied to social or ritual status developed from Shang norms.

1 Li Ji 1977 and Chang 1980 review the history of the Anyang excavations.
2 The best overview of Anyang archaeology is Zhongguo 1994.
3 Zhongguo 1980a. See also the review of these issues in Thorp 1981-1982b.
Bronze *fangding* vessel with flat legs

Height 42.3 (16 3/8), weight 18 (39 5/8)
Late Shang Yinxu Period II (c. 1200 BCE)
From Xiaotun Locus North at Yinxu, Anyang, Henan Province

The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

Round, tripod ding (cat. 37) are emblematic ritual vessels of the Shang and Zhou; four-legged variants with rectangular bodies (*fangding*) may well have been royal perquisites. While this type is not documented in metal prior to the Early Shang (c. 1600–1500 BCE), when *fangding* do make their appearance it is as large-scale paired vessels whose size and shape distinguish them from other types.¹ The assemblage at Dayangzhou in Jiangxi province held one such large *fangding* (cat. 59), but several pairs from Zhengzhou are the best evidence to link this variant with Shang royal patrons. At Anyang, a pair of large *fangding* were among the very few vessels found in place in the royal tombs at Xibegang (they were overlooked by looters in Tomb 1004), and Tomb 5 likewise held an impressive pair inscribed “Mu Xin” — the posthumous appellation of Fu Hao. Indeed, the largest Shang vessel presently known, weighing 875 kilograms, is a solitary *fangding* recovered from the east end of the royal cemetery bear-
ing a dedicatory inscription to another of Wu Ding’s consort, designated posthumously Mu Wu.

This *fangding* vessel, however, is modest in scale, one of a pair notable for their fine decoration. Each of the four flat sides carries a large, high-relief rendering of the prototypical animal mask. The rendition is remarkably complete in anatomical terms, with snout, jaws, eyes in their sockets, ears, and horns. The thick flanges that transfix the masks run from the nose ridge up to a crest with no obvious anatomical rationale. The raised surfaces of these masks are embellished with sunken lines for necessary details (such as eye sockets and nostrils) or hooked spirals that fill space. The surrounding ground, by contrast, is covered entirely by fine-lined, squared spirals, crisply cut into the molds.

The vessel is also notable for its flat legs, aligned at a diagonal under each corner, and given attributes of the so-called kui dragon. This dragon motif often complements masks in the main bands of decoration, as seen here, and also appears in lesser bands on ring feet, necks, and other surfaces. Here the kui is poised with snout and jaws upward, its single eye in relief, and its long body and curled tail filling the vessel leg. The flat leg was already established as a formal element in the Early Shang, but its use in vessels produced at Anyang remained limited. Present information suggests that flat legs were more common during the Late Shang (c. 1300 – 1050 BCE) in foundry production outside Anyang. RT

3. In an unpublished database of 690 published vessels I compiled in 1992, only 11 of 16 ding from Yinxu sites had flat legs.
Bronze yan steamer with three vessels

Height of yan 63 (24 ⅜), width 103.7 (40 ⅝), depth 27 (10 ⅜), weight 138.2 (304 ⅜)

Late Shang Yinxu Period II (c. 1200 BCE)

From Xiaotun Locus North, at Yinxu, Anyang, Henan Province

The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

Claims of an archaeological object’s uniqueness are prone to eventual contradiction, and not simply because of the “risk” of new discoveries. Most objects made in large workshop settings, including Shang bronze foundries, are in fact elements of groups, either true sets made at one time to a shared design, or simply common types and varieties. Nonetheless, the triple steamer (yan) from Tomb 5 is an isolated example without obvious analogues. It suggests the broader range of creative designs that the foundries sometimes pursued. Objects such as this steamer set were probably
made rarely (perhaps only for Shang kings and queens). The ability to study them, in turn, is mediated by accidents of preservation and discovery.

The assemblage consists of a large, six-legged table with three bowls (zeng) held in position by collars that encircle openings on the top of the table. The table itself is a box that held water for steaming when a fire was laid amid the legs, and residues of soot suggest that the table was in fact employed for this purpose. Each zeng is open at the bottom; an insert of woven bamboo or the like must have been used to hold the grain and to allow the steam to penetrate the contents. The contents of the zeng would likely have been transferred to bowls and served at the altar. The design is flawed: the loop handles of the zeng block one another when all three are in position.

The decoration of the yan is somewhat improvisatory. The upper register on the sides of the table displays a band of dragons in profile and whorls; triangular lappets form a second band below. The collars and the zeng are decorated with bands of repeating motifs. Diamondback dragons loop around the openings on the top of the table, but here the decoration is less formally balanced: while the heads and tails of two dragons come together at one side of the center collar, only one dragon lies on the other side; lest the surface remain undecorated, however, a frontal animal mask and miniature dragon motif fill this area. RT

---

1 Excavated in 1976 (M 5: 790 [yan], 768, 769, 770 [vessels]; reported: Zhongguo 1980, 44-46.
Bronze owl-shaped zun vessel

Height 46.3 (18 ¼), weight 16.7 (36 ½)

Late Shang Yinxu Period II (c. 1200 BCE)

From Xiaotun Locus North, at Yinxu, Anyang, Henan Province

The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

The artisans of Shang bronze foundries had a grasp of metallurgy that was probably informed by traditional attitudes and practices learned from their elders, but bronze production was commanded by an elite whose ritual needs, and ritual specialists, dictated many salient characteristics of the objects. Whether individual patrons dictated specific requirements as well is probably impossible to determine: even with many hundreds of excavated objects from the Yinxu sites, few if any patterns linked to patron identity are visible in the designs.

In any event, after satisfying all stipulated requirements, artisans making molds had at most a limited ability to make objects look as they saw fit.

Yet, in spite of all of these presumed strictures, works of considerable novelty emerged from the foundries, whether on the initiative of the foundry or the patron. The two owl-shaped wine containers inscribed “Fu Hao” are key examples — at once aesthetic objects and useful containers for holding alcoholic spirits. Other sculptural vessels in bronze are known, including smaller but otherwise similar birds. The most telling comparison, however, is to an owl carved in white marble from Tomb 1001 at Xibeigang. Given that this tomb may have been the burial of King Wu Ding, Fu Hao’s mate, the many similarities in design would seem to relate to one period and narrow social circle.

The Fu Hao owl stands on two plump, drumstick legs; a downturned fan of tail feathers forms the vessel’s third “leg.” The body of the owl is an elongated oval that rises to a round neck. The owl’s beak and face are cast as one piece with the neck, while the rear part of the head forms a removable lid with miniature bird and dragon as knobs. The strap handle at the back is aligned opposite the beak at front, which forms the spout of the vessel. A pair of hornlike appendages (actually curved serpents with bottle horns) stands perpendicular to the axis of beak and handle. An owl thus takes shape from various details woven into the fabric of the body. The marble owl from Tomb 1001 is similar in many ways, although its details are necessarily informed by the properties of stone rather than those of metal. For example, the standing horns of the bronze owl become flattened horns on the marble bird, while the open space between the legs and tail of the Fu Hao vessel is adumbrated by the grooves cut into the base of the stone.

1 Bagley 1987, 406–411, reviews many related examples.
Bronze animal-shaped **gong** vessel

Height 22 (8 5/8), weight 3.35 (7 9/16)

Late Shang Yinxu Period II (c. 1200 BCE)

From Xiaotun Locus North, at Yinxu, Anyang, Henan Province

The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

New types of vessels and variants associated with wine consumption proliferated in Anyang bronze production. Older types derived from ceramic prototypes, such as lei, pou, hu, and you, were made in bronze in considerable numbers and also modified to yield variants with different body shapes.

Among the new types without ceramic prototypes are the **gong** or **guang** service vessel type shown here and the **fangyi**, a square-section wine storage vessel with a lid resembling a miniature hipped roof. Anyang assemblages customarily include large numbers of vessels dedicated to wine offerings; the Fu Hao tomb contained an abundance of storage vessels, warming vessels, and serving and drinking vessels. The purported fondness of Late Shang kings for alcoholic spirits became a stinging point of criticism in the propaganda of the Western Zhou Book of Documents.

This vessel offered a convenient way of pouring spirits into drinking goblets or warming cups (**gu**).
The ring foot elevates the elongated oval bowl, which in turn extends upward in one direction to form a large trough pouring spout. The loop handle affixed opposite the spout allows a user to direct and control the flow of liquid, possibly cradling the spout with one hand while manipulating the handle with the other. The lid fits tightly over the rim, sealing in warmth and keeping the liquid free of contamination, while allowing the contents to breathe through open teeth in the tiger’s head.

This vessel and others like it in collections outside China have been celebrated, and rightly so, for their astute design, in which two animals are placed back-to-back, their bodies extending from the two ends of the lid down to the ring foot. A tiger forms the front of the gong; its squared head, with standing ears, relief eyes, and bared fangs, is rendered on the vessel’s lid. The feline’s body occupies the front half of the vessel proper (spout and bowl), its limbs raised in relief, the rear paw and curling tail hanging down onto the foot. At the rear of the gong, an owl with pointed beak and large eyes stares up from the lid; its body is suggested with wings on the rear of the bowl and legs that run down onto the foot. As with the owl-shaped zun vessel (cat. 48), one is tempted to find rebuslike messages in this combination of familiar animals, both of which appear in other contemporaneous decoration.

1 Karlgren 1974, 43–46, quoting Ts’ui Kao (liu guo, “Announcement on drunkenness”).
Bronze *fangjia* vessel

Height 67 (26 ⅔), weight 19.2 (42 ¼)
Late Shang Yinxu Period II (c. 1200 BCE)
From Xiaotun Locus North, at Yinxu, Anyang, Henan Province
The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

In addition to innovative animal-shaped vessels, the Anyang foundries produced vessels in new, square-section (*fang*) shapes. While *ding* made as rectangular vessels appear in other periods, square-section vessels are limited to the Late Shang. Such vessel types include pod-base vessels for warming or serving wine (*jue*, *jia*, *he*) and several ring-base types for drinking and storing wine (*gu*, *lei*, *zun*, *hu*, as well as the new *fangyi*). The attraction of this shape for potters in foundries might have included the ease of making outer mold sections from a square model or core, the opportunities that the wide, flat field presented for decoration with large, graphic imagery, and possibly the implied distinction from ceramic, wheel-made prototypes. (Square-section vessels were not produced as pottery.) While an interest in *fang* vessels was apparently widespread, it does not seem to have endured: The *fangjia* from Tomb 5 are the only examples from the period (Yinxu II) at Anyang; another pair from Tomb 160 (Yinxu III) are the only later traces of this variant among excavated examples.¹

The formal innovations introduced by the designers of this vessel² include a body and tall neck in square-section complemented by relief decoration and fairly thick flanges. Other details, however, might be deemed less successful aesthetically: the four squared legs are very thick and create a congested appearance where they join the flat base. The square posts and caps dominate the rim and detract from the visual buoyancy of the jia’s usually sleek form.

The masks that fill the four sides of the body are composed of disparate elements in relief rather than the unified face that decorates the *fangding* (cat. 46). These elements are covered with the same tight, squared spirals that appear in the background, an ornament that undercuts the readability or integrity of the mask motif. Altogether, the assemblage of vessels made for Fu Hao shows a considerable variety of decoration, belying the notion of any simple and predictable evolution over time from one characteristic decorative style to another.

This vessel is one of three large *fangjia* made for Fu Hao. It was found with another, round-section vessel of similar scale and other *jia* bearing the names of other lineages; it may be that the gift of *jue* and *gu* from the Si Tu Mu, Ya Qi, and Shu Quan lineages included these warming vessels, as well as the serving vessels. The tomb assemblage also held large containers with two of these inscriptions identifying their owner’s lineage. The true nature of the gifts from these lineages therefore may well have been both a large quantity of alcoholic spirits and the equipment to use it. ²

¹ Zhongguo 1998a, 93–94.
² Excavated in 1976 (M 5752); reported: Zhongguo 1980, 67–68.
Bronsue jue vessel

Height 37.3 (14 ¼), weight 4.4 (9 ½)

Late Shang Yinxu Period II (c. 1200 BCE)

From Xiaotun Locus North, at Yinxu, Anyang, Henan Province

The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

From the heyday of the Erlitou type site until some time in the Western Zhou period, the jue pouring vessel was one of the most common types of bronze ritual vessels. About a dozen small, thinly cast, and plain jue have been recovered to date at Erlitou; at Early Shang sites, jue are commonly found paired with gu wine goblets. This pairing is typical of all stages in the Anyang occupation, when hundreds of examples from period burials are documented. The paired jue and gu constitute the “lowest common denominator” among Shang bronze vessels and ritual sets.

Tomb 5 held forty jue altogether, but this example1 and its mate stand apart by virtue of their size and thick casting. This is an exceptionally large jue—at almost 38 centimeters, nearly twice as tall as other examples from the tomb, which range from 20 to 26 centimeters. The complement of jue in Tomb 5 corresponds to four sets of vessels, each component inscribed with a different clan-sign that indicates its origin. The Fu Hao jue comprise the large pair represented by the exhibited example, and ten others of smaller size and different shape. Three other lineages (Si Tu Mu, Ya Qi, and Shu Quan) are represented by three sets of nine jue each, paired of course with gu goblets (eleven, ten, and ten, respectively). The sets of goblets and pouring vessels were probably gifts or offerings made to Fu Hao at the funeral; they may even have been used for drinking or libations at the grave during the rites, a custom known as early as the prehistoric Dawenkou and Longshan cultures (fourth–third millennium BCE) of Shandong.

Its three flared legs and trough spout and tail extending well beyond the vessel body, this jue is nonetheless a stable and solid vessel. The evident thickness of the vessel walls is matched by thick flanges that mark the waist band and the underside of the spout. The animal head on the strap handle and the masks at the waist are in fairly high relief; most of the other decoration is less readable. RT

1 Excavated in 1976 (M 5:1579); reported: Zhongguo 1980, 85.
52

Bronze yue axe

Height 39.5 (15⅝), maximum width 37.3 (14 ⅞), weight 9 (19 ⅓)
Late Shang Yinxu Period II (c. 1200 BCE)
From Xiaotun Locus North, at Yinxu, Anyang, Henan Province

The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

Large, flat axes (yue) appear in bronze in the Early Shang, although they have precursors in hardstone that date much earlier. While not as common as the ge dagger-axe and mao spear-point, more than three dozen examples of bronze yue are known.1 Only a few are classified as “large yue,” including four examples from Fu Hao’s tomb, of which this is one.2 The large axe is associated in traditional texts with the granting of military authority, as when a lord was invested with the power to wage a campaign, but it was also evidently used for the punishment of decapitation; several graphic attestations to the practice appear in oracle-bone and bronze inscriptions. Transmitted texts tell us that the last Shang king, the evil Zhou Xin, was beheaded with a “yellow yue” by the victorious founder of the new dynasty, Wu Wang. Many scholars believe that the logograph for “king” (wang) originated in a pictographic representation of such large axes; such an etymology suggests that flat axes may have served as royal insignia.

The shape of this example is characteristic of its type: the wide tang is flanked by a pair of slots
for binding the axe to the shaft; the blade itself is broad and ends in a curved cutting edge. The decoration, however, is unusual: a diminutive human head flanked by a pair of animals, usually identified as tigers. The meaning of this iconography is uncertain and much debated: a number of parallels exist, both on objects from Anyang (including the enormous Si Mu Wu jingting) and others more widely dispersed. Most speculation identifies the head as that of a “shaman,” flanked by his “familiars” — animals who aid him in his tasks; few examples of these juxtaposed motifs are known, however, and they seem a rickety foundation for any broad theory for the interpretation of Shang iconography generally. The mate to this axe features an altogether different decoration — an animal mask with bottle horns, flanked on either side by flattened bodies. This said, it is worth remembering that the two examples of the human face-and-tiger motif from Anyang are both linked directly through inscriptions on the objects to consorts of Wu Ding. One must wonder whether the motif relates to the status or identity of these consorts. RT

2 Excavated in 1976 (M 5:799); reported: Zhongguo 1980, 105.
Ivory goblet inlaid with turquoise

Height 30.3 (11 7/8) diam. at rim 11.3 (4 1/5)
Late Shang Yinxu Period II (c. 1200 BCE)
From Xiaotun Locus North, at Yinxu, Anyang, Henan Province

The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

The durability of hardstones and bronzes has given them an unwarranted prominence in our understanding of Shang material culture. Few items of wood are known, but evidence for carved wooden chambers, sometimes featuring inlay and painted surfaces, was identified in the royal tombs at Xibeigang. Wooden and lacquered objects have also been detected from impressions in undisturbed areas of these tombs, such as a drum and chime stand in Tomb 1217. Many lacquered objects, as well as textiles and basketry, probably accompanied the bronze vessels that composed an altar set; they probably played a significant role as serving vessels for a ritual feast. Carved bone and ivory were also a part of these arrays, but rarely have intact vessels such as this ivory goblet been recovered.

Drinking goblets (gu) are among the most common Shang bronze vessel types, paired as a rule with small pouring vessels (jue). Fu Hao’s tomb contained fifty-three bronze gu, but her three ivory goblets represent a more exalted level of craft enjoyed by some of the elite. The form of this goblet’s body resembles that of biconical bronze examples; here, however, the waist is larger in diameter relative to the base and mouth; the rim as well does not flare so dramatically as it normally does in bronze goblets. A large handle is mounted at one side, with a prominent beak at top and a grip in the middle, and the surface is carved with fine lines tracing motifs and ground patterns that conform with elements of bronze decoration. The motifs are inlaid with small pieces of turquoise, creating a color and image-to-ground contrast more pronounced than is found in bronze vessels; inlay is attested in lacquered objects and wooden surfaces as well. The stylistic choices available to artisans working ivory, lacquer, and wood were much affected by the achievements of the bronze foundries, but surely the reverse is equally plausible: the consistency of Shang style suggests that the artisans responsible were not limited to any single medium.  

1 Excavated in 1976 (M 5:100); reported: Zhongguo 1980, 217.
The Fu Hao tomb has yielded an abundance of hardstone carvings; more than 750 examples are enumerated in the formal excavation report.¹ Many of these objects are ritual types (zong, bi, gui) — forms attested as early as the Neolithic period — and the tomb included a good number of weapons and other shapes thought to be ceremonial. One ge dagger-axe bears an incised text understood to indicate that the blade was one of five presented by a statelet called Lu. It may be that important personages such as Fu Hao were presented with raw stone or carved products as gifts from subordinate groups. Many of the hardstones have been tested in recent years and prove to be minerals subsumed under the rubric nephrite. At least some are thought to come from the region of Khotan in Central Asia (modern Xinjiang), a legendary source of jade throughout Chinese history.

Over half of the carvings (426 items) are decorative objects. Many are flat plaques shaped as real animals (such as this long-necked crane²) or as imaginary beasts; the plaques frequently include perforations for suspension or attachment. The most appealing objects, however, are carved in the round, as are this dove and tortoise. The dove³ is a smoothed nugget of turquoise that irresistibly invites holding in the hand. The tortoise⁴ shows the ability of jade artisans to utilize features of the natural stone. In this instance, a dark layer within the stone forms the tortoise's carapace, while the body and limbs are rendered from lighter material. This technique is rarely if ever found prior to the Late Shang. RT

² Excavated in 1976 (M 5:516); reported: Zhongguo 1980, 111.
⁴ Excavated in 1975 (75 AST F11:38); reported: Zhongguo Anyang 1976, 272. The tortoise was recovered from a foundation (Foundation 11) not far from Tomb 5. This subterranean house may have served as a jade workshop and in any case held such rarities as traces of painted walls, lacquer-painted pottery, and glazed ware. The archaeologists also recovered an inscribed bronze lid that they date to Yinxu Period IV.
As early as the fourth millennium BCE, the inhabitants of Neolithic China and its border regions had sought to foretell the future by cracking animal bones — applying high heat to the bones and interpreting the resulting stress cracks as lucky or unlucky. By the Late Shang dynasty (c. 1200–1045 BCE) such pyromantic divination had become institutionalized to a remarkable degree.

The Shang diviners prepared the shoulder blades of cattle or the shells of turtles by planing away their rough surfaces and boring hollows into their backs; they then applied some utensil such as a red-hot poker to the edge of the hollow so that the thinned bone cracked to form a characteristic T-shaped crack on its front surface. (The modern Chinese character bu, meaning “to divine,” is a picture of such a crack.) After the cracking had taken place, the diviners numbered the cracks sequentially, and engravers then carved some or all of the following information into the bone: the crack-number, a record of the date, the name of the presiding diviner, the subject matter of the divination (referred to as the divination “charge”), and, sometimes, the forecast itself and a record of what had eventually happened. Occasionally, red or black pigment would be rubbed into the cracks and the inscriptions to enhance their visibility, and, perhaps, their mantic potency. Modern scholars have identified the names of well over a hundred Shang diviners (including the king himself) who presided over the rituals involved.

These oracle-bone inscriptions provide one striking example of archaeological discoveries that have added much to our understanding of China’s past. It was only at the very end of the nineteenth century that Chinese scholars began to collect and decipher the “dragon bones” that peasants from the village of Xiaotun (near present-day Anyang, in the northern Henan panhandle) had been finding in their fields. The political and military upheavals that followed the fall of the Qing dynasty in 1911 delayed the study and scientific excavation of these valuable materials. With the reunification of China in 1927, a series of scientific excavations was conducted at Anyang in the late 1920s and 1930s, but the work was again disrupted by the start of the Sino-Japanese War in 1937 and resumed only in 1950. The process of assembling and deciphering the earliest Chinese writing has continued down to the present, and more than forty-five thousand pieces of inscribed oracle bone — some large and complete, some badly fragmented and incomplete — have to date been published. The recent publication in China of a comprehensive thirteen-volume collection of oracle-bone rubbings indicates the importance attached to these materials.1

The inscriptions, together with the temple-palace foundations, bronze workshops, bronze ritual vessels, ornamental jades, and impressive burials that modern scholars have excavated near Anyang reveal that the site was the major cult center of the late Shang dynasty kings. This was where they buried their royal ancestors, offered sacrifices to them, and performed the divinatory rites that were thought to ensure the dynasty’s success. The oracle-bone inscriptions are particularly valuable to historians because the existence of the objects was unknown for some three thousand years; for that reason, the information they record comes down to us...
untouched by the hands of copyists and editors. The inscriptions reveal that divination was one of the central institutions of the Shang state, for it demonstrated the king’s contact with the powers that ruled the Shang world. The king, known as “I, the one man,” was usually the person who interpreted the cracks, and his forecasts (the recorded outcomes carved into the bones almost invariably proved him correct) served to legitimate his position and reassure his supporters. A king such as Wu Ding (the twenty-first Shang king, who died c. 1189 BCE) divined about most aspects of his life: harvests, rainfall, settlement building, his hunts and excursions, the mobilization of conscripts, military campaigns and alliances, enemy invasions, the birth of his children, his health, the meaning of his dreams, the good fortune of the coming ten-day week and of the night to come, the harm caused by ancestors and other powers (usually in the form of illness or crop damage), and the successful offering of reports, prayers, rituals, and sacrifices to his ancestors. Many of the divinations end with the wish that there will be “no disasters” or “no fault,” others with the hope that the powers will provide spiritual assistance.

The oracle-bone inscriptions form the earliest body of writing yet found in eastern Asia. The Shang engravers employed a repertoire of more than three thousand oracle-bone characters, many of which exemplify the traditional principles of logographic script and prefigure specific Chinese characters in use to this day. Many of the Shang values and practices that the inscriptions document — the concern with ancestor worship and with the powers of nature, respect for senior generations and kinship ties, the keeping of bureaucratic records, the ability to mobilize large numbers of workers in the service of the elites, and the close association between divination, spiritual insight, and worthy leadership — continued to play a strategic role in later Chinese history. The Shang kings appear to have placed their oracle bones in storage pits once their usefulness had been exhausted. But the divination inscriptions recorded on them represent a remarkable legacy, providing us with an intimate sense of the Shang kings’ daily activities, their decision making, and their hopes and fears across a span of over three thousand years. DNK

Inscribed bovid scapula

Height 40.5 (15/7), width 22.5 (8/7)
Shang Dynasty, twelfth century BCE
From Xiaotun, Anyang, Henan Province
The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

In December 1971 Chinese archaeologists found a group of twenty-one complete bovid scapulas in a test trench some 160 meters west of Xiaotun. This scapula bears twenty-two preparatory hollows on the lower front surface and over thirty hollows on the upper back surface. After the divinatory crackings had been performed, the Shang engravers recorded eight inscriptions on the front of the bone.

One of the longest divination charges is recorded in seventeen characters that, starting at the top, form the far-left column on the bone (ten characters) and then, at the bottom of the column, run to the right (seven more characters). It may be tentatively translated as follows: ‘In performing the lustration ritual for the Herdsmen [officers], to Ancestress Yi offer a fine [?] pig, to Ancestress Gui a boar, to Ancestress Ding a pig, to Ancestress Yi a pig.’ Another charge (recorded as the last eleven characters of the third column from the right edge of the bone) was addressed, by contrast, to the ancestors: ‘In performing the lustration ritual, to Ancestor Gui offer a pig, to Ancestor Yi a boar [?], to Ancestor Wu a pig.’

The other six charges on the scapula involve other offerings, mainly of various kinds of pigs (also of a dog), and lustrations to various ancestors and ancestresses. Curiously, the engravers erased the heads of all the “pig” characters, a practice (found occasionally on other bones) that must have had some significance. The “temple names” of the ancestresses (Yi, Gui, and Ding) and ancestors (Gui, Yi, and Wu), were conferred upon them posthumously. The Shang selected these names from a list of ten counters or “stems” (a later term) that they also used to name the ten days of their week. The Shang were thus able to schedule their
ancestral sacrifices, so that they offered sacrifices to Ancestress Yi on a yi day, to Ancestor Gui on a gui day, and so on.

The purpose of divinations such as these was to ensure that the various rituals and offerings would be acceptable to the ancestral spirits. The inscriptions on this scapula are unusual in several respects: the engravers have not recorded the day-date of the divinations or the name of the diviner, nor have they numbered the cracks; the ancestors themselves do not appear to be the usual kings and consorts who regularly received ancestral sacrifices. These features suggest that the divinations were performed by diviners other than those who normally divined the king’s affairs. The archaeological context and the affinities with other diviner groups of inscription style and content suggest that these diviners were probably active during the reign of Wu Ding (d. c. 1189 BCE) or slightly later. DNK

1 Zhongguo 1983, 1161.
2 In both charges translated, the final character for “pig” is, unusually, repeated; perhaps two pigs were to be offered.
3 See Zhongguo 1983, 1161.

In October 1991 the Anyang Work Team of the Institute of Archaeology excavated 1,583 oracle-bone fragments, found in layers, from a well-made storage pit in the eastern section of Huayuanzhuang, located some three hundred meters south of the village of Xiaotun. Of the fragments that bore writing, 574 were turtle plastrons (557 fragments) and carapaces (17 fragments); 5 were bovid scapula fragments. The onerous task of reconstituting some of the original bones — the turtle shells, in particular, were badly fragmented — was completed in June 1992. The main topics divined on the bones found in this pit involved sacrifices, hunts, weather, and sickness.

Eight divination charges are recorded on this plastron.1 The first (top right, to be read from the center out, then down) may be translated as follows: “Crack-making on yi you: ‘Prince You [?] goes to the foothills of Xinnan [?]; if he nets pigs, he will catch some.’” This charge, expressed in the positive future tense, was paired with a negative abbreviated charge inscribed on the left side of the shell (reading from the center out, then down): “Crack-making on yi you: ‘[Prince You] may not catch some.’” This balancing of positive and negative charges, with the undesired charge expressed more weakly than the desired charge, was a common feature of divinations performed on plastrons during the reign of Wu Ding; it presumably reflected some early sense of yin-yang balance that the Shang perceived in the workings of the world. The symmetry of the turtle plastrons, which permitted opposing divination charges to be carved on either side of the central spine, encouraged such balanced formulations.2 In the present case, the engravers numbered five cracks on the right side of the plastron and five cracks on the left side,
showing that the topic had been cracked ten times in all.

The other divinations on the plastron concern the chance of encountering other game, such as pig and deer, at other locations; their intent was to ensure that the various hunts had a successful outcome. The inscription at the bottom left ends with an auspicious prognostication: “The prince read the cracks and said: ‘We will encounter [game].’” Some of these other divinations, as the numbers indicate, were cracked four times, some only twice. The inscriptions should probably be dated to the time of Wu Ding, but the fact that a prince, rather than the king, made the prognostication, is one of several indications that, as in the case of the scapula (cat. 55), this plastron was not divined by the king’s court diviners but by another group. DNK

1 Excavated in 1991 (H1352); reported: Zhongguo Anyang 1995, 488–499, fig. 10; Rawson 1996, no. 37a.
Descendants of the early Bronze Age cultures of the North China macroregion produced the Shang ceremonial center at Yinxu, but the long-term cultural history of other macroregions remains obscure. Cultures of the mid- to late second millennium BCE shared many of the same material assets — pounded-earth construction, gray pottery and proto-porcelain, and bronze metallurgy with a distinctive repertoire of vessels and weapons. In the Wei River valley of the northwest, a people called the Zhou expanded their territory over time and eventually overwhelmed the Late Shang center at Yinxu, establishing the third of the Three Dynasties. But what of the inhabitants of the Yangzi River areas, or of more distant realms such as the Gan-Yangzi macroregion (largely present-day Jiangxi province)? The development of Bronze Age cultures in these regions is the focus of two groups of objects: those from Dayangzhou (Xin’gan county in Jiangxi province) and those from Sanxingdui (Guanghan county in Sichuan province).

By the 1970s, archaeologists working along the Yangzi River system had accumulated considerable evidence for Bronze Age cultures in contact with the Erligang Phase, Early Shang culture of Henan. The first major site to be documented in this enormous region was a small walled settlement at Panlongcheng (Huangpi county, Hubei province), north of the Yangzi River, where the culture in evidence was in all essentials identical to that known from Henan. This settlement could plausibly be interpreted as an outpost of the northern culture, possibly an extension of the early Shang state. Its decline seemed to correspond with the settlement of Yinxu in the north, and perhaps indicated a general retrenchment of Shang rule. Other finds were less informative. The Middle Yangzi macroregion of present-day Hunan yielded, among other discoveries, isolated vessels and large bells. In some cases these objects seemed to be products from the north, but in other instances they were sufficiently distinctive to suggest local manufacture. Thus the model of a “metropolitan” Shang culture centered in the north and contemporaneous “provincial” outliers took shape.

On the heels of the discovery of Panlongcheng, however, came reports of a walled settlement well south of the Yangzi River, at a site called Wucheng located west of the Gan River in Jiangxi province. Material remains here included many characteristic Shang features mixed with so many local variants that from the outset scholars preferred to see this as a hybrid culture, possibly created through interaction of a local group with the north. It was far too distant from Henan to sustain interpretation as a Shang dynasty outpost, and moreover the Wucheng site flourished at the same time as Yinxu. Little evidence for bronzecasting was reported before 1989, when on the east bank of the Gan River peasants repairing dikes unearthed a quantity of bronzes from the soil of a relic sandbar called Dayangzhou. When this find was cleared that fall, the contents corresponded with the Wucheng type site’s culture but far exceeded all previous finds of bronzes and jades. This single discovery has revised our understanding of the archaeological context of an entire region, a body of knowledge that had taken shape slowly and haphazardly over several decades.
Although preservation conditions were poor, the excavators believe the find at Dayangzhou comprised the durable contents of a large burial chamber (about 10 by 3.6 meters). Human remains were sparse — only two dozen human teeth were recovered, and these were attributed to three individuals: a young female and two infants. Most Chinese scholars identify these individuals as sacrificial victims who accompanied the tomb’s occupant in death. The grave goods consisted primarily of bronze objects (475 items), especially weapons (232 items) and tools (51 items), but also copious ceramics (139 items), including characteristic Wucheng “proto-porcelains.” The ceramics are sufficient to date the burial to Period II at Wucheng, which in turn is generally correlated to an early phase of the Late Shang (the period of Fu Hao). The array of bronzes, including ritual types, spans a somewhat broader period, starting with the Erligang Phase (c. 1600 BCE) and continuing through Yinxu Periods I–II (c. 1200 BCE).

1 Bagley 1977. 3 Li 1998a, 218–270. 2 Kane 1974–1975. For a synthesis of the data and critique of previous views, see Thorp 1985. 4 Jiangxi 1997. See also Bagley 1993 for a discussion that emphasizes the affinities of the bronzes.
Bronze two-sided mask

Height 53 (20 3/4), width 38.5 (15 3/8), weight 4.1 (9)
Late Shang Period (c. 1200—1050 BCE)
From Dayangzhou, Xin’gan, Jiangxi Province
Jiangxi Provincial Museum, Nanchang

The ever-increasing number of archaeologically recovered objects is steadily eroding many of the simple facts that once constituted our understanding of the Chinese Bronze Age. It has been a commonplace, for example, that human imagery played only a minor role in the period, with no significant tradition of portraiture or other human sculpture. A recent survey of artifacts from the Shang and Western Zhou periods, however, compiled dozens of
examples of anthropomorphic images — in bronze and jade; bodies, heads, and faces; large freestanding works as well as miniatures. The many masks and heads from the Sanxingdui pits (cats. 65–75), moreover, have significantly increased the total number of examples, and the importance of human imagery can no longer be downplayed.

This double mask evidently was fitted into a stand (or perhaps a torso) at its square stem. The head itself was cast in two parts: the top half from the ears upward was joined to the lower portion to create an enclosed form with perforations at eyes and mouth. Flat ears extend from each side of the face, as do large right-angled horns bearing the only surface detail — a decoration of intaglio curls. An open tube at the top of the head may have served as a socket, but its diameter is far larger than would be required to hold a plume or similar ornament.

Several writers have pointed to a find of nearly two dozen small bronze masks in southern Shaanxi province (the northern periphery of the Upper Yangzi macroregion) as the closest parallel to the Dayangzhou example. These masks are much smaller, however — about 15–20 centimeters in length — and were most likely affixed to a surface, such as a shield. The differences notwithstanding, their design is similar: round eyes that bulge from round sockets, large noses with open nostrils, squared teeth in open mouths, and flat, squared ears. Although related images are known, most human faces in the Shang period feature eyes set into sockets with pointed canthi. Full lips are more common than teeth. Another relevant comparison is the splayed figure on the sides of a bronze drum said to come from the south and now in the Sumitomo Collection, Kyoto. The shape of the head, most of its features, and especially the horns are similar to the Dayangzhou mask. Had the mask been mounted on a torso, the assemblage may have resembled the figure depicted on the drum.

1 Xu 1996a, 334–352.
2 Excavated in 1989 (XDM: 67); reported: Jiangxi 1997, 131.
3 The find was at Chenggu, Shaanxi province; see Tang 1980 and Li 1998b. The small masks are illustrated in Shaanxi 1979, no. 116.
4 Li Xueqin 1985, no. 129.
Bronze tiger

Height 25.5 (10), width 33.5 (11), weight 6.2 (13)
Late Shang Period (c. 1200 – 1050 BCE)
From Dayangzhou, Xin’gan, Jiangxi Province
Jiangxi Provincial Museum, Nanchang

Bronze foundries specialized in the production of weapons and ritual vessels. Nonetheless, by the beginning of the Late Shang, metal was being used for other objects, including helmets, masks and heads, and animal figures. Such objects retain the surface decoration common on ritual vessels and rely on the same piece-mold casting process. These less typical castings may also represent exercises in creative design, combining several media and synthesizing imagery found in other contexts. The bronze tiger in the Dayangzhou tomb exemplifies many of these trends.

The animal was cast, but with three flat sides and open bottom resembles a folded plate of bronze; the design is reminiscent of the carved marble tiger from Tomb 1001 at Xibeigang. The bronze tiger’s face is composed of conventional elements: fangs in the upper jaw and the short ears suggest the species. The body, on the other hand, is less specific to the animal itself. Two large limbs (whose surfaces are not descriptive of a feline’s coat) originate from relief shoulders and end in what must be claws. The curled tail is rendered as...
two halves, one on each side, hanging behind the animal's haunches and separated by an empty slot that runs through the animal from head to tail. The top surface—the animal's neck and back—is decorated as a separate panel; an innocuous bird rests on the animal's spine.

Given the peculiarities of its form, it is likely that this bronze tiger was placed on or over some other object, covering and ornamenting it. In this respect, it recalls the marble tiger and owl from Tomb 1001 at Xibeigang (see cat. 48), each of which has a vertical slot at the back, suggesting that they served to anchor a vertical element. The Xin’gan tiger also resembles several bronze tigers, inlaid with turquoise at front and rear, from the tomb of Fu Hao. The head and forelegs of the Fu Hao tigers are cast as one piece, and two of these bronzes originally had jade tubes affixed to the heads. The Fu Hao tigers have no very obvious practical use, but they may have been displayed near Lady Hao in life or death. A pair of bronze tigers in the Freer Gallery of Art, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, dated to the Western Zhou period may represent the continuation of such a tradition; their open backs suggest that they were the base for some kind of standing object.

Tigers are the most common animal motif among the bronzes in the Dayangzhou tomb. The miniature renderings affixed to the handles of ding and yan seem to be distinctive representations of this local tradition, but tiger imagery is known from other regions, including Anyang (see cat. 49). The ferocity attributed to this animal in later literary sources may have been recognized in the Shang period, and on this basis it might have been associated with warriors, martial valor, and the like. We should not be surprised to find this image in the regalia of kings and lords (and perhaps their consorts as well) who sought to celebrate their courage and prowess.
Bronze *fangding* vessel

Height 97 (38 ¼), width 58 (22 7/8), depth 49.2 (19 ½), weight 49 (107 ½)
Transitional Period (c. 1400–1200 BCE)
From Dayangzhou, Xin’gan, Jiangxi Province
Jiangxi Provincial Museum, Nanchang

Whoever was interred at Dayangzhou — perhaps a local chief or the lord of a statelet (*fangguo*) — the burial accorded him some of the trappings and status symbols of a Shang noble. On the other hand, the assemblage as a whole was quite different from norms familiar from finds in Henan. This tomb held forty-eight ritual vessels, but a selection heavily skewed toward pod-base types (thirty-eight items) for cooking meat offerings (*fangding*, *ding*, and *li*) and for steaming grain (*yan*). The remaining
ten vessels were wine containers (hu, you, lei, pou), a large serving ladle, and two food vessels (a pan or gui and a dou). Conspicuously absent are the most common types of northern Bronze Age vessels: gu goblets and jue and jia tripods. It may be that the rites in which these vessels were used emphasized the preparation and service of meat and grain offerings; individual consumption of wine (the purpose of gu and jue) — at least using bronze vessels — was apparently not part of the ritual.

The single large fangding \(^1\) was among the bronze vessels removed from the site by peasants before proper excavation was initiated. It originally stood northwest of the supposed coffin area, at what may have been the foot of the coffin. It is the largest of six fangding in the assemblage; one measuring 15 centimeters in height is best regarded as a miniature. Large fangding had previously been found in the north as paired vessels, including several sets at Zhengzhou and a pair in the tomb of Fu Hao. Like most northern examples, this vessel carries a distinctive order of decoration: a plain central panel bordered by bands of bosses and crossed below the rim by a register of mask motifs with paired eyes. The cylindrical legs are topped by relief ram heads placed diagonally to the corners of the body. The loop handles are hollow and surmounted by profile tigers aligned with the short sides of the vessel. Both the relief of the ram heads and the tigers set this example apart from northern fangding, and, together with the slightly different proportions of the body and of legs to body, suggest this fangding may postdate Erligang Phase examples (c. 1600–1400 BCE) from the North China macroregion.

As with specimens from the north, the vessel was cast in stages, with the base cast onto the legs, the walls cast into the base, and the tigers cast onto the handles. \(^1\)

\(^1\) Recovered in 1989 (XDM:8); reported: Jiangxi 1997, 32.
Bronze *ding* tripod

Height 62.4 (24 3/4), diam. 15 1/2, weight 28.5 (62 1/2)

Transitional Period (c. 1400–1200 BCE)

From Dayangzhou, Xin’gan, Jiangxi Province

Jiangxi Provincial Museum, Nanchang

Fourteen round *ding* with flattened legs in the form of animals were found at Dayangzhou. This vessel is the largest, and takes pride of place among the eight others, all of which feature zoomorphic legs; six of those vessels also have tigerlike creatures cast onto the handles. Ranging in height from this example’s 62.4 centimeters down to 19 centimeters, the vessels seem to adumbrate a set of *ding* in graduated sizes, a feature of ritual assemblages first associated with the Western Zhou period. Although the tomb of Fu Hao has many pairs and sets of vessels, none was created as a gradually diminishing
series utilizing a common design. (The third largest of the Dayangzhou flat-legged ding features a different decoration and to that degree does not fit the set.)

This ding is impressive both for its design and for the quality of its execution. The three legs are slightly modeled in cross section, and their dramatic silhouettes (plausibly representing dragons) are enlivened by many hooks and curls. The animal’s mouth is spread open at the point where the foot meets the shallow bowl; a row of sharp teeth is clearly seen on the lower jaw; the upper jaw seems to be elaborated as a trunk. The register on the bowl is given over to more conventional mask motifs within a circle band; hooked flanges are aligned with the legs and at each midpoint. The swallowtail motif seen on the yan steamer (cat. 6) encircles the rim of this ding.

Two ding with animal-shaped legs and handles that incorporate animal forms were among the first bronze vessels associated with the Wucheng culture. Like the Dayangzhou vessels, their legs, flanges, and standing ornaments were separately cast and then mounted in the ceramic mold assembly used to cast the bowl; casting locked these elements in place. The Dayangzhou tomb also contained three stone legs of similar shape; it has been suggested that they were used for a ding made from some perishable material such as lacquer.  

1 Excavated in 1989 (XDM14); reported: Jiangxi 1997, 18.
2 Fong 1980, no. 17.
3 Jiangxi 1997, 153.
Bronze yan steamer

Height 105 (41⅓), diam. 61.2 (24⅓), weight 78.5 (172⅓)

Transitional Period (c. 1400–1200 BCE)

From Dayangzhou, Xīn’gān, Jiangxi Province

Jiangxi Provincial Museum, Nanchang

Among vessels in the Dayangzhou find, only this four-legged steamer (yan) surpasses the large fangding in height and weight. It too was placed near the coffin, and like the fangding was removed by peasants before proper excavation began. Both of these vessels would have towered over other bronze and ceramic objects on an altar or in the tomb chamber. Both were made to appear thickly cast (in particular, the loop handles and rim of the steamer), and both display animals on their
handles. These truly are the “imposing vessels” (zhong qi) mentioned in later texts.

While four-legged steamers are extremely rare, the three-legged type, which originated in bronze forms during the Erligang Phase, had become relatively more common by the Late Shang. Yan (or xian) steamers are most frequently either bereft of decoration or given only a minimal treatment of “bowstrings” on the bowl and a few relief elements from an animal mask on the legs. This vessel, however, combines extensive intaglio decoration on the base with relief eyes, ox horns, and mouth. A single register of mask motifs bordered by circles wraps around the upper bowl, while a monocular band occupies the outer edge of the rim. The loop handles have swallowtail chevron motifs that can also be found on Wucheng ceramics. Flanges at the median line of each leg are echoed above by flanges set into the wall of the bowl. Four-legged creatures with small ears, no horns, and a scale pattern covering their bodies form the handles. A good analogue of the Dayangzhou yan is a three-legged example found in 1977 in Liquan county, Shaanxi. The disposition of decoration is almost the same, but the Liquan yan, at 70 centimeters and 25 kilograms, is slightly smaller. This yan is one of a number of linkages between the Gan River culture and the Wei River valley of the Zhou.

The Fu Hao tomb contained a singular steaming box with three bowls (cat. 47), also a large and impressive vessel. The cooked grain may have been presented in ceramic vessels, which were plentiful in the Dayangzhou find. No bronze types, with the possible exception of the dou, appear suitable for this function. RT

1 Recovered in 1989 (XDM38); reported: Jiangxi 1997, 53–57.
2 Shaanxi 1979, no. 59.
Bronze fangyou vessel

Height 27.8 (10 1/4), diam. at mouth 7.3 (2 1/4), weight 2.3 (5 1/2)  
Late Shang Yinxu Period II (c. 1200 BCE)  
From Dayangzhou, Xin’gan, Jiangxi Province  
Jiangxi Provincial Museum, Nanchang

Bronze vessels for the storage, preparation, or service of wine are sparsely represented in the Dayangzhou assemblage. This you wine container with a bail handle1 is one of three examples of its type. The assemblage also included a pair of hu, a lei, a pou, and a large serving ladle. These vessels are not of a matched set, and this you and one hu are the most advanced stylistically when judged against developments known from the north. In both cases, squared spirals (leiwen) fill the ground over the entire surface. Most of these wine vessels are unexceptional renderings of common types and variants; this fangyou, by contrast is decidedly unusual.

The square-section (fang) body is punctured by two large open channels that run from side to side and cross in the interior. If the vessel were dipped into a larger container of hot or cold water, the water would immediately flow through these openings to warm or cool the liquid contents of the vessel. Another example of this design is known, a Late Shang vessel (now in the Beijing Palace Museum) of similar size with, however, only a single channel running from front to back.2 As in the Dayangzhou example, decoration surrounding the channel literally frames the opening. The Palace Museum vessel has a plain neck and differs from the Dayangzhou example in other details of the handle and lid.

Many features of this fangyou link it to Late Shang examples from Anyang, including vessels from the tomb of Fu Hao and the pre-World War II excavations. You vessels with tall necks are attested in both round- and square-section varieties, but their decoration varies considerably. A somewhat smaller round you from Xibeigang Tomb 1022 shares many features with the Dayangzhou fangyou — dense decoration on all surfaces, a bail handle ending in animal heads, a link joining handle and lid — but exhibits a surprising innovation.3 Its "neck" is actually a separate gu vessel placed upside-down atop the belly of the you. The wine container thus carries a drinking goblet. One might argue that this innovation and designs such as the Dayangzhou fangyou are more likely to have emanated from the Shang foundries at Anyang than from the culture of the Gan River tomb. If so, this vessel (like the bronze helmet [cat. 63]) would be one of few imports that testify to interaction between Jiangxi and the north.

2 Weng and Yang 1982, 120.  
3 Li and Wan 1972, pl. 43.
Bronze helmet

Height 18.7 (7 1/2), weight 2.21 (4 7/8)
Late Shang Period (c. 1200 – 1050 BCE)
From Dayangzhou, Xin’gan, Jiangxi Province
Jiangxi Provincial Museum, Nanchang

An extraordinary quantity of bronze weaponry — spear points, dagger-axes, arrow points, knives — sets the Dayangzhou find apart from most Shang period tombs (the supposed royal tombs of Anyang are exceptional in this respect). For example, at Tomb 1004 at Xibeigang, within a large undisturbed area several levels below the juncture of the shaft and the south ramp, the excavators found two large fangding, a collection of 360 spear points, and still
The Xibeigang context provides sufficient grounds to argue that bronze weaponry was an important perquisite of a Shang king, and perhaps of local chiefs or lords as well. The single helmet found in the Dayangzhou tomb² may well have constituted part of a local lord’s personal regalia.

This example, like the bronze helmets from Anyang, is almost round in section and was made using two mold-sections that join at the ridge running from front to back. The front edge is cut away over the warrior’s forehead, while the sides and back hang down to afford protection to the ears and neck. Above the warrior’s face are attributes of a mask: squared eyes, curled nostrils, a pair of ears in relief, and a pair of horns sweeping to each side in still higher relief. In combination with the warrior’s own features, the mask must have presented a fierce countenance to any adversary. At the rear, a small tube on the ridge probably held a plume.

The helmets from Tomb 1004 follow the same overall design, but vary considerably in detail.³ Two examples in American collections (the St. Louis Art Museum and The Nelson-Atkins Museum in Kansas City⁴), share the same traits, and could well have originated from Anyang. Outside the cache in Tomb 1004, however, bronze helmets are very rare in Shang finds. ⁸⁷

2 Excavated in 1989 (XDM:341); reported: Jiangxi 1997, 105.
3 Yang Hong 1980, 8 – 12.
4 For the St. Louis helmet, see Kidder 1956, 94 – 96; the Kansas City helmet is unpublished.
Bronze bo bell

Height 33 (13), weight 12.6 (27 3/4)
Late Shang Period (c. 1200–1050 BCE)
From Dayangzhou, Xin’gan, Jiangxi Province
Jiangxi Provincial Museum, Nanchang

During the Zhou period, chimes of bronze bells assumed a key role in the elaborate web of status and ceremonial relations governing the lives of the elite. The Shang period prototypes for these musical bells include two products of mid-Yangzi and Gan Yangzi regional cultures: a large bell standing on its shank with mouth open at the top (nao), and the much rarer type seen in the example here.¹ This bell type, conventionally called bo, was designed to be hung from its loop; it may have had a clapper suspended within through the opening in the top. By contrast, the large nao type was mounted on a stand; its tones were produced by striking the exterior lip with a mallet. The bells that assumed greatest prominence in the subsequent Zhou period, yongzhong, combined features of both earlier southern types. Yongzhong were suspended in the same orientation as the bo, mouth down, but retained the shank and striking method of nao. Loop suspension bells descended from Shang bo were made throughout much of the Zhou period, albeit less commonly than yongzhong.

This bo² is elliptical in cross section and wider at the mouth than at the top. Its two convex faces are decorated with a horned mask below a whorl device; intaglio lines trace curls and spirals, while fragments of a dragon’s body float to either side. The edges feature large, hooked flanges; a bird facing outward rests on the top flange at each side. The swallowtail motif observed on the four-legged yan and round ding (cats. 60–61) frames the top and bottom margins and encircles the whorl as well (see fig. 1).
Most published Shang period bo have been unearthed in present-day Hunan province; a few others, with unknown provenances, reside in collections. This bo and the three nao that accompanied it may be the earliest bells found to date in a formal burial. The shape of the Dayangzhou bell differs from other examples in several respects: The mouth of the bell lacks a broad band below the decorated panel; wider at the base relative to total height, its proportions are more compact than those of other bo. The loop is also short and wide, unlike those that imitate the body shape of the bell itself. Most bo, moreover, give greater prominence to the bulging “eyes” of the masklike motifs that figure in their decoration. Here, by contrast, it is the central whorl and enframing horns that command attention.

1 Falkenhausen 1993b reviews musical bells in Shang and Zhou culture; see also cat. 91.
2 Excavated in 1989 (XDM63); reported: Jiangxi 1997, 73-80.

FIG. 1. Cat. 64 from above and side: decoration and cross section. After Jiangxi 1997, 81, fig. 43.
After archaeological contexts become well known through repeated excavation and analysis, investigators can make many plausible inferences from physical evidence. In Shang archaeology, burials constitute the most frequent context for bronze ritual vessels and jades, yielding a considerable range of data for establishing periodization, the social identity of the deceased, the ritual process that accompanied the interment, and many other features of the society that created the tombs. An exceptional archaeological context, however, means that archaeologists have few rules of thumb to guide their interpretations. When a find is made within an archaeological culture only recently recognized, the challenges are greater still. “Common knowledge” does not exist, and each new report may alter even basic information. This perplexing situation characterizes our understanding of the Sanxingdui culture of the Upper Yangzi macroregion (Sichuan province) and most particularly the contents of the two pits discovered in summer 1986.

The area near Sanxingdui (located in Guanghan county to the north of Chengdu) was recognized as a rich archaeological zone in the 1930s. Archaeologists of the Sichuan Institute have worked there for decades, and a major investigation of a large site began in 1980/1981. The site name has recently been applied to an archaeological culture that spans the late Neolithic to the Zhou period. In July and August 1986, two pits were discovered by brick-factory workers in the southern part of the Sanxingdui site, near sections of a large, pounded-earth wall that once defined the ancient settlement. The site’s excavators date these two pits to Period III at Sanxingdui, and correlate that period in turn with the early segment of the Late Shang period (Yinxu I–II). They identify the two finds as “sacrificial pits” — debris from two large burning sacrifices presumably conducted by the community that resided in the nearby walled settlement. That the site had specific associations with the elite is entirely plausible given the richness of the finds: more than sixty ivory tusks, hundreds of hardstone blades and other objects, bronze ritual vessels, more than fifty life-size bronze heads, more than twenty bronze masks, a life-size standing bronze figure, as well as various gold objects.

Even a basic description of these objects, however, is handicapped by the lack of a final excavation report. The brief reports in print are synoptic, and much material remains unpublished. Several conferences convened to investigate these finds have yielded interpretive essays on broad topics rather than substantive additions to the data, and the pictorial record as well is incomplete. Under these circumstances, many basic facts remain unresolved. For example, the excavators argue that Pit 2 was later in date than Pit 1, but the rationale for this dating appears open to question; the pits apparently held almost no ceramics, which might have allowed a dating relative to the site occupation. The supposed wider range and more evolved features of objects in Pit 2 attest only the richer contents of that find, as Sun Hua has pointed out.

Arguments about the “sacrificial” character of these pits and about their supposed connection to the Shu culture of the first millennium BCE offer still more opportunities for disputations. While the large volume of charred animal bones and other debris testifies to some kind of conflagration, the contents and the pits themselves may correspond less to burning sacrifices...
than to disposal pits. Indeed, compared to the much fuller data from Late Shang sites such as Anyang, several particularities of the pits warrant notice: the lack of sacrificial human victims, the presence of valuable ivory, and the unprecedented bronze heads, masks, and standing human figure. While many of the contents of the two pits were deliberately broken, it is not certain that a ritual inflicted that damage. Likewise, the connections between this culture and the Shu culture are only sketchily demonstrated at present, although that link has become an article of faith among many Chinese archaeologists, especially in Sichuan.  

1 Sichuan 1987a; Bagley 1990; Yan and Linduff 1990.
3 Sun 1993.
Bronze standing figure

Height 262 (103 3/4)
Late Shang Period (?) (c. 1300–1100 BCE?)
From Pit 2 at Sanxingdui, Guanghan, Sichuan Province
Sanxingdui Museum, Guanghan, Sichuan Province

Until the discovery of the underground army of the Qin First Emperor (d. 210 BCE) near Xi’an (see cats. 123–128), it was a commonplace that large-scale human sculpture did not exist in ancient China. This may continue to hold true for the Bronze Age cultures of northern China, but it cannot encompass the Sanxingdui culture of the Upper Yangzi. This life-size, bronze standing figure has become the signature object of the pits at Sanxingdui. While unique in that context, it was in fact found among more than fifty bronze heads and more than twenty bronze masks, all closely related in style to the standing figure. Many of the individual heads and masks could have been installed on torsos like that of the full-scale bronze example seen here. The elite of the Sanxingdui culture seem to have placed great importance on anthropomorphic sculpture.

The figure stands atop a large, two-part base—a plain cube with sloping sides at the bottom with a small plinth supported by four animal heads above. The animal heads face outward at a diagonal to the plinth’s corners and have exaggerated snouts, a row of squared teeth in their upper jaws, large eyes in pointed sockets, and horns or ears. The plinth itself features conventional Shang motifs along its edge: a single “eye” in a field of squared spirals between circle bands. These motifs quote Shang bronzes known in the north as well as in the Middle Yangzi and Gan Yangzi regions.

The figure itself, however, offers few traits that can be connected so directly to the imagery and styles of the Shang. It stands squarely on two bare feet, and the elongated body is hidden within a full-length garment that masks the shoulders, chest, waist, and hips. The figure’s arms are raised at right angles to the torso at shoulder level; the right is
held up to the level of the nose, the left at chest level. Each sleeved arm ends in an oversize hand with a circular grip, suitable perhaps for grasping a cylindrical and curved object (perhaps an ivory tusk).

The thick neck supports a small head, the features of which may be intended to represent a mask and a large headdress. The figure's facial features are of a piece with most of the individual bronze heads from the site: wide slanted brows, almond-shaped eyes with a median ridge, a pronounced nose with cheek ridges extending from the nostrils, a straight, tightly closed mouth, and a square jaw. The large ears are squared and have holes (possibly for earrings) in the lobes. The band that encircles the head resembles that found on another bronze head, which also features paired loop motifs. Above the band are petal forms, but the center portion suggests an eyed mask or horns to some observers. At the back of the head are two openings that might have held an ornament, perhaps resembling those of the two individual heads (cats. 66, 67).

The surface of the body seems to represent a three- or four-piece garment. A long skirt hangs below the knees, a separate rear panel ends in tails. An upper garment covers the body from the waist up but seems to have only a single (right) sleeve; this garment has a flap at the figure's right with its own patterning. Beneath this outer garment is an inner jacket with long sleeves (and perhaps a middle jacket with medium-length sleeves). A band affixed behind the right armpit wraps over the shoulder, crosses the chest, and is affixed again just behind the left armpit.  

66

Bronze human head with gold leaf

Height 48.5 (19 1/2)
Late Shang Period (?) (c. 1300–1100 BCE?)
From Pit 2 at Sanxingdui, Guanghan, Sichuan Province
Sanxingdui Museum, Guanghan, Sichuan Province
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Bronze human head with gold leaf (flat top)

Height 42.5 (16 3/8)
Late Shang Period (?) (c. 1300–1100 BCE?)
From Pit 2 at Sanxingdui, Guanghan, Sichuan Province
Sanxingdui Museum, Guanghan, Sichuan Province
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Bronze human head with hair ornament

Height 49.4 (19 1/4)
Late Shang Period (?) (c. 1300–1100 BCE?)
From Pit 2 at Sanxingdui, Guanghan, Sichuan Province
Sanxingdui Museum, Guanghan, Sichuan Province

The head of the standing figure (cat. 65) mirrors the features of most of the fifty-four bronze heads found in the two Sanxingdui pits. Those that have been documented fall into a range of 36 to 49 centimeters in height, roughly approximating the head of the standing figure. The heads have several features in common: broad, slanted brows; almond-shaped eyes with a median ridge; a pronounced nose, with cheeklines extending to each side; a tightly closed, straight mouth; a square jaw; and squared ears with holes for earrings. Several examples are smaller (ranging from 13 to 29 centimeters in height) but retain the basic physiognomy.

The heads may be distinguished as various "types" on the basis of their headgear. The most common type (no fewer than thirty-eight examples
by one count, including cat. 67) has a broad forehead, a flat cranium — interpreted by some as a representation of a flat cap — and a braided pigtail that extends down the back of the head and neck; other types (to the extent published) apparently lack the distinguishing hairstyle. (Some writers have argued that the other types conceal the “hair” beneath the headgear.) At least one other example features a mask of applied gold leaf that covers the entire face, except for the brows and eyes. Three of the heads from the Sanxingdui pits (including cats. 66 and 68) may be distinguished as another type on the basis of their rounded skulls. A curved line separates forehead from cranium, and a notch centered over the nose ridge must have held an object in place over the front of the skull. On the back of the skull, a raised band (resembling headgear of some sort) hangs down between the ears. Mounted to the back of the skull of cat. 68 is a curved, flaring tube, open at both ends, intended perhaps to hold an element of a headdress.

All the bronze heads have extensions at the front and back of the neck that terminate in triangular points. Seen from the side, the heads seem to have been designed to be mounted onto a support — possibly a torso of another material such as stone, clay, or wood. Thus mounted, these heads may have been bronze components of large statues comparable to the standing figure. The contents of the two pits (assuming a rough contemporaneity) may have been part of a large ritual precinct or temple, with more than fifty human images installed as an ensemble. If the human heads and the standing figure indeed constitute an ensemble, several roles or statuses might have been implied by the varied headgear; only one head bears headgear matching that of the standing figure. How the
different types might have been arranged or intended to interact symbolically remains unknown. Nothing comparable is attested at present from any other Bronze Age culture within the boundaries of present-day China.

Do these figures represent kings or ancestors of the people of the Sanxingdui culture? At Anyang, deceased kings and remote ancestors were the focus of intense cultic activity. Are these images of gods, spirits, or totems of the Sanxingdui people? Many scholars assume that the complex pantheon of deities and spirits documented in Late Zhou and Qin-Han texts (such as *Shan hai jing* [Classic of mountains and seas] or *Chu ci* [Songs of Chu]) must have had ancient roots among the many different peoples of the earlier Bronze Age, especially in the south. Are the images susceptible of some other explanation? The faces and masks are consistent, drawn (it would seem) from a single type. Compared with the many permutations of the so-called *taotie* known from Shang tradition, this is a relatively stable imagery. The people of the Sanxingdui culture certainly knew of the Shang image (see cat. 74), yet they devised a distinctly different set of symbolic representations for their purposes, which on present evidence did not include rites involving bronze vessels and offerings of the kind documented in the north. RT

SACRIFICIAL PITS AT SANXINGDUI
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Bronze mask with hooked-cloud ornament
Height 85.4 (33 5/8)
Late Shang Period (?) (c. 1300–1100 BCE?)
From Pit 2 at Sanxingdui, Guanghan, Sichuan Province
Sanxingdui Museum, Guanghan, Sichuan Province

70
Bronze mask
Height 40.5 (15 7/8)
Late Shang Period (?) (c. 1300–1100 BCE?)
From Pit 2 at Sanxingdui, Guanghan, Sichuan Province
Sanxingdui Museum, Guanghan, Sichuan Province

Pit 2, which contained the standing figure and the most of the bronze heads, also held about fifteen bronze masks, distinguished from the heads in lacking necks, backs, and tops. Most are somewhat larger than the heads, and all have small square openings at their sides that must have been used to attach them to some kind of support. Lines visible across the forehead, behind the ears, and under the jaw suggest that the bronze heads themselves may represent beings wearing masks, but the basic physiognomy of the masks themselves mirrors the facial features of the standing figure and many of the Sanxingdui heads.

Three masks from Pit 2 stand apart, however, distinguished from the heads and other masks by a number of details: their cylindrical eyes protrude grotesquely from elongated sockets and are bounded by narrow lids; the tops of their ears are drawn out at right angles and end in single points, while their upturned mouths, ridged in the middle, bestow an almost Archaic smile. Cat. 69, which bore traces of black pigment around the eyes and red on the mouth, has an ornament over the nose resembling a hooked-cloud motif, composed of a pair of spirals curling inward at the base and the top and a blade-shaped device in the middle. Other
masks, some of which are pieced at the forehead, may have originally included similar attachments.

Like the heads, these masks may have been mounted on torsos to create large statues akin to the standing figure or on stands that did not otherwise incorporate human features; some Chinese authors have even suggested that the masks may have been constituents of totem poles. They may also have been elements of architectural decoration or large furniture used in a ritual precinct, perhaps serving as part of a gateway, altar, or hall; thus installed, these masks would have dominated their immediate surroundings with their enigmatic countenances.

The motif of the almond-shaped eyes with their median ridges (which characterizes the majority of the pits’ masks and heads) has been interpreted as a representation of closed eyes; the notion that eyes are somehow compelling — intended to provoke fear or awe (as “eyed-masks” around the world often are1) — is weakened with respect to the masks from the Sanxingdui pits by the possibility that most of these masks and heads actually are “blind.” All the Sanxingdui masks and heads in any event lack the physical attributes conventionally associated with eliciting such emotions — fangs, teeth, or tongues. RT

1 Excavated in 1986; published: Zhao 1994, no. 30; Rawson 1996, no. 25.
2 Wu 1997a.
Bronze figure with headdress

Height 42.6 (16 3/4)

Late Shang Period (?) (c. 1300 – 1100 BCE?)

From Pit 2 at Sanxingdui, Guanghan, Sichuan Province

Sanxingdui Museum, Guanghan, Sichuan Province

While the large standing figure (cat. 65) has become the signature object from the Sanxingdui pits, a number of smaller bronze figures offer glimpses into the culture’s representation of the human form. Pit 1 contained at least one diminutive (15-centimeter) kneeling bronze figure “dressed” only in a waistband that seems to pre-figure the mawashi of Japanese sumo.1 This same figure wears its hair in long locks that run from the forehead to the back of the skull. Pit 2 held at least eight small bronze figures; the figure published in the initial report has a twisted pose with its right knee touching the ground and its torso and head aligned in the same plane.2 The head (approximately 4 centimeters high) is a miniature rendering of the features known from the full-size heads and masks.

One of these pits also held the partial (or broken) human figure exhibited here, which as yet has not been reported by the excavators.3 The head resembles the full-size heads and masks, and the arms are raised at the sides in a pose similar to that of the large standing figure. Unlike the small figures from Pit 2, here the arms and chest are covered with relief motifs that may indicate designs on a garment. Unlike all the other figures, this example wears an elaborate headdress that rises from a band above the forehead. The iconography of the headdress remains uncertain; some have identified it as an elephant on the basis of the large opening (perhaps representing a mouth) below a curled trunk and a pair of large pointed ears.  

---

1 Excavated in 1986; reported: Sichuan 1987b. 4-5; published: Zhao 1994, no. 25.
3 See Asahi 1998, no. 77.
These objects were recovered singly from the two Sanxingdui pits. Each must originally have served as a covering or finial of some kind in combination with pieces, perhaps including perishable materials, that either were not deposited in the pits or did not survive burial. It remains uncertain which
strong modeling; the surface is otherwise undecorated.

The Sanxingdui pits contained components of “spirit trees” — complex bronze stands as high as 4 meters tall, festooned with branches whose tips support perching birds. While this head is considerably larger in scale than the spirit-tree birds, one cannot rule out the possibility that it was a component of such a stand or a similar object.

The “dragon” is perhaps a less arbitrary identification. It too is a hollow tube, increasing slightly in diameter from its open bottom to its closed top. Four half-circles and holes at the base of the object presumably served to attach it to a shaft. A large hook mounted at the front of the tube calls to mind the ornament on the nose ridge of the bronze mask (cat. 69). The dragon that boosts itself onto the top of the tube rests on its forward limbs, neck extended, and jaws open; its elongated body extends down the back of the tube, while the hind limbs clutch at the sides. A billy-goat beard, small horns, and large ears complete the head. Motifs conventionally denominated “dragons” on Shang objects (such as the small dragon on the lid of the owl-shaped vessel from Tomb 5 [cat. 48]) have little in common with this creature, which anticipates later representations of dragons.


Excavated in 1986; reported: Sichuan 1987a, no. 6.

other objects (if any) from these pits might in fact be related to these ornaments.

The conventional zoomorphic identification of the “eagle” ornament is at best arbitrary, though its large beak suggests some type of raptor. The object is circular in cross section, with small holes at the bottom edge that might have served to attach the object to a shaft or other insert. The assemblage that this head completed would presumably have been of considerable size, assuming that the head was scaled naturalistically with the remainder. Its beak and large squared eyes are accentuated
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The Sanxingdui pits contained components of “spirit trees” — complex bronze stands as high as 4 meters tall, festooned with branches whose tips support perching birds. While this head is considerably larger in scale than the spirit-tree birds, one cannot rule out the possibility that it was a component of such a stand or a similar object.

The “dragon” is perhaps a less arbitrary identification. It too is a hollow tube, increasing slightly in diameter from its open bottom to its closed top. Four half-circles and holes at the base of the object presumably served to attach it to a shaft. A large hook mounted at the front of the tube calls to mind the ornament on the nose ridge of the bronze mask (cat. 69). The dragon that boosts itself onto the top of the tube rests on its forward limbs, neck extended, and jaws open; its elongated body extends down the back of the tube, while the hind limbs clutch at the sides. A billy-goat beard, small horns, and large ears complete the head. Motifs conventionally denominated “dragons” on Shang objects (such as the small dragon on the lid of the owl-shaped vessel from Tomb 5 [cat. 48]) have little in common with this creature, which anticipates later representations of dragons.


Excavated in 1986; reported: Sichuan 1987a, no. 6.
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Bronze lei vessel

Height 54 (21¼)
Late Shang Period (?) (c. 1300 – 1100 BCE?)
From Pit 2 at Sanxingdui, Guanghan, Sichuan Province
Sanxingdui Museum, Guanghan, Sichuan Province

Ritual vessels constitute only a small percentage of the bronze objects recovered from the Sanxingdui pits, overshadowed in number (and in sheer weight) by the bronze heads, masks, and the standing figure — all emblematic of a distinctive tradition. In rich burial assemblages in the north, such as the tomb of Fu Hao (cats. 46 – 54), by contrast, ritual vessels predominate; with the notable exception of weapons, other uses for bronze are far less important.

Both of the Sanxingdui pits yielded zun and lei wine containers, vessel types that flourished during the Upper Erligang Phase and the Transitional Period prior to the occupation of Anyang. Bronze had long been used to create vessels for cooking meat offerings, steaming and serving grain, and warming and serving the alcoholic drinks favored in Shang rites, but large, metal containers intended to store wine appeared only later. It may be that stoneware vessels had been used to hold alcoholic spirits prior to the appearance of bronze types — indeed, the zun shape itself derives from ceramics. The zun and lei share a structure in common: a ring foot, more or less tall in proportion to the overall height of the vessel; a body wider than the foot, with an expanding profile; and a sharply defined (carinated) shoulder break. The types are distinguished by the treatment of their necks and mouths. Zun have wide, trumpet-shaped mouths, while lei have cylindrical necks with everted lips.

Three lei and nine zun are reported from the Sanxingdui pits. At the time of their excavation, their exteriors were covered by a red pigment (possibly ochre). The vessels themselves contained cowries and hardstone carvings; Jessica Rawson has suggested that the vessels may have served as “precious containers” for such objects rather than for storing wine, their presumed original function in the north.¹ It remains uncertain whether any of these vessels was produced by the Sanxingdui culture; all may well have been imports. Indeed, the zun from Sanxingdui have close stylistic parallels with examples recovered from sites in Hunan in the Middle Yangzi macroregion, and recent studies of lead isotope ratios strongly suggest that the bronzes at Sanxingdui and those at Dayangzhou (cats. 57 – 64) utilized the same lead ores.²

The Sanxingdui lei reflect two distinct styles. Two of the three lei have flush surface decoration, and animal heads in relief at the shoulder edge are centered over prominent taotie masks on the body. (The two vessels differ, however, in height and in the treatment of particular decorative elements — the horns on the animal heads, as well as the flanges and motifs in secondary registers.) Both lei resemble an example unearthed at Yueyang on Lake Dongting in Hunan province. The third lei, shown here,³ is a tall vessel with an especially high ring foot. Thin, hooked flanges divide the exterior into four identical sections: masks at the foot are surmounted by larger masks on the body and relief ram heads. The shoulder has a band of simple decoration, while the neck is bare except for “bowstring” lines. The ram heads at the shoulder appear to have been attached to the body after its casting, possibly by using a tenon on the vessel. The treatment of the two levels of mask decoration is distinctive: many parts of the masks are in relief and “exploded” so that the elements float apart from each other. Relief surfaces and ground are decorated with intaglio lines tracing curls and quills; image and ground as a result are weakly contrasted. RT

¹ Rawson 1996, 70.
Incised gold sheath

Length 142 (55%), diam. 2.3 (¼)
Late Shang Period (?) (c. 1300 - 1100 BCE?)
From Pit 2 at Sanxingdui, Guanghan, Sichuan Province
Sanxingdui Museum, Guanghan, Sichuan Province

Carbonized fragments of wood found within this unalloyed gold tube¹ suggest that it served as the sheath for a wooden staff; a dragon ornament found near in the pit may have also been a part of the original assemblage. The material itself suggests that this staff was associated with an individual of high status among the people of Sanxingdui—a king, a chief, or a shaman.

The sheath carries incised decoration at one end: a terminal ring of “happy faces” beneath which fish (whose scales are carefully detailed) and birds are skewered by two bands of arrows. Bird imagery appears in the spirit trees from Pit 2; the arrows and fish, however, are uncommon in decorative repertoires known from the Sanxingdui site. The faces may have an association with human figures displayed on several stone scepters from Pit 2, motifs that might themselves be shorthand representations of the standing figure or its ilk.

Gold was an important resource of the southwest, so it is not surprising that the community at Sanxingdui utilized this precious metal. Shang centers of the north, by contrast, have yielded very few gold artifacts. ²

¹ Excavated in 1986; reported: Sichuan 1987b, 4.
² RT
Two Western Zhou capitals, Feng and Hao, both founded in the mid-eleventh century BCE, were located in the western suburbs of Xi’an. Although no enclosure walls have yet been found, survey and excavations revealed extensive remains of Western Zhou settlement on both sides of the Feng River, including the foundations of several large buildings that may have been temples or palaces. Since the 1950s, the Institute of Archaeology at the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences has investigated several cemeteries west of the Feng River associated with aristocratic lineages. Although none of these cemeteries has yet been fully excavated (nor has a representative sampling of tombs of different social groups been conducted), the voluminous data recovered shed considerable light on the display of status among the elite of the royal capital.

The most important of the cemeteries explored to date is located at Zhangjiapo, Chang’an (Shaanxi province). It belonged to the Xing Shu (or Jing Shu) lineage, a junior branch of the Zhou royal house, and contained the tombs of several successive lineage heads surrounded by those of their family members. Tomb 157 features two sloping passageways leading into the central tomb chamber. Its total length is 35.4 meters, making it the largest known Western Zhou tomb in the dynasty’s Shaanxi core area. The royal Shang tombs at Anyang had four such passageways, and the tombs of the Zhou kings, though as yet undiscovered, are believed to have continued this practice; under this system, if indeed it applied to Western Zhou, the two passageways of Tomb 157 would have been the privilege of persons ranking just below the king. Interestingly, however, the tombs of other Xing Shu lineage heads at the Zhangjiapo cemetery (Tombs 152, 168, and 170, all later than Tomb 157) each had only one sloping passageway, while those of lesser-ranking lineage members lacked passageways altogether. Clearly, the ritual rank held by one lineage head was not automatically inherited by his successors; privileges may have been tied, at least in part, to individual achievement or genealogical proximity to the royal line.

The Xing Shu tombs contained objects symbolic of their owners’ status — associated with warfare and ancestral sacrifice, the two main pursuits of the Zhou elite. Finds related to warfare include six disassembled chariots and twenty-six chariot wheels found in the passageway of Tomb 157 (fig. 1), precious bronze weapons and chariot fittings in the chamber of Tomb 170, and separate horse pits associated with several of the large tombs. Finds related to ritual include bronze and lacquer vessels, objects made of jade, glass-frit, and ivory, musical instruments, and remnants of sumptuous funerary tents deployed in the burial chamber. Such paraphernalia were intended to enable the deceased members of the lineage to continue their ancestral sacrifices with the appropriate display of status. Because of looting, no complete funerary assemblages have been recovered from the Xing Shu cemetery. Bronze vessel assemblages from contemporaneous ancestral temples in the Feng Hao area are, however, documented by hoards of sacrificial vessels, hastily buried when invaders from the northwest forced the Zhou to abandon their Shaanxi core area in 771 BCE.
Tombs 161 and 163, two medium-size tombs lacking passageways, symmetrically flanked the large Tomb 157. Both contained skeletons of females, probably the wives of the Xing Shu lineage head buried in Tomb 157. The occupant of Tomb 163 died between the age of twenty-five and thirty. Although her tomb had been looted five times before excavation, some bronze vessels were found in situ on the south side of the raised ledge surrounding the coffin: the animal-shaped vessel in this exhibition (cat. 76) and the cover of a second, slightly smaller vessel of the same shape, a zun, the cover of a you, and a jue. All these vessels are connected with the ritual consumption of grain-based alcoholic beverages (commonly, but inaccurately, described as wine). They are but meager remnants of what must have been a much larger ensemble. Two bells, probably from a chime of eight, and several chimestones, likewise part of a larger set, are reminders that ceremonies were accompanied by solemn music.
The bronzes from Tomb 163 are somewhat heterogeneous stylistically; the animal-shaped vessels seemingly are of somewhat earlier date than the bells, but all the bronzes fall within the Middle Western Zhou period (c. 950 – 850 BCE). Whereas the inscriptions on the bells mention the name Xing Shu — presumably referring to the lineage of the tomb occupant’s husband — the animal-shaped vessels are inscribed with another name, Deng Zhong. In theory, Deng Zhong (“second-born of Deng”) could be the name of a person, but it is more probably the name of a lineage — a branch of the house of Deng, probably the natal lineage of the woman buried in the tomb. The Zhangjiapo finds have shown beyond doubt that Xing Shu (“junior of Xing”), a similarly ambiguous name, is the name of a branch lineage and not of an individual. It is precisely during the Middle Western Zhou period that major lineages increasingly split up into branches, possibly in response to demographic pressure.

Zhou custom prescribed clan exogamy; since the Xing were affiliated with the Ji clan (the clan of the Zhou royal house) and the Deng with the Man clan, we know that members of these two lineages would have been eligible to marry. Aristocratic women often brought sacrificial vessels from their own families into marriage. The animal-shaped vessel in this exhibition may be one such example — part of the dowry of a Deng woman marrying into the house of Xing.

---

2 From its foundation in 1950 until 1977, the Institute of Archaeology was part of the Chinese Academy of Sciences.
5 Such proximity decreased from generation to generation: if the first Xing Shu lineage head was the brother of a Zhou king, his son would have been the first cousin and his grandson a second cousin of the king in their respective generations, and so forth.
6 Zhang and Zhang 1994.
8 Liang and Feng 1963; Zhongguo 1965; Zhongguo Fengxi 1983; Shaanxi 1977.
9 The inscription on the two vessels reads Deng Zhong zuo bao zun yi (“Deng Zhong made [this] precious ritual vessel”).
10 Zhang Changshou 1990.
Bronze ding tripod with five handles

Height 122 (48 1/4), diam. at mouth 83 (32 3/8)
Latter Phase of the Early Western Zhou Period (c. 1000 – 975 BCE)
From Tomb 1 at Shijiayuan, Chunhua, Shaanxi Province
Chunhua County Cultural Relics Museum, Shaanxi Province

This ding, weighing 226 kilograms, is the largest and heaviest Western Zhou bronze vessel on record, though fragments exist of even larger ones. Together with two much smaller gui vessels found in the same tomb, it formed part of an assemblage of ritual bronzes, now incomplete because the tomb was looted before excavation.

Chunhua is located on the loess plateau at the northern edge of the Western Zhou metropolitan core. Tomb 1 at Shijiayuan was part of the cemetery of an aristocratic lineage, whose members had presumably resided at a large Early to Middle Western Zhou settlement discovered nearby. Since no inscribed bronzes have been found at this site so far, the name of the lineage remains unknown.

The bowl of the ding has a slightly sagging profile and an everted rim from which two large, outward-bent handles rise. The three handles laterally attached to the vessel body are a feature unique to this specimen. They have no discernible practical use (the ding was lifted by the rim handles), but they enhance the object’s silhouette and effectively frame its decoration.

The principal decorative motif, repeated three times around the vessel body, consists of a symmetrical pair of single-legged dragons converging toward a central flange. Raised in high relief against a background of fine spirals, the dragon bodies are accentuated by widely spaced sunken-line curls.

As is often the case in Shang and Early Western
Zhou bronzes, the motif is deliberately ambiguous and can be read either as two dragons represented in profile, or as one central horned mask (taotie) with two dragon bodies — or the spliced body of a single dragon — emerging from it. The frontal “mask” aspect of the motif is enhanced by the addition of a three-dimensionally sculptured miniature buffalo head below the central flange.

This constellation of dragons in profile and a frontal buffalo head recurs in slightly different form on the face of the rim handles. Here the buffalo head is reduced to an abstract chiffre placed between — and thus separating — the two dragons ascending toward it. Like their counterparts on the vessel walls, these dragons have curled tails, sinuous bodies, and mushroom-shaped horns, but each sports two legs instead of one.

The slightly bulging legs of this ding feature relief animal masks with pointed spiraling ram’s horns. A different type of mask adorns the three lateral handles, notable for its wide, upright horns, which — like the small buffalo heads on the vessel body — must have been precast and inserted in the mold assemblage; the rest of the vessel would have been cast around them.

The combination of bold relief and sculptural elements is characteristic of the bronze style of the latter phase of the Early Western Zhou period (c. 1000–950 BCE). Its confident execution may indicate that this is a product of a workshop attached to the Zhou royal house.


2 For example, a dragon-shaped handle, 60 (23 3/4) in length, from Jilianghajia, Fufeng, Shaanxi province (Gao 1994).

3 Hayashi 1984.
Bronze animal-shaped zun vessel

Height 38.8 (15 1/2), width 41.4 (16 1/4)
Middle Western Zhou Period (c. 975–875 BCE)
From Tomb 165 at Zhangjiapo, Shaanxi Province

The Institute of Archaeology, CASS, Beijing

Shang and Zhou animal-shaped vessels are not pure sculpture. Each vessel can be viewed both in terms of the animal (or animals) it resembles and in terms of the standard ritual vessel type (you, ding, hu, zun, or gong) that its maker used as his point of departure. In some instances, the "animal" aspect eclipses the "vessel" aspect; in others, the "vessel" aspect predominates. The present specimen is quite typical in manifesting a tension between these dual derivations. The raised cover and the bulge below it detract from the object's overall animal likeness in the effort to create a standard vessel of ambiguous typological affiliation, possibly a you. Perhaps in part because of the need to accommodate the standard vessel shape, the animal embodied by the vessel is of uncertain zoological identity. It has a sheeplike muzzle, large round eyes, narrow pointed ears, and columnar horns; its long, thick neck contrasts with the short, thin legs; the feet have toes rather than hooves; and a pointed protrusion from the lower belly may intimate a wing or fin. Most
of these elements have parallels elsewhere in the Western Zhou bestiary, but the specific combination is unique.

The staid demeanor of this composite creature is enlivened by a crest of four handles shaped as rambunctious animals: a long-tailed bird and three different kinds of dragons. Dynamic tension is introduced as well by the surface ornament, which accentuates the object’s animal features. The animal’s breast, belly, and hindquarters are adorned with symmetrical pairs of S-shaped dragons that, when viewed frontally, can be read as animal masks. This motif frequently appears on ritual bronzes; its deployment on the belly, with a prominent bird-shaped flange as its central axis, accentuates the derivation of that portion of the object from a standard vessel type.

As typical for Middle Western Zhou bronzes, the main elements of the decoration are executed as wide, flat, empty bands with jagged outlines that barely emerge from their background of thin spirals. A Middle Western Zhou date is also suggested by the specific resemblance of the vessel’s cover to Middle Western Zhou you covers. The cover’s surface features two sinuous dragons merging into a single head—or, alternatively, a spliced depiction of both sides of a single dragon.

The original name for animal-shaped vessels is unknown; it may be that there was no uniform name, and that, during rituals, each vessel was used for the same function as the standard vessel on which it was based. If so, this vessel may have been used as a you. Like many Western Zhou you, in fact, the vessel was paired with a slightly smaller vessel of identical shape.

---

1 Excavated in 1984 (M 16533); published: Zhongguo Fengxi 1986, pl. 1; Rawson 1990, part 2:709–710, fig. 119.5; Zhongguo 1993, 182.
2 Hayashi 1986, 128–129.
3 Hayashi 1984, 2280–2285.
4 Since the eleventh century CE, convention has subsumed all animal-shaped vessels under the term zun, now sometimes amended to xizun to differentiate them from trumpet-mouthed zun vessels.
Zhuangbai, a hamlet situated approximately 100 kilometers west of the city of Xi’an, between the Wei River (25 kilometers to the south) and the Qi Shan mountains (25 kilometers to the north), was recognized as an important archaeological site in December 1976, when farmers clearing a field turned up an ancient bronze vessel; subsequent excavation revealed a pit containing 103 bronze vessels dating to the Western Zhou period (c. 1100–771 BCE) — the so-called Hoard 1. Zhuangbai lies in the Zhou Yuan — the Plain of Zhou — the ancestral homeland of the Zhou people prior to the establishment of their dynasty in the middle of the eleventh century BCE. Even after the political capital had been moved to the vicinity of present-day Xi’an, many important Zhou families continued to maintain households in the Zhou Yuan.

Although the plain covers a small area (approximately 10 square kilometers), it has yielded more Western Zhou bronze vessels than any single locality in China. In the 1800s, when archaeological finds in China began to become systematically reported, several important Western Zhou bronze vessels were discovered within the plain’s perimeter in the two counties — Qishan and Fufeng — whose border bisects the Zhou Yuan: the Mao Gong ding, found in the 1840s in Jingdang (Qishan) and now in the collection of the National Palace Museum in Taipei, Taiwan; the Da Yu ding, discovered at around the same time in Licun (Qishan) and now in The National Museum of Chinese History, Beijing; and the Da Ke ding, discovered in 1890 in Renjia (Fufeng) and now in the Shanghai Museum. In the 1970s, the Zhou Yuan was the focus of a concerted archaeological investigation, with important discoveries of other hoards made at Dongjia (thirty-seven vessels, found in 1975, belonging to the Lü lineage); Hejia (two vessels of the San lineage, discovered in 1973, complementing another hoard of eleven San vessels that had been unearthed about 2 kilometers to the east in Shaochen in 1960); Qiangjia (seven vessels, belonging to the Guoji lineage, discovered in 1974); as well as the tomb of Bo Dong at Zhuangbai (fourteen important vessels found in 1975) — about one hundred meters from where Hoard 1 was discovered a year later.

Tombs usually contain ritual implements that either belonged to the deceased during his lifetime or were made expressly for his burial. By contrast, hoards are groups of objects secreted in haste to preserve them from marauders and often contain the accumulated heirlooms of a family. The Zhou Yuan hoards indicate that the old families of the Zhou Yuan owned bronze ritual vessels and other treasures produced over the course of several generations. By the early eighth century BCE, however, the Zhou dynasty was coming to an end; it is likely that most of the hoards of the Zhou Yuan date from about the year 771 BCE, when the region of the Zhou capital — including the Zhou Yuan — was finally overrun by the invading Quan Rong and the families were forced to flee.

The 103 bronzes of Hoard 1 derive from at least five generations of the Wei, a family related to the ruling house of the previous Shang dynasty. The lengthy inscription on the most famous of the hoard’s bronzes, the Shi Qiang pan (cat. 81), recounts how the High Ancestor of the Wei family presented himself to King Wu of Zhou after the Zhou defeat of the Shang
(c. 1045 BCE) and was rewarded with a plot of land in the Zhou Yuan. It goes on to mention three subsequent generations of the family — Ancestor Yi, Grandfather Xin, and the deceased-father Duke Yi — before finally mentioning Shi Qiang himself, who served as a scribe (shi) at the Zhou court of King Gong (r. c. 917–900 BCE). These three immediate ancestors of Qiang seem to have made some of the bronzes found in the hoard. Ancestor Yi probably corresponds to an individual named Shang, the patron of a set of vessels comprising a zun and you. An inscription on these two vessels indicates that Shang was married to a woman from the ruling house of Zhou. Grandfather Xin is almost certainly the person named Zhe, the patron of the Zhe jia tripod (cat. 79), as well as a gong server, a fangyi square casket, and a zun. Inscriptions also seem to confirm that Duke Yi, the father of Qiang, was the posthumous temple name of a man named Feng, the patron of the Feng zun (cat. 80), as well as a corresponding you and four jue. Qiang himself also made two jue in addition to his eponymous pan.

The Wei family history does not by any means end with Qiang. Indeed, by far the most prolific patron of bronze vessels in the family (as reflected by the family hoard) was Qiang’s son, Xing. Xing is named as the patron in the inscriptions of at least 36 of the 103 vessels in the hoard, including the Sannian Xing hu (cat. 82). Some of these inscriptions clearly indicate that Xing was a son of Qiang. One other individual, Bo Xianfu, named as the patron of a set of ten li, may have been Xing’s son. Although no specific inscriptions confirm this affiliation, if it is true (as seems likely), then Bo Xianfu may represent the last generation of the Wei family.

Hoard 1 is important not only because of the large number of bronze vessels it contained nor because of the obvious beauty of many of the individual vessels. What is of surpassing importance is that these bronzes were produced over five or more generations, a legacy that can be delineated with certainty on the basis of the inscriptions on the bronze vessels. The Wei family vessels chronicle the development of bronze styles, generation by generation, over the course of much of the Western Zhou period. Aptly described as a yardstick with which to measure other Western Zhou bronze vessels, these bronzes have resolved questions about dating particular bronze vessels and vessel styles (see cat. 79), and they attest to important changes that took place over the course of the Western Zhou period in the culture’s social organization, ritual performance, and poetic expression — changes that in large measure contributed to the classical expression of what it meant to be Chinese. ES
Lü Fu Yi bronze gu vessel

Height 25.2 (10), diam. at mouth 13.2 (5 ½)
Early Western Zhou Period, late eleventh – early tenth century BCE
From Zhuangbai, Fufeng, Shaanxi Province
Zhou Yuan Administrative Office of Cultural Relics, Fufeng, Shaanxi Province

Notable for its long, narrow neck and the elegant smoothness of its upper body, this gu is decorated only at its base, with a wide ribbonlike band sandwiched between two narrower bands of yunwen (cloud pattern). Its shape dates it to the end of the Early Western Zhou period — the first half of the tenth century BCE — but the calligraphy of its simple inscription (which reads “Father Yi of the Lü [lineage]”) suggests an earlier date. Whatever its absolute date, this gu and four others that the excavators have grouped with it are doubtless the latest examples of this vessel type from Hoard I.

The gu, traditionally classified as a wine vessel, was one of the standard vessel types of the Shang period. Although it continued to be used through the Early Western Zhou period, it became rare after the beginning of the Middle Western Zhou period (roughly the reign of King Mu [r. c. 956 – 918 BCE]). The disappearance of this specific vessel type may well prefigure the apparent abandonment of almost all wine vessels in the “ritual reform” of the Middle Western Zhou period. This reform saw a dramatic change in the composition of sets of vessels used in rituals: food vessels, especially ding and gui, often in multiples, came to dominate ritual assemblages. The Xing vessels of Hoard I are representative of the composition of such a set after the reform: two xu, four hu, eight gui, three jue, five li, and at least four different sets of zhong.

The family name in the inscription, Lü, is identified with a hoard of thirty-seven vessels discovered in 1975 in Dongjia, Qishan, Shaanxi province — about 3 kilometers northwest of Zhuangbai. Although the character is written differently on the Dongjia vessels, the appearance of this vessel in the Wei family hoard may reflect marriages between
these two neighboring families; other inscribed vessels discovered in the Zhou Yuan provide considerable evidence of intermarriage among many of the families that resided there. 3

1 Excavated in 1976 (6); reported: Shaanxi 1978, 17, fig. 34.
3 For a discussion of these intermarriages, see Shaughnessy 1998.

Zhe bronze jia vessel

Height 34.1 (13 3/4), diam. at mouth 18.6 (7 3/4)
Early Western Zhou Period, second quarter of the tenth century BCE

From Zhuangbai, Fufeng, Shaanxi Province
Zhou Yuan Administrative Office of Cultural Relics, Fufeng, Shaanxi Province

This jia1 is one of four vessels commissioned by Zhe, a scribe of the Zhou court, titled Zuoce — "Maker of Strips" (court records were written on bamboo or wooden strips at the time). The Zhe jia bears a simple inscription: "Zhe makes for Father Yi this treasured offertory vessel. [Clan-sign]." Zhe's other vessels — a gong, a fangyi, and a zun — are inscribed with a longer text (identical on all three) that commemorates an award from the Zhou king:

It was the fifth month; the king was at An. On wuzi [day 25], [the king] commanded Maker of Strips Zhe to grant the land of Wang to the Lord of Xiang; awarded metal and awarded retainers, [he] extols the king's beneficence. It is the king's nineteenth year. [He] herewith makes for Father Yi this offertory; may he eternally treasure it. [Clan-sign]

The "Father Yi" (Fu Yi) to whom the vessels are dedicated is almost certainly the Ancestor Yi (Yi Zu) named in the Shi Qiang pan inscription (see cat. 81). The genealogy traced in the pan inscription also shows that Qiang, who was active at the court of King Gong (r. c. 917 – 900 BCE), was almost certainly Zhe's grandson; Zhe can thus be reasonably placed about fifty years prior to Gong's reign — roughly to that of King Zhao (r. c. 976 – 957 BCE).

The Zhe vessels have provided decisive new evidence for dating Western Zhou bronze vessels. One of the Zhe vessels, the Zhe fangyi, is strikingly similar to a vessel in the Freer Gallery of Art, Washington, the Ling fangyi. A lengthy inscription on the Ling fangyi mentions the duke of Zhou (Zhou Gong), known to have served as regent for seven
years at the beginning of the dynasty (c. 1042 – 1036 BCE); most scholars, on that evidence, dated the vessel to the very beginning of the dynasty — certainly no later than the reign of King Cheng (r. c. 1035 – 1006 BCE). However, the inscription also mentions a temple — the Kang Gong — that one scholar argued must have been dedicated posthumously to Cheng’s son, King Kang (r. c. 1005 – 978 BCE); its mention suggested that the Ling fangyi could date no earlier than the reign of King Zhao, the son of King Kang.³

The debate about the date of the Ling fangyi extended to the dating of scores of Early Western Zhou period bronze vessels — indeed, in some ways to the entire development of bronze styles through the first hundred or so years of the dynasty. The discovery in 1976 of the Zhe fangyi, a virtual double of the Ling fangyi, has resolved the debate conclusively: since the inscription of the Shi Qiang pan leaves no doubt that the Zhe fangyi dates to the reign of King Zhao, it is now almost universally agreed that the Ling fangyi and many other vessels that had heretofore been dated to the reign of King Cheng must date to the reign of his grandson, King Zhao — or at least to the second half of the Early Western Zhou period.⁴

1 Excavated in 1976 (17); reported: Shaanxi 1978.
2 This dating was first advanced by Guo Muruo and Chen Mengjia, two of the greatest authorities on Western Zhou bronze vessels and their inscriptions: see Guo Muruo 1930; Chen 1936. It was accepted by almost all Western scholars writing on Western Zhou bronzes. For a summary of the debate see Shaughnessy 1991, 193 – 216, and for citations to Western-language scholarship, 200 n. 20.
3 The argument was made by Tang Lan in Tang 1962.
4 See, for example, Rawson 1990, part 1:63.
Feng bronze zun vessel

Height 16.8 (6 5/8), diam. at mouth 16.8 (6 5/8)
Middle Western Zhou Period, middle of the tenth century BCE
From Zhuangbai, Fufeng, Shaanxi Province
Zhou Yuan Administrative Office of Cultural Relics, Fufeng, Shaanxi Province

The Wei vessels from Hoard 1 — particularly those that trace the generations of the family — chronicle important stages in the development of Western Zhou bronzework, including the evolution of decorative designs. The Feng zun, together with the Feng you, with which it would have been paired in the set of ritual vessels, is an excellent example of a facing long-tailed crested bird design, which for a brief while seems to have displaced the stylized animal-face design that had dominated Chinese bronze ornamentation through the end of the Early Western Zhou period.

The first documented appearance of this facing-bird design is on the Hui gui; its inscription dates the vessel to the very end of the reign of King Zhao (r. c. 976–957 BCE). Within a generation the design had reached its mature form, as exemplified by the Feng zun. It appears as well in the Dong gui discovered in a tomb one hundred meters to the west of Hoard 1; the gui’s lengthy inscription, which recounts its patron’s repulse of an invasion of the Zhou central state by enemies from the Huai River region, dates the vessel to the thirteenth year of King Mu (944 BCE) — about the date of manufacture of the Feng zun and Feng you. Surprisingly, as beautiful as the facing-bird design is, it seems to have remained in vogue only very briefly: understated remnants of it appear in narrow descriptive bands beneath the lip of vessels manufactured over the next generation or two (see, for example, the Shi Qiang pan, cat. 81); by the end of the Middle Western Zhou period, however, it seems to have disappeared almost completely.

The inscription on the Feng zun, identical to that on the Feng you, is dedicated to one “Father Xin” — the temple name, according to the Shi Qiang pan, of Qiang’s grandfather:

It was the sixth month, after the growing brightness, yimao [day 52]; the king was at Cheng Zhou, and commanded Feng to meet with Da Ju. Da Ju awarded Feng metal and cowries, and [Feng] herewith makes for Father Xin this treasured offertory vessel.

[Clan-sign]

This inscription shows that Feng was almost certainly the father of Qiang; since Qiang served at the court of King Gong (r. c. 917–900 BCE), Feng must have been active two or three decades prior, c. 940–930 BCE. ES

1 Excavated in 1976 (18); reported: Shaanxi 1978, 3.
2 For the Hui gui, see Shaanxi 1986.
Shi Qiang bronze pan vessel

Height 16.2 (6 ⅜), diam. 47.3 (18 ⅞)
Middle Western Zhou Period, end of tenth century BCE
From Zhuangbai, Fufeng, Shaanxi Province
Zhou Yuan Administrative Office of Cultural Relics, Fufeng, Shaanxi Province

The Shi Qiang pan is without question the most important of the 103 vessels found in Hoard 1. Indeed, in a little more than two decades after its discovery and first publication, it has already come to be regarded in many respects as the most important of all Western Zhou bronze vessels, a position attributable almost entirely to its 270-character-long inscription, which might justly be described as the first conscious historical writing in China. In two balanced halves, it juxtaposes an outline of the first seven Western Zhou kings (including the reigning Tianzi [Son of Heaven], who is not named but who must have been King Gong [r. c. 917–900 BCE]) with a similar genealogy of four generations of the Wei family. The inscription concludes with a prayer that Qiang’s own merits be acknowledged and that he be granted a long life so that he may continue to serve the Zhou kings.

The genealogy given in the Shi Qiang pan inscription has made it possible to date the rest of the Hoard 1 vessels and is an important historical document in itself. The inscription is equally important as evidence for the rise of poetry in China, being stylistically identical to the four-character rhyming line structure of the Shi jing (Classic of poetry).

Inscription of the Shi Qiang pan

Accordant with antiquity was the Cultured King!
He first brought harmony to government.
Di on High sent down fine virtue and great security.
Extending it above and below, he joined the ten thousand countries.

Capturing and controlling was the Martial King!
He proceeded and campaigned through the four quarters.
piercing Yin and governing its people.
Eternally unfearful of the Distant Ones,
oh, he attacked the Yi minions.

Model and sagely was the Completed King!
To the left and right he cast and gathered
his net and line,
therewith opening and integrating the Zhou
country.

Deep and wise was the Vigorous King!
He divided command and pacified the
borders.

Vast and substantial was the Radiant King!
He broadly tamed Chu and Jing;
it was to connect the southern route.

Reverent and illustrious was the Stately
King!
He patterned himself on and followed the
great counsels.

Continuing and tranquil is the Son of
Heaven!
The Son of Heaven strives to carry on
the long valor of the Cultured and Martial
kings.
The Son of Heaven is diligent and without
flaw,
faithfully making offerings to the spirits
above and below,
and reverently making glorious the great
plans.

Heavenly radiant and incorruptible,
Di on High, Hou Ji, and the witch protectors
give to the Son of Heaven an extensive
mandate,

thick blessings, and an abundant harvest.
Among the borderland man-savages, there
are none who do not hasten to present
themselves.

Pure and retiring was the High Ancestor!
He was at the numinous place of Wei.
When the Martial King had already
defeated Yin,
the Wei scribes and valorous ancestors
then came to present themselves to the
Martial King.
The Martial King then commanded
the Duke of Zhou to dispense to them
domicile
at a low place of Zhou.

Happy and helpful was Ancestor Yi!
He assisted and served his ruler,
distantly planning with belly and heart his
sons’ acceptance.

Clear-eyed and bright was Grandfather Xin
of the branch lineage!

Transferring and nurturing sons and
grandsons.
he had abundant good fortune and many blessings.
Even horned and redly gleaming,
appropriate were his sacrifices.
Extending and even was my cultured deceased-father!
Duke Yi was strong and bright,
obtaining purity without debts:
the agriculture was well ordered.
It is the servant filial and friendly
Scribe Qiang morning and night does not fail;
may he daily have his merits acknowledged.
Qiang does not dare to stop,
and in response extols the Son of Heaven’s illustriously beneficent command,
erewith making this treasured offertory vessel.
Would that his valorous grandfather and cultured deceased father grant favor,
and give Qiang vibrant freshness,
fortunate peace, blessed wealth,
a yellowing old age, and a prolonged life
so that he may be worthy to serve his ruler.
May he for ten thousand years eternally treasure and use it.

Sannian Xing bronze hu vessel
Height 65.4 (25 3/4), diam. at mouth 19.7 (7 3/4)
Middle Western Zhou Period, first half of the ninth century BCE
From Zhuangbai, Fufeng, Shaanxi Province
Zhou Yuan Administrative Office of Cultural Relics, Fufeng, Shaanxi Province

Xing (or Wei Bo Xing, as he also referred to himself in the bronze inscriptions) commissioned more bronzes by far than any other member of the Wei family. The inscription on one of his fourteen bells discovered in Hoard 1 establishes that Xing was the son of Qiang, patron of the Shi Qiang pan. In addition to these bells, Xing also commissioned at least twenty-two other inscribed bronze vessels, spanning the reigns of several Western Zhou kings: the earliest of the Xing bronzes probably date to the reign of King Gong (r. c. 917–900 BCE); the Shisannian Xing hu (Thirteenth-year Xing hu), for example, was probably made in 903 BCE. At the other extreme, a notation corresponding to 862 BCE probably dates Xing’s xu vessel to the reign of King Yi (r. c. 865–858 BCE).

The Sannian Xing hu, or Third-year Xing hu, was probably made late in Xing’s life. Two aspects of the inscription suggest that Xing was by this time an elder — and a distinguished one at that. The inscription commemorates two banquets at which Xing was invited to join the king, a rare honor. More important, it indicates that Xing’s father had died by the time of its manufacture (the vessel is dedicated to Xing’s “august grand-father and cultured deceased-father” [huang zu wen kao]); the father seems to have been alive when the Shisannian Xing hu was made.

The style and especially the ornamentation of other Western Zhou bronze vessels, moreover, corroborate the dating of this vessel to about 870 BCE. The Sannian Xing hu is completely decorated with what is usually referred to as a wave pattern (boqu wen), which also appears on the ding cauldrons made for Ke — the Da Ke ding — and seven smaller Xiao Ke ding. These famous vessels bear inscriptions

---

1 Excavated in 1976 (24); reported: Shaanxi 1978, 4.
2 The translation that follows is largely adapted from Shaughnessy 1991, 3–4, 183–192. For a reconstruction of the rhymes and a presentation of the rhyme scheme, see Behr 1996, 199–204.
that show them to have been commissioned by the grandson of a minister who served King Gong, putting their date of manufacture about the time of King Xiao (r. c. 872-866 BCE). Although relatively rare on Western Zhou bronze vessels, the wave pattern proved to be very influential in later bronze ornamentation, especially during the Spring and Autumn period (770-476 BCE).

Its distinctive pattern of continuous lines marked a shift away from designs symmetrically arranged along a vertical axis (where two pieces of a mold joined) toward the sort of flowing design that encircles later Chinese bronze vessels. For this bell see Shaanxi 1980, 2: no. 54; the bell inscription is translated in Falkenhausen 1993b, 41–43.

1 For this bell see Shaanxi 1980, 2: no. 54; the bell inscription is translated in Falkenhausen 1993b, 41–43.

2 For the date of the Shisannian Xing hu, see Shaughnessy 1991, 255 n. 70.

3 For the date of the Xing xu, see Shaughnessy 1991, 261 n. 81.

4 Excavated in 1976 (32); reported: Shaanxi 1978b.

5 See Rawson 1990, part 191: "The wave pattern, with its insistent impression of movement created by the continuous line, and broad areas of texture created by concave or relief bands, had an impact such as none of the earlier designs had achieved."

This ding vessel is certainly the most eccentric of all the bronze vessels found in Hoard 1. The cauldron itself is oblong in shape, roughly similar to several cauldrons from the reigns of Kings Mu (r. c. 956–918 BCE) and Gong (r. c. 917–900 BCE), such as the Dong fanding jia, recovered from a Middle Western Zhou period tomb at Zhuangbai, and the Fifteenth-year Cao ding. The climbing dragons at the corners turn their heads (crowned by two prominent bottle-horns) away from the vessel. The creatures at the corners of the square base extend into the vessel’s legs; they are chimerical beasts, with "eyes resembling those of a monkey, a beak like that of an eagle, curling horns like those of a ram, and a neck like that of a deer." The hollow, square base would have held combustible materials to cook or warm the contents of the cauldron above it; at either end are windows that serve a utilitarian function as well as a decorative one by providing air for the fire within. The most striking feature of the ding, however, is the "gatekeeper" figure, which serves as a latch to close the two doors at the front of the base. He is portrayed naked, in a kneeling position, with his left foot amputated.

Two other known bronze vessels from the Western Zhou period also feature images of a gatekeeper with an amputated foot or leg. One, in the Palace Museum in Beijing, resembles the vessel featured here, but the gatekeeper (whose left leg is amputated at the knee) is portrayed standing, supporting himself with a cane in his left hand. The other gatekeeper vessel, a model of a cart (perhaps a toy) discovered in 1989 in Wenchuan county (Shanxi province), is even more fanciful than the Zhuangbai ding: the gatekeeper clings to one side, while four birds and a monkey perch on the roof of the cart.
six animals climb its sides, and two crouching tigers and two wheels lie beneath it — fifteen movable parts in all. The gatekeepers are figural evidence of one of the “five punishments” of ancient China — amputation (yuexing) of the foot or of the leg at the knee. The Zhou li (Rites of Zhou) states that those who had suffered such amputations were to be employed to guard the royal parks (you), presumably — given their obvious physical handicap — as gatekeepers, perhaps as they are depicted here. ES

1 Excavated in 1976 (77); reported: Shaanxi 1980, 2:10, fig. 77.
2 Shaanxi 1980, 2:10, fig. 77.
3 Regarding this vessel, see Wang 1974, 7. 29.
4 For a discussion of the punishment (as well as of the vessels), see Skosey 1996, 87 – 91. 144 – 145.
In about 1050 BCE, the Shang state was overthrown by a people known as the Zhou. They established their capital near the present-day city of Xi’an. A ritual center, today called Zhou yuan, was located in the present-day counties of Fufeng and Qishan to the west of Xi’an. Here many aristocratic families kept sets of ritual vessels and presumably used them for offerings to their ancestors. Attacked and driven out of Shaanxi in 771 BCE by tribes known as the Quanrong, the Zhou buried their ritual bronzes in pits at Zhou yuan, hoping, it would seem, to return to claim them at a later date. Modern farmers and archaeologists discovered some of these large caches. Contemporary inscriptions on some of the bronzes provide partial histories of particular families and accounts of their relationships with the Zhou king. Some textual evidence on the history of the Zhou also exists.

A network of kin relationships was the key to Zhou rule of an immense territory, stretching from Baoji in western Shaanxi to beyond Beijing in the northeast. Beneath the Zhou king, his relatives ruled as lords in the different small states. Among the remarkable features of the burials of these lords is the way in which similar inscriptions (implying a shared language) and similar ritual objects (implying shared beliefs) created coherence and order. The peoples over whom the Zhou ruled must have had diverse languages and customs, but the sense of organized control that the Zhou achieved through a strong elite presence was to set a model of a unified state that endured even to the twentieth century.

The bronze ritual vessels provide a point of reference for dating these Zhou tombs. Members of the Zhou elite from Shaanxi who controlled far-flung areas such as the Yan state near Beijing seem to have had bronzes similar to those found in Baoji or Zhou yuan. What is more, the vessels excavated in Zhouyuan are particularly important, as the inscriptions made it possible to establish reasonably reliable chronological sequences of ritual vessel development. For instance, the early Zhou vessels follow closely, in both shape and ornament, those of their Shang predecessors. The Zhou probably emulated the Shang in order, through their offerings, to establish in the eyes of the spirits their political claims to rule what they saw as the world. Some time in the early ninth century BCE, a major change in vessel types took place, almost certainly coinciding with and reflecting some sort of greater ritual, or possibly, political reform. Large flasks for wine (hu) and sets of tripods (ding) and basins (gui) for food were the principal components of such sets. Sets of bells also date from this period. The Jin state tombs contained vessels characteristic from before and after the ninth century BCE.

The Jin state was established by Tangshu Yu, a brother of the Western Zhou king Cheng. The area occupied lay in the region of present-day Houma at the bend of the Yellow River in southern Shanxi province. Known since the 1960s, this site has been extensively investigated in the last decade by archaeological teams from Beijing University. A large cemetery of approximately 3800 by 2800 meters revealed more than 600 burials as well as five horses and chariot pits. The tombs are of a type standard in the Yellow River area, consisting of a deep shaft with a coffin chamber at the bottom. Inside the coffin chambers were wooden coffins.
body of the dead and his or her possessions to be properly installed in the tomb, a long access ramp of between 18 and 20 meters was provided to the south. Alongside the principal burials of the Jin lords are the tombs of the lords’ consorts.

Tomb M 8, in which a vessel in the shape of a hare (cat. 88) was found, yielded bronze vessels inscribed with the names of Marquis Pi and Marquis Su of Jin. Dating the objects in the Jin tombs is controversial, especially since Tomb M 8 contained vessels whose shapes are typical of the latter part of the Western Zhou period.

The Jin lords seem to have adhered to the ritual practices of Xi’an in the sense that they used the same types and numbers of ritual vessels during the period down to the ninth century BCE. From the ninth and early eighth centuries BCE, their customs changed quite markedly. As well as standard Late Western Zhou ritual vessels, the Jin lords acquired or commissioned small, unusual bronzes (cats. 89, 90). The shapes are borrowed from vessels in other materials, perhaps even of wood, for they have little or nothing in common with the basin and tripod shapes of the principal Zhou ritual vessels, which originally derived from ceramics. Around the same period, the casters of Jin and their neighbors in the Ying state also made vessels that reproduced the forms of much more ancient bronzes. It seems possible this development reflected a deliberate return to the past. It may be that members of the Jin state had lost vessels during the eighth century BCE, as the Quanrong and other tribes encroached on the ritual centers in the west. Perhaps the Jin felt impelled to make these inferior copies for burial to replace lost originals.

Apart from the bronzes, the most striking feature of the Jin tombs is the wealth of ancient jade buried in them, including carvings that may date to the Late Shang and Early Western Zhou periods — from the twelfth to the tenth century BCE. The tombs also contained magnificent coverings for the body in jade and agate (cats. 85, 86). Systematic decoration of the dead with face plaques that indicated the features of eyes, mouth, and ears (cat. 84) seems to have become a standard feature of burials in the Jin state around the same date that a similar practice developed at the capital of the Zhou kingdom near Xi’an in the ninth century BCE. From the quantity of jades found in the Jin tombs, it seems possible that the practice was more fully developed in the Jin state than in other areas. The Jin must have had both a special regard for jade and unusual access to quantities of ancient pieces and raw material.

1 For a survey of scholarship on Western Zhou bronze inscriptions see Shaughnessy 1991.
2 For an account of archaeological finds of the Western Zhou period, see Rawson 1999.
5 For an account of the archaeological finds in English, see Xu 1996b, 195 – 231.
Jade and hardstone face covering

Late Western Zhou Period, ninth to eighth century BCE
From Tianma-Qucun (Beizhao, Quwo), Shanxi Province
Shanxi Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Taiyuan

These seventy-nine plaques, which were combined with agate or faience beads to form a schematic face, covered the head of the person buried in Tomb M 31 (possibly the consort of the Jin ruler buried in Tomb M 8; see cats. 129 – 137). It is likely that the individual plaques were sewn onto a textile to form a complete covering.

The plaques include a variety of different forms. Small pieces — triangles alternating with three-pronged shapes — form a circular or rounded rectangular border. The jade is cut to imitate the features of the face (mouth, nose, eyes, eyebrows, and ears), and plaques fill the spaces between the strongly carved eyebrows and areas below the eyes, at the cheeks, and around the mouth. The varied carving on the plaques, some of which were clearly broken or cut for their new function, is evidence of reuse; it is likely that jade was scarce and that every available piece was precious.

The tombs at Tianma-Qucun are remarkable for the large number of jades that they contain. This face covering is one of a number of similarly complex jade compositions, which seem to have been a speciality of this area. While earlier examples may be recognized in jades found at Chang’an, at sites in Fengxi, south of present-day Xi’an, none of those jade groups is as elaborate as the face coverings from the Jin state tombs.

The face coverings were accompanied by arrangements of beads and arc pendants (huang), many of great complexity (see cats. 85, 86); the pendants seem to have come into fashion in the ninth to eighth centuries and were undoubtedly important components of burial costumes, esteemed both for the value of the material and for the properties ascribed to jade. Certainly, the choice of a material that was traditionally employed for highly valued objects suggests that these coverings were, in themselves, intrinsically important. The emphasis on the features of the face suggests that they were intended to protect the person in the afterlife by creating a sense of awe in those who might approach the wearer, whether living people or spirits. In combination with the complex array of beads and arcs distributed over the body, the plaques may also have been intended to suggest the rank and power of their owners.

It has been argued that these face coverings, together with their associated tiered arrangements of plaques and beads, were predecessors of Han period jade shrouds (see cats. 129 and 139), but an unbroken continuity between the two forms of burial apparatus is unlikely. The use of jade face coverings came into being and then declined and indeed almost disappeared well before the Han period. For that reason, it is more likely that the jade ornaments of the Jin state constitute a tradition peculiar to the Late Western and Early Eastern Zhou periods in this part of China. The convention of linking tiers of jade plaques with agate and faience beads (see cat. 85) may have been introduced to the Zhou area by peoples who lived on the western and northern peripherals of the Yellow River system.

1 Excavated in 1993 (M 31:73); reported: Shanxi 1994a.
2 See Zhang Changzhou 1993, pl. 6:3.
3 For a discussion of the sources of jade pendants, see Sun Ji 1998.
Jade pectoral

Length c. 50 (19 1/4)

Late Western Zhou Period, eighth century BCE

From Tianma-Qucun (Beizhao, Quwo), Shanxi Province

Shanxi Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Taiyuan

This pectoral, part of a complex array of jades, was suspended from the wearer's neck. It is composed of a jade ring joined with two pairs of arc-shaped pendants and a small bar by sets of beads; a second jade ring is thought to have been part of this ornament. The two rings and two of the arcs are relatively plain, although one of the arcs retains traces of lines that have been worn (or smoothed) away. The two arcs at the ends of the ornament carry incised designs of dragons with interlacing ribbon-shaped bodies, a pattern developed during the Middle to Late Western Zhou period. It seems likely that the jades were originally carved for other uses; the same probably holds true for the other jades that compose the burial apparatus.

A notable feature of all such complex ornaments is the use of beads in several materials, particularly in agate or carnelian and in varieties of faience. Beads, especially hardstone beads, are surprisingly rare in the history of Chinese decorative art; only a few of the Neolithic peoples who inhabited the Chinese landmass before the advent of the Shang used these ornaments to any appreciable extent (the fine tubular beads of the Liangzhu peoples are outstanding examples). Inhabitants of the southern areas (notably the peoples of Xin'gan in present-day Jiangxi) used jade and turquoise beads in the latter part of the second millennium BCE. Beads were used only rarely by the Shang at Anyang, nor were they common during the first centuries of Zhou rule.

Beads came into more widespread decorative use during the tenth to ninth centuries BCE. Among the earliest of the assemblages that include beads are those from Western Zhou period tombs at Liulihe, Fangshan near Beijing, where turquoise was favored over faience, popular in western Asia. Beads that might be regarded as forms of faience, that is, fired mixtures of silica and pigment, have been found in Middle Western Zhou tombs at Ruijiazhuang near Baoji in western Shaanxi province. That beads were used as decorative ornaments in two so widely separated corners of the Western Zhou kingdom during approximately the same period suggests that they were not a local invention, but rather reflected the influence of peoples in the border areas, a development that figures in other decorative arts as well. It seems possible that these beads demonstrate an interest in decorative jewel-like ornaments shared by peoples on the periphery in China, a feature that was perhaps also common to other parts of Central Asia.

Beads used in this pectoral are of considerably later date than those found at Fangshan or Ruijiazhuang. Complex pendants should be treated as part of a relatively late phenomenon. The association of pectorals with face plaques (cat. 84) suggests an intent to create a formidable display. It is likely that the jades illustrated here and in cat. 85 manifest a completely new approach to the world of spirits and the afterlife that developed in the latter part of the Western Zhou period. 18

1 Excavated in 1992 (M 8:114-124); reported: Beijing 1994.
2 For beads of the Liangzhu culture, see Ma and Ho 1992, nos. 80, 81, and 82.
3 For beads from Xin'gan in Jiangxi province, see Ma 1994, nos. 88 and 94.
4 For ornaments from Liulihe, see Rawson 1996, no. 56.
5 For beads from Ruijiazhuang, see Lu and Hu 1988, color pl. 25.
Jade pei pectoral

Length c. 150 (59 ½)
Late Western Zhou Period, eighth century BCE
From Tianma-Qucun (Beizhao, Quwo), Shanxi Province
Shanxi Provinical Institute of Archaeology, Taiyuan

This complex assembly of jade plaques and beads, more than twice as long as the pectoral ornament described in cat. 85, comes from Tomb M 63, as does a bronze vessel also exhibited, cat. 90. Such bronzes date to the very end of the Western Zhou period, around the time of the collapse in 771 BCE of the Zhou kingdom and the loss of its capital at Xi’an. While these bead-and-jade coverings similarly date to the eighth century BCE, the constituent jade carvings themselves date from a number of different periods and were obviously amassed over a long stretch of time.

Here, threads holding short groups of faience and agate beads join jade huang, which dominate the composition. The brown color of the arcs is the result of burial; the arcs were originally a translucent gray or green. Many have a schematic animal head at each end; others carry finely incised decoration, which permits approximate dating of the individual pieces. One such huang displays patterns typical of tenth-century BCE bronzes and jadework, suggesting a date of manufacture one hundred or more years prior to the burial itself. This huang features a pair of dragons, each with two heads and sinuous bodies that intertwine at the center; birds with long, sweeping plumes were characteristic of this period, and such dragon designs may have developed by analogy. Jade craftsmen seem to have adapted their designs from contemporaneous bronzework, interlacing the creatures' plumes, crests, and bodies to fit the limitations of their inherently smaller work surface. From such small beginnings arose an entire genre of jade (and later, bronze) design.

Many of the jades found in Tomb M 63, like those from Tomb M 8 (cat. 85), were originally
carved for another purpose, and date from the tenth or ninth century,\textsuperscript{3} others even earlier — back to the Shang period. It is likely that the Zhou (and their vassals in the minor states) acquired such pieces when they conquered the Shang in the mid-eleventh century; the looting of the royal tombs may have occurred at that time or at a later date.

The more difficult question is why these jades came to be buried in the eighth century and not earlier. Perhaps in a time of political and economic uncertainty, when the Zhou were destabilized by attacks from border peoples, it seemed more prudent to bury jades for the afterlife than to risk their immediate loss; or perhaps equipping the bodies of rulers, their consorts, and their nobles with jades reflected a change in how the afterlife was conceived among the Zhou and their Jin dependents. A direct relation between Zhou burial practices and the Han's elaborate shrouds, pectorals, and face coverings for their dead (see cats. 139—146) is implausible, however, for Han burial appurtenances were created in a culture separate in both time and place from the Jin state of the Zhou period. \textsuperscript{\textemdash}

\textsuperscript{1} Excavated in 1994 (M 67:41); reported: Shanxi 1994\textsuperscript{e}.

\textsuperscript{2} For a discussion of the sources of interlace on Western Zhou bronzes, see Rawson 1990, part 1:113-123. Interlace appears on the lids of hu from Tomb M 8 at Tianma-Qucun (Beijing 1994, 20, fig. 26).

Jinhou Pi bronze gui vessel

Height 38.4 (15 ¼’’)
Late Western Zhou Period, ninth century BCE
From Tianma-Qucun (Beizhao, Quwo), Shanxi Province
Shanxi Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Taiyuan

Following the changes in ritual during the ninth century, lords of the Late Western Zhou period acquired sets of ritual food basins (gui) that comprised an even number of vessels, often fitted with lids (as in this example). This gui with its substantial square base, S-shaped profile, and two handles, is a typical example. (Less common during the Early Western Zhou period, the squared base of the gui became a standard feature in the ninth to eighth centuries.) The handles bear large animal heads with rounded horns or ears, and a flange contains a trunklike extension. Abstract angular S-shaped motifs fill two borders on the body and two on the lid, the handle of which is composed of an everted ring. Other semi-abstract designs form borders around undecorated panels within each of the four sides of the base. The bronze has a gray-green sheen, with traces of bright green and reddish corrosion.

One of a pair surviving from a group of four, this basin is inscribed inside the body and lid dedicating the bronze by an individual titled Jinhou, or Marquis of Jin, for ritual offerings to his ancestor. Jinhou is not the occupant of the tomb, however. The character for the name of the lord has been transcribed in several different ways by various scholars, however, and these identifications remain controversial.1

While many Shang period bronzes were inscribed, often with the characters of the owner’s names, the form of the inscription on this vessel is typical of the Zhou period. It is likely that these inscriptions were intended to be read by both the living and the dead, for it was expected that the ancestors would be drawn to the feast by the aroma of the food and wine prepared for them in these vessels. The inscriptions may have been placed inside the vessels so that the ancestors would read them as they consumed the contents. In addition to the dedications seen here, some bronzes contain longer inscriptions that memorialize the honors accorded the owners of the vessels. Such achievements may have been recorded in these inscriptions precisely because the living lords wished to inform their ancestors of these honors, which presumably raised the status of the living and might raise the ranking of the ancestors as well.2

Inscriptions such as those on this vessel and, much later, on seals (cat. 138) are among the many elements of daily life that were carried into the realms of the ancestors and spirits. By the Han period, the afterlife had come to be viewed as including a large bureaucracy that required the paraphernalia of officialdom, including seals and records, to authenticate the positions of the dead and to receive similarly important information across the boundary of death. That view of the afterlife was altogether different from that of classical Greece and Rome, which prized individualism in the afterlife as it did on earth, and it was also far removed from that of the early Christians, whose Kingdom of God had much in common with the court of a small European state of the day.3

---

1 Excavated in 1992 (M 8:30); reported: Beijing 1994.
2 See Xu 1996, especially table 2.
3 For a full discussion of Western Zhou period bronze inscriptions, see Shaughnessy 1991. For a critique of this view, see Falkenhausen 1993a.
Hare-shaped bronze zun vessel

Height 31.8 (12 1/2), width 22.2 (8 3/4),
diam. at rim 18.4 (7 3/4)

Middle to Late Western Zhou Period,
ninth century BCE

From Tianma-Qucun (Beizhao, Quwo),
Shanxi Province

Shanxi Provincial Institute of Archaeology,
Taiyuan

Examples of animal-shaped bronze ritual vessels are rare at all periods of ancient Chinese history, and this hare-shaped wine vessel, \(^1\) called a zun for the trumpet-shaped mouth rising from the animal's back, is a unique example of the form.

Zun have been found in many Middle Western Zhou tombs of the tenth century, usually in the form of a round-bodied vessel on a small oval-ringed foot, with a trumpet-shaped mouth emerging from a low shoulder. Here the globular body has been replaced by that of a hare, modeled very accu-
rately, with many lifelike features. The head strains forward, the ears are folded back against the animal’s body, and the crouching legs almost conceal the oval ring foot. Cast relief roundels on the animal’s flanks are decorated with fine, spiraling intaglio lines. The vessel is heavily patinated and corroded and shows signs of repair. It was found together with two cast hare-shaped boxes of similarly lifelike design.\(^2\)

Animal-shaped containers were not typical of the Yellow River ritual bronze tradition. During the Shang period, peoples inhabiting the south, particularly along the Yangzi River and in Hunan province, employed vessels in the shapes of animals; famous pieces include a boar, an elephant, and two addorsed rams.\(^3\) It would seem that, from time to time, these animal-shaped bronzes were exchanged or traded, from south to north, perhaps by way of the tributaries of the Yangzi River. Very elaborate versions of such animal vessels seem to have been made around 1200 BCE at the Shang precursor to the present-day city of Anyang in Henan province, for high-ranking members of the Shang court. Fu Hao, the consort of one of the most powerful Shang kings, Wu Ding, had a pair of bird-shaped vessels and a pair of vessels in the shape of strange imaginary animals.\(^4\)

During the Early Western Zhou period, animal-shaped bronzes became known to the Zhou inhabiting the region of the Wei River. Bronze creatures have been found both near the capital at Xi’an and further west at Baoji,\(^5\) and this hare-shaped zun appears related to pieces imitative of Yellow River animal bronzes. The fact that this bronze has unmistakable elements of contemporaneous bronze ritual vessels, “obscured” by the figure itself, suggests that these hares were not ancient pieces handed down through several generations but that they were cast in the Middle or Late Middle Western Zhou period. It is likely that they were made in some part of the Zhou territory or within the confines of the Jin state, but their shape and their style suggests that they are older than the ninth- or even early eighth-century bronzes found with them in Tomb M 8. While the typology of animal-shaped bronzes links south and north, it does not define their significance to their owners in either region. \(^1\)R

---

2. For a brief report on the excavation of the tombs, see Beijing 1994.
3. For a description of Shang animal-shaped bronzes, see Bagley 1987, 30–36.
5. Rawson 1990, no. 119.
Bronze *he* vessel

Height 34.6 (13 ⅜); body: height 21.8 (8 ⅞), width 20.6 (8 ⅞)

Late Western Zhou Period, ninth to eighth century BCE

From Tianma-Qucun (Beizhao, Quwo), Shanxi Province

Shanxi Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Taiyuan

Ritual pouring vessels (*he*) are generally thought to have accompanied water basins (*pan*); both this vessel and a circular *pan* (with decorative features that suggest an earlier date) were found in a Jin state tomb thought to have belonged to the consort of the marquis interred in Tomb M 8 (see cats. 87, 88).²

*He* vessels of the Early and Middle Western Zhou period were generally composed of a trilobed body on three legs, with a short neck, a small domed lid and handle, and a straight spout; they reflect a tradition carried over from much earlier Shang ritual-vessel castings.³ While its function may have been similar to these earlier examples, the distinctive shape of this vessel dates it to the later Western Zhou period.

The body of the vessel is disk-shaped, resembling the cross section of a log supported on the backs of two stooping human figures. The spout consists of an S-shaped projection that terminates in a small animal head with round eyes, horns, and a little crest; another imaginary animal decorates the handle at the back. The lid, in the shape of a bird, is linked to a loop on the body of the vessel by a small creature. The animal appendages and the
coarse ribbon decoration of the piece are typical of Late Western Zhou period bronzes. The shape is extremely unusual but is paralleled by an example from Shaanxi province (the county of Fufeng in the Zhouyuan).4

This vessel and cat. 90 are representative of a new tradition of shapes that came into being during the latter part of the Western Zhou period. Prior to this period, human forms rarely (if ever) appear in the decoration of ritual vessels from the Yellow and Wei River areas, although examples are found occasionally on chariot fittings and weapons. Human faces and figures are slightly more prevalent among cast bronzes from the south (although their style is quite different from Western Zhou representations illustrated in this example and cat. 90), and well-known examples have been found in the east (Anhui province), the central region (Hunan province), and the west (Sichuan province).5 The weakening of Zhou power and the growing independence of specific territories such as the Jin state may have been paralleled by the easing of ritual control over bronzecasters, permitting a greater variety in design. 18

1 Excavated 1993 (M 318); reported: Shanxi 19943.
2 For a brief report on the tomb see Shanxi 19943.
3 For a discussion of the type, see Rawson 1990, part i, nos. 112–115.
4 Rawson 1990, part i: fig. 152.
5 Bagley 1987, figs. 80, 187, and Bagley 1990, 52–67, fig. 28.
Cylindrical bronze vessel with pedestal base

Height 23.1 (9 1/4), diam. 9.3 (3 5/8)
Late Western Zhou Period, ninth to eighth century BCE
From Tianma-Qucun (Beizhao, Quwo), Shanxi Province
Shanxi Provincial Institute of Archaeology, Taiyuan

This small, unusually shaped bronze vessel comes from a tomb thought to have been that of the consort of a Jin state lord buried in Tomb M 64. While the relative dating of the principal tombs has been the subject of debate, it is reasonably certain that this bronze and other pieces from Tomb M 63 are from the Late Western Zhou period or even somewhat later.

The small, box-shaped base is supported by four human figures topped by a lidded cylinder. A bird stands on the lid, whose small cast-in loops are paired with loops on the vessel’s body. Within its base hangs a pair of small bells. This decorative feature is typical of some Early Western Zhou period food basins (gui) in which the bell was suspended from a loop attached to the underside of the basin and concealed by the pedestal.

The decoration consists of narrow strips of relief demarcated by parallel intaglio lines. The waved-shaped motifs on the base and the body of the cylinder are typical of the late Middle and Late Western Zhou period, coinciding with the change in vessel types that took place in the early ninth century. Whether this piece had a direct connection with the ancestor offerings associated with other ritual vessels remains unknown, as does the question of what it was intended to contain.

Tombs in the Jin state burial ground have yielded both standard ritual bronzes and many small pieces of highly individual character. The fact that no pieces precisely comparable to these unusual bronzes have been discovered in the Zhou centers in present-day Shaanxi province points to the development of an independent style in the Jin state. Indeed, it seems that while the Zhou aristo-
crats who controlled the area of the capital south of present-day Xi’an standardized the ritual vessels used for ancestor offerings, the lords of the Jin state took another direction, developing ritual practices that made use of vessels of unusual form, as well as vessels that replicated much older shapes. Tomb M 63, for example, contains not only innovative and unusual vessels, but also archaizing forms, such as a square vessel described as a fangyi in traditional writings. It is possible that both the unusually shaped bronzes and the archaistic vessels were made during the last decades of Zhou rule, and that their forms reflect contemporaneous political and social upheaval. Similar vessels and jades have been found in the states of Guo and Ying in Henan province.1

1 Excavated 1993 (M 63:86); reported: Shanxi 1994b.
2 For a discussion of the dating of the principal tombs in the Jin state burial ground, see Xu 1996b.
3 For brief reports see Henan 1995b and Henan 1988.
The Flamboyance of Eastern Zhou

CHU AND OTHER CULTURES (C. 770—221 BCE)

In 770 BCE, King Ping of Zhou moved his capital east to Luoyang; the five and a half centuries of the Zhou dynasty that followed, comprising two consecutive phases — the Spring and Autumn period (770 – 476 BCE) and the Warring States period (475 – 221 BCE) — are generally called the Eastern Zhou period. The Western Zhou kings had wielded considerable power; the kings of the Eastern Zhou period, by contrast, were largely puppet figures: during their reign several regional kingdoms, including Qi, Chu, Jin, and Qin, successively exercised hegemony over the region and waged frequent wars — both internally and with one another. Remarkably, the political turmoil did not impede the progress of art, literature, and philosophy; to the contrary, they flourished, to the point that the extraordinary cultural prosperity of the Eastern Zhou period has customarily been characterized as the “hundred schools of thought contending” (baijia zhengming), and the “hundred flowers blooming” (baihua qifang). Archaeological excavations have revealed several thriving, unique cultures that radiated from present-day Yunnan province in the southwest into the Mongolian steppe in the northeast. One of these — the Chu culture — dominated southern China and formed one of the most influential cultures of the Eastern Zhou period.

“Chu culture” as defined here is not limited to the people or their kingdom but extends to the cultural attributes and influence of Chu. Over time, through the annexation of more than forty smaller states, the territory of the Chu kingdom expanded; Chu culture as a result was a rich amalgam of diverse cultures and groups. Its richness is manifested in literature such as the Chu ci (Songs of Chu), an anthology that dates to the Late Warring States and the Han periods, but what of its material culture? A century of archaeological investigation has yielded remains covering a vast zone that includes the present-day provinces of southern Henan, Hubei, Hunan, and Anhui, and has considerably expanded our knowledge of the culture. A distinctively Chu culture seems to have emerged around the sixth century BCE, although archaeologists have traced its origins back to the Early Western Zhou period on the evidence of bronzes and oracle-bone inscriptions; Professor Yu Weichao situates the origins of Chu culture even farther back in time—to the Early Shang period — on the evidence of ceramic li vessels (see his essay herein).

Objects associated with the Chu culture in this exhibition include their signature artifacts — lacquerware, textiles, and bronzes — from Henan, Hubei and Anhui provinces, ranging from the Middle Spring and Autumn period to the Warring States period (cats. 91 – 122). These objects represent the contents of burials identified with the Chu kingdom but also artifacts associated with other states. The bronzes and lacquerware from the tomb of Zenghou Yi, for example (cats. 92 – 102) show the intensity of Chu influence over states that were politically independent of Chu. The form and the decoration of ritual bronzes from the Chu burials at Xiasi in Henan province testify to the formation of distinctive artistic characteristics (as does the technique of lost-wax casting); a chime of bronze bells from the same locality (cat. 91), indi-
Cates the pervasiveness of Zhou forms and fashions, although its surface decoration points to an emerging Chu style; thereafter, Chu culture assumed a distinctive and individual identity.

Chu art, characterized by lush interlaced openwork decoration (particularly on the appendages or flanges of objects) often incorporated themes associated with shamanism or spirit worship, evidenced in bronzes such as the cranelike figure with deer antlers (cat. 100) and a lacquered wood guardian animal that incorporates a crown of real antlers (cat. 101). Although chimerical combinations of animals or entirely imaginary creatures were characteristic elements of Shang art as well, Chu representations disclose an impassioned spiritual flamboyance; the Shang creatures, by contrast, suggest a more somber, religious introspectiveness.

Ensuring a comprehensive representation of Chu culture in this exhibition to some extent takes place at the expense of other contemporaneous cultures whose artifacts offer added testimony to the glory of the Eastern Zhou period. The burial artifacts from the tomb of the King of Zhongshan in northern China shed some light, however, on the depth and the range of the era’s cultural efflorescence. The Zhongshan kingdom was much less powerful than Chu, but it did produce works that rival those of Chu artisans and constitute an artistically coherent mar-
riage of Zhou and nomadic traditions (the latter are echoed in a bronze sculpture of a tiger attacking a deer). Zhou culture was likely a model for the kingdoms that surrounded it; certainly, Zhou writing and rituals (including bronze and jade ritual implements) were adopted by neighboring cultures. From an aesthetic perspective, moreover, these cultures were the equal of those that inhabited the Central Plains. Long held in disfavor by Zhou historians and orthodox historiographers, Chu and Zhongshan emerged from the “barbarian” south and north to constitute major forces, together with the states of Wu, Yue, Qi, Jin, Yan Qin, and Shu, in the formation of what we know today as “the Chinese.” Ironically perhaps, it was another “barbarian” state—Qin in the northwest—that united ancient China in 221 BCE.

1 For an English-language text that provides additional background regarding this period, see Li Xueqin 1985. 2 Zhang Zhengming’s eighteen-volume study (1995a) provides an overview of the Chu kingdom and its culture. 3 For a comprehensive discussion of Chu culture see Zhang Zhengming 1991; for an examination of Chu bronzes, see Mackenzie 1991, 107–157. 4 Illustrated in Hubei 1985a, 2: color pls. 32–35.
Now normally submerged under the Danjiang Reservoir, the nine large tombs at Xiasi in Xichuan county (Henan province), together with two tombs at the adjacent locality of Heshangling, represent six generations of a high-ranking aristocratic lineage in the Chu kingdom. They date from the second quarter of the sixth to the third quarter of the fifth century BCE. Each lineage head was buried in a large tomb with an associated horse-and-chariot pit; in some cases, their principal consorts were buried in separate large tombs nearby. Besides the main occupant, each large tomb contained one or several additional skeletons, possibly human victims chosen from the main occupant’s own relatives. Tomb 2 at Xiasi, much larger and more lavishly appointed than the others, was surrounded by fifteen small tombs containing possibly lower-ranking human victims.

The large tombs, some of which had been looted before excavation, contained abundant assemblages of ritual bronzes and funerary jades. The constellation of bronze vessels reflected the ritual rank of the deceased person. Tombs of females lack the weapons and horse-and-chariot items seen in those of their husbands, and they contain fewer vessels. None of the tombs yielded any trace of “useful” items such as ceramics.

Despite some looting, Tomb 2 at Xiasi contained thirty-six bronze vessels and a set of twenty-six bells, which is shown in this exhibition. Its exceptionally lavish furnishings, to some extent echoed by those in the three consorts’ tombs clustering around it (Tombs 1, 3, and 4), testify to privileges far exceeding those enjoyed by either previous or succeeding generations of the same lineage. This cluster of tombs yielded a number of bronze vessel types not encountered in the others, such as flat-bottomed tripods (sheng) and other vessels of archaic form, harking back to the mid-ninth century BCE. Possibly, these vessels testify to their owners’ participation in special kinds of rituals restricted to the royal family and its immediate entourage. In their execution, as well, many of the bronzes from Tomb 2 and its cluster are far more luxurious than those seen elsewhere at the cemetery. Highlights include some of the earliest vessels with metal inlay found anywhere in China and a unique altar stand with intricate decoration executed in the lost-wax casting technique, which was very rarely used in Eastern Zhou bronzework.

In their bronze inscriptions, the lineage heads buried at Xiasi-Heshangling refer to themselves as Chu Shuzhisun (“Descendants in a Junior Line of Chu”), indicating that they were descended from an earlier king of Chu but only distantly related to the king of their own time. The inscriptions give the name of the occupant of Tomb 2 at Xiasi as Peng. This individual has been identified with Yuan (or Wei) Zi Feng, chief minister of Chu from 552 until his death in 548 BCE. Peng was a descendant of Sunshu Ao (fl. 598 – 597 BCE), who had been chief minister under King Zhuang of Chu (r. 613 – 591 BCE), and whose descendants hereditarily governed the territory surrounding present-day Xichuan. Some scholars assume the earliest capital of the Chu kingdom to have been located in this area, but in the sixth and fifth centuries BCE, the Chu capital had long been moved southward to the environs of present-day Jiangling (Hubei province), and Xichuan had become a border domain. The inscriptions on bronzes found in
the Xiasi-Heshangling tombs indicate that these objects had been brought along by princesses from surrounding states marrying into Sunshu Ao’s lineage, an indication that the heads of that lineage were considered equal in rank to local rulers outside the Chu kingdom. Such inter-marriages may have been part of an overall political strategy aiming at establishing the king of Chu as in every respect the equivalent of the Zhou king, for Zhou court ritual ranked the heads of ministerial lineages at the royal court on a par with local rulers.

Yuan Zi Feng rose to office as a result of a political shift in the mid-sixth century BCE. Previously, key ministerial positions at the Chu court had been occupied by the powerful uncles and brothers of the reigning king. In an effort to strengthen their own position, King Kang of Chu (559 – 545 BCE) and his followers began appointing members of lesser-ranking lineages such as Yuan Zi Feng. The office of chief minister did not remain in Feng’s lineage after his death. These historical circumstances, which can be reconstructed by combining evidence from inscriptions and historical texts, may explain the exceptional lavishness of Yuan Zi Feng’s and his consorts’ tombs and their contents.
Chime of twenty-six bronze zhong bells

Height 23.6–120.4 (8⅞–47⅜), width at lower lip 14.8–59.7 (5⅜–23⅞), weight 2.8–152.8 (6⅜–336½)

Middle Spring and Autumn Period (c. 550 BCE)

From Tomb 2 at Xiasi, Xichuan, Henan Province

Henan Museum, Zhengzhou

This is the largest continuous bell-chime so far known from the Chinese Bronze Age, though other contexts — e.g., the tomb of Marquis Yi at Leigudun, Suixian (Hubei province) — have yielded multiple chimes totaling larger numbers of bells. The twenty-six bells (yongzhong) were arranged on a two-tiered wooden rack; each bell was suspended from two ropes, connected by a bronze pin through the bell’s suspension loop. To minimize acoustic interference from the vibrating suspension ropes, the ropes were made of lead.

Long and massive octagonal shanks counterbalance the bell bodies; the suspension rings are affixed laterally, causing the bells to tilt toward the player and permitting greater accuracy in striking than in vertically suspended bells — an important feature, since each yongzhong can emit two notes, depending on whether it is struck in the center or midway to the side. (The interval between the two notes usually approximates either a minor or a major third.) Long forgotten and not rediscovered until 1978, this acoustic phenomenon is caused by the bell’s almond-shaped (pointed-oval) cross section. The inscriptions that identify the tones on Marquis Yi’s bells show that Eastern Zhou bellcasters could determine the pitch of both tones in advance — a skill that they must have developed through assiduous experimentation, since the mathematics then available did not permit casters to calculate an exact formula for the relation between size and pitch.

This chime still emits tones similar to those heard during the Bronze Age. Its range extends over five octaves, with up to ten different notes per octave (sometimes, the same note can be played on more than one bell). One can play a pentatonic
scale in E in three consecutive octaves. The overall distribution of notes is less regular than in earlier and contemporaneous chimes made in northern China, possibly indicating that mid-sixth-century Chu casters were just beginning to cast acoustically sophisticated bell-chimes.4

The symmetrical groups of bosses on each yongzhong probably served to dampen the nonharmonic overtones emitted by the upper part of the bell, thus emphasizing the two fundamental notes. The enclosed panels between the bosses, as well as on the shank, are ornamented, in typical Chu style, with tiny dragons raised in jagged relief.5 Larger versions of the dragon motif appear on the flat head and in the center of the striking platform.

The inscribed text, repeated seventeen times,6 identifies the individual for whom this chime was made as the grandson of a Chu king. Though unknown from historical sources, this Wangsun Gao may have been the son of Wangzi Wu (d. 552 BCE), a royal prince and Yuan Zi Feng’s predecessor as chief minister of Chu. Feng’s tomb contained a magnificent set of seven bronze tripods made for Wangzi Wu. How such royal bronzes came into Feng’s possession is unclear; perhaps they were a gift from the Chu king, symbolizing Feng’s appointment as chief minister and, simultaneously, the ouster of Wangzi Wu’s branch of the royal lineage.7

Inscription8

It was the first month, in the first quarter, day dinghai. I, Wangsun Gao, selected my auspicious metals and for myself made [these] harmonizing bells. They are long-vibrating and sonorous, and their fine sound is very loud. With them, sternly and in a very dignified manner, I reverently serve the king of Chu.

I am not fearful and make no mistakes. I am gracious in my administrative demeanor.

I am thoroughly familiar with the awe-inspiring ceremonies. I am greatly respect-
ful and am at ease and composed. I am fearful and very careful; earnestly planning [my actions], I am good at defending [my ruler]. For this I am known in the Four States. I respectfully keep my treaties and sacrifices, and as a result forever obtain happiness. Martial in warfare, I consider and carefully plan [my strategies] and am never defeated.

Glistening are the harmonizing bells. With them feast in order to please and to make happy the king of Chu, the various rulers and the fine guests, our fathers and brothers and the various gentlemen. How blissful and brightly joyous! For ten thousand years without end, forever preserve and strike them.

1 Excavated in 1979; published: Henan 1980, pl. 1.3; Zhao 1986; Thorp 1988b, no. 9; Henan 1991: 140–179, pl. 58–60; So 1995, fig. 32.
2 The rack is a reconstruction, the original having decomposed in the ground. Only twenty-four of the original twenty-six connecting pins were found; they are not depicted in the excavation report.
5 Compare Hayashi 1988b, 383–391.
6 The twelve largest bells each feature a complete version of the text; the following four bells each feature one-half, the next following six each one-third, and the final four each one-quarter of the text.
7 This interpretation partly follows suggestions in Zhang 1985 and Chen Wei 1983. The authors of the excavation report (Henan 1991) attempt to identify Wangzi Wu as the occupant of Tomb 2, a claim that cannot be correct (Li Ling 1996c).
The tomb of Zenghou Yi — Marquis Yi of Zeng — constitutes the most important single archaeological discovery relating to the Eastern Zhou period (770–221 BCE). Its significance lies not only in its remarkable bronzes (whose tonnage far exceeds that of any ancient tomb anywhere in the world), lacquerware, and other objects, but also in the excellent preservation of the tomb itself, which allowed the disposition of its contents to be documented.

Situated around 1.5 kilometers northwest of the city of Suizhou in northeastern Hubei province, close to a hillock called Leigudun (Drum-beating Mound), the tomb was discovered in September 1977 and excavated during May and June of the following year. Originally sunk to a depth of around 13 meters, the wooden tomb structure was encased on its top and four sides with packed charcoal; a layer of sticky clay, another of stone slabs, and a topping of earth filled the shaft. The combination of its encasement and the waterlogged conditions of the site preserved the tomb and the majority of its contents (textiles are a notable exception).

Constructed of 171 large squared timbers of Chinese catalpa, up to 10.6 meters long, and averaging over half a meter in width, the tomb covered 19.7 meters from east to west and 15.7 meters from north to south. The tomb was composed of four chambers of different size but of a uniform height of approximately 3.3 meters. The distribution of the objects through these chambers suggests that they correspond to sections of the palace occupied by the marquis during his life. This represents the earliest known attempt to re-create the palace as a tomb and anticipates the multichambered tombs of the Western Han period (206 BCE – 24 CE).

The eastern chamber represented the private quarters of the marquis and contained his double coffin, eight coffins of concubines (ranging in age from thirteen to twenty-four), a dog in its own coffin, and numerous items that were clearly personal possessions. The marquis’ double coffin is the largest lacquered wooden coffin to have survived from antiquity. The outer coffin, measuring 3.2 meters in length by 2.1 in width and 2.19 meters high, was framed with massive bronze H-section pillars and L-section beams remarkably similar to the sections used in modern architecture. The structure was held together through a sophisticated use of pegs and mortise and tenon, anticipating the joinery used in later Chinese furniture. An opening at the base of one end of the outer coffin was echoed by small openings in the wooden walls that divided the chambers; these have been plausibly interpreted as passages to allow the marquis’ soul to wander within his underground palace. The inner coffin contained silk and jades, evenly distributed over the skeleton of the marquis, a jade-handled knife by his side, and gold belt-hooks near his waist. The sides of the inner coffin were decorated with an elaborate iconographic program comprising windowlike panels flanked by guardian figures holding halberds and by friezes densely packed with hybrid creatures that evoke the descriptions of strange and supernatural creatures found in the Shan hai jing (Classic of mountains and seas), a text of the Late Eastern Zhou or Early Han period.

The outer coffin was surrounded by weapons, a chariot and chariot fittings, and personal items: clothes chests decorated with cosmological and mythological scenes, gold vessels (see
numerous lacquered wood items (including furniture, vessels, and zithers), a sculpture of a deer (one was also found in the central chamber), and basketry boxes. An unprecedented find was a low table on which were placed spinning whorls wound with silk, indicating the importance of the continued supply of silk in the afterlife of the marquis. Apart from mat weights (cat. 104), an incense burner, a drum stand in the form of an antlered crane (cat. 100), and some weapons, bronze objects are conspicuously absent from this chamber.

The central chamber represented the ceremonial hall of the palace. In contrast to the eastern chamber, the objects that it contained were intended for public display and comprise the two types of paraphernalia essential to observing the codes of social conduct of the aristocracy and rulers: musical instruments and bronze ritual vessels. Dominating the west wall was the now-celebrated chime of bronze bells hung on a three-tiered, bronze-and-wood, L-shaped rack. A set of stone chimes (qing) was placed along the north wall and, with the bell rack, formed a three-sided enclosure for other musical instruments, including ten- and five-stringed qin zithers, twenty-five-stringed se zithers, sheng gourd-pipes and pan-pipes, and a variety of drums. The placement of the majority of the ritual vessels — between the short arm of the bell rack and the south wall — suggests that they were less important than the musical instruments; the most important wine vessels, including the zun-pan (cat. 95), the hu (cat. 96), and the filter (cat. 98) were more prominently placed — along the east wall opposite the long arm of the bell rack.

The northern chamber served as an armory and storeroom and contained a large number of weapons (including halberds, spears, compound bows, and arrows), lacquered leather armor and shields, chariot fittings, two massive bronze jars, and bamboo slips that list the mourners at the funeral and the objects placed in the grave. The western chamber contained relatively few artifacts — the coffins of thirteen young women and a few of their personal possessions, such as combs; the chamber likely corresponded to the servants quarters of the palace.

Identification of the occupant of the tomb as Marquis Yi of Zeng rests on inscriptions on most of the bells and ritual bronzes naming him as their owner. Although Marquis Yi is not recorded in historical literature, the date of his death can be established fairly accurately by the inscription on one of the bells hung on the lower rack of the bell chime. This bell is a bo type, distinct from the yongzhong type that form the rest of the chime, and was clearly not part of the original set. The inscription cast into the central panel states that it was commissioned by King Xiong Zhang of Chu (r. 488 – 432 BCE) in the fifty-sixth year of his reign (433) for Marquis Yi. Examination of the marquis’ corpse has established that he was in his early forties at the time of his death; it is unlikely, then, that the tomb dates much later than the third quarter of the fifth century.

The astonishing richness of the find has focused attention on the enigma of the Zeng state. An inscription on a Zeng bronze found in central Hubei province suggests that the ruling house of Zeng was related to the Zhou royal house. Although three Zeng states are mentioned
in the histories, none were located in Hubei. Zeng may have been an alternative name for the Sui state, known to have been located in the region of Suizhou and one of the Chu state’s main rivals during the seventh century BCE; by the sixth, it had largely fallen under Chu control. The lists of mourners at Marquis Yi’s funeral, recorded on bamboo slips placed in the tomb, mention only personages from Chu and one other state, an indication that by this time Zeng had lost its political independence. Culturally, the Zeng state also seems by this time to have been heavily dependent on the Chu state: in typology and style, many of the Zeng bronze ritual vessels derive from objects found in sixth-century Chu tombs at Xichuan Xiasi in southern Henan province, although the presence of certain types borrowed from further east (such as the zun-pan, cat. 95) suggests that it still retained some independence in its bronze repertoire. What is clear, however, is that the still undiscovered tombs of Chu kings, thought to be located north of Jiangling in western Hubei province, would have dwarfed that of Marquis Yi — both in scale and in the magnificence of their contents. CM

2 The total weight of bronze yielded by the tomb is estimated at over ten metric tons. See Hubei 1989, 1:475–476.
3 For a discussion of the role of music in ceremonies, see Falkenhausen 1993b, 23–31.
4 For a discussion of this chime, see Falkenhausen 1993b, introduction, and chaps. 7 and 8.
5 The inscriptions usually occur in a seven-character formula: “Marquis Yi of Zeng commissioned [this article]; may he possess and use it for eternity.” A few of the bronzes name earlier Zeng marquises. See Hubei 1989, 1:459–460, and cat. 95.
6 Two other bells with virtually identical inscriptions were reportedly unearthed from Anlu (Hubei province) during the Sung period. It is likely that the lo from the tomb of the marquis was originally part of a chime that included the Anlu bells. See Thorp 1981, 68–70.
7 Certain phrases in the inscription have been taken to indicate that the Chu bell was cast in response to news of the marquis’ death; if the interpretation is correct, Marquis Yi died in 433 BCE. However, carbon-14 samples taken from the wooden beams of the tomb indicate a probable date for the tomb of around 420 BCE. Hubei 1989, 1:465.
Bronze huoding tripod, pair of lifting hooks, and ladle

a. ding: height 57 (22 3/4), diam. 57.4 (22 5/8)
b. hooks: length 24.5 (9 3/8)
c. ladle: length 158.5 (62 3/8)

Warring States Period (c. 433 BCE)
From Leigudun, Suixian, Hubei Province
Hubei Provincial Museum, Wuhan

From the Shang period forward, ding tripods constituted the core of the ritual vessel set. The tomb of Marquis Yi yielded twenty ding, numerically the largest category of ritual vessels found in the tomb and the most complete recovered group of such vessels. This example is one of the two largest in the tomb. In the ritual texts, large ding were termed huo, defined as vessels for boiling meat and fish. Ox bones found within the vessel and soot still visible on the base indicate that it had been used for that purpose — probably during the funerary ritual itself. The ding was found with a woven bamboo lid, largely disintegrated; such lids were probably in use from early times but have rarely left traces in the archaeological record.

Hinged lifting hooks were found hooked onto the rim of the two large ding — either directly beneath the handle or next to it. According to the Han dictionary Shuo wen jie zi, such hooks (termed yu) were used to lift the ding onto the fire. Similar hooks have been found in a number of tombs, but it is rare that their function is so clearly indicated by their placement. The long ladle (bi) was discovered lying across this vessel and the second large ding; it was probably used to transfer their contents to flat-bottomed tripods (called sheng ding), which were placed next to the two large ding.

Seams still visible on the body of the ding show that it was cast in a four-section mold. The legs and handles were cast in two-section molds and inserted into apertures cut into the molds for the body; they were locked into place when molten bronze was poured to form the body. This precasting of the legs represents an advance compared with Shang and Western Zhou foundry methods, which usually cast legs in the same pour as the
vessel. The decoration consists of rows of stylized dragon heads with angular, interlaced bodies executed flush with the surface. Such decorations evoke the style of the sixth century. They can be contrasted with the more dynamic schemes of curling relief elements seen on the hu (cat. 96) and jian-fou (cat. 97).

1 Excavated in 1978 (C 96); reported: Hubei 1989, 1:189–193, figs. 91, 921, and 2: pl. 5123. Inscribed on the interior wall of the vessel, on the rings of the lifting handles, and on the bowl of the spoon: “Marquis Yi of Zeng commissioned [this vessel]; may he possess it and use it for eternity.”

2 Such vessels are identified as yu ding on their inscriptions; two yu ding were found in Tomb M 1 (mid-sixth century BCE) at Xiashi, Xichuan, Henan province. See Henan 1991, 55–57, figs. 44–46 and pl. 231. A list of grave contents inscribed on bamboo slips found in a Chu state tomb at Baoshan near Jiangling, Hubei province (Tomb 2, late fourth century BCE) describes the vessels as huo ding. See Hubei 1991, 1:98. For a full discussion of the nomenclature of ding, see Yu and Gao 1978–1979.

3 For the hooks (C 155), see Hubei 1989, 1:193, fig. 94, and 2: pl. 5021. Other hooks were found at Xinyang Changtaiqian Tomb 1 (see Henan 1986, pl. 572), and Shanshan Houqiumen (see Gao Baqian 1988, pl. 94:1–2 and 86:3). Four lifting hooks, identified as mu (?) in the lists of the tomb’s contents, were found in Baoshan Tomb 2. See Hubei 1991, 1:1002, fig. 59, and 2: pl. 294.


5 Handles were often cast-on during the Shang dynasty — legs only rarely so. See Bagley 1987, 42.
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Bronze gui vessel with pedestal

gui: height 31.8 (12 1/4), diam. at mouth 22.2 (8 3/4)
pedestal: height 10.0 (3 7/8), width 23.2 (9 1/4),
depth 23.0 (9)
Warring States Period (c. 433 BCE)
From Leigudun, Suixian, Hubei Province

Hubei Provincial Museum, Wuhan

Whereas ding were ritual vessels for meat and fish, gui served as containers for serving grain. This example1 is one of a set of eight matching gui found in the central chamber of the tomb next to a row of nine sheng ding with flat bases. Starting in the Middle Western Zhou period, gui and ding were made in closely matched sets (probably inspired by the already established fashion for chimes of bells); the number in each set indicated the rank of their owner.2 Nine ding and eight gui are said to have been an entitlement reserved for the Zhou ruler, but archaeological evidence shows that by the end of the Western Zhou period the rulers of some of the increasingly independent states had usurped this right.3

The reappearance of the pedestaled gui is one instance of a revivalism that pervaded Eastern Zhou culture beginning in the fifth century BCE. Gui with square pedestals had been introduced at the start of the Western Zhou period and enjoyed intermittent popularity during the remainder of the period; by the Early Eastern Zhou period, however, the form had become rare (except in the east and southeast), and by the eighth and seventh centuries BCE, it had gone into complete eclipse within the Chu-Zeng sphere. Its appearance in Marquis Yi’s tomb may reflect eastern influence. A set of eight pedestaled gui discovered in the tomb of Marquis Zhao of Cai (r. 518–491 BCE) at Shouxian in Anhui province suggests a roughly contemporaneous intermediary source for the form, for the Cai state had ties to states further east. The Cai and the Zeng vessels share specific features — in particular, the square opening on the pedestal and the petaled knob on the lid; the traditional masked handles of the Cai gui, however, are replaced in this example by ser-
pentine creatures in a style that is characteristically Zeng. By the fourth century, pedestaled gui had become part of the Chu repertoire of ritual vessels and endured as a form until the state’s demise in 223 BCE.¹

The gui, its pedestal, and lid were originally inlaid with turquoise, a few fragments of which still survive in the cast-in arabesques and abstract bird shapes. The practice of inlaying bronze vessels with other materials began in the late seventh or early sixth century BCE; inlays were primarily copper during the early period, and sparing use was made of such decoration. This vessel, by contrast, in which turquoise was used lavishly to cover the entire surface, is characteristic of the exuberant decorative scheme of many of Marquis Yi’s food vessels (see cat. 94). CM

¹ Excavated in 1978 (C 108); reported: Hubei 1989, 1:207–209, and 2: pls. 58:1–2. Inscribed on both the inside wall of the vessel and on the lid: ‘Marquis Yi of Zeng commissioned [this vessel]; may he possess and use it for eternity.’

² For a discussion of vessel sets, see Yu and Gao 1978–1979; Rawson and Bunker 1990, 37–38.

³ See, for example, the set of nine ding and li and seven gui from Jingshan Songhequ Sujialong. Hubei 1972, 47–53 and pls. 9–10.

⁴ See, for example, the gui from Shouxian Zhujiaji Lisangdui, illustrated in So 1995, 70, fig. 128.
Bronze *dou* vessel inlaid with turquoise

Height 26.4 (10 1/16 in), diam. at mouth 20.6 (8 1/8 in)
Warring States Period (c. 433 BCE)
From Leigudun, Suixian, Hubei Province
Hubei Provincial Museum, Wuhan

Bowl-shaped forms with a domed lid on a tall stem are conventionally termed *dou*, while flatter, dish-shaped forms lacking a lid are termed *bian* or *fu*. In the *Zhou li* (*Rites of Zhou*) the *dou* is defined as a container for sauces, while the *bian* is a container for dried meats.¹ In the *Yi li* (*Book of ceremonial*) they are often listed following one another, indicating that they performed related functions.² This is confirmed by the placement in the tomb of the *dou*³ next to two *bian*.

Although the ritual texts indicate that stemmed vessels were indispensable components of a complete ritual repertoire of forms, they were only intermittently fashionable in bronze, and it was in ceramic, wood, or basketry that they were more popular. Bronze forms often show a dependence on ceramic, wood, or basketry models. Flat, dishlike forms became fashionable during the Late Western Zhou period, but grew rarer and eventually disappeared in the course of the Early Eastern Zhou period. A more rounded form with a domed lid, imitative of ceramic examples, began to appear during the sixth century in the north and was popular throughout the fifth century. In the Chu sphere, on the other hand, bronze stemmed vessels are rare in the sixth and fifth centuries BCE.⁴ None was found in the Xiasi tombs, and only three — this *dou* and two *bian* — were present in Marquis Yi’s tomb. By contrast, twenty-three lacquered *dou* were found in the tomb, and it is in this material, rather than bronze, that the *dou* form is usually found in the
Chu sphere. The marquis’ bronze *dou*, however, does not closely match the wooden *dou* from the tomb and seems instead to have been based on northern bronze *dou*.

Unlike most of the marquis’ bronzes, this *dou* has retained much of its turquoise inlay.\(^5\) The decoration on the bowl of the piece consists of stylized pairs of addorsed birds with reverted heads, identifiable by the eyes at the top of the frieze and claws at the bottom. These evoke the design schemes on Early to Middle Western Zhou period bronzes, and may have been a deliberate revival of the older style. CM

---

2 See, in particular, the Pin li (Education of a mission) in Yi li, Yang 1982, chap. 21.
3 Excavated in 1978 (C. 194); reported: Hubei 1989, i: 211–212, fig. 111:2, and 2: pl. 592–5. Inscribed inside the bowl and the lid: “Marquis Yi commissioned [this vessel]; may he possess and use it for eternity.”
4 A pair of bronze *dou* were excavated from the tomb of Marquis Zhao of Cai (r. 578–491 BCE) in Shoushan, but they are not very close in form to the Marquis Yi example. See Anhui 1956, pl. 6:4.
5 So 1995, 51, plausibly suggests that the fine intaglio bordering lines may originally have held a metallic inlay.

---
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**Bronze zun-pan vessels**

*zun*: height 30.1 (1 1/2), diam. at mouth 25 (9 3/4)

*pan*: height 23.5 (9 3/4), diam. at mouth 58 (22 3/4)

Warring States Period (c. first half of fifth century BCE)

From Leigudun, Suixian, Hubei Province

Hubei Provincial Museum, Wuhan

This remarkable composite vessel\(^1\) represents the culmination of the fashion for festooning ritual vessels with elaborate sculptural ornaments. This flamboyant style is characteristic of bronzecasting in the Chu sphere during the sixth and fifth centuries BCE and stands in contrast to the simpler profiles of vessels preferred in northern regions such as the Jin state.\(^2\)

Beneath the encrustations of ornament lie two vessel types whose functions, according to the ritual commentaries, were unrelated: a *pan* basin (conventionally used for ritual ablutions) and a *zun* goblet (used for libations). The consistent style of their decoration and fact that the vessels were found placed one inside the other suggest that they were nonetheless designed as a unit. While their placement in the tomb’s central chamber, near the wine vessels, suggests that they were wine containers, the mass of intricate and fragile decoration would have hindered any practical function; it would, in fact, have been impossible to pour liquid from the *zun*. The value of these vessels therefore probably lay less in their use in ritual than in their ornament.

Imaginary creatures, in astonishing profusion, clamber over the vessels: the authors of the excavation report counted more than one hundred and seventy “dragons” among the sculptural elements. On the large handles of the *zun*, they take the form of felines with reverted heads and lolling tongues; the beasts that clench the rim of the *pan* in their mouths seem more amphibian. A writhing energy animates all of these creatures, echoed in the fields of tiny curls that cover the walls of the two vessels.
As a tour de force of multiple casting, this piece stands unrivaled by any metalwork from the ancient world: the vessels themselves were cast using the traditional ceramic section-mold technique, modified to exploit the more recently invented pattern-block technology. Individually cast components were then soldered to the vessels and to each other using a tin-lead solder — fifty-six soldering points have been identified on the zun and forty-four on the pan. The heads, tongues, and bodies of the zun handle figures, for example, were all cast separately, then soldered together. They were attached to the body of the vessel by digging sockets into the appendages, which were fitted over tongues protruding from the vessel. Analysis of the solder used to fix the four monsters to the foot of the zun has established that it contained 53 percent tin, 41 percent lead, and 2 percent copper.

While many of the individual elements were probably cast using the traditional ceramic section-mold technique, the bands of openwork at the mouth of the zun and pan represented a much sterner challenge. This discontinuous “surface” of this multilayered openwork is formed of individual C- and S-curls, each supported by one or more stalks rising from a mesh below. The intricacy of this openwork would most certainly have required the use of a fusible model such as wax. The lost-wax method of casting had been used in China’s border regions as early as the Shang period, but it began to be exploited for vessel ornaments only in the seventh century BCE. The technique was most advanced in the Chu state, as demonstrated by a mid-sixth-century BCE vessel stand (jin) that makes extensive use of the technique. The delicacy of the filigree work on the zun-pan, however, far surpasses that on the jin and represents the apogee of lost-wax casting as an ornamental technique.

While the vessel would undoubtedly have been valued for its technical virtuosity, it is likely that the ornamentation held symbolic meanings as well. The clambering amphibian figures with bifurcated tails that clench the rim of the pan in their jaws seem to derive from the serpent-devouring-frog motif common on bronzes south of the Yangzi River; such figures occur intermittently in Chu woodcarving as well. Although the creatures cannot be identified with any zoological or iconographic certainty, they can be read as three-dimensional counterparts of the creatures painted on the sides of Marquis Yi’s coffin; these undoubtedly fulfilled a religious role.

The vessel is one of a small number of bronzes from the tomb that were apparently not made for Marquis Yi himself. Beneath the inscription in the pan that identifies the object as commissioned by the marquis, an earlier, partly erased inscription names a different Zeng figure — Marquis Yu —
generally believed to have been one of Marquis Yi’s predecessors (probably his father or grandfather). The vessel thus probably dates from the first half of the fifth century BCE and was an heirloom when it entered Marquis Yi’s tomb.

The early date of the piece may explain how two vessels of unrelated ritual function came to be combined into a single unit. Although no precedent for this combination (nor even for the zun itself as a vessel type) is known from the Chu or Zeng repertoire of forms, a zun-pan set has been excavated from the tomb of Marquis Zhao of Cai (r. 518–491 BCE), a small state located between Zeng and the southeastern states of Wu and Yue. The Cai pan and zun bear virtually identical inscriptions stating that they were made for the dowry of a Cai princess on her marriage to a Wu monarch; the inscriptions imply that the objects were made to function as a unit. Although no zun-pan combinations have been found in Wu territory, single pan and zun were extremely important there well into the Eastern Zhou period; in fact, as a number of scholars have pointed out, this was the only region where zun survived after the middle of Western Zhou period.

It seems likely, therefore, that the idea of combining pan and zun into a single, composite unit first arose in the Cai or the Wu state and that the idea was then briefly taken up in the Zeng state. However, the combination does not seem to have taken hold, either in the Zeng or the Chu state. The only other bronze vessel that could tentatively be advanced as a derivative of Marquis Yu’s zun-pan is a vessel from a late-fourth-century BCE hoard at Yuyi Nanyaozhuang in Jiangsu province. Although it is conventionally identified as a hu, the vessel has four zoomorphic handles (unusual in a hu) reminiscent of Marquis Yu’s zun, and a strange, dishlike foot that may be a vestige of the pan, now fused with the zun into a single vessel.

---

1. Excavated in 1978 (C 38); reported Hubei 1989, 1228 – 234; fgs. 127 – 128 and 2, color pl. 10 and plates 69 – 74. The neck of the zun is incised with the inscription “Marquis Yi commissioned [this vessel]; may he possess it and use it for eternity.” An inscription cast into the inside of the pan reads “The [] vessel of Marquis Yu of Zeng.” A later incised inscription, partly obliterating the original one, reads “Marquis Yi commissioned [this vessel]; may he possess and use it for eternity.”

2. For a discussion of the origins of this style, see So 1983, 64 – 71; Rawson 19873, 49 – 52; Mackenzie 1991, 132 – 141; and So 1995, 21 – 36.

3. The pattern-block technique differed from traditional casting methods in that an ornament was pressed into sections of clay, which were then set into the interior of the vessel mold. For a full discussion of this technique, see Bagley 1995, 46 – 54. For a discussion of the use of pattern blocks in Zeng bronzes, see So 1995, 52 – 53.


5. For a discussion of the lost-wax method of casting in China, see Bagley 1987, 44 – 45; So 1980, 266; and Mackenzie 1991, 136 – 139.

6. The jin was excavated from Tomb 2 at Xiasi, Xichuan Xian, southern Henan province. See Henan 1991, 126 – 128, fig. 104, and pl. 49.


10. For a discussion of these pieces, see foreword by Tang Lan to Wu Sheng 1958, and pl. 45 (zun) and pl. 50 (pan), 3 – 4.

11. The only other zun recovered from an Eastern Zhou period Chu site is an unprepossessing funerary ceramic, completely devoid of decoration, from Tomb 2 (fourth century BCE) at Changtaiguan, Xinyang, Henan province. See Henan 1986, pl. 98.8.
Pair of bronze hu jars with stand

hu: height 99 (39)
stand: height 13.2 (5 1/4), width 117.5 (46 1/4), depth 13.2 (5 1/4)
Warring States Period (c. 433 BCE)
From Leigudun, Suisian, Hubei Province
Hubei Provincial Museum, Wuhan

With its highly articulated profile, sharp angles, and heavy appendages, this monumental set of ritual wine vessels epitomizes an architectonic approach to form characteristic of many of Marquis Yi’s bronze vessels. Two lidded hu, with detachable “crowns,” fit into circular openings in the stand (jin). Horizontal ridges inside the vessels are evidence that each was cast in three pours of metal. The vessel handles and the supports for the stand were cast separately and attached with a tin-lead solder.

The set was found in the central chamber, next to the jian-fou wine coolers (cat. 97) and the zun-pan (cat. 95). Depictions of ritual scenes on fifth-century BCE pictorial bronze vessels show hu, with ladles, placed on stands or low altars; descriptions of hu in the Yi li (Book of ceremonial) also prescribe ladles and jin as part of the ritual paraphernalia. It seems possible that the ladles placed on the
adjacent jian-fou would have been used to decant the wine from the hu into the jian. Three other bronze jin are known, including a famous example with openwork decoration from Xiasi Tomb 2, but the vessel from Marquis Yi’s tomb is the only example found with its associated hu. Jin were probably made of less costly materials, such as stone, ceramic, or (in the Chu state and its sphere) lacquered wood. Its appearance here in bronze exemplifies the extravagant use of the material in the marquis’ ritual paraphernalia.

Antecedents of these hu can be traced back to the Middle Western Zhou period, when paired hu began to displace wine vessels such as zun. During the Early Eastern Zhou period, hu were particularly favored in the Chu and Zeng states, where they were cast on a monumental scale and assumed a more articulated profile. By the seventh century BCE, monster-shaped handles had replaced the traditional mask design, and decorative straps (which appear on examples dating from the Western Zhou period) had become more prominent; celebrated examples from Xiasi and Xinzhe exemplify this trend. The vessels from the tomb of Marquis Yi differ from these immediate predecessors in two respects: circular sections (which may derive from Western Zhou examples) substitute for the rectangular outline, and the zoomorphic feet traditionally associated with the hu are here transferred to the jin.

The most impressive decorative feature of the hu are the large handles in the form of sinuous monsters whose heads and tails sprout antler forms. Antlered monster figures appear on Xiasi and Xinzhe hu, and these creatures seem to be descendants of such figures. Belief in the magical efficacy of antlers seems to have been particularly important in the Chu sphere, where they were a prominent feature of carved wood tomb guardians (see cat. 100). The antlers decorating this vessel were no doubt intended to enhance the ritual aura of the hu. The textured surface of the hu, on close inspection, reveals a dazzling number of small fantastical creatures.

1 Excavated in 1978 (C 132, 133, 135); reported: Hubei 1989, 1:219 – 222, figs. 119 – 120 and 2: pls. 63 – 64. A cast inscription inside the neck of each hu reads “Marquis Yi of Zeng commissioned [this vessel]; may he possess and use it for eternity.”
2 According to the excavation report (Hubei 1989, 1:221), the neck and foot were cast first, then joined to the belly.
3 Hu vessels are depicted on a yi from Changbhi Fenshuling in Shanxi province, a dou in the Walters Art Gallery, Baltimore, and a hu in the Musée Guimet, Paris: Weber 1973, figs. 210, 666, and 676. In each case, the ladle is depicted floating above the hu. Hu with ladles are described in the Xiang Yin (District Symposium) section of the Yi If. See also Steele 1937 (1966 repr.), 52.
4 See Henan 1991, pl. 49.
5 A square, lacquered wood jin found in the central chamber of Marquis Yi’s tomb may have been a stand for dou rather than hu; a rectangular table that may have originally held lacquered hu was found in the northern chamber (Hubei 1989, 1:274 – 276, figs. 233 – 234). Both examples have legs taller than those of the bronze version. Another version in lacquered wood has been found in the fourth-century BCE Tianxingguan Tomb 1 at Jiangling; see Hubei 1982, 102, fig. 26 and pl. 22:5.
8 See Guan 1929, 1: pl. 38.
9 The combination of the hu’s rounded forms with a rectangular stand may have reflected cosmological concerns. The L-shaped raised borders on the stand echo similar L-shapes used as part of a cosmological diagram on the lacquered wood clothes-chests in the Marquis Yi’s tomb. See Hubei 1989, 1:237, fig. 217.
10 The complexity and undercutting of the antler forms indicate that they were cast using the lost-wax method.
Bronze *jian-fou* cooler and ladle

*jian*: height 65 (24 7/8)

*fou*: height 51.8 (20 1/2)

ladle: length 84 (33)

Warring States Period (c. 433 BCE)

From Leigudun, Suixian, Hubei Province

The National Museum of Chinese History, Beijing

*Houston and San Francisco only*

A pair of *jian-fou* were found in the central chamber of the tomb next to the other vessels associated with the serving of wine — the *zun-pan* (cat. 95), the pair of large *hu* (cat. 96), and the filter (cat. 98). Lying across the top of each *jian-fou* was a large ladle (*shaq*) used to extract the wine. Each weighing approximately 170 kilograms, the *jian-fou* are the largest of the Marquis Yi ritual vessels (fig. 1), and the impression of immense mass is accentuated by the top-heavy profile of the vessels: large slabs overhanging the edges of the vessels bear down on the heads of the serpentine handles, while the small creatures that support the vessels appear to sag under the weight of their burden.

Each comprises an outer vessel (*jian*) and a much smaller inner vessel (*fou*). A removable grate with a square opening holds the neck of the *fou*. The base of the *fou* is secured at the bottom by L-shaped prongs that protrude from the inside of the *jian*’s base and fit into square openings in the foot of the *fou*; a hinged lock on one of the prongs holds them in position (see fig. 1). The composite form of these vessels suggests that they were used to cool wine by filling the space between the two containers with ice. The provision of ice for cooling wine seems to have been important in ceremonies and banquets during the summer months. A passage in the *Zhou li* (Rites of Zhou) refers to the
 provision of a "jian for ice," and several passages in the Chu ci (Songs of Chu) refer to cool wine.

The idea of combining jian basins and fou into composite wine coolers developed during the sixth century BCE, probably in the south. Basins were a common form of vessel from the beginning of the Eastern Zhou period; by the early sixth century their size had increased dramatically, sometimes approaching 60 centimeters in diameter. Although the basins probably fulfilled a variety of functions, the discovery of a jian and fou placed side by side in a mid-sixth-century BCE Chu tomb suggests that by this time the two types were already on occasion used in combination, and by the end of the century the association had become formalized. In the tomb of Marquis Zhao of Cai at Shouxian in Anhui province, two paired, square basins were found with matching square-sectioned fou placed inside them.
Since no earlier square *jian-fou* are known, it seems likely that the Cai versions inspired the basic form of the Marquis Yi *jian-fou*. Once adopted into the Zeng repertoire of vessels, this essentially plain vessel-type was transformed by the addition of heavy appendages — elaborate zoomorphic handles and feet and angular outcrops over the rim — into a much more elaborate form consonant with the Chu-Zeng style. Certain aspects of this style may derive from woodcarving techniques: a lacquered wood *jin* stand from the central chamber exhibits the same contrast between sinuous zoomorphic form and the angularity of the outcrops present on the *jian-fou*. These blocks may have been purely decorative features, but it is also possible that they possessed some as-yet unrecognized symbolic significance.

1 Excavated in 1978 (C 139); reported: Hubei 1989, 1:223 – 228, figs. 122 – 125, and 2: color pl. 9:3 – 4, pls. 66 – 68; for the ladle (C 178), see Hubei 1989, 1:235 – 236, fig. 157:1 and color pl. 9:3 and pl. 66. Inscriptions incised on the interior of the *jian* (outer vessel) and *fou* (inner vessel) read: “Marquis Yi commissioned this article; may he possess and use it for eternity.” The same inscription, much more elegantly written and probably cast rather than incised, appears on the interior of the ladles.

2 Lin 1983, 55.

3 See Tomb M 3 at Xichuan Xiasi. Henan 1991, 213, fig. 156, nos. 19 (*jian*) and 21 – 22 (*zun-fou*).

4 Rings on the inside of each wall of the *jian* may have served to secure the *fou* in some way or may have supported a grating on which the ice was placed. Similar rings appear on the inside of two *jian* cast for King Guang of Wu also found in the Cai tomb, indicating that these also were intended to be used with internal vessels. See Anhui 1956, pl. 15:3.

5 The L-shaped corners of a cosmological diagram on a lacquered wooden clothes chest from Marquis Yi’s tomb are tantalizingly reminiscent of the corner blocks on the *jian-fou* and *jin* (see Hubei 1989, 2: pl. 121). On the chest, these L-shapes are clearly not decorative features but serve to delineate the shape of the cosmos. On another chest, linked cruciform shapes are also reminiscent of the layout of the top of the *jian-fou* and *jin* (Hubei 1989, 2: pl. 124:1).
Bronze filter

Height 88.5 (34 3/4)
Warring States Period (c. 433 BCE)
From Leigudun, Suixian, Hubei Province
Hubei Provincial Museum, Wuhan

This unusual object consists of a triangular funnel clenched in the mouth of a monster-head, which forms the top of the stand; a curled monster in profile forms the base. The funnel itself is undecorated; twelve small holes are cast in the bottom, and two loop handles are soldered to the side of the funnel opposite the monster-head. The funnel shape and the holes — as well as the object’s placement in the central chamber next to the square jian-fou wine coolers — suggest that it was intended to strain wine or medicinal potions (possibly in conjunction with a cloth liner). Although no other bronze versions are known, a small triangular funnel woven in bamboo was found in a late fourth-century BCE Chu tomb at Baoshan near Jingmen (Hubei province).

The frequent mention of spiced wine in the Chu ci (Songs of Chu) implies that straining filters would have been in common use; a passage in the Zhao hun (Summons of the soul) explicitly refers to the straining of wine:

Jadelike wine, honey-flavoured, fills the winged cups;
Ice-cooled liquor, strained of impurities, clear wine, cool and refreshing;
Here are laid out the painted ladles, and here is the sparkling wine.²

¹ Excavated in 1978 (C 23); reported: Hubei 1989, 1:234 – 235, figs. 131 – 132; and 2: pl. 75:2 – 4. Inscribed at the top of the stand: “Commissioned by the Marquis Yi of Zeng for his use.”
² Hawkes 1985, 228.
Bronze brazier, charcoal shovel, and dustpan

brazier: height 14 (5 3/4), diam. 43.8 (17 1/2)
shovel: length 38.6 (15 1/2)
dustpan: length 29.0 (11 3/4)

Warring States Period (c. 433 BCE)
From Leigudun, Suixian, Hubei Province
Hubei Provincial Museum, Wuhan

The shovel (chan) and dustpan (qi) were discovered stacked inside the brazier (lu), which was placed in the central chamber between the two large huo ding (cat. 92). From this placement, it seems possible that the brazier was actually used to cook the contents of the ding. Chain handles on either side of the brazier would have allowed it to be lifted while the fuel was alight.

An inlaid copper scroll of avian forms encircles the sides of the brazier, and a similar scroll, incorporating dragonlike figures, decorates the shovel. In both cases, the inlay was cast separately and affixed to the vessel mold’s interior; molten bronze was then poured in to form the object. The shape and decoration of the dustpan faithfully imitate basketwork, the conventional medium for such implements: an example in bamboo from the Chu state was found in Tomb 2 at Baoshan near Jingmen in Hubei province.

A perforated shovel found in the tomb of Fu Hao at Anyang may have been used for charcoal and suggests that braziers may have been in use, although not consigned to tombs, as early as the Shang period. Examples begin to appear in tombs during the sixth century BCE, and their forms are particularly prominent in the south and southeast. The earliest known example is a brazier from Lijialou, Xinzheng in Henan province identified with the Chu prince Yingci, who was active during the first decades of the sixth century BCE. The decoration of that example is closely related to styles popular in the southeast. A brazier excavated from Jing’an Shuikou in Jiangxi province is in-
scribed with the name of King Yichu of Xu, who is mentioned in the *Zuo zhuan* (Chronicle of Zuo) in the sixth year of Zhao Gong (536 BCE). All this evidence suggests that bronze braziers may have been a southeastern invention. By the fifth and fourth centuries BCE, however, braziers are common elements of tomb paraphernalia in both north and south. A brazier from a Chu state tomb at Xinyang Changtaiguan, whose form resembles that of the Marquis Yi example, still contained charcoal.

---

1. Excavated in 1978 (C 166-168); reported: Hubei 1989, 1:244–247, figs. 1421, 1422–3, 1:47–144, and 2: pl. 811–3. Inscribed on the base of the brazier, the handle of the shovel, and the mouth of the dustpan: "Marquis Yi of Zeng commissioned [this article]; may he possess and use it for eternity."


3. See Hubei 1991, 2: pl. 531. A bronze dustpan (not, however, imitative of basketwork) was also found in the tomb (pl. 34).

4. See Zhongguo 1980b, pl. 632–3. A bronze shovel was discovered in a mid-seventh-century BCE tomb at Pingxi near Xinyang in southern Henan province. See Xinyang 1989b, 22, fig. 4:5.

5. See Guan 1929, i: pl. 54. For a discussion of the Xinzheng bronzes and their dating, see So 1995, 22 and 422.

6. See Guan 1929, i: pl. 54. For a discussion of the Xinzheng bronzes and their dating, see So 1995, 22 and 422.

7. See Jiangxi 1980, 15–15, pl. 22–3. Like the example from Marquis Yi’s tomb, the brazier was accompanied by a perforated shovel. An Early Eastern Zhou period dish with openwork sides from Tunxi Yiqi M5 (implausibly identified as a pan in Chinese publications) may be an early form of such braziers. See Anhui 1987, no. 39.

8. A brazier was excavated from an early fifth-century BCE tomb at Gushi Houguudui in southern Henan province. See Gushi 1981, pl. 2:4. For a brazier from Jixian Shanbaozhen in Henan province, see Guo Baojun 1981, pl. 821. A brazier with chain handles, dated to the late sixth century BCE, was excavated from Changzhi Fenshuiling Tomb 26: see Shanxi 1964, 120, fig. 93. For fourth-century BCE Chu examples from Baoshan and Jiangling, see Hubei 1991, 2, pl. 34:4, and Hubei 1996, pl. 13:4.

Bronze cranelike figure with deer antlers

Height 143.5 (56¼), base: width 45 (17¾), depth 41.4 (16¼)
Warring States Period (c. 433 BCE)
From Leigudun, Suixian, Hubei Province
Hubei Provincial Museum, Wuhan

Washington only

The bronze sculpture, conventionally identified as an antlered crane,1 is composed of eight separately cast elements: a nearly square stand, two legs, two wings, a body that extends into a small head, and two antlers. The stand, which resembles the lid of a vessel, has four rings, one on each side, probably intended to attach the stand to another object or to hold it in position.

The surface decoration of the object has many affinities with the style of several other bronzes cast for the marquis.2 The antlers, head, neck, and front part of the body, as well as the legs, are decorated with triangles, scrolls, and volutes. These incised motifs are inlaid with gold, much like those on a bronze stand for stone chimes (qing) found at Leigudun.3 The body and the upper part of the wings are covered with relief comma patterns; the rims of the wings were inlaid with turquoise, most of which is now lost. The stand is decorated in abstract motifs in low relief and was originally inlaid with semiprecious stones. All of the object’s ornamental motifs are in fact characteristic of fifth-century BCE bronze decoration — with an important exception: four tiny snakes, cast in the round, that hold the rings at the base of the object. Fourteen bronzes from the tomb of Marquis Yi are decorated with the same ornament, which is otherwise almost unknown in the Chinese bronze repertoire.4 The object’s composite ornamentation therefore reflects the varying artistic trends of the Chu kingdom, as well as a close association with the Chu royal bronze foundries of the fifth century BCE.

While often identified as a crane, the figure incorporates elements of other animals: two snake-like dragons emerge from the bird’s rounded sides
to hold the wings, and a crown of deer antlers emerges from its head. Wooden sculpture of antlered creatures often served as tomb guardians in Chu culture; the antlers of this figure and its placement next to the double coffin of the marquis have prompted many specialists to identify the object as an auspicious creature intended to protect the tomb and its owner from evil spirits.5

Wooden sculpture of long-necked birds, sometimes crowned with antlers, resting on tigers were common in the Chu kingdom from the fifth century to the end of the fourth century BCE. They were carved for use in funerary ritual, generally as drum stands.6 Although the shape of the present sculpture differs somewhat from the wooden models carved by the Chu craftsmen (or at least from known, published examples [see fig. 1]), its mortise-and-tenon joinery links the object to Chu wooden sculpture.

This object was probably a drum stand.7 The antlers have a decidedly unnatural round shape, while their tips and the beak of the bird are approx-
imatically equidistant from one another; rings passing through the beak and the tips of the antlers would likely have held a hanging drum. Moreover, a hanging drum with three rings, but without a stand, was discovered in the funerary chamber of the marquis' tomb; its dimensions (diam. 42 \([16\frac{1}{2}]\)) and the position of the rings attached to its wooden frame strongly suggest that this drum was originally suspended from the bronze sculpture.

The functional and the magical properties of this sculpture are by no means mutually exclusive: to the contrary, the complex iconography that melds various animals into a hybrid being probably reflected local beliefs, while the sound produced by the beating drum would have animated this supernatural being.  

---

2 Thote 1987, 49.  
4 Three ding tripods with snakes holding movable rings were found in Tomb 1 at Hougudui, Gushi, southern Henan province. None have been published to date.  
5 Hubei 1989, 1:250; Li Xueqin 1979b, no. 24; Rawson 1996, 157. It has also been suggested that the bird was placed in the tomb to accompany the spirit of the marquis through the afterlife. See Goepper 1995, no. 62.  
6 See Hubei 1996a, 91, fig. 62 (Tomb 1 at Wangshan, Jiangling, Hubei province); Hubei 1995, 304, 309, fig. 206 (four drum stands at Jiudian, Jiangling, Hubei province); Henan 1986, 93-94, 96, fig. 67 (Tomb 2 at Changtaiguan, Xinyang, Henan province); Hubei 1984a, 105, 108 and 106, fig. 82; 112, fig. 90 (fifteen drum stands and six sculptures at Yutai, Jiangling, Hubei province). See also Chen 1980a.  
7 Thote 1987.
Bronze drum stand

Height 54 (21¼), diam. 80 (31½)
Warring States Period (c. 433 BCE)
From Leigudun, Suixian, Hubei Province
Hubei Provincial Museum, Wuhan

Washington only

Constructed of a central tube linked to a ring base by an openwork web, this drum stand shows eight pairs of writhing and twisting serpentine dragons (cast in the round and originally inlaid with turquoise), over whose torsos, tails, and heads smaller dragons clamber in great profusion. The excavators have identified twenty-two separately cast sections, linked together by casting-on and by soldering with copper and a tin-lead alloy.

A pole inserted into the tube of the stand originally supported a barrel-shaped drum 106 centimeters wide and approximately 90 centimeters in diameter, made of maple (pterocarya stenoptera). (The pole had broken, and the drum had fallen to the floor of the tomb.) The drum originally stood approximately 1 meter above the stand, and the pole protruded a further 1.5 meters above the drum. Together with the drum itself and the stand, the total height of the assemblage would have been almost 4 meters. Positioned at the end of the shorter arm of the bell rack, the drum clearly formed part of the ritual orchestra. It has been plausibly identified with the jian gu, or “supported drum,” mentioned both in the Zhou li (Rites of Zhou) and the Yi li (Book of ceremonial), the latter in connection with the Great Archery Contest (Da she).

This type of drum is probably descended from barrel-shaped drums, supported on small feet or low stands, in use as early as the Shang period. The ghost of a barrel-shaped drum, preserved in the earth in Houjiazhuang tomb HPKM 1217, clearly
ponent of the rituals is confirmed by their depiction in conjunction with bell-chimes and stone chimes on a number of pictorial vessels from the north and west. A lacquered wood box in the form of a duck found in the western chamber of Marquis Yi’s tomb (cat. 107) depicts a figure playing a hafted drum (jian gu).

Only two other drum stands are known — one from the Leigudun Tomb 2 (possibly the tomb of Marquis Yi’s consort or of a close descendant) and another from a late sixth- or early fifth-century BCE tomb at Shucheng Jiulidun in Anhui province. Composed of intertwined serpents (like the marquis’ drum stand), the Jiulidun stand suggests that drums may have had a particular association with serpents. Although the frequent depiction of the jian gu on the pictorial vessels, as well as its mention in the ritual texts, indicate that the instrument was widely used, the fact that all known examples of bronze drums or drum stands are associated with the south probably reflects not only the plentiful supplies of copper and tin in the region, which allowed a wider range of articles to be cast, but also the local prestige of the item itself.

1 Excavated in 1978 (C 67); reported: Hubei 1989, i: 152–154, fig. 68:1 and 2: pl. 43. Inscribed at mouth of center tube: “Commissioned by Marquis Yi of Zeng for his possession.”
2 Chap. 16 in Yi, Yang 1982.
3 Liang and Gao 1968, pl. 16.
4 See Bagley 1980, 123, for a discussion of these two drums.
6 Hubei 1985b, 16–36. 29, fig. 34 (M279) and pl. 33.

shows that the skin used for the tympanum was reptilian; the scales left a visible impression in the soil. The barrel drum may have been particularly important in the south, since the only two bronze versions known — one in the Sumitomo Collection and the other excavated from Wangjiazui Baini, Chongyang, in southern Hubei province — are of southern manufacture.

By the Eastern Zhou period, such drums were conventionally raised on hafts fixed into heavy bases. That drums constituted an important com-
Bronze triple ji halberd

Length (including spearhead and shaft) 325 (127 ¾)
Warring States Period (c. 433 BCE)
From Leigudun, Suixian, Hubei Province
Hubei Provincial Museum, Wuhan

This halberd consists of three bronze blades and a spearhead attached to a long haft. The blades vary slightly in length (the longest, excluding its tang, is 18.5 centimeters) and exhibit the slender, curving profiles characteristic of the period. Each blade extends down the haft approximately 15 centimeters. This lower part (hu) provided a firm attachment to the haft by means of thongs threaded through perforations in the hu and bound to the haft. The upper blade extends into a tang, which anchors the unit through a slot cut into the haft. The haft itself, ovular in cross section, is made of wood veneered in strips of bamboo bound with silk and coated in red and black lacquer. A horn ferrule is attached to the base of the haft.

This is one of thirty halberds found in the northern chamber, named in their inscriptions as ji. The term ji has been traditionally applied to halberds that include a spearhead, but as only three of these weapons have spearheads the evidence of this group suggests that ji may be more correctly defined as two or more blades attached to long hafts. The ji hafts average 3.3 meters in length — much longer than the single-bladed ge halberds (which average 1.3 meters) in the tomb. The greater reach of the ji suggests that it was a charioteer’s weapon; the shorter ge was the mainstay of footsoldiers.

Most of the ji from the tomb are inscribed with names other than those of the tomb’s occupant (Yue is the most common, followed by Yu); these are generally believed to be names of Marquis Yi’s predecessors.  

1 Excavated in 1978 (N 159); reported: Hubei 1989, 1:264, fig. 154 and 2: pl. 90:1–2.
2 Hubei 1989, 1: 460.
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Bronze shu spear

Overall length 328 (129 ¼%), length of blade 11 (4 ¼ %)
Warring States Period (c. 453 BCE)
From Leigudun, Suixian, Hubei Province
Hubei Provincial Museum, Wuhan

The northern chamber of Marquis Yi’s tomb contained seven spearlike weapons; inscriptions on three of the objects identify them as shu. They are distinguishable from conventional spears (mao) by a number of characteristics: the blades of conventional mao have a flat leaf-shaped profile; this weapon exhibits a tri-star cross section similar to that of arrowheads of the period; a thick collar, decorated with interlaced serpents, encircles the blade at the base, paired by a similar collar further down the haft. These collars would have slowed the weapon had it been used as a projectile and suggest that the shu was more likely a thrusting or slashing weapon. Mao hafts are conventionally made of plain wood and circular in cross section; shu hafts, by contrast, are octagonal in cross section and veneered with bamboo strips—a feature that would have enhanced the resilience of the long haft when wielded laterally. The length of its haft suggests that the shu, like the ji (cat. 102), was primarily a charioteer’s weapon.

Shu are mentioned in several classical Chinese texts, including the Zhou li (Rites of Zhou) and the Shi jing (Classic of poetry); commentaries on these texts indicate that the shu lacked a blade: fourteen long poles with bronze ferrules found in the tomb’s north chamber seem to correspond to those descriptions. The bladed form seems to have been a relatively rare variant of the shu, associated particularly with the southern states of Chu, Zeng, and Cai. Two weapons with features that closely resemble this example—a tri-star blade, octagonal socket, and separate collar—were excavated from the tomb of Marquis Zhao of Cai (r. 538–491 BCE) at Shouxian in Anhui province.

2 An array of long spikes that springs from the collar of one of the shu would have made it a formidable weapon when wielded laterally. See Hubei 1989, 1:292, fig. 178:3.
3 The discussion that follows is based on the excavation report. See Hubei 1989, 1:293–295.
4 Hubei 1989, 1:295. Two types of shu are listed in the bamboo slips buried in the tomb: seven shu and nine jin shu. The report suggests that the bladed shu should be identified with the shu and the bladeless form with the jin shu.
5 Anhui 1956, 11 and pl. 22:4–5. A variant of this type, distinguished by a row of spikes at the base of the blade, was found in a late sixth-century BCE Chu tomb at Gaotianzang, Dangyang county, Hubei province (Hubei 1988, 482–483, fig. 30, pl. 18:3). This type seems to have been the precursor of a shu with long spikes found in Marquis Yi’s tomb (Hubei 1989, 1:292, fig. 178:3).
Two bronze mat weights

Height 8.0 (3 ⅜), diam. 11.8 (4 ⅞)
Warring States Period (c. 475 BCE)
From Leigudun, Suixian, Hubei Province
Hubei Provincial Museum, Wuhan

These are two of a set of four weights (zhen) found in the eastern chamber of Marquis Yi's tomb. Each depicts eight intertwined dragons in high relief. Attached to the arched body of one dragon is a ring handle; circular sockets between the bodies of the dragons would originally have held inlay. The high degree of undercutting of the dragons' bodies implies that the weights were cast using the lost-wax method.

These weights were probably used to secure mats woven of bamboo or reed. Remains of mats have been frequently found in Chu tombs and clearly constituted an indispensable household article. Carved stone reliefs from the Han period show figures seated on low platforms or on what seem to be mats placed on the floor. Mats are often mentioned in the Yi li (Book of ceremonial) as part of the paraphernalia of ceremonials, and their correct placement in ritual use seems to have been a matter of some concern.

These are the earliest bronze zhen recovered. They exemplify the broadening scope of the bronzecaster, who increasingly was commissioned to produce not only ritual vessels and weapons but everyday articles as well. A number of similarly shaped bronze objects have been found in tombs of the Warring States and Han periods, and the Chu ci (Songs of Chu) makes reference to "weights of white jade with which to hold the mats." It is unlikely, however, that jade mat weights existed outside the imagination of poets; all examples of such objects recovered thus far are of bronze or lead.

2 See Hubei 1989, 1:387, fig. 241:4, and 2: pl. 146. Six mats were found in the fourth-century BCE Chu Tomb 1 at Xinyang Changhai in southern Henan province; most were about one meter wide and bound with silk at the edges. See Henan 1986, pl. 68:1–3.
3 A pair of domed-shaped, bronze objects, 8.6 (3 ⅜) wide, with ring handles was excavated from Tomb 1 at Shihui Niaodanshan in Guangdong province. See He 1985, 362, fig. 3:8. A set of four square weights filled with lead was found in a tomb at Beiling Songshan near Zhaoping in Guangdong province. See Guangdong 1974, 73, fig. 15. Four zhen in the form of leopards inlaid with gold, silver, and agate were excavated from the tomb of Dou Wan (d. c. 137 BCE) at Mancheng. These have been identified as either paper or mat weights. Zhongguo 1983, 1:265 and 2: color pl. 26.
Gold zhan bowl and bi spoon

*zhan*: height 11.0 (4%), diam. at mouth 15.1 (5%)
*bi*: length 15 (5%)
Warring States Period (c. 433 BCE)
From Leigudun, Suixian, Hubei Province
Hubei Provincial Museum, Wuhan

Unlike the bronze ritual vessels and bell chime, which were placed in the main chamber, this covered bowl and spoon were found with other gold objects in the tomb's eastern chamber.¹ The location suggests that these objects were not for ritual purposes, as were many of the objects placed in the chamber, but intended for the marquis' personal use and enjoyment. The spoon was found inside the bowl, indicating that they composed a set. Perforations in the bowl of the spoon suggest that it might have been used to scoop meat or vegetables from a broth or to serve grain.²

Unlike the majority of the ritual bronzes from the tomb, the vessel does not bear an inscription; nevertheless, certain aspects of the style indicate that it was cast in Zeng foundries. The S-shaped zoomorphic feet are simplified versions of the creatures that support some of the bronzes from the tomb, such as the *hu* (cat. 96). The decoration — dragon interlace on the bowl and squared spirals and rope twist on the cover — echoes that on a bronze *ding*, inscribed with Marquis Yi's name, from the tomb's central chamber.³

The spoon is made of electrum (87.45 percent gold and 12.55 percent silver), a naturally occurring alloy.⁴ The bowl has not been analyzed but is undoubtedly of similar composition. The thickness of the metal indicates that the piece was cast rather
than hammered from sheets, the conventional method of forming vessels in precious metals in other parts of the ancient world.\(^5\) Casting, whether using ceramic section-molds or the lost-wax method, requires a more extravagant use of metal than does hammering; the piece thus testifies to the application of traditional Chinese bronzecasting techniques to vessels in precious metals despite their relative wastefulness of the metal. Hammering was, however, commonly used to create precious-metal plaques. Over nine hundred such plaques, probably appliqués for armor,\(^6\) were found in Marquis Yi’s tomb, mostly in the northern chamber: Tomb 2 at Xiasi, Xichuan (sixth century BCE) contained similarly hammered precious-metal plaques. Solid gold vessels, on the other hand, are virtually unknown in pre-Han period China, presumably because of the material’s cost. The only other excavated piece is an oval bowl with jade handles from Tomb 306 (early fifth century BCE) at Shaoxing in Zhejiang province;\(^7\) the piece is considerably smaller, however, than Marquis Yi’s bowl.

Identification of this bowl as a *zhan* rests on its similarity to a bronze bowl-and-cover type identified in their inscriptions as *zhan* or *yu*.\(^8\) Such vessels were important in the Chu state and apparently were derived, over the course of the seventh century BCE, from *gui* grain containers. In its new form, the vessel assumed a more spherical shape; the *gui*’s massive handles were reduced in size, and the ring foot was replaced by three small zoomorphic legs. During the sixth century, these appendages were often cast in openwork, as exemplified by a *yu* of Chu Wang Xiong Shen (Gong Wang, r. 590 – 560 BCE);\(^9\) the elaborate openwork of these sixth-century examples shows that they were clearly important vessels, but it is not certain whether they continued to serve a ritual function during that period. The placement of a gold *zhan* in the central chamber of Marquis Yi’s tomb, as well as the absence of bronze forms of such vessels from the tomb’s central chamber, suggests that by the second half of the fifth century this ritual object had become private treasure. CM

---

1. Excavated in 1978 (E 2): reported: Hubei 1989, 1:390 – 392, figs. 242 – 243:1, and 2: color pl. 17. The objects were discovered beneath the marquis’ coffin near a gold goblet and two gold lids that may originally have covered vessels made of now-decomposed organic materials. Four gold belt hooks were found within the marquis’ inner coffin. See Hubei 1989, 1:392 – 393 and 399, figs. 243 – 244.
2. A similar openwork bronze spoon was found in Tomb 1 at Mashan in Jiangling Province. See Hubei 1985a, pl. 53:1.
5. Thomas Chase states that the piece was cast, although he cites no evidence for this (see Chase 1991, 31). For a discussion of casting versus hammering techniques, see Bagley 1987, 16 – 17.
7. See Jiangsu 1984, 10 – 28, pl. 52.
8. See the Chu Wang Xiong Shen *yu* (Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, Accession no. 199 - 165.244 - b) and the X *yu* Wei (?), *zhan* from Yidigang, Suixian: Cheng and Liu 1983, no. i, 75, fig. 3. See Tan and Bai 1986, no. 3, 58.
9. See also an example of this type from Tomb 2 at Xichuan Xiasi: Henan 1991, pl. 32.
Jade pendant in the shape of a sheathed dagger

Length 33.6 (13⅛), width 5.1 (2)
Warring States Period (c. 433 BCE)
From Leigudun, Suixian, Hubei Province
Hubei Provincial Museum, Wuhan

This unique belt pendant was found in the inner coffin of the marquis, placed next to his waist; it may have originally been attached to a belt of organic material, now decomposed. The object is composed of five thin jade slices approximately 0.5 centimeter thick, joined by metal clips to form a gently curved profile. Unlike some composite jades formed of movable parts joined by links, the clips that join these pieces do not allow the parts to swivel. The impression of silk weave visible on the clips indicates that the piece was originally in contact with silk.²

The most elaborate part of the object is the pommel, composed of two addorsed downward-facing dragons, whose foreheads and necks form the outer profiles of the piece. Their bodies join at the center in a broad U, and their claws form the top of the pommel. Three perforations give the impression of two eyes and a mouth joined to a nose; fine incising and striations decorate the object’s surface.

The hilt is formed by a plain narrow section, which widens to indicate the top of the scabbard. The middle element of the assemblage, slightly convex in cross section, has an integrally carved hook on the back, possibly to attach the object to a belt; the tongue projecting to the right may have been intended to represent a sword-guard.³ The assemblage terminates in a flaring section that anticipates jade scabbard chapes from the latter part of the Warring States period.⁴

This object raises intriguing (but as yet unanswerable) questions about the symbolic role of swords and daggers during the Eastern Zhou period. Short swords had been introduced from northwest regions during the Middle Western Zhou period; by the Early Eastern Zhou period, they were
in common use in the central plains area. In the southeast, swords developed independently—from short daggers that may themselves have developed out of spear blades. Swords associated with kings of the Wu state were widely known by the end of the sixth century BCE and were given as gifts to friendly neighboring states. In the Chu sphere, however, swords were rare until the fourth century BCE. It is noteworthy that no swords appear among the thousands of weapons contained in the tomb of Marquis Yi (although the soldier caryatids supporting the bell rack are depicted wearing swords). Moreover, this pendant’s curved profile seems to imitate not a sword but rather a type of curved knife with ring handle known as xiao. (There is no evidence, however, that such knives were furnished with scabbards.) This object may therefore represent a fusion of two different types: the curved knife familiar in Zeng and Chu territory and the more prestigious Wu sword, examples of which may not have been available to the marquis.

---

2 Hubei 1989, 1:421. The report does not state whether the clips are gold or bronze. The fact that they have corroded suggests the former.
3 It is not clear why the tongue projects only to one side. This section may be a partly recarved fragment of an existing blade.
4 Jessica Rawson observes that jade chapes were a relatively late addition to the repertoire of jade sword fittings. See Rawson 1995, 298, no. 218.
5 Only two swords were found among the Chu tombs at Xichuan, Xiasi. Excavated from the Late Warring States period Tomb M 11, they were probably imports. See Henan 1991, 306, fig. 535–57.
6 For a xiao from Marquis Yi’s tomb, see Hubei 1989, 2: pl. 84. The earliest surviving wooden scabbard was found in a seventh-century BCE tomb of a noble of the Huang state. It sheathed a straight sword rather than the curved knife that is represented by the Marquis Yi jade. See Xinyang 1989b, 26–32, figs. 5:4, 7, and pl. 3:4.
Lacquer is a natural substance extracted from a tree indigenous to the Far East, *Rhus verniciflua*, that grows in areas of up to five hundred meters in altitude with an average temperature of 8 to 20 degrees Celsius and an average annual rainfall of more than 60 millimeters. Even under ideal conditions, mature lacquer trees produce a very small quantity of the substance. Once collected, raw lacquer (a highly toxic substance) needs to be clarified and processed before being stored in airtight containers. Application of the lacquer to the underlying object and the drying period between coats require specific conditions of temperature (between 25 and 30 degrees Celsius) and humidity (between 75 and 85 percent). The processing of lacquer and manufacture of lacquerware thus required a succession of operations, and workshops included highly skilled and specialized craftsmen.

The two present-day provinces that compose the approximate geographic area of the Chu kingdom—Hunan and Hubei—have yielded an abundance of lacquerware dating back three centuries prior to the advent of the Qin empire in 221 BCE. Several major discoveries were made in the area during the twentieth century. In the 1950s, Hunan was celebrated for the splendid lacquerware discovered in uncontrolled digs around Changsha; some of these pieces are now in museums and private collections in the West. In the 1960s, a construction boom associated with Hubei’s rising population led to the discovery of several important sites in the Jiangling area; in the years since, hundreds of tombs (some of them very large) have been excavated.

Recovered objects, often perfectly preserved, have yielded a wealth of information about the development of lacquer techniques and decoration during what appears to have been the most important period in the evolution of this craft. The evidence suggests the development of two independent traditions in lacquerware—one in the Chu kingdom, the other in the Qin kingdom—prior to the formation of the empire.

Discoveries from Hunan and Hubei indicate that several categories of objects were lacquered to take advantage of specific properties of the substance—the decorative aspect of its glossy surface, its durability, its imperviousness to liquids, and its protective qualities. The contents of Marquis Yi’s tomb testify to the extensive and varied use of lacquer during the fifth century in domestic objects (containers and utensils for daily life, furniture), musical instruments (zithers, flutes, drums, mouth organs), weapons (shields, armor, halberd shafts), funerary items (coffins, carved wooden figures), chariots, and architectural elements. Lacquer was most often applied to a wood base, but also to leather and bronze. The range of colors—at least until the Han period—was limited; black and red lacquers, the latter made with cinnabar or a substitute, were the most common. Motifs painted with two additional colors—yellow and brown—begin to appear, however, on a few pieces of lacquerware from the fifth century BCE, including items from the tomb of Marquis Yi. The tomb evidence (in particular, cats. 107 and 111) also testifies to the development of pictorial subjects in lacquerwork by the fifth century BCE.

A succession of innovations during the fourth century furthered the exploitation of the decorative and technical properties of lacquer. Improvements in wood carving, together with
advances in joinery techniques, gave rise to the manufacture of highly refined objects (cat. 108). The invention of the lathe made it possible to create thin-walled, round containers, and a technique, developed in the fourth century BCE, of curving thinly sliced pieces of wood by exposing them to fire, hot water, or steam permitted the manufacture of delicate cylindrical shapes. In the fifth or fourth century BCE, lacquer artisans devised a process — the so-called dry-lacquer technique — for the manufacture of luxury objects: pieces of fabric, such as hemp, were joined over a clay model, and the cloth was coated with layers of lacquer, sometimes mixed with ash powder; after each coating, once the lacquer had dried, the surface was finely sanded, and the process repeated as many times as necessary to obtain a perfectly smooth finish. Finally, the use of new pigments extended the range of lacquer decoration. These were ground to a very fine powder, mixed with oil (rather than directly into the raw lacquer, which makes many colors turn black), and applied to the surface of the lacquerware. At least ten colors, including shades of orange, turquoise, and green (the latter two being particularly difficult to manufacture) were used to decorate a circular toilet box discovered in Tomb 2 at Baoshan.4 These innovations took place within a short period — a century or so — probably in response to patrons’ demands.5

Lacquerware developed its own aesthetic, and it had a profound influence on all the other applied arts from the same period, including bronzework (cat. 115). As the kingdoms and principalities strengthened their relations with one another through commercial exchange and military alliances during the fourth and third centuries BCE, cultural interactions and cross-influences developed to a far greater extent than previously — and particularly so in the arts and crafts. Comparisons of lacquerwork from Chu tombs in Hubei (before 278 BCE) and Hunan (fourth to third century BCE) with pieces from the Qin sites of Qingchuan in Sichuan (late fourth to early third century BCE) and Shuihudi (Yunmeng) in Hubei (c. mid-third to mid-second century BCE) illustrate the geographic development of lacquer craftsmanship.6 New production processes — in particular, the use of curved wood in bronze fittings and dry-lacquer techniques — seem to have been much more highly developed and widely used among the Qin than in Chu culture, where their application was limited to luxury items. AT

1 Regarding the processing of lacquer and the manufacture of lacquerware, see Garner 1979.
5 Thote 1990.
Duck-shaped painted lacquer he box

Height 16.5 (6 1/2), length 20.1 (7 3/8), width 12.5 (4 3/8)
Warring States Period (c. 433 BCE)
From the tomb of Zenghou Yi at Leigudun, Suixian, Hubei Province
Hubei Provincial Museum, Wuhan

Washington only

This box was discovered in the tomb's western chamber, which contained the remains of thirteen sacrificial victims resting in lacquered coffins. Compared to the contents of the other chambers, the objects buried with these young girls were both scant and modest, but this box is remarkable for the high quality of its execution and its unusual shape. Carved in the round, it is composed of three separate pieces: the bird's head and neck, the body (formed of two glued halves), and the lid of the box. Two openings are carved into the top: one to accommodate the rectangular lid; the other, cut at the front, within which the bird's head is secured by means of a tenon. Two small wooden nails anchor the tenon; they also allow the head to move left or right.

The entire surface of the piece is lacquered in black and decorated with paintings in red and yellow. The features of the bird are carefully detailed; the remaining decoration comprises an assortment of motifs largely unrelated to the representation of the bird itself—scales, zigzag lines, and dots. Panels on the sides of the object depict musicians. On one side, a human figure strikes a yongzhong chime bell with a long mallet; the bell is suspended (together with a larger bell and two chime stones) on a stand in the shape of two birds confronting each other. On the other side of the box, a warrior dances, while a musician plays a drum positioned on a vertical pole placed in an animal-shaped pedestal. The three figures represented in the images bear strange features: apparently naked, with disproportionately small heads, they may be men (perhaps shamans) wearing masks for ritual or ceremonial purposes, or, as seems more likely, supernatural beings.

The naturalistic sculptural representation of the object itself is somewhat at odds with the execution of its painted images. Ignoring correct proportions or otherwise lifelike representation, the artist's main concern seems to have been to create recognizable images within a small frame: accordingly, he emphasizes the most important details. The bird that supports the right side of the stand is represented in full, but only half of its
complement on the left is portrayed — we can guess the form of the second figure from the first. The musician’s long mallet is bent (rather than straight as it would have been in reality) to illustrate the musician striking the bell. Bells and chime stones are here suspended together on the same stand, a representation belied by archeological evidence: the Leigudun chimes, as well as chimes from other known sites, indicate that bells and stones were invariably hung on separate stands.

Only one other piece comparable to the bird-shaped box has been found — a stemmed dou with a lid found at Yutaishan, Jiangling (Hubei province). The decoration of the cup itself is more naturalistic in style than that of the Leigudun box, and the motif that decorates the stem — diagonal lines ending in spirals — is typical of the latter part of the fourth century BCE.


2 Hubei 1984a, 101, fig. 77: color pl. 1 and pl. 61.
Painted lacquer *dou* container

Height 24.3 (9 3/4)

Warring States Period (c. 433 BCE)

From the tomb of Zenghou Yi at Leigudun, Suixian, Hubei Province

Hubei Provincial Museum, Wuhan

One of a pair of identical cups from Marquis Yi's tomb, this *dou* was discovered in the burial chamber, together with a pair of similarly shaped, but slightly taller and differently decorated stemmed cups. The placement of these objects suggests that they were intended for the marquis' personal use and not for ritual.

This *dou*, which presents a massive, even architectural profile, is composed of two parts: the body and the lid, each carved from a single piece of wood (fig. 1). The domed lid has an almost oval section, compressed on two sides to accommodate the two large handles supported by the rim of the cup. The walls of the shallow cup flare out smoothly and rest on a massive stem, which tapers evenly to a wide, flared base. Given its shallow interior, the cup was apparently not intended to hold much food.

The decoration of the object is based on contrasts of colors and technique. The top of the lid and the entire surface of the handles are carved in raised relief, with motifs so intricate as to be individually nearly indiscernible. In the middle of the lid, an oval medallion represents three dragons facing outward and swallowing up other dragons; only the claws, eyes (represented by two red dots), and mouths of the creatures are clearly outlined:

The dragons' bodies are composed of abstract ornaments with no zoomorphic attributes. The handles draw the dragon motif out to an even greater level of complexity: only two heads, staring at each other with almond-shaped eyes, can be clearly seen. Each seems to be holding the rim of the cup in its mouth — or perhaps trying to get at food inside.

In contrast, the surface decoration of the object, composed of geometric designs rendered in various contrasting techniques, seems less fluid — even static. Some of these designs are engraved and their lines filled with red color against a black background; others are simply painted on. A few intertwined motifs are outlined in black against a background composed of thin, parallel red lines that intersect at right angles. The remaining designs, flat and plain, are set in red against black, or in black against red. The diversity of the design does not, however, entirely mask a fundamental coherence: even the most abstract motifs are based on the dragon pattern.

Clearly, the dou presented a number of technical challenges to its craftsmen — challenges that they met with remarkable skill and inventiveness. Even had lathe turning been available to the artisans (it was not introduced until the very end of the fifth century or the beginning of the fourth), the oval shape of the cup and the lid would have precluded use of the technique. Though mortise-and-tenon joinery was a common technique in the Chu lacquerware workshops of the period, and might have been used to attach separately carved handles to the cup, the object was carved out of a solid piece of wood. The limited palette available to lacquer artisans of the period (essentially, red and black) was overcome by using a combination of techniques for ornamentation — engraving, carved relief, and painting; indeed the craftsmen seem to have made use of all possible solutions within their limited range of decorative possibilities. A yellow lacquer may have been used as well for the cup's surface decoration; the excavators of the site report that traces of a gold (or gold-yellow) pigment were visible on the dou when it was found but vanished soon afterward. Certainly, the color was available: yellow lacquer appears in the paintings on the inner and outer coffins of Marquis Yi.1

1 Excavated in 1978; published: Hubei 1989, i:368–369, fig. 227; 2-color pl. 15 and pl. 152; Tokyo 1992, no. 12; Hubei 1994, no. 9; Tokyo 19983, no. 12.
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Painted lacquer deer

Height 77 (30), length 45 (17 %),
height of torso 27 (10 %)
Warring States Period (c. 433 BCE)
From the tomb of Zenghou Yi at Leigudun, Suixian,
Hubei Province

Hubei Provincial Museum, Wuhan

Placed in the marquis’ burial chamber, this figure of a recumbent deer is the more elaborate of two deer found in Tomb 1 at Leigudun; the other sculpture was placed in the central chamber with the ritual bronzes. The head and the body are separately carved from single pieces of wood, and the head rotates left and right on the neck so that the animal can be positioned to look straight ahead or to the side. The sculpture evidences an artistic sensibility that was quite new in the fifth century BCE: the deer reclines on its legs in a natural posture, and its head seems to have been copied from nature (the antlers are in fact real deer antlers, fixed in holes carved into the wood). Black lacquer covers the entire surface of the wood, and against this background, small, almond-shaped designs, together with myriad tiny dots, are painted in red lacquer to imitate fur. The antlers are decorated with black triangles and scrolls. The materials and the quality of the workmanship indicate that this was a luxury object; pieces of lower quality were usually coated with ink.

A square hole is cut into the back of the deer, probably to attach an object such as a drum; several deer-shaped drum stands have been found over the years in Chu tombs in the Jiangling district of Hubei province and, to a lesser extent, in Hunan province. Some stands were made to support real drums (fig. 1); others supported replicas in plain wood. The drum found in the eastern chamber of the marquis’ tomb was not associated with this stand; the three rings attached to the drum strongly suggest that it originally hung from the antlered cranelike figure (cat. 100) found in the tomb.

In many cases, however, wooden figures of reclining deer did not serve as stands but rather as auspicious figures intended to protect the tomb and the deceased. Most, if not all, such guardian objects were placed at the head of the outer coffin, as evidenced in the seven tombs at Yutaishan, Jiangling. AT

2 Several tombs in the Jiangling district contained such drum stands, in particular, Tombs 2 and 11 at Paimashan (see Hubei 1977, 158, fig. 12, and pl. 9.1); Tomb 7 at Xi’eshan (see Hubei 1984b, 525, fig. 14); and Tomb 10 at Wuchangyidi (see Jiangling 1989, 49, fig. 38.1).
3 Tomb 1 at Tengdian, Jiangling, Hubei province (see Jingzhou 1973, 12, pl. 4.1); Tomb 1 at Liuchengqiao, Changsha, Hunan province (see Hunan 1972, pl. 10); and three tombs from the cemetery at Jiudian, Jiangling, Hubei province (see Hubei 1995, 306, fig. 208.3 and pl. 93.3).

2 Several tombs in the Jiangling district contained such drum stands, in particular, Tombs 2 and 11 at Paimashan (see Hubei 1977, 158, fig. 12, and pl. 9.1); Tomb 7 at Xi’eshan (see Hubei 1984b, 525, fig. 14); and Tomb 10 at Wuchangyidi (see Jiangling 1989, 49, fig. 38.1).
3 Tomb 1 at Tengdian, Jiangling, Hubei province (see Jingzhou 1973, 12, pl. 4.1); Tomb 1 at Liuchengqiao, Changsha, Hunan province (see Hunan 1972, pl. 10); and three tombs from the cemetery at Jiudian, Jiangling, Hubei province (see Hubei 1995, 306, fig. 208.3 and pl. 93.3).

At 1 Excavated in 1978; published: Hubei 1989, 1:381, fig. 238; 2: color pl. 16, pl. 142; Tokyo 1992, no. 11; Tokyo 1998a, no. 21.
2 Several tombs in the Jiangling district contained such drum stands, in particular, Tombs 2 and 11 at Paimashan (see Hubei 1977, 158, fig. 12, and pl. 9.1); Tomb 7 at Xi’eshan (see Hubei 1984b, 525, fig. 14); and Tomb 10 at Wuchangyidi (see Jiangling 1989, 49, fig. 38.1).
3 Tomb 1 at Tengdian, Jiangling, Hubei province (see Jingzhou 1973, 12, pl. 4.1); Tomb 1 at Liuchengqiao, Changsha, Hunan province (see Hunan 1972, pl. 10); and three tombs from the cemetery at Jiudian, Jiangling, Hubei province (see Hubei 1995, 306, fig. 208.3 and pl. 93.3).

FIG. 1. Painted lacquer deer-shaped drum stand from Tomb 7 at Xi’eshan, Jiangling, Hubei province; Warring States period; length 45 (17 %). After Hubei 1984b, 525, fig. 14.
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Painted lacquer screen

Height 15 (5 7/8), width 51.8 (20 3/8)
Middle Warring States Period, c. middle or second half of the fourth century BCE
From Tomb 1 at Wangshan, Jiangling, Hubei Province
Hubei Provincial Museum, Wuhan

This small screen, which stands on two nearly square feet, is made of a rectangular frame set with various animals carved in the round. The somewhat static composition of two symmetrical groups of figures is enlivened by the figures themselves: All the animals, even the smallest, are engaged in combat, and they are rendered with an attention to proportion and detail likely taken from direct observation. Deer, frogs, and two different species of birds — the main figures in the composition — battle intertwined serpents; the snakes bite or menace the deer and the frogs, while the birds aggressively seize the snakes with their claws or grasp them in their beaks as if to swallow them. At the base of the stand (probably intended to represent the subterranean world), intertwined snakes, densely massed, are caught in the act of smothering small birds. Such reptilian iconography was particularly developed in the art of the Chu kingdom.

The images of attack and escape are imaginatively presented to pull the viewer into the scene. Attacked from behind, one of the frogs in each of the panels faces out, while the other is seen from the rear; deer are captured in flight as they seek, vainly, to escape the serpents. The composition can be interpreted as a representation of life and death — and particularly of violent (albeit natural) death.

Animal combat scenes from pre-imperial China only rarely display such naturalism and keen observation. Probably influenced by animal representations from the steppe regions, Chinese artists made use of the theme from the sixth century BCE on.

The screen can be viewed from any angle — even the narrow sides and areas normally obscured from sight are decorated with interlaced serpents — evidence of the high quality of this refined sculpture (fig. 1). The figures are carved separately.
and secured within the wooden frame; layers of black and brown lacquer cover the frame and part of the animals, while details of the carvings are picked out in colors, including red, blue, silver-gray, and yellow. Some of the colors have faded in the years since the discovery of the screen, and the original decoration probably included other pigments that have not survived (though the date of this screen coincides with the practice of mixing pigments in oil — rather than directly into raw lacquer — to ensure the stability of the color). The level of the screen's artistry and craftsmanship is representative of the works of art made for the elite of the Chu kingdom, and even perhaps for members of the royal family.  

1 Excavated in 1965; published: Hubei 1966, 47, fig. 19; pls. 2 and 3; Juliano 1975; Hubei 1994, no. 1; Hubei 1996, fig. 66, color pl. 2 and pls. 33–34; Tokyo 1998a, no. 28.

2 Thote 1993.

Two painted lacquer erbei cups

a. Length 15.7 (6 1/8), maximum width 12.6 (5)
b. Length 18.1 (7), maximum width 13.3 (5 1/4)

Late Warring States Period, c. early third century BCE

From Tomb 1 at Mashan, Jiangling, Hubei Province

Jingzhou Prefecture Museum, Hubei Province

These two oval cups (erbei, or ear cups, so called because of the two earlike extensions at the rim) are part of a large set of eating and drinking vessels found in a compartment at the head of the outer coffin from Tomb 1 at Mashan. Carefully packed inside a bamboo casket, the set is composed of several lacquered pieces (twelve erbei, two boxes) and bronze vessels (two erbei, one hu wine container, two tripod ding, a large ladle and spoon, as well as a yi and a pan basin for washing the hands).

The earliest erbei known to date were excavated from an eighth-century BCE tomb in Hubei province. During the Warring States period, at least two main types were in use within the Chu kingdom: the shape of the vessel is the predominant criterion for defining the typology. The first is characterized by quite large angular and pointed projections, while cups of the second type (represented by these examples) have small rounded “ears.” In both cases, these appendages are generally raised. The projections on the larger cup are beveled rather than raised, a subtype represented only rarely among burial vessels and of later date than the two conventional forms. That this beveled erbei is the sole such example among the Mashan lacquerware earcups (as well as its larger size) suggests that it was reserved for a specific use (or user) during meals.

Both cups are decorated with unusual motifs in somewhat heterogeneous styles. The first cup is painted with cinnabar red, yellow, and pale yellow. Touches of gold decorate a dark red background on the inner surface; the outer surface is painted in black background (the colors have changed since the excavation). Two large birds symmetrically frame a quatrefoil motif at the center, an
unusual arrangement executed with great elegance; each bird is composed of four volutes that curve alternately in opposite directions. The remaining decoration is composed of scrolls and diagonal lines — traditional motifs in the repertoire of Chu lacquer decoration from the fourth century BCE to the third.

The second cup has an entirely abstract decoration — unsymmetrical at first glance (and for that reason unusual in pre-imperial China), but in fact forming a composition similar to the bird-and-quatrefoil motif of the other erbei. This example is one of the earliest known objects to make use of these design innovations, created at the very end of the fourth century BCE and fully developed in the third century. The range of the artists’ skills displayed by these two cups is remarkable — spanning figures taken from nature (albeit not naturalistic) rendered with painstaking attention to detail, to large and purely abstract designs. The red and black volutes on the second erbei are rendered so that they may be viewed as red ornaments on a black background or, alternatively, black-on-red — an ambiguous and apparently deliberate visual effect. Whatever their meaning, such effects were clearly valued by the artists and by their patrons.

1 Excavated in 1982 (17-1, 17-2); published: Hubei 1985, 78, figs. 64.1, 64.4; color pls. 29.1, 29.3, 29.4, and pl. 36.
2 Tomb 1 at Anju, Suizhou, Hubei province. See Suizhou 1982, 53.
3 The earliest known example was excavated from Tomb 1 at Shazhong, Jiangling, in Hubei province. See Hubei 1996, 289, fig. 136.3.
TOMB 1

AT MASHAN, JIANGLING, HUBEI PROVINCE

In 689 BCE King Wen moved the capital of Chu from Danyang to Ying (near present-day Jiangling in Hubei province). Traces of its surrounding wall are all that remain of the ancient city, but until its conquest by the Qin state in 278 BCE, Ying was center of political and cultural life in central China. The twenty-eight hundred tombs discovered between 1961 and 1982 on the site of the city and its environs are an indication of its importance. Nearly a third of these tombs were each furnished with a large wooden outer coffin (guo) and one or more inner coffins (guan); well-preserved tombs from the sites at Baoshan, Yutaishan and Mashan have yielded a dazzling array of tomb furnishings: bronze ritual vessels, bells, and weapons; horse armor and trappings; chariot fittings; leather and jade objects; sculptures of imaginary beasts rendered in bronze or wood; funeral inventories and other documents written on bamboo slips; colorfully decorated lacquerware; coffin mats; and exquisite silk clothing and shrouds.

Tomb 1 at Mashan was discovered in January 1982 in the brickyard of the Mashan commune, located approximately sixteen kilometers north of the present-day city of Jiangling and eight kilometers north of Ji'an. The tomb — an oblong pit with vertical walls containing an outer coffin and a single inner coffin placed along an east-west axis — was a comparatively modest type common among low-ranking aristocrats known as shi (knights).

The tomb’s “outer coffin” — a chamber (guoshi) 248 centimeters long, 106 centimeters high, and 149 centimeters wide — was built of massive, 18-centimeter-thick boards cut from the center of a Chinese variety of zelkova (ju; Zelkova schneideriana). A herringbone-patterned mat (renziwen), 330 centimeters long and 189 centimeters wide, lined the interior; lime mortar sealed the coffin and preserved its contents from the depredations of water, insects, tomb robbers, and, to some extent, decay. The outer coffin comprised three separate compartments: the largest compartment contained the inner coffin, which held the corpse of the deceased; a long side-compartment held grave goods, bamboo baskets and mats, a variety of pottery vessels, grave figurines, and the skeleton of a small dog. The third compartment, located at the head of the corpse, contained an extraordinary imaginary beast carved from a root, a neck-rest of woven bamboo, two bamboo boxes containing bronze and lacquer vessels, and several wooden figurines of attendants dressed in silk.

The inner coffin (200 centimeters long, 67 centimeters wide, and 61 centimeters high) was made of Chinese catalpa (zi, Lindera zimu, Hemsl.). The lid and sides were covered by a dark brown plain silk casing (huangwei) ornamented with lozenge-pattern trimmings, held in position by three hemp bands. A twig of bamboo, still green when the outer coffin was opened, and a piece of fine, plain silk (originally painted) lay on top of the casing.

The unnamed woman buried in Mashan Tomb 1 died between the age of forty and forty-five, sometime between 340 and 278 BCE. She was approximately 160 centimeters tall, and her outstretched body (of which only the skeleton and hair, covered by a wig, remain), was wrapped in cloth, placed on a board carved with geometrical patterns, and encased in a woven bamboo mat. She belonged to the lower aristocratic class of shi, who probably were not entitled to wear...
patterned silk garments, yet she was buried with thirty-five astonishingly well-preserved pieces of silk clothing, shrouds, and other articles of excellent quality. Her burial outfit is the earliest known example of its kind from China,9 and it ranks among the best and most spectacular early textile finds ever made.

The body was tightly wrapped in layer on layer of shrouds and garments (figs. 1 and 2). Over a pair of open-seat trousers (the earliest example of underwear found in China10), she was dressed in, successively: a skirt; a lined robe; a short, embroidered gown; and a lozenge-patterned *shenyi* (the long, padded robe worn by aristocratic men and women for ritual and official ceremonies) (cat. 112a). Dressed in the garments that she would have worn in life, her upper and lower body were covered with special burial textiles (*mao* and *sha*), and she was wrapped in a silk cloth, two shrouds (*qin*) of embroidered and weave patterns; and a padded coverlet of woven brocade11 in a pattern depicting dancers and imaginary creatures (cat. 112b). The coverlet was secured by nine woven silk brocade bands in the so-called pagoda pattern (cat. 112c), wrapped in a shroud, and, finally, covered with another padded coverlet.

Several features are striking but unexplained. The woman’s arms were fixed at breast level with a ribbon, her thumbs were tied to each other with red cord, and her big toes were tied with yellow cord; the ribbons and cords may been intended to keep the body intact.12 Her hands held small silk rolls, fastened with strings to her middle fingers. (The use of such black-and-crimson silk rolls is described as “hold tight” [wo] in the ancient ritual texts.13) Her face

---

9. The earliest known example of this kind is probably the silk burial outfit from the tomb of a lady of the Western Han dynasty at Mawangdui, Changsha, Hunan province. See L. E. McCoy, ed., *Mawangdui Han Tomb 5* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1980), 567-68.
10. Qiu Xijuan, *Ancient Undergarments (Qianhe)* (Shanghai: Shanghai renmin meishu chuban she, 2005), 33.
11. The brocade bands are decorated with a pattern of dancers and imaginary creatures, which is also found on the coverlets of the textual find, cat. 112b.
13. For the use of *wo* in the ancient ritual texts, see J. C. M. van Os, *The Textile of the Han* (New York: Metropolitan Museum of Art, 2002), 172-73.
was covered by an unusual trapezoidal cloth of dark brown silk with *jin*-brocade trimming and a dark yellow lining: openings for the eyes and nose had been cut in the fabric. (Normally face covers [*mingmu*] were square, made of black silk with red lining, and did not have openings.\textsuperscript{14}) A silk belt, from which jade and glass pendants were suspended, adorned the woman’s waist.

The silk wardrobe, the silk shrouds, and other silk textiles — as well as the other burial objects of high quality that were found in the coffin — are evidence of the custom of lavish burials practiced by the aristocratic upperclass; the patterned silk fabrics themselves document a flourishing regional silk weaving industry that catered to the tastes of a fashion-conscious society. DK

\textsuperscript{1} Yu 1995, 130 - 131.  
\textsuperscript{2} Kuhn 1992a, 40 – 65.  
\textsuperscript{3} Hubei 1991. Five tombs were excavated at the Baoshan site.  
\textsuperscript{4} Hubei 1984a. Five hundred and fifty-eight tombs were found at the site between November 1975 and February 1976; 549 of these are dated to the Warring States period.  
\textsuperscript{5} Hubei 1985a.  
\textsuperscript{6} At the site of Yutaishan in Jiangling county alone, 248 tombs of this type have been excavated; see Kuhn 1992, 41; a typology of Chu tombs in the county of Jiangling was suggested by Guo 1982, 158; on the social ranking of this tomb see Peng Hao 1982, 12.  
\textsuperscript{7} Kuhn 1996b, 16 – 17.  
\textsuperscript{8} Rawson 1996, 144.  
\textsuperscript{9} Kuhn 1995c, 65 – 66.  
\textsuperscript{10} Hubei 1985a, 49 – 52; Kuhn 1995c.  
\textsuperscript{11} Goepper 1995, 342.  
\textsuperscript{12} Goeppe 1995.  
\textsuperscript{13} Kuhn 1995b, 217.  
\textsuperscript{14} Hubei 1985a, 97.
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a. Embroidered luo gauze weave sleeve
Length 114 (44 3/4), width at cuff 32 (12 1/4),
width at shoulder 49 (19 3/4)

b. Fragment of jin brocade body shroud
Length 73 (28 3/4), width 50 (19 5/8)

c. Jin brocade band with woven “pagoda”
pattern
Length 84 (33 1/4), width 23 (9 1/8)

Late Warring States Period
(between 340 and 278 BCE)
From Tomb 1 at Mashan, Jiangling, Hubei Province
Jingzhou Prefecture Museum, Hubei Province

This sleeve (cat. 112a) was originally part of an
unlined robe tailored from grayish white silk gauze
and embroidered with a complex design of dragons,
phoenix-like birds, and tigers (longfenghu wenxiu)
against a background of lavish, almost zoonomphic,
flowering tendrils. The partially damaged robe
(196 centimeters long and opening to a width of
276 centimeters), trimmed with lozenge-patterned
jin brocade at the cuffs, neck, and lower hem,
composed the sixth layer of the Mashan tomb
occupant’s body wrappings.

The robe’s design of real and imaginary animals
embroidered in various colors — reddish brown,
brown, yellowish green, yellowish brown, black, gray,
and a radiant orange — is the only gauze-weave
embroidery from the tomb; the other embroideries
were stitched on a tabby ground. The robe is evi-
dence of a demand for intricate motifs, executed in
luxurious materials, that could only be created by
hand. Although other fabrics from the tomb testify
to remarkable advances in weaving, such delicate
patterns of rich color and material diversity could
not have been produced on a loom, nor could a
loom have produced the lively and formally bal-
anced rhythmic patterns of the hand embroidery.3

Gauze fabrics (luo) are light, very delicate, and
almost transparent weaves with many net-like holes.
(Luo originally meant a net for catching birds, a
meaning transferred to the gauze fabric with its
hexagonal holes.) The complex weaving technology
of gauze weaves can be traced back as early as the
Shang period.4 Such translucent and lustrous silk
was a luxury clothing material in China, and, a few
centuries later, in classical Rome, where its price
matched that of gold.

A single pattern-unit measures 29.5 centimeters
by 21 centimeters. The silk threads used for the
chain stitches range between 0.2 and 0.4 milli-
ometers in diameter; the stitches themselves vary
from 2 to 2.4 millimeters in length and from 1 to
1.2 millimeters in width. The excavation report
describes this four crossed-warp plain gauze
(sijingjiao suluo) as a weave composed of groups of
four comparatively coarse (0.15 millimeters) warp
threads repeating the weave structure over the
entire width of the fabric.5 The weft thread was
fine and untwisted. The warp and weft threads were
given an S-twist of 3,000 to 3,500 turns per meter,
which added to the elasticity needed in the weaving
process. In Han and pre-Han times the four
crossed-warp threads consist of two fixed ends
(dijing) and two doup ends (jiaojing). At regular intervals (weft entry no. 1, 5, 9, 13 or no. 3, 7, 11) one of the doup ends of each group is drawn to the left by doup heddles, crosses under its corresponding fixed end and is lifted (fig. 1). The weft entry (no. 1, 3, 5, 7 . . .) binds the doup end in its position. The following weft entry (no. 2, 4, 6, 8 . . .) is woven in tabby weave. After four weft entries (no. 1–4, 5–8, 9–12), the weaving process started to repeat.

The outermost wrapping of the occupant of Tomb 1 at Mashan was composed of five matching jin-brocade panels (of which one is represented in this exhibition [cat. 112b]), lined with a dark yellow, tabby-weave silk, and pieced together to form a shroud measuring 333 by 233 centimeters.8 The two central pieces of the shroud measure the full length, the remaining three are approximately 166 centimeters long.9

A vertically repeating pattern of symmetrically paired figures — human dancers and imaginary creatures (wuren dongwu wen) — extends over the entire width of the fabric between zigzagging patterned rectangles. From right to left, these comprise (1) dragons with elongated necks; (2) singing dancers in robes and headdresses, swinging their long sleeves above their heads (compare cat. 146); (3) phoenixes with wings extended and elaborate
FIG. 1. Weave structure of four cross-warped plain gauze (cat. 112a). Drawing by D. Kuhn. (left)
FIG. 2. Drawing of wood figure clothed in silk, from Tomb 1 at Mashan, Jiangling, Hubei province; Late Warring States period; Height 59.6 (23 1/2). After Hubei 19853, 81, fig. 66.

tails; (4) crawling, amphibian-like dragons with long tongues; (5) unicorn-like creatures; (6) upside-down phoehixes with raised wings; (7) addorsed dragons; and (8) another pair of crawling dragons.

The jin brocade of the Warring States period was a polychrome, warp-faced compound tabby weave. The warp in this textile is composed of threads slightly twisted in an S-direction (the ground warps are dark yellow and brown; the patterning warp is dark red); the weft is dark brown. The thread count of the warp and weft amounts to 156:52 per centimeter, which means that the pattern unit in weft direction comprises approximately 7,660 ends over a width of 49.1 centimeters. The pattern unit in warp direction repeats after 286 picks, or 5.5 centimeters. A small weaving flaw (an error in preparing the loom) runs consistently through the patterned rectangles that divide the seventh from the eighth figural scene, evidence that a mechanical device was used to make the pattern during the weaving.¹⁰

Technologically, as well as artistically, the Mashan Tomb 1 jin brocade constitutes one of the most complex woven figural designs thus far excavated in China. Stiff and angular geometric patterns — imposed to some extent by the limitations of early loom technology — here give way to designs that imitate embroidered textiles and incorporate images, both human and imaginary, derived from other media, as well as from literary sources. Translating hand-executed embroidery designs into a loom pattern meant that these designs could be repeated almost endlessly — reproducing the size, shape, and color of a given pattern. This translation of handwork to loom technology was a remarkable step toward the large-scale production of fabrics with sophisticated and complex weave designs.¹¹

Nine silk bands (cat. 112c),¹² woven in jin-brocade in a “pagoda” pattern (taxingwen) and measuring between 211 and 227 centimeters in length and between 45 and 49 centimeters in width, secured the outer textile shroud of the tomb’s occupant.¹³ Each “pagoda,” composed of small geometric shapes, measures approximately 3.7 centimeters high by 1.4 -1.6 centimeters wide; nineteen such pagodas (alternating in orientation) extend symmetrically across the weft of the fabric from a central pagoda that forms the axis for nine pagodas to the left and nine to the right. The same pattern alternates vertically — along the warp — after two pagoda rows (that is, every 7.4 centimeters). The thread count of the warp and weft amounts to 88:24 per centimeter. This means that the pattern unit in weft direction comprises at a minimum approximately 2.024 ends, and in warp direction repeats after approximately 178 picks. Three combinations of threads in two colors were used to weave the brocade: light brown and yellowish brown, dark brown and yellowish brown, and vermilion and yellowish brown; the weft thread is dark brown.

The pattern of the band testifies to the highly developed geometrical style in silk weaving — a style mastered as early as the late fourth century BCE. The design’s enduring role in fashion is evident in the silk pagoda bands that ornament the clothes of wooden female figures packed in the compartment at the head of the inner coffin (fig. 2).¹⁴

2 The description of the sleeve, the original garment (N 9), its weave, and composition is mainly based on information provided in Hubei 1985a, 20, 29 (fig. 29.1), 33 – 34, 56 – 57, 63, 66, 71; and in Chen and Zhang 1982, 9 – 11.

3 Kuhn 1985a, 82 – 83.


5 The chain-stitch technique may have been used as early as the Shang dynasty and the Western Zhou period; see Sylwan 1949, 119, and Huang 1985, pi. 2.

6 The description of the weave structure of the textile (N 9) given in Hubei 1985a, 33 – 34, contradicts the description in Chen and Zhang 1982, 10, who argue that after three weft (shuttle) entries in plain weave, one weft is inserted to fix the dob end.

7 The structure of the weave as it is drawn in Hubei 1985a, 29, fig. 29.1, suggests that all four warp ends may have been used as dob end as well. This is highly improbable, and resolution of the question awaits a technical analysis of the weave. For the time being, we accept Peng Hao’s argument (1982, 5) that the ground structure of the weave is the same as that of the gauze (No. 340-17) from Tomb 1 at Mawangdui. The drawing of fig. 2 is reconstructed on the assumption that the observation of Peng Hao is valid.


9 The description of this part of the body shroud (qin), identified by some Chinese archaeologists as a coverlet (jin), is based on information in Hubei 1985a, 12, 25 – 26, 31, 41 – 43; Kuhn 1995a, 88 – 90; Goepper 1995, 344; Rawson 1996, 148.

10 A precise description of the weaving flaw awaits publication of the technical analysis of Chinese textile historians.

11 Kuhn 1995a, 106.

12 Excavated in 1982 (N 3); published: Hubei 1985a, color pl. 9.4, 38: fig. 3.11; Huang 1985, 22: pl. 18 and 8: fig. 18; Goepper 1995, 343, 344: fig. 78.4; Rawson 1996, 145 fig. 67x: 147: fig. 67.5.

13 The description is based on the information provided in Hubei 1985a, 12, 35, 37, 38, 71; Huang 1985, 8.18.

14 Hubei 1985a, 81: fig. 66.
The archaeological site of Baoshan is located to the northeast of Jiangling in the vicinity of the ancient capital of the Chu kingdom. Tomb 2 was the largest in a small burial ground of five tombs dating to the Middle and Late Warring States period (475–221 BCE). Excavated between late 1986 and early 1987, Tomb 2 remains the most important discovery to date in the Jiangling area, not only for its size and content, but also for its historical value. Written records found inside the tomb have enabled Chinese archaeologists to identify the tomb's occupant as Shao Tuo, a high-ranking official in the Chu kingdom who died in 316 BCE.

At its mouth, the tomb shaft measured 34.4 meters long from east to west and 31.9 meters wide from north to south. It was dug on a hilly site and covered with a mound 5.8 meters high and 54 meters in diameter at the time of the discovery. The tomb shaft was sunk to a depth of 12.45 meters and shaped in an inverted pyramid, with fourteen descending steps on each of its four sides. The middle section of the eastern side of the shaft opened onto a large ramp, initially built to give access to the tomb itself during the funeral ceremonies. The tomb was breached at some point prior to excavation, but the thieves were apparently interrupted in the midst of their work, and the burial contents were nearly complete and well preserved when the tomb was excavated. The integrity of the tomb's contents, as well as their condition, reflects the care that the Chu devoted to protecting their dead; indeed the Chu, to a greater extent than other Zhou cultures, unceasingly refined the art of burial over a period that began in the eighth century BCE.

At the bottom of the shaft lay a large wooden structure (guo) that measured 6.32 meters long, 6.26 meters wide, and 3.1 meters high, built entirely of heavy wood beams from the floor to the roof. Eight bamboo mats were placed on top of the guo, and the entire structure was enveloped with a thick layer of sticky fine clay. The shaft was then filled with layers of pounded earth up to the mouth of the tomb. The tomb itself was composed of four chambers surrounding a central burial room in which four graduated coffins were placed — one inside the other; the remains of the deceased were placed in the last and smallest coffin. Each of the four chambers was filled with furniture and objects — nearly two thousand items — situated according to their function in ritual and daily life. The ritual bronzes and a large set of vessels that contained food and probably beverages were placed in the main chamber, near the head of the deceased. The southern chamber contained weapons and chariot fittings; the western chamber contained objects for travel, while the northern chamber held the necessities of everyday life.

The contents of Tomb 2 reveal that important changes had taken place at the end of the fifth century BCE in a tradition of burial practices that had been followed for centuries. Entire categories of vessels that were commonly included among the set of ritual bronzes do not appear in their usual complement; some are of low quality — defective in their casting, unimaginative in their ornamentation, even undecorated. By contrast, objects for daily use display superior craftsmanship and lavish decoration (cat. 144). Until the end of the fifth century, tombs of high-ranking officials and members of the aristocracy contained a wealth of musical...
instruments, including sets of bells and chime stones; their number and quality probably reflected the status of the tomb’s owner. By contrast, Tomb 2 at Baoshan contained only one bell — a *zheng*, intended for signaling rather than musical performances, a zither for personal use, and a small suspended drum. Jade objects, so abundant in earlier tombs, are sparsely represented in that of Shao Tuo. By contrast, the tomb was amply stocked — and notably more so than tombs of earlier date — with nonritual objects: wooden mannequins wearing swords, pieces of furniture (a folding bed, low tables, plates, lamps, chests, and cabinets), objects for the owner’s personal use (fans, mirrors, and toilet boxes) and for his adornment. Sixty-nine bamboo caskets accompanied the deceased; some of them still contained the remains of fruit (jujubes, persimmons, plums, and pears), as well as lotus rhizomes and ginger, when the tomb was excavated. One noteworthy continuation of earlier practices is the large amount of armor (for men and horses) and weapons placed in the tomb.

Writings found in the tomb have shed light on aspects of Chu social and religious life. Four hundred and forty-eight bamboo strips, two hundred and seventy-eight of them inscribed with characters, were distributed among the four chambers surrounding the burial room. Most of the strips were originally tied to one another by string (now rotted) to compose documents; the fact that they were found in their original positions has permitted the reconstruction of the documents. The writings from Tomb 2 fall into one of three categories. Most are reports by the local administration to the central government on issues of law. Another group of texts deals with divination, and a small number are inventories of the tomb’s contents; the latter have proved particularly valuable for identifying the ancient names of some of the objects deposited in the tomb. The writings reveal a handsome calligraphy in several hands, but the fact that many of the characters employed are unknown (some are variants, others long-obsolete characters or even errors) has made the texts difficult to decipher. AT

1 Hubei 1991.
Painted lacquer coffin

Height 45 (18 ¾), width 184 (72), depth 45 (18 ¾)
Middle Warring States Period, c. second half of fourth century BCE (before 316)
From Baoshan, Jingmen, Hubei Province

Jingzhou Prefecture Museum, Hubei Province

This coffin is the innermost of three nested coffins from the central chamber of the tomb. A number of ancient texts indicate that sumptuary laws governed the number of coffins permitted to each rank of the aristocracy. Although the accounts are not consistent, it seems that three coffins were the preserve of feudal lords or high ministers (qing). However, the presence of three coffins in this tomb of a lower-ranking minister (zuoyin) suggests that these regulations were not strictly observed in Chu.

The bottom, sides, and end-panels of the coffin are each made from single planks, joined by swallow-tailed mortises and tenons; the joints are sealed with lacquer. Mask-and-ring bronze handles, originally gilded, are attached to the sides, the end-panels, and the lid of the coffin. The coffin was originally wrapped in a textile, but this had largely decomposed when the tomb was opened. Silk gauze (sha) was
wrapped around some of the handles on the lid, and a jade bi disk found at the base of the eastern end-panel originally hung from the ring handle.\(^3\)

The inside of the coffin is coated in plain red lacquer; the exterior sides and the top are nearly completely filled with a polychrome decoration of seventy-two serpentine dragons and an equal number of mythical birds. Bird motifs, often juxtaposed with dragons or serpents, seem to have been particularly important in Chu and its satellites: a bronze figure of an antlered crane (cat. 100), was found in the eastern chamber of the tomb of Marquis Yi of Zeng, and crested birds perched on dragons are depicted on his inner coffin. A screen from the fourth-century BCE Chu Wangshan Tomb 1 at Jiangling (cat. 110), depicts birds and snakes in combat.\(^4\) The dragons on the Baoshan coffin, although serpentine in appearance, do not seem to be in an adversarial relationship with the birds, and it seems likely that both these motifs possessed auspicious and protective symbolism.\(^5\)

The attenuated profiles and strong diagonal axes of the birds on the Baoshan coffin resemble those found on an embroidered silk from the fourth-century BCE Chu Tomb 1 at Mashan (cat. 112a). The crossing of one element of the design by another is a salient feature of the Mashan embroideries and seems to have been the inspiration
behind the bird-over-dragon scheme on the Baoshan coffin. The rising value of textiles, particularly embroidery, during the Eastern Zhou period resulted in the transfer of aspects of their design to both bronze and lacquerware. In this case, it is even possible that the design was intended to echo the textiles that were originally draped over the coffin.

The coffin and other lacquers from Baoshan Tomb 2 are remarkable for the brilliance of their palette. In addition to the red, black, and yellow that figure in the decoration of these objects, gilt and silver pigments are used extensively to enliven the scales of the dragon’s body. Although this palette can be traced to the tomb of Marquis Yi (c. 433), it was apparently only during the fourth century BCE that these pigments became common in lacquer painting. No scientific analysis of these pigments has been published, but it seems probable that they contain gold and silver filings suspended in the lacquer. This palette was part of a wider use of precious metals that encompassed silver and gold inlays, appliqués and gilding.

1 Excavated in 1987; reported: Hubei 1991, 1:61-68, figs. 45-65, and 2: color pl. 4 and pls. 19, 203-4. The large outer coffin was constructed of flat planks joined by mortises and tenons; the middle coffin had rounded sides and a lid conforming roughly to the contours of the log from which each section was formed, and was coated in plain black lacquer on both the inside and outside. The space between the middle and the inner coffins was packed with peppercorns (Hubei, 1991, 1:469), probably in order to repel insects.

2 For a discussion of the regulations regarding coffins, see the report on Tianxingguan Tomb 1 at Jiangling (Hubei 1982, 11). The Tianxingguan tomb also contained three nested coffins and on this basis was designated the tomb of a minister by the excavators. On the other hand, Marquis Yi of Zeng, a satellite of Chu, was buried in only two coffins.


4 Hubei 1996, color pl. 2

5 A similar pairing of birds and dragons appears on a lacquered double-cup from this tomb. (Hubei 1991, 1:338-339, fig. 83a-b). The authors of the excavation report identify the dragons and birds on the coffin with the feiyi mentioned in the Shan hai jing (Classic of mountains and seas) as taking both bird and serpent form (Hubei 1991, 1:193).

6 The middle coffin was enveloped in nine layers of brocaded and embroidered silk drapes (see Hubei 1991, 1:67-68). The exchange of designs between different artistic media was first explored by Rawson 1989, 84-89.

7 The excavation report on the tomb of Marquis Yi of Zeng mentions a gold-yellow as one of the colors used in the lacquers. Gold-colored pigment reportedly decorates the scales of a dragon on a lacquered dou (E 159, Hubei 1989, 1:375), and appears on a small lacquered cup (Hubei 1989, 1:372). Yellow and silver gray are used on some of the zithers (C 32, Hubei 1989, 1:356). A golden yellow pigment is used for details of birds and snakes on the lacquered wood screen from Wangshan Tomb 1 (Hubei 1996, color pl. 2). Gilt and silvery gray pigment is used extensively on the lacquered coffins from the late fourth-century BCE Chu tombs at Xinyang Changtaiguan. See Henan 1986, 18 and 82, and color pl. 1.

8 Familiarity with gold and silver as lacquer pigments may have inspired the use of gilding on metal. Although early examples of gilding have been reported from the fifth century BCE, it is only in the late fourth century that it begins to appear regularly, coincident with the extensive use of gold as a lacquer pigment. Two knives from Xinyang Changtaiguan Tomb 1, datable to the late fourth century BCE, are described as gilded, as are the coffin handles (Henan 1986, 65-66, fig. 45, pl. 73; and 93-94, fig. 66, pl. 83).
Lacquer cabinet and vessels

Height 19.6 (7 5/8), length 71.5 (28), width 25.6 (10)  
Middle Warring States Period, c. second half of the fourth century BCE (before 316)  
From Baoshan, Jingmen, Hubei Province  
Jingzhou Prefecture Museum, Hubei Province  

This cabinet is composed of a body and lid of nearly identical dimensions. Its two short sides are decorated with animal masks, discernible only by their eyes and ears. Carved volutes, supporting the bottom of the chest, probably represent the animals' claws. On the lid, scrolling relief decoration (which may represent the scales of the animals' skin), is repeated in two wide bands that cross at right angles.  

The handles, protruding from both sides of the chest at corresponding positions on the lid and the body, suggest the snouts of zoomorphic animals. Body and lid would have been secured with a rope passing through the handles; such fastening methods date back to fifth-century BCE storage chests for cloth, such as those found in Tomb 1 at Leigudun (c. 433 BCE).  

Certainly the contents of the cabinet would have had to be secured for travel. Containers are grouped by their intended use within the cabinet's three inner compartments. A side-compartment contains two groups of four erbei for eating and drinking; two small bottles for condiments are located in the compartment opposite, and the center holds a large plate; extra space was probably used to set food aside. Wrapped in a leather bag tied with leather ropes, the cabinet was placed in the eastern chamber of the tomb, together with most of the ritual bronzes and a large set of containers for daily use.  

Each of the containers, as well as each half of the cabinet, was carved from a single piece of wood — the most common (as well as the oldest) technique of woodworkers of the period. The manufacture of the two small bottles, whose surface shows no trace of joinery, must have presented particular challenges. The first step would likely have been to carve the bottle's outer form; a hole was then drilled down the neck and another hole cut into one side of the object's body to permit carving of the vessel's inner walls. Once finished, the inner surface was coated with red lacquer and the hole in the side sealed with a piece of wood cut to size. The other objects that compose the set are deeply and evenly carved — testimony to improvements in the quality of tools during the Warring States period. The cabinet's outer surface is lacquered in dark brown or black; red lacquer was used for the interior. A cabinet such as this example was a standard Chu product, as were chests for storing swords. The same repeating scroll pattern appears on a variety of wooden objects; here, however, the carving is exceptionally sharp and energetically drawn, testimony to the skills of Chu artisans.  

---  

3 The bottles are too small to contain beverages.  
4 Tokyo 1998a, 98.
Bronze zun vessel inlaid with gold and silver

Height 17.5 (6 1/2), diam. at mouth 24.8 (9 1/4)

Middle Warring States Period, c. second half of the fourth century BCE (before 316)

From Baoshan, Jingmen, Hubei Province

Jingzhou Prefecture Museum, Hubei Province

This lidded vessel,\(^1\) one of a pair, was found in the eastern chamber of Tomb 2, along with most of the ritual bronzes and a group of lacquered containers for eating and drinking. Chicken bones, presumably offerings for the deceased, were found in one of the two vessels.

The vessel’s shape is unusual for pre-imperial bronzes. With slightly flared circular walls, and a lid topped by a flat circle, the container is modeled on lacquerware, and like its lacquer counterparts, has three small feet (here ornamented with eyes) and two movable rings attached to animal masks (pushou). Reversed, the lid rests on its four bird-shaped rings to serve as a food receptacle. The vessel’s ornaments and fittings were cast on; traces left by mortise-and-tenon joinery to attach them to the interior of the mold are still visible inside the box and beneath the lid. Mortise-and-tenon joins were used in precisely the same manner to attach metal fittings to wooden boxes.

The bronze’s decorative motifs as well strongly resemble the painted decoration of contemporaneous lacquerware. Color contrasts are rendered by gold and silver inlaid in the recesses of the bronze surface, and the fluid and curvilinear ornaments are imitative of brushwork. The imitation of lacquerware, in fact, approaches the literal: the inner walls of the bronze are coated with red lacquer. This luxury vessel clearly illustrates the artistic dominance of lacquerware over bronzework, a phenomenon that first appeared at the turn of the fourth century BCE and developed progressively through the Han dynasty. The phenomenon reflects less the influence of one form of production on the other than the progressive decline in the importance of ritual bronze decoration.

Along with another vessel discovered in Tomb 1 at Jiangling (Hubei province), this vessel and its complement from Tomb 2 at Baoshan were manufactured by workshops specializing in luxury bronze items inlaid with precious metals and, in some cases, accented with lacquer, turquoise, or other
FIG. 1. Bronze zun inlaid with lacquer from Tomb 2 at Wangshan, Jiangling, Hubei Province; Warring States period; height 17.1 (6⅔): from above; cross section. After Hubei 1996, 135, fig. 91.

Archaeological discoveries have suggested that these workshops were located near or even within the Chu capital, close to the present-day city of Jiangling. These workshops, which produced wine vessels (hu or lei), as well as round bronze food containers, probably ceased operations when the capital was destroyed by the Qin army in 278 BCE.

The highly complex — almost abstract — designs on the lid and vessel body are based on dragon-and-bird motifs. Their zoomorphic forms are concealed in the ornament: eyes, marked by circular dots, stare out of heads that emerge from intricate bodies, which flow into the forms of other, similarly abstract creatures. Four units of the motif can be seen on the main register and, in a different rendering, at the center of the lid; another motif is repeated six times on the sides of the vessel. Though organized in repeating units, the animals are intricately configured to create an impression of endless movement and to suggest that they are, in fact, alive.

1 Excavated in 1987; published: Hubei 1991, i: 190–191, fig. 120; 2: color pl. 11.1 and pl. 58.1.
2 See Guangdong 1974, 71, fig. 5; Lawton 1982, no. 9; Hubei 1996, 135–136, figs. 91–92, and color pl. 4.
Horn carved with three dragons

Height 10.2 (4), diam. at widest point 1.9 (½)
Middle Warring States Period, c. second half of the fourth century BCE (before 316)
From Baoshan, Jingmen, Hubei Province
Jingzhou Prefecture Museum, Hubei Province

This rare piece was made from a single antler, from which it takes its pointed shape. Three dragons are carved in the round, their snakelike bodies twisting together into a spiral as each bites the body of another. Each deeply carved figure in the composition is mutually independent, secured to the others at the points of dramatic contact: the two larger dragons grip each other with their front legs; having none, the smallest dragon must make do with his mouth. This miniature sculpture exemplifies the deep roots of tour-de-force work in the Chinese applied arts; such work was highly valued, if not continuously throughout the history of the arts, then at least periodically, and as early as remote antiquity.

The sculpture was discovered in the northern chamber of Tomb 2 at Baoshan, preserved in a bamboo casket that contained several items for personal adornment, including a wig, four jade and bone ornaments, and a tiny sculpture of mythical animals carved from a root. The antler sculpture likely served a similar function and was perhaps worn on a hat or in the hair.

1 Excavated in 1987; published: Hubei 1991, 1:261, fig. 174.2; pi. 87.1.
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CHU AND OTHER CULTURES
Shouchun, at present-day Shouxian on the middle course of the Huai River, was an important political center for brief periods on two occasions during the Eastern Zhou period (770–221 BCE). The last capital of the state of Cai was situated in this area at the turn of the fifth century BCE; later, in 241 BCE, Shouchun became the last capital of the once mighty kingdom of Chu, which had suffered a series of crushing military defeats. During the 1930s, a large Chu tomb at Zhujiaji, in Shouxian, was repeatedly looted, yielding plentiful bronze vessels, including some of the largest and heaviest found in China up to that time. The inscriptions on some of these bronzes indicate that this tomb belonged to a Chu king, who has been tentatively identified as either King Kaolie (r. 262–238 BCE) or King You (r. 237–228 BCE). In 1955, the tomb of Marquis Zhao of Cai (r. 518–491 BCE) was discovered by farmers inside the west gate of the modern town of Shouxian, followed by rescue excavations that yielded more than five hundred objects of bronze, jade, bone, and gold leaf. The inscriptions on many of the bronzes found in the tomb show that, during the reign of Marquis Zhao, Cai had become a client state of Chu, whose royal capital was then located at Ying in the Middle Yangzi region. The inscriptions on other bronzes from the same tomb attest to intermarriage between the rulers of Cai and those of the emergent southeastern kingdom of Wu.

Because of these important discoveries, an archaeological survey team was organized in 1957 to explore the surrounding region. Members of the survey team obtained four bronze tallies (jinjie) that had been found by farmers during an irrigation project at the locality of Qiujiahuayuan. According to the initial report, an iron hammer, a small piece of Chu gold currency, and sherds of pottery were discovered at the same time. The sherds were thrown away, the gold piece was sold to the county bank and the hammer was lost later; the bronze tallies were kept by two local people. An additional bronze tally carrying the same inscription as one of the four tallies from Qiujiahuayuan was acquired at Xinji, Mengcheng county, Anhui province, in 1960. It was said that this tally had also been found in Shouxian, and scholars have commonly assumed that it came from Qiujiahuayuan, but this is by no means certain.

Since the tallies are dated to 323 BCE, they predate the removal of the Chu capital to this region by almost a century. At that time, the state of Cai had already ceased to exist, and the Shouchun area had come under the direct administration of the Chu kingdom. In all probability, the discovery of the five tallies attests to the activity of Chu merchants and the commercial statutes of the Chu court in this region during a period when the Shouchun area was still located at the periphery of the Chu realm.

1 Most of the looted bronzes are now in the collection of Anhui Provincial Museum. Anhui 1987, pls. 80–94.
2 Anhui 1956; Anhui 1987, 62–78.
3 Yin and Luo 1958, 8. The postscript to this article reports that during a follow-up visit local people denied that a gold piece had been found. See Yin and Luo 1958, 11.
4 Anhui 1987, pl. 79 caption.
Bronze Ejun Qi jie tally

Height 31 (12 1/2), width 7.3 (2 7/8)
Middle Warring States Period, late fourth century BCE
From Qiujiahuayuan, Shouxian, Anhui Province
The National Museum of Chinese History, Beijing

This bronze tally (jinjie) exempted merchants from road tolls or excise along certain explicitly defined trading routes within the Chu kingdom. Issued at the royal capital and renewed annually, they were to be shown to local representatives of the Chu government. Similar documents made for persons of lower rank than the beneficiary of this tally were probably engraved on bamboo, and the vaulted shape of the bronze tablets, with a "node" in the center mimics that of bamboo tablets. Their cast inscriptions, inlaid in gold, are to be read in eight vertical lines starting in the upper right, ignoring the "node." Their lengths differ according to that of the inscribed text, but all the tallies are equal in width. They were almost certainly manufactured in sets of five; when joined together, the five tallies would have formed a complete cylinder (fig. 1).

The tallies found at Qiujiahuayuan comprise two "boat tallies" and two "wagon tallies," which probably came from two distinct sets (see fig. 2). Their inscriptions refer, respectively, to trading expeditions along water and land routes. The texts refer to boats and wagons in groups of fifty (with the understanding that clearly specified equivalents could substitute for one standard-size "boat" or "wagon"), and each tally in a set of five may have covered ten boats or wagons moving together; groups of ten may have been more manageable than flotillas of fifty boats or convoys of fifty wagons. The goods that were transported are not specified, though they seem to have included livestock, at least on the boat expeditions.

The person to whom these tallies were issued, Ejun Qi ("Qi, Lord of E"), was not himself a merchant but a high-ranking Chu administrator. The location of E, his place of residence, is uncertain; it may have been either at present-day Wuhan — at the confluence of the Yangzi and the Han Rivers — or further to the north, near Dengxian in southwestern Henan province. The tallies recorded the royal privilege for official trading activities administered by Ejun Qi. Their royal origin and the high status of the beneficiary no doubt account for their luxurious execution. Whether the merchants were themselves government officials or private individuals who conducted their business under some arrangement with Ejun Qi's administration is unclear; in any case, the tally inscriptions explicitly state that the merchants were not to be lodged and fed at government expense — presumably in contrast to traveling administrators.

The reconstruction of the routes described in the inscriptions (see fig. 3) is tentative because all places mentioned have not been securely identified. Some place names are still in use today (as are most of the river names), but they may not designate the same locations as they did in antiquity. What does seem clear is that the trade routes for both boats and wagons led Ejun Qi's merchants to the outermost reaches of the Chu state. Conducted under government auspices, these expeditions may well have had the character of inspection tours. Moreover, the fact that both boat and wagon expeditions were to end at the Chu capital of Ying, near present-day Jiangling (Hubei province), suggests that one purpose of these far-flung commercial operations may have been to supply the royal court.

The boats of Ejun Qi's merchants traveled all over the Middle Yangzi basin. A northwesterly route took them up the Han River, across central Hubei into southern Shaanxi. An easterly route then led them down the Yangzi, past Lake Poyang into Jiangxi and to southern Anhui. A southern route went up the Xiang River deep into the interior of Hunan, an area into which Chu had only recently begun to penetrate; the inscription mentions five rivers without giving names of settlements, probably indicating that no Chu administrative centers had yet been set up here. Finally, the boats proceeded up the Yangzi to the Chu capital.
FIG. 1. Five joined tallies in cross section. Adapted from Yin and Luo 1958, 9, fig. 1.

FIG. 2. Boat tally (left); wagon tally (right). Adapted from Yin and Luo 1958, 9, figs. 1 and 2.
The land route proceeded from E to the northern border areas of the Chu state — first to the Nanyang basin (southwestern Henan) and from there down the Huai River valley into northern Anhui. These areas, conquered by Chu since the early seventh century BCE, remained contested territory. Perhaps for this reason, the wagon tallies prohibit trading in materials liable to be put to military use.

Following the convention for official documents, the inscription texts begin with a date. The year is specified by reference to the defeat of the Jin army at Xiangling, which is known from historical sources to have occurred in 323 BCE (the sixth year of the reign of King Huai of Chu); the month is given in terms of the Chu calendar; the day is the twelfth in the ever-repeating Cycle of Sixty. The administrators involved with the issuance of the tallies are named (some of their titles are still poorly understood), followed by stipulations for the use of the tallies and the descriptions of the travel routes.

While the tallies’ elegantly written characters exemplify the Chu script, a literate person from outside Chu would have been able to make sense of the tally inscriptions without difficulty, for the several regional scripts current before the Qin unification in 221 BCE were variants of a single writing system. Characters for specific words might differ from kingdom to kingdom and even within a kingdom, but such variations reflect differing dialects or terminologies rather than differing writing systems.

Since the bronze tallies are unique, the extent to which they are representative objects of their kind is uncertain. Nevertheless, their importance as documents for the economic history of the Warring States period cannot be overstated.

Boat Tally Inscription

In the year when the Great Minister of War Shao Yang had defeated the army of Jin at Xiangling, in the Xiayi month, day yi hai, when the king dwelled in the pleasure palace at Jieying, the Great Intendant of Public Works Shui took a royal order to
command the Jiyin [?] official Dao [X], the Jianyin official Ni, and the Jianling official Qi to cast these metal passports for the merchants from Ejun Qi's treasury. Add up three boats to make one large boat; fifty large boats a year will [make the journey] one by one and return.

They will depart from the E market-office, traverse the lake [?], go up the Han River, stop at Yan, stop at Xunyang, go down the Han River, stop at [X], traverse the Xia River, enter the Yun River; traverse the Jiang [i.e., the Yangzi River], stop at pengyi, stop at Songyang, enter the Luijiang River, stop at Yuanling; go up the Jiang, enter the Xiang River, stop at Die, stop at Taoyang, enter the Lei River, stop at Chen, and enter the Zi, Ruan, Li, and You Rivers; ascend the Jiang, stop at Muguan, stop at Ying.

When they show their metal tallies, they will be exempt from excise, [though] they will not be lodged or be given food. When they do not show their metal tallies, they will be assessed excise. If they transport horses, oxen, and sheep in and out of the gates, then they will be assessed excise at the Great Treasury but not at the gates.

Wagon Tally Inscription
In the year when the Great Minister of War Shao Yang had defeated the army of Jin at Xiangling, in the Xiayi month, day yi hai, when the king dwelled in the pleasure palace at Jieying, the Great Intendant of Public Works Shui took a royal order to command the Jiyin [?] official Dao [X], the Jianyin official Ni, and the Jianling official Qi to cast these metal passports for the merchants from Ejun Qi's treasury. Fifty wagons a year will [make the journey] one by one and return.

Do not transport metal, leather, or bamboo for making arrow shafts. If [they use] horses, buffaloes, or oxen, add up ten as the equivalent of one wagon; if [they use] human carriers, add up twenty as the equivalent of one wagon, and subtract these [wagon equivalents] from the total of fifty wagons.

They will depart from the E market-office, stop at Tangqiu, stop at Fangcheng, stop at Xianghe, stop at Fufen, stop at Fanyang, stop at Gaoqiu, stop at Xiaozhai, stop at Juchao, stop at Ying.

When they show their metal tallies, they will be exempt from excise, [though] they will not be lodged or be given food. When they do not show their metal tallies, they will be assessed excise.

1 Excavated in 1957; published: Yin and Luo 1958; Guo Moruo 1958; Zhongguo 1961, pi. 53:1; Shang 1963, 16, 50; Zhongguo Lishi 1984, no. 68; Li Xueqin 1985, 167–168; Li Xueqin 1986, 5: no. 139; Ma 1986, pl. 73.
3 Since the seventh century BCE, the title jun indicated royally appointed governors or satraps governing territories newly annexed to the Chu state. Whether their position was hereditary is unknown.
Tomb 1 at Tianxingguan, at Jiangling in Hubei province, was excavated between January and March of 1978. Like many other vertical-shaft burials of the Middle Warring States period in the region, the tomb was built as a multi-chambered wooden crypt placed at the bottom of a pit (12.2 meters deep), with three interwoven coffins in the central chamber, surrounded by side-chambers filled with burial goods. Although the tomb had already been robbed at the time of excavation, archaeologists recovered more than 2,500 artifacts, including well-preserved bronzes and lacquerware. The distribution of the burial goods in the various chambers did not follow a strict division by category, but the eastern chamber held the majority of the many musical instruments placed in the tomb, and the western chamber contained most of the weapons and military equipment. The fantastic lacquered wood figure (cat. 118) was found in the southern chamber along with a second lacquered figure — a bird standing on a tiger-shaped base with a pair of antlers jutting from its body just above the wings.

One of the two bamboo-slip manuscripts found in the western chamber is a tomb inventory. From it we learn that the deceased was named Pan Cheng, a man who held aristocratic rank as Lord of Diyang. The inventory further reveals that many of the burial goods were gifts from relatives, friends, and colleagues of Pan Cheng. The second manuscript — a record of turtle divination, milfoil divination, and sacrificial offerings performed by specialists on behalf of Pan Cheng during his lifetime (cat. 119) — provides information that suggests a mid-fourth-century BCE date for the burial.
Painted lacquer guardian animal with antlers

Height including antlers 170 (66 ¾)
Warring States Period (c. mid-fourth century BCE)
From Tianxingguan, Jiangling, Hubei Province
Jingzhou Prefecture Museum, Hubei Province

This large, carved-wood figure of a monster was excavated from the southern chamber of the tomb, together with bronze ritual vessels and bells. The assemblage is composed of three main parts: the square base, the twin bodies and heads (each with gogglelike eyes and a long, lolling tongue), and the antlers. The entire figure is painted in black, red, and yellow lacquer: S-shaped dragons with long tongues, interspersed with small star shapes, decorate the figure’s curving necks; abstract mask motifs cover most of the base. The fluidity of these motifs contrasts with angular zigzag patterns (echoing designs current in Chu textiles) on the joints of the necks and body and the lower panel of the base. Abstract scrolls decorate the antlers where they fork, and the tips are also painted.

This figure ranks among the largest and most impressive of the more than two hundred carved wood monster figures (conventionally termed zhen mu shou [tomb guardians]) that have been found in medium- and large-scale Chu tombs of the late fifth or fourth century BCE in the Jiangling region of Hubei province. The concentration of these figures in the region — the site of the Chu capital of Ying — suggests that they were central to Chu burial customs at this time. A smaller number have been excavated from other Chu sites in the provinces of Hunan and Henan, but so far none has been reported from outside Chu territory.

Woodcarving, together with sophisticated joinery techniques, seems to have been exceptionally advanced in Chu. A wide variety of lacquered wood artifacts, including various articles of furniture, have been recovered from Chu tombs, whose waterlogged conditions permitted their survival. Unlike other lacquered wood articles, which fulfilled a real-life use prior to being buried, the monster figures were probably made specifically for burial. Almost invariably, they are placed in the chamber of the tomb closest to the head of the tomb occupant and face inwards toward the occupant. Among the various identifications of these figures with imaginary creatures mentioned in ancient texts that have been proposed, the most plausible identifies them as representations of Tu Bo, Lord of the Underworld. The *Zhao hun* (Summons of the soul), one of the *Chu ci* (Songs of Chu), describes Tu Bo as “nine-coiled, with dreadful horns on his forehead, and a great humped back.”

Although the twin-headed version from Tianxingguan Tomb 1 is by no means unparalleled, the majority of the surviving figures possess only single heads. These seem to have evolved during the course of the fifth century BCE from much simpler supports for antlers. The earliest known example, excavated from a sixth-century BCE Chu tomb at Dangyang Caojiagang, lacks eyes or tongue. Even simpler are a small number of bases in bronze that may also have had a similar function. The earliest of
these comes from a mid-seventh century BCE tomb of a ruler of the small state of Huang (in Guangshan county in southern Henan province); another example was found in a fifth-century BCE tomb at Shaoxing in Zhejiang province. The widespread distribution of these stands, as well as the occasional finds of antlers in tombs in Shanxi and Shandong provinces, implies that belief in the magical efficacy of antlers enjoyed a broad currency in ancient China. The cult of antlers, however, seems to have been particularly strong in the Chu region: carved wood deer sculptures with real antlers are frequently found in the larger Chu tombs, and antlers are also frequently applied to carved wood figures of birds (fig. 1). The cult of antlers is explored in Salmony 1954.

1 Excavated in 1978; reported: Hubei 1982, 104–105, fig. 28, pl. 237.
2 Similar antlers from tombs at Jiudian near Jiangling have been identified as those of Elaphurus davidianus. See Hubei 1995, Appendix 10, 535.
3 The most extensive publication of these figures is Hubei 1984, 107–111, figs. 88–89 and pl. 68–681–3. Hubei 1995, 298–308, figs. 196–205, and pls. 91–952. A few have also been discovered in Chu tombs in Hunan and Henan province. See Chen and Ruan 1983, 63–67.
4 See Chen and Ruan 1983, 63–67.
5 Hawkes 1985, 225. It should be noted, however, that the continuation of the passage quoted describes Tu Bo as having a tiger’s head with three eyes and a bull’s body, features that do not appear on these figures.
6 For the Guangshan stand, see Henan 1984, pl. 53. The Shaoxing stand is illustrated in Jiangsu 1984, 23, fig. 34–pl. 2. See also Mackenzie 1991, 127–128 and note 58.
7 A number of Early Western Zhou period bronzes are decorated with heads bearing antlerlike horns (see, for example, the yu in the Freer Gallery of Art illustrated in Rawson 1990, 118: 354, fig. 55.4). Two antlers were found along with Western Zhou period bronzes in a pit near Jiangling. See He 1994, 90, fig. 121–2. A bronze ornament in the form of antlers was excavated from a seventh-century BCE tomb near the site of the ancient capital of the state of Xue near Tengzhou in southern Shandong province. See Shandong 1993b, pl. 156.
8 See, for instance, the figure of a bird standing on the back of a tiger from this tomb (Hubei 1982, pl. 32). See also the bronze figure of an antlered crane from the tomb of Marquis Yi of Zeng (cat. 100) and the miniaturized antler-
Ten bamboo slips
Length 64–71 (25–27 3/4), width 0.5–0.8 (¼–¼)
Warring States Period (c. mid-fourth century BCE)
From Tianxingguan, Jiangling, Hubei Province
Jingzhou Prefecture Museum, Hubei Province.

Written with brush and ink, in the script current in Chu during the fourth century BCE, the Tianxingguan manuscripts take their place among a growing collection of similar objects excavated from Chu tombs of the Warring States period. Seventy-four unbroken bamboo slips vary from 64–71 centimeters in length and from 0.5–0.8 centimeters in width; the slips are notched in two places on the left side, upper and lower, to facilitate binding the slips together with cords. The binding cords had disintegrated long ago, leaving the unbroken slips in a jumble when they were discovered between January and March 1978 in a compartment on the west side of the burial chamber. Their original order in the manuscripts must be reconstructed by Chinese archaeologists and paleographers. This information is not yet formally published.1

One set of slips is a funerary document, an official record identifying the deceased and listing the burial goods, many of which were presented by relatives and members of the Chu elite. It is from this tomb inventory that we know the deceased was named Pan Cheng, a man who held aristocratic rank as the Lord of Diyang. Such inventories have been found in many tombs of the Warring States, Qin, and Han periods. For the deceased, the document must have served in part as a declaration of status in the other world to which he had been transferred; for archaeologists, it is an invaluable key to names for many of the artifacts, which allows the matching of words in classical literature with their corresponding material objects and deepens our knowledge of early Chinese civilization.

These bamboo slips are from the second manuscript, a record of divination and sacrifice performed on behalf of Pan Cheng over a period of years. Based on the more than half-dozen divination-sacrifice records discovered since the 1960s
in other Chu tombs, it is thought that such records are a selection of the acts of turtle divination, milfoil divination, and sacrifice performed during the several years preceding death. Perhaps the copies of such divination-sacrifice records were compiled specifically for burial in the tomb; this might be one explanation for the discovery of tomb inventories together with divination-sacrifice records in a number of Chu tombs. It is certain that men like Pan Cheng — an elite patron of religious specialists — kept such records throughout their adult lives, however. The tomb copies provide a vivid first look at the daily religion of the Warring States elite in Chu and elsewhere since turtle and milfoil divination were a shared inheritance from Shang and Zhou religious tradition.\(^{2}\)

The Tianxingguan divination-sacrifice record documents the routine of divination to obtain judgments from the spirits and their approval of sacrificial offerings — continuing the pattern of divination coupled with propitiatory and exorcistic acts that is first recorded in the Shang oracle-bone inscriptions (cats. 55–56). Among the ten bamboo slips exhibited, nos. 8 and 5 (in that order) contain nearly an entire entry from the original record. The translation, followed by an explanation, follows.\(^{3}\)

1. In the year that the Guest from Qin, Gongsun Yang, inquired after the King at Ying [the Chu capital]; in the tenth month; on the day bingxu. Gu Ding divines for the Lord of Diyang, Pan Cheng, with the Long Treasure [turtle]: "In serving the King [from the tenth month extending to the tenth month of the coming year, would that during the entire year Pan Cheng] himself experience benefit and concord." (Divination with the turtle plastron is performed.) The prediction: "The divination is ever auspicious. As there is slight concern for [Pan Cheng's] person, there is to be a rite of expulsion. According to the cause, let the rite expel it."

2. "Select a lucky day in the Cuan [eleventh] month to pledge in prayer to Grand One, one perfect ox; to the Director of the Lifemandate and to the Director of Faults, one ewe each; to the Lord of the Earth, one black sheep; and to pledge in prayer to Great Water, one perfect ox. Select a lucky day in the Xianma [twelfth] month to requite the pledge to the royal ancestors from Sire Zhuo to Sire Hui. Entertain them with the Great Animal Sacrifice [ox, sheep, pig], offering one hundred [animals]." (Divination is performed.) The prediction: "Auspicious. Throughout the term of the entire year there will be happiness."\(^{4}\)
Pan Cheng employed several turtle and milfoil diviners, each of whom possessed his own divination materials; for example, Gu Ding’s turtle plastron is called Long Treasure. The turtle diviner Fan Huozhi is named in another divination-sacrifice record from Wangshan Tomb 1 (also at Jiangling), showing that diviners provided their services to an array of clients in the region around the Chu capital at Ying.  

Excavated Chu divination-sacrifice records all follow the same basic formula. The exact date is first, with the year identified according to significant Chu events for that year. In the translated entry, the Gongsun Yang from Qin who pays his respects to the King of Chu might be none other than the famed Shang Yang, the Qin minister who reorganized Qin government in the mid-fourth century BCE. If this identification is accepted, the date of the Tianxingguan tomb should be closer to the middle than to the end of the century. The divination itself proceeds in two stages (as numbered in the translation). In the first stage, the subject of divination is stated — the words in quotation marks represent the statement uttered aloud at the original event. The act of divination follows. When the diviner uses milfoil stalks, hexagrams are written in the text (the hexagrams in the excavated divination-sacrifice records are written as numbers, not as solid and broken lines). Then comes the diviner’s prediction based on examination of the turtle plastron or hexagrams. And the prediction includes the recommendation for a ritual expulsion to avert any spiritual or demonic harm that might befall Pan Cheng.

This leads to the second stage, which entails a second divination to verify which spirits are to receive what sacrifices. The statement concerning sacrifices is followed by the diviner’s prediction (invariably, the proposed sacrifices are judged by the spirits to be auspicious). The offering of sacrifices is a two-part process. Initially, sacrifices are “pledged” (dao) to the spirits pending positive signs of spiritual assistance; subsequently, the sacrifices are actually given, thus “requiting the pledge” (sai dao). Any entry in the manuscript may include a combination of new sacrificial pledges and requitals of pledges made in previous divinations.

The excavated Chu divination-sacrifice records bear witness to the vitality of religious belief and practice among the Warring States elite. Contrary to the conventional wisdom that a kind of intellectualized humanism espoused by the philosophers had supplanted their active belief in the world of spirits and demons, the manuscript evidence reveals the elite engaged in daily religious activity and details the spirits worshiped by them. Manuscripts such as these truly shed new light on early Chinese civilization. DH

2 For further discussion of the excavated Warring States divination-sacrifice records, see Harper 1998 and Li Ling 1990, 71–86. Much of our present knowledge of this type of manuscript comes from the reproduction and transcription of the divination-sacrifice record from Baoshan Tomb 2 at Jingmen, Hubei province, published in Hubei 1991, 1364–369.
3 I must emphasize that this translation is tentative pending the full publication of the Tianxingguan manuscripts. For this translation, I have relied on the transcription of the two slips, nos. 8 and 5, prepared for this exhibition by Peng Hao of the Jingzhou Prefecture Museum, as well as on a preliminary reconstructed facsimile prepared by Wang 1989. Peng Hao has noted that slip no. 8 was originally broken, and it is not certain that the lower section of slip no. 8 has been restored correctly. I enclose this part of the translation in double brackets.
4 Li Ling 1995–1996, summarizes the archaeological and textual data concerning the supreme deity Grand One in popular religion of the Warring States, Qin, and Han periods. Among the other spirits named, the Director of the Life-mandate and the Lord of the Earth are well-known in received sources; Great Water may be a Yangzi River spirit.
5 This section of the translation placed in double brackets represents what is written on a third bamboo slip not exhibited here; the translation is based on Wang Minqin’s facsimile and transcription and is tentative.
6 For the reproduction and transcription of the Wangshan divination-sacrifice record, see Hubei 1995b.
Zhongshan was a minor kingdom situated on the sides of the Taihang Mountains. Its rulers were descended from the Di tribes, regarded as "barbarians" by their Zhou neighbors. Seldom mentioned in the historical texts, Zhongshan was apparently founded sometime before 530 BCE and flourished for approximately two centuries. By 323 BCE at the latest, its rulers had adopted the title of king, like virtually all territorial rulers in China during the Warring States period. The course of Zhongshan's history was largely determined by its relations with its more powerful neighbors; the state was temporarily annexed by Wei from 406 to 378 BCE, participated successfully in a coalition war against Yan in 312 BCE, and was finally annihilated by Zhao in 296 BCE.1

Archaeological investigations during the 1970s revealed extensive remains of the Zhongshan capital of Lingshou and the royal cemeteries on the north bank of the Hutuo River at Sanji, Pingshan (Hebei province). The capital consisted of several adjacent enclosures with pounded-earth walls and moats and resembled the capitals of neighboring kingdoms. The city's total area has not thus far been determined, and the settlement itself remains unexcavated; excavations have focused instead on the numerous cemeteries in the area.

Archaeologists located two regularly aligned complexes of royal tombs, one inside the walls of Lingshou, the other some two kilometers to the west. Following a custom introduced during the Warring States period, each ruler's tomb featured a large pounded-earth mound atop a subterranean pit. In antiquity, these mounds were covered by wooden buildings, concentrically arranged on different levels around the mound's earthen core to give an impression of multistoried architecture.2 In an undoubtedly intentional analogy to the palace compounds in the center of a walled capital, each necropolis was enclosed by several layers of walls. Remains of similar tomb complexes are still today a prominent feature of the landscape near several Warring States capitals; they are China's earliest remains of truly monumental architecture (figs. 1, 2).

The tomb buildings — the so-called xiangtang — were places of sacrifice, reflecting a new custom distinct from the rituals that had long been conducted in ancestral temples. Such sacrifices were directed to the soul of the deceased, which, according to some modern scholars' reconstruction, was thought to reside in or near these buildings.3 The notion that each person had a soul — or, according to later formulations, several souls — was new to China during the Warring States period and may have derived from areas to the west. It was linked to the evolving conception of an afterworld directed by a host of lesser gods and demons, whose hierarchy mimicked the increasingly complex bureaucracy of the Warring States period.4 These ideas constituted a major departure from the ritual regime of traditional Zhou culture, in which the main emphasis had been on kin relationships and lines of succession. From this point forward, tombs were fashioned in the image of the world of the living in order to provide an attractive dwelling for the deceased person's soul. The ritual paraphernalia and symbols of status that had dominated earlier funerary assemblages, though still present in tombs of the elite, were no
longer paramount. Instead, these tombs contain an unprecedented array of luxury items for use in daily life, as well as objects that testify to an increased preoccupation with ensuring the soul’s well-being by means of magic and the occult.

A unique inlaid-bronze diagram found in Tomb 1 in the western necropolis outside Ling-shou shows the plan of a necropolis in which the tomb of a king was to be symmetrically flanked by those of two queens and two first-degree consorts; only two of the five tombs were ever built. The central tomb (Tomb 1) is that of King Cuo, the second-to-last king of Zhongshan, who died around 308 BCE. Its mound, which originally rose to some 20 meters (including the height of the foundation platform) and measured some 60 meters on each side at the base, had eroded over time, and archaeologists salvaged only scanty remains of the colonnades surrounding a central offering hall constructed on three levels. The tomb itself stretches a length of 97 meters underground — far beyond the perimeter of the mound and its foundation platform. Two sloping passages lead into a tomb chamber measuring 25 meters square at the bottom. Looters had virtually emptied the stone-lined central burial chamber prior to the tomb’s excavation; three wooden boxlike storage compartments, however, were found on the second-level ledge surrounding the burial chamber, and two of them contained an abundance of bronze and pottery vessels, jades, and musical instruments, as well as traces of objects made of organic materials such as lacquered wood.

Aside from the main tomb pit, the underground portions of King Cuo’s tomb also included six subsidiary tombs, probably of persons closely associated with the king in life; two horse-and-chariot pits, each containing twelve horses and several chariots with their associated paraphernalia; a pit containing ten sheep and six horses; and a pit containing three boats, ap-
parently linked to the Hutuo River by a narrow underground canal. Of these, the second horse-
and-chariot pit, located to the southwest of the entrance to King Cuo’s tomb chamber, was the
least disturbed.

Inscriptions on several vessels found in the western storage compartment defend the
king’s participation in the 312 BCE war against the Yan. Couched in the sententious ritual
language of the Zhou, complete with stock phrases from classical texts, these texts extol the
political values that were being propounded by Confucian philosophers during the period.
They show that, in spite of its relative obscurity and its “barbarian” origins, Zhongshan oper-
ated fully within the contemporaneous cultural mainstream. In layout and size, as well, King
Cuo’s tomb is typical of that of a Warring States period ruler, and its assemblage of funerary
goods is the most comprehensive preserved from the highest level of society during the late
fourth century BCE. 1–5

2 Fu 1980.
4 Poo 1998.
5 The name Cuo is sometimes transcribed as Xi.
Two sets of large unornamented three-pronged bronze objects were unearthed from the royal Zhongshan tombs. Those exhibited belong to a set of five from one of two horse-and-chariot pits at the tomb of King Cuo. Unlike the specimens from Tomb 6 (at the eastern necropolis inside Lingshou), whose hollow stems were cast separately from the flat portions, those from Tomb 1 were each cast as one piece. The stems contain wood remains of up to 48 centimeters in length, indicating that these objects were mounted on poles, presumably for display; enigmatic symbols on the stems may indicate their placement. Two of the five specimens are inscribed, but these inscriptions merely give the
affiliations and names of the individuals responsible for the objects and do not indicate their function or significance. An earlier description of these objects identified them as the top parts of standards intended to represent the nomadic, tent-dwelling “barbarian” origins of the Di. But the Di were in fact mountain dwellers who had pursued a settled agricultural livelihood for several millennia prior to the rise of the Zhongshan kingdom. Although they probably lacked political structures that rose to the level of a state until sometime around the middle of the first millennium BCE, the structure of their lineages paralleled that of the Shang and Zhou, with whom they interacted and intermarried over many generations. Indeed, the tombs at Sanji conform with the ritual conventions established throughout the Zhou culture.

Given the Di’s high degree of assimilation into the ways of their Zhou neighbors, these and similar objects are more plausibly viewed as insignia of Warring States rulers in general than as part of a cultural heritage unique to their Di owners. That they have so far been found only in Zhongshan is probably attributable to the fact that all other excavated tombs of comparable rank were looted long before excavation.

The excavators believe that the three-pronged shape was intended to evoke the character shan, “mountain” (which forms part of the name Zhongshan), and they identify these objects as specific symbols of that state, while acknowledging that shan-shaped motifs appear elsewhere in art of the Warring States period. The objects resemble pronged bronze fittings on coffins in several aristocratic tombs in northern China dating to the ninth to fifth centuries BCE. Three-pronged motifs also occur in Han and later iconography in connection with the cult of immortality. The objects may have had a specific relation to their funerary context, perhaps serving to avert evil from the tomb — or to conjure up the assistance of demonic powers; such associations would accord with evolving notions during the Warring States period about tombs and the afterlife.

1 Excavated in 1977 (CHMK2:1,2); published: Hebei 1979, 31, fig. 46; Tokyo 1981, no. 1; Li Xueqin 1985, 104, fig. 44; Li Xueqin 1986, 2: no. 109; Hebei 1995, 102–103, 2: pl. 86; So 1995, 67, fig. 123.
2 Their official affiliation was Zuoshiku gong, “Workers [attached to] the Official Treasury of the Left”; their personal names were Xi and Cai (Hebei 1995, 1436–1437). These were probably not the bronzecasters but, rather, low-ranking administrators.
3 So 1980, 319.
Bronze winged mythical animal inlaid with silver

Height 24 (9 1/4), length 40 (15 3/4)
Middle Warring States Period, late fourth century BCE
From Tomb 1 at Sanji, Pingshan, Hebei Province
Hebei Provincial Cultural Relics Institute, Shijiazhuang

Two pairs of bronze beasts were separately placed in two storage compartments flanking King Cuo’s burial chamber. The object on exhibit comes from the eastern storage compartment. Inscriptions indicate that the two pairs had belonged to two different official treasuries (shiku) at King Cuo’s court. In each pair, the heads of the beasts turn in opposite directions; depending on their placement, the beasts face toward or away from each other. The four are otherwise identical in shape and ornamentation, an indication that they were manufactured together. The individuals whose names are inscribed on the objects must have been the officials responsible for the objects when they were displayed at the royal residence or during rituals. To prevent theft or paring of the metals, many of the objects found in King Cuo’s tomb had their exact weight inscribed (presumably corresponding to records kept in treasury inventories). The inscriptions on two of the winged beasts—one of each pair—indicate an intention to record the weight, but for some reason the amounts were never inscribed.

While most large animal-shaped bronzes from the Chinese Bronze Age are vessels (cat. 76), King Cuo’s tomb yielded a remarkable array of specimens that could not have been put to such a use. Nevertheless, most of these objects probably served a utilitarian function. Sockets on the backs of three of the most famous such bronzes, for instance, indicate that the objects may have been supports for a paneled screen. The original function of the four winged mythical beasts, however, is unknown. The excavators suggest that they might have served
to weigh down the mat on which the king was seated. (Chairs came into common use in China only during the tenth century CE.)

These beasts combine the features of several animals — tigers, reptiles, and birds. The ornamentation, inlaid in gold and silver, serves in part to accentuate the zoomorphic features; the exuberant feather pattern on the wings is especially noteworthy. Elsewhere, abstract spiral designs predominate; in the center of the back, these spirals take the shape of two symmetrical, curled, bird-headed animals.

Winged dragons and felines first occur in Chinese art during the mid-fifth century BCE. Jessica Rawson has suggested that they derive from the Near East (see cat. 133); they may have reached China by way of Iranian or Scythian intermediaries. By the time of King Cuo, in any event, this iconography was well established all throughout the area of Zhou culture, and it would be erroneous to tie its occurrence in this tomb to the “non-Chinese” identity of the Zhongshan kings. In artistic terms, the elegant, dynamic shape of these winged beasts is light-years away from any known western Asian prototypes, unmistakably indicating a Late Zhou sensibility. The aggressive stance of the animals — clawed feet spaced far apart, front lowered and

hindquarters raised — and their open wings and grimacing mouths suggest that the beasts are ready to spring at some imaginary attacker. Such creatures are the fountainhead of a long tradition of winged protecting beasts (bixie) placed inside or in front of tombs. As part of a demonic iconography that began to evolve during the Warring States period, they may also have been associated with immortality and travel through limitless space.

1 Excavated in 1978 (MI 0x136); published: Hebei 1979, pl. 3:1; Tokyo 1986, no. 43; Li Xueqin 1986, 2: no. 108; Thorp 1988b, no. 63; Hayashi 1988b, fig. 3 – 297; Hebei 1995, cover, 1339 – 141, 143, fig. 51, and 2: color pl. 16.1, pl. 94:1. So 1995: 66, fig. 121.


3 In spite of the excavators’ assertions (Hebei 1995, 1404), the treasuries mentioned in the inscriptions consequently cannot be identical with the workshops in which these items were manufactured.

4 Hayashi (1988b, 395) classifies them as “running dragons.”
Bronze mythical animal inlaid with gold and silver
Middle Warring States Period, late fourth century BCE
Height 12.1 (4 3/4), length 21.8 (8 ft)
From Tomb 1 at Sanji, Pingshan, Hebei Province
Hebei Provincial Cultural Relics Institute, Shijiazhuang, Hebei Province

Two bronze beasts, differing from each another only in the shape of their tails, were found in the east storage compartment flanking King Cuo’s burial chamber. Both objects were partly encrusted with an unknown black material and show visible signs of wear. The excavators speculate that the paired objects may have been supports for a paneled screen or low table or used to weigh down a mat.

Like the winged beast (cat. 121), the animal here depicted displays hybrid characteristics: its muzzle resembles that of a tiger, but it has two short horns near the ears, while its cloven hooves are bovine, as is shape of the body. The sophisticated inlaid ornamentation, which accentuates some of the animal’s features, consists principally of abstract spirals in gold and silver on a red ground; the spiral patterns are interrupted by a band around the animal’s neck that resembles the numerous dog collars discovered in King Cuo’s tomb. The collar design may indicate that these supernatural beasts had been tamed and brought under the king’s control.

Excavated in 1978 (91 DE-38); published: Hebei 1979, pl. 51; Hebei 1995, 1: 138–139, 142, fig. 50; 2: color pl. 15:1; pl. 93.
The history of imperial China, lasting more than two thousand years, has been amply documented in officially sponsored dynastic chronicles, supplemented by classic literature and yeshi — unofficial histories — that provide valuable information on particular states, cultures, peoples, customs, and events. These records, however, devote little attention to art and aesthetics, and tracing that history has largely fallen to archaeology. While in many cases, the historical records have pointed excavators in specific directions or have assisted in identifying the owners of particular tombs, the texts are more often silent on the wonders of recently discovered imperial art. Sima Qian’s Shi ji (Records of the historian), for example, contains a detailed account of the First Emperor’s mausoleum, and places it near the present-day city of Xi’an. Archaeological surveys located the necropolis, but even Sima Qian’s extravagant description of the splendors of the mausoleum did not prepare archaeologists for an astonishing discovery a few hundred meters from the tomb: the First Emperor’s underground army, comprising more than seven thousand life-size terra-cotta statues of officers, footsoldiers, archers, charioteers, and horses (cats. 123–128).

The grandeur of the underground army mirrors the ambitions and accomplishments of the First Emperor, who united squabbling, disparate kingdoms in 221 BCE to create China’s first centralized government. The unification of China during his reign and its consolidation during the ensuing Han dynasty resulted in a cultural and artistic synthesis, manifested by stylistic similarities that often surmount great distances. The Han prince Liu Sheng, buried at Mancheng in the northern province of Hebei, and the King of Nanyue, buried at Xianggang in the southern province of Guangdong — separated by 3,500 kilometers as the crow flies — were encased in remarkably similar armorlike shrouds composed of thousand of pieces of jade (compare cats. 129 and 139).

Cultural exchange and assimilation, facilitated by diplomacy and trade, opened China to the outside world, and Chinese art of the imperial era provides tangible evidence of these contacts. The most celebrated of the trade routes — the Silk Road — extended from continental China to Western Asia (and ultimately to Europe), but there were other routes to other regions as well. Trade through the South China Sea — the “Ocean Silk Road” — linked the mainland to southern and western Asia, and the influences of these regions are embodied in burial artifacts from the King of Nanyue’s tomb (see cats. 138–150).1 A second route, which connected the present-day southwestern regions of Sichuan, Guizhou, Yunnan, Tibet, and Guangxi to southeastern Asia and India,2 was an additional avenue for social and artistic contacts. Buddhism, which originated in the Indian subcontinent, was embraced by the Chinese (prior to the twentieth century, it was in fact the only “foreign” religion that truly took root throughout China3), and objects discovered in the crypt of the Famen Monastery pagoda (cats. 160–168) testify to its profound influence. Buddhist imagery — in particular, painted stone sculptures of sinicized Buddhas and bodhisattvas discovered at Qingzhou in Shandong province (the farthest reaches

Cat. 168, detail
of eastern China) (cats. 151–153) — is evidence of the extent to which “non-Chinese” religion and aesthetics informed the art of imperial China.

In the early stages, Chinese art and civilization evolved from indigenous cultures; with the development of trade and social contracts, however, elements of foreign cultures became increasingly apparent. Gold and silver objects from the Tang dynasty (cats. 154–166) epitomize the integration of Chinese and foreign styles. The art of the Tang dynasty, one of the most prosperous and liberal periods in Chinese history, shows that exotica was cherished for its own sake: a bronze ewer (cat. 169), so highly valued that it was enshrined in a reliquary cache along with the sacred relics of the Buddha, was probably exported from India; glass dishes (cat. 168) found in another reliquary deposit likely came from Iran. During the Tang era many foreigners lived, studied, or worked in China; ceramic funeral figures depicting native Chinese women and clearly non-Chinese men engaging in sport or hunting (cat. 170) portray a climate of cultural exchange and coexistence.

While it provides vivid evidence of a nation engaged in the world that lay outside of its vast borders, the art of imperial China nonetheless reflects the evolution of an indigenous culture. The Han scripts on a bronze hu vessel (cat. 152) and on the seal of “Emperor Wen” (cat. 158), for example, trace their origins back to prehistoric pictographs (cat. 23), mediated by Shang oracle-bone inscriptions (cats. 55–56), Western Zhou bronze inscriptions (cats. 77–83), and inscribed Eastern Zhou bronze tallies (cat. 117) and bamboo slips (cat. 119). Tang representations of the human form (cats. 170–175) hearken back to a prehistoric terra-cotta torso (cat. 21), to bronze statues, masks, and heads of the Shang period (cats. 65–71), to the life-size terra-cotta warriors
of the First Emperor’s army (cats. 123–127), and to a miniature jade dancer (cat. 146). The material culture of imperial China reflects technological advancements that extended the range of artistic media, but even here continuities link China of the Common Era to its prehistoric antecedents. To be sure, particular materials are associated with the artifacts of specific periods: prehistoric China had a rich tradition of pottery vessels, the Three Dynasties favored bronze and lacquer, while gold, silver, and porcelain were creatively mingled with the art of imperial China. We can nonetheless trace a continuity that stretches from the Hongshan culture through the entirety of imperial China in the use of jade to create some of the most cherished — indeed, revered — works of art.

The art of imperial China embodies a distinctively humanistic, even modern, sensibility. Art that was primarily sacred, religious, ritualistic, and imaginary in its early stages, is transformed here into a secular, realistic, practical, and ultimately human aesthetic. Two examples show the extent to which the aesthetic had changed. A chime of bronze bells from the Chu culture (cat. 91) served as an element of ritual and as a mark of social status; an orchestra depicted on a Tang marble relief (cat. 175), by contrast, points to a view of the afterlife that resounds with enjoyment. 

1 An extraordinary jade rhyton (not in this exhibition) from the King of Nanyue’s tomb, reflects Central and Western Asian influence; horn-shaped cups were not traditionally made in China or its dependencies. See Guangzhou 1991, 2:202 and color pl. 15.

2 Wang Binghua argues that there were two continental trading routes: the grassland route and the oasis route, which is the better-known Silk Road. See Wang 1993. For the southwestern silk road, see Jiang 1995.

3 In the twentieth century, however, Marxism was transmitted to China from Europe and was embraced by the socialist society.
The terra-cotta army of the first Chinese emperor, Shihuangdi (r. 246–210 BCE), while undeniably a dramatic find, constitutes but one element of an enormous and complex necropolis, the construction of which reportedly began with the emperor’s accession to the throne. Its massive scale sets it apart from other burials, but the Lintong necropolis nonetheless represents a continuation of more than five centuries of Qin funerary structures and beliefs, and its design integrated elements of non-Qin funerary structures.

At the center of the necropolis, enclosed within two sets of walls lies an as-yet unexcavated underground tomb chamber, marked by an enormous tumulus. According to a famous passage in Sima Qian’s (c. 145–86 BCE) Shi ji (Records of the historian) the tomb chamber was built as a microcosm of the universe, with waterways made of mercury and depictions of celestial constellations and terrestrial topography. Excavated components of the Lintong necropolis, however, indicate that the microcosm extended beyond the tomb itself. Nearly one hundred pits, containing hundreds of horse skeletons and kneeling terra-cotta figures of grooms, were discovered to the east of the compound’s outer wall; inscriptions identify these pits as "imperial stables." Nineteen tombs located near the tumulus have yielded human remains, possibly those of officials and retainers to accompany the emperor in death. Two half-size models of chariots, each pulled by a team of four horses and manned by a driver — all carefully rendered in bronze — were buried to the west of the tumulus within the inner wall of the necropolis; they were probably intended as transport for the emperor in the afterlife. In the same pit, large quantities of the organic remains of hay were found, suggesting that these structures represented depots. Between the inner and the outer wall on the west side of the tumulus, a cluster of small pits contained clay models and the remains of various birds and animals; the pits may have been intended to represent the emperor’s parks and forests.

The terra-cotta army was found in three pits — underground wooden structures — located about 1.25 kilometers east of the tumulus. Pit 1 contained approximately six thousand warriors and horses, as well as several chariots, in battle array in eleven parallel trenches. Pit 2 contained some fourteen hundred figures — cavalrmen, infantry, and horses — as well as ninety wooden chariots. Pit 3 contained sixty-eight soldiers, one chariot, and four horses. A fourth pit, much shallower than the other structures, was empty. The contents of the first three pits were looted and the structures burned, apparently by the army of Xiang Yu, soon after their completion.

Various theories have been proposed regarding the configuration of the underground vaults. A standard view maintains that Pit 1 represents the right (or main) imperial army, Pit 2 the left army, Pit 3 the command, and the unfinished fourth pit the central army. Another theory suggests that the pits themselves were not constructed merely as an ersatz army, but rather as a staging of typical situations in which the Qin army might be engaged. Pit 1 thus depicts the deployment of the Qin imperial guard in battle formation; Pit 2 represents the army's barracks; Pit 3 depicts a scene at military headquarters; Pit 4 — the "unfinished pit" — is the ground of battle. Under this reading, the group of pits might have represented the Qin
forces symbolically defending the imperial city against invaders or, alternatively, mounting an aggressive campaign of conquest. The terra-cotta army should in any event be viewed as a complex representation — both a substitute for a “real army” and a theatrical enactment.

The sculptures have often been characterized as masterpieces of naturalistic art. However, far from being simply realistic, the significance of the figures lies in the interplay of the stylized rendering of human body with the close transcription of details of body parts and outfits, such as belts and belt hooks, boots, armor, and coiffures. The effect of verisimilitude is further enhanced by veristic painting and the real bronze weapons which the figures carried. These components literally transcribed the appearance of each figure’s attributes. Together with postures and gestures, which spatially define and therefore differentiate the function of individual figures within the entire configuration, they represent the specific rank and function of each soldier.6

The First Emperor’s terra-cotta army constitutes the first known instance of the massive deployment of tomb figures in early China. The use of figurines and models in the mortuary context developed during the Middle and Late Eastern Zhou periods, particularly within the territory of Qin state. Small anthropomorphic clay figures have been unearthed from several Qin tombs that predate the Lintong necropolis; pottery models of granaries have been found in late sixth-century BCE Qin tombs.7 A separate tradition of wooden tomb figures developed toward the end of the Eastern Zhou period in another area with distinct cultural traits — the state of Chu.8 Such figures and models and other miniature or nonfunctional objects are collectively termed mingqi (“spirit articles”), and they have been traditionally viewed as substitutes for the animals and human victims sacrificed at burials, as well as surrogates for objects of value placed in the tombs.9 Research based on recent archaeological finds, however, suggests that these objects in fact constitute an integral part of the strategy to re-create — in the tomb — the earthly dwelling of the deceased. This concept of a tomb as a living environment modeled on the mundane world gained currency during the Late Eastern Zhou period; it may have originated within the territory of the Qin state and evolved more quickly in this region than in the Zhou territories.10

The replication of the living world in tombs and the widespread use of mingqi models and figures to furnish and populate that environment have been interpreted by some scholars as reflecting a new religious trend that emphasized the separation of the dead from the living,11 or the material manifestation of new religious ideas motivated by structural changes in Late Eastern Zhou society.12 The Lintong necropolis suggests a slightly different possibility: it made sense for the designer, whoever he was, to use different modes of representation and to employ elements with varying degrees of verisimilitude. It contained both “real” things — sacrificed humans and animals, actual weapons, hay — that were, properly speaking, presented, and elements such as the terra-cotta army that were re-presented. The goal of the ritual specialists and artisans responsible for the First Emperor’s posthumous abode was not to illustrate or to follow
Excavation photographs of the terra-cotta army pits. Top left and bottom: Pit 1; top right: Pit 2.
some precise metaphysical idea but to produce a self-sustaining version of the world — a fictive and efficacious reality. The practical constraints of such image-making must have played a decisive role in the creation of the First Emperor’s necropolis. For how, after all, does one reproduce “all the myriad waterways,” or the requisite personnel and matériel of an entire army? How does one supervise the countless logistic, technological, and aesthetic problems implicated in re-creating the world?

Supported by the unparalleled power and economic resources of the state and using all available representational modes and strategies, the First Emperor’s necropolis could have been created as a comprehensive replica of the real world. Chinese tombs and burials signified the power and status of their builders and occupants: during the Bronze Age, the ability to sacrifice the lives of retainers, soldiers, concubines, or animals, or to put precious articles into the tomb constituted a sign of power; by the Qin period, the ability to have them depicted — possessing the aesthetic, cognitive, technological, and economic resources to reproduce the world — became a more efficient way of asserting power and status.

The terra-cotta army and the Lintong necropolis show that complex representation is not a result or fulfillment of some preconceived religious doctrine, nor a mirror of Qin ideology. Rather, the most consistent ideas regarding the afterlife are to be found in the tombs and monuments themselves, where current metaphysical and religious conceptions intersected with personal wishes and anxieties and were transformed by the practical constraints and conditions of making the afterlife a material reality.}

1 For a detailed treatment of the various aspects of the terra-cotta army, see the report on the excavation of Pit 1, Shaanxi 1988b; Yuan 1990; Wang 1994a; Ledderose and Schlombs 1990, and Kesner 1995, all of which contain extensive references to further sources.
3 Yuan 1990, 36; Wang 1987, 41–42.
5 The stylistic aspects of the figures are discussed in Kesner 1995.
6 The stylistic aspects of the figures are discussed in Kesner 1995.
8 See, for example, Hubei 1984, pls. 69–71; Henan 1986, pls. 106–108.
9 For the concept of mingqi, see Cai 1986 and discussion in Kesner 1995, 116–117, with further references.
14 This is more fully developed in Kesner 1995 and Kesner 1996.
Terra-cotta figure of a high-ranking officer

Height 192 (75 ⅜)
Qin Dynasty, third century BCE (c. 210)
From Pit 1 at Xiyangcun, Lintong, Shaanxi Province

Qin Terra-cotta Museum, Lintong, Shaanxi Province

The height, clothing, and headgear of this officer all indicate his high rank. He wears a double-layered tunic under a fish-scale armor apron, and a rectangular cap tied with ribbons under the chin. His sleeves are half-rolled and his hands are folded across his belly, his left index finger raised as if resting on a long sword. One of seven similar figures found in Pits 1 and 2, it was positioned directly behind one of the chariots in the second column of Pit 1, as if riding into battle. The seven figures have been identified as generals (jiangjun), but it is more likely that they represent officers (gongcheng) of the eighth of the Qin army’s twenty grades. The highest-ranking commanders of the Qin forces are not represented in the terra-cotta army.

The production of the figures that compose the army was a large-scale, workshop operation that involved standardized, prefabricated components. The torsos were modeled from the bottom up using coiled strips of coarse clay. Heads and hands were usually made in composite molds (as were individual elements such as ears) and assembled to form the figure, which was then covered with a fine clay slip; separately cast details (such as belt hooks) were then attached to the slip-coated figure. Armor and physiognomy were detailed by low-relief carving and incised lines. The figures were fired (at temperatures of around 1000 degrees Celsius) and subsequently painted with pigments suspended in a lacquer base. Only faint traces of the original color remain, but it is clear that the craftsmen sought to reproduce the colors of the armor and garments worn by specific ranks of warriors.

Creating the terra-cotta army must have posed formidable technological and logistical challenges, and it stands as a monument to administrative efficiency as much as an artistic achievement.
Cross section of one of the pits, showing wood supports and the disposition of the figures. After Shaanxi 1988b, 44: fig. 19.

No written record regarding its production has survived, but simply procuring and transporting the large volume of requisite raw materials and supervising the manufacture must have involved meticulous planning and coordination — although administrative efficiency was characteristic of Qin society. The use of prefabricated (often molded) components or modules, which rationalized production to a great degree, can be viewed as another instance of the pervasive standardization efforts that characterized other areas of Qin society.5

The human body had played a relatively minor role in Bronze Age Chinese art. Creating the tomb as a microcosm, however, provided an impetus for the development of figural art. While there are precedents for the use of anthropomorphic clay models in Qin tombs prior to the First Emperor’s burial, the Qin sculptures represent a quantum leap from these small, stylistically rather simple works.6

The First Emperor’s terra-cotta army was emulated on a more modest scale in Han mortuary art. In several terra-cotta armies excavated from second-century Western Han tombs (the twenty-five hundred soldiers from Yangjiawan near Xi’an, or figures from pits around the tomb of the Han emperor Jingdi), the monumentality of the Qin army figures gives way to a more organic, three-dimensional style.7

2 Several authors have sought to associate particular figures with specific Qin military ranks recorded in contemporary texts. The most exhaustive treatment is provided by Wang (1994a, 168–208), who suggests that the eighth rank is the highest rank represented in the First Emperor’s terra-cotta army. See also Chen and Lu 1985.
3 Regarding mass-production with respect to Chinese artistic practices, see Ledderose 1992.
4 Details of production are discussed in Yuan 1990, 339–352; Shaanxi 1988b, 155–158; Schlombs 1990.
5 Bodde (1986, 52–64) discusses Qin efforts at standardization.
7 For the Yangjiawan terra-cotta army, see Shaanxi 1977; for the figures from the Jingdi mausoleum, see Mou 1992; for the relationship between the Qin terra-cotta figures and their Han antecedents, see Wang 1994a, 450–471.

EARLY IMPERIAL CHINA
Terra-cotta figure of a middle-ranking officer

Height 190 (74 3/4"

Qin Dynasty, third century BCE (c. 210)

From Pit 2 at Xiyangcun, Lintong, Shaanxi Province

Qin Terra-cotta Museum, Lintong, Shaanxi Province

A member of an infantry formation accompanying a chariot, this middle-ranking officer is distinguished from the lower-ranking soldiers by his armor of overlapping rectangular plates (representing lacquer-coated leather), joined with cords and rivets; épaulettes cover his shoulders and upper arms. A tunic extends below his knees, and he wears squared shoes. The figure’s left hand probably originally held a sword; the fingers of the right hand grasp another weapon (now lost). The hands of the Qin army figures were created through a combination of molding and modeling and then inserted into the hollow arms. Their manufacture exemplifies the module system, which rationalized and speeded the production process: using double- or single-section molds, the artisans created palms, to which fingers (usually separately modeled) were then attached. Working with a limited number of prefabricated variations, the sculptors created several basic forms—hands with fingers bent or with fingers outstretched—that could be fitted to various types of bodies.

The officer’s face, with its elaborately styled mustache and beard, displays a remarkably vivid, attentive expression; individualized features include the incised wrinkles that crease his forehead. The detailed treatment of the Qin warriors’ faces has led some scholars to identify the figures as portraits of individuals; others have divided the physiognomies into types and identified these with particular regions from which the ranks of the Qin army were drawn. The faces, however, are to a large extent stereotypical, a fact directly related to their mass production. The artisans used a variety of standardized, molded components to create the heads of the figures; combinations of particular elements and hand finishing “individualized” the figures. That the terra-figures do not convey distinct, indi-
Individual physiognomies is not merely the consequence of constraints imposed by serial production; rather such methods of production fitted well with the intended purpose. There was no need to replicate the specific individuals who composed the army — only their functions, since their personalities were fully subsumed by their roles within the organization. Nonetheless, particularizing the individual soldiers enabled the artisans to differentiate figures within groups of the same type (the cavalry, archers, infantrymen).\textsuperscript{6}

2 Ledderose 1992 discusses the modular production technique in relation to the terra-cotta army. The construction of the hands is detailed in Shaanxi 1988b, 2: pls. 143–144.
3 See, for example, Cotterell 1981, 28–29; Wang 1984, 70–73; Nie 1986, 57.
4 Wang 1984, 73.
6 For detailed treatment, see Kesner 1995.
This standing archer was found in the outermost left rank of a formation of archers that protected the infantry in the pit’s nine central trenches. The figure is lightly dressed in a long, belted tunic and short trousers; his shoes are tied to his feet with knotted strings, and his hair is braided into a top-knot. The position of the archer’s hands and the downward-pointing position of his bow suggest that he is engaged in shooting exercises.
The figure exemplifies the key stylistic qualities of Qin sculptures. Despite its slightly contrapposto stance, with feet apart — a function of the archer’s role — the figure is essentially two-dimensional. The effect of literally transcribed elements, such as his coiffure and his belt, is balanced by a certain stiffness and slightly distorted proportions. Each figure, in its posture, gestures and attributes, embodies the intrinsic parameters of a particular rank or specialization within the army.

Archery was considered one of six noble arts in ancient China — a ritual as well as a military art. Finds of bronze and copper arrowheads (approximately 45,000 were unearthed from the three pits) and elaborately decorated triggering mechanisms (which served to launch the arrow) testify to the central role of the crossbow in the arsenal of Bronze Age weaponry. The distribution of warriors armed with such long-range weapons in Pits 1 and 2 gives some indication of the awesome shooting force of an advancing Qin army.

The arm of the most common Qin crossbow measured between 135 and 145 centimeters; the “cross,” made of wood or bamboo, was approximately 76 centimeters long. The Qin army also used larger vertical bows that would have required the use of one foot to secure the bow when drawing back the string to launch an arrow.¹

¹ Excavated in 1976; reported: Shaanxi 1988b, 168–71; fig. 65.
² Regarding the crossbows, see Wang 1994b, 322–324; Ledderose and Schlombs 1990, 313–318.
Terra-cotta figure of a kneeling archer

Height 122 (48)
Qin Dynasty, third century BCE (c. 210)
From Pit 2 at Xiyangcun, Lintong, Shaanxi Province
Qin Terra-cotta Museum, Lintong, Shaanxi Province

A vanguard of some 160 figures of kneeling archers, facing east in almost identical postures, were positioned in four double-rowed corridors in the northeastern sector of Pit 2. Arrowheads, crossbow triggers, traces of wooden bows and fragments of swords and scabbards were found scattered around them. This archer is clad in trousers and a double-layered tunic covered by a jacket of armor with épaulettes. His torso is slightly turned and he gazes forward intently; his left hand, resting on his left
thigh, holds the arm of the crossbow, while his right hand is positioned near the bow’s trigger — perhaps in the act of shooting, or, alternatively, awaiting a command.²

The posture of this kneeling archer, based on an organic interplay of planes, eschews the strict frontal stance of most of the standing figures. The most striking and significant stylistic feature of Qin sculptures lies in the linking of a conceptually conceived human form with details of painstaking visual accuracy. There is no precedent in Chinese art for this massive deployment of verisimilitude. Here, the construction of the jacket, composed of lamellae joined by thongs and rivets, mimetically depicts lacquered leather armor. Details of the archer’s coiffure — three braids plaited into a chignon — as well as the texture of the sole of his shoe and the folds on his left sleeve and collar, are transcribed into plastic form. The realism extends to such details as the bending of the right foot on which the weight of the body rests, the texture of the sole, and the folds on the inner side of the left sleeve.

More than five hundred figures from the three pits bear stamped or incised characters. While most are numerical symbols, probably associated with the assignment of figures into “groups,” characters on approximately eighty-five of the figures have been identified as signatures of master potters, who would have directed teams composed of approximately ten workers.³

1 Excavated in 1997; unreported.
2 Wang (1994a, 220–223) discussing a similar figure from Pit 2, raises several interpretations of the archer’s stance.
3 The inscriptions are described in Shaanxi 1988b, 1994–207, figs. 112–119.
Terra-cotta figure of a charioteer

Height 193 (76)
Qin Dynasty, third century BCE (c. 210)
From Pit 1 at Xiyangcun, Lintong, Shaanxi Province
Qin Terra-cotta Museum, Lintong, Shaanxi Province

This figure of a charioteer was found positioned behind one of the chariots in Pit 1, accompanied by one soldier at his left and another at his right. Other groupings suggest variations in how such vehicles were manned—in some cases a driver, an officer, and a soldier; in others only a driver and a soldier. The charioteer is clad in full armor. The figure’s square-shaped bonnet, tied beneath the chin, suggests a high rank within the army.

The verisimilitude of most Qin sculptures has prompted a number of commentators to identify their style as “realistic” or “naturalistic,” a claim that ignores the marionette-like artificiality of the figures. This characteristic inheres in the subject matter itself: the warriors had to be represented in specific postures and gestures defined by their function. The conceptual aspect of their style occasionally makes these figures appear rigid—sometimes even frozen in exaggerated postures. In so doing, however, it captures the stances that embody and define—and thus differentiate—the specific function of a specific warrior within the army as a whole. The descriptive style of representation then literally transcribes each warrior’s attributes—his headgear, armor, outfit, boots, weapons—and also differentiates his function and rank within the army. Both stylistic approaches, organically intertwined, delineate aspects of the model of the figure, and establish its status as a functional component of the army.

The chariots found in the First Emperor’s necropolis are uniformly two-wheeled vehicles with a rectangular carriage linked by a single shaft to a team of four horses. Chinese archaeologists have distinguished variations among the chariots: those in Pit 2 are primarily lightweight models that presumably would have been used by an army on the offensive. Chariots were the preeminent symbol of
status during the Western Zhou period (c. 1100–771 BCE) and, together with teams of horses, were placed in the tombs of aristocrats; their use in combat during that period was probably secondary to their symbolic role. By the end of the Eastern Zhou period (770–221 [256] BCE), however, their function as a symbol of status had declined, and they played an important role in the strategy of the Qin army.

1 Excavated in 1976; unreported.
A group of four terra-cotta chariot horses

Height 170 (67)
Qin Dynasty, third century BCE (c. 210)
From Pit 1 at Xiyangcun, Lintong, Shaanxi Province
Qin Terra-cotta Museum, Lintong, Shaanxi Province

This team of four horses, ears pitched forward, eyes bulging, and nostrils dilated, compose a team pulling one of the Qin army’s chariots. They are sturdy, broad-necked animals, well-suited for their role in combat; their tails are curled to avoid interfering with the harness. An estimated five hundred sculptures of horses have been excavated from Pits 1 and 2, together with their bronze trappings and remnants of their yokes and bridles. Isolated examples of horse sculptures appear in pre-Qin
Excavation photograph of Pit 1, with traces of chariot remains.

Excavation photograph of Pit 1 (right).

dynasty art, but the formal and technical sophistication of these representations, which effectively balance realistic depiction and stylization (for example, in the rectangular form of the mane) are unprecedented.

Each sculpture is composed of several parts: the animal’s trunk was formed in three sections, each made of coiled clay strips; the legs, the neck, the head and the tail (the latter two formed in molds) were then attached to the trunk, and the entire sculpture coated with a fine clay slip. Details (the eyes, the muzzle, striations in the mane) were incised before firing, after which the horses were painted in bright colors.

Horses, represented in conjunction with human figures or individually, had become a staple element of the tomb *mingqi* by the Western Han dynasty (206 BCE – 24 CE). During the Tang dynasty (618 – 907 CE), the presence of horse figures in tombs reflected the passion of the aristocracy for these animals rather than a military function. 1

---

THE ROYAL TOMBS AT LINGSHAN, MANCHENG, HEBEI PROVINCE

By 221 BCE, King Zheng of Qin had conquered the other six states that weathered the conflicts of the Warring States period and had unified a large part of the territory we now call China. He established himself as supreme ruler — Shihuangdi (“First Emperor”) of Qin — but his empire was short-lived: Zheng’s son, who took over in 210 BCE, soon fell victim to rebellions, and the country was once more plunged into turmoil. Two contenders for power, Xiang Yu and Liu Bang, emerged from the conflict; it was Liu Bang who ultimately prevailed and established the Han dynasty (known today as the Western Han dynasty), which — but for a short interruption in the early years of the common era — endured for the better part of four hundred years.\(^1\)

The western imperial capital was situated near the present-day city of Xi’an, while Luoyang was the seat of the later eastern empire. Since the 1960s, a number of excavations have revealed the extraordinary wealth of the emperor’s court, and tombs belonging to members of the imperial family, who ruled as subordinate kings in the eastern territories, have yielded spectacular finds.\(^2\)

The tomb of Liu Sheng, the son of the emperor Jing Di (156–141 BCE) and a brother of the famous emperor Wu Di (140–87 BCE), was the first undisturbed royal Western Han tomb discovered. Liu Sheng died around 113 BCE; the contents of his tomb and that of his consort, Dou Wan, can be reliably dated to the second half of the second century BCE. The only undisturbed examples of imperial family tombs yet found, they provide a clear picture of some elements of courtly life and the character of the afterlife.

Both tombs are situated below the crest of a small hill at Pingshan near the town of Mancheng in Hebei province. Liu Sheng’s tomb faces east along a line that divides a valley between two hills, which, as Wu Hung has pointed out, would have formed pillars or gateposts guarding access to the site.\(^3\) Dou Wan’s tomb is 120 meters to the north and thus not on this alignment — an indication of her subordinate position.

The tombs were hollowed out of the limestone hills to create horizontal caves. Each has an access passage, two side-chambers for storage, a large central area, and a rear chamber in which the coffin was placed. The entrances to the tombs were carefully blocked, each with a brick wall and a cast-iron membrane. Earlier royal Han tombs located farther south at present-day Xuzhou were protected by large, squared stones. The side chambers of the tombs of Liu Sheng and Dou Wan were filled with provisions, as well as chariots and horses, for use in the afterlife. The central chamber of Liu Sheng’s tomb contained large canopies, a number of pottery lamps, and clay figures of attendants (the attendants guarding the entrance to the rear chamber were made of stone), and it seems to have been a ceremonial room in which the spirits of Liu Sheng and Dou Wan would have held feasts and taken part in ceremonies. The central chambers in both tombs seem to have contained wooden structures with tile roofs.

As an additional protection, the rear chambers of both Liu Sheng’s and Dou Wan’s tombs were lined with stone slabs. The coffin, containing the prince in his jade shroud, as well as his jade pectorals and precious vessels, was placed on the north side; a room on the south side of
the chamber contained small stone figures of servants; wine flasks (cat. 132), lamps (cats. 135 – 137), and an incense burner (cat. 134) were placed in the center room — apparently for the prince’s own use. The preponderance of jade and stone in this room suggests that these materials had particular importance in early Han concepts of the attainment of a secure afterlife. 1

1 For a vivid account of the reign of the First Emperor see Burton Watson’s translation of chapters from the Shi ji by the Han dynasty historian Sima Qian (c. 145 – 86 BCE) in Watson 1993. The biographies of Xiang Yu and Liu Bang are in Watson 1961, 137 – 121.

2 For the finds from Mancheng see the archaeological report, Zhongguo 1980b 1980.

Jade shroud sewn with gold wire, and set of plugs

Length 188 (74), width at shoulder 44.1 (17 ¾)
Western Han Dynasty, late second century BCE (c. 113)
From the tomb of Liu Sheng at Lingshan, Mancheng, Hebei Province
Hebei Provincial Museum, Shijiazhuang

The jade shroud of Liu Sheng is the most famous example of this extraordinary category of object. Since its discovery in 1968, approximately forty complete or fragmentary shrouds have been found; few are in such good condition as this example and that of Liu Sheng’s consort, Dou Wan, both of whom were buried in tombs carefully hollowed out of a mountainside at Lingshan, Mancheng, Hebei province.

Prior to their discovery, such jade shrouds were known from a number of texts. One of the fullest descriptions is found in the Han jiuyi buyi (Ancient rites of the Han dynasty) by Wei Hong, first century CE: “When the Emperor died, a pearl was placed in his mouth; his body was wrapped around with twelve layers of reddish yellow silk. Jade was used to make the garment. It had the shape of armor and the jade pieces were stitched together with gold threads.”

These jade shrouds have been treated as a Han development arising out of jade face coverings and pectorals in use as early as the Western Zhou period (see cats. 84, 85). Found primarily in eastern China, the shrouds in fact have little direct connection with the earlier tradition. The pectorals are most often found in the western provinces, and the most elaborate are at least three or four hundred years older than the shrouds. Shrouds differ markedly from one another in the details of their construction, but they are more or less uniformly composed of twelve sections: the face, the head, the front and back parts of the tunic, the arms, the gloves, the leggings and the feet (fig. 1), each consisting of closely fitting plaques drilled at the corners and sewn together with wire. In the later centuries of the Han period, different metals — gold, silver, or copper — were used to indicate the rank of the shroud’s owner, but most of the examples dating from the early period were sewn with gold. The shroud made for the King of Nanyue (cat. 139) exemplifies a lesser level of refinement: only certain sections contain drilled plaques; in these instances, silk rather than metal was used to attach the pieces to one another. Despite such variations, it seems that the design of such shrouds followed a widely disseminated model.

Liu Sheng’s shroud incorporates a Neolithic jade cong tube (see cats. 29, 30) to hold his genitals. From this and other such examples, we may infer that the Han had discovered Neolithic tombs, which they mined for jade; the large quantities of
disks in such burials may even have intrigued the Han and inspired them to place disks within the jade shrouds, as was done both for the King of Nanyue (cat. 140) and Liu Sheng.

It has often been suggested that these shrouds were intended to effect the transformation of their owners’ bodies into jade. A more plausible interpretation is that such shrouds served as armor to protect the bodies of the elite from the attacks of evil demons and forces thought to cause illness, corruption, and decay. Tombs of other members of the Liu family were sometimes also equipped with jade-bladed weapons, which were probably intended for protection. Liu Sheng was also supplied with a set of plugs to block the body apertures; their function may have been similar to that of the

earlier face coverings. Liu Sheng was also supplied with an exceptionally attractive headrest (cat. 130).

Jade shrouds were apparently made almost exclusively for members of the Liu family, the family that supplied the rulers of the Han empire. Members of the Liu family were installed as kings in small states, primarily on the eastern side of present-day China. Their large rock-cut tombs, tunneled far into the sides of small hills, were an extraordinary innovation. Generally, they consisted of a long access passage, branching into small and large chambers, and terminating in the main burial room. Liu Sheng’s had two major chambers: the front chamber, equipped with tents or canopies and vessels, may have been intended for feasting and ceremonial observances. The rear chamber was lined with stone slabs. At right stood the coffin; in the center, fine tables and utensils for eating and drinking; and at left, a preparation area in which stood stone figures of attendants. Thus, the tomb held all the necessities for daily and ceremonial life. While the artifacts suggest an afterlife of feasting and enjoyment, the jade shroud and the protective apparatus point to the anticipation of danger as well.

1 Excavated in 1968 (M 1:5188); reported: Zhongguo 1980, 346–349.
2 Thorp 1993b, 26–39.
4 Wu Hung 1993b, 147–169.
5 See a Chu kingdom tomb at Shizishan, Xuzhou, Jiangsu province, reported and illustrated in Shizishan 1998, 4–33, color pl. 1.

Gilt bronze headrest inlaid with jade

Height 17.6 (6 6/7), width 44.1 (17 1/8), depth 8.1 (3 1/4)
Western Han Dynasty, second century BCE (c. 113)
From the tomb of Liu Sheng at Lingshan, Mancheng, Hebei Province
Hebei Provincial Museum, Shijiazhuang

Gilt bronze headrests inlaid with jade appear to have been standard items in tombs prepared for members of the Liu family and their close relatives or associates. Three examples have been well published: the one exhibited here, a comparable piece from the tomb of Liu Sheng’s consort, Dou Wan, and one from a tomb at Houloushan in Xuzhou.

All three consist of a rectangular framework with three-dimensional animal heads at the two ends. The beasts flanking Liu Sheng’s headrest have a piglike yet somewhat reptilian appearance; each head points sharply upward, with a curling snout, two bulging eyes, two small ears, and the traces of some sort of horn or crest scrolling behind the ears. Small spirals inlaid with jade fragments lie behind the nostrils and between the lower part of the two eyes. Eight jade plaques decorate the two long sides of the headrest, two small plaques make up the two short sides, and a large and highly complex carved jade fills the upper surface.

Their diverse style suggests that some of the jades were made for other purposes and reused to compose this headrest. The beautifully carved jade on the upper surface has the appearance of an Eastern Zhou carving, although it likely dates from the Han period. Two S-shaped dragons, embellished with small incised scrolls, confront each other around a central point and are paired with dragons facing outward at the two ends; their long, curling snouts echo those of the beasts that form the ends of the headrest. The angular form of the dragons is reminiscent of renderings in bronze, and the small scrolls and hooks along their bodies suggest the cloud patterns that developed out of such motifs. The undulating surface and varied textures of this jade section complement the fine scrolling relief of the two upper gilt bronze edges.
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The high relief of the bronze and jade on the upper surfaces of the headrest contrasts with the markedly flatter designs on the side plaques. Each of the four larger rectangular plaques, two on one side, two on the other, with angled upper corners, frames an S-shaped tigerlike creature twisting to face the viewer. These creatures, displayed in profile with striated, curling tails, closely resemble the feline dragons depicted in other works in this exhibition (cats. 141, 145). It seems likely that these feline creatures derive from animal representations on gold or bronze plaques and harness ornaments from present-day Inner Mongolia and southern Siberia. Transformed into jade, they have been fully assimilated into their new context.  

The four smaller plaques that form the bodies of the main creatures of the headrest are D-shaped and have narrow slots carved within them; it seems likely that they were originally parts of pendant sets. Each comprises two S-shaped dragons backing onto each other; their contours form the curved edge of the plaque, and a small flattened disk-shaped object lies between their tails. The plaques at each end of the headrest also seem to represent varieties of S-shaped dragons. One bears traces of a suspension hole, and the head of a dragon looking back over its body can be discerned; it is possible that this piece and its simpler tandem at the other end of the headrest were originally intended for other uses. Such an interpretation is supported by jades in the headrest of Dou Wan, a similar (albeit much less elegant) example. The two long sides include sections of bi disks cut to fit the rectangle, and squared fragments similarly compose the top and two ends; the original patterns (criss-crosses, animal scroll borders) and composition of these constituent pieces remain clearly evident in this adaptation.

A somewhat more complex composition can be observed on the headrest from the Houloushan tomb (fig. 1). In this example, four creatures form the metal framework, their feet supporting the headrest itself, while their heads decorate the corners of the upper surface. Fragments of two S-shaped dragon pendants compose the top, and a piece of another dragon pendant forms one of the sides; jade plaques have been used to fill in extra space. The headrest is particularly interesting for the small, framelike device at the center of one side, flanked by two monster faces with ring handles. JR
FIG. 1. The top, underside, and two sides of a gilt bronze and jade headrest; length 31.2 (12 1/4); Western Han period; excavated in 1991 from Houloushan, Xuzhou, Jiangsu province. After Xuzhou 1993, 43, fig. 33.

2 Long scrolls, often called cloud scrolls, had several sources, including (as here), the dragon patterns originally developed for bronzes and adapted to jade. A lacquer painted form related to such jade and bronze designs appears on the coffin of the wife of the Marquis of Dai, buried at Mawangdui (Hunan 1973, 1: fig. 26).
3 A clear example of a jade plaque based upon a bronze ornament from the north or northwest is illustrated by So and Bunker 1995, no. 79.
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Bronze ding tripod with bear-shaped legs

Height 18.1 (7 3/16), diam. 20 (7 7/16)
Western Han Dynasty, late second century BCE (c. 113)
From the tomb of Liu Sheng at Lingshan, Mancheng, Hebei Province
Hebei Provincial Museum, Shijiazhuang

Numerous bronze vessels were found in the tomb of Liu Sheng, most of them plain utensils — basins, cauldrons, steamers, and flasks — intended for the day-to-day preparation of food. This example, however, evokes an ancient tradition of ritual ding food vessels. Between each of the two U-shaped handles on either side of the body, fastened on a small pin, an animal-like peg can be slotted beneath two of the four animals standing on the lid to hold the lid in position, or removed from this locking position and lifted backward.

It seems unlikely that this ding and the hu from the tomb of Liu Sheng (cat. 132) were intended for ritual ancestor offerings, although late Zhou and Han practices have yet to be fully explored. It may be that the plain ding and hu found in many tombs were intended for this purpose.

While this bronze has many similarities with earlier Eastern Zhou ding vessels, particularly those from the Jin state in Shanxi and its successors, it also has several characteristics that point to a later manufacture: the locking device (which suggests that the container was filled with something important that merited extra security in cooking or serving) is not seen during the earlier period, while the bear-shaped feet are a feature common to many Han bronzes. (Freestanding bear-shaped feet seem to have been intended to support lacquered vessels that have since decayed and disappeared.)

Bears are somewhat unusual in the repertoire of ancient Chinese animal motifs, and no bronze examples are known from the Shang or the Zhou periods. While the bear figure may have been a Han innovation, part of a repertoire of new designs, two other sources for the image have also been proposed. It may have been borrowed from Western Asian, Central Asian, and Siberian images of “the
fierce creatures." In western regions, such figures usually took the form of lions; in the Far East (where lions were unknown), the creatures were generally rendered as tigers or bears. On the other hand, bears may have been considered important spiritual forces in Siberia, where they were probably more prevalent than they were in China; it may be that, in seeking out practices from lands adjacent to them, the Han learned of the powers of the bear. Certainly the spiritual force of the bear was deployed in exorcism rites. The Zhou li (Rites of Zhou) contains a famous passage describing an exorcist: “In his official function, he wears [over his head] a bearksin having four eyes of gold, and is clad in a black upper garment and a red lower garment. Grasping his lance and brandishing his shield, he leads the many officials to perform the seasonal exorcism (no), searching through houses and driving out pestilences.”

Bears also appear in a number of texts that describe landscapes, particularly in fu poetry, and in descriptions of animal combats organized by emperors and princes to demonstrate their harmony with and control of the natural world. Such natural combats were often linked to the feats of the Yellow Emperor, one of the most venerated Late Zhou and Early Han deities.

Bear-shaped attachments were prevalent for only a relatively short period, being most widely used in the Western Han period and then gradually diminishing and disappearing. References to bears do not appear regularly in Han texts and indeed seem to diminish in frequency through the course of the period.  

---

1 Excavated in 1968 (M 1:4102); reported: Zhongguo 1980b, 1:49 – 53.  
2 See finds from Shiqiao at Xuzhou, Jiangsu province (Xuzhou 1984, 22 – 40, figs. 54, 56).  
3 Quoted after Bodde 1975, 78.  
4 Lewis 1990, 195 – 212.
Bronze hu vessel with bird-script design

Height 44.2 (17 ⅞), diam. 28 (11)
Western Han Dynasty, late second century BCE (c. 113)
From the tomb of Liu Sheng at Lingshan, Mancheng, Hebei Province
Hebei Provincial Museum, Shijiazhuang

Eastern Zhou and Han inlaid bronzes of this quality are exceptionally rare. Two similar wine vessels, clearly prized possessions, were found in the personal chamber of the king; the two share the form of Han wine flasks, differing from each other in the decoration of their lids and in the designs of their horizontal bands.

Set on a small sloping foot, this elegant vessel has a round, bulging belly and a short, curved neck.
that splays outward to a domed lid with three flanges on its top; two animal-headed masks hold ring handles on the two sides. Fine curving patterns—inlaid inscriptions composed of elaborate bird-script characters—decorate the main bands of the vessel and the lid; the narrow relief borders of the body and the foot are inlaid with designs of exotic animals, which seem to emerge from the scrolls.

The inscriptions on this hu vessel suggest that the flask held wine for the occupant of the tomb, with the expectation that his afterlife was to be enjoyed. The inscriptions have been studied by a number of scholars, and the following translation proposed:

1. **Lid inscription**
   We have made a cover with an inscription of gold inlay. There are three words to a sentence and it is decorated with fish patterns.

2. **Neck inscription**
   Ordinarily a xizun was used, but now we have adopted the hu.
   The cover is round with four bands of patterned decoration.
   When with pleasant emotions, we gather to eat and drink.
   It will be a grand occasion with abundant flavors.

3. **Body inscription**
   Fine food fills the gates to the bursting point.
   The more sustenance, the more we become fat and healthy.
   We desire longevity and the dispelling of disease.
   Even 10,000 years would not be too much. A similar inscription is found on the second vessel. The decoration of the bands seems to draw on several sources: angular designs sprouting small quills appear on Eastern Zhou and Early Han objects, such as the jade in the upper part of the headrest (cat. 130), and similar designs are found on the coffin of the wife of the Marquis of Dai. Exotic animals also appear in lacquer painting, particularly on pieces from Mawangdui in Hunan province.
Bronze foot in the shape of a bear standing on top of a bird

Height 11.6 (4 3/8)
Western Han Dynasty, late second century BCE (c. 113)
From the tomb of Liu Sheng at Lingshan, Mancheng, Hebei Province
Hebei Provincial Museum, Shijiazhuang

This small bronze shows a four-legged beast standing on the wings of a bird. The extraordinary creature appears to be some sort of feline or bear but a female in any event, with a large protruding (perhaps pregnant) belly and pendulous breasts. The right arm is raised to scratch behind its right ear, while the left arm is pushed down and braced against the knee. The creature appears to crouch on the back of a resting bird; one foot rests on the joint of the bird’s wing, while the other rests on the body of the bird, which faces forward and has a large beak, round eyes, and two earlike extensions. The bird’s long wings are drawn backward, and from behind rises a plume or tail that seems to have eye decoration, referring perhaps to a peacock.

The image of one creature standing on a bird or another creature is readily linked with large wooden lacquered sculptures from the Chu state. In examples from late Chu tombs (third century BCE), such as that at Yutaishan, Jiangling, Hubei province, birds stand on crouching felines. The birds often have wings of antlers (see cat. 118), and appear to be guardian figures.

A variant on this Chu motif appears in an ornament from the tomb of Dou Wan: a bird (which would originally have held a pair of tubular cups behind its wings) stands on a small feline. This shape was developed directly from another Chu type—a double cup supported by a bird, such as that found in the Chu tomb at Baoshan. It is possible that this bronze is a variant on this theme. Here the feline and bird have been reversed in position, but they retain the motif of an upright creature standing on a crouching one.
The pregnant female figure, however, may have a much more exotic origin: late versions of the Egyptian goddess Tauert, known in small figurines in the shape of an upstanding pregnant hippopotamus have come to light in parts of the Near East or Iran. (An agate carving of this pregnant figure, with a lion’s head, seems to have been made in Iran.)

This foot or support may incorporate features borrowed from peoples along the north and western peripheries of the area; other features, in particular incense burners and bears, were adopted from the border areas and substantially modified by the Han to suit their own visual context and above all their own symbolic or iconographic significances. This medley of sources is characteristic of the richness, vitality, and originality of Han culture.

1 Excavated in 1968 (M 14146); reported: Zhongguo 1988b, 135–96.
2 Hubei 1991, color pl. 6.2, 3.
3 Rawson 1998b, 44–47.
Bronze *boshanlu* censer inlaid with gold

Height 26.0 (10 ⅜), diam. 15.5 (6 ⅛)
Western Han Dynasty, late second century BCE (c. 113)
From the tomb of Liu Sheng at Lingshan, Mancheng, Hebei Province
Hebei Provincial Museum, Shijiazhuang

The censer is exceptional both in its casting and in its fine inlaid decoration. Swirling dragons emerge from an openwork circular foot to support a cup-shaped basin; the sea surges around large rocks, which rise to form peaks around the basin’s lip. A tall rocky mountain, populated by small relief creatures and humanlike beings, forms the lid (fig. 1), pierced by large holes between the crags.

Solid gold bands with fine incised lines form the censer’s base. Thin linear inlays and small striations and circles indicate the texture of the dragons’ skin. The waves and their breaking crests are imaginatively suggested by large inlaid gold scrolls with pointed tips and small cloudlike extensions, echoed in striations on the outcrops and on the mountain itself. While the inlay closely resembles a cloud scroll, it is plausibly a representation of *qi* — the ultimate force or power of the universe, embodied in clouds or moving waters, out of which “all things condense and into which they dissolve.”

(The concept of *qi* was formulated gradually during the latter part of the Eastern Zhou period and dominated Chinese thought from the Han period onward.)

Such *boshanlu* (“universal mountain”) censers were common during the Western Han, but do not seem to have existed prior to that period. During the Late Eastern Zhou period, other forms of censers seem to have been used, including openwork bucket-shaped bronzes, which supported burning aromatic branches or twigs. Earlier ceramic and metalwork censers were formed by bowls on stemmed feet, often with openwork covers composed of animal figures; some of these resemble creatures employed in decorative bronzework by peoples on the borders of the Han empire, and it is...
 possible that the use of incense may have been stimulated in part by exchanges and contacts with the inhabitants of these areas; peoples on the southern borders of Siberia are known to have inhaled narcotics from basins in which hot stones were placed. This practice may have stimulated the development of incense burners in China.3

Over a relatively short time, the decorated, covered censer was fully integrated into a system of associations and meanings through the boshan lu form. The representation of a miraculous island supported by dragons may refer to the islands of Penglai, thought to be situated in the eastern sea. The First Emperor of Qin sent envoys with young boys and girls to seek out these islands in the hope of finding the drug of immortality; the islands disappeared into the sea when the voyagers glimpsed them and sought to land.5 The Han image of mountains, however, had a broader symbolic import as well: Mount Taishan in Shandong province, in particular, was viewed as one of the main routes of access to the worlds of the immortals and to the dwelling of the Celestial Deity in the stars. Thus, the imagery of the mountain-shaped censer may embody as well the identification of tall mountains as routes to the spirit world. Indeed, it has often been suggested that such imagery refers specifically to Mount Kunlun, a peak that reached upward to the heavens, or even to a cosmic pillar joining the earth to the heavens.6

1 Excavated in 1968 (M 1532); reported: Zhongguo 1980b, 155–66.
2 For the formulation of the notion of qi during the period, see Graham 1989, 101–104 and references in the index.
4 See Rudenko 1970, 284.
5 Watson, 1993, 49.
6 Erickson 1992; Munakata 1991, 27–34. The identification of Mount Kunlun’s location changed during the Eastern Zhou and Han periods; it was first thought to be situated in the north, and later, in the west. See also Major 1995, 158–159.
Lamps appear relatively late in the repertoire of Chinese bronzes, and seem to have come into use only during the Eastern Zhou period. Fine examples dating to the mid-fourth century have come from the tombs of the early Zhongshan kingdom of the Di people; these tombs contain spectacular examples, one in the shape of a tree, from which hang various monkeys, and another in the form of an unusual being, perhaps an immortal, holding lamp trays supported by snakes. Other pieces from the Chu state in the south include lamps held in the
hands of servants or immortals and one in the shape of a man holding a lamp riding a camel.\(^2\)

How interiors were illuminated prior to the appearance of lamps remains an unresolved question; wicks may have been placed in oil, within simple ceramic bowls, and torches and flares may have been used in larger buildings.

Whatever their origin, one of the extraordinary features of these lamps is the wide variety of forms and fine materials used. A simple standard form, resembling the ancient dou vessels, consists of a tray on a tall foot, with a spike (perhaps to hold a wick) and a tall stem.\(^3\) A number of such lamps were found in the tombs of Liu Sheng and his consort Dou Wan. This ram-shaped lamp\(^4\) is a relatively unassuming example of more exotic forms originating particularly in the center and north of China.\(^5\)

Ram-shaped objects may have had specific symbolic connotations for their owners. The character for “ram” resembles xiang, “auspicious,” and it is likely that the associations of the two would have been prized. Moreover, rams, as well as deer and camels, were popular subjects for harness and belt ornaments. These subjects may have been introduced from distant kingdoms. Artifacts, designs, and techniques borrowed from the border areas were probably not viewed as inferior and in fact may even have been cherished for their association with peoples purportedly in contact with strange deities and spirits thought to live beyond the limits of the known world. \(^1\)

1 Lee 1998, no. 54; Rawson 1996, no. 74.
2 Hubei 1996, color pl. 5.
3 For an account of Qin and Han lamps, see Ye 1983. See also Sun Ji 1991, 351–357.
5 Capon 1992, no. 32.
Bronze bird-shaped lamp

Height 30 (11¾), diam. 19.0 (7½)
Western Han Dynasty, late second century BCE (c. 113)
From the tomb of Dou Wan at Lingshan, Mancheng, Hebei Province
Hebei Provincial Museum, Shijiazhuang

Birds are a frequent subject for lamps and appear in several common forms. In this example, found in the tomb of Dou Wan together with cat. 137, a bird holds a ringlike tray that contained the oil or wax, divided into three sections, perhaps for three different wicks. Another kind of lamp, closely related to this form, is in the shape of a bird’s claw surmounted with a tray.

This finely modeled lamp shows a large three-dimensional bird with a long neck and heavy head and bill. The bird’s wings are outstretched, and it has a fan-shaped tail; the feathers are delineated by grooves. It stands on the back of a coiled creature with an animal head, suggesting that this is no ordinary bird but rather one endowed with supernatural or special powers; viewed from above, the bird would have appeared to hold a ring of light in its beak (fig. 1).

Birds appeared in a number of unusual objects. Basins supporting a flying bird on a central pillar have been found in the fourth-century BCE tombs of the late Western Han period.
of Zhongshan, a state ruled by the Di, who had entered Hebei province from the north. Such objects are thought to have functioned as large oil lamps. The bird would thus literally have perched in a ring of fire.

The association of birds with disks or rings of light is an allusion to the bird (normally a raven) that carried the sun on its course. Best known among such stories is that of the archer Yi, who shot down nine of ten suns (or birds) to save the world from burning. The myth found its way into images, such as a banner painting from Mawangdui that shows a black bird at the center of a red sun. The image was refined over time, and during the Han dynasty, a bird was conventionally thought to carry the sun in its beak, as this lamp clearly illustrates.

Light, particularly the light from the sun, was much sought after in the world after death, imagined as a dark and mysterious place. Lamps held by birds would presumably have brought the sun into the tomb; mirrors (frequently metaphors for the sun, moon, and stars) were preserved and buried because they too brought light.

1 Excavated in 1968 (M 2:3102); reported: Zhongguo 1980b: 261-265, fig. 176.
2 An early form of a large bird’s foot mounted with a ring was found in a third-century tomb at Shangwang in Linzi, Shandong province. This tomb also produced a lamp in the form of a circular tray on a stand with the lip of the tray held in the bird’s beak. See Linzi 1997, pl. 24 and color pl. 1.
3 Sun Ji 1996, 1-14. It is possible, however, that the basin held water.
4 Birrell 1993, 138-140. For the link between suns and birds see Allan 1991, 9-56.
5 Allan 1991, 36; Major 1993, 159-161.
6 Brashier 1995, 201-229.
Gilt bronze human-shaped lamp

Height 48 (18 7/8)
Western Han Dynasty, late second century BCE (c. 113)
From the tomb of Dou Wan at Lingshan, Mancheng, Hebei Province
Hebei Provincial Museum, Shijiazhuang

This renowned lamp is remarkable for its elegance and the aura of serenity that it conveys. A young woman, wearing a heavy robe and scarf, kneels, eyes open, mouth half-closed. A square cap falls in a sharp point behind her head. Her left arm is drawn across her body to support the lower part of the lamp; her right arm is raised, and the long sleeve appears to fall over the lamp, acting as the cover and the chimney. The lamp itself consists of two disks separated by a small stem; the upper disk has a short projecting handle and a spike in the center to hold a wick. Between this lamp tray and the chimney, two removable covers control the burning of the oil.

Six sets of inscriptions on the lamp provide some information on its history. One name mentioned repeatedly is Yang Xin Jia, thought to be the name of an imperial family member’s household, granted a warrant in 179 BCE; they lost their position in 151 BCE when a member of the family was found guilty of taking part in the uprisings of 154. It is likely, therefore, that the lamp dates to the second quarter of the second century BCE, possibly to the reign of the emperor Wen Di (179 – 157 BCE).

The inscriptions also mention the grandmother of Liu Sheng, the Empress Dowager Dou, and refer to the Changxin Palace, where the Empress Dowager lived during the mid-second century BCE. The lamp may have been given to Liu Sheng’s consort, Dou Wan, who was probably a close relative of the Empress Dowager.

Among lamps made in the Warring States period and the Han dynasty, several exceptional examples in the shapes of birds, animals, and humans have been found. Each is unique and many are made of sumptuous materials, including gilded bronze, as here, or bronze inlaid with gold and silver. Such highly prized pieces would have belonged to members of the elite. The lower gentry made do with ceramic copies; examples recovered from their tombs nonetheless rival the bronze lamps in their inventiveness and exuberance.  

Excavated in 1968 (M 2:4035); reported: Zhongguo 1980, 1:255, 259–261, fig. 173. An entry on the lamp is included in Fong 1980, no. 94.
THE TOMB OF THE KING OF NANYUE AT XIANGGANG, GUANGZHOU, GUANGDONG PROVINCE

The King of Nanyue was a member of a family that ruled a small kingdom in the area of present-day Guangzhou (Canton). Established in 203 BCE by Zhao Tuo (r. 203–137 BCE), who was named King of Nanyue by the Han emperor Gaozu in 196 BCE, the kingdom lay geographically outside of the traditional areas of Han power. However, archeological evidence from the tomb — identified as that of second ruler of the kingdom, Zhao Mo (r. 137–122 BCE), the son of Zhao Tuo — suggests that its occupant wished to be identified as a ruler equal to the imperial princes themselves; indeed, his seal (cat. 138) gives his title as “Emperor Wen.”

The tomb, lined with stone slabs, was dug into a small hillside at Xianggang in Guangzhou. Its plan is similar to that of the imperial princes’ tombs: a narrow access passage, entered through a storage area, leads into the front chamber, flanked to the left and right by side chambers for storage. The large rear chamber is divided into three sections: a central room (with a small annex for storage behind it), which contained the coffin, and two side rooms, which held the bodies of attendants and servants.

Remains of painted decoration in the central front chamber suggest the brilliance of the tomb’s original paintwork, most of which has now disappeared. The front of the tomb held vessels and musical instruments, elephant tusks and minerals — the latter perhaps intended for alchemy. The king, encased in his jade shroud, was laid in a double coffin in the central room at the back, and fine objects, including exceptional jades and a silver box, were placed at his head and feet. The bodies of four women, all supplied with mirrors and jade pectorals, were found in the eastern chamber; the western chamber contained animal remains (possibly sacrifices), as well as the bodies of individuals who may have been the king’s attendants.¹

The discovery of the tomb brought to light an extraordinary abundance of jade objects — the king’s shroud (cat. 139), numerous disks placed with the body (cats. 140–142), and plaques worn in groups suspended on cords to form pectorals (cat. 144). Jade vessels found in the tomb are far more numerous and sumptuous than those found in other royal burials; certainly they are of greater quality and quantity than those found in Liu Sheng’s tomb. It is likely, though, that even the King of Nanyue’s tomb was modest by comparison with other royal burials, such as, for example, the tombs of the Chu kings in present-day Xuzhou, which were robbed in antiquity.

The source of the king’s jades remains undetermined; they may have been carved in Nanyue by artisans from other areas or imported from Chu or the northern states; in many respects the jades resemble those used by the members of the Imperial family. It is likely that Zhao Mo employed burial officials who were thoroughly familiar with burial practices at the Han metropolis. The jade shrouds, for example, imitate those of the imperial princes. The jade disks are almost identical to those known from other regions; some seem to predate Zhao Mo’s reign by a century or more, suggesting access to supplies of carved jade from outside the area. The chambered design of the tomb itself mirrors that used in the eastern kingdoms.
BURIAL PRACTICES AND BELIEFS

In the years since the discovery of the tombs of Liu Sheng and of the King of Nanyue, other large and complex rock-cut tombs have been found — at Xuzhou in Jiangsu province (the capital of the Han kingdom of Chu), at Qufu in Shandong province (the kingdom of Lu), and at Yongcheng in Henan province (the kingdom of Liang) — all of them far more complex than the earlier finds.²

In contrast to tombs of earlier periods, which were dug vertically into soft earth, especially in the loess regions of the Yellow River, the magnificent Han tombs were laboriously tunneled into rocky hillsides along a horizontal axis. Chambers associated with specific functions branched off from the central passages. These tombs were not simple repositories; rather, they were palaces for kings and princes in the afterlife, supplied with the utensils of daily life, often in ceramic and lacquer, but also in gilded bronze, silver, and even gold. Objects that may have been used for rites connected with the spirits — incense burners, lamps, mirrors, and braziers — were also part of the tomb furnishings, but bronze ritual vessels for offerings to ancestors, so abundant in tombs predating the Western Han period, do not appear to the same extent in the rock-cut tombs.
Indeed, the contents and construction of these tombs testify to important changes in religion and ritual that occurred between the fourth and third centuries BCE. Multi-chambered tombs, with specific functions assigned to each room, show the influence of the south and the Chu state — the birthplace of Liu Bang — as do the everyday utensils and forms of lacquerware. The wooden and clay guardian and servant figures similarly suggest Chu influence.

The Han use of stone, both for the tombs and for the carvings and figures contained within, derives from areas to the north and west. Ornamental work — gold belt plaques, decorative motifs, and even the forms of figures on functional objects such as lamps — reflects the style of the borderlands. It is unlikely that the Han viewed such designs simply as exotica: the periphery of the known world was thought to be inhabited by strange spirits, and it seems likely that these motifs and object forms were adapted for their magical or auspicious associations. Ferocious tigers, animals in combat, and silver boxes in Iranian taste were thus assimilated to Han functions and Han views of the universe (cats. 141 – 143).

The east, on the other hand, may have been the source of the abundant jade objects in the inner chambers of these tombs — shrouds, sword fittings and weapons, vessels, pectorals, and other ornaments. Some of the material for objects may have originated in eastern China — in particular, the Neolithic burials of the Liangzhu culture. A jade tube (cong) incorporated into Liu Sheng’s jade shroud is one such Neolithic piece, and other ancient objects may have been recut to make the plaques of the shrouds.

The contents of the tombs suggest that the Han viewed the universe as filled with spirits of every kind — some of which could be summoned in trances induced by wine and incense, others through music and dance. Later Han tombs depict these deities and spirits, including the animals of the Four Directions, the Queen Mother of the West, Nü Wa, the creator of the world, and her companion, Fu Xi, as well as the creatures associated with the sun and the moon. The tombs thus appear to represent efforts to create microcosms of the universe for the benefit of the tomb occupants in order to ensure their prosperous afterlives. The tomb was not simply a waystation in the journey to paradise but rather an end in itself — the dwelling in the afterlife.

1 For the archaeological report of the tomb of the King of Nanyue, see Guangzhou 1991. See also Lam 1991 and Prüch 1998.
3 The changes described here are discussed more fully in Rawson forthcoming.
4 The spirits and monsters at the periphery of the universe are vividly described in the poem “Summons of the Soul” in the famous early anthology Chu ci (Songs of Chu) dating to the Late Eastern Zhou and Han periods. See Hawkes 1985, 219 – 231.
5 See cats. 29 – 36.
Gold seal of Emperor Wen

Height 3.1 (¼), width 1.8 (½), depth 1.8 (¾);
weight 0.15 (¼)

Western Han Dynasty, second century BCE
From the tomb of the King of Nanyue at Xianggang, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

The Museum of the Western Han Tomb of the Nanyue King, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

Facing political difficulties in the year 134 BCE, Zhao Mo sought the help of the Han imperial government, which dispatched an expedition to come to his assistance (although the enemies of the king were defeated before it reached the south). In thanks, and as proof of his loyalty, he sent his heir apparent, Yingqi, to serve the emperor at the court in Xi’an. After his death in 122 BCE, Zhao Mo was awarded the title King Wen, or Wenwang; his successor, however, referred to him, as Emperor Wen, or Wendi, the title inscribed on this seal.¹

The right to use seals indicated that their owners had entered into (or had been accepted into), the sphere of the court. The seal must have been ordered and cast in the state of Nanyue, for it is unlikely that the imperial court would have countenanced the award of the title “Emperor Wen” — in competition with the Han emperor himself — to the ruler of the distant small kingdom.

The tomb of the King of Nanyue has yielded a number of seals, some in gold, others in bronze, jade, or crystal. The fact that several of the seals were buried with the king’s attendants in the tomb suggests that the Han afterlife included a bureaucracy that would require individuals to have seals to authenticate their roles and to carry out official business.

The seal has a narrow square base topped by a scrolling dragon in relief. The seal is inscribed Wendi, xingxi: “administrative seal of Emperor Wen.” ¹

¹ Excavated in 1983 (D 79); reported: Guangzhou 1991, 1:207, fig. 136:1.
EARLY IMPERIAL CHINA
Jade shroud sewn with silk, and two dragon-shaped jades
Length 173 (67 3/4), width 44 (17 3/4)
Western Han Dynasty, second century BCE
From the tomb of the King of Nanyue at Xianggang, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

The King of Nanyue's jade shroud reflects a burial practice copied from the kings of small states in eastern China. These kings were all members of the imperial Liu family, ruling from the time of the installation of the Han emperor in the late third century BCE. They controlled small eastern kingdoms under the supreme power of the emperor. In following so closely the practices of the imperial family, the king demonstrated his adherence to the same views and beliefs of the Han imperial house. Zhao Mo did his best to emulate the rulers of the
stronger state to the north. His jade shroud, however, was less complex and less carefully made than most of those that have been excavated from the tombs of the kings of Zhongshan at Mancheng, Chu at Xuzhou, Lu at Qufu, and Liang at Yongcheng.1

Zhao Mo's shroud consists of 2,291 jade plaques. The hands, head, and feet are constructed of plaques pierced at the four corners and sewn together, but the plaques that form the tunic, arms, and legs were simply glued to a cloth backing and decorated with silk ribbon. Whoever made and supplied the shroud to the King of Nanyue must have been familiar with the jade shrouds made for imperial family members. While it is possible that the suit was made by the imperial workshop, it could have been made in central or southern China.

Many of the jades in the tomb, like the jade shroud, resemble pieces from tombs much farther north. In particular, the carved details of the pendants, and of other decorative pieces, resemble those of jades found in the provinces of present-day Anhui, Jiangsu, and Shandong. Zhao Mo, the King of Nanyue, may have acquired his jades from the state of Chu, or he may have been in a position to entice craftsmen from the Chu state to work for him. The tomb contained many jade vessels, pendants, and fittings for the iron swords found in the tomb.2 It is likely that both the shrouds and the jade-fitted weapons were intended to equip the king for the perils of the afterlife. Neither the shroud nor the weapons would necessarily have defeated a human enemy, but against enemies of the spirits and demons, they may have been effective as a complex protective system devised for the highest-ranking members of the elite. 18

1 Excavated in 1983 (D 50); reported: Guangzhou 1991, 1:154–158. The two dragon-shaped jades were originally held in the right hand of the jade shroud. Guangzhou 1991, 1: 204, 206.
2 Compare the jade shroud for Liu Sheng, cat. 129; see Zhongguo 198ob, 2: color pl. 1 – 2; 1:348, fig. 227; and Rawson 1996, no. 81.
3 For the jades, see Lam 1991.
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Jade double-bi disk with spiral design

Height 7.6 (4 7/8), width 12.4 (3), depth 0.4 (1/8)
Western Han Dynasty, second century BCE
From the tomb of the King of Nanyue at Xianggang, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

The Museum of the Western Han Tomb of the Nanyue King, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

Composed of two conjoined bi disks, this jade is decorated on both sides with relief spirals; an incised line borders the inner and outer edges of these relief patterns. Complex scroll designs fill the V-shaped interstices at the juncture of the disks and are detailed with slight points of relief where they curl on themselves. The jade seems originally to have been an olive green color, now transformed into a mottled rust-red and paler buff surface.

A more primitive form of a double disk has been found at Zhaojiahu, Danyang, but it is uncertain whether these examples are related, and this unusual piece is otherwise unprecedented. The object seems to have been attached to the feet of the King of Nanyue’s jade shroud (cat. 139), perhaps to hold them together, and would thus have paralleled the single disk that lay at the head of the shroud. No similar conjoined disks have been found in other tombs that contain jade shrouds; their rarity in burial finds may be attributable to the fact that many of these tombs have been robbed.

1 Excavated in 1983 (D 186); reported: Guangzhou 1991, 1:183–184, 190, fig. 121:1.
2 See Pruch 1998, 246.
Jade pei ornament with dragon and bird openwork

Diam. 16.1 (6 1/4), depth 0.5 (1/4)
Western Han Dynasty, second century BCE
From the tomb of the King of Nanyue at Xianggang, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province
The Museum of the Western Han Tomb of the Nanyue King, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

In a vivid picture of aggression, two creatures — a dragon and a bird — confront each other within a double ring. This highly unusual carving derives from the traditional jade disk with a large central hole. In place of the normal, smooth flat surface of the ring and the central hole, however, two narrow rings form a double frame. At the center, a feline dragon with a large roaring mouth, a staring eye, and a plume behind its head pounces forward in a double-S curve, bracing its large haunch against the outer ring. Its striated tail twists in a double curl between the inner and outer ring, and the foreleg reaches from within the central circle to the outer ring. The bird turns to confront the dragon; its beak open, it stands with one claw on the dragon's outstretched foreleg, and a long plume descends from its tail to form scrolls within the two rings at the bottom; a large crest bends sharply back from its head against the outer ring.

Found near the head of the jade shroud (cat. 139), this extraordinary ornament belongs to a rare category of design in which jade disks of the traditional form were embellished with creatures displayed in profile. The earliest examples of such disks come from the tomb of Marquis Yi of Zeng with summary rendering of animals in profile against the outer edge of the ring. Much more elaborate examples are known from several museum collections, including a particularly fine piece in the Nelson-Atkins Museum in Kansas City, composed of a broad outer ring resembling a bi disk and a narrow inner ring, between which a bird fills the space with flamboyant scrolling plumes, while two feline dragons prance along the outer edge. (This and other pieces have been attributed to finds from Jincun and Luoyang in Henan province. The exact provenance of these latter pieces is not known.) These jade extravagances seem to have been developed in the third century BCE; similar pieces were excavated from a tomb at Yanggong, Changfeng, in Anhui province. The feline dragons seen both on this ornament and in the Kansas City example, were innovations of the third century BCE. They closely resembled twisted feline creatures (lions or tigers) embossed in gold on ornaments excavated in Xinjiang in Chinese Central Asia, and it seems likely that such designs derive from Iranian lion motifs. In China, this creature was transformed into a feline dragon, where, as here, it sometimes takes on a quite ferocious aspect.

Scenes of animals in combat, which originated in Mesopotamia in the third millennium BCE, are...
familiar in the art of the steppe area and are prevalent in the felt designs and carved woodwork of the frozen tombs at Pazyryk in southern Siberia. The motif is also found on many items from the Ordos and northwestern borders of the present-day central Chinese heartland. Jenny So and Du Cheng-sheng have noted the ways in which this subject was introduced and assimilated in the traditional crafts of the Yellow River, as well as areas farther south. Dragons attacked by tigers appear on the upper surface of the interior coffin of the Marquis of Dai’s wife (fig. 1); a horselike figure appears on the coffin’s side panel in a twisted pose known both from Mongolia and southern Siberia.

In this jade ornament, the subject of animals in combat has received an entirely Chinese treatment. It is contained within the bi disk formula, typical of the ancient jadecarving tradition, while the swirling lines in which the creatures are rendered have more in common with the lacquer painted designs of the south than with the woodcarving and goldwork of the north. Such designs were prevalent not only in the tomb of the King of Nanyue but also in the fittings found in the tombs built for the Liu kings of eastern China, many of which contain gold plaques embellished with motifs of animals in combat.
Jade monster mask with bi disk

Height 18.2 (7\(\frac{3}{8}\)), width 13.8 (5\(\frac{7}{8}\)), depth 0.7 (\(\frac{1}{4}\))

Western Han Dynasty, second century BCE

From the tomb of the King of Nanyue at Xianggang, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

The Museum of the Western Han Tomb of the Nanyue King, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

The large monster face of this jade supports a disk to form a door handle.\(^1\) (Such handles were employed on furniture as well as buildings.) This example is unusual in being made of jade, although a similar jade monster face with a fitting for a ring (but lacking the ring itself) was found in the vicinity of Maoling in Xingping county, Shanxi province.\(^2\)

Both jades resemble an earlier famous bronze piece from Yi county in Hebei province on which two felines in high relief weave in and out of the surface of the face (similar creatures embellish the ring). The three-dimensional effects of the bronze, however, give way in this jade to flattened scrolling representations of the horns or crest of the beast; an elegant openwork feline creature flanks the right side of the face and was, perhaps, balanced on the left by another (now lost); a bi disk with a pattern of small relief knobs substitutes for the ring of the bronze.

Both the bronze and the jade recall the famous taotie faces that were common in the Shang and Early Western Zhou periods (fifteenth to tenth centuries BCE) but diminished in importance during the Late Western Zhou period and the Spring and Autumn period (ninth to seventh centuries BCE), only to reappear somewhat abruptly in the fifth to sixth centuries BCE on numerous mold and model fragments found at the Jin state foundry at Houma in Shanxi province. A fragmentary model for a bell (Beijing 1993, fig. 72) shows the design on one of the most magnificent of the decorated remains. This mask would appear to be a revival of the ancient taotie, but details suggest otherwise: tigerlike stripes decorate the nose of the central
creature; the two horns of the beast are gripped in the claws of large birds, and feathered wings appear at the lower extremities. The birdlike features in particular are reminiscent of griffins depicted in western Asian (especially Iranian) metalwork, and the detailed textured surfaces of the design recall the gold relief-work of Central Asia and areas further west.

Clearly, given the medium, the carver of this jade could neither reproduce the intricate relief of the bronze with any ease, nor imitate the sinuous fantasy of such an earlier piece — even had it been his intention to do so. Nonetheless, features that originate in bronzework appear in the jade, in particular the incised lines that form the pupils of the creature. Other jades in the tomb of the King of Nanyue illustrate the ways in which jade carvers adapted designs from the bronzes: several of the jade sword-fittings include feline dragons similarly weaving in and out of the surface.

It is likely that the jade was part of a piece of furniture, a chest or box, that was stored in the king's tomb. The presence of the bi disk, however, indicates that the jade had some connection with expectations of auspicious outcomes over and above those that might be achieved by exploiting the powers of the animal face.  

1 Excavated in 1983 (D 156); reported: Guangzhou 1991, 185–191, fig. 122:4.
2 Wang 1976, pl. 31.
3 For a discussion of this point see Rawson 1995, 60–75; Shanxi 1996b, figs. 25–28.
Jade belt hook

Height 6.2 (2 3/4), width 18.8 (7 3/4), depth 0.6 (1/4)
Western Han Dynasty, second century BCE
From the tomb of the King of Nanyue at Xianggang, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province
The Museum of the Western Han Tomb of the Nanyue King, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

Hooks were used to fasten the two ends of a belt: a round stud at the back attached the object to one end of the belt, while a loop or ring attached to the other end of the belt slotted over the hook. Such functional ornaments seem to have been introduced during the sixth century BCE, possibly from Central Asia. Among the earliest to be found in China are some finely cast gold hooks from Yi-men, near Baoji, in Shaanxi province. Gold belt hooks seem to have been prized in areas to the west of the Qin state; elsewhere, hooks were also made in bronze and, less commonly, in jade; bronze examples are sometimes decorated with gilding, precious-metal inlays, and semiprecious stones.1

This example, carved from a single piece of translucent white jade, has an unusual form, consisting of a dragon with a sinuous body in a double band.2 The creature’s head is shown in striking profile; its large eye is emphasized by a distinct relief ridge, and it has small crest or horn behind the head. In its open jaw, the dragon grasps a ring, which is braced by one of its claws; the second claw emerges from the underside of the body. The convex surface of the jade is exquisitely carved: sharply cut lines delineate the head and claw, and interlinking scrolls incised on the body catch the light; the reverse of the hook is plain.

The combination of dragon and disk appears in a painted coffin design and in a banner from Mawangdui,3 on which two dragons wind through a central disk. The design may have originated in simple pendants (produced from the fifth century BCE onward and common in tombs of the fifth to fourth centuries BCE) composed of carved disks supported by dragons on either side. The painted banner and coffin, while ostensibly simply formal elaborations of the earlier jade pendants, in fact constitute a more powerful rendering of the motif. The jade belt hook seems to be a return to the representation of these elements in ornamental pendants. JR

2 Excavated in 1983 (D 45); reported Guangzhou 1991, 1:192–193, fig. 125:3.
3 For the tomb at Mawangdui, see Hunan 1973, t. figs. 24, 38.
Jade *pei* pectoral

Length approximately 45 (17 ¾)
Western Han Dynasty, second century BCE
From the tomb of the King of Nanyue at Xianggang, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

The Museum of the Western Han Tomb of the Nanyue King, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

This pectoral is one of twelve such sets from the tomb of the King of Nanyue; found near the coffin of “the Lady of the Right,” it presumably belonged to her or to another of the king’s concubines. The elite of the Late Western Zhou period used strings of beads and jades as ornaments, suspended from the neck as pectorals or hung from the waist as pendants (although evidence of their continuous use from the eighth century to the third century BCE is lacking). A pendant consisting of a ring and an arc-shaped pendant (*huang*) strung on a tasseled cord hangs from the waist of the small jade figure of a dancer that forms part of this pectoral, and similar pendants appear on other dancing figures. Various forms of jade ornament were current, but each area seems to have employed its own variety. The ornaments from the King of Nanyue’s tomb, however, are complex and individualized assemblages; it is uncertain whether ornaments such as these would have been used in life.

The pectoral consists of two carved openwork rings, the figure of a dancer, two *huang*, and two tube-shaped beads. The elements would have been strung together, probably with silken cord.

The uppermost ring is composed of three dragonlike creatures, with bodies suggestive of cloud shapes weaving through a ropelike strand that recalls the tail of the dragon in cat. 141. Their eyes are outlined with fine ridges; one of the creatures displays his fangs in an open jaw, while the two others clamp their jaws on part of the jade design. The composition of the ring is highly unusual — perhaps experimentally incorporating design elements originally developed for other purposes. Few such pieces survive.
The second ring is also an unusual type, composed of an openwork design of four creatures, two of which are conventional dragons with long, pointed jaws and wings (or winglike plumes) that emerge from behind their ears. The heads of the two other (apparently winged) creatures resemble those of feline dragons, but the composition of their bodies overrides any suggestion of anatomical accuracy. It is likely that both these rings were carved in the Chu kingdom or copied from pieces from that area. A similar ring was found in Xuzhou, the capital of the Chu kingdom during the Han period.\(^2\)

The form of the dancing figure (represented three-dimensionally in another such figure from the tomb [cat. 146]) is suggested by slight rounding of an otherwise flat surface. She has round eyes and a small nose in relief; the mouth is indicated by a slit. She holds her right arm above her head, behind which the sleeve curls upward. Her left arm is drawn across her body, which bends to the right, and the long sleeve appears to cross over an elaborate bodice to her skirt. The small scroll above her head appears to be some sort of ornament. In contrast with the rings and the dancer, the remaining elements of the pectoral are conventional carvings. Arc-shaped pendants are familiar from many tombs of the Warring States period, and beads were commonly used as ornaments during earlier periods. \(^{18}\)

---

2. See Xuzhou 1984, fig. 472.
Jade *pei* ornament in the shape of a double-headed dragon

Height 6 (2 3/8), width 10.2 (4 3/8')

Western Han Dynasty, second century BCE

From the tomb of the King of Nanyue at Xianggang, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

The Museum of the Western Han Tomb of the Nanyue King, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

This beautifully carved ornament is a Han period transformation of a conventional type of pendant known as a *huang*. The basic form, which appears as early as the Neolithic period, is an arc-shaped section of a circle, and it occurs in many variants, especially along the east coast of China and during the Shang and Western Zhou periods. During the Early Eastern Zhou period, *huang* were decorated with animal heads at each end (several such examples have been found in the tombs of the Huang state in southern Henan province), and this category of pendants appears in various Chinese states throughout the Eastern Zhou period. During the third century BCE, the heads were transformed in a number of ingenious ways: a pendant found at Anhui Changfeng Yanggang incorporates outward-facing dragons at both ends into the design.

The carving seen here is a later form of the Anhui example. Two dragons, with broad rounded chests and feline legs, face each other aggressively, separated by a pointed projection on which is carved a rudimentary face. Each advances one paw to the center and raises the other behind its back as if poised to attack. Their jaws are open, and the creatures have small ears and crestlike extensions at the back of their heads. That the outward-facing position conventionally depicted in animal-head *huang* pendants is here reversed does not conceal the ornament’s close relation to its predecessors: Like many earlier jades, it has a relief pattern on it, in this instance small raised scrolls joined by interlinked spirals, a form characteristic of the second century BCE.

Seven pendant sets were found in the eastern chamber of the king’s tomb, along with the bodies of four women. This magnificent ornament seems to have been the topmost item in a complex pendant set found lying to the east of the coffin of the woman called “the Lady on the Right.” Together with this piece, the assemblage comprised five other *huang*, a disk with three birds carved along the outer rim, and two rings incised with spiral grooves. The loop of this *huang* is pieced along the top with three holes, so that the assembled pendant, fixed to an attachment, could hang from the neck or from the waist. Texts such as the *Li ji* (Record of ritual) describe pendants hanging from the waist, but, given its length, it is more likely that a complex assemblage like this example would have hung from the neck over the chest. 18

---

1 Excavated in 1983 (E 143-9); reported: Guangzhou 1991, 1:240-241, fig. 163:1.
2 Rawson 1995, 259 - 266, fig. 2 and no. 17:4.
Jade dancing figure

Height 3.5 (⅞), width 3.5 (⅞), depth 1.0 (⅞)
Western Han Dynasty, second century BCE
From the tomb of the King of Nanyue at Xianggang, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

The Museum of the Western Han Tomb of the Nanyue King, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

This tiny carved figure,¹ was found in the western chamber of the tomb, together with small gaming pieces, glass beads, and the remains of a lacquer box. While the piercing suggests that the figure was used as a bead pendant assemblage, it was not located near pieces that would have composed a pendant set and seems to have been kept inside a box with the other trinkets. Several other dancing figures were found in other chambers of the tomb.

Her hair coiled in a side bun, the dancer holds one arm behind her tilted head; the long, hanging sleeve of her robe falls behind her back. The other arm hangs downward, and the sleeve sweeps out in a generous hooked curve. The woman’s body is slightly twisted and sharply bent: she appears to be rising from a kneeling position, her feet covered by her robe. Vigorous grooving delineates the twisting flow of her long sleeves, and the woman’s belt, her crossed bodice, and her features—eyes, nose, and mouth—are indicated by incised lines.

Jade figures of dancing women with long sleeves seem to have been a speciality of the Western Han period. The best known examples are a pair of dancers and two single figures in the Freer Gallery of Art, Smithsonian Institution, Washington. The paired figures have been reconstructed as components of a pendant set that includes two dragon...
figures, a huang, and some beads. A more rudimentary figure was found in the tomb of Liu Sheng (cats. 129 – 137) as part of a pendant.

There is little consistency in the style or the artistic quality of these dancing figures. The present figure, carved in the round, is an elaborate, three-dimensional example; the bead in cat. 144 resembles the Freer figures, although the more stylized forms of the latter convey a more animated effect. Some dancing figures are almost flat and rectangular in form. It is unlikely, however, that stylistic differences among these figures point to differing dates of manufacture; indeed both elaborate and highly simplified dancing figures are found in the tomb of the King of Nanyue.

These figures seem to depict “jade maidens” (so named in the Chu ci [Songs of Chu] and in a number of Han poems of the fu genre) — spirit mediums whose dancing could summon up spirits. The Shuo wen jie zi, an early dictionary, identifies these women as “invocators (zhu) . . . women who can perform services to the shapeless and make the spirits come down by dancing.” Descriptions of these dancing jade maidens often allude to their long sleeves, whose swirling movements might have suggested the mist associated with apparitions of deities and spirits, and the image of the jade maiden was used throughout the Han period and into the early period of the division of the kingdoms. Jade maidens are also mentioned in later Tang dynasty poetry, where they are associated primarily with Daoist-types of paradise.

1 Excavated in 1983 (C 137); reported: Guangzhou 1991, 1:120 – 121, fig. 8:1; 2:242 – 243, fig. 164:1, 3.
2 Discussed in Prüch 1998, 172, see Lawton 1982, nos. 77 – 79.
3 Quoted after Falkenhausen 1995, 279 – 300.
4 The jade carvings and their poetic context have been fully discussed in Erickson 1994, 59 – 65.
This jade box, consisting of a bowl-shaped base and a shallow domed lid, is among the most exquisite jades ever excavated in China. It is of a pale brownish green with some dark staining — the result, in part, of use. The rim of the lid and of the base are decorated with relief borders of interlocking scrolls and a pattern of incised hooks and lines reminiscent of the design on cat. 143. A small loop, through which a rope-patterned ring passes to form a handle, is carved integrally with the lid. A border of eight petals in relief encircles the loop — an unusual number, although designs incorporating four elements often appear around the knobs of mirrors. The lid’s interior is decorated with birds that arch backward over their long tails; their flowing crests resemble those of the aggressive creature in cat. 141.
Jade vessels are exceptionally rare. A few have been found in the tomb of Liu Sheng (cats. 129–137). Others were discovered in a small storage chamber in a tomb belonging to one of the Chu kings at Shizishan (present-day Xuzhou) in Jiangsu province. The tomb was ransacked at an early date, and it is likely that the vessels recovered constitute only a portion of the tomb’s original jades.

The jades of the King of Nanyue’s tomb are exceptional, both in their abundance and in their quality. Several points testify to the value of this particular vessel to its owner: it seems to have been stored in the head section of the outer coffin (perhaps for the use of the king himself), and it was found together with a number of other objects of evidently exceptional value — the beaker with the bronze basin (cat. 148), a jade rhyton, as well as the king’s seal (cat. 138) and pectoral. The mending of a break in the lid — by means of bindings or rivets passed through paired holes — is additional evidence of the object’s value. (The holes may have been drilled originally to attach ornaments, now lost; the drilling may in fact have caused the crack.) Finally, the presence of an unusual silver box in the main chamber indicates the value associated with the carved jade object. The silver box is decorated with low relief patterns, very similar to those in use in Iran under the Achaemenids and Parthians; a wreath pattern of V-shaped bands around the lip of the lid and the bowl indicates borrowings from a Hellenistic or Iranian source. Such a piece was clearly a rarity and a valuable one at that.

Like many jade vessels of the Han period, this box resembles lacquerware of the late Warring States period and the early Han period (in particular, third-century lacquers from Yutaishan, Jiangling, Hubei province). These lacquer forms were borrowed from the south and imitated in jade to provide the owner with sumptuous pieces suitable for an elegant afterlife. That jade vessels were modeled after lacquer forms, rather than after bronze ritual vessels (such as the hu and ding that survived into the Han period), suggests that lacquerware itself was prized in its users’ daily lives.

1 Excavated in 1983 (D 46); reported: Guangzhou 1991, 1:202–205, fig. 133.
2 Shizishan 1998.
4 See in particular the box illustrated in Hubei 1984, color pl. 2.
Jade beaker with bronze basin stand

Height (overall) 17.0 (6 1/2), height of basin 5.0 (1 1/2), diam. of basin 23.6 (9 1/4)
Western Han Dynasty, second century BCE
From the tomb of the King of Nanyue at Xianggang, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province
The Museum of the Western Han Tomb of the Nanyue King, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

This complex object is composed of a jade beaker that stands within a shallow bronze basin with a wide lip. The basin itself stands on three legs, each consisting of a schematized face mounted on a small animal-shaped leg; animals in relief decorate the side of the basin between each pair of legs. An interior flange braces the serpentine dragons that support the beaker.

The jade object is composed of three parts that can be disassembled: a beaker, a pedestal foot, and a petaled flange inserted between the beaker and its foot (fig. 1). The beaker has a narrow tapering form, and is decorated around the lip with a ring of scroll design, below which are tiny projections linked by C-shaped scrolls; small pointed petals compose the lower border, and are repeated on the pedestal, which has narrow moldings at the top and at the foot, and on the flange, which is formed of three large and three small alternating petals. Gilded dragon-heads at the ends of slender silver bodies grip the small petals in their mouths to support the beaker within its basin.

The complex form, materials, and workmanship of this object indicate its value. It may have been intended to collect the dew left by the immortals; an Early Han period text, the *Huai nan zi*, alludes to such "dew basins":

When the burning-mirror sees the sun,  
It ignites tinder and produces fire,  
When the square receptacle sees the moon,  
It moistens and produces water.

Square basins have not been found (although precise matches between recovered objects and
texts are exceedingly rare events); the text’s author may in any event have intended simply to contrast a dew basin’s *yin* qualities with the *yang* character of a circular mirror.

The beaker form exists in a number of other examples (albeit without the flange seen here): one from the E pang palace site at Chezhangcun, at Xi’an,\(^3\) and another from Luopowan at Guixian in Guangxi province.\(^4\) Two very similar U-shaped beakers were found in at Shizishan at Xuzhou in Jiangsu province, the site of one of the tombs of a Han dynasty Chu king.\(^5\) It seems likely, therefore, that this was a standard jade item made for the highest members of the elite. Lacquer versions of the U-shaped beakers have been found, and it is possible that the form originated in this more easily worked material.\(^6\)

---

1 Excavated in 1983 (D 102); reported: Guangzhou 1991:202–203, fig. 132.
3 Wenwu jinghua 1993, no. 64.
4 Guangxi 1978, no. 89
5 Shizishan 1998, fig. 8.
6 For pottery examples, see Hubei 1993, figs. 7:2, 7:3, 8.
Bronze mounted jade cup

Height 14.5 (5 5/8), diam. 8.6 (3 3/8)
Western Han Dynasty, second century BCE
From the tomb of the King of Nanyue at Xianggang, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

The value of jade required artisans to make economical use of the material. Carving an object from a single piece of jade was an extravagance that necessarily entailed much wastage. More often, limited supplies of the precious material may have impelled the King of Nanyue's jade carvers to use flat jade sections — rectangular, square, or circular — to create the large number of vessels (whether intended for the king's life on earth or thereafter) contained in his tomb.

The framework of this cup, found in the western chamber of the King of Nanyue's tomb, consists of two rings joined by seven vertical bronze strips. The strips hold six rectangular jade sections, each of which is covered with fine relief spirals linked by
incised lines. The cup sits on three molded feet that terminate in narrow points, and a handle composed of a circular ring with a flange is attached to one side. A wooden disk with three arched jade mounts and a now-missing center knob forms the vessel’s lid. The jade is translucent and greenish yellow.

The form of the cup, like that of many of the jade vessels, is closely related to lacquer pieces. Lidded tubular cups were relatively common in lacquer and were often mounted in bronze fittings.² Since the lacquer repertoire was a major source of inspiration for jadework, it is likely that the creation of specific jade vessels was preceded by a lacquer model, and that such forms were designed to display the more precious material to maximum effect. Vessels modeled on lacquer pieces, but made entirely of jade, are also known,³ an indication that forms based on lacquerware became a widespread design convention.

1 Excavated in 1983 (F 18); reported: Guangzhou 1991, 1269–270, fig. 186.
3 Wenwu jinghua 1997, no. 35.
Three gilt bronze fittings for a screen

b. Pan-long: height 33.5 (13 3/8), width 27.8 (10 7/8)
c. Crouching figure: height 31.5 (12 3/8), width 15.8 (6 1/4)

Western Han Dynasty, second century BCE

From the tomb of the King of Nanyue at Xianggang, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

The Museum of the Western Han Tomb of the Nanyue King, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province

The remains of a lacquer screen were found against the eastern wall of the tomb’s main chamber. Composed of four panels and a double-door (fig. 1), the screen was ornamented with bronze fittings, three of which are exhibited here. Three pairs of fittings supported the bottom: coiled horned beasts (pan-long) at the edges of the outer screens, small Atlas-like crouching figures devouring snakes at the screen joints, and, on either side of the door, abstract serpentlike bronzes.

The top of the assemblage was mounted with three animal heads with scroll-like bodies, two on the outer screens and one at the center. Beneath a straight nose, gaping jaws display teeth and large fangs, and eyes bulge from a rounded face. Hornlike
plumes and two intertwined scrolls emerge from the top of the head, joining scrolls that unfurl from behind the ears. The faces recall an intricate bronze handle from the tomb of Liu Sheng, and their design may derive from a number of sources: they resemble the ancient taotie, while the scrolling extensions also call to mind the faces on molds from the Jin state foundry at Houma. They resemble the face patterns around the edges of bi disks, found in abundance in the tomb of the King of Nanyue and may also draw on designs typical of the metalwork and wood carvings from the border areas of Xinjiang and Siberia.²

Two birds standing upright with wings outspread and a long tail were mounted at the top screen joints. All the pieces along the top incorporate small tubular supports, which may have carried feathers, as did the paired beasts at the bottom corners. It is likely that the tails of the birds had magnificent pheasant feathers.

Remains of screens found in the tombs at Zhongshan, including a renowned fitting of a tiger grappling with a deerlike creature, are evidence of the use of screens in China back to at least the fourth century BCE. The excavators of the Zhongshan tombs have suggested that the screens may
have been used in conjunction with some sort of tent or overhanging curtain; such arrangements may also have been employed in an earlier tomb at Taiyuan, and a pair of canopies or tents were found in the tomb of Liu Sheng. If such was indeed the practice in China, it was perhaps stimulated by contacts to the north; ceremonies or festivities associated with the screens and tents may have been attempts to reach the spirits, perhaps by inhaling incense or making aromatic offerings. The presence of a door, however, casts an uncertainty on whether this screen was part of such an arrangement.

A much smaller lacquered screen, decorated with a bi disk and silk cords, and, on the reverse, the image of a dragon, was found in the tomb of the wife of the Marquis of Dai at Mawangdui. The excavators of the tomb of the King of Nanyue have suggested that this screen was decorated with cloud scrolls, a pattern derived from the early Han dynasty lacquer painting designs known from sites in Yangzhou and other areas in the south.

---

2 Discussed in Rawson 19983, 89.
3 Tomb 251, discussed in Rawson forthcoming.
4 Hunan 1973, 1:94.
5 Pruch 1997, 134 – 189.
In recent years a number of stunning discoveries at Qingzhou in Shandong province have made possible new advances in the study of Buddhist sculpture of the fifth to seventh centuries CE. The discovery of what may be termed “burial grounds” for Buddhist statues on the sites of former Buddhist monasteries has yielded hundreds of such pieces. The site of the Xingguo Monastery in Qingzhou yielded statuary during excavations conducted from 1979 to 1981,\(^1\) the most recent of the Qingzhou burial-ground finds — in 1996 at the site of the Longxing Monastery — is even more impressive and is considered one of the ten great archaeological finds of that year.\(^2\)

Finds of groups of statues such as these are important in a number of ways. They provide a rough index of changing patterns of religious practice and belief in a specific locality. The cache at Quyang in Hebei province, for example, indicated that the worship of the Amitabha Buddha emerged during the Northern Qi period; by the Sui period (581–618 CE), statues of that deity outnumbered those of the Maitreya bodhisattva, the previously preferred focus of worship.\(^3\)

Sculptures with at least some traces of surface paint were known from earlier finds and are in the collections of several Western museums, although their exact provenance is often unknown.\(^4\) With the uncovering of the Qingzhou sculptures in situ, one can begin to study this phenomenon in a more scientific manner. The amount of surviving gilding and coloring, as well as the inlaid gold ribbons, a technique that heretofore was thought to have begun during the Tang dynasty (618–907 CE), is unprecedented and allows us to imagine what the sculpture would have looked like in its temple setting.

Finally, these finds provide a depth of material that is of much importance in the study of the artistic aspects of Buddhist iconography. Examples in museums inside and outside of China and scattered finds by archaeologists in recent years have formed the basis for an overall understanding of the development of Buddhist sculpture during the years between the Han and Tang dynasties. Buddhas of the early fourth century CE adhere to the Gandharan style — that is, exhibiting Indian and Greco-Roman characteristics — with some Chinese features. The subsequent Northern Wei (386–534 CE) style depicts the Buddha with a slender body concealed by flowing robes, almost ethereal in mood, with an Archaic smile. With the subsequent period of division between the Eastern and Western Wei (534–550 and 535–557, respectively), the figure assumes a growing naturalness, developing into the emergence of the rather plump body, with close-fitting robes, of the Northern Qi and Northern Zhou periods. Under the Sui and Tang dynasties, the style culminates in a more realistic conception, more fully rounded but stiff, with
an expression of inner contemplation — even of aloofness. The many dated pieces make it possible to establish a sequence of stylistic innovations, to discern local and regional styles, to avoid generalizations based on thin evidence that may in fact reveal little more than the workmanship of one craftsmen or a particular set of circumstances (the quality of the material, the price paid by the donor, etc.), and to better gauge the overall character of a local tradition. Solid analysis awaits full publication of these remarkable finds, but already one may speak of a Qingzhou style.

Comparing the Qingzhou finds with other objects from the north, art historians initially characterized Qingzhou as a conservative, rather backwater area distant from the cultural and political centers of the state. The area was contested by the northern and southern states; it had been under the control of the south for more than half a century (409 – 469 CE) before finally being made a part of the Northern Wei state. But the new discoveries have revealed a distinctive local or regional style, in part characterized by the absence of folds (or at most by a lightly sculpted indication of drapery) on the robes of the Buddha — perhaps to provide a better surface for the paint — and a more detailed and complex ornamentation of the bodhisattvas. Elements of the southern style, perhaps harking back to the southern occupation, can be discerned in the bronze figurines of Qingzhou, and it is possible that what may be termed the Qingzhou regional style in stone sculpture is also attributed to southern influences, but that is difficult to establish because so little stone sculpture has survived in the south. The scholar Yang Hong has attributed the rich, multistyled art of the Qingzhou sculptures to a unique combination of northern patterns, southern influences, and local or regional characteristics.

One of the mysteries that remains to be solved is the circumstances of the burials of these Buddhist statues. The proscription of Buddhism by the Northern Zhou state in 574 CE and the extension of that policy into the northeast after the conquest of the Northern Qi in 577 CE is often cited as the reason for specific burials. The damage wreaked on the statues before burial lends credence to that explanation. When caches include Sui pieces — that is, made after the persecution was lifted — the disturbances that attended the fall of the dynasty are cited. In other cases, such as that of the Longxing Monastery itself, Buddhist statues dating as late as the Song dynasty (960 – 1279 CE) have been found. The burials may have been carried out in succession over time, but the reports of their excavation do not make reference to stratification at the burial site. AD

2 Shandongsheng 1998, 4–15. See also Xia 1998
3 Soper 1959, 118 – 119.
4 Yang 1960, 50.
5 See, for example, Leidy 1998, 88 – 97 and n. 2; Huang 1997, 84 – 85.
6 Yang Hong 1998, 51.
Painted stone standing Buddha with two bodhisattvas

Height 138 (54 ⅜), width at base 90 (35 ⅝)
Late Northern Wei Dynasty (386 – 534 CE)
From Qijisi, Qingzhou, Shandong Province
Qingzhou Municipal Museum, Shandong Province

This painted limestone sculpture, unearthed on 10 December 1994 in Qijisi, depicts a standing Buddha, flanked by two bodhisattvas against a flame-shaped nimbus with seven flying apsaras. The find-site corresponds to the ancient Qiji Monastery, situated (according to the Jiajing Qingzhou Prefectural Gazetteer, 1522 – 1566) in the northwestern corner of Dongyang city, the administrative center of Qingzhou during the Northern Wei period (386 – 534 CE). Broken prior to its burial, the sculpture was found in seven pieces.

The figures are sculpted in high relief with the head of the Buddha, his body, shoulders, hands and feet as if emerging from the stone. He stands against a magnificent aureole, elaborately carved in low relief, with the flames reaching upward and terminating in an apex directly above the Buddha’s head. Measuring eighty-three centimeters high with usnisa — the cranial protuberance that is one of the thirty-two signs symbolizing his perfect wisdom and enlightenment — the Buddha’s form is lean and slender, his features rendered with delicate refinement, his large eyes downcast, and a slight smile lighting his open countenance. The expression is kind and benevolent, in harmony with his gestures (mudras) of “have no fear” (abhaya) and “gift-bestowing” (varada).

A halo, composed of plump lotus petals framed by multicolored concentric rings, encircles his head, terminating in an intricate rosette garland carved in low relief. These radiant emanations from the Buddha are given emphasis with gilding (the traces are visible on the face, hands, bare feet, and exposed parts of his body), and they are echoed by the large oval body halo of petals and concentric rings, all of which retain some of their original mineral colors. The Buddha’s hair is dressed in tight curls with remains of sapphire blue, the traditional color of his hair, and his lips have traces of vermilion.

His monk’s robe is composed of three layers: an outer shawl, draped to resemble a sleeved gown, and an inner upper and lower robe, the latter, secured with a wide chest sash. The garment is a slight modification of the more exposed Indian-style that clung to the body and, with a diagonal drape, fully revealed the right shoulder. Strongly visible on the lower half of the outer shawl is the vibrant color that covered the entire garment: a design of bright vermilion rectangles on the bias on which are painted fine lines of mineral color — malachite, ultramarine blue and ochre. On the hem of the inner garment, there is also painted a border of fine vermilion stripes. The rectangles refer to the patches or rags, which according to the Vinaya, the Book of Monastic Discipline, should constitute the Three Garments of a monk’s robe, indicating humility and avoidance of luxury. The more Chinese appearance of the image may reflect the interest of the dowager empress Feng and the Northern Wei policy of adopting Han culture, which by the third
quarter of the fifth century had begun to influence the appearance of the originally Indian and Greco-Roman, or Gandharan, style images. From the square and powerful visages of the earlier figures at Yungang, Shanxi province, for example, the Buddhas were gradually transformed by the late fifth and early sixth centuries to slender, elongated images with a distinctly sinicized look and gentle expression.

To the left and right of the Buddha stand attendant bodhisattvas, compassionate beings that have postponed enlightenment to aid those on earth to achieve wisdom. The attendants are 53 centimeters tall, smaller than the central figure, and also stand on lotus pods, the strong stems projecting from the stone and floating above protective lions painted near the base; their slender form, delicate features, and subtle smile mirror that of the Buddha himself. With their hair combed into two buns, the attendants are depicted with bare upper torsos; their shoulders are softly draped by capelet shawls with long streamers whose flowing shapes also echo the graceful sweeps of the Buddha’s robe.

Each bodhisattva holds a treasure box in his left hand which, is raised to the chest; the other hand dangles naturally. Each figure is sculpted slightly differently in the detail of their garments and gestures. The long shawls covering their shoulders fall below the waist, and the drapery ends are knotted through a pierced disk; the ribbons separate to either side of the body. The attendant on the left, who is wearing bracelets, catches the ends of the shawl in the hand, while the attendant on the right holds a peach-shaped object in the other hand, flinging the sash over the wrist. Each wears the Indian dhoti, which shows the same vibrant vermilion color as the Buddha’s robe, but with plain borders at the hem. The garments have all been sculpted to achieve an effect of softly covering the body, with the fabric on the shoulders and trailing ribbon ends given a slightly fluttering edge.

The attendants’ halos — double rows of lotus petals encircled by multicolored concentric rings — are smaller and slightly different versions of the Buddha’s. Two other details differentiate these two: the bodhisattva on the left has the waist sash tied in a bow, while the figure on the right has a waist sash that hangs downward. In addition, the proportion of the features and expression of the figure on the left appear softer and more feminine, while that on the right is slightly more masculine. The colors of their long dhoti have been completely preserved: a bright vermilion field, on top of which are painted mineral green, sapphire blue, and ochre lines; there is also a pattern of four small rhomboid shapes forming a floral shape and inlaid with a narrow, 5-centimeter trim of gold leaf.

In the large flaming aureole, seven flying apsaras, or heavenly beings, are arranged with three each on the left and right, and the seventh at the apex. With one hand they hold onto the floral wreath that comprises the outermost ring of the Buddha’s halo. Their colored ribbons flutter upward with a strong sense of movement, as if propelled skyward by the intensity of the Buddha’s aura. Each is poised in a different aerial position and is nicely differentiated. The top five apsaras have refined melon-shaped faces; they are painted meticulously,
first using a white base, then flesh colors for the face, and last of all the facial features, with black eyebrows, eyes and nostrils, and red lips in careful detail. Their hair is arranged either in double or in multiple topknots, and they wear round-necked shirts. The lower two flying figures wear their hair in a single topknot, their faces are square, they wear shirts with lapels, and they sport black mustaches. Therefore, it would appear that the top five are female, while the lower two are male. The apsaras are painted in black, sapphire blue, malachite, and vermillion, and their ribbons are vermillion, sapphire blue, malachite and ochre. Finally, the outer edge and plain areas of the aureole have also been engraved with a fine filigree pattern of rushing flames surrounding the apsaras.

The decoration of the figures comprises a variety of techniques: the garland in the Buddha’s body halo is defined in line-engraving; the Buddha’s face, hands and feet are gilded; vivid colors cover the surface of the stone, especially the pattern of the Buddha’s outer garment with its fine detail and special ornamenting with narrow inlaid gold bands.

The straight-edge manner of low-relief carving and the iconography of the triad can be seen in other dated pieces excavated in the Qingzhou area and identify the sculpture as a product of the Later Northern Dynasties period. In addition, there are a number of features that mark the rarity of this triad and that may be noted as characteristics of a Qingzhou sculptural style: the complexity of the color palette, the unusual level of detail in the carving and painting, the variety in the depictions of the attendant figures — whether their garments or their visages — and of the apsaras — whether their head, body or hand positions, and the detail in the painting of their features and garments. Moreover, the sense of gentle humanity in the beatific expression of the Buddha and of the attendant figures, and the majesty of the entire configuration testify to a new level of spiritual understanding of the sculptor and of the congregation and patron for which this triad was created. XM/AD
Painted stone standing Buddha

97 (38 3/4)
Northern Qi Dynasty, mid-sixth century CE
(c. 550 - 557)
From Longxingsi, Qingzhou, Shandong Province

Qingzhou Municipal Museum, Shandong Province

Unearthed on 17 November 1987 at a site approximately 100 meters east of the Qingzhou Municipal Museum, this limestone sculpture, carved in the round, depicts a gently smiling Buddha with a slender frame and delicate features standing on a lotus pod. The Jiaqing Qingzhou Prefecture Gazeteer (1522 - 1566) identifies the find-site as the Northern Wei period Nanyang Monastery, renamed the Longxing Monastery during the Tang dynasty.¹

The figure’s hair, sculpted in crisp curls resembling rows of snail shells, culminates in a conical
usnīsa—the seat of his transcendent knowledge. Like the Buddha figure in cat. 151, his hands are held in the have-no-fear and gift-giving mudrās. Clothed in a dhoti covered by a round-collared kasāya robe, the figure’s body is suggested his clinging robes (rather than by lineation or carved detail) a representational technique typical of the Northern Qi style.

The skin of the face and visible parts of the hands and feet are gilded, while the usnīsa, the round collar, and the edge of the kasāya are painted sapphire blue; the lower hem of the dhoti is ochre. The latticelike pattern on the kasāya is a mineral green, and the fields within the latticework are painted vermilion. Threads of inlaid gold, 0.5 millimeter wide, form a border for the latticework, with a reticulated pattern of inlaid gold triangles and rhomboid shapes within those borders. The work’s execution reflects remarkable skill, made all the more impressive by the remarkable preservation of the paint. XM/AD

1 The discovery of this piece and cat. 153 is reported in Xia 1997. The lotus-shaped base of the statue is not original.
Painted stone standing bodhisattva

95 (37 7/8)
Eastern Wei Dynasty, second quarter of the sixth century CE (c. 534 – 550)
From Longxingsi, Qingzhou, Shandong Province
Qingzhou Municipal Museum, Shandong Province

Unearthed with the limestone Buddha (cat. 152) at the site of the Longxing Monastery on 17 November 1987, this carved limestone statue depicts a smiling bodhisattva with a delicate appearance, standing on a lotus pod. The bodhisattva’s hair is combed to a high topknot, wrapped around with strips of hair ribbon decorated with gold ornaments; small winglike ribbons hang down to the shoulders, and a gold necklace and ornamental chain hangs from his long neck. A broad scarf,
terminating in an elegant pattern, is draped over the figure’s rounded shoulders. The left hand hangs down, holding on to one end of the scarf; the right arm is half-raised. The bodhisattva’s upper torso is bare; he wears a long dhoti, tied at the waist with an elegantly knotted sash.

Paint was applied to the statue in three layers: first a white base, then a flesh color, and lastly, the other colors. The details of the face are particularly skillfully executed, creating a remarkably lifelike countenance: black was used to outline the upper part of the eyebrows, then the eyebrows were painted in sapphire blue. The upper parts of the eyes were drawn with ochre, fading to flesh color below the eyebrows. Sapphire blue was used to delineate the edges of the vermilion lips. The dhoti’s latticelike pattern of cinnabar diagonal rectangles, is framed in inlaid gold with a pattern of lines, triangles, and rhomboids. Several of the figure’s characteristics — in particular, the hair ornaments and the sloping shoulders — resemble those of dated Eastern Wei bodhisattvas found in the Qingzhou area. XM/AD

1 The lotus-shaped base of the statue is not original.
HEJIACUN AND OTHER DISCOVERIES AT XI’AN, SHANXI PROVINCE

Chang’an (the present-day city of Xi’an), the capital of the Tang dynasty, is situated on the Guanzhong plain of the Wei River, an important tributary of the Yellow River. During the Tang dynasty, with more than a million inhabitants, it was probably the largest and most cosmopolitan city on earth, planned according to ancient precepts on a grid system, with the palace and administrative area in the center of the northern sector of the city. The city’s 108 walled wards were further subdivided by main streets running north-south and east-west that intersected in the center of the wards. The names and locations of these wards can still be traced through contemporary records and archaeological excavation. One fragmentary work, written by Wei Shu in 722 CE, provides a succinct account of some forty wards in the western sector of Chang’an, naming more than forty Buddhist monasteries, fifteen Buddhist nunneries, seven Daoist temples, and three “Persian” (foreign) temples. Many of these, like the plan of the city itself, had been founded under the preceding Sui dynasty, when the capital was called Daxing. In the words of a modern scholar, Wei Shu’s text allows us to imagine “the beauty of the dragon and phoenix”—that is, of Chang’an in the heyday of its glory.

Archaeology provides abundant confirmation of the great scale of the principal buildings of the capital and of a significant foreign presence. The concentration of great wealth around the emperor, the court, and the prominent families of Chang’an allows us a glimpse of the beliefs and fears of its inhabitants. Rare materials from throughout the known world were brought as tribute: tremendous faith was put in their intrinsic value, according to their physical properties of hardness, translucency, brilliance of color, or particular form. When fashioned into the likeness of real or imagined creatures with numinous qualities of their own, such as the gilt-bronze striding dragon (cat. 159), an image of imperial power found within the palace precincts, the resulting objects were extremely desirable and powerful.

Emperor Taizong (r. 626–649 CE) commissioned the tomb of his father (d. 635) and planned his own considerably grander tomb, Zhaoling, on commanding sites in the Beishan hills, a range running roughly east to west, north of the Guanzhong plain. Altogether, eighteen of the twenty Tang emperors were buried along the same range. Each of the imperial tombs included in its precincts the tombs of other members of the imperial family and those of certain important officials. General Dou Jiao, whose splendid white jade and gold belt is shown here (cat. 157) died in 646, a mere three years before the death of Taizong. His tomb in Xianyang is much closer to the Tang capital, perhaps because he died too early to be honored in this way. The tombs of Princess Yongtai, Prince Zhanghuai, and Prince Yide, which have been excavated, all lay within the precincts of the Qianling, the tomb of Emperor Gaozong (d. 683) and Empress Wu (d. 705), but had been robbed in antiquity of their richer contents.

Other major finds in and around Chang’an have come not from tombs but from the sites of palace halls, monasteries, or private dwellings. Two very different types of finds, hoards and reliquary deposits, assume major importance. Hoards, hastily hidden in a time of crisis, are known from the end of the Western Zhou dynasty (771 CE). At that time the Zhou rulers buried
their bronze vessels, abandoned Chang’an, and set up a new capital further east, in Luoyang.

In the Tang dynasty, when Luoyang was again the alternative capital, the event that precipitated the burial of hoards of precious objects was the rebellion of An Lushan in 755 CE, which brought to a close the prosperous reign of Xuanzong, Emperor Ming (r. 712 – 756), who himself had to flee to Sichuan, far to the west.

The Hejiacun hoard, consisting of 270 items of gold and silver, packed into two large pottery jars and deposited in a pit, was found on land that in the Tang dynasty, according to Wei Shu, had been the residence of Li Shouli, Prince of Bin in the Xinghua ward, just to the west of the center of Chang’an and three blocks south of the imperial city. Li Shouli was the second son of Li Xian, Prince Zhanghuai, the sixth son of Emperor Gaozong. Prince Zhanghuai’s own residence in the Anding ward, in the northwestern part of Chang’an, close to the palace, became the Qianfusi (Monastery of a Thousand Felicities) in 673, but it brought little good fortune to its owner who, suspected by Empress Wu of plotting to usurp the throne, was exiled to Sichuan, where he was forced to commit suicide in 684. His three sons were thrown into prison for more than ten years. Decades later, Li Shouli could forecast the weather from the aches in his back from the regular beatings he had suffered. In 706, following the death of Empress Wu, Prince Zhanghuai’s remains were brought back to Chang’an by his father, and reburied in a large tomb with splendid murals of hunting and polo playing, which was excavated in 1972. Li Shouli’s claims to the succession were thought to be stronger than those of the heir apparent, but he and others were appointed to “high ceremonial offices with no real power at court.” His household numbered more than sixty persons, none of them of any distinction; he himself was dissolute, and seemed not to be concerned about his debts, saying to his critics, “Was there ever an emperor’s brother who was not given a funeral?” Prophetic words perhaps, since the splendid gold and silver vessels now associated with his name come not from his tomb, which has not been found, but from a hoard. RW

1 Chen 1992, includes good maps of Tang Chang’an (152) and the Guanzhong plain (4-5).
2 Wei 1935
3 Wang Gongwu, editor’s note to Wei 1935
4 Chen 1992, map at pages 4–5
5 Wei 1935.
7 Twitchett 1979, 372.
8 Liu Xu 1975, 2833.
Parcel-gilt silver *pan* dish with mythical figure

Height 1.2 (¼), diam. 15.3 (6)
Tang Dynasty, first half of the eighth century CE (c. 713–755)
From the Hejiacun hoard, southern suburbs of Xi’an, Shaanxi Province
Shaanxi History Museum, Xi’an

*Houston and San Francisco only*

This dish and the next (cat. 155) are part of a set produced by the same combination of metalworking techniques: each dish was formed of sheet silver, polished, and the design worked in repoussé by hammering from the back; details were added by chasing from the front, and finally the motif was gilded, using an amalgam of gold and mercury applied with a brush to the selected area. When the piece is heated, the mercury rapidly evaporates in a toxic vapor, leaving a thin coating of gold on the surface of the piece. The effect of gilding only certain parts of the design is known as parcel-gilding. In the Tang dynasty, this form of decoration was particularly valued, since the process of rubbing down and burnishing the silver surface gave the plain areas a resplendence that, unlike that of Western silver, does not tarnish. Pieces such as this one and those from the Famen Monastery reliquary deposit (cats. 164–166) were still shining brilliantly when they were discovered.

The shape of this *pan*, with its six lobes, is inspired by a mallow flower. The piece has a narrow, flat rim and a base that is completely flat except for the slight hollow where the decoration has been worked. The animal in the center is a composite, with a bovine head, a single horn, a flowing mane, the wings of a bird in full display, cloven hoofs, and a tail that is more frond than feather. A close parallel in both style and the treatment of the tail can be found in the portrayal of a *kalavinka* (the human-headed celestial bird inhabiting the Buddhist Pure Land of the West), engraved on the edge of the stela of the Chan Master of Great Wisdom, dated 736 CE, in the Beilin, or Forest of Stelae, Xi’an. The date of this dish and of the others in the set (all of which have different shapes and motifs, but are worked in the same fashion) may therefore be assumed to be around the same time, reflecting the flourishing splendor of the Tang capital during the reign of Emperor Xuanzong (713–755). **RW**

1 Excavated in 1970.
Parcel-gilt silver pan dish with sheli

Height 1.5 (½), diam. 22.5 (8 ⅞)
Tang Dynasty, first half of the eight century CE (c. 713 – 755)
From the Hejiacun hoard, southern suburbs of Xi’an, Shaanxi Province

Shaanxi History Museum, Xi’an

Houston and San Francisco only

This dish, like cat. 154, is one of a set of five pan from the Hejiacun hoard. Two of the dishes take the form of a mallow flower with six lobes; one is decorated with the image of a phoenix with its head turned back, displaying its wings and florid tail; the other shows a bear walking on all fours and looking upward. The form of this dish and the remaining pan are inspired by fruit, respectively, a double peach and a single peach apparently split in half. The latter features a tortoise, seen from above so that its upper carapace is completely visible, and the head, tail, and four feet project around it. In this piece, a pair of animals circle each other warily.
in counterbalance, one up and one down in each half of the peach, like a pair of identical twins in the womb. As the one turns back and the other looks up, their movements and their very forms describe a figure eight. Particular care is lavished in the details of their tails, which are fringed along the edges but dotted in the center, suggesting a special kind of marking.

Conventionally identified as foxes, the animals (whose faces and snouts do not appear vulpine) may represent *shen*, an animal implausibly identified as a cross between a fox and an ape (perhaps because of its ability to climb trees) that is said to inhabit the Wulan Mountains in present-day Mongolia, just to the north of the great bend of the Yellow River, and that was valued for its fur. Schafer, citing the *Tang shu* (Tang history), notes that animal tails of all kinds were in demand during the period—as badges of honor or to contain the essence of an animal: “White horse tails from the northwest and fox tails from the west may have been richer in holy power, but there was no question about leopard tails—they were charged with mana and apotropaic energy.”

Brinker, describing these dishes, has pointed out how both the tortoise and the peach are Chinese symbols of longevity, and that the fox, a servant of the sun and moon, has magical powers, able to appear as a beautiful woman or a young maiden who can use the mysterious powers of Nature to heal sickness or restore the realm.

---

1 Excavated in 1970.
2 Schafer 1965, 309.
3 Brinker and Goepper 1980, 343, cat. 92.
cal examples of Tang decorative treatment of narrow bands.

Colorful red and green parrots often appear in Buddhist Paradise paintings of the seventh and eighth centuries, and they were evidently much sought after. Flocks of parrots populated the Long Mountains on the border between the provinces of Shaanxi and Gansu provinces, defying easy capture. Others came from further afield: Qinghai and Tibet, as well as Indochina, were sources of parrots, but the most celebrated of all were the “five-colored” parrots imported from Oceania, one of which was the subject of a rhapsody composed on the order of Emperor Xuanzong (r. 712–756 CE). A musical instrument, decorated with parrots inlaid in amber, tortoiseshell, and mother-of-pearl, in the Shōso-in, was very likely a present from the Chinese court to the Japanese emperor Shōmu, who died in 756 and whose possessions were dedicated to the Tōdai-ji forty-nine days after his death. 

2 Han Wei 1989, 224.
3 The examples that follow are derived from Schafer’s inspiring study of Tang exotics (1963), 96–103.
4 Schafer 1963, 135.
Jade belt inlaid with gold, pearls, and precious stones

Length approximately 150 (59)
Tang Dynasty, early seventh century CE
From the tomb of Dou Jiao, Nanliwang, Xianyang, Shaanxi Province
Shaanxi Archaeological Institute, Xi’an

*Houston and San Francisco only*

This extraordinary set of belt ornaments was discovered in a tomb on the site of the new international airport at Xianyang, near Xi’an, in 1992.¹ The tomb was that of a cavalry general named Dou Jiao, an elder brother of the Tang empress Taimu. Though his name is not mentioned in Tang historical records, the epitaph tablet found in Dou Jiao’s tomb identifies him as a relative by marriage of the imperial family and records that in 618 — the year in which the Tang dynasty was founded — he and his father Dou Kang attacked and defeated Xue Ju and his son Xue Ren’gao, who had established a hegemony in the western part of the present-day province of Shaanxi. Dou Jiao was active in further campaigns in 620 and 621, and he died in 646. His tomb also yielded an iron sword 84 centimeters long, with a leather scabbard and a crystal fastening carved in the shape of a little pig; and an unusually large bronze mirror, 29 centimeters in diameter.²

Dou Jiao’s imperial connections are a sufficient explanation of the sumptuous appearance and sophisticated workmanship of this belt. A magnificent hinged and floriated pair of jade plaques formed one end of the belt, and a jade buckle and
tongue the other; four rectangular jade plaques (probably arranged two on each side) flanked these ornaments, while eight circular jade medallions were spaced at intervals around the back. A ninth medallion is pierced with a hole, shaped to allow the arched tongue of the buckle to pass through and rest over the rim of the plaque, which itself would have covered the undecorated base of the buckle. The thinness of the finished belt may be judged from the opening in the buckle, through which this last medallion would itself have to pass.

With the exception of the buckle and tongue, each of the jade fittings is inlaid with gold sheet mounted with precious stones and pearls in cabochon. The remains of the belt fabric itself (reportedly hemp), backed with gilt-bronze sheet, are found at the back of the ornaments. Small gold rivets anchor the entire assembly together, three for each of the circular medallions, four or five for the larger elements. The jewels and pearls are arranged to form symmetrical floral motifs. A medallion of ten pearls in the center of each of the five largest panels, enclosing a circular dark red jewel, confirms the very early Tang date of the belt: the same motif, also with ten pearls but enclosing a blue opal, appears twice in the elaborate necklace excavated in 1957 from the tomb of the nine-year-old princess Li Jingxun, who died in 608 CE. Simi-
lar medallions are commonly found in textiles and mural paintings of the Sui and early Tang dynasties, notably in the Buddhist caves at Dunhuang in Gansu province. Li Jingxun was the daughter or granddaughter of the last Northern Zhou empress: her necklace, which she was wearing in the tomb, has been described as the most sumptuous piece of personal jewelry to have been found in China, a distinction for which it must henceforth vie with Dou Jiao’s gold-encrusted jade belt. The quality and workmanship of both is such that they could only have been made in the Sui and Tang palace workshops, respectively. RW

1 Excavated in 1992; reported: Yun 1993, 48 - 50, fig. 4.
2 Yun 1993, 49 - 50, fig. 4 - 2.
3 When previously exhibited (Tokyo 1998b, no. 89), the cabochoon-mounted jewels were described as being of glass; according to the excavation report, they are of precious (or semi-precious) stones.
Two gold tree appliqués

Height 13.5 (5 3/8) and 11.5 (4 3/8), thickness 0.4 (1/8)
Tang Dynasty, eighth century CE
From Guojiatan, near Xi’an, Shaanxi Province
Xi’an Municipal Institute of Archaeology and Preservation of Cultural Relics, Shaanxi Province

Houston and San Francisco only

These two miniature trees worked in filigree on gold sheet form part of a set of nine pieces discovered during the building of the international airport at Xianyang, near Xi’an, in December 1971. While they are likely to have come from a tomb, unfortunately no report of the circumstances of their discovery has been or is likely to be published. The set includes the two trees exhibited here, three phoenixes, an outstretched dragon, and three ducks with wings displayed. All the objects are on a small scale — the dragon only 9.4 centimeters long, the phoenixes 6.6 centimeters high, and the ducks a mere 3.3 centimeters high. The trees are described in considerable detail, from the roots and trunk, to the branches and flowers or fruit with a different configuration of the branches in each. On both, a vine twists around the trunk. The leaves are arranged in rosettes, with a fruit or flower originally inlaid with precious stone — one piece of green...
stone survives on one of the ducks — at the center of each. The inlays would have concealed gold pins (one with a round, flat head survives in the tail of one of the phoenixes) by which the appliqués would have been attached, probably on the outer surfaces of a wooden or lacquered box.4

The form of the trees, especially the rosettes with their central fruit or flower, is strongly reminiscent of the bodhi trees seen behind the Buddha in preaching and paradise scenes, such as the silk painting (dating to the early Tang dynasty and now in the British Museum) from Dunhuang (Gansu province) that shows Buddha preaching beneath a tree.5 A Tang dynasty densely foliaged tree, a foot or more in height, and constructed entirely of thin sheet bronze on trunks and branches of iron, with pearl-like glass flowers and fruits and tiny flying birds and apsaras (flying celestials), was exhibited in the 1999 Asian Arts Fair in New York, and appeared to be almost certainly Buddhist in intention. The stone reliquary of the Qingshan Monastery, dated 741 CE, is ornamented with four miniature trees on the top.6

Trees, and particularly flowering trees, are a constant theme in the secular and Buddhist art of the Tang dynasty. Among the Emperor Shōmu’s household possessions, preserved since 756 CE in the Shōso-in treasury in Nara, Japan, is a set of six panels that form a folding screen: each panel shows a noble lady, seated or standing, in the shade of a tree. Like the golden trees shown here, the trees depicted on the screen are carefully detailed—the roots and trunk, the twisting surface of the bark, and knots where old branches have been cut off or have died back. Pine trees represented in the hunting and polo murals in the tomb of Prince Zhang Huai (706 CE), show similar attention to a realistic configuration of living and dead branches.  

---

1 Recovered in 1971; reported: Wang 1989a, 83.
2 Wang 1989, 79–86.
3 This duck, two of the three phoenixes, and the dragon are illustrated in Tokyo 1998b, 87, cat. 47.
4 Two of the ducks face to the right, one to the left (as do the phoenixes). In a hypothetical arrangement, the dragon would be on the top, a tree at either end, three ducks on one side, and three phoenixes on the other.
6 For the Qingshan Monastery, see cat. 169.
Gilt bronze striding dragon

Height 10.8 (4 1/4), length 18 (7)
Tang Dynasty, first half of the eighth century CE
From Xi'an, Shaanxi Province
Xi'an Municipal Institute of Archaeology and Preservation of Cultural Relics, Shaanxi Province

Houston and San Francisco only

By the Tang dynasty (618–907 CE), the dragon — long connected with immortals and Daoism — had become a symbol of the emperor. All three associations are particularly appropriate in the case of this gilt-bronze striding dragon, found on the site of the Daming Palace, an area of more than three square kilometers lying just outside the north wall of the ancient capital of Chang'an (present-day Xi'an). The remains of more than forty buildings, as well as a large lake, have been found within the walls of this imperial park. Among the most important are the Hanyuan dian (Hall of enclosing the Primal Breath), whose pounded-earth foundations still stand to a height of up fifteen meters, affording a view south over the entire city; the Sanqing dian (Hall of three purities), where the court conducted Daoist ceremonies; and the Linde dian (Hall of auspicious virtue).

Built in 634, the Daming Palace assumed particular importance after 660 under Emperor Gaozong and Empress Wu Zetian, who had it repaired in 662 and briefly called it the Penglai (Paradise) Palace. Official events and government activities began to take place there, rather than in the Taiji Palace — the original seat of power, situated within the Palace City at the northern end of the main axis of the capital. The Linde dian, eleven bays wide, was used for banquets and for receiving foreign delega-
Empress Wu Zetian entertained a Japanese embassy there in 705; the largest occasion was a banquet for 3,300 guests, given by Emperor Daizong in 768.

Examples of this type of striding dragon, with a single horn, long snout, and curling tongue, have been dated as early as the Northern Wei dynasty (386–534 CE). One such example is a bronze dragon at the Fogg Art Museum, Cambridge, Massachusetts, but its confident pose and the technique of its casting, which it shares with the dragon seen here, suggest a more likely dating to the Tang Dynasty. One of a pair of gilt bronze dragons excavated from the Yongle ward of the capital, some 5 kilometers to the south of Daming Palace, illustrates these features to perfection: standing on its forelegs, its body smoothly extends skyward into its hind legs, counterbalanced by the sinuous tail, as if it were performing a handstand.

No particular justification seems to be needed for the portrayal of this most auspicious of mythical beasts at the Tang court, but in the context of Daoist belief it has been noted that Emperor Xuanzong (r. 712–756 CE), whose devotion to Daoism was such that his own portrait can be found alongside those of the Jade Emperor and other Daoist images, introduced a cult of Five Dragons in 714. Splendid examples have been found decorating the backs of bronze mirrors; six striding dragons in pure gold, each a mere 4 centimeters long from snout to tail, were found in the Hejiacun hoard.

---

2 Sullivan 1984, 110.
4 See Liu Yang, “Manifestation of the Dao: A Study in Daoist Art from the Northern Dynasties to the Tang (Fifth to Ninth Centuries),” Ph.D. dissertation, School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London, 1997 (The text is currently in preparation for publication by the University of Hawaii Press.) Chapter 13, at pages 284–285, provides a table of extant and recorded images of Emperor Xuanzong and other in Daoist halls.
The discovery of the reliquary deposit beneath the ruins of a brick pagoda at Fufeng, about a hundred and ten kilometers west of Xi’an, is a rare instance of a perfect match between the archaeological data and historical events. The Tang scholar Han Yu’s diatribe of 819 protesting the emperor’s receiving the relics of the Buddha in his own palace and imploring His Majesty to cast out such filthy remains so that the people might never again be misled by them, is one of the great pieces of Chinese prose writing. It was also an important milestone in the events leading to the great Buddhist persecutions of 842–845 CE, in which thousands of Buddhist monasteries were razed and hundreds of thousands of monks and nuns forced to return to lay life. The relics of which Han Yu complained came from the Chongzhensi, renamed the Famensi, or Monastery of the Gate of the Law, in 1003 under the Song dynasty (960–1279 CE). Founded in 555 under the Western Wei dynasty (535–557 CE), the Famen Monastery rose to extraordinary prominence under the Tang dynasty (618–907 CE); it was closely associated with no fewer than seven Tang emperors, including the notorious Empress Wu Zetian (r. 684–705).

In August 1981, after a period of heavy rainfall, the octagonal, brick pagoda of thirteen stories, which had endured for 372 years since its construction in 1609, collapsed in ruins. After the remains had been made safe in July 1985, the provincial government decided to build a replacement, and an archaeological team from Shaanxi province, Fufeng county, and Baoji city was constituted to proceed with an excavation prior to rebuilding. The excavation proper began on 3 April 1987. Clearing of the foundations revealed not only the circular trench in which the brick pagoda had stood but also the larger, square foundations of an earlier wooden pagoda and steps leading down to a level corridor and three successive stone chambers, the innermost of which lay beneath the core of the foundations of both pagodas.

As the focus of worship in early Buddhist monasteries, every pagoda had its “foundation deposit,” sealed within a stone casket or small chamber in the foundations, where it usually lay undisturbed until it became necessary to rebuild the pagoda after its destruction by fire or lightning, the ravages of war or religious persecution. Under such circumstances, the contents could be recovered and incorporated in a new deposit beneath the restored or rebuilt pagoda. In recent years, numerous foundation deposits have been recovered in the course of excavations or repairs; they constitute among the most valuable evidence for many aspects of the monasteries to which they belonged. In the present case, the relic, described by Han Yu as the Buddha’s “decayed and rotten bones,” was supposed to be a fingerbone. As found in the eightfold set of caskets (cat. 164), it is a hollow cylinder as thick as a finger and about an inch and a half long, with the seven principal stars of the Great Bear, or Big Dipper, engraved inside it. Three facsimiles were also discovered in the crypt, one of them contained in the jade coffin within the crystal sarcophagus (cat. 162).

The reliquary deposit appears to have been specially constructed to allow repeated access from outside. During the Tang dynasty, on no fewer than seven occasions at approximately thirty-year intervals, in 631, 660, 704, 760, 790, 819, and 873, the relics were recovered and
conveyed to the Tang capital of Chang’an (or, during the reign of Empress Wu, to the city of Luoyang). In the capital, they were displayed in the imperial palace — usually in the imperial Buddhist monastery — and eventually returned to be reinterred in the crypt beneath the pagoda. An inventory stele, written in 874 by the monk Juezhi of the Xingshan Monastery, gives precise details (most of which correspond to specific items contained in the deposit) regarding the 122 gold and silver objects presented in 873 and 874 by the two emperors Yizong and Xizong.

While a full report of the excavation has yet to be published, this extraordinary array of sumptuous objects has already provided invaluable evidence regarding art at the Tang court, metalworking and textile techniques of the Late Tang dynasty, the tributary system, and diverse aspects of Buddhism (especially Esoteric Buddhism, which was then dominant in China). The order in which the exhibits are described here is designed to introduce them in a narrative fashion. First is the massive Buddhist staff (cat. 160), made in the palace workshops, which was undoubtedly carried to the pagoda in 874 at the head of the procession from the palace in Chang’an, over a hundred kilometers away. Next is the model gilt-bronze stūpa or pagoda (cat. 161), one of the oldest items in the entire deposit and one that affords an excellent idea of the architectural form of the original Tang pagoda standing above the crypt. It contained one of the four fingerbone relics of the Buddha and was itself packed inside a painted stone stūpa in the first chamber of the crypt: this stūpa was the first object seen when the doors were opened, and its battered edges are vivid testimony to the number of times that it had been moved back and forth.
The second chamber of the crypt contained a second stone stūpa, much larger than the first and dedicated by Empress Wu. Within it, covered in many layers of precious textiles, was a casket containing the second fingerbone relic. Beyond it, and close to the doors leading to the third and innermost chamber of the crypt, was a large cylindrical lacquer box containing a number of fine stoneware bowls and dishes, one of which is shown here (cat. 167).

By far the greatest number of the gold and silver items, many of which had been made for the purpose only a year or two before they were brought to the crypt in 874, were crammed into the third and innermost chamber of the crypt, beneath the very center of the pagoda. The large incense burner, together with its stand (cat. 163), was used in the ceremonies associated with the enshrinement of the relics. It was placed in the middle of the chamber, just in front of the set of eight nesting caskets that contained the third and principal fingerbone relic (the fourth was found in a crystal sarcophagus contained in a separate casket concealed beneath the rear wall of the chamber [cat. 162]). The largest of the seven surviving caskets, with images of the Guardian Kings of the four cardinal directions, is shown here (cat. 164). The remaining items probably had both secular and Buddhist uses. The spherical censer (cat. 166) could be used either to perfume clothing or to burn incense for ceremonial use. The utensils (cat. 165) used in the preparation of tea, together with a set of imported glass dishes (see cat. 168) and a glass cup found in the innermost chamber, are evidence of its widespread use at this time; tea was drunk in every Buddhist monastery and probably in most upper-class households as well. RW

1 Translated by Chen 1964, 225–226.
Parcel-gilt silver khakkhara (monk’s staff)

Length 196.5 (77%), diam. of handle 22.5 (8%); weight 2.39 (5%)

Tang Dynasty, dated by inscription to 873 CE
From the pagoda of the Famen Monastery at Fufeng, Shaanxi Province
Famensi Museum, Fufeng, Shaanxi Province

Washington only

This magnificent ceremonial Buddhist staff was found propped up in the rear left corner of the innermost chamber. It is exceptional not only in size but also in its construction and decoration. The crowning ornament here consists of two intersecting “wheels,” each of which carries six rings, three on either side; within the wheels is a vajra, or diamond club, supported on a lotus rising out of clouds and topped by a jewel on an openwork base; above this, the rings join and are crowned by a lotus bud. The long shaft is engraved with figures of twelve pratyeka Buddhas wearing the kāśyā, or outer ceremonial robe.

Such Buddhist staffs had both practical and symbolic functions. Usually they feature only one wheel and six rings, symbolizing the cycle of birth and rebirth and the six ways of existence. Carried and shaken by a monk, the staff would announce his presence; its noise was thought to drive away small creatures, so that the monk might not inadvertently step on them and so kill living things. Such a staff also appears as an attribute of the Bodhisattva Ksitigarbha, who is closely involved with the Six Ways, and of Buddha Bhaisajyaguru — the Medicine Buddha, whose Twelve Vows may well be represented in this example by the twelve rings and the twelve monks engraved on the long handle. (Bhaisajyaguru is worshiped in the present life for healing from sickness, lengthening of life, and spiritual guidance toward rebirth in Amitābha’s Pure Land.) The vajra so prominently displayed in the middle of the intersecting wheels appears on several other objects found in the crypt of the Famen Monastery pagoda, in particular on four ārghyas (vessels for offerings of scented water)
placed one in each corner of the innermost chamber, where they must have been used in an esoteric Buddhist ritual of purification before any of the other votive objects were deposited there.  

The inscription on the staff reads as follows: “The Wensiyuan has received the command of the twenty-third day of the third moon of the fourteenth year of Xiantong [873 CE] to make a silver staff to welcome the true body [relic] with gilded decoration and twelve rings, weighing a total of 60 liang, of which 2 liang of gold and 58 liang of silver. Craftsman An Shuyun; Administrative Assistant with the rank of Purple Gold Fish Pouch Wang Quanhu; Vice-Commissioner for Court Service Qian Zhi; Commissioner of the Palace Gate Guard of the Left, General [Wu] Hongque.” This inscription is couched in the terms required by the regulations, probably promulgated at the beginning of the reign, under which the Wensiyuan, or Crafts Institute, operated; all of those involved had strictly defined official functions. Together with other inscriptions found on vessels and objects in the Famen Monastery deposit, the inscription is proof that during the Tang dynasty the Wensiyuan was not merely an imperial storehouse: it housed the imperial workshops, located within the palace and operated under the strictest controls.

1 Excavated in 1987 (FD 5: 041); reported: Shaanxi 1988a, 20–22.
2 Liebert 1976, 135.
3 Yen 1998.
4 Whitfield 1990a, 232.
5 One liang during the Tang dynasty was equivalent to approximately 40 grams. François Louis (1999, 93 n. 417), provides a convenient breakdown of the weight measures and their equivalents: 4 zi = 1 qian; 10 qian = 1 liang; 16 liang = 1 jin. The approximate metric equivalents are 1 zi = 1 g; 1 qian = 4 g; 1 liang = 40 g; 1 jin = 640 g.
6 Han Wei 1995, 72. A few years before, Wu Hongque had the lower position of Administrative Assistant of High Rank (see cat. 165).
7 Han 1995, 75.
In India, the stupa, originally a funerary monument, was the symbol of the historical Buddha’s nirvana — his release from the karmic cycle of rebirth and suffering. As the repository of his relics, it was at the center of monastic architecture and monastic worship. In China, its importance was reflected in the lofty forms of multistoried pagodas, in which only the topmost ornaments preserved the hemispherical form of the Indian original. Later exam-
This pagoda was found wrapped in silks inside a larger stone stupa, which was found at the far end of the first chamber, flanked by two stone lions that had fallen over. The stone stupa itself had tilted to one side, and extensive chips along the projecting edges suggest that it may well have been moved from its original position on one or more of the occasions when the relics were conveyed to the capital. It was one of the first objects found when the doors leading from the corridor to the first chamber were opened.

Like the buildings in Tang depictions of Buddhist Pure Lands (paradises), the model rises on terraces from a lotus pool. On each of the four sides, steps and bridges, guarded by paired lions on columns, provide access across the pool to the main terrace and the four locked doors. Standing in front of the windows, two lokapalas (Heavenly Kings) guard the main entrance. Slender columns support the projecting eaves and tiled roof. The mast that crowns the pagoda has six canopies (chattiras), a seventh of distinctive, umbrella-like form, and, successively, a ring or halo, crescent moon and jewel, and a lotus bud finial. In architectural form and detail, this is a work of the early or High Tang dynasty, seventh to eighth century BCE, reflecting Pure Land Buddhism, with no hint of any Esoteric elements. The motif of a crescent moon and jewel, in particular, which ultimately derives from the crowns of Sassanian kings, appears frequently in the headdresses of Early and High Tang bodhisattvas in the cave-temples at Dunhuang. Nevertheless, the workmanship of the small parcel-gilt silver coffin contained within the pagoda, which in turn held a fingerbone relic (actually a precise replica of the principal relic found inside the set of nesting caskets, cat. 164), is similar to that of the many objects made much later, around the time of the final dedication in 874.

2 Han and Zhao 1998, 551.
Crystal reliquary container in the shape of a miniature sarcophagus

Height 7 (2 1/4), length 10.5 (4 3/8)
Tang Dynasty, seventh century CE
From the pagoda of the Famen Monastery at Fufeng, Shaanxi Province
Famensi Museum, Fufeng, Shaanxi Province

Houston and San Francisco only

In contrast to the 122 items listed in the inventory tablet as having been offered to the true body relic by Emperor Yizong and his son, the emperor Xizong, only seven are noted as having been brought to the palace in 873 from the Chongzhensi (as the Famen Monastery was then called): “three jiasha (kaśāya, or monastic robes), an embroidered skirt of Empress Wu [Zetian, d. 705], a lined jacket (pi’ao) embroidered in gold and silver thread, a crystal sarcophagus, and an iron casket.” It would appear that these seven items had all been donated to the reliquary deposit on earlier occasions, perhaps when Empress Wu had the relics brought to Luoyang in 704. She herself would have seen the relics once before, in 660, when she was already becoming a powerful figure in the court of Emperor Gaozong. The skirt donated by the empress, a devout Buddhist, should be identified with the skirt, 16.5 by 7.2 centimeters, found with a set of miniature garments inside a black lacquer box, in the inner chamber. It may be that this entire set — which includes an equally minuscule lined jacket, a tiny cushion, a tiny anqun, or “altar skirt,” and a kaśāya measuring
just 11.8 by 8.4 centimeters — corresponds in number and in kind with the three jiasha and two women’s garments mentioned in the inscription and that their slightly amateurish gold thread embroidery of clouds, lotus flowers, and man characters is the work of Empress Wu herself.2

The crystal sarcophagus exhibited here3 was found inside a silver-gilt casket dedicated by Emperor Yizong in 871 CE to receive the Buddha relic; the silver-gilt casket was itself protected inside another of iron, and placed in a secret compartment beneath the rear wall of the innermost chamber. The crystal sarcophagus, in turn, held another, smaller coffin, made of greenish jade, which held the fingerbone relic, a hollow phalanx of soft yellow bone, slightly smaller than the white jade one found in the eight-fold set of caskets (see cat. 164). Two precious stones adorn the top of the crystal sarcophagus, and an openwork gilt bronze plaque is affixed to the higher end, but the smaller jade coffin is left entirely unadorned, save for its dais, also carved from jade.

The earliest surviving Chinese reliquary deposits, dating from the Northern Wei dynasty (386 – 534 CE), were usually contained within a small stone chest, roughly cubical in shape. By the early Tang dynasty, however, relic containers had assumed the shape of the Chinese coffin, with a rounded lid, higher at one end than the other. The innermost coffin might be of gold, inside another of silver or silver-gilt, inside one of bronze or stone. Two such silver and silver-gilt coffins were found in the Famen Monastery deposit, one of bone within the gilt-bronze stūpa (cat. 161), the other made of jade in another iron casket inside the marble lingzhang of the middle chamber. They contained the remaining two fingerbone relics. From their style, it seems likely that these coffins were made in the eighth or the ninth century — before 874, when the deposit was opened and closed again for the last time. This cannot be the case with these made of crystal and jade, which are of truly exceptional quality and which would have been regarded in China as more precious than gold.

While we cannot reconstruct in detail what happened on each of the occasions that the relics were brought out of the crypt and transported to the palace (to be returned, normally, three days later) one must imagine that new offerings, and very possibly new containers as well, were added each time. The tiny jade coffin may have held the principal relic, and both it and the crystal sarcophagus were perhaps once enshrined within the gilt-bronze pagoda, inside the Ashoka marble stūpa, in the innermost chamber of the crypt. By 874, only some thirty years after the disastrous persecution of Buddhism under the Huichang reign (842 – 845), the time had come for the principal relic4 to be given its own miniature pagoda of solid gold, inside a splendid new set of caskets, and accompanied by a vast array of gold and silver, glass, lacquer, and ceramic objects. The casket that held the crystal sarcophagus is adorned on its lid and four sides with forty-five images of the Vajradhatu, or Diamond World Mandala,5 representing the latest Buddhist
doctrine for the most speedy attainment of enlightenment; it was safely tucked away out of sight, doubtless in a secret ceremony, before the main ceremony began. During the persecutions of 842-845, the Chongzhen (Famen) Monastery, as the monastery outside the capital most closely associated with the court, was allowed to survive unscathed; the fact that the four fingerbone relics are almost identical in shape and size (varying between 37 and 41 millimeters) makes it tempting to suppose that some of them had originally been enshrined elsewhere and brought to the imperial monastery for safekeeping. In 603, under the Sui dynasty, relics were sent from the capital to be enshrined in pagodas throughout the empire. Such relics, like the images that were disseminated in the same fashion by the Sui emperors, and that share a common style, must have been made to a rigorous common specification.  

1 The seven objects form Kegazawa’s Group A in his very thorough analysis of the relic groupings (1996).  
2 These identifications are made here for the first time. Previous reports have identified the skirt with a much larger fragment of embroidery, densely embroidered with lotus flowers (Famensi 1994, 72). Wang Yarong (Wang 1988, 27) and Wang Xu (Wang and Hu 1998, 205) called the jacket a bānbì (half-sleeve), but this term is not found anywhere in the inventory stela; the pi’ào of the inscription—a lined jacket—corresponds exactly to the jacket recovered from the crypt.  
3 Excavated in 1987 (FD 5:044-7); reported: Shaanxi 19883, 7.  
4 There are different opinions regarding which was the principal relic: The yellow bone relic in its jade coffin and crystal sarcophagus; or the white jade relic, with the seven stars of the Great Bear carved inside, enshrined in the eightfold set of caskets. L-mann Lai, personal communication.  
5 For a discussion of the iconography, see Han 1998. A further detailed analysis of the iconography is in preparation by L-mann Lai, doctoral candidate at the School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London.

Parcel-gilt silver sandalwood incense burner and stand

Censer: height 29.5 (11 ⅜), diam. 24.8 (9 ¼), weight 6.4 (14 ⅔)  
Stand: height 21 (8 ½), diam. 43.5 (17 ⅜), weight 8.9 (19 ⅜)  
Tang Dynasty, dated by inscription to 869 CE  
From the pagoda of the Famen Monastery at Fufeng, Shaanxi Province  
Famensi Museum, Fufeng, Shaanxi Province  
Houston and San Francisco only

This impressive censer and its stand1 were found in the center of the inner chamber. Both are described on the inventory stele among the very first of the 122 objects dedicated by Emperor Yizong in 873 CE: “an incense burner and stand together weighing 380 liang.” An inscription incised on the underside of the censer confirms the identification: “In the tenth year of Xiantong [869 CE], the Wensiyuan made an 8-cun (8-inch) silver gilt decorated incense burner with stand and hanging rings, together weighing 380 liang. Craftsman Chen Jingquan; Administrative Assistant of High Rank Wu Hongque; Commissioner Neng Shun.”2 The numerals 1, 3, and 4 are engraved on the lid, body, and stand, respectively.

Incense and fragrances were common offerings in Buddhist worship; the smoke from the burning incense would waft through the air just as the teachings of the Buddha spread through the world. Incense burners were a common item as early as the Han dynasty (206 BCE – 220 CE). Long before that, during the Shang dynasty (c. 1600 – 1100 BCE), burnt offerings were made to the ancestral spirits and to Shangdi, the Supreme Ruler. At that time, the vessel of the greatest importance for such offerings was the ding, or tripod bowl. Ding vessels, in a variety of materials, were to become the chief altar-vessel in Buddhist ceremonies as well. During the Tang dynasty, however, a five-footed form of incense burner seems to have been favored. On the evidence of Buddhist silk paintings from Dunhuang, the censer on the altar in front of the Buddha was
generally bowl-shaped, with a cover and a stand of lotus shape. Sometimes, smaller lotus blossoms on stems are shown emerging on either side of the main censer.

The present censer is of a standard Tang dynasty type but is one of the largest known — almost twice the size of a similar six-legged censer, dated 741, found packed with incense in the reliquary deposit of the Qingshan Monastery at Lintong near Xi'an, excavated in 1985. Its preeminent position in the innermost chamber suggests that, like the Qingshan censer, it was probably used during the ceremonies to enshrine the Buddha relic in its eight-fold set of nesting caskets. The lid is crowned by a broad lotus bud, pierced to allow the fragrant smoke to escape. On the curved shoulders of the lid are five lotus blossoms, each supporting a tortoise, from whose mouth issue two wreaths of incense smoke. The lotuses, tortoises, and the encircling stems and foliage are all worked in repoussé, with further chasing and gilding. A broad, flat rim overhangs the sides of the vessel itself, which is supported on five sturdy legs issuing out of the mouths of dragons; these legs are fastened to the body by means of rivets around the dragons' manes. In the spaces between them hang knotted festoons, each framing what appears to be an embroidered ball. Remaining space on the vessel's sides is filled with incised wreaths of incense smoke, similar to those depicted issuing from the mouths of the tortoises on the lid. Thus, even without the actual burning of sandalwood or other incense materials, the censer continues to serve its original function.

The stand is in the form of a flat tray, to which the five legs and intermediate festoons have been
The tray has five lobes and a tightly folded ribbon design inside the rim, the latter almost identical to a border pattern used at Dunhuang in the eighth century in Cave 45. The center is very effectively incised and gilded (without the use of repousse) with a pair of peacocks circling with outspread wings; their sweeping tails feature engraved "eyes" and cusped outlines. Four scrolling stems issue from the central point, two of them held in the peacocks’ beaks and ending in flowers, and two passing behind their tails. The remaining space between this circular design and the sides is occupied by five double-sprays with leaves and flowers. The legs, with identical animal masks, have outturned trifoliate feet instead of animal claws; the same shape, but carved in wood and elegantly painted, is to be found a century or more earlier, in an offering tray preserved in the Shōsō-in.

The festoons, in the form of knotted scarves with intricate parcel-gilding, have a central four-petaled flower instead of the embroidered ball used above. They hang from split pins passing through gilt floral washers inside and outside the rim of the tray. Three small rivets fasten each of the legs to the underside of the tray, carefully positioned so as to be almost hidden in the foliage.

2 Han 1995, 71.
3 A complete example of a five-legged censer and stand in Yue stoneware (height 66 [26]) was excavated near Hangzhou in 1980. See Wang 1996, pl. 15.
4 Tokyo 1998!, 77, no. 40.
6 Nara 1998, no. 65.
Parcel-gilt silver casket with the Four Guardian Kings

Height 23.5 (9 1/4), width 20 (7 3/4)
Tang Dynasty, ninth century CE
From the pagoda of the Famen Monastery at Fufeng, Shaanxi Province
Famensi Museum, Fufeng, Shaanxi Province

Multiple containers made of valuable materials were invariably used to hold relics (which could be just tiny, glassy grains). In the case of the Famen Monastery, where the relic was held to be from the true body of Sakyamuni, the historical Buddha, the containers had to be even more impressive. Placed towards the rear of the innermost chamber, this is the second of a set of eight nesting caskets. Only fragments remain of the outermost casket, which was made of sandalwood carved with figures of devotees and figures from the Buddhist Pure Lands,
including the Pure Land of the West of Buddha Amitābha — the Buddha of Boundless Light and the focus of Pure Land worship. It was apparently surrounded by a wooden railing with carved balusters topped by gilt lotus buds, similar to the railing surrounding the gilt bronze stūpa (cat. 161).

The second to the seventh caskets were lowered into each other by means of lengths of silk, remnants of which remained in their original positions when the objects were discovered. Each casket was fastened by a padlock, with the appropriate key still in place. All share the same square shape with chamfered lid but are decorated in different ways. Each side of this, the second casket, shows one of the Four Guardian Kings, each of whom is identified by a cartouche inscribed in similar fashion to those that identify the Pure Lands on the outer sandalwood casket. These depictions closely resemble (and were likely based on) contemporary paintings on silk or paper, or murals, such as those found early this century at the Caves of the Thousand Buddhas at Dunhuang. Foremost among them, on the front of the casket, is Vaiśravaṇa, Guardian King of the North, easily identified by the small stūpa he supports on his left hand. Behind him, one of his army of yaksas takes aim at a winged demon fleeing at the top right, exactly as in a silk banner now in the British Museum.²

Vaiśravaṇa is seated in frontal view on two dwarfish figures who crawl out to either side, his right hand grasping a vajra, or diamond club; the tiny figure of the earth goddess Prithvi appears beneath him, tenderly holding his right foot (a reference to the Khotanese legend with which Vaiśravaṇa, as the patron saint of Khotan, is associated). The infant on the left, who offers him a jewel from a pot of treasure, may be the son granted to the childless king and nurtured by the earth goddess; other precious items are strewn about on the ground. The other three Guardian Kings, Virūdhaka of the South at the back of the casket, Dvārakāstra and Virūpakṣa of the East and West, at the left and right, respectively, are seated in similar fashion: all three, however, look to their right, as if following Vaiśravaṇa in processional order. Besides their armed followers, each of these three also has a female attendant, presenting a vase of flowers or holding an incense burner. In the case of Vaiśravaṇa, there are two male donors: a Chinese emperor, holding a lotus bud in his left hand and proferring a coin in the open palm of his right hand; and the bearded King of Khotan, offering with both hands a crystalline lump of jade from that country.

A pair of dragons amid swirling clouds circle around a single flaming pearl on the lid of the casket. The chamfered edges feature pairs of animals amid lush foliage; the vertical sides are decorated with pairs of human-headed birds, or kalavinkas, providers of music in the Pure Lands.
Like the scenes on the side, these motifs are worked in repoussé from the inside; chased and engraved details decorate the outside. The raised motifs and chased outlines are gilded, while the silver ground behind them is stippled so that they stand out even more clearly against it. The third casket is of plain silver. Next are two caskets decorated with figural designs: the fourth with preaching scenes of four Buddhas, each associated with one of the four directions; the fifth illustrates Esoteric deities. The sixth and seventh caskets have no figural designs, but are encrusted with pearls and semiprecious stones. Finally, the eighth container, a mere two inches in height, is not a casket but a tiny single-story pagoda fashioned out of solid gold; the relic fingerbone rested on a silver post. RW

Parcel-gilt silver tea basket

Height 17.8 (7), diam. 16.1 (6 ¼), weight 0.6 (1 ¾)
Tang Dynasty, ninth century CE
From the pagoda of the Famen Monastery at Fufeng, Shaanxi Province
Famensi Museum, Fufeng, Shaanxi Province

This magnificent openwork lidded basket, donated by Emperor Xizong in 874, exhibits a light and graceful form, from the swelling cover to the fanciful feet, which take the form of triple clusters of stamens. The swing handle describes a graceful arch, with swan-neck ends and bud finials, attached at each side by a ring passing through a loop that emerges from a four-petaled holdfast. A silver chain
links the cover to the handle. Sides, lid, and even the base of the piece all feature the same openwork motif of intersecting circles, whose square holes resemble those of Chinese coins. Superimposed on the openwork are gilded flying geese (so identified in the excavation report, although their short necks suggest that they are in fact ducks), fifteen of them on the lid, and another twenty-four in pairs around the sides. A narrow gilded band of overlapping petals and another of half-florets on a stippled fishroe ground border the lid where it meets the sides.

Unlike the gold and silver vessels made at the capital in the workshops of the Wensiyuan, this piece came to the court as tribute from southwestern China. A brief inscription is engraved around the edge of the underside: “Sent [to the court] by Li Gan, official of Gui.”

1 Excavated in 1987 (FD 5:077), reported: Shaanxi 1988a, 16.
2 Han 1995, 71.
3 Fan 1982, figs. 2, 9.
4 Famensi 1988, 12, fig. 12, item 36; Tokyo 1998, 172-173, no. 111.
5 Reischauer 1955, 365 n. 1395.

Another basket from the Famen Monastery deposit, listed on the inventory stele as a “knotted basket,” is of lobed oval form, similar in size and incorporating the same ground-pattern of intersecting circles, but even more fanciful, being entirely constructed of silver and silver-gilt wire, including even the swing handle. Remains of wood in the bottom of this second basket have suggested to some commentators that both baskets were intended to store tea, after the leaves had been steamed and then dried into bricks or flat cakes, which in more ordinary circumstances were often strung together; even today, solid cakes of tea are made with a depression in the center, for ease of piercing and stringing.

1 Excavated in 1987 (FD 5:077), reported: Shaanxi 1988a, 16.
2 Han 1995, 71.
3 Fan 1982, figs. 2, 9.
4 Famensi 1988, 12, fig. 12, item 36; Tokyo 1998, 172-173, no. 111.
5 Reischauer 1955, 365 n. 1395.
Openwork parcel-gilt silver censer

Length of chain 17.7 (9 5/8), diam. of censer 5.8 (5)
Tang Dynasty, ninth century CE
From the pagoda of the Famen Monastery at Fufeng, Shaanxi Province
Famensi Museum, Fufeng, Shaanxi Province

Two of the censers found in the Famen Monastery pagoda deposit are spherical in shape, each suspended from a hook by means of a chain and swivel. Both of them are recorded in the inventory stele as having been donated by Emperor Sizong in 874. They were found stored inside the parcel-gilt silver tea basket (cat. 165). The larger of the two is 12.8 centimeters in diameter, not as large as the pair preserved in the Shoso-in Treasury in Japan (each 18 centimeters in diameter), but larger than most other known examples from the Tang dynasty: two excavated from Xi'an are each only 4.8 centimeters in diameter. Four have been excavated from the same area, and others are in private collections around the world.

The pattern of the smaller censer, rendered in openwork with additional chasing, elegantly complements that of the larger ball-censer. The latter has six medallions on each of its two hemispheres, which are hinged together and fastened with a hook and eye. The smaller censer has three larger medallions, each with a broad gilt band with flying birds and an openwork center. Because of this censer's small size, the medallions are split between its two hemispheres, and inverted isosceles triangles are positioned between them. The surface of both censers is filled with foliage and flowers on a ring-punched ground.

The most striking feature of such globular censers is the interior gimbal mechanism, which enables the incense materials to smolder safely without any danger to the person carrying it about or keeping it within his or her clothing for warmth and perfume. In this example, the mechanism consists of two rings 4.8 and 3.8 centimeters in diameter, within which is suspended a shallow bowl, 2.8 centimeters in diameter and 1.0 centimeters deep.
The principle of its construction, similar to that of a ship's compass, was first described in the west by the Italian philosopher and mathematician Hieronymus Cardanus (1501–1576) in De Subtilitate (1550) but had been known in China since the Western Han dynasty (206 BCE – 24 CE).  

1 Famensi 1988, fig. 13, item 12.  
2 Lu and Han 1985, pls. 26–27, 28–29.  
3 Excavated in 1987 (FD5: 08b); reported: Shaanxi 1988a, 16, 17 fig. 17.  
Mi se ware pan dish

Height 6.1 (2 7/8), diam. 23.8 (9 3/4), diam. of foot 17.4 (6 7/8)
Tang Dynasty, ninth century CE
From the pagoda of the Famen Monastery at Fufeng, Shaanxi Province
Famensi Museum, Fufeng, Shaanxi Province

Among sixteen ceramic vessels excavated from the middle chamber of the crypt beneath the Famen Monastery pagoda, no fewer than thirteen were mi se ("secret color") fine stoneware. Most of them were contained in a lacquered wooden box, placed beneath the large silver censer immediately in front of the doors leading to the third and innermost chamber. They had been dedicated in 873 by Emperor Yizong, and are recorded on stone tablets as follows: “Mi se ci ["porcelain"] bowls: seven items, two with silver banded rims; mi se ci pan dishes and diezi: six items.” The ceramics actually found comprise these seven bowls and six dishes, together with a single octagonal fluted bottle, similar to one excavated in the 1950s from a tomb dated 871, and two pieces of white stoneware. The two bowls with silver rims, glazed a yellowish green, are coated on the outside with black lacquer inlaid in gold and silver with medallions of birds, flowers, and scrolling foliage. The other bowls are plain, with a grayish huqing (lake green) glaze of the finest quality.

Celebrated in literature ever since the ninth century as mi se, the ware’s exact nature had long defied precise identification; the correspondence between the inventory stele and the ceramics recovered from the pagoda’s foundation deposit has resolved that question. The mi se pieces were fired in a “dragon” kiln (a long kiln built up a slope) in a strongly reducing atmosphere, in which the relatively high levels of ferrous oxide and titanium oxide, around 2.5 percent, produced both the fine gray-green and the yellowish green glazes.

The pan shown here, with a five-lobed rim and sides divided by five short straight lines, derives from a silverware shape, as do the bowls, dishes, and the octagonal bottle. (Metalworking techniques similarly inform the inlay of the ceramic silver-banded bowls.) The clay paste forming the body had been refined to the point where it contained no sand particles, and the glaze has only a very few tiny gas bubbles. On the base, twenty-four exceedingly slender spur marks (four of them somewhat larger than the others), form a circle on the base; one of the other pan dishes shows two concentric
circles of spur marks, fourteen in the outer row and twelve in the inner row. So lightly did the vessels rest on their supports during the firing that not even a hint of the spurs appears on the vessels, while the flat surface of the foot is hardly disturbed. An even, smooth gray-green glaze covers the entire vessel; the glaze on the foot has the appearance of having been partly washed off before firing, so as not to adhere too much to the spurs, which were probably flakes of quartz, set on edge in a prepared clay disk.\(^8\) The technique of firing on spurs is seen in high-quality wares from Yaozhou and, at its most refined, on Ru wares of the Late Northern Song dynasty.

That efforts were made to ensure the highest possible quality is evident in the care taken to finish the saggers used to protect the vessels from ash and flame during the firing. These saggers are of porcelain, lidded, and lightly glazed inside and out.\(^9\) As with some of the other bowls, traces of a buff-colored paper with a woodblock print of a woman adhered to the outside. Woodblock prints were evidently used to separate the nested bowls when they were placed in the deposit, and the ink has left an imprint on the surface of the glaze.

In literary records, the\(^{10}\) mi se porcelain vessels are associated with the Yue wares made in Zhejiang province. In the city of Ningbo, more than seven hundred porcelains, mostly from Yue, and including one with a molded design of a crane and the characters Dazhong er nian (second year of Dazhong [= Taizhong, 848]) were found in winter 1973 beneath the Tang city walls.\(^{10}\) The majority were from Yue, with the characteristic fine clay body and smooth green glaze of the wares found at Famen Monastery. A reference to the “tribute kiln” inscribed on an epitaph jar dated 887, from a tomb excavated at Lake Shanglin in 1977, is evidence that such wares were made at one or more of the 196 kilns found along the shores of the lake.\(^{11}\) Fragments of an octagonal bottle very similar to the one found at Famen Monastery have also been found at one of the Shanglin kiln sites.\(^{12}\) Thus the wares dedicated by Emperor Yizong in 875 were without any doubt fired as tribute ware at the Yue kilns at some time during his reign, which began in 860. The presence of such tribute wares among so many gold and silver vessels made in the imperial workshops marks an important advance in the status of ceramics, destined to be increasingly important in the Northern Song dynasty. Indeed, the control of the kilns that produced them was eventually to come directly under the administration of the court, in order to guarantee both quality and exclusivity.\(^{ \text{kw} } \)

1 Song 1992, 244. Although this is not immediately apparent from the plan in the preliminary report, some of the bowls, and the remains of the box, can be seen in the drawing of the objects in front of the doors. Wenwu 1988.10.11, fig. 11.
2 In Chinese, the word ci (“porcelain”) is used for both stoneware and porcelain. The requirement that porcelain be both white and translucent, as well as resonant, is a Western convention.
3 Feng 1988, 37.
5 Zhu Boqian 1995, 252. A reducing atmosphere is obtained by closing the kiln openings at a certain point in the firing cycle. This has the effect of starving the flames of oxygen, and some of the chemically combined oxygen in the glaze material is thereby removed or “reduced,” e.g., ferric oxide (Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)) becomes ferrous oxide (FeO).
7 Song 1992, 248.
8 Nigel Wood, personal communication.
12 Wang 1996, fig. 60.
Blue glass pan dish with engraved and gilt designs

Height 2.2 (¾), diam. 15.5 (6¼)
Islamic, probably from Nishapur, ninth century CE
From the pagoda of the Famen Monastery at Fufeng, Shaanxi Province
Famensi Museum, Fufeng, Shaanxi Province

Perhaps because of the far-reaching development of ceramics, few glass vessels of indigenous manufacture have been discovered in China. When glass vessels have been found, the context is very often either Buddhist or aristocratic, or both. Ma Wen-kuan, writing in 1994, notes over thirty vessels from eleven sites, not including pieces found in Xinjiang province at sites along the former Silk Road. Silk paintings discovered early this century in Cave 17
at Dunhuang (Gansu province), a key point on the Silk Road, depict bodhisattvas holding transparent bowls. In Buddhist reliquary deposits, it is not uncommon for the innermost container, in which the relic grains were actually held, to be a tiny glass phial. The tomb of the young princess Li Jingxun (see cat. 157) contained a transparent green glass bottle, 16.3 centimeters high, and several other small glass vessels. Such depictions and finds clearly indicate the rarity and high esteem in which glass was held, despite—or perhaps because of—the very small quantities actually produced in China.

Some vessels were imported from the west by sea. The first reference in Chinese sources to Islamic glass dates to 775 CE, when Lu Sigong, the commissioner of Lingnan, having put down a rebellion in Canton, sent a glass dish, 9 cun (inches) in diameter, to Emperor Daizong. The emperor’s delight turned to rage when it was later discovered that Lu had given a slightly larger glass dish, i chi (10 cun, or inches) in diameter, to a disgraced official, and the emperor was with difficulty restrained from having Lu executed.

Under such circumstances, the group of glass vessels from the Famen Monastery deposit is truly remarkable in view of the number of vessels found, their decoration, and their excellent state of preservation. Retrieved from the innermost chamber, on 4–6 May 1987, they comprise some twenty vessels, nineteen of them intact (not all have yet been fully described). Two of vessels—a plain, yellow-green teacup (height 4.9 centimeters, diam. 15 centimeters) and stand (height 3.7 centimeters, diam. 14 centimeters)—are of Chinese manufacture; the remainder are imported Mesopotamian Islamic glass, possibly made in Nishapur and brought to the Chinese court by one of the many foreign tribute missions during the Tang dynasty. One dish is luster-painted in yellow and dark brown.

The dish in this exhibition is one of six engraved and four plain blue dishes in a stack of ten dishes nested together, which doubtless helped to keep them intact. Convex at the center (a function of its attachment to the pontil during the blowing), the dish is decorated with gilding and engraving.

The gilding is applied in two concentric narrow bands that circumscribe the central and main fields of decoration; a third wavy band or ribbon undulates in the space between them. One other dish has both gilding and engraving, while the remaining four blue dishes are engraved but not gilt; all six, however, use similar incising techniques and in some cases the same motifs; the central motif of eight principal petals on this piece, for example, reappears on another of the engraved dishes, but on a larger scale so that it fills almost the whole of the available space within the plain rim. Several of the dishes feature a five-leaflet motif; all of them use close-set hatching lines, straight or undulating (as here, within the small roundels inside and outside the gilded undulating band), with contrasting areas of plain blue reserve. The same hatching techniques and, less frequently, gilding appear on vessel fragments found in Samarra, in Nishapur, and in al-Fustat, Egypt.

---

1 Moore 1998, fig. 19.6, following a survey by An Jiayao, illustrates her line drawings of a number of examples from the mural paintings in Cave 217 and other Tang caves at Dunhuang.
2 Ma Wenkuan 1994, 233–234, citing the Xin Tang shu (New Tang history). Moore 1998, 80, gives further details from the Zizhi tongjian (Comprehensive mirror to aid government), with the date as 778.
3 Most of them were in the southwest corner of the chamber, close to the tea-mill and the two tea-baskets.
4 A fragment of a narrow-necked blue bottle (FD 5:33), and a fragment of a pale yellow straight-sided cup (FD 5:37) have been analyzed, see An 1993, 262. The analysis shows them to be common sodium glass with relatively high levels of magnesium oxide and oxide of potassium, similar to sherds from Nishapur analyzed by Brill and Fenn 1993.
5 See Kroger 1998.
6 Excavated in 1987 (FD 5:012). The dishes are not individually reported, but see generally Shaanxi 1988a, 1–26, and, for additional illustration, An 1990, 127, fig. 6.
7 FD 5:008. See An 1990, 126, fig. 4.
8 Some of these fragments are illustrated in Brill and Fenn 1995, 259–260, figs. 1–8.
Bronze ewer

Height 29.5 (11.61); diam. of mouth 4 – 6 (1.57 – 2.36), diam. of body 13.2 (5.19), diam. of foot 8.0 (3.149)

Probably from northern India, seventh or early eighth century CE

From the reliquary deposit at the Qingshan Monastery, Lintong Xinfengzhen, Shaanxi Province

Lintong County Museum, Shaanxi Province

Houston and San Francisco only

The sealed and clearly labeled stone reliquary chamber of the pagoda of the Qingshan Monastery was discovered quite by accident at midday on 6 May 1985, at a depth of six meters, in the course of excavating clay for brick-making. The site lies a few hundred yards from the Han gateway, where Xiang Yu and Liu Bang, rival contenders for the throne of China after the fall of the Qin dynasty, famously met to fix the border between Chu and Han in 207 BCE. The monastery itself had long since vanished and was known only from literary records, including one in the Tang shu (Tang history), recording that the name Qingshansi — Auspicious Peak Monastery — had been conferred on it by Empress Wu Zetian in 686 CE. More than a hundred objects, as well as mural paintings, were found in the inner chamber, which contained a stone shrine whose four sides are engraved with scenes of the Buddha preaching, his death or nirvana, the cremation of his body, and the worship of his ashes. The roof of the shrine carries four trees, perhaps in reference to the grove of sala trees where the Buddha’s nirvana took place, and a central gilded lotus bud. Lotuses, made of pure gold with painted leaves and paper-thin petals, stand in front of the shrine on either side. The relics — tiny crystals — were enclosed in two small green glass bottles, inside a gold coffin placed in turn within a silver gilt sarcophagus. Inscriptions concerning the relic deposit show that it was sealed in 741 CE.

The bronze vase exhibited here¹ is the single most prominent object found in the chamber, apart from the shrine itself and its contents. It was found just in front of the shrine, and must have been used for the last (but not necessarily the first) time in the consecration ceremony in 741. The six human faces around the body, with their sharply delineated features, are distinctively Indian in character, as is the shape of the neck, mouth, and handle of the ewer. Fourteen years after its discovery, the ewer’s exact provenance and date remain difficult to determine. Hildegard Scheid notes evidence that the foot had come away and had been repaired more than once in antiquity, before being deposited in the relic chamber.² With its elegant swan-neck handle and palmette-shaped thumbhold, the most likely answer is that it does indeed come from northern India,³ and the presumption must be that it dates no later than the early eighth century, and quite possibly earlier. kw

² Scheid in Kuhn 1993, 255.
³ The author is indebted to Wladimir Zwalf and Mark Zebrowski for their observations.
During the Early Tang dynasty (seventh and eighth centuries CE), a large percentage of the Chinese hereditary aristocracy moved from its ancestral homes to the great cities of Xi’an and Luoyang. In earlier dynasties, the competition for dominance among these families was informed by the wealth from inherited lands and titles, as well as by the influence gained from generations of regional power. Because they were large landholders as well as government officials, this elite controlled not only a great deal of the political power in China but also much of the means of production, the natural resources, and the ability to trade for items. This group was powerful both in politics and, as patrons, in the arts. Their new concentration in these cities, however, both separated them from local power sources and brought them together with people of like backgrounds and interests. The accumulation of numerous wealthy, sophisticated, and worldly individuals with large amounts of leisure time in a few locations created a true metropolitan elite that demanded an abundance of exotic luxury items; their changing material demands defined aesthetic taste and fashion. Arts of all kinds flourished under their patronage, exemplified in the surviving glories of the Tang capital at Xi’an, at the time the largest and most cosmopolitan city in the world.

The major population centers of the Tang dynasty were located in the north, in what is now Hebei, Henan, and parts of Shaanxi provinces. Xi’an, located to the west of these population centers, was the logical point of entry for trade coming over the land routes that connected China to the West. Along these roads came many of the exotic foreign goods so eagerly sought by the Tang court. The seventh and eighth centuries mark the point at which the Chinese were most outward looking; this was particularly true of the hereditary aristocracy. While still confident of the superiority of Chinese culture, they were also in contact with other advanced cultures, something relatively new to China. A fascination with the material culture of peoples beyond their own immediate borders was one of the shared characteristics of the Tang nobility.

Trade over the inland routes was greatly encouraged by the large numbers of Buddhist missionaries who traveled between China and the loci of their faith in Kashmir, Afghanistan, Pakistan, and northern India. Prior to the fall of the Sassanian empire in the seventh century CE, textiles, glass, and metalwork from Persia found a ready market at the Tang court, as did music, musical instruments, and musicians from Central Asia. In addition the Chinese sought wine and exotic fruits such as peaches and grapes from oasis kingdoms in Central Asia. The major sources for jade, the most precious stone to the Chinese, were in Manasi and Hetian in modern Xinjiang. It was much sought after as a raw material for use in Chinese workshops to create a broad range of luxury goods. Exotic animals were also sought, and lions, elephants, and a whole range of other beasts found their way into the imperial zoos. The most prized animals were the great horses of Central Asia. In turn, the Chinese exported silk, ceramics, and other luxury goods.
The great cosmopolitan city of Xi’an was peopled as well by the foreign traders who supplied the demands of the elite, missionaries from a variety of faiths, mercenaries (who made up much of the imperial guard), those responsible for the care of the imperial stables, innumerable envoys coming to pay their respects, and vast numbers of entertainers of every imaginable type.

The contents of two tombs excavated in Xi’an reveal much about the hereditary aristocracy in this city during the late seventh and early eighth century CE. The earlier of the two was a double tomb excavated in August 1991 in Xinzhuxiang, in the eastern suburbs of Xi’an. It was built between 689 and 690 for Yu Yin, an official who served both in the Tang dynasty and during the reign of Wu Zetian. He died in 689 when he was about 49 and was interred in the tomb in 690. His wife, the princess Jinxiang, died in 722 and was interred in the tomb in 724.\(^1\)

The tomb contains the epitaphs of both its occupants. From them we know that Yu Yin came from a line of important military figures, served in the military as a judicial adjutant and was given an honorary military title at his death. He was a resident of Luoyang, the capital during the Zhou interregnum, and the second of the great Tang cities.\(^2\) The fact that he was buried in Xi’an reveals how powerful the attraction of that city had become to the aristocracy. Indeed, the suburbs of the Tang capital where the wealthy lived are termed the “five tomb towns” in contemporary poetry.\(^3\)

The epitaph for Jinxiang states that she was the third daughter of Li Yuanying, who was given the title Tengwang (King of Teng, a largely honorary title). Her paternal grandfather was Li Yuan — Tang Gaozu, the founder of the Tang dynasty. It is noteworthy that Jinxiang was given the rank of xianzhu, district princess, rather than gongzhu, imperial princess, even though she was a direct descendant of Tang Gaozu.\(^4\) Most excavated tombs of Tang princesses of the imperial line are single, making this double burial an anomaly that may reflect her lower rank. The interval between the death and burial of the husband and that of the wife is also unusually long.\(^5\)

Through stylistic and typographic analysis, as well as other criteria, Chinese experts have sought to distinguish the objects placed in the tomb when it was constructed in 690 from those placed in the tomb at the time of the burial of the princess in 724. They have concluded that the basic structure of the tomb, the tomb paintings, and a small number of the objects were created in or before 690; a stone outer coffin and many of the funerary ceramics date to the time of the princess’ burial. Many of the objects interred with Yu Yin were apparently replaced during the princess’ burial with others more suited for her higher status.\(^6\) Ten of these objects — two groups of mingqi (funerary figurines) — are illustrated here (cats. 170 – 171).

Given the period and the princess’ distinguished lineage, the fact that all but a few of the pottery funeral objects are painted, rather than glazed, is unusual. By the end of the seventh
century CE, *sancai* (three-color) glazes were becoming the preferred finish for the *mingqi* interred in the tombs of the highest-ranking Tang aristocracy. Figures found in the tomb of the princess Jinxiang and that of the princess Yongtai suggest that the choice of paint versus glazing was a function of the decedent’s status. As an imperial princess who died during the reign of Empress Wu, Yongtai was given a high-status burial in 706 — after the Tang had been reestablished. Her single tomb, with a large complement of paintings and superb funerary objects, contains painted pottery figures, but many of the vessels and figures are in *sancai.* The vast majority of *mingqi* in Jinxiang’s tomb, by contrast, are not glazed; their surface decoration is composed of pigments applied to a white slip over the low-fired ceramic body. The only *sancai* pieces listed in the excavation report for the tomb are a basin and a small handleless cup — an apparent reflection of Jinxiang’s relatively low status.

As sculptural representations of the fashions of the time, the highest-quality painted pottery *mingqi* tend to be more successful than those that are glazed. While *sancai*-glazed objects obviously required greater expenditures of materials and labor, the application of the glaze and the nature of the glaze itself did not permit the replication of fine details in drapery or physiognomy: The colors of the glaze dominate the *mingqi,* often bearing little relation to the accurate depiction of the figure. Because of the requirements of the glazing process, *sancai* pieces also
tend to be somewhat less freely sculpted than pieces that were meant to be painted. Such technical restrictions did not apply to painted pottery, so that it is in this material that the Tang mingqi artisans were best able to explore the details of facial type, fabric design and decoration, hairstyle, and the other accouterments that fascinated the Tang aristocracy.

The second tomb was discovered in 1988 during the building of a power station at Hansenzhai, in the eastern suburb of Xi'an. While the tomb did not contain an epitaph with the name of the occupant or the date of burial, comparisons of its contents with those of others for which the identity of the occupant and date of burial are known have established that the occupant was not an aristocrat but rather a wealthy merchant or landowner. Stylistic analysis dates the tomb to around the second quarter of the eighth century CE — a decade or more later than the Jinxiang tomb.

The Hansenzhai tomb contained a total of forty-three ceramic objects, including female and male figurines, camels (with and without riders), and a pair of spirit guardians, as well as bronze mirrors and a few wooden objects. The largest group comprised sixteen female figures, which are said to have been found near the north wall of the tomb and on top of the coffin. While these objects do not have the sancai glaze of those found in the highest-level Tang burials, their impressive scale and relatively high quality are an indication of the wealth attained by the affluent land-holding or merchant families of Xi'an during the seventh and eighth centuries. Six of the female figures (cat. 173) are included in this exhibition.
A group of five painted pottery hunting figures

Height 33 (13) - 35.5 (14)
Tang Dynasty, early eighth century CE
From the tomb of Yu Yin and Princess Jinxiang, Xi’an, Shaanxi Province
Xi’an Municipal Institute of Archaeology and Preservation of Cultural Relics, Shaanxi Province

Washington only

A fascination with capturing or exaggerating detail is characteristic of Tang funerary sculpture. Depictions of foreigners in particular often verge on caricature, an approach clearly reflected in the three foreign men in this group of hunters dressed in exotic costumes and head gear: their large noses, bulging eyes, heavy beards, and brutish musculature suggests that the Chinese found these people somewhat inferior. The unmistakably Chinese women who complete the hunting group, on the other hand, have regular features, and their hunting dress suggests a more sober style.

Hunting and the hunting styles of foreign peoples (including their use of exotic animals) were of particular interest to the leisured Tang aristocracy. Four of the figures (the three men and one of the women) in this group carry animals that would have been used in the hunt. In front of his saddle, one of the men cradles a small, wiry dog, ideal for pursuing smaller game in the open lands west of Xi’an. The second man holds a falcon on his arm, reflecting a common practice of the Tang aristocracy, which used these raptors to capture small animals and birds. A collared, spotted cat (probably a cheetah) sits on a thick, presumably protective pad on the rump of the third male hunter’s horse. Such felines (not native to China) were trained as hunting animals in parts of western Asia and must have been imported along with their foreign handlers.

Hunting was a sport of both men and women. The two women portrayed in this set of figures are obviously active participants in the hunt: one carries the body of a captured deer on her horse, while an alert lynx (like the cheetah, used as a hunting animal) accompanies the other female hunter. Their hair arranged in tight, practical buns, both women are dressed for the occasion in close-fitting tunics and trousers and thickly padded belts. Their participation in the hunt and their clearly foreign associates are an indication of the level of physical activity and relative freedom permitted to women during the Tang dynasty.
The horses depicted in this group are of a large and spirited breed much sought after by the Chinese. Originating in the grasslands of the Asian interior, such horses were much larger than the pony native to China and were valued for their speed and noble character. These qualities made the breed ideally suited for hunting as well as for battle (often against the same nomads from whom they had been obtained).

Watching the hunt was as much a spectator sport as a participatory pastime for the Tang aristocracy; the fact that all men in this group are foreigners may indicate that hunts were staged as a form of court entertainment. MK

1 Excavated in 1991; reported: Xi’an 1997, 14–19.

A group of five painted pottery female musicians

Height 30.8 (12 ¼") – 37 (13 ½")
Tang Dynasty, early seventh century CE
From the tomb of Yu Yin and Princess Jinxiang, Xi’an, Shaanxi Province
Xi’an Municipal Institute of Archaeology and Preservation of Cultural Relics, Shaanxi Province

Washington only

Among the mingqi found in Jinxiang’s tomb was a group of five female musicians mounted on horseback.1 While their features suggest Chinese ethnicity, there is little in the figures’ dress, musical instruments, or implied approach to music that relates to native Chinese traditions; to the contrary, everything about them indicates the strong influence of Central Asia. The fascination with the music of other cultures began in the Han dynasty and continued through the Tang. Foreign music from all parts of the known world was the rage at the Tang court. Literary sources refer to performances of music originating in Japan, Korea, and many other areas; the most popular performers, however, were those from Central Asia.

In contrast to later representations of women (see cat. 173), these female figures are fairly thin, and their tight-fitting, belted tunics and trousers are appropriate for riding. Painted patterns represent different types of fabric: one woman wears a dark-colored garment (perhaps leather); another wears a thick, short-sleeved jacket with intricate designs probably intended to represent a woven fabric. Their headgear is spectacular. The most elaborate is a fantastic hat that sweeps up from one woman’s head to represent a large bird. Flaps, representing the tail of the bird, cover the back of her neck and upper shoulders, and the intricately drawn patterns on the back of the hat suggest that actual feathers were used to decorate such headgear. The other women have their hair tied in
elaborate arrangements or wear the soft hats often found on figures of foreigners.

This troupe of women musicians likely represents part of the entertainment at one of the elaborate outings favored by the wealthy residents of Xi'an during the seventh and eighth centuries. In his “Song of the Beautiful Ladies,” Du Fu (712–770) provides a poetic description of these entertainments.

Third month, third day, in the air a breath of newness;
by Ch’ang-an riverbanks the beautiful ladies crowd,
warm-bodied, modest-minded, mild and pure,
with clear sleek complexions, bone and flesh well matched,
in figured-gauze robes that shine in the late spring,
worked with golden peacocks, silver unicorns.

On their heads what do they wear? Kingfisher glinting from hairpins that dangle by sidelock borders.

On their backs what do I see? Pearls that weight the waistband and subtly set off the form.2

The first woman in the group holds a small drum in one hand and prepares to strike it with the other; another woman plays a pair of cymbals. Large stationary drums and cymbals were employed in Late Bronze Age China; these smaller, portable forms (like many Tang musical instruments, apparently of Central Asian origin) would have been far better suited to less formal performances such as that represented in this group of figures. Another musician plays the four-stringed chusing pipa (crook-necked lute), or hu pipa (barbarian lute) — the most common form of lute during the Tang dynasty. Originating in western Asia, the Tang form of the hu pipa reflects Central Asian influence; they were likely imported, along with the musicians who played them. Bo Zhuyi in “Song of the Lute: Preface and Poem” describes a woman playing the lute:

She turned the pegs, brushed the strings, sounding two or three notes before they had formed a melody, already the feeling came through. Each string seemed tense with it, each sound to hold a thought, as though she were protesting a lifetime of wishes unfulfilled.

... Lightly she pressed the strings, slowly plucked, pulled, and snapped them, first performing, “Rainbow Skirts,” the “Waists of Green.” The big strings plang-planged like swift-falling rain; the little strings went buzz-buzz like secret conversations; plang-plang, buzz-buzz mixed and mingled in her playing like big pearls and little pearls falling on a plate of jade.

As the piece ended, she swept the plectrum in an arc before her breast, and all four strings made a single sound, like the sound of rending silk.3

Two styles of harps, both known as kanghou, were used in Tang China. According to Tang and Song dynasty sources, the larger version of the harp originated in western Asia and reached China through Central Asia. It was often elaborately decorated with lacquer and inlaid materials. The smaller version (played by one of the musicians), was designed to be portable.4 A Tang poem likens the sound of the kanghou to “10,000 real pearls cascading from a jade face.”5 Another figure plays a type of oboe, described in Tang texts as a short and thick, double-reeded instrument; it is thought to have originated in Kucha. MK

---

1 Excavated in 1991; reported: Xi'an 1997, 14–19.
4 Zhongguo 1977, 64.
A group of twelve painted pottery zodiac animals

Height 38.5 (15 3/4) - 41.5 (16 3/4)
Tang Dynasty, eighth century CE
From an unnamed tomb in Xi'an, Shaanxi Province
Shaanxi History Museum, Xi'an

This group of figures, representing the twelve animals of the zodiac, reportedly was excavated in 1955 from a Tang dynasty site in Xi'an. Little has been published about the circumstances in which they were found, and little comparative material exists to define the nature of the tomb or the status of the individual for whom they were made. Although painted rather than glazed (glaze was the preferred finish of higher-status sancai pottery), the scale and quality of the objects suggests that they were made for a member of the middle ranks of the Tang aristocracy.

Numerology and astrology have been an integral to Chinese culture from at least the beginnings of its written history. Associations of animals with directions, times of the year, certain constellations, and specific qualities were central in the yinyang wuxing (Yin and Yang and Five Elements) beliefs of the Han dynasty. The appearance of certain animals played an important role in Chinese beliefs regarding omens and portents and reflected a complex and evolving system of belief that spanned the Han dynasty, through the Period of Disunity, and into Tang dynasty.

The origin of the twelve animals of the Chinese zodiac, however, remains somewhat obscure. Their earliest appearance as funerary sculptures in northern Chinese tombs dates to the latter part of the Six Dynasties period (sixth century). Almost all early examples represent human bodies, in kneeling position, with animal heads; no full set has yet been found in a tomb from that period. The earliest known twelve-piece sets date from the Tang dynasty but are relatively rare; sets of zodiac animals become common only later in the Tang dynasty and during the Song period. These figures from Xi'an are unusual in their depiction of standing figures; their height as well distinguishes them from other, contemporary examples.

Some scholars have theorized that sets of zodiac animals appeared in northern China as a result of contact with western and Central Asian peoples. Certainly, the animal zodiac constituted a well-developed iconographical element in these
areas long before the earliest artistic or literary references to them in China; that these sets first appear in China during the Toba-ruled state of Northern Wei, moreover, lends additional support to the theory of the foreign origins of zodiacal sets.

This group, however, shows little evidence of foreign influence. Formed of a red clay covered with white slip, the figures retain only a few traces of their original paint. Each figure stands on a base that appears to represent stone. The heads of the individual pieces are carefully worked and elegantly capture the physical and presumed psychological characteristics of the animals they are meant to portray. Each wears a heavy robe with long full sleeves, which completely hide the figures’ crossed hands; the shoes of a few of the figures peek out from beneath their robes. Such garments, typical of conservative Chinese dress, contrast markedly with the tight-fitting garments of the musicians and hunters (cats. 170, 171). MK
A group of six painted pottery female figures

Height 71 – 86 (28 – 33 7s)
Tang Dynasty, early to mid-eighth century CE
From the tomb at Hansenzhai, Xi’an, Shaanxi Province
Xi’an Municipal Institute of Archaeology and Preservation of Cultural Relics, Shaanxi Province

A large number of dated or datable tombs of the Tang dynasty have been excavated in and around the Tang capital near Xi’an, Shaanxi province, in the past few decades. The funerary figurines found in these controlled excavations have allowed for a remarkably complete survey of the interests and tastes of the Tang aristocracy. Among the developments that can be traced in these figures are changes in the ideal of feminine beauty.

The ruling clan and many of the elite of the Tang dynasty came from a group of aristocratic families from the northwest region of China — products of centuries of intermarriage and exchange that resulted from foreign conquest of this region shortly after the fall of the Han dynasty in 221 CE; their links to non-Chinese people and social customs remained strong. Nowhere was this diversity more apparent than in attitudes toward women and the relative freedom they were allowed. Figures from the Jinxiang tomb depict women mounted on horseback — playing musical instruments, participating in hunts or in polo matches (see cats. 170, 171) — or performing physically demanding dances. The women are thin and wear tight-fitting clothing appropriate for the activities in which they are engaged, testimony to an athletic ideal of feminine beauty particular to the period.

By the middle of the eighth century, however, that ideal had changed: The women depicted in paintings and in mingqi are plump; they wear elaborate, loose-fitting garments and decidedly impractical shoes — dress ill-suited to a strenuous, active life. This change is only one of many signs that
over the intervening decades the elite of China had become more sedentary and more interested in interior pursuits. They had moved far from the physically active, non-Chinese aspect of their heritage and were becoming increasingly a class of highly sophisticated dilettantes.

This group of six female figures, excavated in 1988, are of this later type. They are beautifully sculpted, and their full faces, plump bodies, elaborate coiffures, and heavy, loose-fitting gowns are sensitively depicted. Their gowns cover the entire body and sweep the ground, revealing only the tips of elaborate shoes, with upturned ends, that could have been practical only in an interior setting. The figures are given individual identities through a variety of hair styles, head positions, and subtle facial expressions. The arms of five of the figures are demurely crossed at the waist or chest; their clasped hands are covered by long, loose sleeves. While the garments are rather cursorily represented — the flow of drapery is suggested by a few incised lines — traces of brightly colored pigment indicate that the details were painted on; the actual garments they represent must have been wonderful expanses of sumptuously decorated silk. The women's soft bodies and the masses of hair piled on top of their heads suggest that they were not accustomed to physical activity. One figure — from appearances, a younger female servant or attendant — stands apart from the other five. Her hair is tied in a simple knot at the side of her head, and she wears a tunic with tight sleeves over her dress; her hands are exposed and positioned in front of her body in a gesture of offering or receipt. МК
The tomb of Wang Chuzhi, a powerful official of the Tang and the Later Liang dynasties in northern China, was excavated in 1995 near the village of Xiyanchuan in Quyang county, Hebei province. The tomb's excavation, undertaken from July through November, was conducted by members of the Institute of Archaeology of Hebei province and the Cultural Relics offices of Baoding city and Quyang county. The tomb complex — constructed on a north-south axis and entered from a ramp at the south end that leads to a door inside a small outer chamber — consists of two main tomb chambers, the first and larger of which provides access to two smaller chambers that open to the east and west. From the entry door to the north wall of the rear chamber, the tomb measures about 12.5 meters. An elaborate decorative program of paintings and painted stone-carved reliefs distinguish nearly all the rooms' wall surfaces.

Just inside the tomb, a wall painting depicts two pairs of male attendants standing on either side of the entrance door. Paintings of female attendants, singly and in pairs, cover the walls of the antechamber and both side chambers. The antechamber also features a map of the stars and constellations on its ceiling, a painted frieze of colored clouds and flying cranes, a large, square landscape painting in ink on its north wall, and large screenlike panels of flowers, rocks, and birds along its main walls. The eastern side-chamber also contains an ink landscape painting in the shape of a small screen, rendered as if it were joined to a painted dressing table in front of it. In the coffin chamber, two large horizontal garden panels decorate the east and west walls, and an extensive composition of a rock garden, peonies, and birds appears along the entire rear wall. In the many garden paintings, peonies — the royal flower — and red roses predominate. Painted curtains, above and sometimes beside the larger painted panels, often look as though they had just been opened for viewing.

The paintings, created on a smooth surface of white clay spread over the masonry walls, cover a total of approximately a hundred square meters. Close examination of the paintings' execution reveals that some of the larger compositions were carefully transferred from designs, or cartoons (fenben), onto the walls, while others were painted quite freely and directly.

Sixteen wall niches of various sizes, each originally containing painted stone reliefs, line the small entrance hall, the large antechamber, and the coffin chamber. The tomb contained marble reliefs of the Twelve Earthly Branches, used in the traditional calendar, four of which remain in the tomb undamaged. Each is in the form of a human figure carrying or accompanied by its respective annual symbol — rat, dragon, chicken, and horse — and is set inside a painted depiction of an architectural framework, as if each figure were standing in a small pavilion amid the clouds and flying cranes on either side. The figures' long, wide, billowing sleeves, their open, frontal stance, and their careful placement within the frames convey an air of slight movement and recall many of the lively painted figures found in earlier Tang imperial tombs. In fact, virtually all elements of the decor of Wang Chuzhi's tomb, from motifs to style, have their origins in the conventions established in the imperial tombs of the Tang period. Even the landscape paintings are essentially in the style of the Tang dynasty and follow Tang imperial
South wall and ceiling of the anteroom of the tomb of Wang Chuzhi. Paintings of male attendants flank the entrance; a map of the constellations decorates the ceiling. The niches contained painted figures of the Twelve Earthly Branches.

precedent, but the presence here of two landscape paintings of an independent genre undoubtedly testifies to the popularity of what was still a relatively new art — one that had already become important by the early tenth century within the context of tomb decoration. The repeated effect of curtains opening around the main painted panels is an unusual feature of the decor, which seems to highlight the art of painting itself.

Most impressive and unusual of all, however, are two large, painted marble reliefs of rare artistic quality that were set into the walls of the rear chamber, which contained the body of Wang Chuzhi. The panel on the west wall, consisting of fifteen figures, depicts a complete female orchestra, together with its conductor and two dancers. The panel on the eastern wall portrays an assembly of thirteen female attendants and another person, possibly a dwarf, all carrying a variety of luxury items such as porcelain cups and cosmetic boxes. These two beautifully carved and painted relief compositions, each measuring 82 by 136 centimeters, deserve to be regarded as masterpieces of Chinese sculpture. Overall, Wang Chuzhi’s tomb stands out even among the large group excavated in northern China dating from the period of the Late Tang, the Five Dynasties, Liao, Jin, and Northern Song — from roughly 900 to 1100 CE, a major era in tomb design.

Wang Chuzhi’s tomb had been broken into at least twice prior to its excavation, and thieves had hacked off many of the original stone reliefs, including most of the figures representing the Twelve Earthly Branches and the large reliefs that once decorated the side walls of the entrance hallway. Thieves also removed most of the original store of grave goods, which
Southeast corner of the anteroom, showing paintings of one of the Twelve Earthly Branches and of cranes in flight.

included porcelain, gold and silver, jewelry, and bronze vessels, only bits and pieces of which remained. Three hundred coins were recovered.

A tomb epitaph carved on the same granite used throughout the tomb construction contains nearly two thousand characters recounting the official life of Wang Chuzhi. Wang’s titles included Governor of Yi, Ding, and Qi Prefectures and Prince of Beiping. His biography figures prominently in the official histories of both the Tang and Later Liang dynasties. He died in the final year of the Later Liang, 923, and was buried one year later. RB

1 Excavation report in Hebei 19963, 4–13. A complete report has been published as Hebei 1998.
2 The landscape paintings are discussed in a brief article by Luo Shiping in Luo 1996, 74–75 and are reproduced in Hebei 1998, color pls. 14 and 18–20. All paintings are reproduced or shown in copies in Hebei 1998.
3 This is the observation of Shi Jianwen in Hao 1996, 57.
4 Examples of Tang imperial tomb painting are reproduced in Yang 1997, 67–74.
5 Luo Shiping (Luo 1996) mentions Guan Tong (active c. 925) and Dong Yuan (d. 962) for comparison but generally sees their work as very early stages in the evolution of landscape painting and as products of the Tang period. Their work closely resemble many of the landscape depictions found on objects in the Shosoin and at Dunhuang, as well as the garden elements depicted in the Tang imperial tombs. For comparative materials, see Sullivan 1980. A Tang imperial tomb containing a sixfold landscape screen painted over one entire wall was recently discovered in Fuping county, Shaanxi province (Jing and Wang 1997, 8–11 and inside back cover), providing another Tang imperial precedent for elements of the Wang Chuzhi tomb design. The Fuping county tomb may be the earliest known example of an independent landscape painting done for a burial; it appears to date from the first half of the eighth century CE.
Painted marble relief of attendants

Height 82 (31), width 136 (53)
Later Liang Dynasty, tenth century CE (907 – 923)
From the tomb of Wang Chuzhi at Xiyanchuan, Quyang, Hebei Province
Hebei Provincial Cultural Relics Institute, Shijiazhuang

Together with a matching scene of female musicians (cat. 175), this painted marble relief framed the coffin in the rear chamber of Wang Chuzhi’s tomb. Both measure 82 by 136 centimeters. The composition of this painted stone relief is similar to that of earlier depictions of attendants carrying items of daily life into the coffin chamber to ensure the well-being of the tomb’s occupant. One of the best known of these earlier depictions is a procession of women in the tomb of Princess Yongtai, dated 706. The women in such compositions carry feather fans, dishes, cups, cosmetic boxes, fans, and other useful domestic items. Their bodies lean forward as they walk in a slow, rhythmic procession. One of the interesting features in this composition is the tiny figure that leads the slow parade. Dressed in male clothing and carrying a small vase resting on a cloth, he is sometimes identified as a dwarf, but he could also be a child (two tiny figures in the facing relief present a similar problem of identification). The thirteen women behind him are in four rows of three abreast; one figure in the rear mirrors the small person at the front.

Despite their seeming fixed positions, the women are engaged in a subtle interplay. Turned toward the right, the nearest woman in the front group of three holds out her left hand and deferentially defers to the woman in the right front corner, who has stepped out of the last rank to come forward with a tray holding a porcelain cup. As she moves forward, the women adjacent look toward her, further calling our attention to this choreographed sequence of movements. This kind of slow, deferential choreography is also evident in the painted procession in the tomb of Princess Yongtai,
indicating that such deliberate movement was a convention in Tang imperial tombs.

Another striking aspect is the large size of all thirteen of the female figures who form the composition. They are full-faced, heavy-set, substantial women, reminiscent of the image of the Tang courtesan Yang Guifei, the favorite of Emperor Xuanzong; her ample proportions changed the fashion of Tang women everywhere. If it was generally assumed that the preference for ample women somehow died out toward the end of the Tang dynasty, these ample women — and others like them from the same period — make clear that for those who, like Wang Chuzhi, continued the Tang imperial traditions, the Yang Guifei ideal endured. Only in the late tenth and eleventh centuries do we see the loss of this ideal in the new Song models of feminine beauty.
Painted marble relief of musicians

Height 82 (31), width 136 (53)
Later Liang Dynasty, tenth century CE (907 – 923)
From the Tomb of Wang Chuzhi at Xiyanchuan, Quyang, Hebei Province
Hebei Provincial Cultural Relics Institute, Shijiazhuang

This handsome painted marble relief was set into the west wall of the coffin chamber, matching the relief of attendants on the east wall. The two compositions provide two essential elements in the appropriate decor of a royal tomb of the Tang and Song periods — music and servants. Judging from the prevalence of the musical performance theme in tomb decorations, such references seem to have been a virtual requirement. Complete orchestras such as this one in Wang Chuzhi’s tomb appear most commonly from the Late Tang to Early Song period and present evidence of daily life among the aristocracy of the time. Most similar to the overall character of the paintings and reliefs in Wang Chuzhi’s tomb is the great narrative handscroll The Night Revels of Han Xizai in the Palace Museum in Beijing, which probably depicts the interior of a princely mansion only twenty-five years or so after the death of Wang Chuzhi in 923 CE. There, as in Wang’s tomb, bands of musicians perform, servants attend, and pictures decorate walls and furniture. Tombs like that of Wang Chuzhi were clearly intended to closely emulate the palaces in which he had once lived, and music was obviously a vital element in the daily life of such palaces.

Wang Chuzhi’s female orchestra features twelve musicians, two tiny dancers, and what appears to
be a male conductor, or drum major. The musicians, in the midst of a vigorous performance, stand in two rows of six, forming a double arc from left to right. The tiny dancers, dressed in Central Asian costumes, assume identical positions in the lower right corner. Behind them, seen frontally, is the stolid figure of the conductor holding a tasseled baton. Since these two large painted stone reliefs are the centerpieces of the decor in the coffin chamber, it is noteworthy that in each of them, one figure — here the conductor and, in the other, the gesturing woman near the front of the group — is turned quite dramatically, as if to engage the viewer directly. This figure, in effect, directs all of the activity depicted in the two compositions toward the coffin of Wang Chuzhi, which presumably stood near the center of the room. “This music, these refreshments, are for you, Sir,” these two figures seem to say.

The instrumentation in this female orchestra consists of two horizontal flutes, two vertical flutes, two drums (one large, one small), a set of chimes (fangxiang), a pipe harmonica (sheng), a harp (konghou), a zither (zheng), a lute (pipa), and a set of clappers. These are the instruments seen in one combination or another in nearly all of the many depictions of musicians from the tenth century. A much more informal band of female musicians, playing five of these same instruments while drinking wine, is seen in a painting contemporary with the tomb of Wang Chuzhi, Palace Concert, by an unknown painter of the Late Tang or early Five Dynasties period. The women in the painting share the physical characteristics, hair styles, and costumes of the plump women in Wang Chuzhi’s tomb. A similar group of substantial women appears in the band of musicians illustrated in a handscroll copied after the leading tenth-century master of such subjects, Zhou Wenju (fl. c. 940–975), whose activity and fame in the southern Tang kingdom at Nanjing suggests that such orchestras continued to be a form of national art even after China was divided into many small states and kingdoms. Testifying to the continuing need for music in the context of burials is the tomb of Zhang Wenzao (d. 1074), recently excavated in the Xuanhua district, Hebei province, in which a male band is depicted playing many of the same instruments. Even then, 150 years later, with male musicians instead of female, a foreign ruler, and a foreign religion, the continuity of the traditions of tomb design within Hebei province is readily apparent. Another orchestra, composed of slender Song ladies, was found in a Northern Song tomb in Jiangjiagou village, Shanxi province. Clearly, throughout much of northern China, the afterlife was unimaginable without music.

One of the most impressive features of the Wang Chuzhi relief is its realistic representation of musical performance. The craftsmen or artists who designed the composition must have been familiar with musical concerts to have so successfully conveyed the movements and gestures typical of performance. At top left, the two flute players lean their upper bodies forward into the flow of the music, their right elbows pulled sharply back. Below them, the drummer lifts her arm and prepares to pound the large drum she steadies in her other hand. The hands of the harpist and zither players move gracefully across their strings. The five wind players appear poised to exhale; the two vertical flute players stand very erect and straight, anchoring the swaying group with their firmly planted bodies. This representation’s accuracy and sense of animation are readily obvious when compared to any of the other aforementioned concert groups, none of which convey this vivid sense of observed characteristics.

Painted pictorial reliefs of this size and quality are virtually unknown in tomb designs of the period, although other examples of painted reliefs have been found in contemporary tombs. The tradition of stone carving was, of course, long-standing, particularly in association with Buddhism. The decor of Wang Chuzhi’s tomb, however, makes it clear that pictorial sculpture was reaching new heights of subtlety and sophistication by the early tenth century and was comparable in every way to
the better-known developments in the art of painting during this same period. Other than Wang Chuzhi’s tomb, the most dramatic demonstration of this new, high standard can be seen in the extensive program of Buddhist sculpture and painted stone reliefs created during the succeeding Song dynasty (960–1279 CE) at a cave-temple site near Chengdu in Dazu county, Sichuan province.7

Buddhism, with its constant need for the creation of new and more elaborate stone-carved cave-temples across China, had traditionally been the main impetus behind pictorial stone sculpture, but the national persecution of Buddhism in 845 brought an end to the great cycle of such construction and expansion. Private efforts to rebuild in the aftermath of that great tragedy began with such projects as the new temple site at Dazu in the late ninth century, but the rapid decline and end of the Tang dynasty and its breakup into numerous small states and kingdoms prevented further development until much later in the Song period, after reunification in 960.

It is possible that the marked advances in tomb design and decoration in the tenth and eleventh centuries owe something to the displacement of so many painters, sculptors, and craftsmen in the aftermath of the Buddhist persecution of 845. The Buddhist Church only began to regain some of its lost power and influence well after 960 and the establishment of the Song dynasty. The Dazu cave-temples, most of which were completed during the Southern Song period (1127–1279 CE), testify to its recovery, but even they are not as impressive as the painted stone reliefs in the tomb of Wang Chuzhi. 88

2 In the National Palace Museum, Taipei; reproduced in Palace Museum 1961, no. 10.
3 The section of the scroll, now cut into four parts, that includes the musicians is reproduced in Blunden and Elvin 1988, 202–203. See also Fong 1992, 34–39.
4 Hebei 1996b, 28. Separate groups of male and female musicians depicted in multiple panels of painted, carved brick are the main feature of the tomb of Feng Hui (d. 958), Yang and Yan 1994, 48–55. Another male band found in a Liao tomb dated 1066 and a female band from a Baisha tomb dated 1099 are reproduced in Laing 1988–1989, figs. 41, 42.
6 Especially noteworthy in tomb decoration is a set of painted, carved brick reliefs found in the tomb of Feng Hui, excavated in Binxian county, Shaanxi province (cited in note 4 above). Su Bai 1957, plate 22, illustrates another painted relief panel said to be made from carved brick set into a plaster wall that was found in a Baisha tomb dated 1099. Many other examples of relief sculpture dating to the Jin dynasty are reproduced and discussed in Laing 1988–1989. A complete survey and analysis of Song tombs has been conducted by Kuhn; see, for example, Kuhn 1994, 11–159.
7 Dazu 1984.
Most of the treasures included in *The Golden Age of Chinese Archaeology*, which represent a six-thousand-year time span (5000 BCE to 1000 CE) and a wide geographical range — the Yellow River valley, the Yangzi region, and the Pearl River delta — were excavated by Chinese archaeologists in the last twenty-five years. Every item in this exhibition has immense artistic and historical value, and the selection presents a microcosm of Chinese archaeology’s golden age.

China is a vast country comprising a rich variety of ethnicities among which the Han predominate. It stretches from the Mongolian plateau and desert in the north, to the Tibetan Mountain Plateau in the southwest. The east and southeast of China verges on the Pacific Ocean, and the west is divided by the Kunlun, Altun, Tian, and Altai mountains, and the Tarim and Junggar basins — the outlets of rivers that flow down from the mountains. These boundaries presented a considerable obstacle to the ancient Chinese as they attempted to communicate with the outside world, but once transportation and technology had improved, they were quick to enrich their civilization by interacting with an assortment of diverse cultures. The stimulation provided by this cultural interaction and intermingling contributed to the development of a distinct Chinese civilization, which would become one of the four great civilizations of the ancient world.

The domestication of the horse in approximately 3000 BCE extended the range of Chinese cultural influence, and archaeologists have found traces of imported Western culture dating as far back as the Siba Culture and the Lower Xiajiadian Culture (both contemporaneous with the Xia dynasty) in the eastern and western ends of the Great Wall. The increased contact between the West and the powerful nomadic cultures that were developing in the Great Wall region during the late Shang period accounts for the presence of western elements in the Yinxu culture of the late Shang. During the Western Han period, Zhang Qian’s exploration of the western region opened up the passageway of the famous Silk Road, making way for progressive interaction and increased communication between east and west during the Han and Tang dynasties. Along with artifacts and components of culture, the Chinese imported elements of foreign philosophies and systems of belief, of which Buddhism was the most important. A long period of interaction between Confucian and Buddhist thought resulted in the birth of the Chan school of Buddhism and Neo-Confucianism, from which Chinese culture entered a new stage. An ancient Chinese saying, “tolerance creates greatness,” might also be interpreted as “acceptance creates greatness,” for their tolerance of other cultures and their willingness to accept new cultural elements led the Chinese to develop a rich and eclectic civilization that assimilated facets of other cultures, while retaining and enhancing a tradition of their own. Nor was the interaction one-sided, for foreign cultures as well benefited from interactions with China.
At the turning point of the twentieth century, the world continues to be internationalized. With the accelerated growth of transportation and telecommunication, world economies are becoming increasingly globalized. As the world grows smaller, the concept of a “global village” is gradually becoming a very real possibility. Increasingly we are living “in a world without the boundaries of nations.” Will the cultural dichotomies between geological districts, ethnicities, and nations rapidly disappear as interaction increases? History suggests that nations tend to be more conservative about absorbing foreign culture than they are about assimilating foreign technology and economy, and it seems likely that the progression toward cultural unity will be much slower than economic globalization. But we can, perhaps, look forward to the eventual development of a unified global culture, comprising various elements from every ethnicity, without sacrificing variation in regional cultures.

For now, we sincerely hope that this cultural interaction will improve our mutual understanding. By sharing our best qualities both nations will be enriched and growth as well as unity promoted.
New Understandings of Chinese Prehistory

ZHANG ZHONGPEI | The last eighty years of archaeological investigation of China's prehistory have traced the habitation of the continent back some eight million years, and sketched a timeline of successive cultures in particular regions. What follows is a précis of our current understanding of China's earliest history; much of it has developed over the last twenty years.

**PALAEOLITHIC ARCHAEOLOGY**

Hominid remains found in China raise the likelihood that the Asian continent constitutes a locis for the origin of man. These hominid fossils include *Dryopithecus kaiyuanensis* (8 million years BP, found in Xiaolongtan, Kaiyuan county, Yunnan province); *Ramapithecus lufengsis* (6 million years BP, found in Shinuba, Lufeng county, Yunnan province); *Ramapithecus hudienensis* (4 million years BP, found in Yuanmou, Yunnan province); and *Gigantopithecus* (2–5 million years BP, found in the provinces of Guangxi, Sichuan, and Hubei). Fossils of *Homo erectus*, together with associated cultural remains, have also been found, including Lantian Man, Peking Man, and the oldest known traces of *Homo erectus* in China (1.7 million years BP): Yuanmou Man. Fossil remains of archaic *Homo sapiens* found in China include Dingcun Man, Jinniushan Man, Maba Man, and Chaoxian Man; remains of *Homo sapiens* (for example, Liujiang Man, Ziyang Man, and Shandingdong Man) have been found in many as forty localities. Certain inherited physical features of *Homo erectus* and *Homo sapiens* (in particular, shovel-shaped incisors, sagittal ridges, flat faces, and wide and straight noses) suggest that the Chinese of the present day are the descendants of the region's Palaeolithic inhabitants.

Stone techniques and stone tools developed uninterruptedly from the early to the late Palaeolithic period in China. While we can trace certain continuities in stoneworking, a regional diversity is also evident. Recent studies indicate the Qinling Mountains marked a dividing line between southern and northern styles of stoneworking. Toward the late Palaeolithic period, the northern style divided into three regional styles; stoneworking in the south also displays regional variation.

**THE TRANSITION FROM PALAEOLITHIC THROUGH MESOLITHIC AND NEOLITHIC**

Although few Mesolithic sites have been identified in China, they are widely distributed — in southern, northern, northeastern, and central China — an indication that the transition from Palaeolithic to Mesolithic cultures occurred in several areas. Though Mesolithic cultures typically relied on hunting, gathering, and fishing for subsistence, different sites indicate that specific subsistence activities were favored in particular areas. The transition to Neolithic cultures is marked by the addition of farming to the hunting-and-gathering economy of Mesolithic cultures, a development for which we have evidence in southern and northeastern China. Farming seems to have comprised two staple crops: rice was cultivated mainly in the
lower and middle Yangzi regions and probably in part of the Huai River region; the cultivation of millet seems to have been concentrated in the lower and middle reaches of the Yellow River. The earliest evidence of rice agriculture, dating back approximately 12,000 years, has been located in the Yuchanyan cave in Dao county, Hunan province. The remains are admittedly sparse — two grains of wild rice and two grains of a cultivar — and it may be that the Neolithic cultures relied on wild rice in addition to the cultivated variety. Hunting, gathering, and fishing, however, continued to constitute the main sources of food: the site contained an abundance of animal and plant remains, including fifteen plant species in addition to wild and cultivated rice, twenty-eight species of animals, twenty-seven species of birds, five species of fish, thirty-three species of mollusks, as well as turtles and insects. It was not until approximately four thousand years later — with the Pengtoushan culture — that farming became the main source of food. The earliest remains of millet agriculture found thus far date back some 8,000 years to the Cishan and Peiligang cultures; we have evidence, however, that the cultivation of millet by this point was fully developed, a fact that suggests that the origins of this type of farming date back considerably further.

The types of artifacts recovered from early Neolithic sites display considerable local variation. Neither pottery nor worked stone has been found in the Emaokou and Yaozitu sites in Huaiiren county, Shanxi province; by contrast, pottery (but no worked stone) has been found at the Xianrendong site in Wannian county, Jiangxi province. Such variations may point to differing levels of development, or they may merely indicate that the various sites date to different periods, but the finds raise several tantalizing questions: did the inhabitants of the middle Yangzi region invent pottery first and only later take up stone working? Do the Emaokou and the Yaozitu site represent the pre-Neolithic remains of northern China, or was there also a pre-Neolithic period in the middle Yangzi region?

The earliest Neolithic sites found in southern China and in the middle Yangzi region uniformly comprise small caves. Early Neolithic sites in the Yellow River area also point to small-scale settlements, situated in this region on terraces near riverbanks. Archaeological investigations indicate that relatively large-scale, densely populated settlements developed as early as 8,000 years ago in several areas, such as the middle Yangzi region, the lower and middle reaches of the Yellow River, and the Xilamulun River areas; until that point, settlements had been composed of scattered, small groups of individuals related to one another by blood.

**The Relationship and Chronology of Chinese Neolithic Cultures**

Studies of the Neolithic period (which spans the years from 6000 to 2000 BCE) have treated a variety of topics, including astronomy, geography, agricultural techniques, science, settlements, social systems, and religion, and have considerably expanded our knowledge of the China’s Neolithic peoples. What follows focuses on the relatively narrow but extremely complex topic of
the relationship and chronology of Neolithic cultures in five regions of China, which were raised by Professor Su Bingqi.

1. In the area of the Huashan Mountains and the Wei River (including regions southwest of Shanxi province and northwest of Henan province), the sequence of Neolithic cultures is as follows:
   Laoguantai → Banpo → Xiyin → Phase IV of Banpo → Phase II of Quanhu → Keshengzhuang
   Phase II of Miaodigou → Sanliqiao

   Objects in the exhibition from the Yangshao culture comprise pottery of the Banpo culture, the Xiyin culture, or a period of transition between the two (cats. 1–4). The Xiyin culture (c. 4000–3400 BCE), the cultural apogee of this sequence, occupied a region bounded by the present-day province of Qinghai in the west, the bend of the Yellow River in the north, Hebei province in the east, and the northwestern part of Hubei province to the south, but its influence radiated beyond these borders. The Xiyin culture comprised several regional subcultures: around 3300–3200 BCE, in its core area, it developed into what is known as Phase IV of the Banpo culture; in other areas, working from west to east, it developed into the Majiayao culture, the culture represented by the Caiyanzi site, the Miaodigou culture (cat. 5), the Yijing culture, the Qinwangzai culture, the Dasikong culture, and several other cultures. These, in turn, spun off into distinct cultures of their own: the Majiayao culture, for example, developed into the Banshan culture and then into the Manchang culture; the culture of the Caiyuanzi site developed into the Qijia culture.

2. In the areas of the lower reaches of the Yellow River and the Huang-Huai Plain, the sequence of Neolithic cultures is as follows:
   Houli → Beixin → Phase I of Hougang → Dawenkou → Longshan

   Several objects in this exhibition are associated with this sequence. The pottery zun urn (cat. 23) is representative of the Dawenkou culture; the Shandong Longshan culture is represented by a jade hair ornament from Zhufeng (cat. 24). Six artifacts from Taosi in Shanxi province (cats. 25–28) represent Phase II of the Miaodigou culture, which constitutes the earlier of two phases of the culture that inhabited Taosi; objects from the later phase are difficult to match with those of any known culture.

   The Dawenkou culture falls into three phases, the Liulin phase, the Huating phase, and the Xixiashou phase, the dates of which correspond roughly to those of the Xiyin culture, Phase IV of the Banpo culture and Phase II of the Quanhu culture, and Phase II of the Miaodigou culture, respectively. Phase I of the Hougang culture grew out of the Houli-Beixin cultures and probably the Cishan culture as well, and was roughly contemporaneous with the Banpo culture. At its peak, Phase I of the Hougang culture was widely distributed in the Huabei Plain of northern China, and penetrated as far as the bend of the Yellow River and the Danjiang River area in
Henan province; it ultimately retreated under pressures from the Banpo-Xiyin cultures. Its successor, the Liulin phase of the Dawenkou culture, occupied only the central area of the Hougang culture's territory. The Dawenkou culture underwent a territorial expansion during its Xixiaohou phase, but it did not attain the scale of Phase I of the Hougang culture. The Zaoliitai culture, which was distributed over northern Anhui province and eastern Henan province, was probably a collateral branch of this cultural sequence.

3. In the area of Xilamulun River, as well as the area to the immediate north and south of the southern branches of the Yanshan Mountains, the sequence of Neolithic cultures is as follows:

   - Hongshan, Xiaoheyan
   - Zhaobaogou

Fifteen objects of the Hongshan culture, including nine from the Niuheliang site, are included in this exhibition (cats. 10–22). The Niuheliang site, containing the remains of altars, temples, and cairns, is the largest and most important ritual site of the Hongshan culture, and its altars and temples are the earliest known examples of such structures in China. The Xinglongwa culture dates to around 6000 BCE. The Hongshan culture spans a much longer period — the fifth and the fourth millennium BCE — and falls into early, middle, and late stages, whose dates correspond roughly with those of the Banpo culture, the Xiyin culture, and Phase IV of the Banpo culture. The dates of the Zhaobaogou culture and the Xiaoheyan culture are contemporaneous with those of the Banpo culture and the Xixiaohou Phase of the Dawenkou culture, respectively. The Zhaobaogou culture (concentrated in the south) and the Hongshan culture (concentrated in the north) were the successors of the Xinglongwa culture; there is evidence that the two intermingled over a wide area. During the period of the transition from the Xinglongwa culture to the Hongshan culture (as well as during the period of the Hongshan culture itself), this sequence was influenced by Phase I of Hougang culture, the Banpo culture, and the Xiyin culture, and it adopted certain traditions from these cultures, such as techniques of pottery making and decoration. No successor to the Zhaobaogou culture and the Xiaoheyan culture has yet been found, and it is likely that the Zhaobaogou culture was absorbed into the Hongshan culture. Some elements of the Zhaobaogou culture, however, are visible in artifacts of the Lower Xiajiadian culture (coeval with the Xia dynasty).

4. In the middle Yangzi region, the sequence of Neolithic cultures is as follows:

   - Youziling
   - Pengtoushan
     - Chengbeixi → Daxi
     - Lower Zaoshi → Tangjiagang
   - Qujiaping → Shijiahe → Later Shijiahe

[These are not represented in this exhibition, and the sequence is for that reason not elaborated.]

5. In the lower Yangzi region, the sequence of Neolithic cultures is as follows:

   - Luojiajiao → Majiabang → Songze → Liangzhu
Objects in this exhibition from the lower Yangzi region include jades associated with the Liangzhu culture excavated from the Fanshan and Yaoshan sites (cats. 29–36). These two sites were ritual and burial locations, and they belong to a group of Liangzhu sites that cover 33.4 square kilometers and comprise approximately fifty cemeteries and ritual areas, most of which remain unexcavated. (At the moment, the preservation of these sites is a more pressing priority than their excavation.)

The Luojiajiao and the Majiabang cultures developed in succession; their dates coincide roughly with those of the Banpo culture and the early phase of the Xiyin culture (that is, 5000–4000 BCE). The early phase of the Songze culture coincides with the middle phase of the Xiyin culture, and its late phase coincides with Phase IV of the Banpo culture. The early phase of the Liangzhu culture coincides with Phase IV of the Banpo culture (i.e., the Huating phase of the Dawenkou culture), and its late phase coincides with the Xixiahou phase of the Dawenkou culture, or may date somewhat later. Many other cultures occupied the lower Yangzi region, including the Hemudu culture, which was contemporaneous with the Luojiajiao culture. The Beiyinyangyin and the Xuejiagang cultures (as well as a culture represented by the Lingjiatan site at Hanshan in Anhui province), were contemporaneous with the Songze culture. What became of the cultures when their territories were occupied by the Liangzhu culture remains uncertain. The Liangzhu in any event were a highly influential culture centered around the area of Tai Lake and Hangzhou Bay and extending to the islands of Zhoushan on the eastern front, the northern part of Fujian province to the south, Poyang Lake to the west, and most of the Huai River region to the north. At its northern frontier, the Liangzhu culture had contacts with the Dawenkou culture, but its cultural influence extended even further: we have evidence that it reached as far as the northern part of Guangdong province and the Fen River area of Shanxi province.

Historic China developed principally from these five Neolithic cultural sequences, which laid the ground for the formation of civilization.

THE FORMATION OF CIVILIZATION

Su Bingqi divided China’s Late Prehistory (that is, from c. 6000 BCE until the Early Bronze Age) into three periods: the Neolithic period, the Early Chalcolithic period, and the Late Chalcolithic period.¹ A copper object dating prior to Phase IV of Banpo culture has been found (notably at the Jiangzhai site), but it is only during Phase IV of the Banpo culture that copper objects, as well as the remains of copper casting, begin to appear in significant quantity. Therefore, it is appropriate to date the beginning of the Chalcolithic period to Phase IV of Banpo culture, and to associate the late Chalcolithic period with the Longshan culture.

Though China’s Bronze Age is identified with the Xia dynasty, it should be noted that metallurgy did not develop uniformly throughout China. Copper objects have been found
mainly in northern China, and we have evidence that copper dominated the metallurgy of cultures that were contemporaneous with the Xia dynasty. No copper object dating prior to the Xia dynasty has been found to date in the lower Yangzi region. Among the Erlitou culture — the most advanced of its contemporary cultures in metalworking — bronzes constitute 83 percent of metal objects. Bronzes similarly dominate metal objects unearthed from a burial site of the Siba culture at Huoshaoguo (71.8 percent); by contrast, copper dominates the metal objects excavated from another burial site of the Siba culture—at Donghuishan, Minghe. Cultural contacts between China and the West began during the Xia period, but copper objects were made and used in China more than 1000 years prior; the transition to the Bronze Age in China was in fact fully realized in the second millennium BCE. These data indicate that copper and bronze metallurgy in China were indigenous inventions, and there is evidence as well that many ancient cultures in China developed copper metallurgy and made the transition from copper to bronze independent of one another.

We have evidence that villages were a form of social organization as early as the Laoguantai culture (c. 6000 BCE). Data regarding social organization prior to the Laoguantai period are sparse, and we know little about the structure of small settlements or about how villages came into being. We know that around 6000 BCE, clans were the predominant form of social organization; kinship and property were transmitted matrilineally. A thousand years later (c. 5000 BCE), we find society organized in three levels: household, clan, and tribe; households were matrilineal and functioned relatively independently of the clan. By the time of the Xia period (c. 4000 BCE), the matrilineal system of household organization and transmission of property had been replaced by a patrilineal system, a system that characterizes Phase IV of the Banpo culture (c. 3200 BCE) and finds its full expression around 2200 BCE with the appearance of polygamous burials.

The identification of the origins of “Chinese civilization” have been a hotly debated matter since the mid-1980s. Early China was characterized by two distinct patterns of social and economic organization, one based on agriculture and the other on herding. Herding was concentrated in the region currently defined by the Great Wall; to the west, herding developed out of agriculture, while to the east it developed out of a hunting and gathering economy. The herding civilization did not develop until the Xia period (and is thus outside the scope of this article). What follows concentrates on “agricultural civilization.”

Elements of civilization necessarily predate the formation of civilization itself. Scholars generally agree on two points: that Chinese civilization can be traced back to several points of origin that followed parallel lines of development, with contacts and mutual influences; and that different cultures developed at different rates. Increasingly scholars have identified the study of the origins and formation of civilization with guozhi dashi zai si yu rong ("ritual and war are the most important business of the state"), rather than simply characterizing early civilization as a society founded on slavery. Though situating the formation of civilization in time
remains an issue, most scholars have abandoned the identification of the Xia period as the beginning of Chinese civilization. Some place the origins of civilization in the Longshan culture period (c. 2500–2000 BCE); others date it nearly a thousand years earlier—to Phase IV of the Banpo culture (c. 3200 BCE). A strong argument can be made for the latter, for it represents a period during which there occurred several qualitative changes in how society was organized. These include the following:

- By the Chalcolithic period, professional craftsmen had emerged—individuals who created objects of pottery, stone, jade, and copper.
- The household, characterized by a division of labor, by now comprised the basic unit of society. Most seem to have been relatively poor, but a few amassed wealth and power and in so doing controlled organizations that had previously existed within the clan.
- Settlements and cemeteries began to assume distinctive characteristics. Settlements comprised “ordinary” and “central” settlements, the latter characterized by a large population and a large scale; some were physically organized as walled towns (often surrounded by a moat), and the power and wealth of the society were concentrated within the walls. These central settlements became the political and religious centers of specific cultures. Cemeteries as well begin to reflect social divisions, marked by the scale and the structure of the burial, as well as the quality and the quantity of burial goods. Graves of wealthy households were situated either at the center of the clan’s cemetery or at some distance from the graves of their less affluent contemporaries.
- Theocracy and monarchy developed out of religion and warfare to form governing institutions. They might exist simultaneously, with equal status, or they might be embodied in an elite that held both military and theocratic power.

Though some identify Phase IV of the Banpo culture with the origins of civilization, it may be more plausibly be viewed as civilization proper—the true guguo (ancient state) or fangguo (states). The Longshan culture coincides—albeit roughly—with the legendary Yao-Shun period, during which (we are told) the powerful kings of the fangguo exercised the power of Xuan xian yu neng (“selecting people with virtue and ability”). By the Xia period—at least among the Xia culture—the power of the fangguo had been destroyed and a unified kingdom appeared, which by the Western Zhou period, had developed into a feudal and hereditary system.

1 Su 1994b.
The Bronze Age comprises three periods of Chinese history: the Xia, Shang, and Zhou dynasties. Archaeological investigation of the Bronze Age (sometimes referred to as "the Three Dynasties") began in the 1920s, but prior to 1949 no archaeological remains relating to the period associated with the Xia culture had been found. Scholars knew of its existence from references in historical texts; some identified the Xia culture with the Yangshao culture, while others identified it with the Longshan culture. The lack of physical evidence, however, rendered these identifications essentially speculative.

Archaeological investigation of the Shang dynasty began with the excavation of the site at Yinxu in Anyang, Henan province. In the course of fifteen seasons of controlled excavations, lasting from 1928 to 1937, palace foundations and imperial mausoleums from the late Shang period were discovered, along with thousands of inscribed oracle bones and a large number of bronze, jade, and ceramic objects. These discoveries indicated that the capital during the late Shang period was at the site of the present-day village of Xiaotun, Anyang, Henan province. As for Zhou dynasty archaeology, a number of burials had been discovered in Jun, Hui, and Jin counties, Henan province, and at Baoji, Shaanxi province, but no dwellings were found.

The archaeology of the Three Dynasties began in earnest only after 1949, but the last fifty years have yielded an extraordinary abundance of Xia, Shang, and Zhou sites throughout the country — and the discoveries continue. These finds have progressively resolved many scholarly issues. The last half-century of archaeological investigation in China has been an extraordinary time, and, particularly in respect to the work on China’s Bronze Age, we may rightly call this period the Golden Age of Chinese archaeology.

**Archaeology of the Xia Dynasty**

The earliest Chinese historical texts, such as the *Shang shu* (Book of documents) and the *Shijing* (Classic of poetry), contain several references to the Xia dynasty. Sima Qian (145–86 BCE), the Western Han dynasty author, described the history of the Xia dynasty in great detail and recorded the chronology of the Xia imperial family, particularly in his *Shi ji* (Records of the historian). The existence of the Xia dynasty was undeniable — at least with respect to the written record.

Archaeology has provided physical corroboration of the existence of the Xia dynasty, as it did for the Shang dynasty. Prior to the excavations at Yinxu, some scholars even doubted whether the Shang had in fact existed; the Yinxu excavations confirmed the fact that it was a thriving and distinct culture. Many Shang kings were named in the large number of inscribed oracle bones discovered at Yinxu. These records of divinations conducted by the kings and their diviners at the Shang court confirm that that the rulers named in the inscriptions by and large correspond to those named in the chronology of the Shang imperial family recorded in the chapter on the Shang (*Yin ben ji*) of the *Shi ji*. The correspondence between excavated ob-
jects and the written history reinforces the reliability of each. The reliability of the Shang chronology, moreover, indicates that the Xia imperial chronology in the chapter corresponding to the earlier dynasty (Xia ben ji) must also be correct and could not have been fabricated by Sima Qian. Archaeological finds proved the existence of the Shang dynasty; it was logical to assume that evidence for the Xia dynasty could be investigated in the same way.

The archaeology of the Xia culture can be understood by drawing on what we know of the Shang culture. The Shang kingdom was built on the ruins of a defeated Xia kingdom; therefore, Shang culture must have developed from the Xia. The discovery of early Shang sites in Zhengzhou in the 1950s paved the way for the proper investigation of the Xia. Archaeological
finds demonstrated that the early Shang culture was closely related to the Erlitou culture found at Yanshi, Henan province. In other words, the Xia developed from a pre-dynastic Shang influence in northern Henan and assimilated elements of the more advanced Erlitou culture. Chinese archaeologists now recognize Phases I to IV of the Erlitou culture as the Xia culture.²

The Erlitou culture, or the Xia culture — names that we can now begin to use interchangeably — extended over western Henan and southwestern Shanxi provinces, a region corresponding to the heartland of the Xia kingdom and its domain as related in the ancient texts. The Shang city at Zhengzhou has been identified as the capital Bo; Erlitou cultural remains have been found beneath the Shang city, leading to the logical conclusion that the Erlitou culture predated that of the early Shang. Carbon-14 testing has dated Phases I to IV of the Erlitou culture to between 1600 and 2000 BCE, a period corresponding roughly to that described in the ancient texts.

The Erlitou culture is the earliest Bronze Age culture discovered in China. Although the excavated examples are limited, bronzes objects recovered include ritual vessels such as tripod cauldrons (ding), drinking vessels (jue) fowl-shaped wine containers (yi), and weapons such as daggers (ge), axes (yue), and arrows (zu). These bronzes were cast using relatively simple techniques with simple patterns — testimony to an early stage of bronze metallurgy. Jade ritual objects such as axes (yue), scepters (zhang), and stem-shaped ornaments have also been discovered at these sites. A considerable number of pottery vessels—a fowl-shaped wine container (yi) and a tripod drinking vessel (jue), a footed vessel (dou), a basin (pen), and a container decorated with the sculpted image of a human head (zun) — are similar to, but not identical with, early Shang examples of pottery. The most exciting find at Erlitou was the discovery of the large foundations of palaces, the largest of which extended over 10,000 square meters. The presence of these massive palace-remains suggests the existence of an established sovereign, a fact corroborated by the ancient texts.³

ARCHAEOLOGY OF THE SHANG DYNASTY

The Yinxu excavations of 1928–1937 confirmed the importance of the Shang dynasty. The dynasty's capital at Yinxu, relocated by King Pangeng, however, dates to the late period; from the standpoint of the archaeological record, what constituted the early phases of Shang culture still remained a mystery. The large number of bronzes from Yinxu, for example, provided evidence of an advanced level of bronze metallurgy, and oracle bones testified to an advanced stage in writing, but these finds also raised questions about origins of Shang material and intellectual culture. Prior to 1949, the beginnings of the late period of Shang culture, though a point of lively discussion among historians, remained a mystery.

In 1952, the site of Erligang at Zhengzhou was discovered. The site's stratigraphy and the types of artifacts recovered indicated unambiguously that the Erligang culture predated the
culture at Yinxu and thus the late Shang period. In fact, the Zhengzhou site has yielded abundant Shang remains that confirm this hypothesis: architectural foundations, tomb burials, bronzes, jades, pottery, and inscribed oracle bones. The close relationship of the Zhengzhou structures and artifacts with those discovered at Yinxu — and the fact that they predate the late Shang artifacts — led archaeologists to conclude that the chief characteristics of the Yinxu culture derived from the Erligang phase at Zhengzhou. At last, one of the sources of late Shang culture at Yinxu had been found.  

The Shang sites at Zhengzhou cover an area as wide as that at Yinxu — a total of 25 square kilometers. They contain the remains of a city with massive walls describing a circumference of 7,000 meters and covering an area of approximately 3,000,000 square meters; they represent the largest Shang urban site ever excavated. It is noteworthy that the palace foundations in the northeast of the city, which extend over more than 60,000 square meters, are significantly larger than the palace foundations at Yinxu. A city of such size would undoubtedly have been a royal capital. But to which king did it belong? After thirty years of discussion, archaeologists have finally agreed that the city was the capital Bo, established under the reign of King Tang, the founder of the Shang dynasty. The identification of the dynasty’s early capital provided a basis for investigating questions posed by the history of the Xia dynasty.

Another important site in the investigation of early Shang cultures was the city of Yanshi. Located near the Erlitou site, to the south of the Mang Mountain along the northern bank of the Luo River in Yanshi county, Henan province, Yanshi had developed out of an older, smaller city. The smaller city was roughly contemporaneous with the Shang city at Zhengzhou; covering an area of 810,000 square meters, it was a little more than a quarter the size of Zhengzhou. The larger city was slightly later than Zhengzhou, and it extended over an area of 1,900,000 square meters — approximately two-thirds that of Zhengzhou. Both the large and the small city were built during the reign of King Tang. Its structure indicates that the Shang city at Yanshi was important enough to have been commissioned by imperial authority, but that fact raises another question: by whose authority? Scholars are presently divided on the issue: one school of thought identifies Yanshi as the early Shang dynasty capital of Bo; another identifies it as an early Shang auxiliary capital — the Tong Palace to which the King Taijia was exiled. The early Shang capital of Bo, however, could not have been situated both at Zhengzhou and at Yanshi. Moreover, we know from written records that Yanshi was not referred to as Bo prior to the Tang dynasty (618–907 CE) and that it was much smaller than the Shang city at Zhengzhou. These facts suggest that Yanshi was the dynasty’s auxiliary capital, and that Zhengzhou should be identified as the early Shang capital at Bo.

Three other early Shang cities warrant mention here. Two of them are located at the present-day city of Panlongcheng, Huangpi county, Hubei province; walled remains in Yuanqu county, Shanxi province, constitute the third city. All three are fairly small. Yuanqu is approximately 120,000 square meters, and the site at Panlongcheng is even smaller — only slightly
more 70,000 square meters. Cities of such small scale could not have been imperial capitals, but they might have served as regional capitals of local states (fangguo).

In the years since 1949, many late Shang sites have been discovered in Henan, Hebei, Shandong, and Shanxi provinces; the Yinxu excavations constitute the most ambitious of these excavations. The site has yielded finds of great importance, such as the Great Tomb at Wuguan village, oracle bones found to the south of Xiaotun, the large-scale palace foundations along the riverbank to the east of Xiaotun, and the tomb of Fu Hao. The latter, a tomb of modest size, had not been looted, and the artifacts recovered from this one site exceed the total number of bronzes and jades found at Yinxu during the entire period of excavation: 468 bronzes (including 210 ritual vessels) and 755 jades (including 175 ritual objects) — many of them exquisite pieces. The thousands of oracle bones found to the south of Xiaotun village have provided a detailed stratigraphy, which has both clarified periodization and confirmed facts described in the inscriptions themselves: the oracle bones, for example, have enabled us to date the diviner Dui (Duizu buci) securely to the era of King Wuding (the third king after Pangeng) and to determine that the oracle inscriptions of the diviner Li (Lizu buci) do not belong to this era.

ARCHAEOLOGY OF THE ZHOU DYNASTY

During the early years of the Zhou dynasty, in an effort to consolidate the regime, the rulers enfeoffed much of their territory to imperial family members and meritorious officials; in doing so, the Zhou held sway over every district of the country. The numerous vassal states in the Western Zhou realm soon established their own governing systems, as well as individual economies and cultures, and progressively became independent kingdoms. From disparate locations such as Feng and Hao (near present-day Xi'an) and the Zhouyuan, the government of the Zhou kings was centralized into one province — present-day Shaanxi — and an auxiliary capital was established at Luoyi (present-day Luoyang, in Henan province) to govern the eastern region. Excavations of Western Zhou sites at Feng-Hao and Luoyang have been underway since 1949, but looting and damage rendered the material retrieved from the excavations less than ideal.

The Zhouyuan, extending over the counties of Qishan and Fufeng in Shaanxi province, was the homeland of the Zhou people. Following the Zhou settlement of the Feng region, the area became a fiefdom of the Duke of Zhao, and it functioned as a provincial capital of the dynasty. The site has yielded several important finds. Of particular interest to scholars was the discovery of the well-preserved foundations of a palace in Fengchu, Qishan county; the remains of the structure — methodically laid out, with clearly demarcated front halls and rear bedrooms — provide a model for the structure of Western Zhou palaces. A pit in the foundation yielded approximately 300 inscribed oracle bones dating from the late Shang period to the era of the early Zhou kings Cheng and Kang. The finds provide new materials for the study of the rela-
tionship between the Shang and the Zhou. Hoards of bronzes — in particular, heavy vessels associated with the Western Zhou — have periodically been uncovered in the Zhouyuan area. The hoard from Zhuangbai of Fufeng county is one of the more notable discoveries of recent years, yielding bronzes with lengthy inscriptions that have provided valuable documentation for the study of Western Zhou history.

Archaeological excavations have also provided insights into many of the individual feudal states; the large number of tombs in Sanmenxia city have told us much about the Guo state; burial finds in Pingdingshan city have shed light on the Ying state. Other finds include the ancient fiefdom of the Jin state in Yicheng and Quwo counties in Shanxi; the ancient fiefdom of the Yan state in Liulihe, Beijing; the remains of a city in the Lu state in Qufu (Confucius’ home state) in Shandong; and burial sites of the Qi state in Linzi, Shandong. The Jin and Qi discoveries warrant particular attention.

The Eastern Han historians Ban Gu (32 – 92 CE) and Zheng Xuan (127 – 200 CE) both situated the ancient fiefdom of the Jin state in Jinyang (present-day Taiyuan, Shanxi province), and this identification was accepted by scholars for nearly two thousand years. During the late Ming and early Qing periods, however, the historian Gu Yanwu (1613 – 1682) disputed the so-called Taiyuan theory, believing that the Jin state was situated further south in Shanxi. His theory was not widely accepted, but in the late 1970s archaeologists conducting surveys in the Linfen area discovered the site of Tianma-Qucun on the border between Yicheng and Quwo counties. There, in the course of an excavation that lasted more than ten years, they found not only a large area of residential remains but also a vast number of Jin state tombs. Finally, in 1992, seventeen tombs of the marquises of Jin and their consorts were found, conclusively resolving the nearly two-thousand-year-old controversy regarding Jin enfeoffment. This site has yielded an astonishing group of bronzes and jades; the fact that jades were found in their original positions has provided invaluable information about their function.

Archaeological investigation has similarly resolved the longstanding question of the location of the Northern Yan state. After conquering the Shang, we know that King Wu of Zhou enfeoffed the region of Northern Yan to the duke of Zhao. Some scholars had identified present-day Laishui (Ji county) and Beijing as the seat of the Northern Yan state during the Zhou period, but in the absence of physical remains, the identification was unverifiable. During the 1950s, archaeologists undertook a survey of Beijing city but were unable to find any trace of the Yan fiefdom. In the 1960s, they turned their attention to the rural area south of Beijing. At Liulidian and Dongjialin in Liulihe they found a large Western Zhou site, and excavations over the years yielded city walls dating to the early period, a wide swath of dwellings, and a number of burials, as well as a large quantity of Western Zhou bronzes, jades, laquerware, and pottery. The discovery of several bronze ritual vessels bearing inscriptions that name the marquises of Yan confirmed that this site was the ancient fiefdom of Yan. Once again, an enigma that had endured for centuries had finally been resolved.
Archaeological investigation of the Eastern Zhou has encompassed an even wider area, covering nearly every province in the country. Excavations at Houma in Shanxi province, an urban site of approximately 40 square kilometers, began in the 1950s and continue to the present day. The most important find thus far is a bronzecasting foundry, a site that has yielded more than 100,000 pieces of ceramic models, molds, and earthen cores. Molds were used to create a variety of objects — bells, ritual vessels, chariot fittings, weapons, tools and other implements of daily life, as well as coins shaped like cowries or spades. Some molds were used to form complex decorative patterns on bronzes — herringbone (renziwen), rope, and whirlpool patterns, cloud and thunder motifs, split-bodied or coiled serpents, animal masks, dragons, tigers, oxen, fish, and birds. The casting apparatus, as well as the molds for tuyeres and remains of kilns, has provided insight into nearly every aspect of bronze metallurgy.

The recovery of approximately five thousand fragments of jade and stone from some four hundred sacrificial pits in a field southeast of the city represents another major find from the excavations at Houma. The majority of these fragments bear inscriptions in red or black ink — the briefest inscription contains ten characters, the longest more than two hundred. These documents, collectively termed the Houma Covenant Texts (Houma mengshu), record covenants sworn between lords and their vassals and bear witness to the political struggles of the Jin state during the late Spring and Autumn period (770–475 BCE); they have provided us with a wealth of new historical information. The covenant texts clearly describe the Houma region as “the location of the Jin state.” At Qiaocun, another Houma site, a pottery inscription (taowen) bearing the phrase “Jiang Ting,” identifies the site of Houma as the capital of the Jin, which in 585 BCE was relocated from the ancient city of Jiang by Duke Jing of Jin to Xintian (also known as Xinjiang).

Some of the most important burial remains have been found in the territories of the southern feudal states. Large-scale tombs of the Chu state were found in Xiasi (Xichuan county, Henan province); in Hubei province, the sites of Baoshan (Jingmen county), as well as Tianxingguan and Wangshan (Jingzhou city) yielded important tombs. The tomb of Marquis Yi of Zeng in Sui county, Hubei province, was the best preserved of these and yielded a wealth of burial goods: bronze vessels, jades, lacquered wood objects, bamboo artifacts, leather armor, and musical instruments. One hundred and twenty five musical instruments were found, comprising eight types: bells (zhong), chimes (qing), drums (gu), two types of zithers (qin and se), reed pipes (sheng), vertical flutes (xiao), and bowed string instruments (hu). A complete chime (bianzhong) comprising sixty-five bells was unearthed, together with its lacquered wood stand. The bells that compose this extraordinary set retain an excellent sound quality and are remarkably resonant.
Archaeology of the Distant Regions

The Xia, Shang, and Zhou dynasties held sway only within their respective territories. Beyond their borders, the "distant regions" were populated by several important cultures, in particular, the Lower Xiajiadian and the Yueshi cultures — contemporaries of the Xia — in Liaoning province and the Inner Mongolia Autonomous District.16

Sites of the Lower Xiajiadian culture have yielded a characteristic polychrome painted pottery, as well as several bronze objects. Contacts between the Lower Xiajiadian and the Erlitou culture are evidenced by the similarity of pottery fowl-shaped containers (yi) and tripod beakers (jue). By contrast, the Yueshi culture of Shandong and eastern Henan provinces,17 though contemporaries of the Erlitou, produced a completely different range of artifacts — a great deal of red and brown pottery and numerous steamers (yan), but little corded ware, no tripod vessels (li), and very few bronzes.

During the Shang period, several important cultures coexisted in remote regions outside of the dynasty's borders. In the north was the Zhukaigou culture, in the west, the predynastic Zhou and Xindian cultures, and in the south the Hushou, Ba, Wucheng, and Shu cultures. The latter two are particularly significant.

The Wucheng culture in northern Jiangxi province is represented by the Great Tomb at Xin'gan, located near Chengjiacun (Dayangzhou, in Xin'gan county) approximately twenty kilometers east of Wucheng, the center of the culture.18 The tomb chamber measures forty meters square and contained 475 bronze objects (including 50 ritual vessels and musical instruments), 100 farming and handicraft tools, 200 weapons, as well as approximately 700 jades and 100 pottery vessels. Some of these objects clearly show the influence of Shang culture from the Central Plain; others are clearly indigenous in style. In the past, it was believed that the cultures to the south were a backwater, but the objects from the Great Tomb at Xin'gan clearly militate against this view and offer proof that as early as the Shang period the cultures of the south had a material culture as advanced as those of the Central Plain.

The Shu culture was based in the Chengdu plain of Sichuan province. Sacrificial pits found at Sanxingdui, Guanghan county, are of particular interest and have yielded a wide range of exquisite objects.19 The pits were found in the center of a site measuring approximately 12 square kilometers, enclosed by city walls with a circumference of between 1,800 and 2,000 meters. Shang influence is evident in many of the Sanxingdui objects — bronze lei and zun vessels, jade and stone daggers (ge), bi disks, and jade cong. But the artifacts also clearly display elements of an indigenous culture — in particular most of the pottery, the remarkable standing bronze figures and sculptures, the bronze animal masks, and the stone spears (mao), none of which have been found in Shang remains. Some objects seem to derive from the Shang culture but display a clearly local style — a bronze rounded vessel (lei), daggers with serrated or curved blades, and a jade scepter. The fact that indigenous features predominate in these objects has
led archeologists to designate their creators as a distinct culture — Shu, the ancient name for Sichuan.

Cultures of the distant regions contemporaneous with the Zhou dynasty seem to represent continuations of the preceding Shang period cultures. The Upper Xiajiadian culture was situated in the north; in the south we find a culture designated the Earthen Tomb culture, as well as the continuation of the Ba and Shu cultures. Originating in western China, the Zhou first conquered the region, then vanquished the last Shang king. Under the command of their king, the Qin kingdom — the successor to the Zhou empire in the western part of China — unified the various warring states during the final years of the Zhou and established the First Empire.

1 Some scholars over the last century questioned whether the Xia dynasty ever in fact existed, but were unable to offer evidence to disprove the historical accounts. The historian Gu Jiegang (b. 1893) did not deny the existence of the Xia dynasty, but questioned the existence of the Great Yu, the reputed first ruler of the Xia. The modern scholar Chen Mengjia, a specialist in ancient Chinese writing, proposed that the Xia and Shang might even have been contemporaneous, but could not find any evidence to support that hypothesis.

2 See Zou 1980b.
4 Zou 1980a.
5 Henan 1977.
Between 1,100 and 3,000 years ago, a culture that we now recognize as Chu made significant contributions to the cultural evolution of China as a whole and of southern China in particular. Chu culture is known to have flourished in the middle Yangzi basin as early as the second millennium BCE — during the Shang period — and from the fifth to the third century BCE, the state of Chu occupied almost the entire southern half of the Chinese landmass. By the fourth century BCE — the late Warring States period — Chu had shifted its center to the Huai River valley, where, by the second century BCE under the Han dynasty, it survived mainly in the region of the present-day city of Changsha, Hunan province.

The importance of Chu culture was recognized only relatively recently. In the 1920s the Swedish engineer Orvar Karlbeck described some Chu mirrors of the Warring States period recovered from the Huai River valley. During the 1950s and after, many Chu tombs and the site of the Chu city of Ji’nan-cheng of the Eastern Zhou dynasty were excavated at Jiangling, Hubei province; tombs were also found at another important site — Changsha, Hunan province. Since 1980, when the second annual meeting of the Chinese Archaeological Society focused specifically on issues of Chu culture, Chu studies have become one of the most active areas in Chinese history and archaeology, with scholars both in China and abroad conducting major investigations. One of the most important of these projects seeks to establish a chronology of the Chu tombs from the Eastern Zhou period; another is investigating the origins of Chu culture. While the latter remains a work in progress, much knowledge has been accumulated from the examination of recovered Chu material that spans the period of the culture’s formation to its demise; this in turn has led to a better understanding of Chu history. This exhibition includes numerous Chu culture from the Eastern Zhou states in the Chu cultural sphere. What follows is a general survey of the present state of Chu studies.

DEFINING CHU CULTURE

The term “culture” has many different interpretations; here, it is used to distinguish “Chu culture” in its archaeological context — that is, the physical remains that exhibit distinctive characteristics of the life and behavior of the ancient Chu people. Archaeology treats a culture as bounded in time and space, often with a dominant or subordinate relationship to several other communities, which, for the most part, also exhibit distinctive cultural characteristics. As long as Chu characteristics dominate a group of remains, it can be considered as part of Chu culture or relevant to it, regardless of the date, sphere, or group relationship, for such cultural characteristics could not have existed beyond the sphere of Chu’s influence, nor after the demise of the culture and its constituents.

However, the character and sphere of Chu culture underwent continuous change. Like many cultures, Chu was composed of various elements during its formative phase, including influences deriving from contacts with other cultures. Its borders as well were fluid, first
expanding and then contracting. Because of the interactions and influences between the Chu culture and other cultures, its characteristics altered from one phase of its history to another. One constant of Chu culture, in fact, was continuous change in every phase and every geographical district.¹

The geography of the Chu culture exemplifies its fluidity. The large distribution of archaeological remains that bear Chu traits does not completely match the area traditionally associated with Chu territory. In the beginning, the community of Chu inhabitants was presumably one of many communities that had features in common with others over a wide area. But after its indigenous growth and development, the Chu began to influence other cultures — and to a greater extent than they influenced the Chu. Therefore, the individual traditions that at one point or another likely characterized several coexisting communities or kingdoms (such as the states of Cai, Zeng, or Sui) diminished in strength to become secondary characteristics because of the dominant influence of Chu. As a result, they were incorporated into the greater cultural sphere of Chu. From this analysis, the archaeological Chu culture can be understood to encompass both a narrow and a broad definition. The narrow definition is limited to remains found only within the Chu domain, that is, the Chu culture generally known today. The broad definition encompasses the remains that exhibit significant Chu characteristics beyond political or temporal boundaries.

Prior to World War II, the properties of an archaeological culture were defined as a group of coexisting artifacts (as well as residential sites and tomb burials) exhibiting common characteristics. During the 1960s, international scholarship began to incorporate concepts of anthropology into archaeology, drawing in the material (or technological), the social, and the spiritual aspects of the culture. In China, beginning in the late 1950s, the study of social structure was added to this list. The end of the 1980s brought the notion of “cultural concept” into the study of Chu culture.² In that context the study of “culture” in archaeology has grown close to methods used by historians and anthropologists. In 1995, Hubei Education Publishing issued a landmark, eighteen-volume work on Chu culture, Chuxue wenku (The Chu study series), a publication that treats three aspects of Chu culture — material, social, and philosophical. Since many scholars have acknowledged the broad scope of Chu culture, this publication should encourage and make available more comprehensive studies.

Two main components in the formation of Chu culture

Ancient texts contain abundant references to the origin and enfeoffment of Chu ancestors, as well as to the geographical location of the earliest Chu domain. Early historical texts of the Warring States period (475–221 BCE), identify the “first ancestor” of the Chu as a member of the Zhurong clan residing in the south.³ Two sections of the Classic of Poetry contain a narrative by a Shang descendant describing a community of Chu people during the reign of King Wu Ding
of the Shang. The *Shi ji* (Records of the historian) by Sima Qian, reports that at the end of the Shang period the first leader of the Chu people Yuxiong was enfeoffed as “a lord of Chu” (*Chuzi*) by King Wen of the Zhou state. The phrase “the Lord of Chu came to report” (*Chuzi laigao*) is found in Zhou period oracle-bone inscriptions from the Zhouyuan, Shaanxi province. The texts also make reference to geographical origins. We learn that Yuxiong’s son Xiongli was enfeoffed at a “place in the Sui mountains.” The Sui mountains may be the Jing mountains in Hubei province, from which the Sui (or Ju) River flows. During the Shang and Zhou periods, the state of Chu was also referred to as “Jing-Chu,” which appears to be a reference to the mountains in the same region. In the era of King Cheng of the Zhou, Xiongli’s grandson Xiongyi settled in a place called Danyang. A reference from the Spring and Autumn period (770 – 476 BCE) attributed to a governing official named Zige in the Chu court of King Ling confirms this: “In olden times our late King Xiongyi reigned in the Jing mountains.” On the basis of that reference, we can place Xiongyi’s “Danyang” in the Jing mountains, not far from the settlement of his grandfather, Xiongli. What these texts tell us is that the Chu people established a state between the late Shang and early Zhou periods, when they were active in the valleys of the Ju and Zhang rivers.

The precise location of Danyang, however, remains the subject of debate; it warrants mention here because the origins of the Chu people are naturally a function of the location of their earliest settlement. Several theories, relying on references in early literary sources, propose more precise identifications for the site: (1) Zhijiang county in western Hubei province in the Yangzi valley; (2) Zigui county, also in Hubei province; (3) Danyangjun, in in present-day Tangtu, Anhui province; and (4) north of the Dan River at the intersection of the Dan and the Xi Rivers. The latter identification has been proposed by three scholars — Qian Mu, Gu Jiegang, and Tong Shuye.

The origins of the Chu people are similarly the subject of controversy. Hu Houxuan believes that they emigrated from the east, while Wang Yuzhe places their point of origin in the central area of Henan province; their move eastward to Danyang, he argues, occurred at a later date. The problem with these theories is that late Shang and early Zhou cultural remains from these various areas differ greatly in character. Moreover, a site equivalent in scale and complexity to that founded by Chuzi, the earliest Chu lord, has yet to be found. Thus, in spite of the numerous historical references and the relative abundance of archaeological discoveries, the origins of the early phase of the Chu state remain uncertain.

Be that as it may, the characteristics of an archaeological culture — particularly its prototypical characteristics — may accumulate and endure over a long period. On the basis of this assumption, we may attempt to trace the early stages of Chu culture in reverse — by examining the cultural characteristics of remains from the later periods. Since the 1980s, studies of the origins of Chu culture have been based on inferences from the cultural characteristics of Chu tombs from the later Warring States period. With respect to
the broader definition of the Chu culture, sites in Hubei province can be traced to the middle and late periods of the Western Zhou (c. eleventh century – 771 BCE). Tomb burials and sites in Hubei province, such as Miaoping, Zigui, the Zhenwu mountains in Xiangfan, the Boyu mountains in Songzi, the Mopan mountains in Dangyang, and the Chu Phase I tombs in Zhaojiahu, Dangyang, have yielded a great abundance of pottery vessels of the li, yu, dou, and guan types that were produced as sets, an influence that clearly reflects the Zhou culture. Burial remains roughly contemporaneous with the Erligang and Yinxu phases of the Shang dynasty have yet to be found.

The forms of Chu li, yu, dou, and guan pottery vessels differ markedly from typical Zhou examples. The bottom of Chu tripod vessel (li) is formed as one piece; the hollow space of the legs extends from the core of the cones to the foot of each leg. Shang li vessels exhibit a clear division among the three legs, each of which forms a section that is integrated with the body. In Zhou-style tripods, although each leg is integrated with the body, the bottom portions of the legs are additions. The crotch of the legs is made with a curved shape, which is termed the bie crotch (biedang). In 1980, at the second annual meeting of the Chinese Archaeological Society, Su Bingqi, after pointing out this characteristic in li pottery, named it “Chu-type li” (ding-type or jia-type li). Although li pottery tripods were common in many cultures during the Shang and Zhou periods, the Chu-type li is exclusively found in Chu and Chu-influenced areas.

This type of li pottery was first discovered in remains dating from the Lower Erligang phase (and probably even earlier) of Panlongcheng, Huangpi. Two forms of the Chu-type li, large- and small-mouthed, have been found in late Western Zhou Chu tombs at Zhaojiahu, Dangyang. Because of the lack of earlier remains, we do not know when they were first introduced. Among the remaining artifacts of the Yinxu phase from Zhouliangyuqiao, Shashi, the li vessel is identified as Shang type, while the ding vessel that derived from the indigenous culture has two forms — large- and small-mouthed. Presumably, large- and small-mouthed li pottery originated in the middle Yangzi River region.

In Hubei, the presence of the Chu-type li dating to the Lower Erligang phase and the large numbers of li, yu, dou, and guan vessels in the Chu culture dating to the late Western Zhou period suggest that the Chu culture that developed during the late Shang and early Zhou was a combination of an indigenous culture in the middle Yangzi region with Zhou cultural elements. Burial practices and burial objects in Chu tombs dating after the Spring and Autumn period reflect regulations described in the Zhou li (The rites of Zhou). Thus, the Zhou culture's impact on the Chu was found not only in the function of the Zhou-type vessels, but also in the Chu's beliefs and social structure. The chapter on Chu genealogy in the Shi ji reports that “Yuxiong served the King Wen”; the Yi wen zhi chapter of the Han shu, tells us that “[Yuxiong] was the adviser to the Zhou”; and the Jin yu chapter of the Guo yu states that “In olden days when King Cheng of Zhou allied all the fiefs in Qiyang because the Chu were barbarians (man) in the Jing area….he did not treat the Chu as allies.” During the early stages of the Chu establishment of a
state, although they were regarded as “barbarian” by the Zhou, one generation after another traveled to the capital in the Zhouyuan. It is natural that the Chu inhabitants at this stage absorbed Zhou culture and progressed rapidly.

THE GROWTH OF THE CHU CULTURAL CHARACTER DURING THE EASTERN ZHOU PERIOD

During the early Western Zhou period, after the period of enfeoffment, the cultural characteristics of the feudal states testify to the strong influence of the dominant Zhou culture. But after King Ping moved the capital east to Luoyang, the influence of the Zhou began to wane; their hold over the individual states diminished, and the states seized the opportunity to develop their own cultural characteristics. By the sixth century BCE — the middle Spring and Autumn period — the states of Qin, Jin, Yan, Qi, Wu, and Chu had become distinctive, individual cultures. Among them, Chu now dominated southern China and continued to do so until the late third century BCE, while the Zhou state continued to decline (it was eventually drawn into the cultural sphere of the Jin state).

The transformation of Chu culture is evident in the evolution of its pottery vessel types. The dominance of the Zhou to the north is manifested in li, yu, dou, and guan vessels that appear often among Chu remains dating to the middle and later phases of the Western Zhou period; the style and manufacture of the li vessels display exceptionally prominent Chu features, as do a few slender, oval-bellied jars, but indigenous Chu design is otherwise little evident. As for bronzes, the earliest Chu ritual vessels — the so-called Chu Ji Gou pan, Chu Yin pan, and Chu Yin yi, which date to the late Western and early Eastern Zhou periods — differ little from Zhou examples. Ding and gui vessels dating to the early Spring and Autumn period from Tomb 2 in Zhaojiabang, Dangyang, have no decoration on the body, few identifiable stylistic features, and lack a strongly “individual” character. By the middle Spring and Autumn period, however, Chu elements feature figure prominently in the artifacts from the Yuan Zifeng tomb in Xiehuan Xiasi, Henan province, and continue up to the late Warring States period tomb of Xionghan, King You of Chu, in (Lisangudui) Zijuaiji, Shou county, Anhui province, as well as in thousands of excavated tombs belonging to all ranks of Chu people.

During this period, all ranks of burials of the feudal states of the Yellow River valley and the Yangzi region yield bronze and ceramic ritual vessels corresponding in type and number to the prescriptions contained in the Zhou li. The Zhou li specifies that the ding vessel was used as container for meat; gui and lidded dou vessels were intended for grains (including millet), dun and sheng for water; hu, fou, and fang for wine; and pan and yi for pouring water. Generally, one type of each vessel served one function, although at times two vessels might serve the same function. Vessel types changed with the passage of time; the dun vessel, for example, does not appear until the late Spring and Autumn period, the fang does not appear until the Warring States period, and the sheng replaced the dun at the end of Warring States period. While these
types of vessels are common to every feudal state, there are regional differences. States in the Central Plains generally served grains in gui and dou; water and wine were associated with hu vessels. By contrast, the Chu served grain in gui, fu, and dun (the latter appear more often in smaller tombs), water and wine were served in yu-fou and zun-fou vessels. Small-mouthed ding vessels (whose inscriptions identify them as Tang ding and yugong), used for cooking meat stews and heating water, are associated exclusively with Chu culture.

The most important ritual vessel for representing the social status of a tomb occupant was the sheng ding, a type of container for cooked meat and fish. After the middle Spring and Autumn period, tombs of high-ranking aristocrats (such as marquises and ministers) in the Chu cultural region were provided with ding vessels in sets of seven or nine. Sheng ding with narrow waists and flat bottoms were found in the Chu tombs of Wang Ziwu, the chief minister Yuan Zifeng (the seven ding found in the Yuan Zifeng Tomb 2 in Xiasi once belonged to Wang Ziwu), King You of Chu, Marquis Zhao of Cao, and Marquis Yi of Zeng; examples of lidded sheng ding with a rounded bottom, commonly seen in the feudal states, have also been recovered from Chu tombs associated with ranks lower than the dafu. The narrow-waisted, flat-bottomed ding, which seems to have served as an auxiliary ding vessel, is exclusively a Chu bronze type.
The Chu absorbed elements of other cultures as well from time to time. Two types of bronzes associated with Yue culture appear after the Chu vanquished the Yue state in 334 BCE: the Yue-type ding tripod (which has a broad belly and thin lid decorated with a cloud-and-thunder pattern in parallel lines, and three slender legs placed toward the outside of the vessel), and the Yue-type mao spear, with a groove at the center of the blade, a pattern decorating two sides and a pattern resembling the character for "king" on the lower part. After 278 BCE, when General Bai Qi of the Qin dynasty destroyed the Chu capital of Ying, the state moved to Chen (present-day Huaiyang, Henan province), Juyang (Taihe, Anhui province), and Shouchun (Shouxian, Anhui province), where Chu remains from the late Warring States period still retain relatively distinct cultural characteristics. Although most of the ceramic ding vessels from Chu tombs in Pingliangtai, Huiyang, Henan province and Yanggongxiang, Changfeng, Anhui province have Chu-type long legs, a few have Qin-type short legs. The Qin style is also evident in square bricks with petal-shaped cloud patterns and ceramic tile-ends with curved cloud patterns from the Chu city of Bojiatai in Shouchun. The influence of Qin in the region of Yangzi Gorges and Hubei appears following the eastward movement of the Qin military. It may be that Qin influence had already spread to the last Chu capital before Qin vanquished the Chu state.

Nevertheless, even with the appearance of other cultural influences, the Chu culture, after the middle phase of the Spring and Autumn period, retained distinctive characteristics from its beginning to its end.

**CONCEALED CHARACTERISTICS IN CHU THOUGHT AND BELIEF**

On the one hand, the archaeological relics of a culture reflect its technological capabilities—a function, in part, of the material and natural environment; on the other, they reflect belief systems. The Three Dynasties (Xia, Shang, and Zhou), from the beginning of their establishment in the middle Yellow River valley, had a strong impact on the belief systems (including the rules of ritual) of the states that they subjugated. To greater or lesser degrees, their cultural characteristics penetrated the regions of the Yellow, Yangzi, and Pearl River valleys. Chu culture also exerted such an influence.

At the dawn of its civilization, China's belief system—like that of many cultures in the world—was permeated by shamanism. Shamanism endured until the late Spring and Autumn period, when it was gradually displaced by the philosophy, political thought, and ethics of hundreds of philosophical schools. However, shamanism continued in the Chu region, a legacy evidenced in a report in the Chi yue chapter of the *Lu shi chun qiu* that "the Chu's decline came from performing the music of shaman." References of similar import appear in Wang Yi's Xu (annotation) to the *Chu ci* (Songs of Chu) and the Jinge (Nine songs): "In the south, the old Chu city of Ying along the Yuan and Xiang Rivers maintained a belief in ghosts and favored sacrifice." The Di li zhi chapter of the *Han shu* also mentions that "the Chu... believed in
shaman and ghosts, and favored lewd sacrifice," an indication that these customs lasted until the Han dynasty. The many imaginary figures and animal creatures made of lacquered wood from the Warring States Chu tombs are an artistic reflection of the importance of the shaman.

Scholars of philosophy have noted that Daoism, as represented by Laozi and Zhuangzi, was the mainstream in the regions of Yangzi and Huai River valleys, while the Confucianist and Mohist schools were popular in the Yellow River valley. In the winter of 1993, an ancient book of bamboo slips from Chu Tomb 1 (dated to the Warring States period) in Guodian, Jingmen, Hubei province, was unearthed; it contained fourteen fragmentary slips containing Confucian texts, three Lao zi fragments, and one fragment of Tai yi sheng shui; the latter two were popular in later religious Daoism. Judging from these discoveries, while Confucianism and Daoism were practiced concurrently, Daoism received greater emphasis.

Another important document is a Warring States period Chu manuscript on silk, reportedly from Zidanku, Changsha, and now in the Sackler Collection. The historian Li Ling has tentatively identified the manuscript as calendar of the four seasons (Sishiling) that served as a guidebook for choosing when a particular activity should take place. During the Han dynasty, such texts were classified as shushu (mathematics and skills such as divination and astrology). Other manuscripts from the same site also belong to this category. The content of the Sishiling is similar to the You guan and You guan tu sections of the Guan zi and reflects parallels between Yin-Yang theory and Daoist thought. These manuscripts from Warring States period Chu tombs document the popularity of Daoism under the Chu. Early shamanism is manifested in Daoist thought and rhetoric, particularly in the transmitted texts of the Lao zi and Zhuang zi; the Chu ci also reveal elements of shamanic belief. Shamanism, Daoism, and Li sao all inform the colorful and highly imaginative thought and literature of the Chu tradition.

REMNANTS OF CHU CULTURE IN THE EARLY HAN DYNASTY
The Qin army left a trail of destruction in the course of its conquests — a practice that fundamentally altered the fabric of the six states that it subjugated. Several tombs of the Qin type have been discovered within these states, yielding objects that conform to Qin typology but contain elements of an indigenous style. Only the Changsha region seems to have retained a rich Chu culture.

Historical texts are ambiguous with regard to precise dates for the Qin occupation of the Changsha region. The chapter on the genealogy of the Qin and the Bai Qi chronicle in the Shi ji report that when the Qin general Bai Qi took over the Chu capital of Ying, he also dispersed other Chu regions in Qianzhong, Wujun, and Jiangnan. The Qin occupation of Changsha may have been contemporaneous with this campaign, but Changsha tombs from the period still yield ritual vessels — sheng (he), hu, and fang — that are distinctly Chu in style (short-legged ding of the Qin type are the exception). Such burial objects endured into the early Han dynasty.
Several other discoveries testify to lasting Chu culture in the Changsha region during the early Western Han period:

- The Chu gold coin bearing the phrase "yingcheng" continued to be minted and traded; many tombs have been found to contain clay "yingcheng" coins, placed there as burial goods, evidence that this form of money was still circulating during the period.
- The family tombs of the prince and princess of Changsha and Marquis Dai and his consort maintained features characteristic of Chu wooden coffin design — a "touxiang" (compartment at the head of the coffin), right and left "bianxiang" (compartments on the two sides), "zuxiang" (compartment at the foot), and "guanxiang" (inner coffin). As at Mawangdui Tomb 1, the corpses were wrapped in multiple layers of fabric (a Chu tradition) rather than jade shrouds (the Han practice).
- The tombs of Marquis Dai and his family at Mawangdui also sustain the practice of placing sets of seven or nine "sheng ding" vessels among the burial objects. This custom was continued in the large-scale, Qin-type tombs of aristocrats after the middle Warring States period, as well as the early Western Han tombs in the regions beyond Changsha.
- References to "Chu costume" and "Chu dance" appear in the inventory slips found in Mawangdui Tomb 3, suggesting the continuation of these Chu customs and styles.

Perhaps the most enduring legacy of Chu culture, however, was the dominance of Daoist thought. Mawangdui Tomb 3 contained a large number of manuscripts written on silk concerned with Daoism (to the notable exclusion of Confucian and Mohist philosophy). Of these, the most important are two versions of "Lao zi" and a copy of the "Huang di si jing," which were classics of the Huanglao school popular during the early Han dynasty. Other important manuscripts contained in the tomb and reflecting Daoist influence include the "Yi yin," the "Jiu zhu," the "Zhou yi Xi ci" ("Book of changes," an ancient text erroneously attributed to Confucius by later scholars that was the topic of commentary and interpretation by Daoist scholars), medicinal prescriptions, and divination books. These manuscripts demonstrate that even during the early Western Han period, the Changsha region continued to maintain the Chu tradition with respect to Daoist thought.

**CONCLUSION**

The Qin dynasty guaranteed the private ownership of land — a system of ownership that permitted the establishment of large estates that endured to some extent into the era of the Emperor Wu of the Han dynasty. Under the Qin, the empire had been unified and the state strengthened. In time, a Confucian orthodoxy was proposed to meet the needs of the new society. The new school of literary thought was represented by a concept proposed by Dong Zhong-
shu (c. 179 – 104 BCE) known as the “intermingling of the heavenly and the human” (Tianren heyi) and the ethics of the “three principles and the five rules” (sangang wuchang). From then on, the immovable status of Confucianism continued for two thousand years in the empire at large. The dominant Han culture developed on the basis of these circumstances, while the Chu culture in time receded into history.

For thousands of years, the Chu state flourished in the middle Yangzi region, and defined the historical development of southern China. Its cultural influence, particularly the Laozi Daoist thought (informed by the Huanglao school, which developed during the early Western Han period) extended over the whole of China and has endured to the present day. Though the culture itself, as an entity, fell into demise, its contributions, particularly in the area of philosophy, have had a lasting influence. These are the most important aspects of Chu culture.
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The Han and Tang Dynasties

XU PINGFANG | The Han and Tang cultures, which produced some of the most glorious works in the history of Chinese art, developed out of the Qin dynasty. The unification of China by the First Emperor of Qin fundamentally changed the course of Chinese history; having put an end to a patchwork of feuding states governed by lords, he established a centralized governing bureaucracy administered by local prefectures and divided into counties, standardized the written language (a particularly important determinant of national identity), as well as currency and weights and measures, and constructed roadways to link the disparate regions of his empire to one another. These measures were largely sustained by the rulers and dynasties that succeeded him.

The First Emperor’s mausoleum in Lintong, Shaanxi province has been excavated and surveyed in recent years. It is constructed in the form of a large rectangle with double enclosures. The outer enclosure, entered through a gate on each of the four sides, measures 2,165 meters from north to south, and 940 meters from east to west; the inner enclosure (with single gates at the east, west, and south, and two gates at the north) measures 1,355 meters from north to south, 580 from east to west. Covering an area of approximately 250,000 square meters at the southern end of the enclosure, a flat-topped burial mound constructed of pounded earth rises to a height of 76 meters. The mound itself covers a burial palace (digong) measuring 460 by 392 meters, constructed of unfired bricks, with walls 4 meters high and 4 meters thick. The northern half of the inner enclosure is divided into two parts; to the east is a free-standing walled “city” measuring 350 meters from east to west; the western part comprises a residential hall north of the burial mound, and a side-hall north of the residential hall. To the northwest, between the inner and outer enclosures is the residence of the clerics. The area surrounding the mound contains several burial pits, including the celebrated horse-and-chariot pit inside the western gate of the inner enclosure, and a pit containing rare birds and animals outside the western gate. Auxiliary burials and horse pits were constructed outside the eastern gate of the outer enclosure and to the south; slightly to the north, 1.5 kilometers from the eastern gate, four pits of terra-cotta soldiers and horses were found in the 1970s.¹

The construction of the mausoleum began with the First Emperor’s accession to the throne in 246 BCE; by the time of his death thirty-seven years later in 210 BCE it was still not complete. Its construction required enormous expenditures, both human and material, and accounts tell us that a vast number of burial objects were entombed to supply the needs of the deceased in the afterlife. The mound remains unexcavated, but historical texts recount that the mausoleum was robbed and burned after the demise of the Qin dynasty. The excavation of the horse-and-soldier pits, however, offers some glimpses into the scale of the burial.

The rectangular Pit 1, measuring 250 by 62 meters, contained approximately 2,000 terra-cotta soldiers and horses, 20 wooden war-chariots, and 40,000 assorted bronze weapons.² To its northeast, the L-shaped Pit 2, measured 124 meters wide (east to west) and 98 meters long (north to south).³ Pit 3, much smaller than the others, was dug in the shape of a Chinese char-
acter, ao ("concave" or "sunken"), to resemble a squared U, and measured 17.6 meters wide (east to west) and 21.4 meters long (north to south). An unfinished pit, which corresponds to accounts in the historical texts of an uncompleted mausoleum, was found between Pits 2 and 3.

The horse-and-soldier pits north of the axis formed by the mausoleum replicate the Emperor's imperial guards, arranged in inspection formation. Pit 1 was divided into eleven trenches, each of which contained 6 chariots and 18 to 20 rows of life-size warriors holding bows, crossbows, swords, and spears. The fourteen trenches of Pit 2 (the excavation of which is ongoing at this writing), were filled with chariots, cavalry, and footsoldiers.

The practice of placing pottery figurines in front of the mausoleum to represent an awesome military formation continued into the later dynasties; representations of soldiers have been discovered in the tombs of Yangling (in particular, the mausoleum of Emperor Jin Di), as well as in tombs and tomb murals of the Northern Dynasties, the Sui dynasty, and the Tang dynasty. The First Emperor's army, however, remains an extraordinary find, in particular for what it tells us about the evolution of Chinese sculpture. By the third century BCE, Chinese sculpture was capable of representing the human form with a high degree of verisimilitude; even on so massive a scale, the artists of the First Emperor's army were able to endow the figures with individuality by varying the bodies, costumes, hairstyles, and facial expressions. While the discovery of the First Emperor's mausoleum is a landmark for Chinese history and archaeology, its significance transcends the borders of China; indeed, it is one of the treasures of the world.

The continuities between the Qin and the Han dynasties, are borne out in tomb designs. The Western Han imperial mausoleums in the northern plain of the Wei River at Xi'an include features reminiscent of the design of the First Emperor's mausoleum, in particular, the shape of the enormous mounds, the rectangular tomb enclosures, and the placement of auxiliary tombs on the two sides of a road (simadao) that traces an east-west axis. The most important Western Han royal tombs excavated in recent years are those of Liu Sheng and his consort in Mancheng, Hebei province, and the tomb of the King of Nanyue in Guangzhou, Guangdong province.

Liu Sheng, King Jing of Zhongshan, was the son of Emperor Jin and the brother of Emperor Wu. He died in the fourth year of the Yuanding era of Emperor Wu (113 BCE); his consort Dou Wan died slightly later. They were buried side-by-side in rock-cut cliff tombs on the eastern slope of a mountain. Both tombs share an architectural plan characteristic of Western Han royal tombs: an entrance passageway, a tunnel, a front chamber flanked by two side-rooms, and a rear chamber with a circumferential corridor. The tomb of Liu Sheng measures 51.7 meters in length and 37.5 meters at its widest; that of Dou Wan is 49.7 meters long, and 65 meters wide (measured from the outer wall of each side room). Liu Sheng was buried in a coffin nested within a larger coffin (yiguoyiguan); Dou Wan was placed in a single coffin. In accordance with the burial practice of Han aristocrats, both were encased in "jade suits with gold threads" (jinlu yuyi); Liu Sheng's comprises 2,498 jade plaques; the weight of the gold used to link the plaques is estimated at 1,100 grams. While similarly shaped jade plaques had been discovered in tomb
excavations prior to the Mancheng excavations, their significance as components of burial shrouds was unknown until the discovery of the tombs of Liu Shang and Dou Wan.\(^5\)

The Western Han dynasty reached its height under the reign of Emperor Wu Di; though he reduced the power of the imperial princes and other nobles in order to enhance the sovereignty of the central government, he did not deprive them of their wealth, and the tombs of Liu Sheng and his consort, filled with exquisite and luxurious burial objects, reflect these circumstances.

The most important of the Han royal tombs is that of the King of Nanyue in Guangzhou. Following the demise of the Qin dynasty, a Qin military general named Zhao Tuo (from Zhending in present-day Hebei province) proclaimed himself the emperor of the Lingnan region (Guangdong province). Zhao's kingdom was subjugated by the Han in 111 BCE. On the basis of a gold seal inscribed *Wendi xingxi* and a jade seal inscribed *Zhao Mo*, the tomb at Xianggang has been identified as that of the second king of Nanyue — Zhao Mo, who died around 122 BCE.

The tomb, situated on top of a hill, is built on a north-south axis. An entrance passageway at the southern end leads into an outer storage room; behind the storage room is chamber flanked by two side rooms, a rear chamber (which contained the coffin), and another chamber behind it (which served for storage); the coffin chamber and the rear chamber were also flanked by side rooms. All were constructed with sand and stones. The tomb, which dates slightly earlier than that of Liu Sheng, measures 10.68 meters in length from the front door of the front chamber to the northern end of the rear storage chamber, and 12.24 meters in width, measured from the outer walls of the side rooms. The King of Nanyue's tomb lacks the circumferential corridors of Liu Sheng's chamber, and his jade shroud is pieced together with silk rather than gold, features that suggest that Zhao Mo was a king of lower rank than Liu Sheng (who bore the imperial surname).

The burial accouterments of the King of Nanyue's tomb comprise more than 1,000 objects in a dazzling variety of materials — bronze, iron, silver and gold, pottery, jade, glass, lacquered wood, bamboo, and silk and hemp; bronzes and jades compose the vast majority of the tomb objects. The bronzes include *niu* and *yong* bells, 36 *ding* vessels, and 52 mirrors; the 280 jade objects include the jade shroud, 11 sets of pendants, and 58 sword ornaments, and Zhao Mo was buried with no fewer than 23 seals made of gold, bronze, jade, and hardstone. The tomb objects testify to a variety of artistic influences. The jade suit, jade pendants, and bronze mirrors echo the style of the Central Plains; some of the bronzes and ceramics clearly evoke the Wu, Yue, and Chu styles. Some objects display motifs often seen in cultures of the northern grasslands (such as gold apricot-leaf ornaments); a silver box decorated with petals was likely imported from Western or Central Asia, while flower ornaments (*pao*), ivory, and perfume testify to trade with lands to the south.\(^6\)

Imperial mausoleums of the Tang dynasty were centered in the area near Xi'an, in the Guanzhong region of Shaanxi province. The princess of Jinxiang was the granddaughter of Li
Yuan (the Emperor Gaozu of the Tang dynasty), and the third daughter of Li Yuanying, the king of Teng. She married Yu Yin, the judicial adjutant (sifa canjun) in Shuzhou, who died in the first year of the Yongchang era (689 CE). The Princess passed away in the tenth year of the Kaiyuan era (724 CE), and was buried with her husband in the twelfth year of the era (724 CE). The couple’s tomb was situated on the eastern bank of the Ba River, northeast of Xi’an.7

The single chamber tomb has a passageway with three ceiling funnels and a tunnel leading to the tomb chamber. A stone coffin was placed on the western side of the square tomb chamber (which measures 3.5 by 3.4 meters); burial objects were placed on the eastern half. The walls of the passageway, tunnel, and tomb chamber were originally decorated with painted murals, but these have peeled off and only traces remain. Although the tomb had been looted, several well-preserved burial figurines were recovered, the most notable of which are the figures of hunters on horseback, which exhibit a lively and realistic style.

Tang tombs dating after the Kaiyuan and Tianbao eras display simplified plans and modest burial objects, a phenomenon that likely reflects the division of the territory by the warlords and the economic decline following An Lushan’s rebellion. Once the most prosperous capitals, Chang’an and Luoyang progressively fell into decline. Tombs associated with local powers, such as the Five Dynasties tomb of Wang Chuzhi in Quyang, Hebei province, by contrast, evoke the glamour of prior periods.

The mural paintings at the tomb of Wang Chuzhi retain the high Tang style. They are similar to depictions of fashionable women in the tomb of Xue Mo (dating to the sixteenth year of the Kaiyuan era, 728 CE), the tomb of Yang Xuanlue (dating to the fifth year of the Xiantong era, 864 CE), and tomb of Lady Wei, but the figures are altogether unique in their elegance and execution, likely reflecting the higher status of the tomb’s occupant. Polychrome stone reliefs on the two walls of the rear chamber depict musicians and female attendants. Their floating drapery evokes a sense of rhythmic movement, as if the figures are dancing to their own music.8

Wang Chuzhi had been military commissioner (jiedushi) of Yi, Ding, and Qi prefectures. Born in Wannian county in Jingzhao during the Tang dynasty, he resided in Baoyefang at the capital Chang’an. Several generations of his family had held positions in the Army of Inspired Strategy (shencejun). His father, Wang Zong, was promoted from the military to Left Guard (zuoweishi) in Jingzhao, and served as commander supervising the remote prefecture of Xingyuan. We are told that Wang Zong “specialized in speculation, took opportunities to execute favorable trades, and as a result was as rich as a king. He amassed great wealth in the course of his employment, and thus established himself as a noble, dined on luxurious meals, and retained thousands of servants.”9 Wang Chuzhi’s elder brother, Wang Chucun, held the positions of acting Minister of Justice and military commissioner of the army of Yiwu during the sixth year of the Qianfu era (879 CE); he helped to put down the rebellion of Huangchao and thus recovered imperial control of the city of Chang’an. Wang Chuzhi was military commander (bingmashi) in Dingzhou. He had close relationships with Li Keyong and Zhu Wen, and
was granted the titles of Prince of Taiyuan and Prince of Beiping. His status as a powerful war-
lord in the Hebei region is reflected in the design of his tomb, which includes an antechamber,
a rear chamber, and two side rooms, a design identical with the contemporaneous tomb of Zhao
Dejun discovered in Beijing; such designs during the Five Dynasties were traditionally the privi-
lege of individuals of higher rank. Wang Chuzhi died in the twentieth year of the Tianyou (923
ce). The design of the mural paintings was likely adapted from similar murals at Chang’an, a
reflection of Wang Chuzhi’s status as a warlord of Hebei and his social position in the capital.

Buddhist culture introduced a variety of artistic forms and influences into Han and Tang
culture. Archaeological study of Chinese Buddhism has concentrated on three areas: cave tem-
ples; monastery remains, including various Buddhist images and objects unearthed from the
sites; and subterranean crypts beneath Buddhist pagodas. The most important monastery re-
 mains excavated in recent years have been the Xiude Monastery in Quyang, Hebei province; the
Wanfo Monastery in Chengdu, Sichuan province, and the Longxing Monastery, in Qingzhou,
Shandong province. Artifacts from the pagoda crypts, such as the renowned Famen Monastery
in Fufeng, Shaanxi province and the Longxing Monastery in Qingzhou, have yielded extraordi-
nary objects that represent the artistic quintessence of Chinese Buddhist culture.

The three hundred Buddhist statues discovered in 1996 at the Longxing Monastery in
Qingzhou date from the Northern Wei, Eastern Wei, Northern Qi, Sui, Tang, and the Northern
Song dynasties; most of the images, however, were made during the Northern Wei and North-
ern Qi. During the later years of the Northern Song (the early twelfth century), the creation of
Buddha statues ceased altogether; existing statues were destroyed and buried. Several stylistic
dominate the Longxing Buddhist images: their splendid colors and use of gold inlay and the
close-fitting drapery of the figures (“as if just coming out of water”); the latter feature is charac-
teristic of Eastern Wei and Northern Qi statues and reflects the influence of Gandharan style,
contrasting with the loose gown and sash normally seen in the Northern Wei images.

The Famen Monastery was one of four Tang imperial sponsored Buddhist monasteries
that enshrined relics of the Buddha. During the Zhenguang, Xianqing, Zhide, Zhenyuan, and
Yuanhe eras, the imperial court ordered the skull, fingerbones and other relics to be brought
from the Famen Monastery to the palace; with the persecution of Buddhism under the
Huichang era (845 ce), the worship of the relics ceased, but during the fourteenth year of
the Xiantong era (875 ce), the imperial court again had the relics brought to the palace and
returned them to the monastery at the end of the year. One year later, the Buddha’s relics were
buried in the subterranean crypt beneath the pagoda and lay there undisturbed until their
excavation in 1987.

The subterranean crypt of the Famen Monastery pagoda is oriented along a north-south
axis, with a stairway, tunnel, antechamber, middle and rear chambers constructed of stone
slabs. This remains the only known three-chambered subterranean crypt, a layout evidently
derived from that of imperial mausoleums. Two stone steles were erected at the northern end of
the tunnel outside the stone door of the antechamber; inscriptions on the steles record “the delivery of the True Relics from Qiyang during the Xiantong era of the Tang dynasty,” and “a list of offerings, gold and silver treasures, and garments accompanying the True Relics.” The stone door of the antechamber was carved with the images of the Buddha and guardians; a square pagoda made of painted white marble, guarded by a pair of stone lions, was placed in the center of the antechamber. Inside the marble pagoda, a square copper pagoda contained a gilt-silver coffin within which the Buddha’s fingerbone was enshrined. The antechamber also contained stone caskets, bronze ceremonial staffs, white porcelain vases, and two packages of silk garments. The doors to the crypt’s middle chamber were carved with reliefs of lokapalas; a white marble lingzhang pagoda in the center of the chamber contained an iron casket with a luding cover, and a gilt-silver coffin decorated with a pair of phoenixes, which contained second relic of the Buddha’s fingerbone. In front of the lingzhang was a bronze incense burner, flanked by two polychrome stone lokapala figures. The middle chamber also contained a bodhisattva image which held the relic, “secret color” (mi se) celadon wares, lacquered boxes, and many silk textiles. The nearly square rear chamber, a carefully designed structure with an octagonal ceiling well and stone doors, contained a reliquary (comprising eight nested cases) wrapped in red brocade, with gilt bodhisattva image on its top. It was placed in the center of the chamber. The outermost case of the reliquary, made of black lacquered wood has silver fittings, and a luding cover; gold and polychrome carvings on the four sides depict the themes of the Buddha’s preaching, Paradise, and homage to the Buddha. The other seven layers from outer to innermost are as follows: a gilt-silver case decorated with four lokapala figures; a plain silver case; a gilt-silver case with images of the seated Buddha; a gold case featuring a six-armed Avalokitesvara; a gold case inlaid with precious stones and pearls; a wufu stone case with precious stones and pearls inlaid in gold frames; and finally a gold pagoda with single eaves, four doors, and a pearl top, which enshrines the Buddha’s fingerbone. Directly in front of the reliquary was a silver incense burner resting on a stand. Four Arghya ewers with vajra motifs were placed in the four corners of the rear chamber. Other items, piled in two layers in the rear chamber, included objects of gold, silver, and glass, and silk garments. A pit measuring 0.65 square meters and 0.5 meters deep was dug to the north of the rear chamber; a bricked-up square niche at the northern end of the pit contained an iron case, which in turn contained a silver luding covered case, a sandalwood case with silver fittings, a crystal outer coffin inlaid with precious stones, and finally a jade inner coffin in which the third of the fingerbone relics was placed.

The subterranean palace at the Famen Monastery has yielded 121 gold and silver objects, 8 bronzes, 16 pieces of porcelain, 20 glass dishes, 12 stone objects, and an abundance of woven silk fabric. The stele records 2,499 pieces of treasure in the crypt, although this claim is not borne out by the objects recovered. The finds from the crypt, however, are extraordinary, both in quantity and quality, and surpass all known foundation deposits. Particularly notable are the
mi se porcelains and the gold and silver objects made in Wensi and western Zhejiang which represent the highest skill of Tang metallurgists. The crypt contained a dazzling variety of textiles, including ling, luo, sha, juan, jin, xiu, many of them embroidered with gold in a variety of techniques.

While they undeniably constitute artistic treasures of the highest order, the artifacts from the Famen Monastery pagoda crypt are also invaluable materials for the study of Buddhism. Many artifacts from the palace reflect elements of Esoteric Buddhism: the Five Buddhas represented on the reliquary, the Diamond World mandala; the mojie vajras; the rajas on the throne of the bodhisattva image; and the six-armed Avalokiteśvara image on the gold case of the reliquary. These elements reflect the popularity of Esoteric Buddhism among the upper class following the persecution of Buddhism during the Huichang era. The treasures from the Famen Monastery are also valuable testimony to cultural interactions between China and foreign countries. The eighteen Islamic glass dishes from the crypt, whose dates are established by the stele inscriptions, may well require us to reexamine the dating of Islamic glass objects in museums throughout the world.

1 Yuan 1988, nos. 5 and 6.
2 Shaanxi 1988b.
3 Qinyong 1978.
4 Qinyong 1979.
5 Zhongguo 1980b.
7 Xi'an 1997.
8 Hebei 1998.
9 "Wang Chucun zhuan" Jiu Tangshu juan 182.
10 Shandong 1998.
12 Su Bai 1988.
Miaodigou 廟底溝
Miaoping 廟坪
Minhe 民和
Mogao 莫高窟
Mopan Mountains (Mopan-shan) 墓盤山
Mopandun 墓盤墩
Mount Kunlun (Kunlunshan) 崑崙山
Mount Taishan (Taishan) 泰山
Nanchang 南昌
Nanchengzi 南城子
Nanliwang 南里王
Nanyang 南陽
Nanyaozhuang 南陽莊
Ningbo 宁波
Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region (Ningxia Huizu Zizhiqu) 宁夏回族自治區
Niuheliang 牛河梁
Ordos 鄂爾多斯
Paimashan 拍馬山
Panlongcheng 鰲龍城
Peliligang 貝里崗
Pengtoushan 彭頭山
Pingdingshan 平頂山
Pingliangtai 平綏台
Pingshan 平山
Poyang (Lake) 鄱陽湖
Qi (state) 齊
Qi Prefecture 齊州
Qiangjia 強家
Qianshanyang 銘山漾
Qinzhou 趙川
Qinghai Province (Qinghai Sheng) 青海省
Qingzhou 青州
Qinjiaojiau 秦家溝
Qi Mountain (Qishan) 岐山
Qijiahuayuan 球家園
Qizhen 崎鎮
Quanhui 泉匯
Qucun 靑村
Qufu 曲阜
Qujialing 居家嶺
Quwo 曲沃
Quyang 曲陽
Renjia 任家
Rizhao 日照
Rujiazhuang 蘇家莊
Ruzhou 汝州
Sanguandianzi 三官甸子
Sanji 三汲
Sanliqiao 三里橋
Samenxia 三門峽
Sanxingdui 三星堆
Sanxingtala 三星他拉
Shaanxi Province (Shaanxi Sheng) 陝西省
Shaguotun 沙鍋屯
Shanbiozhai 山彪鎮
Shandong Province (Shan-dong Sheng) 山東省
Shangguancun 上官村
Shanghai 上海
Shangsunzhaizhai 上孫家寨
Shangwang 商王
Shanxi Province (Shanxi Sheng) 山西省
Shaochen 召陳
Shaoxing 紹興
Shashi 舒氏
Shenyang 聲陽
Shihe 石家河
Shijiayuan 史家塬
Shijiazhuang 石家莊
Shilingxia 石嶺下
Shinüba 石女壇
Shiqiao 石橋
Shixianggou 西鄉溝
Shizhaocun 畢趙村
Shizishan 使子山
Shouchun 壽春
Shouxian 壽縣
Shouzhou 壽州
Shu 蜀
Shucheng 舒城
Shuihudu 睦虎地
Shuikou 水口
Sichuan Province (Sichuan Sheng) 四川省
Sidun 寧墩
Sihe 四合
Songhequ 宋河區
Songshan 松山
Songze 松澤
Songzi 松滋
Sui (state) 隨
Sui Mountains (Suishan) 綿山
Suishui (Sui River) 綿水
Suixian 隨縣
Suizhou 隨州
Sujianglong 蘇家壇
Sunjiangang 孫家港
Tai Lake 太湖
Tai Mountains (Taishan) 泰山
Tai'an 市安
Taihang Mountains (Taishan-shan) 太行山
Taihe 太和
Taiyuan 太原
Tangjiagang 湯家崗
Taosi 陶寺
Tengqiang 蘇家壇
Tengzhou 濟州
Tianjin 天津
Tianma 天馬
Tianhu 湘湖
Tianxingguan 天星觀
Tongde 同德
Tunxi 屯溪
Wangjiayina 王家陰
Wangjiazu 汪家咀
Wangshanshan 望山
Wannian 瑞年
Wei River (Wei He) 滬河
Weixi 向喜
Wu (state) 吳
Wuchangyidi 武昌義地
Wucheng 吳城
Wuguan 至官村
Wuhan 武漢
Wujin 興郡
Wulan Mountains (Wulan-shan) 烏蘭山
Wuwei 武威
Wuyang 興陽
Xi rivers (Xi Shui) 潮水
Xi'an 西安
Xi'anshan 淄峨山
Xiaojadian 夏家店
Xiajin 下津
Xiangjiang 淅江
Xiangfan 襄樊
Xiangfen 襄汾
Xianggang 橫港
Xianggang 橫港
Xianrendong 仙人洞
Xianyang 咸陽
Xiaoheyuan 小河源
Xiaolongtang 小龍潭
Xiaomeiling 小梅嶺
Xiaoqiong 小岑
Xiasi 下寺
Xibeigang 西北崗
Xichuan 淅川
Xilamulun River(Xilamulun He) 西拉木倫河
Xin'gan 新淦 (新淦)
Xindian 省店
Xinfengzhen 新豐鎮
Xinglongwa 興隆哇
Xinjiang 新疆
Xintian 新田
Xinyang 信阳
Xinzhe 新鄭
gong (guang) 献
gu 献
gu 献
guan 趣
gui 献
gui 献
he 盲
he 盲
hu 录
hu 录
hu (ji blade) 胡
hu pipa 胡琵琶
huang 琼
Hui gui 海簋
huoding 縄鼎
ji 简
ji 简
jia 学
jian 剑
jian-fou 㻠告
jian gu 建鼓
jiao 角
jin 禁
jin shu 剑校
jue 睍
Jue Cao ding 趼曹鼎
konghou 箏侯
lei 椋
li 尻
Ling fangyi 令方彝
lu 篳
Lu Fu Yi gu 录父乙觚
mao 矢
Mao Gong ding 毛公鼎
nao 篑
niu 鼐
pan 盘
pei 佩
pen 盤
pipa 琵琶
pou 贤
pushou 纺首
qi 戚
qibi 威璧
qin 琴
qing 禧
zun 尊
Sannian Xing hu 三年鼎查
zun pan 尊盘
Sanli yan 三甗甗
se 瑟
sheng 笙
sheng 銘
sheng 盛
Sheng ding 升鼎
shedingding 生鼎
Shi Qiang pan 史璋盤
Shisannian Xing hu 十三年
shu 芜
Si Mu Wu fangding 司母戊方鼎
Tang ding 湯鼎
Xiao Ke ding 小克鼎
Xing xu 縂簋
xizun 犧尊
xi 须
yan (xian) 燮
yi 彝
yi 彝
yong 長
yongzhong 郏鍾
you 虽
yu 益
yu (lifting handles of huoding) 鈞
yue 玥
Yu fou 瑀鼎
Yu gong 瑳鼎
yuding 榮鼎
yue 玥
zeng 黨
zhan 墊
zhang 樓
Zhe fangyi 折方彝
Zhe jia 折家
zhen 鎮
zheng 碇
zheng 鍾
zhong 鐸
zhuo 鎊
zu 鎡


BIBLIOGRAPHY


BIBLIOGRAPHY
Zhongguo 19983. Zhongguo shehui
Zhongguo i998b. Zhongguo shehui
Zhongguo Anhui 1994. Zhongguo
Zhongguo Anyang 1976. Zhongguo
Zhongguo Erlitou 1983. Zhongguo
Zhongguo Erlitou 1984. Zhongguo
Beijing, 1992.
kexueyuan kaogu yanjiusuo
Shangdai muzang.
Beijing, 1998.
yizhi fajue jianbao," Kaogu 1991 nian yilai Zhongguo wen-
Anyang Yinxu de xin faxian." Anyang gongzuodui. "1975 nian
1998.6, 90 - 95.
Zhongguo shenhui kexueyuan kaogu yan-
Zhongguo Shaanxi 1984. Zhongguo shenhui kexueyuan kaogu yan-
Zhongguo shenhui kexueyuan kaogu yan-
jiusuo Shandong gongzuodui et al. "Shandong Yanzhou Wangyin xinshiqi shidai yizhi fajue jianbao,"
Kaogu 1979.1. 5 - 14.
Zhongguo shenhui kexueyuan kaogu yan-
jiusuo Shandong dui et al., "Shandong Tengxian Beixin yizhi fajue baogao,"
Zhongguo Shandong 1990.
Zhongguo shenhui kexueyuan kaogu yan-
Zhongguo Shanshi 1980. Zhongguo shenhui kexueyuan kaogu yan-
Zhongguo Shanshi 1983. Zhongguo shenhui kexueyuan kaogu yan-
jiusuo Shanshi gongzuodui et al. "1978 - 1980 nian Shanshi Xiangfen Taosi mudi fajue jian-
bao," Kaogu 1983.1. 30 - 42.
Zhongguo Shanshi 1984. Zhongguo shenhui kexueyuan kaogu yan-
jiusuo Shanshi gongzuodui. "Shanshi Xiangfen Taosi yizhi
Animals

- bears, 10, 85, 97, 91-92, 131
- brackets.

Note: Catalogue numbers are in brackets.

- cheetah, 492
- cherub, 492
- Cai state, 279, 287, 302, 339
- Caojiaying, Dangyang, Hubei province, 347
- CASS. See Chinese Academy of Social Sciences
- Chang'an, 450-451
- Changfeng, Yanggong, Anhui province, 48, 425
- Changtaiguan, Xinyang, Henan province, 295
- Chariots, 299, 382-386, 366-369
- Chengdu, Dayu, Sichuan province, 513
Lecun, Qishan, Shaanxi province, 236
Lijiahou, Xinzheng, Henan province, 294
Lin state, 248 – 263.
Lianzhou, Guangdong province, 437 – 440
Liao State, 236
Liangchengzhen, Rizhao, Shandong
province, [34] 111-112.
Liangzhu culture, 51, 52, 100, 117 – 120, 156, 412, See also
Fangshan, Yaozhan
Liaoning, Hubei province, 437 – 440
Lecun, Qishan, Shaanxi province, 236
Lijiahou, Xinzheng, Henan province, 294
Lin state, 248 – 263.
Lianzhou, Guangdong province, 437 – 440
Liao State, 236
Liangchengzhen, Rizhao, Shandong
province, [34] 111-112.
Liangzhu culture, 51, 52, 100, 117 – 120, 156, 412. See also
Fangshan, Yaozhan